1	Dynamics of the North American Ice Sheet Complex during its
2	inception and build-up to the Last Glacial Maximum
3	
4	Chris R. Stokes ^{1*} , Lev Tarasov ² and Arthur S. Dyke ^{3, 4}
5 6 7	¹ Department of Geography, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK ² Department of Physics and Physical Oceanography, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada, A1B 3X7
8 9 10	³ Geological Survey of Canada, 601 Booth St., Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, K1A 0E8 ⁴ Department of Geography, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St John's, Newfoundland, Canada, A1B 3X7
11 12 13	*Corresponding author: Tel. +44 (0)191 334 1955; Fax. +44 (0191) 334 1801 E-mail address: <u>c.r.stokes@durham.ac.uk</u> (C R Stokes)
14	Keywords: North American Ice Complex; ice sheet; Laurentide Ice Sheet, numerical
15	modelling; ice streams
16	
17	Abstract: The North American Ice Sheet Complex played a major role in global sea level
18	fluctuations during the Late Quaternary but our knowledge of its dynamics is based mostly on
19	its demise from the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), a period characterised by non-linear
20	behaviour in the form of punctuated ice margin recession, episodic ice streaming and major
21	shifts in the location of ice divides. In comparison, knowledge of the pre-LGM ice complex is
22	poorly constrained, largely because of the fragmentary nature of the evidence relating to ice
23	sheet build-up. In this paper, we explore the inception and growth of ice (120-20 ka) using a
24	glacial systems model which has been calibrated against a large and diverse set of data
25	relating to the deglacial interval. We make use of calibration data prior to the LGM but its
26	scarcity introduces greater uncertainty, which is partly alleviated by our large ensemble

27 analysis. Results suggest that, following the last interglaciation (Oxygen Isotope Stage: OIS 28 5e), the ice complex initiated over the north-eastern Canadian Arctic and in the Cordillera 29 within a few thousand years. It then underwent rapid growth to an OIS 5 maximum at ~110 30 ka (5d) and covered ~70% of the area occupied by the LGM ice cover (although only 30% by 31 volume). An OIS 5 minimum is modelled at ~80 ka (5a), before a second phase of rapid 32 growth at the start of OIS 4, which culminated in a large ice complex at ~65 ka (almost as 33 large as at the LGM). Subsequent deglaciation was rapid (maximum modelled sea level 34 contribution of >16 cm per century) and resulted in an OIS 3 minimum between ca. 55-60 ka. 35 Thereafter, the ice complex grew towards its LGM configuration, interrupted by several 36 phases of successively less significant mass loss. Our results support and extend previous 37 inferences based on geological evidence and reinforce the notion of a highly dynamic pre-38 LGM ice complex (e.g. with episodes of \pm 10s m of eustatic sea level equivalent in <5 ka). Consistent with previous modelling, the fraction of warm-based ice increases towards the 39 40 LGM from <20 to >50%, but even the thin OIS 5 ice sheets exhibit fast flow features (several 1000 m a^{-1}) in major topographic troughs. Notwithstanding the severe limitations imposed by 41 42 the use of the 'shallow-ice approximation', we note that most fast flow-features generated prior to the LGM correspond to the location of 'known' ice streams during deglaciation, i.e. 43 44 in major topographic troughs and over soft sediments at the southern and western margins. 45 Moreover, the modelled flux of these 'ice streams' (sensu lato), appears to be non-linearly 46 scaled to ice sheet volume, i.e. there is no evidence that decay phases were associated with significantly increased ice stream activity. This hypothesis requires testing using a model 47 with higher-order physics and future modelling would also benefit from additional pre-LGM 48 49 constraints (e.g. dated ice free/margin positions) to help reduce and quantify uncertainties.

50

52

53 **1. Introduction**

54 The North American Ice Sheet Complex (NAISC) includes the Laurentide, Cordilleran and 55 Innuitian Ice Sheets and other smaller ice caps (e.g. in Newfoundland and the Appalachians) 56 and was the largest ice mass to grow and (almost) completely disappear during the last glacial 57 cycle, thereby playing a major role in Late Pleistocene sea level change (Fulton and Prest, 58 1987; Shackleton, 1987; Lambeck and Chapell, 2001; Cutler et al., 2003). Our knowledge of 59 its extent and dynamics, however, is based largely on reconstructions of its deglaciation since 60 the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), for which we now have a moderately well-constrained ice 61 margin chronology in most, but not all, sectors (e.g. Dyke and Prest, 1987; Dyke et al., 2003; 62 Dyke, 2004; Clark et al., 2009). Moreover, reconstructions of its behaviour during 63 deglaciation attest to its dynamism and reveal major shifts in the location of ice divides (e.g. Dyke and Prest, 1987; Clark et al., 2000; Tarasov et al., 2012); episodes of ice streaming 64 65 (e.g. Patterson, 1997; 1998; Winsborrow et al. 2004; Stokes et al., 2009) switches in ice sheet basal thermal regime (e.g. Dyke and Morris, 1988; Kleman and Hättestrand, 1999; Kleman 66 67 and Glasser, 2007; Tarasov and Peltier, 2007) and abrupt episodes of mass loss that likely 68 contributed to 'meltwater pulses' identified in the global sea level record (e.g. Hesse and 69 Khodabakhsh, 1998; Hesse et al., 2004; Hemming, 2004; Tarasov and Peltier, 2005; Tarasov 70 et al., 2012).

In contrast, comparatively little is known about its dynamics prior to the LGM, largely because most geological evidence on land has been erased by ice flow during the late stages (e.g. during final deglaciation). Thus, whilst ocean sediment records are particularly useful for investigating pre-LGM iceberg fluxes and meltwater events (e.g. Hesse and Khodabakhsh, 1998; Kirby and Andrews, 1999; Andrews and MacLean, 2003; Farmer *et al.*, 2003; Hesse *et al.*, 2004; Rasmussen *et al.*, 2003; Hemming, 2004; Stokes *et al.*, 2005), we have much less data to develop and/or test both conceptual and numerical models of ice sheet dynamics. Summarising a special issue of papers widely seen as a benchmark of knowledge on the Laurentide Ice Sheet (LIS), Andrews noted that "we have a fair knowledge of the late glacial history of the ice sheet back to about 20 ka; an imperfect knowledge of events between 20 and 40 ka; and sketchy knowledge of the events that led to the development of the ice sheet during marine isotope stages 5 through 4" (Andrews, 1987: p. 316).

83 Notwithstanding these limitations, impressive attempts have been made to link 'pockets' of 84 evidence in the geological record that survived modification during deglaciation (e.g. relict 85 landform and striae evidence; and till stratigraphic data) in order to reconstruct the approximate ice sheet configuration at broad time intervals prior to the LGM (e.g. Fulton, 86 87 1984; Dredge and Thorleifson, 1987; St-Onge, 1987; Vincent and Prest, 1987; Fulton, 1989; 88 Boulton and Clark, 1990a, b; Clark and Lea, 1992, synthesised in Clark et al., 1993; Kleman 89 et al., 2002; Kleman et al., 2010). However, the fragmentary nature of the evidence precludes 90 a robust chronology of ice sheet volume and dynamics and these reconstructions are, 91 necessarily, tentative, and lack quantified uncertainty (e.g. especially in terms of ice volume). 92 Thus, although we know that the ice complex underwent major fluctuations from the last 93 major interglaciation (Oxygen Isotope Stage: OIS 5e) to the LGM (cf. Clark et al., 1993), the 94 timing of these events is poorly constrained and the ice dynamics are largely unknown. This 95 leaves several fundamental questions unanswered regarding its pre-LGM history. For 96 example: where was its inception located and how did major ice domes/divides evolve 97 through time? What was the magnitude and timing of major fluctuations in ice sheet volume; 98 and how did rates of change compare to those during deglaciation? How did the basal thermal 99 regime evolve? Where were the major ice streams located during the growth phase?

100 One way to address the above questions is through numerical ice sheet modelling, which can 101 provide a continuous evolution of ice sheets through time. With this in mind, this paper 102 presents the results of numerical modelling of the dynamics of the NAISC from its inception 103 through to the LGM (120 to 20 ka). We do this using a previously-published Glacial Systems 104 Model (GSM), which has been calibrated against a large and diverse set of geophysical data 105 (relating to relative sea level, marine limits, present day rates of uplift, palaeo-lake levels) for 106 the deglacial interval (e.g. Tarasov and Peltier, 2004; 2007; Tarasov et al., 2012). Although 107 few calibration data are available for intervals prior to the LGM (e.g. dated ice margin 108 positions), we suggest that the dynamical self-consistency of the model implies that the 109 robust deglacial calibration (see Tarasov *et al.*, 2012) should increase the plausibility of the 110 modelled pre-LGM chronology. The calibration provides a probabilistic output of ice sheet 111 properties from effectively hundreds of thousands of model runs. This represents an advance 112 over the small number of previous modelling studies that simulate the pre-LGM evolution of 113 the ice complex but which have tended to lack substantial data-model calibration (e.g. 114 Tarasov and Peltier 1997a, b; Marshall et al., 2000; Bintanja et al., 2002) and/or deal with 115 shorter time intervals (e.g. the LGM in Tarasov and Peltier, 1997a; b; 120-55 ka in Kleman et 116 al., 2002).

Our focus here is on determining the spatial extent and ice volume through time and the associated changes in the dynamics of the ice sheet (e.g. in terms of the evolving basal thermal regime, velocity, etc.). This approach is not without its limitations (which we discuss below) but we believe it offers some significant insights into the pre-LGM history of the NAISC and generates some important hypotheses for future work to test.

122

123

124 **2. Methods**

125 The GSM is comprised of a 3D thermo-mechanically coupled ice-sheet model with visco-126 elastic bedrock response and a fully coupled diagnostic surface drainage solver; along with 127 various smaller modules dealing with surface mass-balance, ice calving, ice-shelves, and 128 basal drag (Tarasov and Peltier, 2004; Tarasov et al., 2012). The drainage solver is fully 129 coupled in that evolving surface topography determines drainage, while pro-glacial lakes 130 from the drainage solver affect the ice sheet via lacustrine calving, mini-ice shelves, and load 131 effects on bed visco-elastic response. Drainage is recomputed every 100 years as a simple 132 downslope 'depression fill' calculation as opposed to a dynamic solution of open channel 133 hydraulic flow (Manning equation).

The model uses the 'shallow-ice approximation' (SIA), with a Weertman type power law (i.e. basal velocity is proportional to a power of driving stress) for basal sliding (exponent 3) and till-deformation (exponent 1). The thermodynamic solver for the ice is based on conservation of energy, only ignoring horizontal conduction due to the scales involved. The bed thermal model computes vertical heat conduction to a depth of 3 km and takes into account temperature offsets at exposed ground layers due to seasonal snow cover and varying thermal conductivity of thawed and frozen ground (see Tarasov and Peltier, 2007).

141 The GSM uses a 'basal flow factor' that is derived from a combination of the 'Sedmap' data-142 set (Laske and Masters, 1997), surficial geology map of Canada (Fulton, 1995) and seismic 143 surveys from Hudson Bay (Josenhans and Zevenhuizen, 1990), see Figure 1. Of most 144 relevance is whether the value is equal to 0 or not, corresponding to whether fast flow due to 145 basal sliding and/or subglacial sediment deformation is inhibited or permitted. An important 146 caveat is that the model assumes that the sediment distribution is the same throughout the 147 studied interval, which is convenient but potentially inaccurate (although to what extent for such a grid-scale has yet to be ascertained). It may be that the distribution of sediments was 148 149 quite different at the start of the last glacial cycle, but there are some regions (e.g. Hudson

Bay Lowlands) where the stratigraphic record indicates that fine-grained marine and lacustrine sediments were equally abundant after the previous interglaciation (e.g. Allard *et al.*, 2012).

153 The availability of soft sediments is likely to influence the location of zones of fast flow (ice 154 streams) in the model output. Thus, the lack of detailed knowledge of pre-LGM sediment distribution introduces greater uncertainty in terms of their location during ice sheet build-up. 155 156 However, it should also be noted that a primary control on fast flow are the basal 157 thermodynamics, which are an accurate predictive component of the model (although subject 158 to uncertainties in climate forcing); and that soft deformable sediments are not an essential 159 pre-requisite for ice streaming (cf. Stokes and Clark, 2003; Winsborrow et al., 2010). 160 Additionally, the parameters controlling the strength of fast flow due to sliding and/or subglacial till-deformation are subject to calibration, which helps further reduce uncertainties. 161

The GSM is run at a 0.5° latitude by 1° longitude grid resolution and 39 calibration 162 163 parameters determine the climate forcing, basal velocity, ice calving, etc. Model runs are 164 initiated with no ice at 122 ka (except over the northwest tip of a stub of Greenland that falls 165 within our study region and permits glaciation of Ellesmere and Axel Heiberg Islands) and 166 results are from a high-probability subset of the calibrated model runs. Calibration involves parameter vectors (each consisting of a set of values for the 39 model calibration parameters) 167 168 being obtained from Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling with respect to the emulated fits to 169 observational constraints. Prior parameter ranges are given in Tarasov et al. (2012) but their 170 specification in such a large ensemble calibration is largely irrelevant as long as they are wide 171 enough.

As noted above, the calibration dataset includes little pre-LGM geological constraint.
Although glacial geologic data exist (e.g. multiple till stratigraphies that record multiple ice
sheet advances/occupations of specific regions), they are generally rather poorly dated and,

175 moreover, there are very few (if any?) dated ice margin positions, which would be of most 176 use for model calibration, as in the deglacial calibration procedure (Tarasov et al., 2012). The 177 calibrated set of runs from Tarasov et al. (2012) were therefore subject to subsequent sieving 178 (Table 1) to ensure ice volumes were within plausible ranges (taking into account the range of pre-LGM values for the other major ice sheets from ongoing and past calibrations). This 179 180 small set of constraints alone proved significant enough to require modification of the climate 181 forcing temporal dependence. Thus, the only change from the model setup described in 182 Tarasov et al. (2012) is that a series of linear transformations were used to deform the 183 temporal history of the climate weighting index, see Figure 2, for interpolation between 184 present-day observed climate and LGM climate from General Circulation Climate model runs 185 (from the PMIP II database: Braconnot et al., 2007). This is necessary to attain an 186 approximate phase match with the inferred sea level chronology of Waelbroeck et al. (2002) 187 and results in a ca. 5 ka shift at the start of the model runs (122 ka), which gradually deforms 188 to match the LGM climate (Fig. 2).

189 It should also be noted that the climate index is not simply a prescribed mass balance forcing 190 *per se.* The index is a weighting function for the interpolation between present-day observed 191 and LGM climate and there are a number of other controls and feedbacks that are subject to 192 ensemble calibration (see Tarasov et al., 2012). Hence, the climate forcing is calibrated 193 against data with respect to ice sheet constraints and 28 of the 39 calibration parameters 194 control climate forcing and feedbacks in the model. Orbital configurations will, of course, 195 vary over the last glacial cycle and, in particular, insolation represents a critical forcing for 196 ice sheet inception. These variations are, to some extent absorbed by the climate index (albeit 197 incompletely).

198 Ensemble means and variances presented below are generated assuming a Gaussian199 distribution of model likelihood given model misfit to observations. The key advantage of the

200 ensemble approach is that it computes a probability distribution of past ice sheet evolution 201 with explicit treatment of uncertainties in terms of both observational constraints and calibration parameters. It should be noted, however, that uncertainties due to inherent 202 203 limitations in the GSM and parameterised form of the climate forcing are, at best, only 204 partially quantified and so indicated uncertainty estimates below are unavoidably incomplete. 205 Drawing conclusions from only a single model run (or small set thereof) would be highly 206 speculative and so we focus here on analysis of ensemble means of a subset of 'best-fit' 207 model runs that represent the likelihood of ice sheet properties (e.g. ice thickness, basal 208 velocity) in any given location through time.

209 The model includes the entire NAISC (cf. Tarasov et al., 2012) for the obvious reason that all 210 components are known to have been coalescent for at least part of the last glacial cycle. 211 However, we primarily focus on the LIS (although not exclusively) because: (a) it covered a 212 much larger area than the other components and was, therefore, more significant in terms of 213 global ice volume/sea level fluctuations; (b) significantly more work has been undertaken on 214 the LIS compared to the other components (both pre- and post-LGM), such that most 215 calibration data from the deglacial interval relate to the LIS; and (c) the high relief and 216 complex topography that characterises some components (e.g. the CIS) introduces considerable complexity and additional uncertainty for numerical modelling (and confidence 217 218 in the results is correspondingly weaker), further exacerbated by the relatively large model 219 grid cells.

220

221

222 **3. Results**

223 *3.1. Ice sheet inception following the last interglaciation*

224 The timing of inception is uncertain and is heavily dependent on the climate forcing 225 interpolation function but, in order to explore the geographical location of ice sheet growth 226 during the first few thousand years following the last interglaciation, we extracted ensemble 227 probability plots of the likelihood of ice being >1 m thick. Results are presented in Figure 3, 228 which shows substantial ice accumulation from around 118 ka, with inception mainly on 229 mountains and high plateaux of the central and eastern Canadian Arctic Archipelago (CAA) 230 and over Foxe Basin. Inception then spread rapidly southward into Hudson Bay, south-231 westward into northern Keewatin, and westward across the remainder of the CAA. By 112 232 ka, it is probable that the ice complex covered most of northern Canada with a southern 233 margin tracing the coast of Hudson Bay and across Keewatin to Great Slave and Great Bear 234 Lake. A nearly full-bodied CIS developed over the same time interval and may have 235 coalesced with the LIS in the north-east.

236

237 *3.2. Ice sheet extent and volume*

Figure 4 shows snapshots of the modelled weighted ensemble average of basal velocity and ice sheet geometry for each of the major Oxygen Isotope Stages (OIS) since the last interglaciation (i.e. 5d, 5c, 5b, 5a, 4, 3 and 2). Figure 5 shows the corresponding ice sheet volumes expressed as metres of sea level equivalent and the rate of ice volume change.

Following inception, our modelling shows the NAISC gradually expanded to cover most of mainland Canada by OIS 5d (110 ka, Fig. 4). Note, however, that although ice covered around 80% of the area that was later occupied by the larger ice sheets during OIS 4 (65 ka) and OIS 2 (25 ka), the modelled ice thicknesses are much less (eustatic sea level equivalent ca. 25 m compared to 80 m at the LGM: Fig. 5). Most of the OIS 5d ice sheet was cold-based and the major ice domes/divides of the LGM configuration (e.g. Dyke and Prest, 1987) are
less well developed (i.e. thinner over Quebec-Labrador, Foxe Basin and Keewatin).

249 During OIS 5c (100 ka) the ice cover shrank towards the north-eastern CAA and is depicted 250 as a thin ice sheet that likely resembled a coalescence of cold-based plateau-ice fields, with 251 ice thickness typically <1000 m and with an ice dome over Foxe Basin/north-east Keewatin. The ice cover thickened slightly and expanded south-westward again during OIS 5b (90 ka), 252 253 with the Foxe-Keewatin dome remaining the most prominent feature, but it failed to reach the 254 thickness and extent of the OIS 5d configuration at 110 ka. The transition to OIS 5a (80 ka) 255 saw the ice sheet shrink to it minimal extent since OIS 5e, with a volume of just a few metres 256 of sea level equivalent (Fig. 5) locked up in thin and mainly cold-based ice in and around the 257 early inception grounds.

258 OIS 4 (65 ka) saw a rapid expansion of ice cover from around 80 ka to 65 ka, containing an additional volume equal to 60 m of sea level at the end of that 15 ka period (up to 10 259 260 m/thousand years: Fig. 5). The ice sheet configuration by 65 ka was almost identical to its 261 LGM counterpart (compare to 25 ka) in terms of both its extent and the location of major ice 262 divides (over Labrador, Foxe Basin/north-east Keewatin: cf. Dyke and Prest, 1987; Clark et 263 al., 2000), but the difference here, compared to the build-up towards the LGM, is that the growth phase was continuous and rapid. There then followed an equally rapid period of mass 264 265 loss to the start of OIS 3 (60 ka), which is mostly reflected in deglaciation of the southern 266 margin and a major reduction in ice thickness. This deglaciation at the OIS 4/3 transition saw 267 a peak sea level contribution of up to 16 cm per century (Fig. 5). Several periods of ice 268 growth and successively less significant ice shrinkage followed between 55 and 25 ka (Fig. 269 5), culminating in the LGM configuration of OIS 2 (~25 ka: cf. Clark et al., 2009).

270

271 *3.3.* Basal thermal regime and patterns in ice sheet velocity

The model calculates the evolving basal thermal regime, which strongly influences basal ice velocity and the drainage patterns. As in most numerical ice sheet models, ice velocities are dynamically determined based on the evolving driving stress and basal temperature of the ice, and on the presence of deformable sediment. Rapid ice flow (e.g. ice streaming) can only occur when the basal temperature approaches the pressure melting point.

277 Figure 6 shows a time series of the fraction of the bed occupied by warm-based ice from our 278 new ensemble and from an 'old ensemble' (Tarasov and Peltier, 2007). A largely cold-based 279 ice cover evidently evolves to a largely warm-based ice cover, as ice sheets expand and 280 thicken, in agreement with previous work (Marshall and Clark, 2002). For the first 50-60 ka 281 of glaciation, only 20-30% of the bed is warm, but this fraction increases to nearly 50% as the 282 ice complex grows during OIS 4 (ca. 65 ka). Following a reduction in the warm-based 283 fraction around 60-55 ka, it then generally increases towards the LGM (25 ka), when it is 284 >50%. The results of the 'old' versus 'new' ensemble are broadly similar, although there is greater divergence for the period 55 to 30 ka. These differences in Figure 6 are likely to 285 286 reflect the deformation of the climate interpolation function (Fig. 1) and the additional 287 constraints and parameters in the new calibration. The comparison is presented to underline the uncertainties in this and other modelling and the resultant contingent nature of the results. 288

The velocity patterns of the modelled ice complex (Figure 4) closely resemble the patterns seen in modern-day ice sheets (e.g. Joughin *et al.*, 1999; Bamber *et al.*, 2000). Slow-flowing (cold-based) domes feed zones of intermediate velocity that are tributaries to discrete zones of rapidly-flowing ice (>500 m a^{-1}). Even the relatively small ice sheets exhibit fast flow zones surrounded by cold-based ice. The relatively thin ice sheets between 100 and 80 ka, for example, possess several arteries of rapidly-flowing ice, mostly restricted to marine margins that flow through topographic troughs, e.g. Hudson Strait, Lancaster Sound, etc. (Fig. 4). During more extensive glaciations (OIS 4 and 2), major zones of fast flow are located in the same marine troughs as in the smaller ice sheets but also occupy troughs further to the SE (e.g. Gulf of St Lawrence) and N/NW (e.g. Mackenzie River corridor). Broader zones of enhanced flow also formed inboard of the southern terrestrial margin during periods of maximal ice coverage, with tributaries extending several hundred kilometres up-ice. These are particularly noticeable around the Great Lakes region and over the western prairies, some in association with proglacial lakes.

To show the temporal variability of these fast flow zones at a higher temporal resolution, Figure 7 presents time series' of basal velocity at four locations where fast flow is generated by the model and where there is robust geological evidence for ice stream activity during final deglaciation (see inventories in Patterson, 1998; Stokes and Clark, 2001; Winsborrow *et al.*, 2004; Stokes and Tarasov, 2010).

308 Hudson Strait (Fig. 7a) was a major conduit of fast flow during deglaciation and a dominant 309 source of Heinrich events throughout the last glaciation (cf. MacAyeal, 1993; Andrews and 310 MacLean, 2003; Hemming, 2004). The time series of basal velocity for this location denotes high velocities (>500 m a⁻¹) sustained from about 65 ka through to the LGM, but with a 311 312 slowing down around 55 ka and, to a lesser degree 40 ka; a pattern which broadly matches ice 313 sheet volume (Fig. 5a). Note that the near shut-down in Hudson Strait flow starting at 30 ka is 314 an imposed feature of the model to dynamically facilitate the correct timing of Heinrich 315 events 1 and 2. As detailed in Tarasov et al. (2012), the strength of the reduction in velocities 316 (but not the timing) is under the control of calibrated parameters (i.e. the strength was not 317 'hand-tuned'). We stress that all other streaming is under free physical control and that the 318 dynamic facilitation in Hudson Strait (between 30 and 20 ka) is the only imposed feature in 319 all model runs and is not important in the context of this paper, where much of the focus is on 320 ice sheet dynamics prior to 30 ka.

321 Located at the north-western margin of the ice sheet, the M'Clure Strait Ice Stream was 322 similar in size to Hudson Strait and has also been linked to major iceberg export events in the Arctic Ocean (Stokes et al., 2005; 2009). Model output indicates a similar onset and pattern 323 324 of velocity to Hudson Strait at 65 ka but with comparatively lower velocities (Fig. 7b). This 325 location exhibits less variability than Hudson Strait, as depicted by a narrower range of uncertainties and with a mean basal velocity typically falling within 500-1000 m a⁻¹ from 70-326 20 ka, whereas Hudson Strait often exceeds 2000 m a⁻¹. A similar pattern is seen in the Gulf 327 328 of St Lawrence (Laurentian Channel Ice Stream: Shaw et al., 2006), where rapid ice 329 velocities occur during maximal ice volumes from ca. 30 ka and with some activity from 70 330 to 65 ka (Fig. 7c).

331 For comparison to the marine-based outlets, the time series of basal velocity for the landterminating Des Moines lobe is also shown (Fig. 7d). This lobe is thought to have been the 332 333 outlet of a large 'terrestrial ice stream' that may have operated several times since the LGM, 334 with a prominent margin position between 30 and 20 ka, and a more recent re-advance around 14 ka attributed to ice streaming (Patterson, 1997). It is clear that rapid velocities are 335 336 not a persistent feature but tend to only 'switch on' during glacial maxima (e.g. around 65-70 337 ka: Fig. 7d). We also note some possible cyclicity in this region from ca. 50 ka, with the gradual build of streaming velocities often culminating in a sharp drop and then a further 338 339 steady increase.

340

341

342 **4. Discussion**

343 *4.1. Ice sheet inception and coalescence*

344 The location of North American ice sheet inception has been debated since Flint's (1943) 345 suggestion of a highland origin and windward growth for the LIS (see review in Ives et al., 346 1975; Stroeven et al., 2002). This hypothesis has gradually been replaced by a new paradigm 347 that invokes 'instantaneous glacierisation' of Arctic and subarctic plateaux and uplands (e.g. 348 Ives, 1957; 1962; Andrews et al., 1976; Andrews and Barry, 1978; Andrews and Mahaffy, 349 1976; Dyke et al., 1989; Kleman et al., 2002; Wolken et al., 2005, 2008). This paradigm is 350 based on the notion that these regions are close to the threshold of glaciation (cf. Williams, 351 1978a, 1979; Bromwich et al., 2002), as illustrated by the fact that thin plateau ice-fields 352 spread over tens of thousands of square kilometres there during the Little Ice Age (e.g. Ives, 353 1962; Williams, 1978b). However, the precise timing of the last glacial epoch is not well 354 known and albedo and temperature lapse-rate feedbacks must have acted to amplify relatively 355 low-amplitude orbital cooling trends. In particular, global sea level lowering of at least 20 m 356 and as much as ~75 m over 10 ka (cf. Andrews and Mahaffy, 1976) suggests a rapid growth 357 that has, previously, not been easily captured by numerical models (cf. Marshall, 2002; 358 Bintanja et al., 2002).

359 In terms of location, our results broadly agree with previous numerical modelling (e.g. 360 Tarasov and Peltier, 1997a; Marshall, 2000; Marshall and Clarke, 1999; Marshall et al., 2000; 361 Bintanja et al., 2002; Kleman et al., 2002), that clearly support the location of inception on 362 Arctic/subarctic plateaus along the eastern seaboard, with Ellesmere Island, Axel Heiberg, 363 Devon and Baffin Islands seeding the proto-LIS/IIS within a few thousand years of the last 364 interglaciation (Fig. 3). An embryonic dome over Quebec-Labrador formed a few thousand 365 years later (cf. Vincent and Prest, 1987; Marshall et al., 2000; Andrews and Mahaffy, 1976). It had probably been established by 114 ka, but it is certainly apparent in the modelled ice 366 367 sheet topography for 110 ka (Fig. 4) and supports inferences from striae and dispersal trains 368 of an early ice build-up north of the St Lawrence River in the highlands of Quebec (cf.

369 Veilette et al., 1999; Veillette, 2004). A similarly early but short-lived build-up of the 370 Appalachian ice complex is also shown during OIS 5 (at 110 ka Fig. 4), which has also been 371 invoked based on till stratigraphic data (McDonald and Shilts, 1971; Lamothe et al., 1992; 372 Clark et al., 1993). The early presence of ice in Hudson Bay/Strait is also in agreement with 373 sedimentary sequences in the Hudson Bay Lowlands, where organic bearing units assumed to 374 be of the last interglaciation are often capped (or completed) by a thick sequence of rhythmites, which suggest that the Hudson Bay watershed was blocked by ice, thereby 375 376 creating a large proglacial lake prior to the next ice advance (e.g. Skinner, 1973; Allard et al. 377 2012).

Although there are issues that hinder the modelling of the CIS at this grid resolution (see section 4.2.3), it is also noteworthy that the CIS is established and probably coalescent with the LIS by 112 ka. Ryder and Clague (1989) and Clark *et al.* (1993) comment that the timing of the first major ice sheet development in this region during the last glacial cycle (known as the 'penultimate glaciation') is uncertain (OIS 4 or 5?) but our modelling clearly supports a large CIS during OIS 5.

384 A key result of our modelling is the relatively large ice sheet at 110 ka, with the LIS 385 coalescent with the CIS (Fig. 4; Fig. 5). This agrees with inferences from one of the earliest 386 numerical modelling studies, albeit using simplified physics (e.g. Andrews and Mahaffy, 387 1976), that suggested that large ice sheets can develop in about 10,000 years under certain 388 conditions. Capturing the rapid growth of North American ice to a maximum at 110 ka, 389 described as the "explosive ice sheet growth suggested by the marine record" (Marshall, 390 2002: p. 133), is a successful feature of our model and supports the assertion of Cutler et al. 391 (2003) that ice sheet expansion need not be inherently slow and is often characterised by 392 rapid intervals of growth. Our modelled rate of maximum sea level fall during growth of the 393 OIS 5d ice sheet (between 120 and 110 ka) approaches 5 m/1000 yr (Fig. 5), which is almost identical to the figure (4.2 m/1000 yr) quoted by Andrews and Mahaffy (1976) in their modelling study. Furthermore, deglaciation of the OIS 5d ice sheet led to a peak sea level contribution of >5 m/1000 yr and we also note an equally rapid rise in sea level at the OIS5b/5a transition, which has been identified in coral reef records (e.g. Cutler *et al.*, 2003).

398 Despite their relatively large areal extent, these early ice sheets (e.g. 110 ka) were generally 399 thin and largely cold-based. This may result from more limited precipitation, but is probably 400 a reflection of the short time period over which they could grow (e.g. inception over Hudson 401 Bay only starts between 116-114 ka) and the fact that it takes time to warm-up the base of the 402 ice.

403 Following inception, our results depict ice episodically spreading from inception centres into 404 Hudson Bay for the period 120-70 ka, when ice cover alternates between a 'proto-Laurentide-405 Innuitian' ice sheet and independent ice domes (cf. Marshall et al., 2000); although an ice-406 free corridor remains open between the LIS and the CIS for the period ~105 ka to ~75 ka. As 407 noted by Marshall et al. (2000), this behaviour reflects both Milankovitch- and millennial-408 frequency climate oscillations, resulting in a dynamic ice sheet with migrating domes and 409 divides (cf. Boulton and Clark, 1990a; b). Moreover, these embryonic domes acted as the 410 seed points for major dispersal centres during longer or colder stadials and probably survived 411 later inter-stadials as residual domes (cf. Kleman et al., 2010).

412

413 4.1.1 Inception over Foxe Basin?

Perhaps the most unexpected aspect of our modelled inception pattern is the very early nucleation of ice over Foxe Basin (ca. 118 ka: Fig. 3) and then Hudson Bay. Generally, it has been assumed that these large marine basins were infilled by ice flowing from adjacent domes on land, but our modelling clearly depicts ice in Foxe Basin at 118 ka before adjacent 418 land has any substantial ice cover. This might suggest that marine processes (e.g. sea ice 419 formation) can play an important role in ice sheet inception and, indeed, this is exactly what 420 Denton and Hughes (1981) proposed in their Marine Ice Transgression Hypothesis (MITH). 421 Under this scenario, climatic cooling leads to permanent sea-ice, whose albedo allows the 422 regional snowline to descend to sea level. A mechanism to expand the snowline to low 423 elevations is shared by proponents of the instantaneous glacierization hypothesis (e.g. Ives et 424 al., 1975), but the MITH also allows for the sea ice to thicken into ice shelves that eventually 425 ground in shallow marine embayments, thereby creating marine ice domes that expand into 426 ice sheets (Hughes, 1987). In his theoretical treatment, Hughes (1987) specifically cited Foxe 427 Basin as one of the first places where an ice shelf might ground in North America and then 428 spread south into Hudson Bay.

The importance of sea ice formation in lowering regional albedo during glacial inception was also emphasised by Koerner (1980), who further noted that sea ice may have "allowed survival of the [North American] ice sheet through the warmer periods of the interstadials and promoted ice cap growth during ensuing periods, which might not have had the right conditions to start the ice sheet core itself" (p. 157). Using a GCM, Dong and Valdes (1995) also concluded that orbitally-induced changes in sea surface temperature and thus sea ice were likely to be a very important in initiating glaciation in the Canadian Arctic.

Although Hughes (1987) acknowledged that windward growth (Flint, 1943) and instantaneous glacierization (Ives, 1957) could have been important for inception patterns in other northern hemisphere ice sheets, he suggested that marine ice transgression was probably dominant in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago and our modelling also points towards the importance of marine processes (sea ice formation) in this region (Fig. 3). However, there are other factors which might also be important. These include the Sangamonian topography (adjusted so that the surface topography at the end of a model run is close to that observed for 443 present-day), the degrees of freedom in the climate forcing, and perhaps the representation of 444 ice calving and ice shelves. Thus, whilst the model potentially points towards the importance 445 of marine processes in North American ice sheet inception, a more complete sensitivity 446 analysis is required to reduce uncertainties in the causal explanation. In particular, the use of 447 the SIA limits the models ability to fully capture marine ice sheet physics, which are likely to 448 have been particularly important during OIS 5, when the ice sheet margins advances and 449 retreats repeatedly over high latitude seaways (e.g. in Foxe Basin and Hudson Bay/Strait). As 450 such, this finding should be regarded as preliminary.

451

452 4.2. Comparison to previous reconstructions of ice sheet build-up to the LGM

We now compare our model results with previous attempts to reconstruct the pre-LGM evolution of the NAISC and, particularly, the LIS. We refrain from a detailed comparison with localised records of pre-LGM ice sheet deposits (reviewed, for example, in St-Onge, 1987; Vincent and Prest, 1987; Dredge and Thorleifson, 1987; Clark *et al.*, 1993), which is beyond the scope of our ice sheet-wide synthesis. Instead, we focus on comparison to previous reconstructions of the broad patterns of growth and decay.

459

460 *4.2.1. Comparison to previous numerical modelling studies*

Few studies have used numerical ice sheet models to examine the evolution of the LIS during the last glacial cycle (Tarasov and Peltier, 1997a; b; Marshall, 2000; Marshall and Clarke, 1999; Marshall *et al.*, 2000; Marshall and Clark, 2002; Bintanja *et al.*, 2002). Typically, they focused on capturing the main features of the LGM ice sheet, presented as a time series of ice sheet properties (usually volume, but sometimes extent, maximum thickness, warm-based fraction, etc.) from the last interglaciation (ca. 120 ka) to present (e.g. Marshall *et al.*, 2000). Early attempts using a simplified energy balance model tended to depict a monotonic growth
towards the LGM (e.g. Tarasov and Peltier, 1997a) but more recent climate forcing
prescribed by the GRIP (Greenland Ice Core Project) record has resulted in most models
reproducing the higher-frequency growth and decay cycles (e.g. Marshall *et al.*, 2000;
Marshall and Clark, 2002; Bintanja *et al.* 2002; Kleman *et al.*, 2002), that our modelling
unsurprisingly replicates (Fig. 4 and 5).

473 In agreement with our results, most numerical modelling efforts (e.g. Marshall, 2000; 474 Marshall and Clarke, 1999; Marshall et al., 2000; Bintanja et al., 2002) simulate early ice 475 sheet growth (OIS 5d?), albeit not always as rapid as in our modelling, followed by a 476 minimum ice extent in OIS 5a (80 ka). All models reproduce growth to a large OIS 4 ice 477 sheet, and most depict a minimum during OIS 3 (ca. 55 ka), followed by punctuated growth 478 towards the LGM. The major discrepancies amongst different numerical models largely 479 emerge in terms of the precise timing of the various maxima/minima, which is likely a 480 reflection of the specific treatment of the climate forcing. Indeed, the relatively rapid 481 increases/decreases in ice volume produced in our model are largely attributable to the high 482 frequency variability in the climate forcing index (Fig. 2), which percolate to varying extents 483 (depending on phasing, calibration parameters, duration, intensity) into the ice volume 484 curves. This is to be expected from a complex non-linear model which simulates the 485 interactions and feedbacks of numerous processes, but it is more difficult to determine the 486 potential contributions from different model processes/components (e.g. marine ice sheet 487 dynamics, ice streams, subglacial sediment deformation) that might further modulate or even 488 drive the rapid changes in ice volume. Elucidating those mechanisms would require a 489 rigorous sensitivity analysis which is beyond the scope of the present paper. Rather, we now 490 focus on the handful of studies that allow a rigorous assessment of our model results in 491 capturing the spatial and temporal patterns of ice sheet dynamics, especially in terms of the492 geological evidence of ice extent within each OIS.

493

494 *4.2.2. OIS 5*

495 Based on a comprehensive review and synthesis of available stratigraphic records Clark et al. 496 (1993) focus on when and where the LIS and CIS first developed and on tracing their growth 497 to the LGM. In agreement with our modelling, they suggest that the LIS first developed over 498 Keewatin, Quebec and Baffin Island during OIS 5 (section 4.1), although our modelling 499 suggests other large islands in the CAA and Foxe Basin were equally important. They also 500 suggest that its encroachment into the western Canadian Arctic occurred late during stage 5 501 with a further possible advance into the St Lawrence Lowland. Their suggestion of early ice 502 over the western CAA was based largely on the interpretation of till sheets on Banks Island, 503 as well as equivalents on Melville Island and in the Tuktoyaktuk Coastlands, although these 504 deposits are now considered to date to OIS 2 (England et al., 2009). Nevertheless, the 505 presence of ice in the western CAA is certainly supported by our modelled ice sheet at 90 ka 506 (OIS 5b). Following OIS 5b, Clark et al. (1993) suggest that the ice sheet retreated from these 507 regions and they argued that the Hudson Bay Lowlands, the western Canadian Arctic, and the 508 St Lawrence Lowlands may have been ice free during stage 5a (albeit with no firm 509 chronological constraints), which broadly matches our reconstruction at 80 ka (Fig. 4).

510 Clark *et al.* (1993) do not discuss the possibility of a large (but thin) ice sheet during 5d (ca. 511 110 ka: Fig. 4) but their overall conclusion that an OIS 5 ice sheet developed to ca. 70% of its 512 (full-glacial) OIS 2 extent, followed by significant deglaciation, is exactly what our 513 modelling depicts. St-Onge (1987) reached a similar conclusion in noting that where the ice 514 sheet may have grown during OIS 5, most of the ice probably disappeared prior to major

growth during OIS 4. A similar sequence is shown in our modelling of the OIS 5a/4 transition
from ~80 to ~65 (see Fig. 4).

517 Further support for an extensive ice sheet in OIS 5 is also noted by Marshall et al. (2000) 518 who point out that marine oxygen isotope records (e.g. Shackleton, 1987; Lambeck and 519 Chapell, 2001) indicate rapid ice sheet growth in the early stages of glaciations and our 520 modelling would also suggest rapid growth and establishment of the CIS during OIS 5. 521 Indeed, elsewhere, Kleman et al. (1997) infer a similarly rapid growth of the Fennoscandian 522 Ice Sheet (FIS) following the last interglaciation. They used mapped flow patterns alongside 523 stratigraphic records and correlation to global sea level volumes and suggested that the FIS 524 reached an OIS 5 maximum ca. 110 ka (i.e. 5d, see also Lagerbäck, 1988a; b), before a 525 relatively rapid recession by 100 ka. However, whilst the LIS reached a similar extent to the 526 OIS 4 and 2 maxima, the OIS 5 FIS probably only covered approximately 50% of the LGM 527 extent (see Fig. 11 in Kleman et al., 1997; Lundqvist, 1992). Kleman et al. (1997) also 528 suggest that the FIS during OIS 5b was restricted in coverage and largely cold-based, which 529 is similar to our reconstructed ice sheet during this period (e.g. 90 ka on Fig. 4) and they also 530 highlight evidence of near-complete deglaciation during OIS 5a (e.g. Lagerbäck and 531 Robertsson, 1988), which is in contrast to the NAISC (see e.g. 80 ka on Fig. 4).

532 Boulton and Clark (1990a; b) used geological evidence to reconstruct the pre-LGM behaviour 533 of the LIS, but their study utilised the glacial lineation record and they inferred relative age 534 relationships based on cross-cutting flow-sets, in addition to correlation with previously 535 published till stratigraphic data (e.g. Andrews et al., 1983). Their synthesis was focussed 536 largely on the Hudson Bay region and a key conclusion was that the major domes of the ice 537 sheet were highly mobile during the last glacial cycle, with ice divides shifting by up to 1,000-2,000 km. Specifically, they identified major changes in the location of the Keewatin 538 539 and Quebec-Labrador domes, either side of Hudson Bay, with periods of coalescence

separated by at least one relatively long period of ice-free conditions in the James BayLowlands.

542 The first major period of coalescent domes illustrated by Boulton and Clark (1990a; b) is 543 shown from around 110 ka, which would correspond to our large ice sheet at this time. 544 However, whilst they, like us, favour a large OIS 5 ice sheet, our modelling shows a rapid 545 deglaciation of this large ice sheet by 100 ka, whereas Boulton and Clark (1990a; b) only 546 show it starting to recede from ca. 100 ka. The timing of Boulton and Clark's (1990a; b) 547 subsequent ice-free conditions in the Hudson Bay Lowlands is more uncertain but they depict 548 it at around 75 ka, based on a period of marine inundation suggested by Andrews et al. 549 (1983). Again, this is in broad agreement with our minimal ice extent from around 85 to 75 550 ka (Fig. 5). Andrews et al. (1983) further speculate that the southern shore of Hudson Bay 551 was inundated at ca.105 ka. Our modelling (e.g. Fig. 4) does not support this interpretation 552 for this time and suggests that if it did occur, it is more likely with our modelled 553 configuration by 100 ka.

554 Kleman et al. (2002) present a plausible evolution of the NAISC during inception and build-555 up based on geological evidence and also in conjunction with numerical modelling. Their 556 study covered the time interval from 120 ka to 55 ka, with a particular emphasis on the 90-60 557 ka period, which incorporates the large ice sheet growth during OIS 4. Their modelled ice 558 sheet volume from 120 to 55 ka (see Fig. 1 in Kleman et al., 2002) mirrors the general pattern 559 revealed in our analysis, with generally low ice sheet volumes from 120 to ~70 ka, followed by a period of major growth at the start OIS 4. They further suggest that this pattern captures 560 561 the fundamental patterns of the ice sheet gleaned from the limited geological evidence (in this 562 case, three relict ice flow patterns represented by till lineations, striae, and till fabric data from northern Keewatin, the Interior Plains, and the Hudson Bay Lowlands). Both our and 563 564 their reconstruction, for example, indicate a build-up of the ice sheet during OIS 5 with two

stable dispersal centres ('nuclei') in the central Arctic/north-eastern Keewatin and Quebec-Labrador that survived inter-stadial warming. The major difference during this period is our modelled ice sheet expansion around 110 ka, whereas Kleman *et al.* (2002) depict minimal ice volumes (~2-3 m of sea level equivalent) from 115-90 ka, as do Marshall and Clark (2002).

570 Later work by Kleman et al. (2010) also inferred minimal ice volumes during stage 5 based 571 on a more comprehensive analysis of the glacial geological and geomorphological record, 572 which they integrated with previously published stratigraphical and chronological evidence. 573 Indeed, whereas we reconstruct a coalescent LIS and Cordilleran Ice Sheet at 110 ka (OIS 574 5d), Kleman et al. (2002; 2010) suggest this did not occur until ~64 ka (OIS 4). However, 575 Kleman et al. (2002) acknowledge that their early 'central Arctic ice sheet' may have 576 extended further south, which would be more in line with our reconstruction during stage 5, 577 and that of Clark et al. (1993). Kleman et al. (2010) also discuss the possibility of a large ice 578 sheet during a stadial in OIS 5 but they argue that 5d is unlikely because there was no 579 residual ice left over in southern Quebec immediately after 5e to seed such a large ice sheet. 580 Conversely, our modelling appears to show that residual ice is not an essential pre-requisite 581 for a large OIS 5d ice sheet (Fig. 3 and 4), but that marine processes (e.g. sea ice formation) 582 could have played an important role (see discussion in section 4.1).

583

584 *4.2.3. OIS 4*

585 During OIS 4, Clark *et al.* (1993) highlight several lines of evidence for a large ice complex 586 that perhaps advanced across the continental shelf in Nova Scotia and south into New 587 England. It may also be recorded in the Lake Ontario basin, and the western Arctic margin 588 may have reached a similar extent to that recorded during its maximal position in OIS 5. 589 Kleman et al. (2002) also note a massive increase in glaciated area at the OIS 5/4 transition. 590 A large stage 4 ice sheet is shown by our modelling (e.g. 65 ka: Fig. 4) and, remarkably, our 591 ice sheet at 65 ka is almost identical to the pre-Middle Wisconsinan maximum ice sheet 592 extent portrayed by Vincent and Prest (1987), see Figure 8a, which they outlined on the basis 593 of an extensive review of glacial geologic evidence, albeit with minimum age control. Indeed, 594 some of the evidence used to reconstruct this ice margin position has been subject to recent 595 revision based, in particular, on new dating (e.g. England et al., 2009); but the overall 596 conclusion of a large ice sheet is supported by our modelling, although not as extensive as the 597 OIS 2 ice sheet (cf. Clark, 1992a).

598 We note that Boulton and Clark (1990a; b) show a much smaller LIS extent during OIS 4 (ca. 599 60-70 ka). This was largely influenced by Andrews et al.'s (1983) assertion of ice free 600 conditions along the southern shore of Hudson Bay at ~75 ka (C. Clark, pers. comm.). In line 601 with Andrews et al. (1983), our modelling shows ice-free conditions in the Hudson Bay 602 Lowlands from ca 100 to around 75 ka (see Fig. 4; 5a) but followed by very rapid ice sheet 603 growth to a near LGM-position at 65 ka. Boulton and Clark (1990a; b) simply depict a much 604 slower growth from 75 ka, which helps explains the discrepancy between their much smaller 605 ice sheet at 65 ka, compared to our modelled mid-Wisconsinan maximum. We also note that Kleman et al. (1997) appeal to an equally rapid build-up of the FIS during the climatic 606 607 deterioration at the start of OIS 4. However, their OIS 4 FIS remains around 50% smaller 608 than at the LGM, whereas our model of the LIS during OIS 4 is almost as large as it is at the 609 LGM. Rapid build-up of ice sheets at the OIS5/4 transition is also recorded in sea level 610 records and Cutler et al. (2003) estimate that sea level fell 60 m in <6 kyr (10.6 m/1000 yr), 611 which is certainly in agreement with our estimates of the rapidity of ice sheet growth at the 612 start of OIS 4 (Fig. 5).

613 Our OIS 4 ice maximum at ~65 ka is coincident with the oldest widely recognised Heinrich 614 event (H6) (cf. Kirkby and Andrews, 1999; Hemming, 2004) and Hemming (2004) notes that 615 this was a time of generally high IRD abundance, perhaps analogous to the LGM, which 616 further supports the presence of a large OIS 4 ice sheet. Indeed, Kleman et al. (2002) suggest that the limited infilling of ice in Hudson Bay up until ~65 ka in their reconstruction might be 617 618 linked to the timing of the onset of Heinrich events (H6-H1; 67-64 to 15 ka: Hemming, 619 2004). They speculated that these intermittent massive iceberg discharge events could only 620 develop when a large ice sheet was attained. Related to this, Kleman et al. (2002) further 621 suggest that the LIS evolved from a largely cold-based to largely warm-based ice sheet, with 622 the implication being that "its dynamics during the early part of the cycle may have differed 623 fundamentally from that during the latter part" (p. 88). A similar conclusion was reached by 624 Marshall and Clark (2002) who argued that a substantial fraction (60-80%) of the ice sheet 625 was frozen to the bed for the first 75 ka of the glacial cycle. Our analysis of basal thermal 626 regime also shows a transition from a largely cold-based to a largely warm-based ice sheet, 627 with only 20 to 30% of the bed warm-based between 120 and ca. 70 ka (Fig. 6). We also note 628 that the FIS is thought to have undergone a similar transition from a thinner, cold-based ice 629 sheet to one with more extensive warm-based ice during the LGM (Kleman et al., 1997; 630 Lambeck et al., 2010). For example, Kleman et al. (1997) suggest that the scarcity of flow 631 traces from OIS 3 is likely to be a reflection of a frozen bed in the core area of the FIS that 632 persisted throughout much of the last glacial cycle. However, an important difference is that, 633 unlike the LIS, this core area was only partly consumed by inward transgressing warm-based zones during the final decay phase (cf. Kleman et al., 1997). As such, a major difference 634 635 between the LIS and the FIS is that the latter, because of its more persistent cold-based core, preserves a much richer record of pre-LGM flow traces. A corollary of this is that the FIS 636

may have been more "glaciologically stable" or the most part of its history (Kleman *et al.*,
1997: p. 296).

639 Our modelling also supports a large CIS during stage 4 (e.g. at 65 ka in Fig. 4) but this is 640 clearly in conflict with the long-standing view that there was a prolonged non-glacial interval 641 from ca. 60-30 ka (the Olympia/Boutellier Nonglacial Interval), when glaciation was 642 probably confined to mountain areas throughout much of OIS 3 (see reviews in Ryder and 643 Clague, 1989 and Clark et al., 1993). As noted above (section 2), the complex topography of 644 the Cordillera presents a serious problem for continental-scale ice sheet modelling, which 645 necessitates large grid cells. For example, ablative valleys are not resolved sufficiently and 646 this may partly explain the persistence of ice in this region, when geological/chronological 647 constraints might suggest otherwise. In contrast, topographic variance over the LIS is much 648 lower and model results are, correspondingly, far more credible.

649

650 *4.2.4. OIS 3*

651 Clark et al. (1993) suggest that recession from the OIS 4 position occurred early in stage 3, 652 but that the ice sheet had likely started to re-advance again by 50 ka, e.g. in Illinois, the Lake 653 Ontario basin, and possibly in the headwaters of the ancestral Mississippi River in Minnesota and Wisconsin. This rapid retreat from stage 4 and the re-advance of the southern margin is 654 655 compatible with our reconstruction (see Figs 4 and 5). Dredge and Thorleifson (1987) were 656 more tentative than Clark et al. (1993) in their reconstruction of the Middle-Wisconsinan 657 (OIS 3) ice sheet, and they highlight the difficulty of constraining the ice sheet extent based 658 on the dating of deposits of that age. Instead, they offered three hypotheses that range from a 659 minimum configuration with separate domes in Quebec, Keewatin and over Baffin Island 660 (their hypothesis 2, intended to accommodate the youngest Wisconsinan marine episode in

Hudson Bay proposed by Andrews et al., 1983) to a more maximal outline with an ice margin 661 662 as far south at the Great Lakes and extending north-westward to the Mackenzie River corridor, covering most of the CAA (their hypothesis 1, see Fig. 8b, which is more in line 663 664 with Clark et al., 1993). They consider these working hypotheses to be tested and, in so far as it is possible with our work, our modelling strongly supports a large ice complex (> 50 m 665 666 eustatic equivalent) from ~45 ka, which then gradually built up to the LGM, albeit punctuated 667 with successively less significant periods of retreat (Fig. 5). Nevertheless, given the major 668 fluctuations in ice sheet volume and extent during the relatively long OIS 3 (\pm 30 m eustatic 669 equivalent), it is not surprising that the poorly dated geological deposits could support either 670 an extensive or more minimal ice sheet (cf. Dredge and Thorleifson, 1987). Note, however, 671 that our modelling and other more recent work (e.g. Dredge and Cowan, 1989; Clark et al., 672 1993; Allard et al., 2012) does not support ice free conditions in Hudson Bay during OIS 3. 673 Indeed, there is little evidence of marine sediments in the Hudson Bay Lowlands younger 674 than the Sangamonian (Bell Sea) (cf. Allard et al., 2012).

Kleman *et al.* (2010) also reconstruct ice sheet extent in OIS 3 and we note almost identical configurations for this time period, with the southern margin extending into the Great Lakes region and with major domes over Quebec-Labrador and in north-eastern Keewatin (cf. Fig 8c in Kleman *et al.*, 2010 with our Fig. 4). Their late stage 3 depiction (Fig. 8b in Kleman *et al.*, 2010) likely represents our last interstadial position around 30 ka (Fig. 5a), which Dyke *et al.* (2002) also suggest represents the most recent minimum ice extent prior to the LGM, although based on un-calibrated radiocarbon dating.

It is important to note that even our smallest modelled OIS 3 ice complex in North America was relatively extensive and consumed a global sea level equivalent of ca. 30 m (e.g. ca. 55 ka on Fig. 4 and 5a). This is in contrast to recent work on the FIS, which suggests that most of Scandinavia might have been ice-free during the early and middle parts of OIS 3 (cf. Helmens and Engels, 2010; Lambeck *et al.*, 2010; Wohlfarth, 2010). Thus, if correct, a key implication is that the NAISC accommodated most of the ice from the Northern Hemisphere during OIS 3, although see the caveats above (section 4.2.3) regarding the likely overprediction of the CIS during this interval.

690

691 4.2.5. Summary: OIS 5 to OIS 3

692 Our numerical modelling depicts extensive ice sheets early during OIS 5 (5d) at 110 ka, 693 which retreat rapidly by 100 ka, and to a minimum Wisconsinan post-OIS 5e position by ca. 694 80 ka. Thereafter, large ice sheets in North America developed rapidly during OIS 4, 695 reaching a maximum extent at ca. 65 ka, before a rapid recession to an OIS 3 minimum ca. 696 60-55 ka. This was followed by a more gradual period of ice sheet growth punctuated by episodes of successively less recession (minima at 40 and 30 ka) before a maximum LGM 697 698 position is attained at ca. 25 ka. This general evolution compares well with previous 699 numerical modelling (Marshall, 2000; Marshall and Clarke, 1999; Marshall et al., 2000; 700 Bintanja et al., 2002) and only differs in terms of the precise timing of maxima/minima. 701 However, some models fail to capture the rapid growth during OIS 5d, which is implied by 702 the global sea level record (Marshall, 2002), and which our model captures partly due to the 703 temporal deformation of the inferred GRIP temperature and partly because of the increased 704 number of parametric controls (Fig. 1).

In terms of geological evidence, our modelled output is generally consistent with previous ice sheet-wide reconstructions that utilise geological evidence (most notably Vincent and Prest, 1987; Boulton and Clark 1990; Clark *et al.*, 1993; Kleman *et al.*, 2002; 2010). The only major discrepancies are the uncertainties about the precise timing of the OIS 5 maximum position (5d or 5b?: our results strongly favour 5d) and the lack of a large OIS 4 ice sheet in 710 Boulton and Clark (1990a; b), which differs from most other reconstructions (e.g. Vincent 711 and Prest, 1987; Clark et al., 1993). Thus, the consensus is that the pre-LGM ice sheet 712 exhibited rapid episodes of growth and decay in the build-up to the LGM. Retreat from the 713 stage 5d maximum and stage 4 maxima, for example, contributed >7 and >16 m of eustatic 714 sea level equivalent per thousand years, respectively. Indeed, comparisons suggest that the 715 NAISC was more persistent and, relatively, experienced much larger volumetric fluctuations 716 than the FIS, i.e. the OIS 5d and 4 maxima of the NAISC were almost as extensive as at the 717 LGM, whereas those in Fennoscandian were, perhaps, more restricted; and the FIS may have almost disappeared altogether during OIS 3 (cf. Kleman et al., 1997; Lanbeck et al., 2010; 718 719 Wohlfarth, 2010).

720

4.3. Ice sheet dynamics (ice streaming?) during ice sheet growth and decay

722 Whilst our modelling supports previous inferences and extends our knowledge of the 723 probable pre-LGM volume and extent of the NAISC, it may also offer some more tentative 724 insight into ice sheet dynamics. One of the most dynamic features of an ice sheet are ice 725 streams, rapidly-flowing arteries that play a key role in ice sheet mass balance through their ability to discharge large ice fluxes (typically several 10s km³ per year). Observations of 726 727 modern-day ice streams have revealed changes in their velocity (Howat et al., 2007) and 728 position (Conway et al., 2002), highlighting their variability and heightening concern about 729 their future contribution to sea level (Shepherd and Wingham, 2007). The danger of 730 extrapolating these annual/decadal changes to draw conclusions about future ice sheet mass 731 balance is, however, widely acknowledged (Conway et al., 2002; Nick et al., 2009); and this 732 is one reason why numerous workers have extended the observational record through 733 investigation of palaeo-ice streaming over millennial time-scales (e.g. see reviews in Stokes 734 and Clark, 2001; Livingstone et al., 2012). An important consideration, however, is that 735 although palaeo-ice streams have been implicated in Heinrich events dating back to ~65 ka 736 (Hemming, 2004), most studies have focussed on their activity during deglaciation from the 737 LGM (e.g. Conway et al., 1999; Lowe and Anderson, 2002; Kehew et al., 2005; Shaw et al., 738 2006; De Angelis and Kleman, 2007; Briner et al., 2009; Stokes et al., 2009; O'Cofaigh et 739 al., 2010; Stokes and Tarasov, 2010). Thus, an assessment of their prevalence during the 740 longer periods of ice sheet build-up would provide a useful comparison to their activity 741 during ice sheet demise: are ice streams equally active during growth phases? How long does 742 it take to initiate streaming in different settings and are ice streams located in similar 743 locations to their counterparts during deglaciation from the LGM?

744 An obvious question to ask, therefore, is whether the fast-flow features generated by the 745 model (see section 3.3 and Fig's 4 and 7) are akin to ice streams and, as such, whether they 746 might tell us something about their activity prior to the LGM (although there is no objective 747 velocity threshold for ice streaming, we define it here as grounded ice flowing >500 m/yr, i.e. 748 typical of the lower-end of streaming velocities in modern-day ice sheets). Unfortunately, the 749 use of the SIA and the relatively coarse resolution of the model preclude the ability to 750 accurately reproduce the full stress balance of ice streams (see Hindmarsh, 2011; Kirchner et 751 al., 2011). Thus, the extent to which the rapidly-flowing zones described above (Fig. 4) 752 represent ice streams is questionable. Nevertheless, they evolve freely in the model as per the 753 dynamically evolving basal temperature regime and stress balance. Moreover, Stokes and 754 Tarasov (2010) indicate that this model successfully reproduces deglacial ice streams where 755 geological evidence has been reported, despite the use of the SIA. Indeed, we note that the 756 location of the fast flow-features generated in our pre-LGM modelling nearly always 757 corresponds with the location of ice streams during deglaciation of the ice sheet, which have 758 been identified based on a wealth of geological evidence, e.g. in major marine troughs and along the southern margin of the ice sheet (cf. Patterson, 1998; Stokes and Clark, 2001;
Winsborrow *et al.*, 2004; Stokes and Tarasov, 2010).

761 To illustrate the persistence of streaming velocities in both pre- and post-LGM settings in the 762 NAISC, Figure 9 shows the modelled basal velocity at 40 ka (OIS 3) with several major fast-763 flow zones corresponding to hypothesised locations from final deglaciation. In most cases, 764 these patterns are likely the result of thermo-mechanical feedbacks, whereby thicker ice in 765 topographic troughs enhances basal warming, increasing velocity, further increasing 766 warming, further increasing velocity (Payne and Dongelmans, 1997). Thus, the main control 767 on the location of these fast-flowing outlets appears to simply be the presence and supply of 768 warm-based ice in association with soft sediment that floors these troughs. Rapid velocities 769 experienced at the land-terminating margins (e.g. the southern margin) can also be attributed 770 to the widespread availability of soft-sediments in these regions (cf. Clark, 1992b; 1994) that 771 facilitated rapid flow and surge-type behaviour through sub-glacial till deformation and/or 772 basal sliding (cf. Paterson, 19967; 1998), and sometimes in association with calving into 773 proglacial lakes (cf. Stokes and Clark, 2004). Similar processes have been invoked to explain 774 the location of episodic ice streams operating both pre- and post-LGM in the FIS (Houmark-775 Nielsen, 2010).

776 Given that there is some indication that the modelled fast flow zones are not spurious but may 777 reflect the pre-LGM counterparts of post-LGM ice streams, we are reasonably confident that 778 model output is likely to reflect the broad pattern and timing of the major pre-LGM ice 779 stream activity, especially topographic ice streams, and can thus offer some preliminary 780 insight into this aspect of ice sheet dynamics. It should also be emphasised that the patterns of 781 basal velocity (e.g. Fig. 4) represent the mean of the ensemble of 'best-fit' model runs. In this sense, they offer a probabilistic assessment of where rapid velocities are most likely to occur 782 783 and are, in effect, a smoothed history compared to individual runs (i.e. short-term spatial and temporal variability is averaged out). Indeed, given the sensitivity of millennial-scale ice stream oscillations to model numerics (Calov *et al.*, 2010) and likely to missing processes such as basal hydrology, it is not the aim of this study to capture such behaviour.

787 The modelled ice sheet contains fast-flowing ice at all stages of growth from 110 to 20 ka 788 (Fig. 4). Thus, ice streaming is likely to have been a persistent feature in the pre-LGM ice 789 sheets, with even the smallest and thinnest of them being drained by large topographic ice 790 streams (note, for example, the Lancaster Sound Ice Stream in the very small ice complex at 791 110 ka). A more tentative conclusion is that the ice streams (senus lato) appear to increase in 792 velocity and become more prevalent with ice sheet build-up (Figs. 4, and 7). This is shown in 793 Figure 10a, which plots the total 'ice stream' flux at the margin of the grounded ice sheet 794 through time. The ice stream flux is relatively low from 115-70 ka but there is a notable peak 795 around 65 ka (OIS 4). Thereafter, the flux drops before another peak around 45 ka, followed 796 by a variable but significant increase towards the LGM. This pattern is mirrored by the 797 relative area of the ice sheet occupied by 'streaming' cells, see Fig. 10b, showing that they 798 occupy a greater proportion of the ice sheet through time, as a result of enlargement of 799 existing fast flow zones and new zones emerging.

800 We suggest that the increase in streaming activity during and following OIS 4 (Fig. 10a) is 801 due to the fact that smaller ice sheets prior to this were generally restricted to harder 802 crystalline substrates, whereas their expansion on to softer substrates and into greater contact 803 with the oceans led to unstable behaviour and an increased activity of ice streams (cf. Clark, 804 1992b; 1994; Winsborrow et al., 2010). Larger ice sheets have also had more time to develop 805 a larger fraction of warm based ice (Fig. 6). It is most instructive, however, to consider the 806 total ice stream flux as a fraction of ice sheet volume. Figure 11a shows this and indicates 807 that ice stream flux at the margin exhibits strong high-frequency variation superimposed on a 808 fairly steady increase towards LGM. As one would expect, individual runs display more

809 variance than the ensemble mean, but ice streaming appears to be scaled (non-linearly) to ice 810 sheet volume. This is clear from Figure 11b, which reveals that an excess of stream flux 811 during decay, as compared to growth, is only discernible for ice volumes above 55 m eustatic 812 equivalent and grows with ice volume.

In summary, this is one of the first studies to assess the pan-ice sheet behaviour of ice streams prior to the LGM and we view it as necessarily exploratory. However, it suggests that ice streaming appears to be non-linearly scaled to ice sheet volume with a power between two and three, with only moderate dependence on whether the ice-sheet is growing or decaying (Fig. 11). This implies that ice streams are significant throughout both growth and decay and, despite millennial scale variability, an evolving population deliver a relatively stable contribution to overall ice discharge over long (>5-10 ka) time-scales (Fig. 11a).

820

821 4.4. Future Work

The results of our numerical modelling match well with previous attempts to reconstruct the pre-LGM inception and build-up of the NAISC and have generated some important new insights regarding its extent, volume, and dynamics. However, we identify five areas where further research would benefit/complement future modelling efforts:

(1) Whilst it is reasonable to assume that ice streaming was a persistent feature of the pre-LGM LIS, a potentially novel outcome of our analysis is the hypothesis that ice stream activity is largely scaled to ice sheet volume. This represents an important context for our understanding of ice streaming and ice sheet dynamics at timescales >10 ka and we suggest that testing this hypothesis should be prioritised in future modelling that incorporates higher order physics. 832 (2) A key uncertainty that prevents a more rigorous comparison is that there are few dates to 833 constrain the geological evidence. As noted by Clark et al. (1993) our knowledge of the 834 chronology of the LIS is mostly reliant on radiocarbon dating applied to the deglacial 835 interval. However, it is possible to date/bracket deposits using other methods (e.g. U-Th, 836 Ar/Ar) to bracket the extensive till stratigraphies in some areas and we note the importance of 837 recent work in the Hudson Bay Lowlands in this regard (Allard et al., 2012). Such constraints 838 on pre-LGM ice margin positions would thereby permit a more rigorous model calibration, 839 such as has been applied to the deglacial interval (e.g. Tarasov *et al.*, 2012), especially early 840 (e.g. OIS 5 and 4) ice margin indicators (cf. Stroeven et al., 2002).

841 (3) The results of our numerical modelling complement efforts that scrutinise pre-LGM 842 iceberg-rafted debris (IRD) records in ocean sediment records (e.g. Farmer et al., 2003; 843 Hemming, 2004; Roy et al., 2009). Our analysis highlights the importance of marine troughs 844 as locations for large palaeo-ice streams (Fig. 7) but relatively few IRD records have been 845 linked to specific ice stream catchments, although this is certainly possible through analysis 846 of their provenance (see Hemming, 2004; Andrews and MacLean, 2003; Stokes et al., 2005). 847 For example, Farmer et al. (2003) showed that the provenance of H3 is more closely 848 associated with a south-eastern (St Lawrence estuary) outlet, as opposed to Hudson Strait, 849 and we note a sharp increase in velocities of the Gulf of St Lawrence ice stream coincident 850 with H3 at around 30 ka (see Fig. 7c). Thus, there is huge potential to test and compare model 851 predictions against IRD records. Moreover, Hemming (2004) notes that there are few 852 published data on IRD events prior to H6 (~60 ka) and she highlights this as a priority for 853 future research (e.g. Roy et al., 2009). She draws attention, for example, to a study by 854 Rasmussen et al. (2003) that identified 12 IRD peaks in a sediment core off Newfoundland 855 throughout the last 130 ka whose provenance is uncertain. Results of our numerical 856 modelling of several ice stream catchments suggests that ice occupied major marine troughs

before 60 ka (e.g. at 110 ka and 65 ka) and, as such, could have delivered IRD to the ocean
sediment record. The large ice sheet at 110 ka (Fig. 4a), for example, clearly depicts ice
streams occupying several major marine troughs (e.g. Hudson Strait, M'Clure Strait,
Amundsen Gulf) and their presence and activity could be confirmed in IRD records.

(4) As noted above (e.g. with respect to the 'old' and 'new' ensemble results of the warmbased fraction: Fig. 6), the model results are heavily influenced by the climate forcing. An obvious deficiency, therefore, is the uncertainty of the timing/phasing of climate evolution (along with possible regional asynchroneities), which is poorly known the further back in time the modelling extends. Reducing and better capturing the uncertainty of past climate forcing is a non-trivial task but would clearly benefit pre-LGM ice sheet modelling.

(5) Finally, better constraints on the pre-LGM ice volume chronology are needed. On-going
calibration of all major ice sheets should better quantify bounds on pre-LGM partitioning of
sea-level contributions. But there is correspondingly an urgent need for improving the farfield record of sea level change to further constrain this partitioning.

871

872

873 **5.** Conclusions

This paper presents a new analysis of the evolution and dynamics of the North American Ice Sheet Complex during its inception and build-up to the LGM using a 3D thermo-mechanical Glacial Systems Model that has undergone extensive calibration against a large and diverse set of geophysical data for the deglacial interval. A probabilistic assessment of ice sheet properties (thickness, basal velocity, etc) reveals major (sometimes abrupt) fluctuations in ice volume (\pm 10s m of eustatic sea level equivalent in <5 ka) and a highly dynamic ice complex with major shifts in the location of ice divides. These rapid episodes of both growth and
decay are consistent with the sea level records (e.g. Cutler *et al.*, 2003).

In agreement with previous work, the model reproduces ice sheet inception in 882 883 Arctic/subarctic plateaux/uplands with ice masses growing and coalescing with a Quebec-884 Labrador dome within <10 ka after the last interglaciation. Preliminary findings also highlight the possibility of early inception over shallow marine basins, e.g. Foxe Basin (cf. 885 886 Hughes, 1987). Thereafter, our numerical modelling broadly matches geological evidence 887 (e.g. Kleman et al., 2002; 2010; Clark et al., 1993) and previous modelling attempts (e.g. 888 Kleman et al., 2002; Marshall and Clark, 2002) of the evolution of the ice complex, 889 supporting the notion of a large but thin OIS stage 5d (110 ka) ice sheet that covered 70-80% 890 of the area occupied by subsequent full glacial ice sheets in OIS 4 and 2 (cf. Clark et al., 891 1993). The ice complex then retreated to a small, thin OIS 5 minimum (~80 ka) over the 892 original inception grounds in north-eastern Canada, before a rapid period of growth saw a 893 large OIS 4 ice complex at ~65 ka, which largely resembled the OIS 2 (LGM) ice complex, 894 but with ~10 m less volume (eustatic equivalent). Subsequently, ice retreated rapidly to a 895 minimum OIS 3 position ~ 60 ka, with a peak contribution to sea level >16 cm per century. 896 The remainder of OIS 3 was characterised by several periods of growth and increasingly less 897 significant retreat, culminating in the LGM (OIS 2) ice complex.

Consistent with previous work (e.g. Marshall and Clark, 2002), the fraction of the bed occupied by warm-based ice increases from 20-30% to >50% at the LGM, largely modulated by patterns in ice volume. However, even relatively small and largely cold-based ice sheets were drained by fast flow features (usually in major marine troughs). Despite limitations imposed by the use of the 'shallow-ice approximation' it is reasonable to conclude that ice streaming was a persistent feature during ice sheet build-up and most fast-flow features generated in pre-LGM ice sheets correspond to post-LGM ice stream locations (cf. Patterson, 905 1998; Winsborrow *et al.*, 2004; Stokes and Tarasov, 2010). The extent of the marine margin 906 is an important control on ice stream activity (cf. Winsborrow *et al.*, 2010) and, where ice 907 exists in deep topographic troughs, ice streaming generally persists (though not necessarily 908 continuously), irrespective of climate forcing. Land-terminating ice streams appear more akin 909 to ephemeral surge behaviour over soft sediments and only occur when climate drives the ice 910 sheet margin over such sediments (e.g. at the southern margin).

911 Taken together, a potentially novel insight from our analysis is the hypothesis that the overall area and flux of streams (cells $>500 \text{ m a}^{-1}$) is non-linearly proportional to ice sheet volume. 912 913 This may be an artefact of our model physics and ice sheet mass balance but, if correct, the 914 implication is that ice streams are significant during phases of both growth and decay and, 915 overall, make a relatively stable contribution to mass loss, irrespective of whether the ice 916 sheet is growing or shrinking. This, in turn, has implications for the behaviour of ice streams 917 in modern-day ice sheets, where notable changes have recently been reported in their velocity 918 and thickness (cf. Howat et al., 2007), but which lack a long-term context. We therefore 919 suggest that further testing of this hypothesis should be a priority with a more rigorous 920 treatment of ice streaming using higher-order physics with full inclusion of 921 longitudinal/membrane stresses (cf. Hindmarsh, 2011; Kirchner et al., 2011). Future numerical modelling would also benefit from additional geological constraint data from the 922 923 pre-LGM interval, such as the ongoing dating of till stratigraphies (e.g. Allard *et al.* 2012) 924 and margin positions.

925

926

927 Acknowledgements:

We are grateful for the helpful reviews by Johan Kleman, David Pollard and an anonymous
reviewer. CRS acknowledges funding from a Philip Leverhulme Prize. LT holds a Canada
Research Chair and acknowledges support provided by the Canadian Foundation for
Innovation and the National Science and Engineering Research Council. LT is also grateful
for financial support in the form of a visiting Fellowship at the Institute of Advanced Studies,
Durham University. This paper is a contribution to the INQUA sponsored project: `Meltwater
routing and Ocean-Cryosphere-Atmosphere response'' (MOCA).

935

936 **References:**

- 937 Allard, G., Roy, M., Ghaleb, B., Richard, P.J.H., Larouche, A.C., Veillette, J.J. and Parent,
- M., 2012. Constraining the age of the last interglacial-glacial transition in the Hudson
 Bay lowlands (Canada) using U-Th dating of buried wood. *Quaternary*

940 *Geochronology*, 7 (1), 37-47.

- Andrews, J.T., 1987. The Laurentide Ice Sheet: research problems. *Géographie physique et Quaternaire*, 41 (2), 315-318.
- Andrews, J.T. and Mahaffy, M.A.W., 1976 Growth rate of the Laurentide Ice Sheet and sea
- 944 level lowering (with emphasis on the 115,000 BP sea level low). *Quaternary*945 *Research*, 6, 167-183.
- Andrews, J.T. and Barry, R.G., 1978. Glacial inception and disintegration during the last
 glaciations. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 6, 205-228.
- Andrews, J.T. and MacLean, B., 2003. Hudson Strait ice streams: a review of stratigraphy,
- 949 chronology and links with North Atlantic Heinrich events. *Boreas*, 32, 4-17.
- Andrews, J.T., Davis, P.T. and Wright, C., 1976. Little Ice Age permanent snow cover in the
 eastern Canadian Arctic: extent mapped from Landsat 1 imagery. *Geografiska Annaler*, 58A, 71-81.

- Andrews, J.T., Shilts, W.W. and Miller, G.H., 1983. Multiple deglaciations of the Hudson
 Bay Lowlands, Canada, since deposition of the Missinaibi (Last-Interglacial?)
 Formation. *Quaternary Research*, 19, 18-37.
- Bamber, J.L., Vaughan, D.G. and Joughin, I., 2000. Widespread complex flow in the interior
 of the Antarctic Ice Sheet. *Science*, 287 (5456), 1248-1250.
- Beget, J., 1987. Low profile of the northwest Laurentide Ice Sheet. Arctic and Alpine
 Research, 19 (1), 81-88.
- Bintanja, R., van de Wal, R.S.W. and Oerlemans, J., 2002. Global ice volume variations
 through the last glacial cycle simulated by a 3-D ice-dynamical model. *Quaternary International*, 95-6, 11-23.
- Boulton, G.S. and Clark, C.D., 1990a. A highly mobile Laurentide Ice Sheet revealed by
 satellite images of glacial lineations. *Nature*, 346, 813-817.
- Boulton, G.S. and Clark, C.D., 1990b The Laurentide Ice Sheet through the last glacial cycle
 the topology of drift lineations as a key to the dynamic behaviour of former ice
 sheets. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Earth Sciences*, 81, 327-347.
- 968 Braconnot, P., B. Otto-Bliesner, S. Harrison, S. Joussaume, J.-Y. Peterschmitt, A. Abe-Ouchi,

969 M. Crucifix, E. Driesschaert, T. Fichefet, C. D. Hewitt, M. Kageyama, A. Kitoh, A.

970 Lan, M.-F. Loutre, O. Marti, U. Merkel, G. Ramstein, P. Valdes, S. L.Weber, Y. Yu,

- 971 and Y. Zhao, 2007. Results of PMIP2 coupled simulations of the mid-Holocene and
- 972 last glacial maximum part 1: experiments and large-scale features, *Climate of the*973 *Past*, 3 (2), 261–277.
- Bromwich, D.H., Toracinta, E.R. and Want, S-H., 2002. Meteorological perspecticve on the
 initiation of the Laurentide Ice Sheet. *Quaternary International*, 95-96, 113-124.

- Briner, J.P., Bini, A.C. and Anderson, R.S., 2009. Rapid early Holocene retreat of a
 Laurentide outlet glacier through an Arctic fjord. *Nature Geoscience*, 2 (7), 496-499.
- 978 Calov, R., Greve, R., Abe-Ouchi, A., Bueler, E., Huybrechts, P., Johnson, J.V., Pattyn, F.,
- Pollard, D., Ritz, C., Saito, F. and Tarasov, L., 2010. Results from the Ice-Sheet
 Model Intercomparison Project-Heinrich Event INtercOmparison (ISMIP HEINO). *Journal of Glaciology*, 56 (197), 371-383.
- Clark, C.D., Knight, J.K. and Gray, J.T., 2000. Geomorphological reconstruction of the
 Labrador Sector of the Laurentide Ice Sheet. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 19, 13431366.
- Clark, P.U., 1992a. The last interglacial-glacial transition in North America: Introduction. In,
 Clark, P.U. and Lea, P.D. (Eds) *The last interglacial-glacial transition in North America: Geological Society of America Special Paper* 270, p. 269-278.
- Clark, P.U., 1992b. Surface form of the southern Laurentide Ice Sheet and its implications to
 ice sheet dynamics. *Geological Society of America, Bulletin*, 104, 595-605.
- Clark, P.U., 1994. Unstable behaviour of the Laurentide Ice Sheet over deforming sediment
 and its implications for climate change. *Quaternary Research*, 41, 19-25.
- Clark, P.U. and Lea, P.D. (eds) 1992. The last interglacial-glacial transition in North
 America. *Geological Society of America, Special Paper* 270, 307 pp.
- Clark, P.U., Clague, J.J., Currey, B.B, Dreimanis, A., Hicock, S.R., Miller, G.H., Berger,
 G.W., Eyles, N., Lamothe, M., Miller, B.B., Mott, R.J., Oldale, R.N., Stea, R.R.,
- 997 the Laurentide and Cordilleran ice sheets following the last interglaciation.

Szabo, J.P., Thorleifson, L.H. and Vincent, J-S., 1993. Initiation and development of

998 *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 12 (2), 79-114.

- Clark. P.U., Dyke, A.S., Shakun, J.D., Carlson, A.E., Clark, J., Wolfarth, B., Mitrovica, J.X.,
 Hostetler, S.W., McCabe, A.M., 2009. The Last Glacial Maximum. *Science*, 325
 (5941), 710-714.
- Conway, H., Hall, B.L., Denton, G.H., Gades, A.M. and Waddington, E.E., 1999. Past and
 future grounding-line retreat of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet. *Science*, 286 (5438),
 280-283.
- Conway, H., Catania, G., Raymond, C., Gades, A., Scambos, T. and Engelhardt, H., 2002.
 Switch of flow direction in an Antarctic ice stream. *Nature*, 419 (6906), 465-467.
- 1007 Cutler, K.B., Edwards, R.L., Taylor, F.W., Cheng, H., Adkins, J., Gallup, C.D., Cutler, P.M.,
- Burr, G.S. and Bloomo, A.L., 2003. Rapid sea-level fall and deep-ocean temperature
 change since the last interglacial period. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 206,
 253-271.
- De Angelis, H. and Kleman, J., 2007. Palaeo-ice streams in the Foxe/Baffin sector of the
 Laurentide Ice Sheet. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 26 (9-10), 1313-1331.
- Denton G.H. and Hughes, T.J., 1980. The Arctic Ice Sheet: an outrageous hypothesis. In,
 Denton G.H. and Hughes, T.J. (Eds) *The Last Great Ice Sheets*. Wiley-Interscience,
 New York, 437-467.
- Dong, B. and Valdes, P.J., 1995. Sensitivity studies of Northern Hemisphere glaciation using
 an atmospheric general circulation model. *Journal of Climate*, 8 (10), 2471-2496.
- 1018 Dredge, L.A. and Thorleifson, L.H., 1987. The Middle Wisconsinan history of the Laurentide
 1019 Ice Sheet. *Géographie physique et Quaternaire*, 41 (2), 215-235.
- 1020 Dredge, L.A. and Cowan, W.R., 1989. Quaternary geology of the south-western Canadian
- Shield. In, Fulton, R.J. (Ed) *Quaternary Geology of Canada and Greenland*.
 Geological Survey of Canada, Geology of Canada, no. 1, 214-235.

- Dyke, A.S., 2004. An outline of North American deglaciation with emphasis on central and
 northern Canada. In, Ehlers, J. and Gibbard, P.L. (Eds) *Quaternary Glaciations – Extent and Chronology, Part II: North America*. Developments in Quaternary
 Science, 2, 373-424.
- 1027 Dyke, A.S. and Prest, K., 1987. Late Wisconsin and Holocene history of the Laurentide Ice
 1028 Sheet. *Géographie physique et Quaternaire*, 41 (2), 237-263.
- 1029 Dyke, A.S and Morris, T.F., 1988. Canadian Landform Examples, 7. Drumlin fields,
 1030 dispersal trains, and ice streams in Arctic Canada. *Canadian Geographer*, 32 (1), 861031 90.
- Dyke, A.S., Vincent, J-S., Andrews, J.T., Dredge, L.A. and Cowen, W.R., 1989. The
 Laurentide Ice Sheet and an introduction to the Quaternary geology of the Canadian
 Shield. In, Fulton, R.J. (Ed.) *Quaternary Geology of Canada and Greenland*.
 Geological Survey of Canada, Geology of Canada, no. 1, p. 178-189.
- Dyke, A.S., Andrews, J.T., Clark, P.U., England, J.H., Miller, G.H., Shaw, J., Veillette, J.J.,
 2002. The Laurentide and Innuitian ice sheets during the Last Glacial Maximum. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 21, 9-31.
- 1039 Dyke, A.S., Moore, A. and Robertson, L., 2003. *Deglaciation of North America*. Geological
 1040 Survey of Canada, Open File 1574.
- England, J., Atkinson, N., Badnarski, J., Dyke, A.S., Hodgson, D.A. and O'Cofaigh, C.
 (2006) The Innuitian Ice Sheet: configuration, dynamics and chronology. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 25 (7-8), 689-703.
- England, J.H., Furze, M.F.A., Doupe, J.P., 2009. Revision of the NW Laurentide Ice Sheet:
 implications for paleoclimate, the northwest extremity of Beringia, and Arctic Ocean
 sedimentation. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 28 (17-18), 1573-1596.

- Farmer, G.L., Barber, D. and Andrews, J., 2003. Provenance of Late Quaternary ice-proximal
 sediments in the North Atlantic: Nd Sr and Pb isotopic evidence. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 209 (1-2), 227-243.
- Flint, R.F., 1943. Growth of North American Ice Sheet during the Wisconsin age. *Bulletin of the Geological Society of America*, 54 (3), 325-362.
- Fulton, R.J., 1984. *Quaternary Stratigraphy of Canada A Canadian contribution to IGCP Project 24.* Geological Survey of Canada Paper 84-10, 210 pp.
- Fulton, R.J., 1989. *Quaternary Geology of Canada and Greenland*. Geological Survey of
 Canada, Geology of Canada, no. 1, 839 pp.
- Fulton, R.J., 1995. *Surficial materials of Canada*. Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1880A,
 scale 1:5,000,000.
- Fulton, R.J. and Prest, V.K., 1987. The Laurentide Ice Sheet and its significance. *Géographie physique et Quaternaire*, 41 (2), 181-186.
- Hemming, S.R., 2004. Massive late pleistocene detritus layers of the North Atlantic and their
 global climate imprint. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 42, RG1005.
- Hindmarsh, R.C.A., 2011. Ill-posedness of the shallow-ice approximation when modelling
 thermo-viscous instabilities. *Journal of Glaciology*, 57 (206), 1177-1178.
- Helmens, K.F. and Engels, S., 2010. Ice-free conditions in eastern Fennoscandia during early
 Marine Isotope Stage 3: lacustrine records. *Boreas*, 39 (2), 399-409.
- Hesse, R. and Khodabakhsh, S., 1998. Depositional facies of late Pleistocene Heinrich events
 in the Labrador Sea. *Geology*, 26 (2), 103-106.

- Hesse, R., Rashid, H. and Khodabakhsh, S., 2004. Fine-grained sediment lofting from
 meltwater-generated turbidity currents during Heinrich events. *Geology*, 32 (5), 449452.
- Houmark-Nielsen, M., 2010. Extent, age and dynamics of Marine Isotope Stage 3 glaciations
 in the southwestern Baltic Basin. *Boreas*, 39 (2), 343-359.
- Howat, I.M., Joughin, I. and Scambos, T.A., 2007. Rapid changes in ice discharge from
 Greenland outlet glaciers. *Science*, 315 (5818), 1559-1561.
- Hughes, T.J., 1987. The Marine Ice Trangression Hypothesis. *Geografiska Annaler, Series A*,
 69 (2), 237-250.
- 1077 Ives, J.D., 1957. Glaciation of the Torngat Mountains, northern Labrador. Arctic, 10, 67-87.
- Ives, J.D., 1962. Indications of recent extensive glacierization in north-central Baffin Island,
 N.W.T., Canada. *Journal of Glaciology*, 4, 197-206.
- Ives, J.D., Andrews, J.T., Barry, R.G., 1975. Growth and decay of the Laurentide Ice Sheet
 and comparisons with Fenno-Scandinavia. *Die Naturwissenschaften*, 62, 118-125.
- Josenhans, H.W. and Zevenhuizen, J., 1990. Dynamics of the Laurentide Ice Sheet in Hudson
 Bay, Canada. *Marine Geology*, 92, 1-26.
- Joughin, I., Gray, L., Bindschadler, R., Price, S., Morse, D., Hulbe, C., Mattar, K. and
 Werner, C., 1999. Tributaries of West Antarctic Ice streams revealed by RADARSAT
 interferometry. *Science*, 286 (5438), 283-286.
- Kehew, A.E., Beukema, S.P., Bird, B.C. and Kozlowski, A.L., 2005. Fast flow of the Lake
 Michigan Lobe: evidence from sediment-landform assemblages in southwesterm
 Michigan, USA. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 24 (22), 2335-2353.

- 1090 Kirby, M.E. and Andrews, J.T., 1999. Mid-Wisconsinan Laurentide Ice Sheet growth and 1091 decay: implications for Heinrich events 3 and 4. *Paleoceanography*, 14 (2), 211-223.
- Kirchner, N., Hutter, K., Jakobsoon, M. and Gyllencreutz, R., 2011. Capabilities and
 limitations of numerical ice sheet models: a discussion for Earth-Scientists and
 modelers. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 30, 3691-3704.
- Klassen, R.A. and Fisher, D.A., 1988. Basal flow conditions at the northeastern margin of the
 Laurentide Ice Sheet, Lancaster Sound. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 25,
 1097 1740-1750.
- 1098 Kleman, J. and Hättestrand, C., 1999. Frozen-bed Fennoscandian and Laurentide ice sheets
 1099 during the Last Glacial Maximum. *Nature*, 402, 63-66.
- Kleman, J. and Glasser, N.F., 2007. The subglacial thermal organisation (STO) of ice sheets. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 26 (5-6), 585-597.
- Kleman, J., Hättestrand, C., Borgström, I. and Stroeven, A., 1997. Fennoscandian
 palaeoglaciology reconstructed using a glacial geological inversion model. *Journal of Glaciology*, 43 (144), 283-299.
- Kleman, J., Fastook, J. and Stroeven A., 2002. Geologically and geomorphologically
 constrained numerical model of Laurentide Ice Sheet inception and build-up. *Quaternary International*, 95-6, 87-98.
- Kleman, J., Jansson, K., De Angelis, H., Stroeven, A.P., Hattestrand, C., Alm, G. and
 Glasser, N.F., 2010. North American Ice Sheet build-up during the last glacial cycle,
- 1110 115-21 kyr, Quaternary Science Reviews, 29, 17-18.
- Koerner, R.M., 1980. Instantaneous glacierization, the rate of albedo change, and feedback
 effects at the beginning of an Ice Age. *Quaternary Research*, 13, 153-159.

- Lagerbäck, R., 1988a. Periglacial phenomena in the wooded areas of northern Sweden –
 relicts from the Tärendö insterstadial. *Boreas*, 17 (4), 487-499.
- Lagerbäck, R., 1988b. The Veiki moraines in northerm Sweden widespread evidence of an
 Early Weichselian deglaciation. *Boreas*, 17 (4), 469-486.
- Lagerbäck, R. and Robertsson, A.-M., 1988. Kettle holes stratigraphical archives for
 Weichselian geology and palaeoenvironment in northernmost Sweden. *Boreas*, 17 (4),
 439-468.
- Lambeck, K. and Chappell, J., 2001. Sea level change through the last glacial cycle. *Science*,
 292, 679-686.
- Lambeck, K., Purcell, A., Zhao, J. and Svensson, N-O., 2010. The Scandinavian Ice Sheet:
 from MIS 4 to the end of the Last Glacial Maximum. *Boreas*, 39 (2), 410-435.
- Lamothe, M., Parent, M. and Shilts, W.W., 1992. Sangamonian and early Wisconsin events
 in the St. Lawrence Lowland and Appalachians of southern Quebec, Canada. In,
 Clark, P.U. and Lea, P.D. (Eds) The last interglacial-glacial transition in North
 America. *Geological Society of America Special Paper*, 270, 171-184.
- Laske, G. and Masters, G., 1997. A global map of sediment thickness. *EOS, Transasctions of the American Geophysical Union*, 78, p. 483.
- Livingstone, S.J., O'Cofaigh, C.O., Stokes, C.R., Hillenbrand, C-D., Vieli, A. and Jamieson, S.R.m
 2012. Antarctic palaeo-ice streams. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 111, 90-128.
- 1132 Lowe, A., and Anderson, J., 2002. Reconstruction of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet in Pine
- 1133 Island Bay during the last glacial maximum and its subsequent retreat history.
- 1134 *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 21 (16-17), 1879-1897.

- 1135 Lundqvist, J., 1992. Glacial stratigraphy in Sweden. *Geological Survey of Finland Special*1136 *Paper*, 15, 43-59.
- MacAyeal, D.R., 1993. Binge-purge oscillations of the Laurentide Ice Sheet as a cause of the
 North Atlantic's Heinrich events. *Paleoceanography*, 8, 775-784.
- Marshall, S.J., 2002. Modelled nucleation centres of the Pleistocene ice sheets from an ice
 sheet model with subgrid parameterizations. *Quaternary International*, 95-96, 1251141 137.
- Marshall, S.J. and Clarke, G.K.C., 1999. Ice sheet inception: subgrid hypsometric
 parameterization of mass balance in an ice sheet model. *Climate Dynamics*, 15, 533550.
- Marshall, S.J. and Clark, P.U., 2002. Basal temperature evolution of North American ice
 sheets and implications for the 100-kyr cycle. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 29 (4),
 2214.
- Marshall, S.J., Tarasov, L., Clarke, G.K.C. and Peltier, W.R., 2000. Glaciological
 reconstruction of the Laurentide Ice Sheet: physical processes and modelling
 challenges. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 37 (5), 769-793.
- McDonald, B.C. and Shilts, W.W., 1971. Quaternary stratigraphy and events in Southeastern
 Quebec. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 82 (3), 683-698.
- Nick, F.M., Vieli, A., Howat, I.M. and Joughin, I., 2009. Large-scale changes in Greenland
 outlet glacier dynamic triggered at the terminus. *Nature Geoscience*, 2 (2), 110-114.
- 1155 NGRIP dating group, 2006. *Greenland ice core chronology 2005 (gicc05)*. IGBP
 1156 PAGES/World Data Center for Paleoclimatology, Data Contribution Series # 20061157 118.

- O'Cofaigh, C.O., Evans, D.J.A., Smith, I.R., 2010. Large-scale reorganisation and
 sedimentation of terrestrial ice streams during Late Wisconsinan Laurentide Ice Sheet
 deglaciation. *Geological Society of America, Bulletin*, 122 (5-6), 743-756.
- Patterson, C.J., 1997. Southern Laurentide ice lobes were created by ice streams: Des Moines
 lobe in Minnesota, USA. *Sedimentary Geology*, 111, 249-261.
- Patterson, C., 1998. Laurentide glacial landscapes: The role of ice streams. *Geology*, 26 (7),
 643–646.
- Payne, A.J. and Dongelmans, P.W., 1997. Self-organization in the thermomechanical flow of
 ice sheets. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102 (B6), 12,219-12,234.
- Rasmussen, T.L., Oppo, D.W., Thomsen, E. and Lehman, S.J., 2003. Deep sea records from
 the southeast Labrador Sea: ocean circulation changes and ice-rafting events during
 the last 160,000 years. *Paleoceanography*, 18 (1), 1018, doi:10.1029/2001PA000736.
- Roy, M., Hemming, S.R., Parent, M., 2009. Sediment sources of northern Quebec and
 Labrador glacial deposits and the northeastern sector of the Laurentide Ice Sheet
 during ice-rafting events of the last glacial cycle. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 28
 (27-28), 3236-3245.
- Ryder, J.M. and Clague, J.J., 1989. British Columbia (Quaternary stratigraphy and history,
 Cordilleran Ice Sheet). In, Fulton, R.J. (ed), *Quaternary Geology of Canada and Greenland*. Geological Survey of Canada, Geology of Canada, no. 1.
- Shackleton, N.J., 1987. Oxygen isotopes, ice volume and sea level. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 6 (3-4), 183-190.
- Shepherd, A., and Wingham, D., 2007. Recent sea-level contributions of the Antarctic and
 Greenland ice sheets. *Science*, 315 (5818), 1529-1532.

- 1181 Shaw, J., Piper, D.J.W., Fader, G.B.J., King, E.L., Todd, B.J., Bell, T., Batterson, M.J.,
- Liverman, D.G.E., 2006. A conceptual model of deglaciation of Atlantic Canada. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25, 2059–2081.
- Skinner, R.G., 1973. Quaternary stratigraphy of the Moose River basin, Ontario. *Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin*, 225, 77 pp.
- St-Onge, D.A., 1987. The Sangamonian Stage and the Laurentide Ice Sheet. *Géographie physique et Quaternaire*, 41 (2), 189-198.
- Stokes, C.R., and Clark, C.D., 2001. Palaeo-ice streams. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 20, 1437-1457.
- Stokes, C.R. and Clark, C.D., 2003. Laurentide ice streaming over the Canadian Shield: a
 conflict with the 'soft-bedded' ice stream paradigm? *Geology*, 31 (4), 437-350.
- Stokes, C.R. and Clark, C.D., 2004. Evolution of Late Glacial ice-marginal lakes on the
 north-western Canadian Shield and their influence on the location of the Dubawnt
 Lake palaeo-ice stream. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 215,
 (1/2), 155-171.
- Stokes, C., and Tarasov, L., 2010. Ice streaming in the Laurentide Ice Sheet: A first
 comparison between data-calibrated numerical model output and geological evidence. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37, L01501.
- Stokes, C.R., Clark, C.D., Darby, D.A. and Hodgson, D., 2005. Late Pleistocene ice export
 events into the Arctic Ocean from the M'Clure Strait Ice Stream, Canadian Arctic
 Archipelago. *Global and Planetary Change*, 49, 139-240.
- Stokes, C.R., Clark, C.D. & Winsborrow, M.C.M., 2006. Subglacial bedform evidence for a
 major palaeo-ice stream and its retreat phases in Amundsen Gulf, Canadian Arctic
 Archipelago. *Journal of Quaternary Science*, 21 (4), 399-412.

- Stokes, C.R., Clark, C.D. and Storrar, R., 2009. Major changes in ice stream dynamics during
 deglaciation of the north-western margin of the Laurentide Ice Sheet. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 28 (7-8), 721-738.
- 1209 Stroeven, A.P., Fabel, D. and Marshall, S., 2002. Inceptions: mechanisms, patterns and 1210 timing of ice sheet inception. *Quaternary International*, 95-96, 1-4.
- Tarasov, L., and Peltier, W.R., 1997a. Terminating the 100 kyr ice age cycle. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102 (D18), 21,665-21,693.
- Tarasov, L., and Peltier, W.R., 1997b. A high resolution model of the 100-kyr ice-age cycle. *Annals of Glaciology*, 25, 58-65.
- Tarasov, L., and Peltier, W.R., 2003. Greenland glacial history, borehole constraints, and
 Eemian extent. *Journal of Geophysical Research Solid Earth*, 108 (B3), 2143, doi:
 10.1029/2001JB001731.
- Tarasov, L., and Peltier, W.R., 2004. A geophysically constrained large ensemble analysis of
 the deglacial history of the (North American) ice sheet complex. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 23, 359-388.
- Tarasov, L., and Peltier, W.R., 2005. Arctic freshwater forcing of the Younger Dryas cold
 reversal. *Nature*, 435 (7042), 662-665.
- Tarasov, L., and Peltier, W.R., 2007. The co-evolution of continental ice cover and
 permafrost extent over the last glacial-interglacial cycle in North America. *Journal of Geophysical Research Earth Surface*, 112, F02S08.

- Tarasov, L., Dyke, A.S., Neal, R.M. and Peltier, W.R., 2012. A data-calibrated distribution of
 deglacial chronologies for the North American ice complex from glaciological
 modelling. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 315-316, 30-40.
- Veillette, J.J., 2004. Ice-flow chronology and palimpsest, long-distance dispersal of indicator
 clasts, north of the St. Lawrence River Valley, Quebec. *Géographie physique et Quaternaire*, 58 (2-3), 187-216.
- Veillette, J.J., Dyke, A.S. and Roy, M., 1999. Ice-flow evolution of the Labrador Sector of
 the Laurentide Ice Sheet: a review, with new evidence from northern Quebec. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 18, 993-1019.
- 1235 Vincent, J-S., Prest, V.K., 1987. The Early Wisconsinan history of the Laurentide Ice Sheet.
 1236 *Géographie physique et Quaternaire*, 41 (2), 199-213.
- Waelbroeck, C., Labeyrie, L., Michel, E., Duplessy, J., McManus, J., Lambeck, K., Balbon,
 E. and Labracherie, M., 2002. Sea-level and deep water temperature changes derived
 from benthic foraminifera isotopic records. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 21 (1-3),
 295-305.
- Williams, L.D., 1978. Ice-sheet initiation and climatic influences of expanded snow cover in
 Arctic Canada. *Quaternary Research*, 10, 141-149.
- Williams, L.D., 1979. An energy balance model of potential glacierization of northern
 Canada. *Arctic and Alpine Research*, 11, 443-456.
- Winsborrow, M., Clark, C.D. and Stokes, C.R., 2004. Ice streams of the Laurentide Ice Sheet. *Géographie physique et Quaternaire*, 58 (2-3), 269-280.
- Winsborrow, M., Clark, C.D. and Stokes, C.R., 2010. What controls the location of ice
 streams? *Earth-Science Reviews*, 103 (1-2), 45-59.

- Wohlfarth, B., 2010. Ice-free conditions in Sweden during Marine Oxygen Isotope Stage 3? *Boreas*, 39 (2), 377-398.
- Wolken, G.J., England, J. and Dyke, A.S., 2005. Re-evaluating the relevance of vegetation
 trimlines in the Canadian Arctic as an indicator of the Little Ice Age
 paleoenvironments. *Arctic*, 58, 341-353.
- Wolken, G.J., England, J. and Dyke, A.S., 2008. Changes in Neoglacial perennial snow/ice
 extent and equilibrium line altitudes in the Queen Elizabeth Islands, Arctic Canada. *The Holocene*, 18, 615-627.

Tables:

Table 1: Pre-LGM ice volume metric components. Maximum ice volume over the given time interval was tested against the constraint. Both the un-penalized range and maximum ice volume accepted are shown in m eustatic equivalent of ice. Runs with ice volumes below the un-penalized range are rejected. Weaker versions of the 37-33 and 50-42 ka constraints (respectively at 30 and 49 ka) were part of the original calibration.

Time (ka)	Un-penalised range (m)	Maximum accepted
115 to 105	21-36	40
93-84	8-34	40
67-57	47-65	71
50-42	49-63	67
37-33	50-65	70

1267 **Figure Captions:**

Figure 1: Map of the 'basal flow factor' used as input for the Glacial Systems Model. A value of zero represents a grid cell where fast flow due to subglacial till deformation is not permitted. The strength of fast flow due to subglacial till deformation and hard-bedded sliding are both subject to calibration.

1272

Figure 2: Climate forcing interpolation index between present-day observed (value 0) and LGM climate (value 1). 'Original' is a glaciological inversion of the GRIP and GISPII records (updated from Tarasov and Peltier, 2003), now with the gicc05 chronology for GRIP (NGRIP dating group, 2006). This time series was used for the calibration. The 'deformed' time series is the temporally adjusted version to attain an approximate match to the inferred sea level record from Waelbroeck *et al.* (2002) and is an advance on previous work (e.g. Tarasov and Peltier, 1997a; b)

1280

Figure 3: Ensemble probability plots of ice thickness >1 m at 120 ka, 118 ka, 116 ka, 114 ka
and 112 ka.

1283

Figure 4: Evolution of modelled weighted ensemble average of basal velocity for the North American Ice Sheet Complex during major OIS stages at 110 ka (5d), 100 ka (5c), 90 ka (5b), 80 ka (5a), 65 ka (4), 55 ka (early 3), 40 ka (mid 3) and 25 ka (2: ~LGM). For clarity, mean surface elevation contours are at 200 m intervals except for bathymetry and areas under 400 m. The thick light blue line is the ice margin. White areas are at or near to cold-based conditions.

Figure 5: (a) Modelled ice sheet volume of the North American Ice Sheet Complex from the last interglaciation to the LGM in eustatic equivalent meters of sea level (conversion factor of $25.19 \text{ m per } 10^{15} \text{ m}^3$ of ice) and, (b), corresponding ice sheet volume change expressed as rate of metres of sea level equivalent. All ages are calendar yr BP. Mean and two sigma deviation ranges from the calibrated ensemble are shown.

1296

Figure 6: Ensemble distribution of the fraction of warm-based based ice over time. 'Old ensemble' is from Tarasov and Peltier (2007), which did not apply pre-LGM constraints on ice volume and used the 'original' climate interpolation function in Figure 2, contrary to 'new ensemble' from current study.

1301

1302 Figure 7: Pre-LGM time series' of maximum basal velocity near the margin for four fast 1303 flow zones that correspond to the location of 'known' ice streams during deglaciation (cf. 1304 Patterson, 1998; Stokes and Clark, 2001; Winsborrow et al., 2004; see also Fig. 9): (a) 1305 Hudson Strait, (b), M'Clure Strait, (c) Gulf of St Lawrence, and (d), Des Moines Lobe. 1306 Weighted ensemble mean and one standard deviation uncertainties are shown. To minimize 1307 flux loss from ablation in the calculations, velocities were computed one grid cell in from the 1308 ice marginal cell (terrestrial) or at grid cells with grounded ice adjacent to floating ice. Fluxes 1309 were computed using basal ice velocities to isolate the contribution from streaming as 1310 opposed to ice deformation.

1311

Figure 8: (a) Possible extent of the Laurentide Ice Sheet in pre-Middle (Early?) Wisconsinan
time based on an extensive review of geological evidence by Vincent and Prest (1987).
Although some of the evidence used to reconstruct this ice margin has been subsequently re-

interpreted (e.g. England *et al.*, 2009), the margin position is almost identical to our modelled Early Wisconsinan ice sheet at 65 ka (see Fig. 4). (b) Possible maximum extent of the Middle Wisconsinan ice sheet based on an extensive review of geological evidence by Dredge and Thorleifson (1987). Dredge and Thorleifson (1987) acknowledge uncertainty over the precise extent of the ice sheet during OIS 3 and also discuss more minimal configurations. However, the maximum configuration shown here is entirely consistent with our relatively large ice sheet between ca. 45 and 50 ka (Fig. 4).

1322

1323 Figure 9: Correspondence between modelled fast flow features at 40 ka and locations where 1324 ice streams are known to have existed during the deglacial interval. Clockwise: HS = Hudson1325 Strait (e.g. Andrews and MacLean, 2003), LS = Lancaster Sound (e.g. Klassen and Fisher, 1326 1988; De Angelis and Kleman, 2007), MS = Massey Sound (e.g. England *et al.*, 2006); M'CS = M'Clure Strait (e.g. Stokes et al., 2005); AG = Amundsen Gulf (e.g. Stokes et al., 2006), 1327 1328 MT = Mackenzie Trough (e.g. Beget, 1987), CP = Canadian Prairies (e.g. O'Cofaigh *et al.*, 2010), DM = Des Moines Lobe (e.g. Patterson, 1997), LM = Lake Michigan Lobe (e.g. 1329 Kehew *et al.*, 2005) 1330

1331

Figure 10: (a) Total flux from all streaming (>500 m/yr) cells at the margin of the grounded part of ice-sheet through time and, (b), relative area of streaming through time (grid cells >500 m/yr). Both show ensemble mean, one standard deviation, and results for one of the best scoring model runs (nn9925). Note that streaming flux increases through time towards the LGM and is largely modulated by ice sheet volume, see also Fig. 11,

Figure 11: Total flux from all streaming (>500 m/yr) cells at margin of grounded part of icesheet through time as a function of ice volume. Mean ensembles results were decomposed into growth and decay sequences and the 29 ka to 20 ka interval was excluded because of the dynamic facilitation of H-events (see section 3.3). These plots demonstrate the strong dependence of ice stream activity on ice sheet volume with no marked dependence on whether the ice sheet is in a growth or decay stage except at ice volumes greater than about 55 m eustatic equivalent.





climate interpolation function





-90ka (5b)

-80ka (5a)



(m/yr)



-40ka (mid 3)

-25ka (2: LGM)





(m/yr)













Figure 7









