

The Global Biodiversity Framework Needs a Robust Action Agenda

Sander Chan (corresponding author)^{1,2,3}; Steffen Bauer¹; Michele M. Betsill⁴; Frank Biermann³; Idil Boran^{5,6,1}; Peter Bridgewater^{7,8}; Harriet Bulkeley^{9,3}; Mercedes M.C. Bustamente¹⁰; Alexandra Deprez¹¹; Felix Dodds¹²; Michael Hoffmann¹³; Anna-Katharina Hornidge¹; Alice Hughes¹⁴; Pablo Imbach¹⁵; Maria Ivanova¹⁶; Alexandre Köberle¹⁷; Marcel T.J. Kok¹⁸; Shuaib Lwasa¹⁹; Tiffany Morrison²⁰; Hans -O. Pörtner²¹; Agus P. Sari^{22,23}; Stacy D. VanDeveer²⁴; Derek Vollmer²⁵; Oscar Widerberg²⁶; Nathalie Pettorelli (corresponding author)²⁷

Bending the curve of biodiversity loss is a key priority for humanity and requires urgent action¹. The rapid loss of biological diversity threatens human lives, livelihoods and well-being globally, and is reinforcing, and being reinforced by, climate breakdown². In December 2022, the 15th Conference of the Parties (COP15) of the Convention on Biological Diversity will be held in Montreal. It is essential that an ambitious, specific and measurable Global Biodiversity Framework is agreed at this COP. However, governments alone are unlikely to reverse negative trends in biodiversity. We suggest that a Biodiversity Action Agenda that mobilizes nature recovery actions from across society -- including businesses, investors, civil society groups, and local communities -- should be included as a complement to governmental efforts.

If governments can agree on a strong Framework, an Action Agenda can create productive links between multilateral and transnational actions, for example by leveraging capacities from multiple actors, implementing goals, demonstrating solutions, and spurring national governments towards greater ambition^{3,4}. If, however, governments fail to agree on an ambitious Framework, or the subsequent implementation of the agreement suffers from political backlash or a dismantling of national biodiversity policies, the Action Agenda can help sustain action and build momentum. To some extent, this scenario played out when the United States government rolled back climate policies and announced their exit from the Paris Agreement in 2017. US states, cities and businesses responded through a range of efforts as part of the ‘America’s Pledge’, which will significantly lower greenhouse gas emissions despite federal inaction⁵.

A first step to the creation of a Biodiversity Action Agenda was taken in 2018 through the Sharm-el-Sheikh to Kunming Agenda for Nature and People, which has to date generated over 400 commitments but is due to end with COP15. We argue that this Action Agenda should continue beyond COP15 and should be enhanced to better integrate both positive and negative lessons learned from past experiences with other UN action agendas, such as from the Global Climate Action Agenda which records commitments by more than 30,000 actors,^{6,7} or the UN Partnerships for the Sustainable Development Goals⁸, which records more than 6,700 multi-stakeholder partnerships⁹.

Past experiences have shown that short-lived Action Agendas are unlikely to generate catalytic effects, such as growing participation in biodiversity action or stimulating the wider application of successful approaches. A successful Action Agenda that spurs societal actors to contribute to biodiversity goals moreover needs to perform multiple functions over time, such as: facilitating the organization of events and interfaces between public and private actors; recording and evaluating actions to track collective progress; and defining strategic priorities for subsequent mobilization efforts. In the past, Action Agendas related to sustainable development or climate

action, including Partnerships for Sustainable Development, Partnerships for the SDGs, and the Lima-Paris Action Agenda have been administered by single UN secretariats or conference organizers that often have lacked capacities and resources to successfully perform all such functions. In addition, Action Agendas often have narrowly targeted audiences, leading to an overwhelming focus on large businesses and investors in the Global North, and failing to include vulnerable communities or actors based in the Global South. Finally, without well-defined accountability and transparency mechanisms, Action Agendas can provide a stage for commitments that are unsubstantiated or simply represent business-as-usual. Such greenwashing not only risks undermining the Action Agenda, but it can also erode societal engagement in environmental challenges.

We therefore call on Parties to the CBD to include in the Framework at COP 15 an Action Agenda that follows these ‘5Cs’:

- Complementary – works alongside governments to accelerate the implementation of internationally agreed biodiversity, sustainability and climate goals;
- Catalytic – inspires societal (non-state and subnational) actors to take action, and facilitate interfaces between them and governments to reach higher ambition through long-term mobilization and engagement;
- Collaborative – involves other UN conventions, scientists, and existing initiatives that engage societal actors in the design and implementation of the agenda, including the sharing of mobilization, recording, and evaluative functions;
- Comprehensive – mobilizes actions from a diversity of actors including NGOs, marginalized and Indigenous peoples, particularly in the Global South, while facilitating learning across governance levels and regions;
- Credible – facilitates and requires regular reporting to track and evaluate actions to ensure individual and collective progress, and to exclude underperformers.

These 5Cs should characterize an Action Agenda that generates enthusiasm for a diverse array of actors to take biodiversity action. By working alongside other UN Action Agendas, such a Biodiversity Action Agenda could stimulate synergies and co-benefits with climate and human health, while avoiding potential trade-offs, such as large-scale bioenergy and afforestation projects that could provide climate benefits but risk negative effects on biodiversity¹⁰.

Competing interests statement: The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Pettorelli, N. *et al. J Appl Ecol* **58**, 2384-2393 (2021).
2. *Scientific outcome of the IPBES-IPCC co-sponsored workshop on biodiversity and climate change*. (eds Pörtner, H-O. *et al.*) (IPBES secretariat, 2021).
3. Pattberg, P. *et al. Glob. Policy* **10(3)**, 385-390. (2019).
4. Morrison, T. H., *et al. Nat. Sustain.* **3**, 947–955 (2020).
5. Hultman, N. *et al. Nat. Commun.* **11(1)**, 1-10. (2020).
6. UNFCCC. *Global Climate Action Portal* <https://climateaction.unfccc.int> (UNFCCC, 2022)
7. Chan, S. *et al. Glob. Policy* **6(4)**, 466-47 (2015).

8. Beisheim, M., & Simon, N. *Glob. Gov.* **24 (4)**, 497-515 (2018).
9. United Nations. *The Partnership Forum* <https://sdgs.un.org/partnerships> (United Nations, 2022)
10. Deprez, A. *et al. Study* **05/21** (IDDRI, 2021),

List of author affiliations

¹ German Institute of Development and Sustainability (IDOS), Tulpenfeld 6, 53113 Bonn, Germany

² Department of Geography, Planning and Environment, Nijmegen School of Management, Radboud University, 652 XZ Nijmegen, The Netherlands

³ Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Utrecht University, Faculty of Geosciences, Princetonlaan 8a, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁴ Department of Political Science, University of Copenhagen, Øster Farimagsgade 5, 1353 Copenhagen, Denmark

⁵ Dahdaleh Institute for Global Health Research, York University, 4700 Keele Street, Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3, Canada

⁶ Department of Philosophy, York University, 4700 Keele Street, Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3, Canada

⁷ Centre for Heritage and Museum Studies, The Australian National University
Canberra 2601 Australia

⁸ Institute for Applied Ecology University of Canberra Bruce 2614 Australia

⁹ Department of Geography, Durham University, Stockton Road, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom

¹⁰ Department of Ecology, University of Brasília - Campus Universitário Darcy Ribeiro, Asa Norte, Brasília, Brazil

¹¹ Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations (IDDRI-Sciences Po), 41 Rue du Four, 75006 Paris, France

¹² The Water Institute-UNC, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina, Campus Box #7431 Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA

¹³ Conservation Department, Zoological Society of London (ZSL), Regent's Park, NW1 4RY, United Kingdom

¹⁴ School of Biological Sciences, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

¹⁵ CATIE - Centro Agronómico Tropical de Investigación y Enseñanza, 30501, Turrialba, Costa Rica

¹⁶ Northeastern University, 310 Renaissance Park 1135 Tremont Street, Boston, MA 02115, USA

¹⁷ Grantham Institute, Imperial College London, Exhibition Road, South Kensington, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

¹⁸ PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, Bezuidenhoutseweg 30, 2594 AV, The Hague, The Netherlands

¹⁹ Urban Action Lab, Makerere University, University Road, CHUSS Building, Makerere, Wandegaya, Kampala, Uganda

²⁰ James Cook University, 1 James Cook Dr, Douglas QLD 4811, Australia

²¹ Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Am Handelshafen 12, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany

²² Landscape Indonesia, 16th Floor, Gran Rubina (Generali Tower), Episentrum Business Park, Jl. H.R. Rasuna Said, Jakarta 12940, Indonesia

²³ School of Business and Management, Bandung Institute of Technology, Jakarta Campus, Gedung Graha Irama 12th Floor, Jl. H.R. Rasuna Said Kav. 1-2, Kuningan Timur, Jakarta 12950, Indonesia

²⁴ University of Massachusetts Boston, 100 Morrissey Blvd, Boston MA 02125, USA

²⁵ Conservation International, 2011 Crystal Drive, Suite 600, Arlington, Virginia 22202, USA

²⁶ Institute for Environmental Studies (IVM), Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Faculty of Science NU building, 8th floor, Wing A, De Boelelaan 1111, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

²⁷ Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London (ZSL), Regent's Park, NW1 4RY, United Kingdom

Authors and affiliations

German Institute of Development and Sustainability (IDOS), Tulpenfeld 6, 53113 Bonn, Germany

Sander Chan <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7852-3838>

Steffen Bauer

Idil Boran <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6832-152X>

Anna-Katharina Hornidge <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6832-152X>

**Department of Geography, Planning and Environment, Nijmegen School of Management,
Radboud University, 652 XZ Nijmegen, The Netherlands**

Sander Chan <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7852-3838>

**Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Utrecht University, Faculty of
Geosciences, Princetonlaan 8a, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands**

Sander Chan <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7852-3838>

Frank Biermann <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0292-0703>

Harriet A. Bulkeley

**Department of Political Science, University of Copenhagen, Øster Farimagsgade 5, 1353
Copenhagen, Denmark**

Michele M. Betsill <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7090-904X>

**Dahdaleh Institute for Global Health Research, York University, 4700 Keele Street,
Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3, Canada**

Idil Boran <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6832-152X>

**Department of Philosophy, York University, 4700 Keele Street, Toronto, Ontario M3J 1P3,
Canada**

Idil Boran <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6832-152X>

**Centre for Heritage and Museum Studies, The Australian National University
Canberra 2601 Australia**

Peter Bridgewater <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7972-5386>

Institute for Applied Ecology University of Canberra Bruce 2614 Australia

Peter Bridgewater <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7972-5386>

**Department of Geography, Durham University, Stockton Road, Durham DH1 3LE, United
Kingdom**

Harriet Bulkeley

**Department of Ecology, University of Brasília - Campus Universitário Darcy Ribeiro, Asa
Norte, Brasília, Brazil**

Mercedes M.C. Bustamente <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1008-452X>

**Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations (IDDRI-Sciences Po), 41
Rue du Four, 75006 Paris, France**

Alexandra Deprez

**The Water Institute-UNC, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North
Carolina, Campus Box #7431 Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA**

Felix Dodds <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6294-1119>

Conservation Department, Zoological Society of London (ZSL), Regent's Park, NW1 4RY, United Kingdom

Michael Hoffmann <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4785-2254>

School of Biological Sciences, University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam, Hong Kong

Alice Hughes <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4899-3158>

CATIE - Centro Agronómico Tropical de Investigación y Enseñanza, 30501, Turrialba, Costa Rica

Pablo Imbach <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4078-6063>

Northeastern University, 310 Renaissance Park 1135 Tremont Street, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Maria Ivanova

Grantham Institute, Imperial College London, Exhibition Road, South Kensington, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

Alexandre Köberle

PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, Bezuidehouthoutseweg 30, 2594 AV, The Hague, The Netherlands

Marcel T.J. Kok

Urban Action Lab, Makerere University, University Road, CHUSS Building, Makerere, Wandegaya, Kampala, Uganda

Shuaib Lwasa <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4312-2836>

James Cook University, 1 James Cook Dr, Douglas QLD 4811, Australia

Tiffany Morrison <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5433-037X>

Alfred-Wegener-Institut, Am Handelshafen 12, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany

Hans -O. Pörtner <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6535-6575>

Landscape Indonesia, 16th Floor, Gran Rubina (Generali Tower), Episentrum Business Park, Jl. H.R. Rasuna Said, Jakarta 12940, Indonesia

Agus P. Sari

School of Business and Management, Bandung Institute of Technology, Jakarta Campus, Gedung Graha Irama 12th Floor, Jl. H.R. Rasuna Said Kav. 1-2, Kuningan Timur, Jakarta 12950, Indonesia

Agus P. Sari

University of Massachusetts Boston, 100 Morrissey Blvd, Boston MA 02125, USA

Stacy D. VanDeveer

Conservation International, 2011 Crystal Drive, Suite 600, Arlington, Virginia 22202, USA

Derek Vollmer <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3251-3156>

Institute for Environmental Studies (IVM), Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Faculty of Science

NU building, 8th floor, Wing A, De Boelelaan 1111, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Oscar Widerberg <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8088-3709>

Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London (ZSL), Regent's Park, NW1 4RY, United Kingdom

Nathalie Pettorelli <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1594-6208>

Corresponding authors:

Sander Chan, sander.chan@ru.nl

Nathalie Pettorelli, nathalie.pettorelli@ioz.ac.uk