Article

Pyridinamide Ion Pairs: Design Principles for Super-Nucleophiles in **Apolar Organic Solvents**

Veronika Burger, Maximilian Franta, AnnMarie C. O'Donoghue,* Armin R. Ofial,* Ruth M. Gschwind,* and Hendrik Zipse*



established organocatalysts, particularly in low-polarity solvents. Nucleophilicities are furthermore found to correlate well with Brønsted basicities measured in water and with Lewis basicities calculated in dichloromethane. Taken together, these findings provide quantitative guidelines for the future design of highly active Lewis base catalysts.

INTRODUCTION

In the field of organic synthesis, ion pair catalysis has emerged as a powerful tool that leverages the interaction between charged species to enhance catalytic activity and selectivity to facilitate various chemical transformations.¹⁻⁴ Its application extends from classical phase-transfer (PT) catalysis⁵ to asymmetric synthesis.⁶⁻⁹ Recent studies have shown that ion pair catalysis offers unique opportunities for selectivity control and overcomes challenges where conventional methods may fall short.^{10,11} Pyridinamide ion pairs have recently been introduced as a new class of Lewis base catalysts capable of outperforming established structurally related neutral organocatalysts such as 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP, $1)^{12,13}$ and the more reactive 9-azajulolidine $(TCAP, 2)^{14}$ in selected benchmark reactions.^{15,16} In contrast to neutral pyridine-based catalysts 1 and 2, the actual state of the ion pair catalysts shown in Chart 1 depends on a variety of factors, such as the solvent polarity, the choice of additives, and the concentration regime used in catalytic processes. For pyridinamide phosphonium salts, such as 3a and 4a (Chart 1), we have recently outlined a protocol for quantifying their concentration-dependent speciation and the nucleophilicity of the free anion component toward reference electrophiles of known reactivity in organic solvents of low polarity such as dichloromethane (DCM).¹⁷ Most kinetic studies aiming to quantify the reactivity of anionic nucleophiles rely on highly polar solvents such as water, DMSO, and acetonitrile (MeCN), often in combination with additives such as crown ethers to reduce interactions between the cationic counterion and the





reacting anion.¹⁸ The intrinsic nucleophilicity of a free anion is, however, expected to be higher in organic solvents of low polarity (DCM, THF, and toluene) commonly used in organocatalytic transformations. Ion pairs tend to associate in apolar media in a concentration-dependent manner, causing

Received: October 29, 2024 **Revised:** December 13, 2024 Accepted: January 15, 2025 Published: January 31, 2025





nonlinear effects and thus complicating systematic kinetic studies.

Our recently introduced analytical protocol combines conductivity measurements, diffusion-ordered NMR spectroscopy (DOSY) measurements, and photometric kinetic measurements utilizing an ionic strength-controlled benzhydrylium ion methodology and enables us to uniquely link insights into the concentration of ions with their association state and nucleophilicity. For **3a** as a reference system, the results from conductivity and DOSY experiments can best be rationalized by assuming the concentration-dependent formation of the "sandwich"-type cations (**a3a**) and anions (**3a3**) shown in Figure 1, with little interference from the respective



Figure 1. Association types of anion **3** and cation **a** leading to either the 1:1 ion pair **3a**, the sandwich cation **a3a**, or the sandwich anion **3a3** with their respective association constants K_{IP} , $K_{CAC'}$ and K_{ACA} .

1:1 ion pair (3a). Key properties obtained from DOSY experiments in DCM are the concentration-dependent "effective" cation and anion volumes, whose combination with conductivity data then yields the equilibrium constants for all three aggregates 3a, a3a, and 3a3 shown in Figure 1.

While it is, in principle, possible to fit concentrationdependent conductivity and DOSY profiles to only one of the equilibria shown in Figure 1, the best possible fit is obtained when there is simultaneous formation of both sandwich ion species a3a and 3a3. In practical terms, this requires optimization of the scaling factors α (contribution of sandwich cation a3a) and β (contribution of sandwich anion 3a3) shown in Figure 1. In the following, we will refer to this model as the "mixed sandwich ion model" (see the Supporting Information). The accurate description of concentration profiles for all species was then combined with the ionic strength-controlled benzhydrylium method to determine the nucleophilicity of free pyridinamide anions in DCM and MeCN. Based on the results obtained for pyridinamide ion pairs 3a-d and 4a-6a (Chart 1), we aim to extract key design principles for the synthesis of highly reactive pyridinamide ion pair systems.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Conductivity. Conductivity measurements were performed in DCM for salt concentrations ranging from 0.02 to 1.0 mM. At low electrolyte concentrations and for the case of noninteracting ions, the experimentally determined conductivity κ depends on the specific molar conductivity Λ_m and the ion concentration [IP] as expressed in eq 1.

$$\kappa = \Lambda_{\rm m}[{\rm IP}] \tag{1}$$

The conductivity curves for ion pairs composed of anion 3 and cations a-d shown in Figure 2A appear to fall into two



Figure 2. (A) Conductivity profiles for 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3d measured in DCM at 20 $^{\circ}$ C, (B) conductivity profiles for 3a, 4a, 5a, and 6a measured in DCM at 20 $^{\circ}$ C.

separate groups. The first of these includes cations **a** (PPh₄⁺) and **b** (PPh₃NPPh₃⁺) carrying aromatic substituents and displays systematically higher conductivities compared to the second group with cations **c** (NBu₄⁺) and **d** (PBu₄⁺) carrying unbranched aliphatic side chains. For simplicity, we focus our discussion on the cationic sandwich association constants K_{CAC} . Pyridinamide ion pair **3b** shows the weakest degree of association of all ion pairs in DCM with $K_{CAC}(3\mathbf{b}) = 4.65 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-2}$, closely followed by **3a** with $K_{CAC}(3\mathbf{a}) = 6.38 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-2}$. In contrast, ion pairs **3c** and **3d** show systematically higher values with $K_{CAC}(3\mathbf{c}) = 1.01 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-2}$ and $K_{CAC}(3\mathbf{d}) = 1.07 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-2}$, respectively, indicating a higher degree of aggregation in DCM.

Variation of the anion substitution pattern leads, in contrast, to only minor variations in the association behavior, as is easily seen from the similar conductivity curves displayed in Figure 2B. In quantitative terms, this is reflected in the respective association constants of $K_{CAC}(4a) = 6.50 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-2}$, $K_{CAC}(5a) = 5.15 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-2}$, and $K_{CAC}(6a) = 6.75 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-2}$, all of which are in close proximity to one another and quite similar to that of 3a. The conductivity measurements thus clearly document that the lowest degree of aggregation (and thus the highest concentration of free ions) will be obtained with the two phosphonium ions a and b carrying aromatic substituents.

DOSY NMR. Since conductivity data alone cannot determine which sandwich association type predominates for a given pyridinamide ion pair, DOSY NMR measurements were performed in DCM-d₂ at concentrations ranging from 0.005 to 1.0 mM (see Supporting Information, Chapter S4). DOSY measurements at these low concentrations require a

600 MHz spectrometer with a helium cryo probe and measurement times of up to 16 h per sample. The DOSY results were compared to calculated volumes of ions and associated complexes of the respective pyridinamide ion pair which are based on the van der Waals cavities employed in the SMD continuum solvation model at the SMD(DCM)/B3LYP-D3/6-31+G(d) level of theory. Recent DOSY measurements of pyridinamide ion pair 3a and 4a revealed a prevailing cationic sandwich association for 3a and a mainly anionic sandwich association for 4a.¹⁷ The cationic sandwich association is indicated by a higher increase in the hydrodynamic cation volume in comparison to the hydrodynamic anion volume, while the anionic sandwich association displays a distinct crossing point of both ionic volumes when the anion volume surpasses the cation volume of the respective salt in the DOSY measurement.

The concentration-dependent DOSY plots of ion pair 3b, 3c, and 3d display a similar curve with cation volumes larger than expected as shown for 3a. Here, the effective cation volume increases more strongly with increasing concentration than the anion volume, which is most easily rationalized with predominant formation of cationic sandwich complexes of "a3a"-type (see the Supporting Information for details). In contrast, for ion pairs 4a, 5a, and 6a, a crossing point of anion and cation volumes is observed where the anion volume exceeds the cation volume, which is typical for the anionic sandwich complexes of "4a4"-type.

Combined analysis of the conductivity and DOSY NMR data points to a predominance of cation sandwich formation for 3a-d and a predominance of anion sandwich formation for pyridinamide ion pairs 4-6a. This is quantitatively reflected in the scaling factors α and β collected in Table 1. For ion pair 3b and 3d, all experimental observables can be rationalized with excellent accuracy with the cation sandwich model alone; that is, $\alpha/\beta = 100/0$.

Table 1. List of Pyridinamide Ion Pairs with Their Optimized Scale Factors α and β and Association Constants K_{CAC} and K_{ACA} in the Mixed Sandwich Ion Model

| IP | α/β | $\alpha \times K_{\text{CAC}} [\text{M}^{-2}]$ | $\beta \times K_{ACA} [M^{-2}]$ | RMSE |
|----|----------------|--|---------------------------------|------|
| 3a | 44/21 | 2.81×10^{6} | 1.34×10^{6} | 0.43 |
| 3b | 100/0 | 4.65×10^{6} | 0.00 | 0.11 |
| 3c | 33/23 | 3.33×10^{6} | 2.32×10^{6} | 0.61 |
| 3d | 100/0 | 1.07×10^{7} | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| 4a | 12/61 | 7.80×10^{5} | 3.97×10^{6} | 0.35 |
| 5a | 11/67 | 5.67×10^{5} | 3.45×10^{6} | 0.29 |
| 6a | 16/52 | 1.08×10^{6} | 3.51×10^{6} | 0.38 |
| | | | | |

In summary, the conductivity data demonstrate that the association pattern is more influenced by the choice of cation than anion, while the DOSY data reveal a switch from cation sandwich to anion sandwich association when moving from small anion 3 (215 Å³) to larger anions such as 4 (285 Å³), 5 (266 Å³), or 6 (295 Å³) when using a (362 Å³) as the cation component. The size difference between the chosen anion and cation components, as listed in Table 2 thus appears to represent a controlling factor for the speciation of ion pairs in organic solvents of low polarity. For the first two entries 3a and 3b combining aryl phosphonium cations a and b with the comparatively small anion 3, we find a predominance of cationic sandwich association. For the combinations of larger anions 4 - 6 with phosphonium cation a, the volume difference

pubs.acs.org/joc

| Table 2. Analysis of Volume Difference between Anions and |
|---|
| Cations in Pyridinamide Ion Pairs with Aryl Substituted |
| Cations a and b |

| IP | Cation volume $(Å^3)$ | Anion volume $(Å^3)$ | Volume difference ^{<i>a</i>} | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| 3a | 362 | 215 | 147 | |
| 3b | 559 | 215 | 344 | |
| 4a | 362 | 285 | 77 | |
| 5a | 362 | 266 | 96 | |
| 6a | 362 | 295 | 67 | |
| ^{<i>a</i>} Volume difference = cation volume $-$ anion volume. | | | | |

is significantly smaller (<100 Å³) and all experiments point to a mixture of cation and anion sandwich association.

While the difference between anion and cation volume may not be the only factor that determines the association pattern of pyridinamide ion pairs in organic solvents of low polarity, it is a feature that can be adjusted in a straightforward manner to shift the association equilibria toward the formation of cationic sandwich aggregates (accompanied by an increase in the free anion concentration in solution).

Kinetics. To characterize the nucleophilic reactivity of pyridinamide ion pairs, we used the established Mayr benzhydrylium ion method suitable for the quantification of the reactivity of carbon-, nitrogen-, oxygen-, sulfur-, and phosphorus-based nucleophiles in different solvents, ^{19,20} including DMAP (1) and TCAP (2).^{21–23} In order to separate the effects of increasing nucleophile concentration from those of increasing solution ionic strength, we employed the ionic strength-controlled methodology described earlier by adding the non-nucleophilic salts 7a–d composed of BF₄ and the respective cation components (see Chart 2) to the reaction mixture to keep the ionic strength (*I*) constant at *I* = 1.0 mM as the upper concentration limit chosen in conductivity, DOSY, and kinetics measurements.

Chart 2. Structures of Non-nucleophilic Additives 7a-d Employed in Ionic Strength-Controlled Benzhydrylium Measurements

| Ph F, F P(⊕ ⊝B, F Ph F F | Ph_Ph Ph−P(⊕ F,,F N ⊝B,,F Ph−P, F Ph−P, F Ph Ph | ⁿ Bu ⁿ Bu ^F nBu [,] N⊕ ⊖B, ^{,F} nBu ^F F | ⁿ Bu ⁿ Bu ^F ,F _{nBu} P,⊕ ⊖B, nBu F F |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 7a | 7b | 7c | 7d |

The benzhydrylium ion method involves the photometric monitoring of the reactions of colored benzhydrylium salts, such as 8a-8c (Table 3) whose electrophilic reactivities are characterized by the solvent-independent parameters E, with nucleophiles used in excess concentration to achieve kinetics under pseudo-first-order conditions. The first-order rate constants k_{obs} (s⁻¹) can then be obtained by fitting a monoexponential decay function to the decreasing absorption of 8 during the reaction with the nucleophile which is assumed to be the anion of the respective ion pair. *Kinetics in MeCN*. Conductivity measurements showed that pyridinamide salts fully dissociate into anions and cations when dissolved in MeCN. Accordingly, a linear increase of pseudo-first-order rate constants k_{obs} with nucleophile concentrations [A] (= anion concentration or total salt concentration) was observed in the kinetics of reactions of pyridinamide ion pairs with 8 (eq 2).

$$k_{\rm obs} = k_2[\mathbf{A}] \tag{2}$$

Table 3. Second-Order Rate Constants k_2 for the Reactions of DMAP (1), TCAP (2), and Pyridinamide Salts 3a-d, 4a, 5a, and 6a with Reference Electrophiles 8a, 8b, and 8c in MeCN (at 20 °C) Analyzed by Eq 3 to Give the Nucleophile-Specific Reactivity Parameters N and s_N

| | ⊕ BF4 | N BF4 | N Me | ⊕ ⊕ BF4 Me |
|-----------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|
| (lil) E |)₂CH ⁺ (8a) F= –10.04 | (jul) ₂ CH ⁺ (8) <i>E</i> = -9.45 | b) | (ind) ₂ CH ⁺ (8c) <i>E</i> = -8.76 |
| | | $k_2 (M^{-1} s^{-1})$ | | |
| IP | 8a | 8b | 8c | $N(s_{\rm N})$ |
| 1 ^{<i>a</i>} | 2.11×10^{3} | 5.30×10^{3} | 1.29×10^{4} | 15.51 (0.62) ^b |
| 2 ^{<i>c</i>} | 6.30×10^{3} | - | 4.17×10^{4} | $15.60 (0.68)^{b}$ |
| 3a ^d | 7.16×10^{3} | 1.53×10^{4} | 4.13×10^{4} | 16.38 (0.60) |
| 3b | 8.37×10^{3} | 1.37×10^{4} | 4.74×10^{4} | 16.68 (0.59) |
| 3c | 8.45×10^{3} | 1.37×10^{4} | 4.79×10^{4} | 16.68 (0.59) |
| 3d | 8.79×10^{3} | 1.63×10^{4} | 5.03×10^{4} | 16.48 (0.60) |
| 4a ^d | 5.11×10^{4} | 1.36×10^{5} | 3.47×10^{5} | 17.28 (0.65) |
| 5a | 3.45×10^{4} | 9.36×10^4 | 2.30×10^{5} | 17.19 (0.64) |
| 6a | 2.92×10^{4} | 5.95×10^{4} | 1.16×10^{5} | 19.51 (0.47) |

^{*a*}Second-order rate constants k_2 from Refs. ^{21, 22} ^{*b*}Additional k_2 values from Refs.^{21–23} were used to determine N (and s_N). ^{*c*}Second-order rate constants k_2 from Ref 23. ^{*d*}Second-order rate constants k_2 from Ref 17.

$$\log k_2 = s_{\rm N}(N+E) \tag{3}$$

Equation 2 thus yields the second-order rate constants k_2 $(M^{-1} s^{-1})$ for the reactions of pyridinamide ion pair 3a-d, 4-6a with 8a-8c in acetonitrile (Table 3). All salts containing anion 3 display largely similar bimolecular rate constants k_{2} , the spread of individual values amounting to $\pm 10\%$. From all pyridinamide anions studied here, anion 3 is the least reactive species, but still reacts about three times faster with electrophiles 8 than DMAP (1) and similarly fast as TCAP (2). The highest rate constants k_2 were measured for anion 4 with an electron-donating aryl substituent (4-MeO). These k_2 values are approximately eight times larger than those for analogous reactions of 8 with TCAP (2) and closely followed by the rate constants k_2 for anion 5 and anion 6. The nucleophilicity parameters for pyridinamide ion pairs in MeCN were obtained by analyzing the kinetic data according to Mayr–Patz eq 3. They range between N = 16.38 ($s_N = 0.60$) for anion 3 to N = 17.28 ($s_N = 0.65$) for anion 4 with the only outlier being N = 19.51 for anion 6 due to its relatively low nucleophile-specific sensitivity parameter $s_{\rm N} = 0.46$. This makes direct comparison of N values less convenient than the inspection of the second-order rate constants, which amount to $k_2 = 2.92 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for anion **6** and $k_2 = 3.45 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$ s^{-1} for anion 5 in reactions with 8a.

Kinetics in DCM. Reactions of pyridinamide ion pairs $3\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{d}$, 4- $6\mathbf{a}$ with electrophiles 8 in DCM are more complex and require a slightly different approach to determine reliable reactivity parameters (for details, see Ref. 17). We recently developed the ionic strength-controlled method where a nonnucleophilic and structurally related additive salt 7 is added to fulfill the condition of $[\mathbf{IP}]_0 + [7] + [8] = 1.0$ mM in each kinetic measurement. This yields a linear correlation of k_{obs} for $\mathbf{IP} + \mathbf{8}$ reactions in DCM total ion pair concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 1.0 mM. Numerical analysis of all kinetic data accounts for the fact that variable fractions of the nucleophilic pyridinamide anions 3-6 are caught in unreactive cationic sandwich complexes CAC and/or in less reactive anionic sandwich complexes ACA (see Figure 3). The rate constants k_2



Figure 3. Benzhydrylium ion reaction employed for the quantification of nucleophilicities of anion A.

Table 4. Second-Order Rate Constants k_2 of the Reactions of DMAP (1), TCAP (2), and Pyridinamide Salts with Reference Electrophiles 8a, 8b, and 8c in DCM (at 20 °C).

| | $k_2 (M^{-1} s^{-1})$ | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| IP | 8a | 8b | 8c | |
| 1 ^{<i>a</i>} | 6.45×10^{3} | 9.84×10^{3} | 4.96×10^{4} | |
| 2 ^b | 1.42×10^{4} | 3.11×10^{4} | 1.28×10^{5} | |
| 3a ^{b,c} | 5.42×10^{5} | 1.25×10^{6} | 4.64×10^{6} | |
| 3b ^c | 4.92×10^{5} | 1.30×10^{6} | 4.51×10^{6} | |
| $3c^{c}$ | 3.38×10^{5} | 8.32×10^{5} | 3.17×10^{6} | |
| 3d ^c | 3.57×10^{5} | 9.06×10^{5} | 3.45×10^{6} | |
| 4a ^{b,c} | 1.69×10^{6} | 4.19×10^{6} | 1.15×10^{7} | |
| 5a ^c | 1.79×10^{6} | 3.99×10^{6} | 1.23×10^{7} | |
| 6a ^c | 1.14×10^{6} | 3.08×10^{6} | 9.48×10^{6} | |

^aSecond-order rate constants k_2 from Ref 21. ^bSecond-order rate constants k_2 from Ref 17. ^cDetermined at constant ionic strength I = 1.0 mM with the mixed sandwich association model.

collected in Table 4 for the reaction of pyridinamide ion pairs 3a-d, 4-6a with benzhydrylium ions 8a-8c thus reflect the reaction rates of the free anion component at I = 1.0 mM. In DCM as the solvent, the bimolecular rate constant k_2 increases moderately when moving from DMAP (1) to TCAP (2). Meanwhile, we observe a significantly larger increase for pyridinamide ion pairs, which exceed those for 2 by at least



Figure 4. Mayr nucleophilicity parameter N (and s_N) of DMAP (1), TCAP (2), and pyridinamide ion pairs in MeCN and DCM, where all nucleophilicities for ion pairs were obtained by applying the mixed sandwich ion model.

one order of magnitude and the respective k_2 values obtained in MeCN by approximately two orders of magnitude.

Following the same mode of analysis as in Burger et al.¹⁷ for our library of pyridinamide ion pairs, we find the four measured rate constants k_2 for anion 3 to be again largely similar for the systems 3a-3d, the spread of individual values now being somewhat larger at $\pm 23\%$ as compared to acetonitrile. Closer inspection shows the bimolecular rate constants for anion 3 in ion pairs 3a and 3b to be quite similar, as are the rate constants for ion pairs 3c and 3d. As already found in MeCN, the anion reactivity order in DCM is anion 3 < anion 6 < anion 5 \approx anion 4. These measurements establish pyridinamide ion pairs as potent and exceedingly nucleophilic pyridine derivatives in solvents of low polarity (Figure 4). Pyridinamide ion pair 4a displays the highest nucleophilicity, which is in full agreement with the results for selected benchmark reactions performed in CDCl₃ as solvent.^{15,16} The pyridinamide ion pairs studied here are thus significantly more reactive in DCM as the reaction medium compared to cyclic guanidines such as 1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene (TBD)

identified in earlier studies as the most reactive N-nucleophiles with $N/s_N = 16.16/0.75$.³⁴

Testing for Catalytic Efficiency. The reaction of *n*butanol (10) with *p*-tolyl isocyanate (9) to urethane 11 was used as a primary benchmark reaction in earlier studies.¹⁵ The procedure established by Helberg et al.¹⁵ was followed to determine the effective rate constants k_{eff} for the newly synthesized pyridinamide ion pairs. The role of Lewis base catalysts in this reaction may involve both, the initial Lewis base addition to the isocyanate, or the Lewis base complexation of reactant alcohols.^{24–27}

Reaction progress was quantified by ¹H NMR spectroscopy via the integration of well-separated signals. Effective rate constants were obtained by numerical simulations of the turnover curves based on an effective second-order mechanism as described by Helberg et al.¹⁵ TCAP (2) is the most reactive neutral organocatalyst and, as expected based on the nucleophilicity measurements, pyridinamide salt 4a catalyzes the urethane reaction three times faster than TCAP (2), followed by salts 5a and 6a on the scale of effective rate constants k_{eff} (Figure 5). As previous kinetic measurements

pubs.acs.org/joc



Figure 5. Effective rate constants k_{eff} for the benchmark reaction shown in Scheme 1 with 3.0 mol % of catalyst. Data for 1, 2, 3c, and 4a were taken from Ref 15.

indicated, 3c is the least catalytically active ion pair catalyst and is close to DMAP (1).

The effective rate constants k_{eff} obtained in the catalytic benchmark reaction for pyridinamide salts correlate linearly with the rate constants k_2 determined for the reaction with benzhydryl cation 8a as shown in the double logarithmic plot in Figure 6. The good fidelity of this correlation implies that



Figure 6. Correlation of log k_{eff} vs log $k_2(8a)$ for pyridinamide ion pair catalysts.

neither the change in solvent from DCM to CDCl_3 nor the change in the concentration regime is of major importance for the systems studied here. The reactivity parameters obtained from the ionic strength-controlled benzhydrylium method can, either in the form of the individual k_2 values or in their condensed form as N/s_N parameters, thus be expected to be highly useful in the development and analysis of Lewis base-mediated catalytic reactions involving pyridinamide ion pair catalysts (Scheme 1).

 pK_a Values. In order to test whether the reactivities of pyridinamide anions 3-6 toward electrophiles correlate with their Brønsted basicities, their pK_a values were determined in three water/cosolvent mixtures using a spectrophotometric

Scheme 1. Reaction of *n*-Butanol (10) with *p*-Tolyl Isocyanate (9) Employed as Catalytic Benchmark Reaction



titration method at 25 $^{\circ}$ C (Table 5, for details see the Supporting Information). The ionic strength was controlled



| P N N N H N N ⊕ H PA_H | р <i>К</i> _{а1} 25 °С | PA | р <i>К</i> а2 25 °С | O N N N N N N N |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| PA | pK_{a1} | pK_{a2} | Solvent n | nixture (v/v) |
| 1 (DMAP) | 9.85 | - | $H_2O + 2$ | 2.5% MeCN |
| 3 | - | 7.62 ^{<i>a</i>} | $H_2O + 2$ | 2.5% MeCN |
| 4 | 3.57 ^a | 8.85 ^a | $H_2O + 2$ | 2.5% MeCN |
| 5 | 3.44 ^{<i>a</i>} | 8.83 ^a | $H_2O + 2$ | 2.5% MeCN |
| 6 | 2.69 ^a | 8.65 ^a | $H_2O + 2$ | 2.5% MeCN |
| 1 (DMAP) | 8.73 ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>} | - | H_2O/M_0 | eCN = 1:1 |
| IP_3a | - | 7.31 ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>} | H_2O/M_0 | eCN = 1:1 |
| IP_4a | 3.46 ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>} | 8.70 ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>} | H_2O/M_0 | eCN = 1:1 |
| IP_5a | 3.32 ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>} | 8.67 ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>} | H_2O/M_0 | eCN = 1:1 |
| IP_6a | 2.45 ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>} | 8.35 ^{<i>a</i>,<i>b</i>} | H_2O/M_0 | eCN = 1:1 |
| 3 | 7.46 ^c | - | H_2O/DN | MSO = 1:1 |
| 4 | n.d | 8.97 ^c | H_2O/DN | MSO = 1:1 |
| 5 | n.d | 8.92 ^c | H_2O/DM | ASO = 1:1 |
| 6 | n.d | 8.57 ^c | H_2O/DN | ASO = 1:1 |
| a - | _ | | | h . |

^{*a*}Averaged pK_a values over two measurement series. ^{*b*}Conversion factor -0.257 for 50% MeCN²⁹ content applied on pH of the baseline. ^{*c*}Single measurement series.

with KCl in the buffer solution and set to I = 0.3 M. A first pK_a value (referred to as pK_{a1}) can be determined for deprotonation of the protonated pyridine sulfonamide (**PA_H**), while the second pK_a value (referred to as pK_{a2}) describes deprotonation of pyridine sulfonamide (**PA**) towards anions 3-6. That neutral sulfonamides **PA** have a preference for the imino tautomeric form shown in Table 5 is supported by X-ray crystal structures of these systems.¹⁵ The assignment of the tautomeric form **PA_H** is only tentative and rests on X-ray crystal structures of the substrate is measured across the full pH range. For pK_{a2} , the pH-absorbance plot is fitted to eq 4, where A_{obs} is the observed absorbance, A_{max} is the maximum

absorbance of the neutral compound, A_{\min} is the minimum absorbance (at which the substrate is fully deprotonated), pH is that of the used buffer, and K_{a} is the acid dissociation constant for the substrate.

$$A_{\rm obs} = \frac{10^{-\rm pH} \times A_{\rm max} + K_{\rm a} \times A_{\rm min}}{10^{-\rm pH} + K_{\rm a}} \tag{4}$$

The pK_a of the pyridinamide compounds was determined in aqueous solutions, $H_2O/MeCN$ mixtures (volume ratio = 1:1), and $H_2O/DMSO$ mixtures (volume ratio = 1:1). The pK_a of DMAP was chosen as a reference and is in agreement with literature values.²⁸ To exclude a potential interference of the countercation when using pyridinamide salts instead of neutral sulfonamide for the pK_a determination, pyridinamide ion pair **5a** was measured under the same conditions (see , Chapter S6).

The observed pK_a values of sulfonamides were similar in all employed solvent mixtures with sulfonamide 4 being the most basic one in all cases. The overall basicity trend 3 < 6 < 5 < 4also remains the same when switching solvents. Contrary to the sulfonamides, the pK_a value of DMAP responds strongly to changes in solvent: moving from almost pure water to the 1:1 water/MeCN mixture lowers the pK_a value from +9.85 to +8.73. Correlating the measured pK_a values of the sulfonamide anions in the 1:1 H₂O/MeCN solvent mixture with the logarithmic rate constant for reactions of pyridinamide salts with electrophile 8a in MeCN shows a good correlation. However, this correlation appears not to be valid for neutral pyridine Lewis bases such as DMAP (1) (Figure 7).

Computational Studies. To quantify the Lewis basicity of pyridinamide ion pairs, we calculated methyl cation affinities



Figure 7. (A) Correlation of $log(k_{eff})$ vs pK_{a2} values in $H_2O/MeCN = 1:1$ for pyridinamide ion pairs **3a-c**, **4–6a** and pK_{a1} of DMAP (1). (B) Correlation of $log(k_{2:8a})$ in MeCN vs. pK_{a2} values in $H_2O/MeCN = 1:1$ for pyridinamide ion pairs **3a–d**, **4–6a** and pK_{a1} of DMAP (1). The results for DMAP were excluded from the correlation in both cases.

(MCA) at the SMD(DCM)/B3LYP-D3/6-31+G(d) level of theory. This type of relative affinity value has previously been shown to correlate well with experimentally determined rate constants.^{15,30,31} In agreement with those studies, pyridine was chosen as the reference base for the group transfer reaction shown in eq 5 and the relative Lewis basicity toward Me⁺ (Δ MCA) was calculated as the free reaction energy at 298.15 K.

The resulting Δ MCA values are plotted against the experimentally obtained effective rate constant k_{eff} for the synthesis of urethane 11 with a catalyst load of 3.0 mol % in Figure 8, which shows a strong correlation between these two



Figure 8. (A) Correlation of effective rate constant $\log(k_{\rm eff})$ for urethane synthesis (3.0 mol % catalyst loading) with Lewis basicity parameter Δ MCA, and (B) correlation of bimolecular rate constant $\log(k_{2,8a})$ with Lewis basicity parameter Δ MCA calculated at the SMD(DCM)/B3LYP-D3/6-31+G(d) level of theory. The results for DMAP were excluded from the correlation in both cases.

parameters. The relative Lewis basicity parameter Δ MCA displays the same general trend observed for the nucleophilicity and p K_a values and in the effective rate constant $k_{\rm eff}$ in the urethane benchmark reaction. In all measurements, the most basic pyridinamide ion pair 4a achieves the highest value. It should be added that higher catalyst basicity may³² or may not³³ translate into higher reaction rates of catalytic transformations with pyridine-derived Lewis bases.

CONCLUSION

By employing a combination of conductivity, DOSY NMR, and kinetic measurements for a focused library of pyridinamide ion pairs, we were able to elucidate the complex asymmetric association behavior of these ions, which impacts their catalytic

The Journal of Organic Chemistry

performance. Key insights include the strong dependence of the association pattern on the size and structure of the cation, which significantly influences the balance between cationic and anionic sandwich association. The association constants of ion pair 3a-d derived from conductivity data revealed a lower degree of association in ion pairs with larger aryl-substituted cations, which also correlates with their catalytic activity. DOSY NMR results further support this theory and show a predominant cationic sandwich association in ion pairs with small anion and large cation volumes, which is essential for maintaining a higher concentration of free nucleophilic anions in solution.

Kinetic studies using the ionic strength-controlled benzhydrylium method confirmed a superior nucleophilicity of pyridinamide anions in DCM compared to neutral organocatalysts such as TCAP (2). Comparing the bimolecular rate constants k_2 for reactions with cationic reference electrophiles reveals the most nucleophilic pyridinamide compound being anion 4 with a 90 times higher rate constant than TCAP (2). This is also reflected in the determined reaction rate of the urethane benchmark reaction, where 4a catalyzes the reaction seven times more effectively than TCAP (2). Kinetic data for the single step nucleophilicity measurements as well as the multistep catalytic benchmark experiments correlate well with the Brønsted and Lewis basicities of the respective ion pair systems. These latter quantities thus represent, together with the volume parameters obtained from PCM-type calculations, valuable guidelines for the future design of highly reactive ion pair systems.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Data Availability Statement

The data underlying this study are available in the published article and its Supporting Information.

③ Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.joc.4c02668.

Additional experimental and computational details, single crystal X-ray data, analysis procedures and methods, including step by step descriptions (PDF)

Accession Codes

Deposition Numbers 2310788–2310789 and 2386693–2386697 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- AnnMarie C. O'Donoghue Department of Chemistry, Durham University, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom; Email: annmarie.odonoghue@durham.ac.uk
- Armin R. Ofial Department of Chemistry, Ludwig-Maximilians Universität München, 81377 München, Germany; Email: armin.ofial@cup.uni-muenchen.de

Ruth M. Gschwind – Institute for Organic Chemistry, University Regensburg, 93053 Regensburg, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0003-3052-0077;

Email: ruth.gschwind@chemie.uni-regensburg.de

Hendrik Zipse – Department of Chemistry, Ludwig-Maximilians Universität München, 81377 München, *Germany;* orcid.org/0000-0002-0534-3585; Email: zipse@cup.uni-muenchen.de

Authors

Veronika Burger – Department of Chemistry, Ludwig-Maximilians Universität München, 81377 München, Germany

Maximilian Franta – Institute for Organic Chemistry, University Regensburg, 93053 Regensburg, Germany

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.joc.4c02668

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through the contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – 426795949 through the Research Training Group (RTG) 2620 "Ion Pair Effects in Molecular Reactivity".

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to Nathalie Hampel (LMU) for the synthesis of 8a-8c, Dr. Fabian Zott (LMU) for help with kinetics simulations, and Christian Scholtes (UR) for providing a Python script for the DOSY evaluation.

ABBREVIATIONS

DCM, dichloromethane; DMAP, 4-dimethylaminopyridine; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; DOSY, diffusion-ordered NMR spectroscopy; IP, ion pair; MCA, methyl cation affinity; MeCN, acetonitrile; PA, pyridinamide; TCAP, 9-azajulolidine

REFERENCES

(1) Yang, Z.; Xu, C.; Zhou, X.; Cheong, C. B.; Kee, C. W.; Tan, C. H. A Chiral Pentanidium and Pyridinyl-Sulphonamide Ion Pair as an Enantioselective Organocatalyst for Steglich Rearrangement. *Chem. Sci.* **2023**, *14* (45), 13184–13190.

(2) Yang, X.; Birman, V. B. Acyl Transfer Catalysis with 1,2,4-Triazole Anion. Org. Lett. 2009, 11 (7), 1499–1502.

(3) Lyons, D. J. M.; Empel, C.; Pace, D. P.; Dinh, A. H.; Mai, B. K.; Koenigs, R. M.; Nguyen, T. V. Tropolonate Salts as Acyl-Transfer Catalysts under Thermal and Photochemical Conditions: Reaction Scope and Mechanistic Insights. *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *10* (21), 12596– 12606.

(4) Dale, H. J. A.; Hodges, G. R.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C. Kinetics and Mechanism of Azole $N-\pi^*$ -Catalyzed Amine Acylation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2023**, 145 (32), 18126–18140.

(5) Shirakawa, S.; Maruoka, K. Recent Developments in Asymmetric Phase-Transfer Reactions. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52* (16), 4312–4348.

(6) Brak, K.; Jacobsen, E. N. Asymmetric Ion-Pairing Catalysis. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52 (2), 534–561.

(7) Merten, C.; Pollok, C. H.; Liao, S.; List, B. Stereochemical Communication within a Chiral Ion Pair Catalyst. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54* (30), 8841–8845.

(8) Mahlau, M.; List, B. Asymmetric Counteranion-Directed Catalysis: Concept, Definition, and Applications. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52* (2), 518–533.

(9) Phipps, R. J.; Hamilton, G. L.; Toste, F. D. The Progression of Chiral Anions from Concepts to Applications in Asymmetric Catalysis. *Nat. Chem.* **2012**, *4*, 603–614.

2305

(10) Gillespie, J. E.; Fanourakis, A.; Phipps, R. J. Strategies That Utilize Ion Pairing Interactions to Exert Selectivity Control in the Functionalization of C-H Bonds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *144*, 18195–18211.

(11) Iribarren, I.; Mates-Torres, E.; Trujillo, C. Revisiting Ion-Pair Interactions in Phase Transfer Catalysis: From Ionic Compounds to Real Catalyst Systems. *Dalton Trans.* **2024**, *53*, 1322–1335.

(12) Litvinenko, L. M. Basicity and Stereospecificity in Nucleophile Catalysis by Tertiary Amines. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **1967**, *176*, 97. (13) Steglich, W.; Höfle, G. N,N-Dimethyl-4-pyridinamine, a Very Effective Acylation Catalyst. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1969**, *8* (12), 981–981.

(14) Heinrich, M. R.; Klisa, H. S.; Mayr, H.; Steglich, W.; Zipse, H. Enhancing the Catalytic Activity of 4-(Dialkylamino)Pyridines by Conformational Fixation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, 42 (39), 4826–4828.

(15) Helberg, J.; Ampßler, T.; Zipse, H. Pyridinyl Amide Ion Pairs as Lewis Base Organocatalysts. *J. Org. Chem.* **2020**, 85 (8), 5390–5402. (16) Dempsey, S. H.; Lovstedt, A.; Kass, S. R. Electrostatically Enhanced 3- and 4-Pyridyl Borate Salt Nucleophiles and Bases. *J. Org. Chem.* **2023**, 88 (15), 10525–10538.

(17) Burger, V.; Franta, M.; Ofial, A. R.; Gschwind, R. M.; Zipse, H. Highly Nucleophilic Pyridinamide Anions in Apolar Organic Solvents Due to Asymmetric Ion Pair Association. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2025**, DOI: 10.1021/jcas.4c14825.

(18) Liotta, C. L.; Harris, H. P. The Chemistry of "Naked" Anions. I. Reactions of the 18-Crown-6 Complex of Potassium Fluoride with Organic Substrates in Aprotic Organic Solvents. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, 96 (7), 2250–2252.

(19) A database of published reactivity parameters E, N, and s_N is freely accessible at: https://www.cup.lmu.de/oc/mayr/reaktionsdatenbank2/. (Accessed: 12 December 2024).

(20) Mayr, H. Reactivity Scales for Quantifying Polar Organic Reactivity: The Benzhydrylium Methodology. *Tetrahedron* **2015**, *71* (32), 5095–51111.

(21) Brotzel, F.; Kempf, B.; Singer, T.; Zipse, H.; Mayr, H. Nucleophilicities and Carbon Basicities of Pyridines. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2006**, *13* (1), 336–345.

(22) Nigst, T. A.; Ammer, J.; Mayr, H. Photogeneration of Benzhydryl Cations by Near-UV Laser Flash Photolysis of Pyridinium Salts. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2012**, *116* (33), 8494–8499.

(23) Tandon, R.; Unzner, T.; Nigst, T. A.; De Rycke, N.; Mayer, P.; Wendt, B.; David, O. R. P.; Zipse, H. Annelated Pyridines as Highly Nucleophilic and Lewis Basic Catalysts for Acylation Reactions. *Chem.* - *Eur. J.* **2013**, *19* (20), 6435–6442.

(24) Helberg, J.; Oe, Y.; Zipse, H. Mechanistic Analysis and Characterization of Intermediates in the Phosphane-Catalyzed Oligomerization of Isocyanates. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 14387–14391.

(25) Bacaloglu, R.; Cotarca, L.; Marcu, N.; Tölgyi, S. Kinetics and mechanism of isocyanate reactions. II. Reactions of Aryl Isocyanates with Alcohols in the presence ob tertiary amines. *J. Prakt. Chem.* **1988**, 330, 530–540.

(26) Alsarraf, J.; Ammar, Y. A.; Robert, F.; Cloutet, E.; Cramail, H.; Landais, Y. Cyclic Guanidines as Efficient Organocatalysts for the Synthesis of Polyurethanes. *Macromolecules* **2012**, *45*, 2249–2256.

(27) Sardon, H.; Pascual, A.; Mecerreyes, D.; Taton, D.; Cramail, H.; Hedrick, J. L. Synthesis of Polyurethanes Using Organocatalysis: A Perspective. *Macromolecules* **2015**, *48*, 3153–3165.

(28) Sooväli, L.; Rodima, T.; Kaljurand, I.; Kütt, A.; Koppel, I. A.; Leito, I. Basicity of Some P1 Phosphazenes in Water and in Aqueous Surfactant Solution. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2006**, *4* (11), 2100–2105.

(29) Gagliardi, L. G.; Castells, C. B.; Ràfols, C.; Rosés, M.; Bosch, E. δ Conversion Parameter between pH Scales in Acetonitrile/Water Mixtures at Various Compositions and Temperatures. *Anal. Chem.* **2007**, 79 (8), 3180–3187.

(30) Marin-Luna, M.; Patschinski, P.; Zipse, H. Substituent Effects in the Silylation of Secondary Alcohols: A Mechanistic Study. *Chem.* - *Eur. J.* **2018**, *24* (56), 15052–15058.

(31) Mayr, S.; Marin-Luna, M.; Zipse, H. Size-Driven Inversion of Selectivity in Esterification Reactions: Secondary Beat Primary Alcohols. *J. Org. Chem.* **2021**, *86* (4), 3456–3489.

(32) Richard, N. A.; Charlton, G. D.; Dyker, C. A. Enhancing catalytic activity of pyridines via para-iminophosphorano substituents. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2021**, *19*, 9167–9171.

(33) Kluga, R.; Kinens, A.; Suna, E. Chiral 4-MeO-Pyridine (MOPY) Catalyst for Enantioselective Cyclopropanation: Attenuation of Lewis Basicity Leads to Improved Catalytic Efficiency. *Chem.* - *Eur. J.* **2024**, *30*, No. e202301136.

(34) Maji, B.; Stephenson, D. S.; Mayr, H. Guanidines: Highly Nucleophilic Organocatalysts. *ChemCatChem* **2012**, *4*, 993–999.