

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Mental Health and Physical Activity



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/menpa

Barriers and facilitators to increasing physical activity in medium secure mental health settings: An exploration of staff perceptions

Kiara Lewis^{a,*}, Gloria Roden-Lui^b, Guy Faulkner^c, Simon Gibbon^d, Catherine Hewitt^e, Elizabeth Hughes^f, Wajid Khan^g, Mike Lucock^h, Bal Singhⁱ, Phil Walters^j, Judith Watson^k, Tammi Walker¹

^a Sport and Exercise Sciences, Birmingham City University, Birmingham, England, UK

- ^f Substance Use Research, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, Scotland, UK
- ^g the South West Yorkshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Wakefield, England, UK
- ^h Clinical Psychology, University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, England, UK

^j The Creative Minds Charity, South West Yorkshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Wakefield, England, UK

^k York Trials Unit, University of York, York, England, UK

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Keywords: Purpose: The benefits of physical activity for people with severe mental illness (SMI) is widely recognised but for Oualitative those in medium secure settings there are additional environmental barriers to being active that have not been Focus groups fully explored. The aim of this study was to explore the perceived barriers and facilitators from the perspective of Severe mental illness staff within the medium secure setting. Secure service Method: Semi-structured focus groups were conducted with qualified and unqualified staff (n = 24) across two Physical activity UK medium secure NHS settings. Michie's COM-B framework was used to inform the topic guide and the analysis Barriers of the data. Facilitators Results: The opportunities to be active in medium secure settings depend not only on access to facilities but also COM-B staff availability and willingness to support such activities. When an individualised approach is taken, and staff are skilled and motivated to support such activities then it is possible for people with SMI in medium secure settings to be physically active. Conclusion: People with SMI in secure settings have reduced autonomy to increase their own physical activities but it was suggested that with the appropriate opportunities and the motivation of staff their capability to be active could be enhanced.

1. Introduction

Individuals with severe mental illness (SMI) have poor physical health and typically a shorter life span than the rest of population by 20–25 years, due to health issues such as obesity, high cholesterol, and respiratory problems (Correll et al., 2017; De Hert et al., 2011). Sedentary behaviour and low physical activity are independent risk factors for cardiovascular disease and premature mortality in people with SMI (Vancampfort et al., 2017).

Physical activity (PA) interventions have been shown to improve the physical and mental health of people with SMI (Kandola & Osborn, 2022; McKeon et al., 2022; Vancampfort & Faulkner, 2014). The notion that PA can improve depressive symptoms is well established (Farmer et al., 1988; Mendez-Aguado et al., 2023)). It is not a novel idea to incorporate PA into treatment plans for people with SMI. Novelty comes from the execution and implementation of the PA intervention to

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: kiara.lewis@bcu.ac.uk (K. Lewis).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhpa.2024.100663

Received 17 July 2024; Received in revised form 15 November 2024; Accepted 5 December 2024 Available online 7 December 2024

1755-2966/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^b Durham University, Durham, England, UK

^c the School of Kinesiology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

^d Forensic Psychiatrist, Nottinghamshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Nottingham, England, UK

e Trials and Statistics, Director of York Trials Unit, University of York, York, England, UK

ⁱ South West Yorkshire Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Wakefield, England, UK

¹ Forensic Psychology, Durham University, Durham, England, UK

achieve increases in activity levels, as amotivation (a lack of motivation to engage in any activity [Deci & Ryan, 1985]), which often accompanies SMI, can affect participation (Anthony et al., 2020; Vancampfort et al., 2015). Furthermore, the environment can often be restrictive in hospital settings by limiting opportunities for habitual and recreational physical activity and the implementation of novel PA interventions (Faulkner et al., 2009).

Due to complex environmental factors of medium secure mental health settings, there are often restrictions and limited research conducted with service users in this population (Faulkner, 2004; MacInnes et al., 2011; Völlm et al., 2017). Thus, there is limited evidence available on PA and its effects on physical and psychological health for service users residing in such settings, in addition to a lack of research on the implementation of PA interventions. Secure psychiatric settings have been categorised as either low, medium or high. Low is when service users are impeded from leaving due to the risk of harm to themselves or others, medium is when service users must be restricted, for the same reasons, and high is when they should not be able to leave as they present an immediate and grave danger to the public (NHS, 2021). When reviewing the literature, it is important to understand from which setting any interventions have been implemented to understand the environmental context. However, in both individual studies and reviews of the literature the context is often insufficiently reported to fully comprehend the settings. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Firth et al. (2016) included studies from community-based outfits (65%) and psychiatric units (security level unknown - 35%). They found that improving physical health, improving mood, losing weight, and reducing stress, were key motivators for patients with SMI to engage in physical activity. Furthermore, supervision and delivery by qualified professionals, such as an exercise therapist, improved adherence of people with schizophrenia in PA intervention trials (Firth et al., 2016; Glowaki et al., 2019; Vancampfort et al., 2016).

A review of studies to explore and identify physical activity determinants in secure settings (including low, medium and high), suggested a need for further information on the barriers and facilitators to exercise and the monitoring of exercise intensity by devise-based measures in PA following an intervention (Rogers et al., 2018). Only two studies in the Rogers et al. (2018) review looked specifically at barriers. One of these (Bacon et al., 2012) used WiFitt as an intervention and just two participants. The other, Firth et al., 2017 was in a community setting where low motivation was identified as a barrier. Other common barriers discussed in the review were that exercise was not prioritised, staff had a lack of knowledge and training, and the environment was unsuitable (Rogers et al., 2018). Ringen et al., 2018 in their systematic review of physical activity outcomes in secure mental health settings suggest physical activity can be effective but service users may be reluctant to participate and there is a lack of opportunity. Only three studies in forensic settings were included in the review and given the low number of participants they recommend further research is undertaken in this area. A recent systematic review of barriers to exercise as an intervention in SMI (McKenna et al., 2024) using a narrative synthesis approach, found only 2 studies in secure settings (Every-Palmer et al., 2019 in New Zealand and Long-Mason et al., 2014 in the UK). Barriers included personal factors such as low motivation, impact of medication, a lack of social support and environmental barriers leading to a lack of autonomy due to highly restrictive practices (Every-Palmer et al., 2018). The first qualitative study with individuals living in secure psychiatric services (Rogers et al., 2021a) concluded there are environmental as well as personal barriers and a 'holistic culture of inactivity exists' they suggest that exploring the subordinate role given to physical health (over mental health) is essential if we are to understand how it can be integrated into services. Long and Mason (2014) also identified a lack of staff responsible for exercise and low priority over other sessions (Long & Mason, 2014). This corresponds with studies of staff perceptions which suggest safeguarding procedures and conflicting views on whether or not exercise promotion is their responsibility, are potential barriers to exercise promotion in secure settings (Kinnafick et al., 2018). In addition, high secure services are compelled to offer facilities to support service users physical as well as mental health (NHS, 2021) whereas low security have more freedom to use community services. However, the service users of medium secure services have limited freedoms, but not the same level of access and opportunities to be active on-site as offered in high security services. The evidence for successful interventions in medium secure settings is limited and further insights are required as to how they can be successfully designed, implemented and integrated.

The IMPACT study investigated the feasibility of designing, implementing and evaluating a co-produced PA intervention and had four complimentary phases involving two UK National Health Service (NHS) medium secure services. Phases 1-2 gathered information on barriers and facilitators to develop an evidence-based PA intervention in Phase 3. Phase 4 tested the feasibility and acceptability of the PA intervention. This paper focuses on Phase 1 which aimed to explore staff perceptions of the barriers and facilitators to increasing physical activity in a medium secure mental health service. Identifying these barriers and facilitators was considered a critical first formative step in the developing the intervention. Information from service users was also collected and is reported elsewhere, the focus of this study was to understand staff perceptions of the barriers and facilitators as previous research (Kinnafick et al., 2018; Stubbs et al., 2017) suggests they are fundamental in providing physical health services and therefore understanding their views is essential to planning future interventions.

2. Methods

2.1. Design

A qualitative study was conducted using focus groups with qualified* and non-qualified staff from 2 NHS medium secure mental health services, employed for a minimum of 3 months at the service. *Registered members of a professional body that oversees qualification and training.

The study took place in two UK NHS medium secure mental health services (Study Site A and Study Site B), which provide inpatient treatment and care to adult service users with serious mental health problems and who present a serious risk of harm to others and/or to themselves. Service users in these settings are detained under the Mental Health Act 1983 (amended in 2007) and are prevented from leaving the hospital without authorisation from their Responsible Clinician. Study Site A was based in a mixed rural and urban area in the North of England, UK and had 90 beds and 7 wards. Study Site B was based in a city in the Midlands of England, UK and had 102 beds and 6 wards. The service users at these sites have a formal diagnosis which may include: schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, emotionally unstable personality disorder, dissocial personality disorder, paranoid schizophrenia. The exercise options available varied between sites and wards but included chair-based activities, low impact circuits, dance aerobics (ward activities), walking football, badminton (off ward) and swimming, community gym (off-site activities).

2.2. Sampling and recruitment

Staff were purposively recruited (Palinkas et al., 2015) between November 2021 to April 2022 by the research team. Emails were sent to staff inviting them to participate in a focus group. Inclusion criteria for recruiting the hospital staff to participate were 1) aged 18 or above and 2) had been employed at the medium secure service for more than 3 months.

2.3. Data collection

After written informed consent had been obtained, semi-structured focus groups were facilitated by GL at both sites in person, with the support of TW and SG, and NHS Research Delivery Officers. The topic guide was developed using the Capability, Opportunity and Motivation for Behaviour Change model (COM-B) (Michie et al., 2014) as a structural base but participants were encouraged to explore different sub-topics if they felt there were important issues to discuss. The COM-B is a framework that supports identifying factors within the categories of Capability, Opportunity, and Motivation, which has been shown to be effective when trying to develop and implement behaviour change interventions (Baxter et al., 2022). The COM-B model synthesis key theoretical constructs from a range of different behaviour change frameworks, it is sufficiently broad as to be applicable to a range of behaviours (Michie et al., 2014) and has been used frequently to explain physical activity behaviour (Baxter et al., 2022; Ellis et al., 2019; Flannery et al., 2018) and to understand the behaviour of people with SMI (Brigg et al., 2022; Mangurian et al., 2017; Mishu et al., 2022). Questions included asking staff what they perceived as the main reasons for preventing service user's physical activity and what differences existed in terms of freedom of movement and risk related restrictions (see focus group guide).

2.4. Data analysis

Data were analysed using framework analysis (Skivington et al., 2021) with a priori themes aligned to the COM-model where appropriate. Framework analysis was chosen as it allows for a priori research questions whilst also allowing for issues to fall outside of the model where appropriate. Framework analysis requires 5 steps, the first of which is data familiarisation, followed by identifying a thematic framework, in this case the COM-B framework provided the starting point. The third step involves indexing all data against the framework, before charting the summarized data and finally mapping and interpretation of patterns (themes) (Ritchie & Spencer, 1994). The focus groups were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim with numbers ascribed to each participant. In this instance the transcripts were read multiple times by GL to allow familiarity of the data before being inputted into NVivo, 2019. The framework was created by GL, and then reviewed by TW and KL. All authors reviewed and refined the identified themes to ensure they reflected the original data. This method of analysis has been previously used to analyse barriers and facilitators to behaviour change using the COM-B model (Atkins et al., 2020; Brown et al., 2024; Cheung et al., 2023).

2.5. Reflexivity

The research team included a range of academic, professionals and those with lived experience of medium secure services. They made up the research management group, there was also a separate research steering group to oversee the process. Again, this included a range of professionals and those with experiences of working and residing in medium secure services. We were aware of the power dynamics that exist within secure settings (Rogers et al., 2021b) and were therefore keen for our presence as outsiders to allow for all voices within the setting to be heard. This stage of the research involved staff with varying degrees of knowledge, understanding and empathy towards physical activity and varying degrees of power within the setting. The researchers engaged in the data collection spent considerable time within both settings getting to know the staff and service users and the focus group guide was deliberately kept broad to allow for discussions to move into any areas thought relevant to staff. This phase of the research was to support the co-production of a physical activity intervention with service users therefore the focus group guide was reviewed by those with lived experience as service users as part of the research steering group and research management group to ensure it would capture all data relevant for the next stage of the research. Once data was collected and analysed the findings were discussed with the wider research management and steering group to ensure any preconceptions of the secure

units were not impacting the findings. The collaborative research approach required active and ongoing exploration of the motives, expectations and assumptions of all involved (interpersonal reflexivity) (Olmos-Vega et al., 2023). An example being that discussions on barriers to increasing PA can often be rooted to the lack of freedom and autonomy held by service users. The authors of this paper discussed how researchers can unknowingly be significantly negatively affected by these discussions, especially when there is a need to continuously familiarise and review the content to generate analysis of the data. The authors discussed how the continued reminder to the lack of freedom and autonomy held by service users could be internalised by the researchers and thus affecting the analysis of the data. This point highlights the importance of reflecting on discussions with others and ensuring the views and attitudes of the focus group participants are cognisant of any previous expectations of the researchers involved in the data collection and analysis.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was gained from Northeast - Newcastle & North Tyneside 2 Research Ethics Committee REC reference: 21/NE/0080 IRAS project ID: 297420. Focus groups commenced after informed consent was obtained and participant names have been pseudonymized. This study was funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) [Applied Research Collaboration North-East and North Cumbria (NIHR, 207420)].

3. Results

3.1. Participants

Twenty-four hospital staff took part in four focus groups, two per site. In study site A, eight hospital staff were recruited and in study site B, sixteen hospital staff were recruited across the four focus groups. A mix of hospital staff participated, See Table 1.

3.2. Themes

The results are presented as per the framework which is aligned to the COM-B model (see Fig. 1).

The use of a priori theme meant we were specifically looking for barriers and facilities related to Capability, Opportunity and Motivation and the subthemes within these 3 categories. If there were no data to support anyone of these themes, then they were left blank (this did not occur). If there were any new themes that did not fit within the framework then these would have been added (but again all the themes fitted within the COM-B framework). The fact the participants raised issues from all components of the COM-B supports its utility and comprehensiveness as a framework. It is also likely to be as a result of the questions and probes being framed around the COM-B model (see focus group guide). The Themes are presented for each element and an example of a quote from staff is used to illustrate how the data is represented within

Job roles of the focus group participants.

Job Role	Number of participants
Day co-ordinator	3
Occupational Therapist	2
Occupational Therapist Assistant	1
Sport and leisure facilitator	4
Research fellow	1
Doctor/trainee doctor	4
Nurse	5
Consultant	1
Psychologist	1
Ward Manager	2

K. Lewis et al.



the theme and whether this is regarded as a barrier of facilitator (see Tables 2–8).

3.2.1. Capability (physical, cognitive, knowledge)-

Physical capability – it was suggested by staff that although some service users were already physically fit (and not interested in the activities on offer) it was more often the case that poor physical fitness restricted their ability to participate. To overcome this an individualised approach is needed to support the various levels of physical ability of the service users and staff with knowledge of physical activity are required (see Table 2).

Cognitive capability– similarly the cognitive capability of service users was deemed as both a potential barrier and facilitator as both their mental health and the impact of medication could prevent them from being active. This overlaps with motivation which it could be argued is what affects the participation. It was believed by staff that goal setting is an important tool to aid participation (see Table 3).

Knowledge capability– knowledge of both the benefits and recommendations for physical activity were seen as important for both service users and staff with a lack of them being a barrier and increasing them a facilitator (see Table 4).

Table 2

Theme	Barrier or Facilitator	
Physical health impacting activities	Barrier	We have had some people that have had to have restricted exercise programmes because they have certain conditions but we always try to work around that. (P24)
Graded and individual plans	Facilitator	We do one to one sessions as well that is tailored for people who may have COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) or they have got issues with overweight. (P19)
Disengaging due to better physical health	Barrier	Some service users already have high fitness levels and so will disengage with what we offer. (P20)

Mental Health and Physical Activity 28 (2025) 100663

Table 3

Capability - cognitive barriers and facilitators.

Theme	Barrier or Facilitator	Supporting quote
Symptoms of mental health	Barrier	If people are really unwell, they tend to want to stay in their room/bed. (P7)
Side effect of medication	Barrier	Some medication can make them feel drowsy and tired, even dizzy, so we need to be careful in the gym. (P19)
Ability to develop goal setting	Facilitator	A simple goal can work a bit better so like to attend a field walk a week or to go to the gym twice a week or something could be better than something too specific. (P24)

Table 4

Capability –	knowledge	barriers and	facilitators.
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 -		

Theme	Barrier or Facilitator	Supporting quote
Staff lack of awareness and understanding	Barrier	A lot of staff may not be well informed in activities or nutrients so they might be providing the wrong information to patients, providing the wrong food or wrong activities. (P20)
Knowledge of recommendations and benefits of activities	Facilitator	The government's recommendation is 150 min of physical activity per week has started to come into secure environments under the managing healthy weight documents, so they are trying to incorporate that. (P20)

Table 5

Opportunity - physical	barriers a	and f	acilitators.
------------------------	------------	-------	--------------

Theme	Barrier or Facilitator	Supporting quote
Lack of staff	Barrier	At the minute they can't get enough access to gym or sports hall because of staffing and referral system. (P7)
Increased on-site activities	Facilitator	Service users should have up to four activities a day to engage in or a form of physical activity, which is varied, for them to choose from. (P20)

Table 6

Opportunity - social barriers and facilitators.

Theme	Barrier or Facilitator	Supporting quote
Staffing matters – attitudes and engagement	Barrier	We know that coming into secure hospital, people who are discharged will have a high morbidity and early age death from physical illness, cardiovascular disease and what have you. I think having a greater holistic approach, perhaps from the medics would help the patients. (P20)
Support from staff	Facilitator	Patients absolutely love it when we get involved in the gym working out alongside them or with them and then even playing sports as well. It makes it a lot better for us, the sessions are a lot better, the quality of sessions are a lot better as well. (P18)

3.2.2. Opportunity (physical, social)-

Physical opportunity– the physical presence of facilities was recognised as important, both on and off-ward as was having sufficient staff to support the activities (see Table 5).

Social opportunity – in addition to facilities the role of the social environment was recognised in supporting physically active behaviour, the level of engagement and attitude of staff can be equally important as their presence, in providing opportunities to be active (see Table 6).

Table 7

Motivation - reflective barriers and facilitators.

Theme	Barrier or Facilitator	Supporting quote
Hospital values and priorities	Barrier	I think the priority of the hospital, as a culture, would be psychological intervention take priority. (P20)
Mental health	Barrier	A lot of patients have negative symptoms of schizophrenia and not feeling motivated. It is really hard for some people to get out of bed, let alone to get to the gym. (P24)
External leave as a motivator for service users	Facilitator	When they lose section 17 leave (their opportunity to leave the service for a restricted time) it kills their motivation and everything. Especially when their only form of exercise was going on those walks on their leave. (P16)
CQUIN (Commissioning for Quality and Innovation reward scheme) policies used as a motivator for staff	Facilitator	We know there is a CQUIN that is associated to healthy lifestyles, but we know that it has been diluted so much over the months that it isn't meaningful anymore, in terms of how it is perceived by my clinical team anyway. (P6)

Table 8

Motivation - automative barriers and facilitators.

Theme	Barrier or Facilitator	Supporting quote
Lack of autonomy of service users	Barrier	You have to negotiate the day in the morning but if a patient doesn't get up and say they wanted to go to the gym, then there is a knock on effect of not being able to go/ access being suspended. (P20)
Impact of medication on mental stability	Facilitator	Most of the service users who are on stable medication and stable mental state know they have to do some physical activities. (P8)

3.2.3. Motivation (reflective, automative)-

Reflective motivation - The restrictive practices and hierarchy of 'psychological interventions' means that physical health of service users can be lower priority. This could be improved by giving incentives to staff for promoting physical health which may be useful in increasing staff motivation to support service users to be more active. It was felt that increasing autonomy over when and how they are active is regarded as important to service users (see Table 7).

Automative motivation— it was acknowledged that alongside a lack of autonomy preventing activity when motivated, it can be difficult for service users to fit activity into their daily routine, however the medication can help in planning activity in this instance (see Table 8).

4. Discussion

Overall, through the focus groups, those working within medium secure services were able to identify several barriers and facilitators to service user's physical activity. Some of these were to do with the setting itself and the practicalities surrounding supporting service users to be active. Others were more to do with the staff, and service user's, attitudes towards being physically active and some were specific to the mental health (and resultant medication) of the service users. These findings and the implications for interventions to increase physical activity will be discussed below.

The findings were analysed within the COM-B framework and

suggest that all 3 elements of the model were relevant in this setting. We identified that barriers and facilitators existed in all 3 categories for both the staff and service users in the medium secure services. At times the barriers and or facilitators potentially overlapped. For example, the service user's mental health may impact their physical and cognitive capability to be active (not being able to get out of bed), however this also impacts motivation. Whilst the framework encourages us to view barriers and facilitators in discrete categories, the means of overcoming barriers may involve addressing a range of issues together.

This study also illustrates the complication of having a serious mental illness and losing autonomy over your daily life whilst being in a medium secure setting. For example, if the person's mental illness is making it difficult for them to get out of bed, they cannot compensate by being active later in the day. This is illustrated in the quote above 'You have to negotiate the day in the morning but if a patient doesn't get up and say they wanted to go to the gym, then there is a knock-on effect of not being able to go/access being suspended' (P20). This element of inflexibility and need to fit in with the service's priority means there are additional barriers to activity for people with SMI who are confined in secure settings. Whilst the barriers to being active for people with SMI have been studied before the additional potential barriers for those in medium secure settings has been given little attention. Every-Palmer et al., (2019) and Rogers et al. (2021a) in their studies also suggested that the restrictive practices of secure settings can be prohibitive. Through discussing these restrictive practises with staff involved in this study, it became apparent that these were due to two potential reasons. One is that there are health and safety concerns meaning there are specified ratios of staff to service users to ensure the safety of all that may mean activities are restricted. This links into the staffing levels which were viewed as restricting activities. Increasing staff levels may therefore provide a solution, however even if resources allowed for greater staffing (which is likely to be limited) the second reason given was the attitude, knowledge and perceptions of the staff involved. Within a medium secure setting priority was given for psychological services and the physical health of service users was regarded as a low priority. The perceptions of staff towards promoting physical health for people with SMI has been studied previously in the community. Scoles et al. (2023) suggested that staff understood there were benefits but their role in promoting physical activity was unclear, in addition the role of physical health for mental symptoms was not recognised so it was devalued. Within the setting of this study there were a range of staff interviewed, some with a specific role in promoting physical activity (exercise specialists, occupational therapists), but those with the power to make decisions gave priority to clinical and psychological services and when time or resources were limited it was these, and not the physical activity sessions, that were preferred.

The individual nature of the service users' physical and mental health were perceived to be important in their engagement with physical activity. The poor physical condition, such as respiratory problems, of some of the service users was seen as making it more difficult to be active as is seen in the general population with poor physical health leading to low levels of activity (Firth et al., 2016, Kandola & Osborn, 2022). To facilitate this staff suggested that an individualised approach was needed and that by altering activities to meet the level of need of physical activity then this could be accommodated. This is again supported in the general population where individualised approaches to exercise prescription have been found to be more effective than general advice (Lehtonen et al., 2022). In addition, the service user's mental health may reduce their motivation to be active with difficulties 'getting out of bed, let alone the gym'. Again, reduced motivation is well documented in those with SMI (Hassan et al., 2022). The study by Bacon et al., 2012 found service users preferred to be active when the researchers were present. Whilst staff recognised this they were often reluctant to get involved (lack of clothing, ability etc. were quoted as being the reason why). This suggests a lack of confidence in staff to be active with participants and not just time. Whilst staff encouraged service user's to be active, they were not keen on getting involved

themselves. Again, in the present study staff discussed how service users loved it when they joined in activities, supporting the role of positive role modelling. Interestingly, although medication associated with SMI may exacerbate feelings of lethargy it was also perceived as a motivator in this study by stabilising the condition and allowing service users to participate in organised activity. This is a unique finding and may be due to the study including health care professionals who have observed the impact of medication in medium secure setting.

The final area unique to this setting was off-site activities and access to these. Due to the nature of the service user's time at the service, being allowed off site to take part in activities not on site depended on them gaining 'leave'. This time away from the unit is afforded to some service users as an opportunity to take part in different types of activities. This was seen as a motivator to be physically active and demotivator when leave was removed. This again shows the dependence of the service users on staff and the potentially restrictive practices impacting on their autonomy to be active. In conjunction with this being able to take part in activities provided on site was also to some extent determined by staff. The service users needed to 'negotiate' time spent being active and this privilege may be taken away. The unique setting of a medium secure service means there are strict regulations on when and how service users are allowed to participate creating significant potential barriers. Low levels of physical activity for people with SMI has been recognised as impacting on physical health and life expectancy and has been described elsewhere as a potential infringement of health rights (Thornicroft, 2011).

The impact of SMI is known to impact physical health, what appears apparent from this and previous studies, the secure setting leads to further potential barriers to people with SMI being able to actively improve their own physical health. High secure services will ensure that patients are able to access and receive appropriate services to identify and meet physical health care needs (NHS, 2021), however meeting physical health needs in medium secure settings appears more arbitrary. It was recognised that there are examples of good practice and potentially ways that service users could be more active given the optimum opportunities, capabilities and motivation. Some staff within the wards recognised that being physically active could be given greater priority and the benefits this could bring.

4.1. Implications for practice

Capability – an individualised approach will be needed to support the various levels of physical ability of the service users and staff with knowledge of physical activity. Medication can be useful in stabilising service users so they are able to join in activities, but the sedative effects may also reduce capability. Further training to improve the capability of staff to interact and take part in sessions could improve their confidence and motivation to engage in physical activity with service users.

Opportunity – staffing levels are important, but the level of engagement and attitude of staff can be equally important in providing opportunities to be active. As overlaps with above the attitude and engagement of staff may increase with training. Opportunities to be active on the wards, *on*-site and *off*-site are all important contributions to providing an active environment. Restrictive practices which reduce opportunities may have to be reconsidered in light of the holistic health needs of people with SMI.

Motivation - the motivation of service users to be active may vary according to their mental health status however increasing autonomy over when and how they are active was perceived by staff as being important to service users. The restrictive practices and hierarchy of 'psychological interventions' means that the physical health of service users can be regarded as a lower priority. Giving incentives to staff for promoting physical health may be useful in increasing staff motivation to support service users to be more active.

4.2. Strengths and limitations

This study was able to recruit a variety of staff and stakeholders from two medium secure settings from which there is currently little data available. These staff have first-hand experience of the impact of physical activity on service users. At this point service users were not asked directly but their perceptions have been captured and reported elsewhere. Whilst focus groups can be a good way of generating ideas from a range of individuals on a given topic, they may be influenced by power dynamics within the group leading to some individuals being wary of providing too much information (Gill & Baille, 2018).

Using the COM-B framework is regarded as a strength as it is derived from a comprehensive analysis of theoretically based domains. This will allow the development of theory-based interventions in the future and the use of a standardised taxonomy also allows for replication and comparison across studies (Croot et al., 2019). Using the COM-B helped provide information that can subsequently be used to design effective interventions in similar settings.

5. Conclusions

The medium secure service is a potentially useful setting which could lead to healthy behaviour change for service users. Physical activity interventions should take an individualised approach and consider the training needs of all staff and incentives to increase opportunities to be active when there are competing demands on both staff and service user's time.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Kiara Lewis: Writing - original draft, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Conceptualization. Gloria Roden-Lui: Writing - review & editing, Project administration, Formal analysis, Data curation. Guy Faulkner: Writing - review & editing, Data curation, Conceptualization. Simon Gibbon: Writing - review & editing, Resources, Data curation. Catherine Hewitt: Writing - review & editing, Methodology, Conceptualization. Elizabeth Hughes: Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization. Wajid Khan: Writing - review & editing, Data curation. Mike Lucock: Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Conceptualization. Bal Singh: Methodology, Data curation. Phil Walters: Writing - review & editing, Methodology, Data curation. Judith Watson: Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization. Tammi Walker: Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: financial support was provided by National Institute for Health and Care Research. Guy Faulkner (co-author) is a co-founding editor. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank and acknowledge the service users who participated in this research study. They volunteered their time and effort to participate and provided the research team with insightful knowledge.

We would also like to thank the two NHS Trusts that supported the delivery of the study and provided the research team access onto the wards. The Research and Development/Delivery Team at both Trusts

worked diligently to promote and support the study. The hospital staff at each site played a key role to support this study and volunteered their time to participate in the study.

We would finally like to thank the Research Steering Group for taking time to be an independent expert oversight group for this research study. Thank you to the chairperson, Professor Jacquie White and members, Dr Anthony Papathomas, Dr Jackie Hargreaves, Dr Nicola Clibbens, Dr Paul Gorczynski, Dr Sarah Markham and Mr Shaun Hunt.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhpa.2024.100663.

Data availability

The data that has been used is confidential.

References

- Anthony, J., Kinnafick, F. E., Papathomas, A., & Breen, K. (2020). Physical activity for adolescents with severe mental illness: A systematic scoping review. *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 1–34.
- Atkins, L., Sallis, A., Chadbon, T., Shaw, K., Sneider, A., Hopkins, S., et al. (2020). Reducing catheter-associated urinary tract infections; a systematic review of barriers and facilitators and strategic behavioural analysis of interventions. *Implementation Science*, 1(5), 1–22.
- Bacon, N., Farnworth, L., & Boyd, R. (2012). The use of Wii Fit in forensic mental health, exercise for people at risk of obesity. 75(2), 61–68.
- Baxter, L., Burton, A., & Fancourt, D. (2022). Community and cultural engagement for people with lived experience of mental health conditions: What are the barriers and enablers? *BMC Psychology*, 10, 71. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-022-00775-y
- Brigg, N., Patterson, S., & Padhan, A. (2022). Enabling people with severe mental illness to overcome barriers to access to dental treatment; a qualitative study applying COM-B framework analysis. *Journal of Mental Health*, 31(6), 765–773.
- Brown, C. E. B., Richardson, K., Halil-Pizzirani, B., Atkins, L., Yucel, M., & Segrave, R. A. (2024). Key influences in university students' physical activity; a systematic review using theoretical domains framework and the COM-B model of human behaviour. *BMC Public Health*, 24, 418.
- Cheung, O. S., Dowling, N.I., Brown, C., Robinson, T., Johnson, A. M., Ng, C. M., et al. (2023). Using the theoretical domains framework to inform the implementation of therapeutic virtual reality into mental healthcare. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 50(2), 237–268.
- Correll, C. U., Solmi, M., Veronese, N., Bortolato, B., Rosson, S., Santonastaso, P., et al. (2017). Prevalence, incidence and mortality from cardiovascular disease in patients with pooled and specific severe mental illness: A large-scale meta-analysis of 3,211,768 patients and 113,383,368 controls. World Psychiatry, 16(2), 163–180.
- Croot, L., O'Cathain, A., Swoen, K., Yardley, L., Turner, K., Duncam, E., & Hoddinott, P. (2019). Developing interventions to improve health; a systematic mapping review of international practice between 2015-2016. *Pilot Feasibility Study*, 5(1), 1–13.
- De Hert, M., Correll, C., & Bobes, J. (2011). Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders. I. Prevalence, impact of medications and disparities in health care. *World Psychiatry*, 10, 52–77. Designing Interventions. London: Silverback.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior. New York, NY: Plenum.
- Ellis, K., Pears, S., & Sutton, S. (2019). Behavioural analysis of postnatal physical activity in the UK according to COM-B model: A multi-method study. *BMJ Open*, 9, Article e028682.
- Every-Palmer, S., Huthwaite, M., Elmslie, J. L., Grant, E., & Romans, S. E. (2018). Longterm psychiatric inpatients' perspectives on weith gain, body satisfaction, diet and physical activity: A mixed methods study. *BMC Psychiatry*, 18(300).
- Farmer, M. E., Locke, B. Z., Mościcki, E. K., Dannenberg, A. L., Larson, D. B., & Radloff, L. S. (1988). Physical activity and depressive symptoms: The NHANES I epidemiologic follow-up study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 128(6), 1340–1351.
- Faulkner, A. (2004). The ethics of survivor research: Guidelines for the ethical conduct of research carried out by mental health service users and survivors. Policy Press.
- Faulkner, G. E., Gorczynski, P. F., & Cohn, T. A. (2009). Psychiatric illness and obesity: Recognizing the "obesogenic" nature of an inpatient psychiatric setting. *Psychiatric Services*, 60(4), 538–541. https://doi.org/10.1176/ps.2009.60.4.538
- Firth, J., Carney, R., French, P., Elliott, R., & Yung, A. (2017). Investigating the short- and long-term benefits of exercise in early psychosis (Vol. 106). International Congress on Schizophrenic Research. Su.
- Firth, J., Rosenbaum, S., Stubbs, B., Gorczynski, P., Yung, A. R., & Vancampfort, D. (2016). Motivating factors and barriers towards exercise in severe mental illness: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychological Medicine*, 46(14), 2869–2881.
- Flannery, C., McHugh, S., Anabe, A. E., Clifford, E., O'Riordan, M., Kenny, L. C., McAuliffe, F. M., Kearney, P. M., & Bryne, M. (2018). Enablers and barriers to physical activity in overweight and obese pregnant women: An analysis informed by

the theoretical domains framework and COM-B model. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 18, 178.

- Gill, P., & Baille, J. (2018). Interviews and focus groups in qualitative research: An update for the digital age. *British Dental Journal*, 225, 7. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj. bdj.2018.815
- Glowaki, K., Arbour-Nicitopoulos, K., Burrows, M., Chesick, L., Heinemann, L., Irving, S., Lam, R. W., Marcridis, S., Mickalak, E., Scott, A., Taylor, a., & Faulkner, G. (2019). It's more than just a referral: Development of an evidence-informed exercise and depression toolkit. *Mental Health and Physical Activity*, 17/100297. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.mhpa.2019.100297
- Hassan, J., Shannon, S., Tully, M. A., McCartan, C., Davidson, G., Bunn, R., & Breslin, G. (2022). Systematic review of physical activity interventions assessing physical and mental health outcomes on patients with severe mental illness (SMI) within secure forensic settings. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 27, 1776–1783.
- Kandola, A. A., & Osborn, D. P. J. (2022). Physical activity as an intervention in severe mental illness. BJPsych Advances, 28, 112–121. https://doi.org/10.1192/ bja.2021.33
- Kinnafick, F. E., Papathomas, A., & Regoczi, D. (2018). Promoting exercise behaviour in a secure mental health setting: Healthcare assistant perspectives. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 27(6), 1776–1783.
- Lehtonen, E., Gayon, D., Eklund, D., Kaseva, K., & Peltonen, J. E. (2022). Hierarchical framework to improve individualised exercise prescription in adults: A critical review. BMJ Open Sport and Exercise Medicine, 8, Article e001339. https://doi.org/ 10.1136/bmjsem-2022-001339
- Long, C., & Mason, F. (2014). Improving health and wellbeing in women's secure services: Physical activity, appearance, self-care and body image. *Ethnicity and Inequalities in Health and Social Care*, 7(4), 178–186.
- MacInnes, D., Beer, D., Keeble, P., Rees, D., & Reid, L. (2011). Service-user involvement in forensic mental health care research: Areas to consider when developing a collaborative study. *Journal of Mental Health*, 20(5), 464–472.
- Mangurian, C., Niu, G. C., Schillinger, D., Newcomer, J. W., Dilley, J., & Handley, M. A. (2017). Utilization of the Behavior Change Wheel framework to develop a model to improve cardiometabolic screening for people with severe mental illness. *Implementation Science*, 12(1), 134.
- McKenna, C., Moyo, B., & Goodwin, J. (2024). Barriers to using physical exercise as an intervention within mental health settings: A systematic review. *International Journal* of Mental Health Nursing, 33, 817–833.
- McKeon, G., Curtis, J., & Rosenbaum, S. (2022). Promoting physical activity for mental health: An updated evidence review and practical guide. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 35(4), 270–276.
- Mendez-Aguado, C., Cangas, A. J., Aguilar-Parra, J. M., & Lirola, M. J. (2023). Benefits, facilitators and barrier reductions in physical activity programmes for people with severe mental disorder: A systematic review. *Healthcare*, 11, 1215.
- Michie, S., Atkins, L., & West, R. (2014). The behaviour change wheel: A guide to designing interventions. Bream, England: Silverback Publishing.
- Mishu, M. P., Faisal, M. R., Macnamara, A., Sabbah, W., Peckham, E., Newbronner, L., Gilbody, S., & Gega, L. (2022). Exploring the contextual factors, behaviour change techniques, barriers and facilitators of interventions to improve oral health in people with severe mental illness: A qualitative study. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13, Article 971328. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2022.971328

NHS. (2021). National Health Service (NHS) England. Adult high secure services - service specification. 2021. https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021 /02/service-specification-high-securemental-health-services-adult.pdf.

- NVivo. (2019). Qualitative data analysis software. QSR International Pty Ltd Version 12.6.
- Olmos-Vega, F. M., Stalmeijer, R. E., Varpio, L., & Kahlke, R. (2023). A practical guide to reflexivity in qualitative research: AMEE guide No. 149. *Medical Teacher*, 45(3), 241–251. https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2022.2057287
- Palinkas, L. A., Horwitz, S. M., Green, C. A., Wisdom, J. P., Duan, N., & Hoagwood, K. (2015). Purposeful sampling for qualitative data collection and analysis in mixed method implementation research. Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research, 42, 533–544.
- Ringen, P. A., Falk, R. S., Antonsen, B., Faerdon, A., Mamen, A., Rognli, E. B., Solberg, D. K., Martinsen, E. W., & Andreassen, O. A. (2018). Using motivational techniques to reduce cariometabolic risk factors in long term psychiatric inpatients: A naturalistic interventional stusy. *BMC Psychiatry*, 18, 255. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12888-018-1832-6

Ritchie, J., & Spencer, L. (1994). Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. In A. Bryman, & R. Burgess (Eds.), Analyzing qualitative data (pp. 305–329). Routledge.

- Rogers, E., Kinnafick, F., & Papathomas, A. (2018). Physical activity in secure settings: A scoping review of methods, theory and practise. *Mental Health and Physical Activity*, 16, 80–95.
- Rogers, E., Papathomas, A., & Kinnafick, F. E. (2021a). Inpatient perspectives on physical activity in a secure mental health setting. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 52, Article 101827.
- Rogers, E., Papathomas, A., & Kinnafick, F. E. (2021b). Preparing for a physical activity intervention in a secure psychiatric hospital: Reflexive insight on entering the field. *Qualitative Research in Sport Exercise and Health*, 13(2), 235–249.
- Scoles, L. H., Myers, T. D., Benkwitz, A., & Holland, M. J. G. (2023). Exploring mental health professionals' perceptions of physical activity provision for mental health service users. J. Psychosoc. Rehabil.Ment.Health. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40737-023-00375-y
- Skivington, K., Matthews, L., Simpson, S. A., Craig, P., Baird, J., Blazeby, J. M., ... Moore, L. (2021). A new framework for developing and evaluating complex interventions: Update of medical research council guidance. Research methods & reporting. *British Medical Journal*, 374.

K. Lewis et al.

- Stubbs, B., Vancampfort, D., Rosenbaum, S., Ward, P., Richards, J., Soundy, A., Veronese, N., Solmi, M., & Schuch, F. (2017). Dropout from exercise randomized controlled trials among people with depression: A meta-analysis and meta regression. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 190, 457–466.
- Thornicroft, G. (2011). Physical health disparities and mental illness; the scandal of premature mortality. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 199. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp. bp.111.092718, 444-442.
- Vancampfort, D., & Faulkner, G. (2014). Physical activity and serious mental illness: A multidisciplinary call to action. *Mental Health and Physical Activity*, 7(3), 153–154, 2014.

Vancampfort, D., Firth, J., Schuch, F., Rosenbaum, S., De Hert, M., Mugisha, J., et al. (2016). Physical activity and sedentary behavior in people with bipolar disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 201, 145–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.05.020, 2016.

- Vancampfort, D., Firth, J., Schuch, F. B., Rosenbaum, S., Mugisha, J., Hallgren, M., ... Stubbs, B. (2017). Sedentary behavior and physical activity levels in people with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder: A global systematic review and meta-analysis. World Psychiatry, 16(3), 308–315.
- Vancampfort, D., Stubbs, B., Venigalla, S. K., & Probst, M. (2015). Adopting and maintaining physical activity behaviours in people with severe mental illness: The importance of autonomous motivation. *Preventive Medicine*, 81, 216–220.
- Völlm, B., Foster, S., Bates, P., & Huband, N. (2017). How best to engage users of forensic services in research: Literature review and recommendations. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 16(2), 183–195.