# The threshold of existence of $\delta$ -temporal cliques in random simple temporal graphs

George B.  $Mertzios^{1*[0000-0001-7182-585X]}$ , Sotiris Nikoletseas $^{2[0000-0003-3765-5636]}$ , Christoforos Raptopoulos $^{3**[0000-0002-9837-2632]}$ , and Paul G. Spirakis $^{4***[0000-0001-5396-3749]}$ 

- Department of Computer Science, Durham University, UK. george.mertzios@durham.ac.uk
- <sup>2</sup> Computer Engineering and Informatics Department, University of Patras, Greece. nikole@cti.gr
- Department of Mathematics, University of Patras, Greece. raptopox@upatras.gr Department of Computer Science, University of Liverpool, UK. p.spirakis@liverpool.ac.uk

**Abstract.** We consider random simple temporal graphs in which every edge of the complete graph  $K_n$  appears once within the time interval [0,1] independently and uniformly at random. Our main result is a sharp threshold on the size of any maximum  $\delta$ -clique (namely a clique with edges appearing at most  $\delta$  apart within [0,1]) in random instances of this model, for any constant  $\delta$ . In particular, using the probabilistic method, we prove that the size of a maximum  $\delta$ -clique is approximately  $\frac{2\log n}{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}$  with high probability (whp). We note that, even though the random simple temporal graph contains  $\Theta(n^2)$  overlapping  $\delta$ -windows, which (when viewed separately) correspond to different random instances of the Erdős-Rényi random graphs model, the size of the maximum  $\delta$ clique in the former model and the maximum clique size of the latter are approximately the same. Furthermore, we show that the minimum interval containing a  $\delta$ -clique is  $\delta - o(\delta)$  whp. We use this result to show that any polynomial time algorithm for  $\delta$ -Temporal Clique is unlikely to have very large probability of success.

**Keywords:** Simple random temporal graph  $\cdot$   $\delta$ -temporal clique  $\cdot$  probabilistic method.

#### 1 Introduction

Dynamic network analysis, i.e. analysis of networks that change over time, is currently one of the most active topics of research in network science and theory.

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by the EPSRC grant EP/P020372/1.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (H.F.R.I.) under the "2nd Call for H.F.R.I. Research Projects to support Post-Doctoral Researchers" (Project Number: 704).

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Supported by the EPSRC grant EP/P02002X/1.

Many modern real-life networks are dynamic in nature, in the sense that the network structure undergoes discrete changes over time [21, 25, 27]. Here we deal with the discrete-time dynamicity of the network links (edges) over a fixed set of nodes (vertices), according to which edges appear in discrete times and are absent otherwise. This concept of dynamic network evolution is given by temporal graphs [18, 22], which are also known by other names such as evolving graphs [5, 13], or time-varying graphs [1].

**Definition 1 (Temporal Graph).** A temporal graph is a pair  $\mathcal{G} = (G, \lambda)$ , where G = (V, E) is an underlying (static) graph and  $\lambda : E \to 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a time-labeling function which assigns to every edge of G a set of discrete-time labels. Whenever  $|\lambda(e)| \leq 1$  for every  $e \in E$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  is called a simple temporal graph.

Our focus is on *simple* temporal graphs (in which edges appear only once), as, due to their conceptual simplicity, they offer a fundamental model for temporal graphs and they prove to be good prototypes for studying temporal computational problems. More specifically, we consider simple temporal graphs whose edge labels are chosen *uniformly at random* from a very large set of possible labels (e.g. the label of each edge is chosen uniformly at random within [1, N] where  $N \to \infty$ ). This can be equivalently modeled by choosing the time labels uniformly at random as real numbers in the interval [0, 1], which leads to the following definition.

**Definition 2 (Random Simple Temporal Graph).** A random simple temporal graph is a pair  $\mathcal{G} = (G, \lambda)$ , where G = (V, E) is an underlying (static) graph and  $\{\lambda(e) : e \in E\}$  is a set of independent random variables uniformly distributed within [0, 1].

Note that, in definition 2, the probability that two edges lave equal labels is zero. For every  $v \in V$  and every time slot t, we denote the appearance of vertex v at time t by the pair (v,t). For  $Q \subseteq V$ , the restricted temporal graph  $(G,\lambda)|_Q$  is the temporal graph  $(G[Q], \{\lambda(e) : e \in E(G[Q])\}$ .

In the seminal paper of Casteigts, Raskin, Renken, and Zamaraev [9], the authors consider a related (essentially equivalent to ours) model of random simple temporal graphs based on random permutation of edges. They provide a thorough study of the temporal connectivity of such graphs and they provide sharp thresholds for temporal reachability. Their work motivated our research in this paper.

In many applications of temporal graphs, information can naturally only move along edges in a way that respects the ordering of their timestamps (i.e. time labels). That is, information can only flow along sequences of edges whose time labels are increasing (or non-decreasing). Motivated by this fact, most studies on temporal graphs have focused on "path-related" problems, such as e.g. temporal analogues of distance, diameter, reachability, exploration, and centrality [2, 3, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 19, 20, 22, 26, 30]. In these problems, the most fundamental notion is that of a temporal path from a vertex u to a vertex v,

which is a path from u to v such that the time labels of the edges are increasing (or at least non-decreasing) in the direction from u to v. To complement this direction, several attempts have been recently made to define meaningful "non-path" temporal graph problems which appropriately model specific applications. Some examples include temporal cliques, cluster editing, temporal vertex cover, temporal graph coloring, temporally transitive orientations of temporal graphs [4,6,10,14,15,17,23,24,28,29].

What is common to most of the path-related problems is that their extension from static to temporal graphs often follows easily and quite naturally from their static counterparts. For example, requiring a graph to be (temporally) connected results in requiring the existence of a (temporal) path among each pair of vertices. In the case of non-path related problems, the exact definition and its application is not so straightforward. For example, defining cliques in a temporal graph as the set of vertices that interact at least once in the lifetime of the graph would be a bit counter intuitive, as two vertices may just interact at the first time step and never again. To help with this problem, Viard et al. [28] introduced the idea of the sliding time window of some size  $\delta$ , where they define a temporal clique as a set of vertices where in all  $\delta$  consecutive time steps each pair of vertices interacts at least once. There is a natural motivation for this problem, namely to be able to find the contact patterns among high-school students. Following the idea of Viard et al. [28], many other problems on temporal graph were defined using sliding time windows. For an overview of recent works on sliding windows in temporal graphs, see [21].

In the next definition we introduce the notion of a  $\delta$ -temporal clique in a random simple temporal graph, and the corresponding maximization problem.

**Definition 3** ( $\delta$ -Temporal Clique). Let  $(G, \lambda)$  be a random simple temporal graph with n vertices, let  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ , and let  $Q \subseteq V$  be a subset of vertices such that G[Q] is a clique. The restricted temporal graph  $(G, \lambda)|_Q$  is a  $\delta$ -temporal clique, if  $|\lambda(e) - \lambda(e')| \leq \delta$ , for every two edges e, e' which have both their endpoints in Q.

 $\delta$ -Temporal Clique

**Input:** A simple temporal graph  $(G, \lambda)$ .

**Output:** A  $\delta$ -temporal clique Q of  $(G, \lambda)$  with maximum cardinality |Q|.

Our contribution. In this paper, we consider simple random temporal graphs where the underlying (static) graph is the complete graph on n vertices, and we provide a sharp threshold on the size of maximum  $\delta$ -cliques in random instances of this model, for any constant  $\delta$ . In particular, using the probabilistic method, we prove that the size of a maximum  $\delta$ -clique is approximately  $\frac{2 \log n}{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}$  whp (Theorem 2). We note that, even though the random simple temporal graph contains  $\Theta(n^2)$  overlapping  $\delta$ -windows, which (when viewed separately) correspond to different random instances of the Erdős-Rényi model  $\mathcal{G}_{n,\delta}$  (in which edges appear independently with probability  $\delta$ ), the size of the maximum  $\delta$ -clique and

the maximum clique size of the latter are approximately the same [7]. Furthermore, we show that the minimum interval containing a  $\delta$ -clique is  $\delta - o(\delta)$  whp (Theorem 3). We use this result to show that any polynomial time algorithm for  $\delta$ -Temporal Clique is unlikely to have very large probability of success (Theorem 4). Finally, we discuss some open problems related to the average case hardness of  $\delta$ -Temporal Clique in the general case.

### 2 Existence of $\delta$ -Temporal Clique

We begin with a Lemma regarding the joint density function of the minimum and maximum label.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(G, \lambda)$  be a random simple temporal graph, where the underlying graph has  $m = |E(G)| \ge 2$  edges. Let also  $X \stackrel{def}{=} \min\{\lambda(e) : e \in E\}$  and  $Y \stackrel{def}{=} \max\{\lambda(e) : e \in E\}$ . Then the joint density function of X, Y is given by

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} m(m-1)(y-x)^{m-2}, & 0 \le x \le y \le 1\\ 0 & otherwise. \end{cases}$$
 (1)

*Proof.* For  $0 \le x \le y \le 1$ , we have that  $\Pr(X \ge x, Y \le y) = (y-x)^m$ . Therefore, for any  $0 \le x \le y \le 1$ , we have

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = -\frac{\partial^2 \Pr(X \ge x, Y \le y)}{\partial x \partial y}$$
$$= -\frac{\partial^2 (y - x)^m}{\partial x \partial y} = m(m-1)(y - x)^{m-2}.$$

Similarly, we can prove the following:

**Lemma 2.** Let  $(G, \lambda)$  be a random simple temporal graph. Let also  $X \stackrel{def}{=} \min\{\lambda(e) : e \in E\}$ . Then the density function of X is given by

$$f_X(x) = m(1-x)^{m-1}, 0 \le x \le 1.$$
 (2)

We now prove the following auxiliary Lemma, which gives an exact formula for the probability that a graph H appears as a subgraph within a  $\delta$ -window.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $(G, \lambda)$  be a random simple temporal graph. Let also H = (V(H), E(H)) be a (not necessarily induced) subgraph of G, with h = |E(H)| edges. For any  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\Pr(|\lambda(e) - \lambda(e')| \le \delta, \forall e, e' \in E(H)) = h\delta^{h-1}(1 - \delta) + \delta^h. \tag{3}$$

*Proof.* We assume that h > 0 (since the case h = 0 is trivially handled), and fix  $e_0 \in E(H)$ . For any  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\Pr\left(|\lambda(e) - \lambda(e')| \le \delta, \forall e, e' \in E(H), \text{ and } \lambda(e_0) < \lambda(e), \forall e \in E(H) \setminus e_0\right)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \Pr\left(\lambda(e) \in (x, \min\{x + \delta, 1\}], \forall e \in E(H) \setminus e_0 | \lambda(e_0) = x\right) dx$$

$$= \int_0^{1-\delta} \Pr\left(\lambda(e) \in (x, x + \delta], \forall e \in E(H) \setminus e_0 | \lambda(e_0) = x\right) dx$$

$$+ \int_{1-\delta}^1 \Pr\left(\lambda(e) \in (x, 1], \forall e \in E(H) \setminus e_0 | \lambda(e_0) = x\right) dx$$

$$= \int_0^{1-\delta} \delta^{h-1} dx + \int_{1-\delta}^1 (1-x)^{h-1} dx$$

$$= \delta^{h-1} (1-\delta) + \frac{1}{h} \delta^h.$$

The proof is completed by taking the union over all  $e_0 \in E(H)$ .

The following Theorem is a direct consequence of Lemma 3 (for  $h = {k \choose 2}$ ) and linearity of expectation.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(K_n, \lambda)$  be a random simple temporal graph where the underlying graph is the complete graph with n vertices. For any  $\delta \in [0, 1]$ , and  $k \in [n]$ , the expected number of  $\delta$ -temporal cliques of size k in  $(K_n, \lambda)$  is

$$\binom{n}{k} \left( \binom{k}{2} \delta^{\binom{k}{2}-1} (1-\delta) + \delta^{\binom{k}{2}} \right).$$

By simple calculations and Theorem 1, we get the following:

**Lemma 4 (First moment).** Let  $(K_n, \lambda)$  be a random simple temporal graph where the underlying graph is the complete graph with n vertices, and let  $\delta \in (0,1)$  be a constant. For any integer  $k \in [n]$ , let  $X^{(k)}$  denote the number of  $\delta$ -temporal cliques of size k in  $(K_n, \lambda)$ . Define  $k_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{2 \log n}{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}$ . For any constant  $\epsilon > 0$  that can be arbitrarily small, we have

(i) 
$$\mathbb{E}[X^{((1+\epsilon)k_0)}] \to 0$$
, and  
(ii)  $\mathbb{E}[X^{((1-\epsilon)k_0)}] \to \infty$ ,

as  $n \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 1, for any  $\delta \in [0,1)$ , the expected number of  $\delta$ -temporal cliques of size k in  $(K_n, \lambda)$  is

$$\mathbb{E}[X^{(k)}] = \binom{n}{k} \left( \binom{k}{2} \delta^{\binom{k}{2} - 1} (1 - \delta) + \delta^{\binom{k}{2}} \right)$$

$$\leq \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{2} \delta^{\binom{k}{2} - 1} \leq \left( \frac{ne}{k} \right)^k k^2 \delta^{\binom{k}{2} - 1}$$

$$= \exp\left\{ k \ln n - \frac{k^2}{2} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} - \Theta(k \ln k) \right\}. \tag{4}$$

In particular, for any  $k \geq (1+\epsilon)k_0$ , by definition of  $k_0$ , the above exponent is at most  $k\left(\ln n - (1+\epsilon)k_0\frac{\ln\frac{1}{\delta}}{2}\right) = -\epsilon k \ln n$ , which implies that the RHS of (4) goes to 0, for any  $k \geq (1+\epsilon)k_0$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . This proves part (i).

For part (ii), we note that similar calculations leading to (4) can also be used to prove the following lower bound (except for a different constant hidden in the  $\Theta$  term in the exponent):

$$\mathbb{E}[X^{(k)}] \ge \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^2 \delta^{\binom{k}{2}-1} (1-\delta) = \exp\left\{k \ln n - \frac{k^2}{2} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} - \Theta(k \ln k)\right\} (5)$$

In particular, for any  $k \leq (1 - \epsilon)k_0$ , the above exponent is at least  $k\left(\ln n - (1 - \epsilon)k_0\frac{\ln\frac{1}{\delta}}{2} - \Theta(\ln k_0)\right) = k(\epsilon \ln n - \Theta(\ln \ln n))$ , by definition of  $k_0$ , which implies that the RHS of (5) goes to  $\infty$ , for any  $k \leq (1 - \epsilon)k_0$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .

The following lemma concerns the variance of the number of  $\delta$ -temporal cliques.

**Lemma 5 (Second moment).** Let  $(K_n, \lambda)$  be a random simple temporal graph where the underlying graph is the complete graph with n vertices, and let  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  be a constant. For any integer  $k \in [n]$ , let  $X^{(k)}$  denote the number of  $\delta$ -temporal cliques of size k in  $(K_n, \lambda)$ . Define  $k_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{2 \log n}{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}$ . For any constant  $\epsilon > 0$  that can be arbitrarily small, we have  $\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X^{(k)}\right)^2\right]}{\mathbb{E}^2\left[X^{(k)}\right]} \to 1$ , for any  $k \leq (1 - \epsilon)k_0$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Set  $k=(1-\epsilon)k_0$ , and note that, by part (ii) of Lemma 4, we have  $\mathbb{E}[X^{(k)}]=\omega(1)$ . Let  $S_1,S_2,\ldots,S_{\binom{n}{k}}$  be an arbitrary enumeration of all cliques of size k in  $K_n$ . For any  $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,\binom{n}{k}\}$ , denote by  $X_i$  the indicator random variable that is equal to 1 if  $S_i$  is a  $\delta$ -temporal clique in  $(K_n,\lambda)$  and 0 otherwise. In particular, we have  $X^{(k)}=\sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{k}}X_i$  and so  $\left(X^{(k)}\right)^2=\sum_{i,j}X_iX_j$ . Taking expectations we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X^{(k)}\right)^{2}\right] = \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}[X_{i}X_{j}]$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{k} \sum_{i,j:|S_{i}\cap S_{j}|=t} \mathbb{E}[X_{i}X_{j}]$$

$$= \sum_{t=0}^{k} \sum_{i,j:|S_{i}\cap S_{j}|=t} \mathbb{E}[X_{i}]\mathbb{E}[X_{j}|X_{i}=1]$$
(6)

Observe that, by independence, the terms in the above sum corresponding to t=0 are equal to  $\sum_{i,j:|S_i\cap S_j|=\emptyset} \mathbb{E}[X_i]\mathbb{E}[X_j]=$ 

 $\binom{n}{k}\binom{n-k}{k}\left(\binom{k}{2}\delta^{\binom{k}{2}-1}(1-\delta)+\delta^{\binom{k}{2}}\right)^2$ , where in the last equation we applied Lemma 3 with  $h=\binom{k}{2}$ . In particular, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{i,j:|S_i \cap S_j| = 0} \mathbb{E}[X_i] \mathbb{E}[X_j]}{\mathbb{E}^2 \left[ X^{(k)} \right]} = \frac{\binom{n-k}{k}}{\binom{n}{k}} = \frac{(n-k)\cdots(n-2k+1)}{n\cdots(n-k+1)} \\
= \left( 1 - \frac{k}{n} \right) \cdots \left( 1 - \frac{k}{n-k+1} \right) = 1 - o(1), \quad (7)$$

where the last equation follows from the fact k = o(n). Furthermore, it is easy to see that the terms in the sum of the RHS of (6) corresponding to t = k is equal to  $\mathbb{E}[X^{(k)}] = o(\mathbb{E}^2[X^{(k)}])$ , since  $k = (1 - \epsilon)k_0$  and so  $\mathbb{E}[X^{(k)}]$  goes to  $\infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Therefore, by (6) and (7),

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X^{(k)}\right)^{2}\right]}{\mathbb{E}^{2}\left[X^{(k)}\right]} = 1 + o(1) + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i,j:|S_{i}\cap S_{j}|=t} \mathbb{E}[X_{i}]\mathbb{E}[X_{j}|X_{i}=1]}{\mathbb{E}^{2}\left[X^{(k)}\right]}.$$
 (8)

In view of the above, in what follows we will show that the sum in the RHS of the above equation is o(1), which will prove the theorem. To this end, notice that  $\mathbb{E}[X_j|X_i=1]$  is equal to the probability that  $S_j$  is a  $\delta$ -temporal clique given that  $S_i$  is a  $\delta$ -temporal clique. By independence of label choices, when  $|S_i \cap S_j| = t$  (i.e.,  $S_i$  intersects with  $S_j$  on t vertices),  $\mathbb{E}[X_j|X_i=1]$  is upper bounded by the probability that all labels of edges that have both endpoints in  $S_j$  but not both endpoints in  $S_i \cap S_j$  are at most  $\delta$  apart. Therefore, by applying Lemma 3 with  $h = {k \choose 2} - {t \choose 2}$ , we get

$$\mathbb{E}[X_j|X_i = 1] \le \left(\binom{k}{2} - \binom{t}{2}\right) \delta^{\binom{k}{2} - \binom{t}{2} - 1} (1 - \delta) + \delta^{\binom{k}{2} - \binom{t}{2}}$$

$$\le \binom{k}{2} \delta^{\binom{k}{2} - \binom{t}{2} - 1}.$$
(9)

Using the bound (9), and noting that  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = {k \choose 2} \delta^{{k \choose 2}-1} (1-\delta) + \delta^{{k \choose 2}}$ , for any  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, {n \choose k}\}$ , we get

$$\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i,j:|S_{i} \cap S_{j}|=t} \mathbb{E}[X_{i}] \mathbb{E}[X_{j}|X_{i}=1]}{\mathbb{E}^{2} [X^{(k)}]} \\
\leq \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i,j:|S_{i} \cap S_{j}|=t} \left(\binom{k}{2} \delta^{\binom{k}{2}-1} (1-\delta) + \delta^{\binom{k}{2}}\right) \binom{k}{2} \delta^{\binom{k}{2}-\binom{t}{2}-1}}{\binom{n}{k}^{2} \left(\binom{k}{2} \delta^{\binom{k}{2}-1} (1-\delta) + \delta^{\binom{k}{2}}\right)^{2}} \\
= \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{k} \binom{n-k}{k-t} \binom{k}{2} \delta^{\binom{k}{2}-\binom{t}{2}-1}}{\binom{n}{k}^{2} \left(\binom{k}{2} \delta^{\binom{k}{2}-1} (1-\delta) + \delta^{\binom{k}{2}}\right)} \\
\leq \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \frac{\binom{k}{t} \binom{n-k}{k-t} \binom{k}{2} \delta^{\binom{k}{2}-\binom{t}{2}-1}}{\binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{2} \binom{k}{2}-\binom{t}{2}-1} (1-\delta)} \\
\leq \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \frac{\binom{k}{t} \binom{n-k}{k-t} \binom{k}{2} \delta^{\binom{k}{2}-1} (1-\delta)}{\binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{2} \binom{k}{2}-\binom{t}{2}-1} \\
\leq \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \frac{k^{t}e^{t}}{\binom{n}{k}} \frac{n^{k-t}e^{k-t}}{(k-t)^{k-t}}}{\frac{t^{t}}{k^{t}} \binom{n-k}{(k-t)^{k-t}}} \\
\leq \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \frac{k^{k+t}e^{k}}{\binom{n}{k}} \binom{n-k}{2} (1-\delta)}{\binom{n-k}{k}} . \tag{10}$$

By straightforward analysis on the function  $g(t) = \frac{k^{k-t}}{t^t(k-t)^{k-t}}$ , for  $t \in [1,k-1]$ , we get that it is strictly decreasing and so it is maximized at t=1, namely  $\max\{g(t), 1 \le t \le k-1\} = g(1) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^{k-1} \le \frac{1}{e}$ . Therefore, by (10),

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i,j:|S_i \cap S_j| = t} \mathbb{E}[X_i] \mathbb{E}[X_j | X_i = 1]}{\mathbb{E}^2 \left[ X^{(k)} \right]} &\leq \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{e(1-\delta)} \frac{k^{2t} e^k}{n^t \delta^{\binom{t}{2}}} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{e(1-\delta)} e^{2t \ln k + k - t \ln n + \frac{t(t-1)}{2} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}} \\ &\leq \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{e(1-\delta)} e^{2t \ln k + k - t \ln n + t \frac{k \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{e(1-\delta)} e^{2t \ln k + k - \epsilon t \ln n} = o(1), \end{split}$$

where in the last equality we used the fact that  $k = (1-\epsilon)\frac{2\ln n}{\ln \frac{1}{\delta}}$  and  $\ln k = o(\ln n)$ . This completes the proof.

By the probabilistic method, combining Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, we get the following:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(K_n, \lambda)$  be a random simple temporal graph where the underlying graph is the complete graph with n vertices, and let  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  be a constant. Define  $k_0 \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{2 \log n}{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}$ . As  $n \to \infty$  we have the following:

(i) With high probability,  $(K_n, \lambda)$  has no  $\delta$ -temporal clique of size  $(1 + o(1))k_0$ .

(ii) With high probability,  $(K_n, \lambda)$  contains a  $\delta$ -temporal clique of size  $(1 - o(1))k_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be an arbitrarily small constant. Let also  $X^{(k)}$  denote the number of  $\delta$ -temporal cliques of size k in  $(K_n, \lambda)$ . By part (i) of Lemma 4 and Markov's inequality, we have that  $\Pr(X^{((1+\epsilon)k_0)} > 0) \leq \mathbb{E}[X^{((1+\epsilon)k_0)}] = o(1)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ , which proves the first part of the Theorem.

For the second part, we use the following well-known inequality  $\Pr\left(X^{(k)}>0\right) \geq \frac{\mathbb{E}^2\left[X^{(k)}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X^{(k)}\right)^2\right]}$ . In particular, for  $k=(1-\epsilon)k_0$ , by part (ii) of

Lemma 5, we have that 
$$\Pr(X^{((1-\epsilon)k_0)} > 0) \ge \frac{\mathbb{E}^2[X^{((1-\epsilon)k_0)}]}{\mathbb{E}[(X^{((1-\epsilon)k_0)})^2]} = 1 - o(1)$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ , which proves the second part of the Theorem.

We note that the above Theorem implies the following:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(K_n, \lambda)$  be a random simple temporal graph where the underlying graph is the complete graph with n vertices, and let  $\delta \in (0,1)$  be a constant. Let also  $k_0 = \frac{2 \log n}{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}$  and let Q be any  $\delta$ -temporal clique of size at least  $(1-o(1))k_0$ . Define the interval  $\Delta(Q) \stackrel{def}{=} [\min(\lambda(e) : e \in Q), \max(\lambda(e) : e \in Q)]$ . Then  $|\Delta(Q)| = \delta - o(\delta)$  whp.

Proof. Since  $|\Delta(Q)| \leq \delta$ , by definition of a  $\delta$ -temporal clique, we only need to prove that  $|\Delta(Q)| \geq \delta - o(\delta)$ . Suppose there is some  $\delta' \leq \delta - \epsilon$ , for some positive constant  $\epsilon$ , such that  $\Pr(\exists Q : \Delta(Q) \leq \delta') \geq \epsilon$ . This would imply that Q is a  $\delta'$ -temporal clique in  $(K_n, \lambda)$ , of size  $(1 - o(1))k_0$ . However, Theorem 2, suggests that the largest  $\delta'$ -temporal clique in  $(K_n, \lambda)$  is at most  $(1 - o(1))^{\frac{2 \log n}{\delta'}}$ , which is much smaller than  $(1 - o(1))k_0$ , leading to a contradiction.

Note 1. The above proofs also work for smaller values of  $\delta=o(1)$  (e.g.  $\delta=\frac{1}{\log\log n}$ ), but not too small (e.g. if  $\delta=O(1/n)$  the expected size of a  $\delta$ -temporal clique becomes constant and concentration results do not hold).

#### 3 Average case hardness implications and open problems

The threshold given in Theorem 2 on the size of the maximum  $\delta$ -clique reveals an interesting connection between simple random temporal graphs  $(K_n, \lambda)$  and Erdős-Rényi random graphs  $G_{n,\delta}$ . On one hand, notice that, if we only consider edges with labels within a given  $\delta$ -window, then the corresponding graph is an instance of  $G_{n,\delta}$ , which has maximum clique size asymptotically equal to  $k_0 \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{2\log n}{\log \frac{1}{\delta}}$  whp. On the other hand, the random simple temporal graph contains  $\Theta(n^2)$  different instances of  $G_{n,\delta}$ , but the size of a maximum  $\delta$ -clique size is asymptotically the same. One explanation why this happens is that the different instances of  $G_{n,\delta}$  contained in the random simple temporal graph are highly

dependent, even if these correspond to disjoint  $\delta$ -windows (indeed, edges with labels appearing in one window do not appear in the other and vice versa).

It is therefore interesting to ask whether we can use the above connection algorithmically. One direction is clearly easier than the other: If there is a polynomial time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}_{ER}(\delta)$  that can find a clique of size  $q = \Theta(k_0)$  in a random instance of  $\mathcal{G}_{n,\delta}$  whp, then we can use this algorithm to find an asymptotically equally large  $\delta$ -clique in a random instance of  $(K_n, \lambda)$  with the same probability of success. We note that, finding a clique of size asymptotically close to  $k_0$  in  $G_{n,\delta}$  is believed to be hard in the average case and there is no known algorithm for this problem that runs in polynomial time in n.

For the other direction, we conjecture that the following reduction may be possible:

Conjecture 1. Suppose that, for any  $\delta \in [0,1]$  there is a polynomial time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}_{SRT}(\delta)$  that finds an (1-o(1))-approximation of a maximum  $\delta$ -clique in a random instance of  $(K_n, \lambda)$  whp. Then  $\mathcal{A}_{SRT}(\delta)$  can be used to design a polynomial time algorithm that finds an (1-o(1))-approximation of a maximum in  $\mathcal{G}_{n,\delta}$  whp.

It is clear that the probability of success of  $A_{SRT}(\delta)$  in the above Conjecture cannot be equal to 1 unless P = NP. In the following Theorem we also prove that the probability of success is unlikely to be too large.

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that, for any constant  $\delta \in (0,1)$ , the probability of success of algorithm  $\mathcal{A}_{SRT}(\delta)$  is  $1 - \exp(-\omega(n^2))$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_{SRT}(\delta/2)$  can be used to find a clique of size  $(1 - o(1))k_0$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{n,\delta}$  whp.

*Proof.* Let  $G_{n,\delta}$  be a random instance of the Erdős-Rényi random graphs model. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the event that, for a random instance of the random simple temporal graph  $(K_n, \lambda)$ , all edges of  $G_{n,\delta}$  appear inside  $[0, \delta/2]$ , while all other edges appear inside  $[\delta, 1]$ . By definition we then have that

$$\Pr(\mathcal{E}) = \left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^{|E(G_{n,\delta})|} (1-\delta)^{\binom{n}{2}-|E(G_{n,\delta})|} \geq \left(\min\{\delta/2,1-\delta\}\right)^{n^2} \geq \left(\frac{\delta(1-\delta)}{2}\right)^{n^2}.$$

Furthermore, notice that, by Theorem 3, given  $\mathcal{E}$ , any maximum  $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -clique belongs to  $G_{n,\delta}$  and thus lies within  $\left[0,\frac{\delta}{2}\right]$  whp. Indeed, a maximum clique of  $G_{n,\delta}$  has size asymptotically equal to  $k_0$ , and there is no  $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -clique of size  $(1-o(1))k_0$  within  $[\delta,1]$ , whp. In particular, denoting by  $\mathcal{C}$  the event that the maximum  $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -clique lies within  $[0,\delta/2]$ , we have

$$\Pr(\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{C}) = \Pr(\mathcal{E}) \Pr(\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{E}) \ge (1 - o(1)) \left(\frac{\delta(1 - \delta)}{2}\right)^{n^2} = \exp(-\Theta(n^2)). \quad (11)$$

In view of the above, given  $G_{n,\delta}$ , we construct the input instance for  $\mathcal{A}_{SRT}$  as follows: (a) select the labels of edges in  $E(G_{n,\delta})$  uniformly at random within

 $[0, \delta/2]$  and (b) select the labels of all other edges independently, uniformly at random within  $[\delta, 1]$ .

Since the failure probability of  $\mathcal{A}_{SRT}(\delta/2)$  is, by assumption, at most  $\exp(-\omega(n^2))$  (which is asymptotically smaller than the lower bound given in (11)), the algorithm may only fail to find a  $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -temporal clique of size  $(1-o(1))k_0$  in a vanishing fraction of input instances  $\mathcal{I}$  created. In particular, the  $\frac{\delta}{2}$ -temporal clique constructed by  $\mathcal{A}_{SRT}(\delta/2)$  will be an  $(1-o(1))k_0$  clique of  $G_{n,\delta}$  whp, as needed.

In view of the above proof, one possible approach for Conjecture 1 would be to examine the distribution of the minimum interval containing all labels of edges in a  $\delta$ -clique found by  $\mathcal{A}_{SRT}$  (namely  $\Delta(Q) \stackrel{def}{=} [\min(\lambda(e):e\in Q),\max(\lambda(e):e\in Q)]$ ), when the input instance  $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}(G_{n,\delta})$  is constructed as follows: Given  $G_{n,\delta}$ , (1) select labels  $\{\lambda(e):e\in E(G_{n,\delta})\}$  independently, uniformly at random (i.u.a.r.) within  $[0,\delta]$ , and (2) select the rest of the labels  $\{\lambda(e):e\notin E(G_{n,\delta})\}$  i.u.a.r. from  $[\delta,1]$ . In particular, we conjecture the following:

Conjecture 2. Let  $Q^*$  be the  $\delta$ -clique constructed by algorithm  $\mathcal{A}_{SRT}$ , on instance  $\mathcal{I}(G_{n,\delta})$ . Then  $\Delta(Q^*)$  is distributed almost uniformly at random within [0,1].

#### References

- 1. E. Aaron, D. Krizanc, and E. Meyerson. DMVP: foremost waypoint coverage of time-varying graphs. In *Proceedings of the 40th International Workshop on Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science (WG)*, pages 29–41, 2014.
- E. C. Akrida, L. Gasieniec, G. B. Mertzios, and P. G. Spirakis. Ephemeral networks with random availability of links: The case of fast networks. *Journal of Parallel* and *Distributed Computing*, 87:109–120, 2016.
- 3. E. C. Akrida, L. Gasieniec, G. B. Mertzios, and P. G. Spirakis. The complexity of optimal design of temporally connected graphs. *Theory of Computing Systems*, 61(3):907–944, 2017.
- E. C. Akrida, G. B. Mertzios, P. G. Spirakis, and V. Zamaraev. Temporal vertex cover with a sliding time window. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 107:108–123, 2020.
- A. Anagnostopoulos, J. Lacki, S. Lattanzi, S. Leonardi, and M. Mahdian. Community detection on evolving graphs. In Proceedings of the 30th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS), pages 3522–3530, 2016.
- M. Bentert, A.-S. Himmel, H. Molter, M. Morik, R. Niedermeier, and R. Saitenmacher. Listing all maximal k-plexes in temporal graphs. In Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM), pages 41–46, 2018.
- B. Bollobás and P. Erdős. Cliques in random graphs. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 80:419–427, 1976.
- 8. A. Casteigts, A. Himmel, H. Molter, and P. Zschoche. Finding temporal paths under waiting time constraints. *Algorithmica*, 83(9):2754–2802, 2021.

- A. Casteigts, M. Raskin, M. Renken, and V. Zamaraev. Sharp thresholds in random simple temporal graphs. In Proceedings of the 62nd IEEE Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), pages 319–326, 2021.
- J. Chen, H. Molter, M. Sorge, and O. Suchý. Cluster editing in multi-layer and temporal graphs. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Symposium on Algorithms* and Computation (ISAAC), volume 123, pages 24:1–24:13, 2018.
- 11. J. A. Enright, K. Meeks, G. B. Mertzios, and V. Zamaraev. Deleting edges to restrict the size of an epidemic in temporal networks. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 119:60–77, 2021.
- 12. T. Erlebach, M. Hoffmann, and F. Kammer. On temporal graph exploration. Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 119:1–18, 2021.
- 13. A. Ferreira. Building a reference combinatorial model for MANETs. *IEEE Network*, 18(5):24–29, 2004.
- 14. S. Ghosal and S. C. Ghosh. Channel assignment in mobile networks based on geometric prediction and random coloring. In *Proceedings of the 40th IEEE Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN)*, pages 237–240, 2015.
- T. Hamm, N. Klobas, G. B. Mertzios, and P. G. Spirakis. The complexity of temporal vertex cover in small-degree graphs. In *Proceedings of the 36th AAAI* Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI), pages 10193–10201, 2022.
- K. Heeger, D. Hermelin, G. B. Mertzios, H. Molter, R. Niedermeier, and D. Shabtay. Equitable scheduling on a single machine. In *Proceedings of the 35th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*, pages 11818–11825, 2021.
- 17. A. Himmel, H. Molter, R. Niedermeier, and M. Sorge. Adapting the bron-kerbosch algorithm for enumerating maximal cliques in temporal graphs. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*, 7(1):35:1–35:16, 2017.
- D. Kempe, J. M. Kleinberg, and A. Kumar. Connectivity and inference problems for temporal networks. In *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing (STOC)*, pages 504–513, 2000.
- N. Klobas, G. B. Mertzios, H. Molter, R. Niedermeier, and P. Zschoche. Interference-free walks in time: temporally disjoint paths. *Auton. Agents Multi-Agent Syst.*, 37(1), 2023.
- N. Klobas, G. B. Mertzios, H. Molter, and P. G. Spirakis. The complexity of computing optimum labelings for temporal connectivity. In *Proceedings of the* 47th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS), pages 62:1–62:15, 2022.
- 21. N. Klobas and G. B. M. P. G. Spirakis. Sliding into the future: Investigating sliding windows in temporal graphs. In *Proceedings of the 48th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS)*, pages 5:1–5:12, 2023.
- 22. G. B. Mertzios, O. Michail, and P. G. Spirakis. Temporal network optimization subject to connectivity constraints. *Algorithmica*, 81(4):1416–1449, 2019.
- 23. G. B. Mertzios, H. Molter, M. Renken, P. G. Spirakis, and P. Zschoche. The complexity of transitively orienting temporal graphs. In *Proceedings of the 46th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS)*, pages 75:1–75:18, 2021.
- 24. G. B. Mertzios, H. Molter, and V. Zamaraev. Sliding window temporal graph coloring. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 120:97–115, 2021.
- 25. O. Michail and P. Spirakis. Elements of the theory of dynamic networks. Communications of the ACM, 61(2):72-72, Jan. 2018.
- 26. O. Michail and P. G. Spirakis. Traveling salesman problems in temporal graphs. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 634:1–23, 2016.

- 27. N. Santoro. Computing in time-varying networks. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Stabilization, Safety, and Security of Distributed Systems* (SSS), page 4, 2011.
- 28. T. Viard, M. Latapy, and C. Magnien. Computing maximal cliques in link streams. Theoretical Computer Science, 609:245–252, 2016.
- 29. F. Yu, A. Bar-Noy, P. Basu, and R. Ramanathan. Algorithms for channel assignment in mobile wireless networks using temporal coloring. In *Proceedings of the 16th ACM international conference on Modeling, analysis & simulation of wireless and mobile systems (MSWiM)*, pages 49–58, 2013.
- P. Zschoche, T. Fluschnik, H. Molter, and R. Niedermeier. The complexity of finding small separators in temporal graphs. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 107:72–92, 2020.



## **Citation on deposit:**

Mertzios, G., Nikoletseas, S., Raptopoulos, C., & Spirakis, P. (2024, September). The threshold of existence of  $\delta$ -temporal cliques in random simple temporal graphs. Presented at Proceedings of the

20th International Symposium on Algorithmics of Wireless Networks (ALGOWIN), Egham, London, United Kingdom

For final citation and metadata, visit Durham Research Online URL: <a href="https://durham-repository.worktribe.com/output/2873655">https://durham-repository.worktribe.com/output/2873655</a>

**Copyright Statement:** This accepted manuscript is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/