

On-primate Cameras Reveal Undocumented Foraging Behaviour and Interspecies Interactions in Chacma Baboons (*Papio ursinus*)

Ben J. Walton¹ · Leah J. Findlay^{1,2} · Russell A. Hill^{1,2,3}

Received: 2 November 2023 / Accepted: 21 February 2024 / Published online: 19 March 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Introduction

Historically, direct observation by human observers has been the primary method for studying primate behaviour. However, human observation may alter the behaviour of even habituated primates and that of other animals in their environment (LaBarge *et al.*, 2020). Observers may miss rare or subtle behaviours, particularly if maintaining recommended observation distances (Moll *et al.*, 2007). Remote methods, including on-animal cameras, can overcome some of these limitations.

Cameras have been deployed on a range of animals to study behaviour, although primarily on larger-bodied species. However, the use of cameras on primates has been limited (Fuentes *et al.*, 2014). Yet on-animal cameras have the potential to reveal important aspects of primate behaviour from a "primate-eye perspective", with cameras collecting data continuously, close-up, and at high resolution. The method thus has the potential to give exciting and novel insights into primate behaviour.

Handling Editor: Carolyn Jost-Robinson

Ben J. Walton benjamin.j.walton@durham.ac.uk

> Leah J. Findlay l.j.findlay@durham.ac.uk

Russell A. Hill r.a.hill@durham.ac.uk

- ¹ Department of Anthropology, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, UK
- ² Primate & Predator Project, Alldays Wildlife & Communities Research Centre, P.O. Box 483, Alldays 0909, South Africa
- ³ Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, Engineering and Agriculture, University of Venda, Thohoyandou 0950, South Africa

We deployed custom-made, high-resolution, primate-borne video cameras on chacma baboons (*Papio ursinus*) in South Africa to gain insights into their behaviour and foraging in an agricultural landscape and to illustrate the potential advantages of this method, with a focus on undocumented foraging behaviours and interspecific interactions. Chacma baboons are omnivorous and occasionally prey on small antelope. Their flexible diets also may incorporate alternative anthropogenic food resources from agricultural areas (Walton *et al.*, 2021). Although previously studied by using bio-loggers (Walton *et al.*, 2021), these were without integrated video recording.

Methods

We deployed four collar cameras on unhabituated chacma baboons on a farm in the Blouberg District Municipality, South Africa, aided by a registered vet (collar mass ~ 300 g, < 3% of body mass). Collars recorded video continuously from 07:30 am the following day, with a drop-off mechanism deployed 24 h after collar attachment. We provide full collaring methods and collar specifications in the Supplementary Materials (Online resource 1). We recovered two of the four collars, both from adult females. All authors viewed videos from collars for behaviours of interest. An experienced guide identified the scat that appeared in the videos (further details in Online resource 1).

Ethical Note

The University of Durham Animal Welfare Ethical Review Board (August 3, 2017), Department of Anthropology Ethics and Data Protection Committee (June 12, 2017), and Limpopo Provincial Government Department of Economic Development, Environment, and Tourism (August 1, 2017) approved all methods.

Results

The first adult female's camera recorded continuous video for 8 h 40 min. The baboon engaged in unreported foraging behaviour, consuming antelope faeces in 19 separate events for 5 min 19 s. It consumed the faeces of multiple antelope species, mostly from common duiker (*Sylvicapra grimmia*), but it also ate greater kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*), impala (*Aepyceros melampus*), and gemsbok (*Oryx gazella*) faeces. The baboon was selective in which individual faeces were consumed; it broke some open and inspected the contents before ingestion (Fig. 1a–c). It rejected others after inspection. The camera also recorded separate interspecific encounters with a group of banded mongoose (*Mungos mungo*), two impala, and a nyala (*Tragelaphus angasii*).

The second baboon's camera recorded for 8 h 54 min. This female also engaged in coprophagy, consuming common duiker faeces in one event lasting 23 s. The



Fig. 1 Baboon (**a**) breaking open and (**b**) inspecting kudu faeces, and (**c**) transporting common duiker faeces to mouth between finger and thumb. (**d**) Baboon close to a common duiker. Videos recorded on chacma baboons in Blouberg District Municipality, South Africa, August 2017.

baboon picked up and ate the individual droppings rapidly, with no evidence for selection or inspection. The camera also recorded one encounter with a common duiker (Fig. 1d). Supplementary materials include further video recordings of these behaviours (Online resources 2–4).

Discussion

Although the recovered cameras only collected data on two baboons and for less than 9 h each, both cameras recorded coprophagy and close encounters with other species. This suggests that both are likely to be common occurrences, certainly in this population at this time of year.

Several primate species have been recorded consuming their own faeces or that of the same species (Soave & Brand, 1991). Records of primates consuming the faeces of other species, however, are rare and examples of baboons consuming the faeces of other species are absent from the literature despite being a wellresearched primate taxon. The frequency of this type of coprophagy recorded in our videos suggests that its absence from the literature may reflect the limitations of data collected by human observation. Even with habituated animals, it may be difficult or unethical to get close enough to primates to establish exactly what food items are being consumed. Our high-resolution cameras on the animals allowed close, detailed, and continuous recording of their feeding behavior such that infrequent, but important, events were recorded. Low food availability is a possible explanation for our observations as data collection took place towards the end of the dry season, when natural food availability was likely to be low.

The frequency of encounters with other species in a short time also is notable. It is unlikely that the interactions presented here would have been recorded in the presence of human observers, given that neither the baboons nor the species they interacted with were habituated to humans. Researchers may deter unhabituated species leading to erroneous conclusions about the frequency of interspecific interactions (LaBarge *et al.*, 2020). This has particular implications for the study of primate-predator interactions, as unhabituated predators may be deterred, leading to subsequent behavioural changes in prey species (LaBarge *et al.*, 2020).

In future research, we anticipate cameras being beneficial in the study of primates in the absence of human observers for purposes including but not limited to: 1) identifying rare and unanticipated behaviours when recording continuously, 2) studying interspecific interactions, including predator–prey interactions, with primates as both predators and prey, 3) studying intraspecific interactions including social behaviour and mate choice, 4) accurately quantifying activity budgets and energy intake, through continuous recording, 5) understanding the attention of wild primates by recording from a primate-eye perspective, 6) creating and validating models linking accelerometer data to behaviours, and 7) public engagement in research. Combining cameras with other technology, such as accelerometers, can allow cameras to record only specific behaviours, triggering them when that behaviour is likely to be occurring. Machine learning can reduce video coding time, which is otherwise labour intensive, and battery improvements will increase recording durations.

This research highlights some of the potential benefits of detailed and continuous data collection through on-primate video cameras. We hope that this work can act as a primer for researchers considering using on-animal cameras for studying primate behaviour.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-024-00423-9.

Acknowledgements We thank the British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) Studios Natural History Unit and the "Animals with Cameras" team for their role in developing, testing, and deploying the cameras. We also thank Chris Watts from Technical Films for camera build and design, and Isabel Rodgers for assistance with collar deployment. We are grateful to Rynauw for allowing work on his farm, Don Bird for assistance with scat identification, and Louw Grobler for veterinary assistance with collaring and sedation. We are grateful to editors Carolyn Jost Robinson and Joanna Setchell and an anonymous reviewer for helpful feedback on the manuscript.

Author Contributions Russell A Hill: Conceptualization (equal), Funding acquisition (lead), Project administration (equal), Supervision (lead), Writing – review & editing (equal).

Ben J Walton: Conceptualization (equal), Formal Analysis (lead), Writing – original draft (lead), Writing – review & editing (lead).

Leah J Findlay: Conceptualization (equal), Investigation (lead), Methodology (lead), Project administration (equal), Writing – review & editing (equal).

Funding BW was supported by the Natural Environment Research Council through a NERC studentship (Grant Ref: NE/S007431/1). LF was supported by a grant from the Earthwatch Institute. Data collection was funded by the series "Animals with Cameras" for the BBC.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Fuentes, A., Klegarth, A., Jones-Engel, L., Abernathy, K., Cortes, J., Gumert, M., Lee, B., Marshall, G., Pizarro, M., & Shaw, E. (2014). "Seeing the world through their eyes": Analyses of the first national geographic crittercam[™] deployments on macaques in Singapore and Gibraltar. In *Abstract - AAPA presentations* (p. 122). https://bioanth.org/documents/44/2014_AAPA_meetings_supp.pdf
- LaBarge, L. R., Hill, R. A., Berman, C. M., Margulis, S. W., & Allan, A. T. L. (2020). Anthropogenic influences on primate antipredator behavior and implications for research and conservation. *Ameri*can Journal of Primatology, 82(2). https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.23087
- Moll, R. J., Millspaugh, J. J., Beringer, J., Sartwell, J., & He, Z. (2007). A new "view" of ecology and conservation through animal-borne video systems. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 22(12). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.09.007
- Soave, O., & Brand, C. D. (1991). Coprophagy in animals: A review. The Cornell Veterinarian, 81(4), 357–64. https://babel.hathitrust.org/cgi/pt?id=coo.31924051143075&seq=379
- Walton, B. J., Findlay, L. J., & Hill, R. A. (2021). Insights into short- and long-term crop-foraging strategies in a chacma baboon (*Papio ursinus*) from GPS and accelerometer data. *Ecology and Evolution*, 11(2). https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7114