Chapter 12

Diet, Economy and Status: Evidence from the Animal Bones

Keith Dobney, Deborah Jaques & Wim Van Neer

Excavations at Tell Brak during 1994 to 1996 produced a small but significant collection of vertebrate remains. These were recovered both by hand collection and through the implementation of a systematic sampling and recovery programme using both dry- and wet-sieving techniques. The vast size of the mound of Tell Brak, and the inevitably limited physical scope of archaeological investigations, brings into question just how representative any of the excavated material really is of the changing aspects of daily life and economy in the past. This concern is further compounded by the often small size of the recovered assemblages. In terms of identifiable fragments, the numbers of bones recovered and recorded from the three seasons at Tell Brak were relatively small: less than 1500 fragments from dry sieving and less than 1000 from wet sieving. Once these quantities are divided between the different excavated trenches, and the broad range of phases present in each trench, individual assemblage size falls well below 500 fragments (see Table 12.1). As a result, much care, and indeed scepticism, must be employed when attempting to draw statistically valid and meaningful interpretations from such small datasets. Nevertheless, with these caveats in mind, some interesting observations can be made about the vertebrate assemblage recovered from Tell Brak.

Table 12.1. *Number of identifiable fragments recorded from hand-collected and dry-sieve assemblages by trench and by phase.*

	I	II	III	IV	V	Total
HF			3			3
HF1			21			21
HF2			6			6
HL			18			18
HN					317	317
HS1		371				371
HS2			183			183
HS3				267		267
HS4			39	40		79
HS5				72		72
HS6	48					48
Total	**48**	**371**	**270**	**379**	**317**	**1385**

In this paper, and the accompanying tables and figures, phase numbers correlate with cultural periods and trenches as follows:

Phase	Cultural period	Trench
I	Early Uruk	HS6
II	Middle Uruk	HS1
III	Ninevite 5	HS2, HS4, HF, HL
IV	Later third millennium	HS3, HS4, HS5
V	Early second millennium	HN

Methods

The vast majority of the hand-collected and dry-sieved material was identified and recorded on-site during the field seasons, using a small portable comparative collection. Those remains which could not be confidently assigned to species, in addition to all the vertebrate fragments sorted from the wet-sieved residues, were exported to laboratories in Britain and Belgium where more detailed identification and analysis was undertaken. The mammal and avian remains were identified using the comparative collection of the Environmental Archaeology Unit, University of York, whilst the fish remains were compared to the extensive collection housed at the Royal Museum of Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium.

Due to the short time available for the recording of the hand-collected and dry-sieved material during the field season, a limited suite of elements was recorded for the most common species: sheep/goat, pig, cattle, gazelle and equid. For these taxa, the skeletal elements that were included in the final recording protocol were selected on the basis of their archaeological visibility and usefulness, namely ease of identification to species, representation of different parts of the skeleton, and the availability of useful biometrical and age-at-death data. The protocol for recording is available upon request from the authors.

In the case of less common taxa such as wild mammals and birds, although few in number, all identifiable fragments were recorded where possible. As a result of this inherent bias in the data set, any comparative statistical analysis between species is restricted to the most common taxa mentioned above.

The major mammal species

Frequency of taxa

The total assemblage was recovered from deposits which, in terms of ceramically-based and calibrated radiocarbon dating, span many centuries from late fifth to early second millennia BC. Once the vertebrate assemblage is classified in terms of chronological date, clear differences are apparent between the relative frequencies of the most common mammalian taxa.

It is clear from Table 12.2 that the most common species recovered from the site are not surprisingly the bones of domesticated mammals, primarily sheep and goat, followed by domestic pig and cattle. Although the majority of wild taxa, both birds and mammals, are present in much lower frequencies, the remains of gazelle are more numerous than those of even equid and cattle.

Figure 12.1 shows the frequency of the main mammals by phase, based upon the number of identifiable specimens (NISP). As can be clearly seen, the importance of remains of sheep and goat is highest throughout all phases. In phase II, however, their remains represent >90 per cent of all species recovered (but of a total of only 371 identifiable fragments), whilst in phases III–V, their proportion is reduced to between 50 per cent and 40 per cent. The remains of larger domestic bovids never rise above 10 per cent for all periods (their highest frequency being in phase V), whilst the importance of domestic pigs is at its highest during period IV, where their remains are almost as frequent as sheep and goat. In terms of wild game, the only species present in substantial numbers is the gazelle which, on the basis of overall size and on horn-core morphology of the few fragments recovered, is likely to be the goitred gazelle, *Gazella subgutturosa*. Figure 12.1 shows that the remains of gazelle are the second most frequent mammal recovered from phase III deposits, representing >30 per cent of all major mammal fragments, and also similar in frequency to cattle and pig in period V.

A separate and arguably more representative method of calculating the relative importance of species through time is by using minimum number of individual (MNI) counts. This method reduces the bias of using fragment counts by only including bones, or fragments of bone, which

Table 12.2. *Number of identifiable fragments recorded from hand-collected and dry-sieve assemblages by species and by phase.*

Species		I	II	III	IV	V	Total
Apodemus/Mus sp.	mouse	-	-	2	-	-	2
Mus musculus	house mouse	-	-	1	-	-	1
Lepus capensis	cape hare	-	-	-	-	1	1
cf. *Mellivora capensis*	?honey badger/ratel	-	-	1	-	-	1
Canidae	dog family	-	3	1	9	3	16
cf. *Vulpes* sp.	small fox	-	1	2	1	-	4
cf. *Vulpes vulpes*	?fox	-	-	1	1	-	2
Felis sp.	cat	-	-	1	-	-	1
cf. *Panthera leo*	?lion	-	1	-	-	-	1
Equidae	horse/wild ass/donkey	2	2	18	25	49	96
Sus f. domestic	pig	1	7	18	149	55	230
Cervidae	deer	-	-	2	-	-	2
?Cervidae	?deer	-	-	1	-	-	1
Cervus elaphus	red deer	-	-	2	-	-	2
Bos sp.	cattle	4	13	9	16	27	69
Gazella sp.	gazelle	5	10	77	7	40	139
cf. *Gazella* sp.	?gazelle	-	-	-	-	3	3
Capra f. domestic	goat	3	24	19	22	11	79
cf. *Capra* f. domestic	?goat	2	20	9	10	11	52
Ovis f. domestic	sheep	9	150	43	65	49	316
cf. *Ovis* f. domestic	?sheep	1	8	4	10	3	26
Caprine	sheep/goat	21	133	64	69	52	339
	sheep/goat/gazelle	-	1	3	4	13	21
	Large mammal	-	-	-	-	1	1
cf. *Branta leucopsis*	?barnacle goose	-	-	1	-	-	1
cf. *Anthropoides virgo*	?demoiselle crane	-	-	1	-	-	1
Pterocles sp.	sand grouse	-	1	8	1	-	10
Columbidae	Pigeon/dove family	-	-	-	-	1	1
cf. *Columba livia*	?rock dove	-	1	1	1	3	6
Corvus corax L.	raven	-	-	1	-	-	1
Testudo sp.	tortoise	-	-	-	1	-	1
Amphibian		*	-	-	-	-	*
Total		**48**	**375**	**290**	**391**	**322**	**1426**

cannot be counted more than once. Figure 12.2 shows the percentage frequency of the three most common taxa as represented by their MNI counts. Rather comfortingly, this method of calculation shows an almost identical pattern of the relative importance of each species at Tell Brak through time to that noted using raw identifiable specimen counts (NISP: Fig. 12.1). Caprines (i.e. sheep and goats) are once again very common from phase II deposits. Gazelle are again most common in phase III and pig are now the most frequent species represented in phase IV.

The remains of caprines have thus far not been separated in any analyses. Changes in the relative proportions of sheep to goats may, however, provide important information in attempting to understand the economic priorities and goals of ancient and modern pastoralist communities. Figure 12.3 shows the relative proportions of sheep and goat fragments based on the total number of identifiable specimens. Although the relative importance of sheep as against goat is similar for all periods, with the values remaining more or less constant, data from phase III may indicate a slight rise in the importance of goat at this time. An almost identical pattern is presented when considering calculations of relative proportions of sheep and goat bones from their MNI counts (Fig. 12.4). Although a general emphasis on sheep and goat may indicate an economic focus towards wool and hair production (see discussion below), more subtle changes in their relative proportions, compared to one another, may suggest additional activities. Higher proportions of sheep over goat will be found, for example, where herding decisions are motivated by interest in energy maximization (Redding 1981). An increase in the importance of goats may be equated with a rise in the importance of milk production. Alternatively, climatic or environmental factors may also play a role. For example, over-grazing, increased aridity (or a combination of these and other factors) may result in the increased importance of goats over sheep.

Comparisons between trenches

All the conclusions proposed thus far are based on the assumption that the material from different trenches and periods are affected by a similar range of taphonomic factors, and that small sample size is not a limiting or biasing factor. Combining data of similar date from different excavation trenches may in fact mask real differences in, for example, modes of occupation, disposal and subsequent preservational factors occurring at different locations on the tell at the same time. In the case of trenches HS1, HS6 and



Figure 12.1. *Frequency of the main mammals by phase based on the number of identifiable skeletal parts (NISP).*



Figure 12.2. *Per cent presence of the three most common taxa as represented by their MNI counts.*



Figure 12.3. *Per cent presence of sheep and goat fragments based on the number of identifiable skeletal parts (NISP).*



Figure 12.4. *Per cent presence of sheep and goat bones as represented by their MNI counts.*



Figure 12.5. *Per cent presence of main domesticates by trench for phase IV (NISP).*



Figure 12.6. *Per cent presence of main mammals by trench for phase IV (NISP).*



Figure 12.7. *Caprovid age at death (phase IV) based on tooth wear. (After Payne 1973.)*



Figure 12.8. *Pig age at death (phase IV) based on tooth wear.*

HN, direct comparisons of the relative frequency of taxa could be made, since material from each represented a single distinct period. For the remaining trenches, however, where similarly phased material was recovered, checks were needed in order to test whether the simple period-based conclusions outlined above are not compromised by differences between trenches. Although data sets from a number of trenches are too small for any meaningful conclusions to be drawn, useful observations made on datasets of >50 fragments, namely assemblages from trenches HS3, HS4 and HS5, phase IV, are outlined below.

Figure 12.5 shows the relative frequency of pig, cattle and sheep/goat remains recovered from phase IV deposits from HS3, HS4 and HS5. As can be clearly seen, values for each taxa plotted by individual trench are extremely similar, indicating that overall species frequency for this period is not seriously compromised by differences in vertebrate assemblages between excavation areas. Slight differences do exist, however, when considering cattle and gazelle remains, for example (Fig. 12.6). In this case it can be seen that the bones of cattle are poorly represented and gazelle remains are wholly absent from trench HS5.

If we consider assemblages dated from phase III from the remaining trenches (HL, HF1, HF2, HS2 and HS4), only HS2 contains >50 fragments (in this case 183). Comparisons with the small HS4 assemblage, only 39 fragments, once again show little difference in relative frequency of the main domestic animals, and a higher frequency of gazelle remains in HS2.

On the basis of these simple comparisons between excavated trenches, it would seem that there are few major differences between larger assemblages from the same period, in terms of the relative frequencies of the major mammal species represented. As a consequence, it seems reasonable to assume that the major differences in the relative importance of certain of these taxa through time, particularly sheep/goat, gazelle and pig, noted above in Figures 12.1–12.2, are of genuine significance.

Age at death data

Due to the restricted size of the assemblages containing elements, particularly mandibles and teeth, which could be used to reconstruct meaningful kill-off patterns, limited information for temporal comparisons is available. Both sheep/goat and pig teeth were recovered in sufficient numbers from phase IV deposits, however, to enable kill-off profiles to be es-



Figure 12.9. *Pig (phase IV) mandible wear stages. (After Grant 1982.)*

tablished. Figure 12.7 shows the pattern created for sheep/goat tooth wear and eruption data (after Payne 1973). It shows that although a wide range of ages are represented in phase IV (from category C—I), significant culling of sheep and goats occurs between stages E–F (i.e. between 3–4 years of age). In addition, older, more mature animals (categories H–I) were also present. This kill-off pattern indicates that there was a premium for the 'growing-on' of younger sheep, well beyond the point where full carcass size would have been attained. This pattern is suggestive of an emphasis on the production of wool rather than animals killed primarily for meat, since adult animals of 3+ years would have produced multiple wool clips prior to their slaughter (see discussion below).

The numerous pig mandibles recovered from phase IV deposits also show a wide range of ages at which the animals were slaughtered (Fig. 12.8). Unlike cattle and sheep, pigs were kept principally for their primary products (i.e. meat), although lard and hide were also important. Their high fecundity makes them ideal meat producers, able to withstand regular culling of young individuals. Their ability to produce large litters means that plentiful supplies of pork could be guaranteed. As a result pigs were usually killed prior to full maturation, before the age of three years, and such is certainly the general pattern during phase IV at Tell Brak.

In contrast with the remains of sheep and goats, the vast majority of pigs from period IV were killed prior to reaching skeletal maturity, with over 40 per cent slaughtered at a very young age. However, if we consider the mandibular wear stage data for pigs in more detail (after Grant 1982), it is clear that during phase IV distinct age categories are dying or being selected for slaughter: neonatal/newborn, juvenile and early subadult animals (Fig. 12.9). The absence of individuals showing wear stage scores of between 4–6 and 11–16 suggests that animals were killed at particular times of the year. This assumption relies upon the fact that the animals were born seasonally at approximately the same time, an assumption that probably holds true for temperate Europe but may not be appropriate in Upper Mesopotamia. Whatever the case, suckling pigs and tender young pork were certainly the favoured meat of many of the inhabitants of this part of the site, at least, during phase IV.

Skeletal element distribution

The bones of many animals recovered from archaeological sites are frequently the waste from a wide range of human activities. Ignoring for now the vast problems associated with mixing of deposits and the presence of residual material, most urban assemblages represent a mixture of primary and secondary butchery waste, domestic household refuse, as well as waste from industrial and craft activities. The relative frequencies of various skeletal elements within an assemblage, together with their spatial distribution through various context types, can provide important and detailed evidence regarding some of these activities. For example, a wide range of skeletal elements within an assemblage may indicate that live animals were brought onto the site to be slaughtered and butchered. Alternatively the absence of certain carcass components, such as heads or terminal limb elements, may imply that slaughter and primary butchery were occurring elsewhere.

A preponderance of the major meat-bearing bones, such as scapulae, humeri, pelves, femora, thoracic and lumbar vertebrae and ribs, generally indicates the presence of domestic or household waste. Minor meat-bearing elements such as radii and ulnae, and tibiae and cervical vertebrae, are also asso-



Figure 12.10. *Phase II caprovid elements (MNI).*



Figure 12.11. *Phase III caprovid elements (MNI).*



Figure 12.12. *Phase IV caprovid elements (MNI).*



Figure 12.13. *Phase V caprovid elements (MNI).*

ciated with household refuse, although these are usually considered to be cuts of lower quality. Therefore, differences in the proportions of major and minor meat-bearing elements may also provide evidence of socio-economic status.

Fresh hides and horns, removed from the carcass soon after slaughter, were transported in bulk to the tanner, the heads being passed on to the hornworker. Terminal limb elements such as metapodials, particularly of sheep and smaller mammals, and their associated phalanges were often left attached to the hides. Thus, a preponderance of metapodials and phalanges in an assemblage may be a good indication of the presence of tanner's waste. Skulls of sheep-sized and smaller animals were sometimes left attached to the hides, and were only removed during the early stages of hide preparation.

These are obviously very simplistic models, and

the real picture at any site is likely to have been far more complex, particularly when considering additional important taphonomic factors such as differential preservation. The possible routes by which bones may be deposited within urban stratigraphy are many and varied. As a result, it would be unusual in most instances to be able to define with certainty all the activities represented by a single assemblage. However, when dealing with a number of assemblages from diverse locations and periods within a large urban centre, as we are in this instance, it is possible to recognize some general patterns, from which useful conclusions may be drawn.

Figures 12.10–12.13 show the relative abundance of recorded skeletal elements of sheep and goat for each period. Once again, the comparison of pooled data between periods assumes that there are little differences between different trenches and context types of the same period. In this case, the largest collections of sheep/goat remains came from trenches where mostly single periods were represented. Thus comparisons of data by period also mainly represents comparisons by trench. What is immediately obvious from the data is that there are striking differences in the representation of skeletal elements through time. Deposits from periods III and IV appear to be very similar in that they are dominated by elements from the head (mandible and teeth, particularly in phase III), as well as major and minor meat-bearing bones, such as humerus, radius and tibia. In contrast, deposits from phases II and V are characterized by fewer mandible fragments and reduced numbers of major meat-bearing bones, such as humerus. Interestingly, the most frequent elements for both these phases are astragali. Lower limb elements, such as metapodials, are much less abundant in all periods.

Although the evidence from all periods appears to reflect a mixture of carcass exploitation and disposal, higher proportions of major meat-bearing bones in deposits from phases III and IV may suggest the waste to be more indicative of household or consumption refuse. The lower proportions of lower limb elements throughout may reflect the presence of 'dressed' carcasses, where the feet and probably the skin were removed as part of the primary butchery.

Sheep/goat biometry

Changes in height and general body conformation of domestic animals can provide additional information regarding differing patterns of animal husbandry through time. The presentation of such data can be



Figure 12.14. *Box plot of sheep astragalus, greatest lateral length (GLl) measurement by phase.*



Figure 12.15. *Box plot of sheep humerus, width of distal condyle (BT) measurement by phase.*

used to explore whether local improvements in husbandry practices were under way, or whether new varieties were introduced. Only the remains of sheep were available in sufficient numbers throughout all periods to allow meaningful comparisons to be made of changes in their possible size and shape.

Figures 12.14–12.15 show a series of box-plots of single length and breadth measurements from a range of skeletal elements for sheep. Despite the fact that sample size is somewhat small for most periods, the largest and smallest specimens of sheep appear



Figure 12.16. *Sheep humerus biometry.*



Figure 12.17. *Sheep tibia biometry.*



Figure 12.18. *Sheep astragalus biometry.*



Figure 12.19. *Sheep phalanges biometry.*



Figure 12.20. *Sheep and goat phalanges, phase II, biometry.*



Figure 12.21. *Sheep and goat phalanges, phase IV, biometry.*



Figure 12.22. *Sheep and goat phalanges, phase V, biometry.*



Figure 12.23. *Goat astragalus biometry.*

to occur in periods II and III respectively. Values for the astragalus and humerus in the subsequent periods IV and V appear to increase, although their actual ranges overlap considerably. If we consider bivariate analyses of selected measurements, a similar pattern can be seen (Figs. 12.16–12.19). These data clearly show that the sheep from phase II are, with the exception of some outliers, much larger than most from the other phases, and are certainly a distinct group when compared to those from other phases.

When considering the biometry of sheep and goats, it is also clear that the relationship of size and shape is particularly marked between phases II and V (Figs. 12.20–12.22), perhaps indicating that a different variety of goat, more robust and stocky, is present in phase IV. This pattern is not evident, however, when considering astragalus measurements (Fig. 12.23), although the size of goat, unlike sheep, appears to be relatively homogeneous through time.

The fish remains

Tell Brak is situated 3 km west of the Jaghjagh stream, about 3 km north of its confluence with the Wadi Radd. Some 40 km further downstream the Jaghjagh flows into the Habur river which is a tributary of the Euphrates. Critical reviews of the fish fauna from the area of the Tigris–Euphrates basin have been published by Banister (1980) and Coad (1991; 1996), showing that for certain areas additional fieldwork is required and that systematic revisions of certain problematic taxa are needed. The ichthyofauna of the Habur river is relatively well-documented thanks to the recent surveys undertaken by Krupp & Schneider (1991; in press). The Habur river harbours at least 31 species of fish, four of which are recent introductions. The ichthyofauna of the region is very diverse but the major food taxa belong to only two families, the Cyprinidae or carp family, with numerous important food species, and the Siluridae with the catfish *Silurus triostegus*. These are still today the major food fish available on local markets. In September 1997 one of us (WVN) collected modern fish from Hasake market and prepared skeletons of the major economic species for comparison.

Table 12.3. *Fish species from hand-collected assemblages.*

	Phase III	Phase V
	0	0
Acanthobrama marmid	0	0
Aspius vorax	0	0
Barbus esocinus	0	0
Barbus luteus	0	1
Barbus sp.	0	8
Cyprinidae indet.	2	75
Silurus triostegus	1	13
Mystus pelusius	0	0
Liza abu	0	0
Mastacembelus mastacembelus	0	0
Total identified	3	97
Total indet	4	7
Grand total	7	104

The fact that fish remains have received little attention thus far in archaeozoological reports from Syrian sites results mainly from the insufficient recovery techniques employed. Early historic faunal assemblages with fish bones published to date are hand-collected samples characterized by a small number of fish remains. Their proportion of the total amount of identified remains ranges between 0.05 per cent at the second-millennium BC site of Tell Sheikh Hamad (Becker 1991) and at third-millennium Tell Halawa (Boessneck & von den Driesch 1989) to 0.56 per cent at the second-millennium BC site of El Qitar (Buitenhuis 1988). The sieving campaigns carried out at Tell Brak account for the high proportion of fish bones which represent the best sample available thus far for the third and second millennia of Syria.

The material

The number of fish remains collected during the 1994–96 seasons at Tell Brak totals 1276 fragments of which 69 per cent were identifiable. Ninety per cent of the hand-collected fish bones were identifiable: in the 3.5 mm fraction this was 68 per cent and in the 1 mm fraction 67 per cent. The species lists are given separately for the bones from the 3.5 and 1 mm samples, since the volumes from which they were retrieved differ (Tables 12.3–12.5). Besides a taxonomic identification, the bones were also used for a size reconstruction of the fish. These fish lengths are expressed in cm standard length (SL) which is the distance from the snout to the base of the tail. The standard lengths were obtained through direct comparison with modern specimens of known size.

Cyprinidae: More than 60 per cent of the identified fish remains belong to the cyprinid family, a taxon that is represented by 19 species in the Habur. The large number of species, and their similar osteology, hamper identifications beyond the family level. Nevertheless, it was possible to identify a number of fragments to genus or species level. Forty-five skeletal elements could be attributed to the genus *Barbus*, comprising mainly pharyngeal plates (18 specimens) and the fused second and third vertebrae which are

Table 12.4. *Fish species from wet-sieved residues (>3.5 mm fraction).*

	Phase I	Phase II	Phase III	Phase IV	Phase V
Acanthobrama marmid	0	0	0	0	0
Aspius vorax	0	0	0	0	1
Barbus esocinus	0	0	0	0	3
Barbus luteus	0	0	0	0	0
Barbus sp.	0	0	1	1	30
Cyprinidae indet.	0	5	7	25	211
Silurus triostegus	0	2	3	0	49
Mystus pelusius	0	0	1	0	0
Liza abu	0	0	0	2	2
Mastacembelus mastacembelus	0	0	0	0	2
Total identified	0	7	12	28	298
Total indet	2	2	4	11	142
Grand total	**2**	**9**	**16**	**39**	**440**
volume sieved to >3.5 mm	240	487	1758	1261	1737
fish bones per 100 litre	0.83	1.85	0.91	3.01	25.33

Table 12.5. *Fish species from wet-sieved residues (>1 mm–<3.5 mm fraction).*

	Phase II	Phase III	Phase IV	Phase V
Acanthobrama marmid	0	0	3	0
Aspius vorax	0	0	1	0
Barbus esocinus	0	0	0	0
Barbus luteus	0	0	0	0
Barbus sp.	0	1	2	2
Cyprinidae indet.	3	7	86	90
Silurus triostegus	0	3	9	4
Mystus pelusius	0	0	2	0
Liza abu	3	2	217	0
Mastacembelus mastacembelus	0	0	2	0
Total identified	6	13	322	98
Total indet	4	27	110	79
Grand total	**10**	**40**	**432**	**177**
volume sieved to >1 mm	229	835	497	807
fish bones per 100 litre	1.75	3.23	22.13	9.79

part of the Weberian apparatus (15 specimens). Five first vertebrae, four dentaries, two operculars and a hyomandibular were also identifiable as *Barbus*. The reconstructed sizes of *Barbus* vary between 10–20 and 40–50 cm SL. In addition to the generically identified specimens, four elements of barbel could be identified to species. *Barbus esocinus* is represented by three basioccipitals of small individuals (10–20 cm SL), whereas the occurrence of *Barbus luteus* is indicated by a cleithrum of an individual measuring 20–30 cm SL.

Aspius vorax is represented by a fused second and third vertebra of an individual measuring 40–50 cm SL and by a dentary of a small individual (10–15 cm SL). Two pharyngeal plates and a basioccipital indicate the presence of small (5–15 cm SL) *Acanthobrama marmid*.

Cyprinids have been reported from all faunal assemblages in Syria that have yielded fish remains. The number of specimens identified beyond family level, however, is low. Two large specimens of *Barbus esocinus* (120–130 cm total length) have been found in a third-millennium BC context at Tell Halawa (Boessneck & von den Driesch 1989), and *Barbus grypus* has been reported from the site of Ibrahim's Garten (mid-second millennium BC) at Tell Munbaqa (Boessneck & Peters 1988). *Barbus* sp was identified at the site of Kuppe (2200–1900 bc: Early Bronze Age IV) at Tell Munbaqa (Boessneck & Peters 1988). Taxa other than *Barbus* have only been reported thus far from Syria in the much later Romano-Byzantino-Islamic site of Apamea and include *Leuciscus, Capoeta, Chondrostoma regium* and *Aspius vorax* (van Neer 1984).

Silurus triostegus: Almost 10 per cent of the identified fish bones belong to this catfish which can attain a total length of more than 2 m. The remains collected at Tell Brak are from individuals with a reconstructed standard length between 10–20 and 80–90 cm SL. It is unclear whether this small average size is related to the fishing techniques that failed to capture larger specimens or if it reflects the effects of overfishing. This species has been reported previously in Syria from a third millennium context at Tell Halawa (Boessneck & von den Driesch 1989) and from the Kuppe area (2200–1900 BC: Early Bronze Age IV) at Tell Munbaqa (Boessneck & Peters 1988).

Mystus pelusius: This catfish species belonging to the Bagridae family is of little commercial value today as a result of its small size (maximum length about 25 cm). At Tell Brak the presence of this species is indicated by a pectoral spine, a dorsal pterygiophore and a cleithrum from individuals measuring between 15–20 and 20–30 cm SL. *Mystus pelusius* has been reported previously from the Romano-Byzantino-Islamic site of Apamea, located along the Orontes (van Neer 1984).

Liza abu: This species belongs to the mullet family Mugilidae which comprises mainly marine species, some of which enter estuaries or ascend rivers seasonally. *Liza abu* occurs mainly in freshwater and, unlike the other members of the family, is also able to reproduce in rivers. The species lives in the Habur river but is also found as far inland as the upper

reaches of the Tigris river in Turkey (Geldiay & Balık 1996). The maximum size of this fish is about 25 cm. Remains of this species were mainly found in the 1 mm sieve fraction (222 specimens). It is rare in the 3.5 mm residue (four specimens) and totally absent in the hand-collected material. Almost 75 per cent of the remains are vertebrae (168 specimens) and 16 per cent (or 37 specimens) are dorsal and anal fin spines. The other skeletal elements present are five dorsal and two anal pterygiophores, three ventral fin spines, three basipterygia, three basioccipitals, an articular, a premaxilla, a hyomandibular, a preopercular, and an opercular. The 185 bones that allowed a size reconstruction indicate that the majority of the fish (73 per cent) measured between 10 and 20 cm SL, whereas the remaining 27 per cent were smaller than 10 cm SL.

More than 25 per cent of the identified fish bones are from *Liza abu* but it should be underlined that this high ratio results from their abundance in one context only. Ninety-three per cent of the remains come from a 70-litre sediment sample sieved on a 3.5 mm screen and ten litres of the same sediment sieved at 1 mm. The sample was taken from pit-fill (A1143) in a surface level of trench HS3. This mullet occurs in low numbers in all the other investigated contexts.

Mastacembelus mastacembelus: The spiny eel is represented by three precaudal and one caudal vertebrae of individuals measuring between 20–30 and 40–50 cm SL. Because of their snake-like appearance, food taboos against mastacembelids occur in several areas of their vast distribution in Asia and Africa. This fish was not consumed a few decades ago in Syria (Beckmann 1962) but it now occurs regularly at the fish market of Hasake.

Frequency of fish

The fish identifications listed in Tables 12.3–12.5 have been used to calculate the relative importance of the different taxa through time (Fig. 12.24). Calculations have been made in order to correct for the different volumes sieved and, in addition, the extremely rich sample from the fill in HS3 has been kept separate. No identifiable fish remains were present from the Early Uruk period (phase I). Only 13 identified specimens were available from the Middle Uruk period (phase II) and from the Ninevite 5 period (phase III) 25 such bones were present. The later third-millennium material (phase IV) comprised 67 identifiable specimens if the bones from context A1143 are not taken into account. The richest samples belong to the second millennium (phase V) and comprise 396 identifiable bones. As a result of the relatively small numbers of bones for the earlier contexts it is not excluded that chance fluctuations have influenced the proportions of the different taxa. The trend that appears from Figure 12.24 (namely an increase through time in the importance of cyprinids and a decrease in the contribution of the catfish and mullet) must, therefore, be interpreted with caution. Could it be that the observed changes are related to a phenomenon of over-fishing which may have affected the silurid to a larger extent than the other taxa?



Figure 12.24. *Fish taxa through time.*

Fish biometry

A possible indicator of overfishing could be a size decrease over time. In order to use the distribution of the reconstructed lengths of the fish found at Tell Brak it is again necessary to correct for the different volumes that were sieved in each context. The results of this exercise are given in Figures 12.25–12.26.

It should be underlined that the number of fragments on which the size reconstructions of *Silurus triostegus* are based is low. A total of 60 elements was sufficiently well-preserved to allow a reconstruction of the standard length, but the bones are unevenly spread over the different phases (Fig. 12.25). The size distribution given for phase V is based on 44 specimens and can be considered a good indicator of the fish captured, although the effect of differential preservation on the various size classes cannot be quantified. For phases IV and III the number of observations are limited to eight and six specimens respectively, whereas for phase II only two bones allowed a size reconstruction.

The high proportion of large specimens during phase II is for this reason probably not significant. It is striking that in phases III and IV the majority



Figure 12.25. Silurus triostegus *biometry through time.*



Figure 12.26. *Cyprinidae biometry through time.*

of the catfish have a reconstructed standard length below 40 cm. In phase V the sizes vary between 10 and 90 cm SL with a more or less even distribution between the size classes 10–20 and 60–70 cm. Specimens larger than 70 cm are rare. The data available thus far do not allow us to document a possible shift in the average sizes of *Silurus triostegus*. It is clear, however, that the specimens were always of rather small average size, never coming close to the reported maximum size of 2 m.

The size distributions of the Cyprinidae have also been considered through time (Fig. 12.26). More bones are available that allow a size reconstruction than in *Silurus triostegus*. Ninety and 286 such elements are present for phases IV and V respectively but for the earlier phases the material is rare. Seven cyprinid bones from phase II and ten from phase III could be used for size reconstruction. From the graph it appears clearly that the majority of the captured cyprinids were between 10 and 30 cm SL in all the considered phases. No clear shift occurs through time, and the few large specimens from phase II may have distorted the picture as a result of small sample size, whereas the high amount of cyprinids smaller than 10 cm SL in phase IV is due to their abundance in the 1mm fraction of the HS3 pit-fill (A1143).

Discussion

The animal bone assemblage from Tell Brak is not large in terms of numbers of identifiable fragments and, considering its broad temporal and spatial diversity, any conclusions and interpretations that are drawn have to be regarded with considerable caution. Nevertheless, some interesting patterns in the data may help to shed some light on broader archaeological questions.

The domestic economy

The data from Tell Brak are perhaps best considered in the context of information recovered from other sites in the region. In terms of vertebrate remains from the northern steppe region of the Habur, numerous contemporary and earlier assemblages have been reported upon and stimulatingly synthesized by Zeder (1991; 1995; 1998b; 1999). Thus, archaeological information indicates that by the third millennium BC the northern steppe was increasingly densely settled, leading to the establishment and rapid growth of large urban centres. Here emerged an urban-based economic system organized by the state (Zeder 1999). Animal bone assemblages from a range of sites in this region indicate that from the fourth millennium BC there was an emphasis on domestic livestock, and more specifically a heavy reliance on caprine husbandry. This focus on caprine herding in the Habur basin may be linked to the production of wool and hair for the expanding urban textile industry, which has been posited as a reason for the expansion of settlement onto the virgin pasturelands of the southern steppe during the third millennium BC (Zeder 1998b). Here, specialist pastoralists are thought to have stimulated a gradual transformation from an indigenous localized broad-based economy into a uniform, controlled and highly specialized one. Since wool was the most easily stored sheep product it was the most suitable resource for controlled distribution. Thus control over this production and distribution appears to have been extremely important (Zeder 1991).

The limited data from the Tell Brak assemblage concerning the relative importance of caprine to other animals do not wholly support this general hypothesis. Although sheep and goat remains are certainly the most common domestic animal throughout the sequence, it is clear that their importance, rather than increasing, steadily declines between the fourth and second millennia BC (from >90 to <45 per cent). Age at death data, however, suggest that the animals from the late third millennium BC were kept for their secondary products, probably wool. In fact it has been postulated that a focus on a single or limited range of age groups is likely to be most obvious where specialized administrative and economic activities were

performed (Zeder 1991).

The remains of pig also tell an interesting story. In Zeder's survey, pigs were found to have been important at a number of sites in the Habur basin, although their frequency rarely exceeded 20 per cent of any assemblage. Through the third millennium the relative importance of pig husbandry declined at most sites in favour of caprine herding. This trend is not observed, however, at Tell Brak. Instead, pigs appear significantly to increase in importance during the late third millennium BC where they reach between 40–50 per cent of the total assemblage. Changes in the exploitation of pigs and caprines can be explained in a number of ways, and which focus upon environmental, socio-economic and political factors.



Figure 12.27. *Wild and domestic in mammal assemblages from the Habur basin, northern steppe (partly after Zeder 1998b), and Tell Brak.*

In terms of purely dietary considerations, there is no doubt that pigs provide the highest calorific return. Their intensive large-scale keeping can be severely constrained, however, by both ecological and maintenance factors. Their high water requirements, poorly suited to semi-arid regions where shade is limited, and an inability to utilize cellulose-rich pasture plants, rendering them often less rewarding than large flocks of sheep, mean that pigs are best kept close to or within the settlement. In densely settled areas they are best kept in sties, suggesting that swine-herding may rarely have been a large-scale regulated activity at sites in the Near East (Zeder 1998a), although there is considerable evidence for state-level exploitation of pigs in third-millennium south Mesopotamia (Matthews 1985). Small-scale sty-based pig-keeping therefore most likely took place in smaller household units.

Several other sites provide further support for a possible socio-economic differentiation in swine-keeping. At Al Hiba in south Mesopotamia, Mudar (1982) suggests that pigs were more important in lower-status residential households than in an élite temple district. Closer to Brak, at Tell Leilan higher quantities of pigs, up to 60 per cent (a similarly high frequency to that from late third-millennium deposits from Tell Brak) were found in a so-called workers residential area. These representations have been interpreted as differences in diet between élite elements of society and workers (Weiss *et al.* 1993).

The small-scale keeping of pigs could thus be considered as a supplementary resource, perhaps produced and used by lower-status residents of the town. Local production of pork may have conferred an element of autonomy to individual groups or households, making pig-raising undesirable in the eyes of urban authorities wishing to retain broad control over production and supply (Zeder 1998a). This factor may help to explain the eventual taboo on pork consumption in the region (Diener & Robkin 1978). Pigs may have been an important and reliable resource in times when weaker political integration and control was the norm, becoming less important when centralised control was established (Zeder 1991). The apparent focus upon the consumption of very young pigs may, however, indicate their importance to the higher status/élite elements of society at Tell Brak which were supplied by those of lower social rank.

Hunting wild mammals

At the sites of the northern steppe surveyed by Zeder (1998b), wild resources appear to have played little part in the urban economics of the fourth millennium. Through the third millennium in the middle Habur there appears to have been a further decline in the importance of wild game, specifically gazelle and onager, until by the mid–late third-millennium exploitation of these species was negligible (Fig. 12.27). Data from Tell Brak, however, indicate that during the Ninevite 5 period remains of gazelle reached nearly 40 per cent of the total assemblage. Interestingly, at Tell Kuran numerous bones of gazelle, *c.* 200+ animals represented mostly by foot

bones, were recovered from a late fourth-millennium deposit, in this case in a matrix approximately 5 cm thick and no more than 1 m². Their presence in such high frequencies at both sites suggests that wild game was more abundant in densely settled rain-fed farming areas of the northern steppe (Zeder 1999) and also indicates that one particular species of wild game was extremely important to the inhabitants during this period.

Similar to (or in contrast with) arguments proposed for the high frequencies of pig remains, it could be argued that the presence of wild game may reflect the existence of a higher-status element of society. It has also been suggested that the degree to which wild resources were utilized could constitute a direct measure of the effectiveness of the provisioning system in meeting distribution requirements. In other words, the less effective a system the more pressure to supplement basic resources with game, especially ubiquitous species that are easily collected and caught (Zeder 1991). Whatever the reason, at Tell Brak it is clear that the Ninevite 5 period is one of major transition, aspects of which are represented in the vertebrate remains from the site.

Fish exploitation

From the data concerning the size classes of the fish taxa recovered, it is clear that fishing techniques enabled the regular capture of small specimens. It is likely that nets with relatively small mesh size were used. Such gear would have allowed the capture of the majority of the recorded taxa, although different types of nets may have been employed. The mullets live closer to the surface than the cyprinids whereas the spiny eels are typical bottom dwellers. Other fishing gear such as baskets and hook and line may have been used as well, but there is little in the way of archaeological evidence for these elements.

In principle, fishing may have been practised all year round but the best period must have been the spawning season in late spring. In that period fish tend to concentrate in shallow, bank-side areas and they are then very vulnerable to predation. Growth rings on the vertebrae cannot be used to verify this hypothesis since no modern reference material is available from specimens captured at different seasons of the year. In addition, there are some methodological problems inherent in the use of growth increments for seasonality determination (Carlson 1988; van Neer 1993).

Conclusions

Although not great in number, the vertebrate remains from the 1994–96 seasons of excavations at Tell Brak have provided further important insights into the dynamics of economic, social and cultural change in the northern steppe region of Mesopotamia through the fifth to second millennia BC. This study has partly corroborated conclusions drawn from other wider syntheses within the region, and also highlighted important differences between the vertebrate assemblages from Tell Brak and other contemporary sites. As already stressed, the sheer size and scale of the settlement at Tell Brak means that any conclusions drawn from such small-scale samples must be tentative indeed. Nevertheless, these data and interpretations provide a provisional basis on which future work can build.

Acknowledgements

Keith Dobney and Deborah Jaques express sincere thanks to Melinda Zeder for providing published and unpublished information regarding work in the Habur basin. The contribution of Keith Dobney was partially supported by a Bioarchaeology Research Fellowship from the Wellcome Trust. The contribution of Wim van Neer presents research results of the Inter-University Poles of Attraction Programme (Belgian State, Prime Minister's Office, Federal Office for Scientific, Technical and Cultural Affairs).

References

Abay, E., 1997. *Die Keramik der Frühbronzezeit in Anatolien mit 'Syrischen Affinitäten'*. (Altertumskunde des Vorderen Orients: Archäologische Studien zur Kultur und Geschichte des Alten Orients 8.) Münster: Ugarit-Verlag.

Abu al-Soof, B., 1964. Uruk pottery from the Dokan and Shahrazur districts. *Sumer* 20, 37–44.

Abu al-Soof, B., 1968. Distribution of Uruk, Jamdat Nasr and Ninevite V pottery as revealed by field survey work in Iraq. *Iraq* 30, 74–86.

Abu al-Soof, B., 1969. Excavations at Tell Qalinj Agha (Erbil), summer, 1968. *Sumer* 25, 3–42.

Abu al-Soof, B. & S. Es-Siwwani, 1967. More soundings at Tell Qalinj Agha (Erbil). *Sumer* 23, 69–75.

Adams, R.M., 1981. *Heartland of Cities: Surveys of Ancient Settlement and Land Use on the Central Flood Plain of the Euphrates*. Chicago (IL): University of Chicago Press.

Akkermans, P.M.M.G., 1988a. The period IV pottery, in van Loon (ed.) 1988, 182–285.

Akkermans, P.M.M.G., 1988b. The period V pottery, in van Loon (ed.) 1988, 287–350.

Akkermans, P.M.M.G., 1988c. An updated chronology for the northern Ubaid and the Late Chalcolithic periods in Syria: new evidence from Hammam et-Turkman. *Iraq* 50, 109–45.

Akyurt, M., B. Devam, H. Erkanal & T. Ökse, 1993. 1991 Girnavaz Kazıları. *Kazı Sonuçları Toplantısı* 14/1, 267–80.

Alden, J.R., 1988. Ceramic ring scrapers: an Uruk period pottery production tool. *Paléorient* 14/1, 143–50.

Algaze, G., 1986. Kurban Höyük and the Late Chalcolithic period in the northwest Mesopotamian periphery: a preliminary assessment, in Finkbeiner & Röllig (eds.), 274–315.

Algaze, G., 1989. A new frontier: first results of the Tigris–Euphrates archaeological reconnaissance project, 1988. *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 48, 241–81.

Algaze, G. (ed.), 1990. *Town and Country in Southeastern Anatolia*, vol. II: *The Stratigraphic Sequence at Kurban Höyük*. Chicago (IL): University of Chicago, Oriental Institute.

Algaze, G., 1993. *The Uruk World System: the Dynamics of Expansion of Early Mesopotamian Civilization*. Chicago (IL): University of Chicago Press.

Algaze, G., R. Breuninger, C. Lightfoot & M. Rosenberg, 1991. The Tigris–Euphrates archaeological reconnaissance project: a preliminary report of the 1989–1990 seasons. *Anatolica* 17, 175–246.

Algaze, G., R. Breuninger & J. Knudstad, 1994. The Tigris–Euphrates archaeological reconnaissance project: final report of the Birecik and Carchemish dam survey areas. *Anatolica* 20, 1–96.

Al-Kaissi, B. & H.S. Mynors, 1987. Ceramic analyses of Mesopotamian wares in the Early Dynastic period, in *Researches in the Antiquities of Saddam Dam Basin Salvage and Other Researches*. Baghdad: State Organization for Antiquities and Heritage, 134–54.

Al-Maqdissi, M., 1995. Chronique des activités archéologiques en Syrie II. *Syria* 72, 159–266.

Amiran R. & D. Shenhav, 1984. Experiments with an ancient potter's wheel, in Rice (ed.) 1984b, 107–12.

Annis, M.B., 1988. Modes of production and the use of space in potters' workshops in Sardinia: a changing picture. *Newsletter Department of Pottery Technology (Leiden University)* 6, 47–77.

Annis, M.B. & L. Jacobs, 1990. Cooking ware from Pabillonis (Sardinia): relationships between raw materials, manufacturing techniques and the function of the vessels. *Newsletter Department of Pottery Technology (Leiden University)* 7/8, 75–131.

Archi, A., 1998. The regional state of Nagar according to the texts of Ebla, in Lebeau (ed.), 1–15.

Arnold, D.E., 1989. *Ceramic Theory and Cultural Process*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Arsebük, G. & M. Korfmann, 1976. An assemblage of sling-pellets from Tülintepe, 1972. *Keban Project 1972 Activities*, 163–72.

Ataman, K., 1989. The Chipped Stone Assemblage from Can Hasan III: a Study in Typology, Technology and Function. Unpublished PhD thesis, University College London.

Attas, M., J.M. Fossey & L. Yaffe, 1982. Variations of ceramic composition with time: a test case using Lakonian pottery. *Archaeometry* 24/2, 181–90.

Ay, E., 1995. Girharrin Höyüğünün arkeolojik değerlendirmesi, in *In Memoriam İ. Metin Akyurt and Bahattin Devam. Studies for Ancient Near Eastern Cultures*, eds. A. Erkanal & H. Erkanal. Istanbul: Arkeoloji ve Sanat Yayınları, 13–32.

Bachelot, L., 1987. The French Archaeological Expedition to Saddam-Dam, the second campaign at Kutan, May/June 1984, in *Researches on the Antiquities of Saddam Dam Basin Salvage and Other Researches*. Baghdad: State Organization of Antiquities and Heritage, 89–98.

Bachelot, L., 1990. Les fouilles de Tell Mohammed Diyab (1987–88), in *Recherches en Haute Mésopotamie Tell Mohammed Diyab Campagnes 1987–1988*, ed. J.-M. Durand. (Cahiers de Nabu 1.) Paris: SEPOA, 9–46.

Ball, W., 1987. British excavations in the Abu Dhahir area 1985–86, interim report, in *Researches on the Antiquities of Saddam Dam Basin Salvage and Other Researches*. Baghdad: State Organization of Antiquities and Heritage, 78–81.

Ball, W., 1990. Tell Al-Hawa and the development of ur-

banization in the Jazira. *Al-Rafidan* 11, 1–28.

Ball, W., 1997. Tell Shelgiyya: an Early Uruk 'Sprig Ware' manufacturing and exporting centre on the Tigris. *Al-Rafidan* 18, 93–104.

Ball, W. & J.A. Black, 1987. Excavations in Iraq, 1985–86. *Iraq* 49, 231–51.

Ball, W. & T.J. Wilkinson, n.d. Ninevite 5 town and country in northwestern Iraq, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Ball, W., D. Tucker & T.J. Wilkinson, 1989. The Tell al-Hawa project: archaeological investigations in the North Jazira, 1986–1987. *Iraq* 51, 1–66.

Banister, K.E., 1980. The fishes of the Tigris and Euphrates rivers, in *Euphrates and Tigris, Mesopotamian Ecology and Destiny*, ed. J. Rzóska. (Monographiae Biologicae 38.) The Hague: W. Junk, 95–108.

Basalla, G., 1988. *The Evolution of Technology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Beck, C.W. & S. Shennan, 1991. *Amber in Prehistoric Britain*. Oxford: Oxbow.

Beck, C.W., E. Wilbur, S. Meret, D. Kossove & K. Kermani, 1965. The infrared spectra of amber and the identification of Baltic amber. *Archaeometry* 8, 96–109.

Becker, C., 1991. Erste Ergebnisse zu den Tierknochen aus Tall Šēh Hamad - die Funde aus Raum A des Gebäudes P, in *Die Rezente Umwelt von Tall Šēh Hamad und Daten zur Umweltrekonstruktion der Assyrischen Stadt Dūr-katlimmu*, ed. H. Kühne. Berlin: Dietrich Reimer, 117–32.

Beckmann, W.C., 1962. *The Freshwater Fishes of Syria and their General Biology and Management*. (Fisheries Biology Technical Paper 8.) Rome: FAO.

Behm-Blancke, M.R., 1981. Hassek Höyük: vorläufiger Bericht über die Ausgrabungen der Jahre 1978–1980. *Istanbuler Mitteilungen* 31, 11–94.

Behm-Blancke, M.R., 1984. Hassek Höyük: vorläufiger Bericht über die Grabungen in den Jahren 1981–1983. *Istanbuler Mitteilungen* 34, 31–149.

Behm-Blancke, M.R., 1988. Peripherie Nineve V-Keramik am oberen Euphrate. *Mitteilungen der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft* 120, 159–72.

Behm-Blancke, M.R., 1993. Glyptische Traditionen beiderseits des Ost-Taurus im Ausgehenden 4. und frühen 3. Jahrtausend v. Chr., in Frangipane *et al.* (eds.), 247–59.

Berry, R.W., G.B. Brophy & A. Naqash, 1970. Mineralogy of the suspended sediment in the Tigris, Euphrates, and Shatt-Al-Arab rivers of Iraq, and the recent history of the Mesopotamian plain. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 40, 131–9.

Beyer, D., 1995. Mashnaqa (Syrie) 1994: rapport sommaire sur les travaux de la mission archéologique française. *Orient Express* 1995/2, 43–6.

Beyer, D., 1998. Évolution de l'espace bâti sur un site de la vallée du Khabur au IVe millénaire: les fouilles françaises de Mashnaqa, in Fortin & Aurenche (eds.), 139–48.

Bielinski, P., 1987a. Tell Raffaan and Tell Rijim 1984–1985, in *Researches on the Antiquities of Saddam Dam Basin Salvage and Other Researches*. Baghdad: State Organization of Antiquities and Heritage, 13–19.

Bielinski, P., 1987b. Preliminary report on the third season of Polish excavations on Tell Rijim Omar Dalle Saddam's Dam project area, in *Researches on the Antiquities of Saddam Dam Basin Salvage and Other Researches*. Baghdad: State Organization of Antiquities and Heritage, 24–32.

Biga, M.G., 1998. The marriage of Eblaite princess Tagris-Damu with a son of Nagar's king, in Lebeau (ed.), 17–39.

Birmingham, J., 1975. Traditional potters of the Kathmandu Valley: an ethnoarchaeological study. *Man* 10, 370–86.

Biscione, R., 1982. La ceramica del III millennio, in *Tell Barri/Kahat 1*, eds. P.E. Pecorella & M. Salvini. Rome: Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, 45–54.

Biscione, R., 1998. La sequenza del III millennio a Tell Barri/Kaḫat: L'Area B, in Pecorella (ed.), 35–64.

Björk, C., 1995. *Early Pottery in Greece: a Technological and Functional Analysis of the Evidence from Neolithic Achilleion Thessaly*. Jonsered: Paul Åströms.

Black, J.A. & A. Green, 1992. *Gods, Demons and Symbols of Ancient Mesopotamia*. London: British Museum Press.

Black, J.A. & R.G. Killick, 1985. Excavations in Iraq, 1983–84. *Iraq* 47, 215–39.

Blackman, M.J., 1992. The effect of human size sorting on the mineralogy and chemistry of ceramic clays, in *Characterization of Ceramic Pastes in Archaeology*, ed. H. Neff. Madison (WI): Prehistory Press, 113–24.

Blackman, M.J., 1999. Chemical characterization of local Anatolian and Uruk style sealing clays from Hacınebi. *Paléorient* 25/1, 53–6.

Blackman, M.J., G.J. Stein & P.B. Vandiver, 1993. The standardization hypothesis and ceramic mass production: technological, compositional, and metric indexes of craft specialization at Tell Leilan, Syria. *American Antiquity* 58/1, 60–80.

Bluard, C., 1997. Recherches sur le périmètre externe (Chantier H), in Lebeau & Suleiman (eds.), 179–91.

Boardman, S. & G. Jones, 1990. Experiments on the effects of charring on cereal plant components. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 17, 1–11.

Boese, J., 1995. *Ausgrabungen in Tell Sheikh Hassan, I Vorläufiger Berichte über die Grabungskampagnen 1984–1990 und 1992–1994*. (Schriften zur Vorderasiatischen Archäologie 5.) Saarbrücken: Saarbrücker Druckerei und Verlag.

Boessneck, J. & J. Peters, 1988. Tierknochen- und Molluskenfunde aus dem Grabungsbereich 'Kuppe' in Tall Munbāqa. *Mitteilungen der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft* 120, 51–8.

Boessneck, J. & A. von den Driesch, 1989. Die Faunenreste vom Tell Halawa am Assad-See/Nordsyrien (Drittes und Anfang zweites Jahrtausands v. Chr.), in *Halawa 1980–1986*, ed. W. Orthmann. (Saarbrücker Beiträge zur Altertumskunde 52.) Saarbrücken: Saarbrücker Druckerei und Verlag, 113–52.

Boileau, M.-C., 1998. La céramique peinte en Djézireh

durant le IIIe millénaire av. J.C: indice de la présence d'une élite socio-économique?, in Fortin & Aurenche (eds.), 281–94.

Boivin, N.L., 1999. Life rhythms and floor sequences: excavating time in rural Rajasthan and Neolithic Çatalhöyük. *World Archaeology* 31/3, 367–88.

Bottema, S., 1984. The composition of modern charred seed assemblages, in van Zeist & Casparie, 207–12.

Bradley, R., 1990. *The Passage of Arms: an Archaeological Analysis of Prehistoric Hoards and Votive Deposits*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Braidwood, R. & L. Braidwood, 1960. *Excavations in the Plain of Antioch, the Early Assemblages*. Chicago (IL): University of Chicago Press.

Brandt, R.W., 1978. The Chalcolithic pottery, in *Korucutepe 2*, ed. M.N. van Loon. Amsterdam: North Holland, 57–60.

Bretschneider, J., 2000. Nabada: the buried city. *Scientific American* 283/4, 62–9.

Bretschneider, J. & G. Jans, 1997. Spätfrühdynastische und Akkadische Keramik von der Hügelkuppe (Akropolis-Feld F), in Lebeau & Suleiman (eds.), 135–43.

Brown, D., 1976. Bronze and pewter, in *Roman Crafts*, eds. D. Strong & D. Brown. London: Gerald Duckworh, 25–42.

Brown, G.H., 1967. Prehistoric pottery from the Antitaurus. *Anatolian Studies* 17, 123–64.

Buccellati, G. & M. Kelly-Buccellati, 1988. *Mozan 1. The Soundings of the First Two Seasons*. (Bibliotheca Mesopotamica 20.) Malibu (CA): Undena.

Buccellati, G., D. Buia & S. Reimer, 1991. Tell Ziyada: the first three seasons of excavation (1988–1990). *Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies* 21, 31–62.

Buchanan, B., 1966. *Catalogue of the Near Eastern Seals in the Ashmolean Museum I: Cylinder Seals*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Buchanan, B., 1981. *Early Near Eastern Seals in the Yale Babylonian Collection*. Yale (CT): Yale University Press.

Buitenhuis, H., 1988. Archeozoölogisch onderzoek langs de Midden-Eufraat. Onderzoek van het faunamateriaal uit zes nederzettingen in Zuidoost-Turkije en Noord-Syria daterend van *c.* 10.000 BP to 1400 AD. Unpublished doctoral thesis, University of Groningen.

Bullock, P., N. Fedoroff, A. Jongerius, G. Stoops & T. Tursina, 1985. *Handbook for Soil Thin Section Description*. Wolverhampton: Waine Research.

Bunzel, R.L., 1972. *The Pueblo Potter: a Study of Creative Imagination in Primitive Art*. New York (NY): Dover Publications.

Butterlin, P., 2000. La vallée de l'Euphrate et l'expansion urukéenne: problèmes stratigraphiques et chronologiques au sud du Taurus, in *Chronologies des Pays du Caucase et de l'Euphrate aux IVe–IIIe Millénaires*, eds C. Marro & H. Hauptmann. (Varia Anatolica XI.) Paris: De Boccard, 29–51.

Campbell, S., n.d. Neutron activation of Ninevite 5 pottery from north Iraq, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Campbell Thompson, R. & R.W. Hamilton, 1932. The British Museum excavations on the Temple of Ishtar at Nineveh, 1930–31. *University of Liverpool Annals of Archaeology and Anthropology* 19, 55–116.

Campbell Thompson, R. & R.W. Hutchinson, 1931. The site of the palace of Ashurnasirpal at Nineveh, excavated in 1929–30 on behalf of the British Museum. *University of Liverpool Annals of Archaeology and Anthropology* 18, 79–112.

Campbell Thompson, R. & M.E.L. Mallowan, 1933. The British Museum excavations at Nineveh, 1931–32. *University of Liverpool Annals of Archaeology and Anthropology* 20, 71–127.

Carlson, C., 1988. An evaluation of fish growth annuli for the determination of seasonality in archaeological sites, in *Recent Developments in Environmental Analysis in Old and New World Archaeology*, ed. E. Webb. (British Archaeological Reports International Series 416.) Oxford: BAR, 67–78.

Cauvin, J. & D. Stordeur, 1985. Une occupation d'époque Uruk en Palmyrène: le niveau supérieur d'El Kowm 2 - Caracol. *Cahiers de l'Euphrate* 4, 191–205.

Charles, M.P., 1998. Fodder from dung: the recognition and interpretation of dung-derived plant material from archaeological aites. *Environmental Archaeology* 1, 111–22.

Civil, M., 1984. Bilingualism in logographically written languages: Sumerian in Ebla, in *Il Bilingualism a Elba*, ed. L. Cagni. Napoli: Instituto Univesitario Orientale, 75–97.

Coad, B.W., 1991. Fishes of the Tigris–Euphrates basin: a critical review. *Syllogeus* 68, 1–49.

Coad, B.W., 1996. Zoogeography of the fishes of the Tigris–Euphrates basin. *Zoology of the Middle East* 13, 51–70.

Cockle, H., 1981. Pottery manufacture in Roman Egypt: a new papyrus. *Journal of Roman Studies* 71, 87–97.

Colbeck, J., 1975. *Pottery: the Technique of Throwing*. London: Batsford.

Collon, D., n.d. The Ninevite 5 seal impressions from Nineveh, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Connan, J. & O. Deschesne, 1991. Le bitume dans l'antiquité. *La Recherche* 229/22, 152–9.

Conolly, J., 1999. *The Çatalhoyuk Flint and Obsidian Industry: Technology and Typology in Context*. (British Archaeological Reports International Series 787.) Oxford: BAR.

Conti, A.M. & C. Persiani, 1993. When worlds collide: cultural developments in easten Anatolia in the Early Bronze Age, in Frangipane *et al.* (eds.), 361–414.

Courty, M.A., 1994. Le cadre paléogéographique des occupations humaines dans le bassin du Haut-Khabur (Syrie du nord-est). Premiers résultats. *Paléorient* 20, 21–59.

Courty, M.A., 1998. The soil record of an exceptional event at 4000 BP in the Middle East, in *Natural Catastrophes*

During Bronze Age Civilisations, eds. B. Peiser, J.T. Palmer & M. Bailey. Oxford: Archaeopress, 93–108.

Courty, M.A. & V. Roux, 1995. Identification of wheel throwing on the basis of ceramic surface features and microfabrics. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 22, 17–50.

Courty, M.A., P. Goldberg & R.I. Macphail, 1989. *Soils and Micromorphology in Archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Crawford, H.E.W., 1991. *Sumer and the Sumerians*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Curtis, F., 1962. The utility pottery industry of Balién, southern Spain. *American Anthropologist* 64, 486–503.

Curvers, H.H., 1987. The Middle Habour salvage operation: excavations at Tell al-Raqa'i, 1986. *Akkadica* 55, 1–29.

Curvers, H.H. & G.M. Schwartz, 1990. Excavations at Tell al-Raqa'i: a small rural site of early urban northern Mesopotamia. *American Journal of Archaeology* 94, 3–23.

Curvers, H.H. & G.M. Schwartz, 1997. Umm el Marra, a Bronze Age urban center in the Jabbul plain, western Syria. *American Journal of Archaeology* 101, 201–27.

Cuyler Young, T.J., 1986. Godin Tepe period VI/V and central western Iran at the end of the fourth millennium, in *Gamdat Nasr: Period or Regional Style?*, eds. U. Finkbeiner & W. Röllig. Wiesbaden: Ludwig Reichert, 212–28.

Daniels, V., 1981. Manganese-containing stains on excavated pottery sherds. *MASCA Journal* 1/8, 230–31.

Davidson, T.E. & H. McKerrell, 1980. The neutron activation analysis of Halaf and 'Ubaid pottery from Tell Arpachiyah and Tepe Gawra. *Iraq* 42, 155–67.

Davidson, T.E. & T. Watkins, 1981. Two seasons of excavations at Tell Aqab in the Jezirah, N.E. Syria. *Iraq* 43, 1–18.

de Meyer, L. & H. Gasche, 1986. Mission archéologique Belge en Iraq: aperçu des travaux de la campagne de 1985. *Akkadica* 47, 1–23.

Debruyne, M., 1997. A corbelled Akkadian grave (field F), in Lebeau & Suleiman (eds.), 145–54.

del Olmo Lete, G. & J.-L. Montero Fenollós (eds.), 1999. *Archaeology of the Upper Syrian Euphrates: the Tishrin Dam Area*. Barcelona: Sabadell.

Delougaz, P., 1952. *Pottery from the Diyala Region*. (Oriental Institute Publications 63.) Chicago (IL): University of Chicago Press.

Delougaz, P., H. Hill & S. Lloyd, 1967. *Private Houses and Graves in the Diyala Region*. (Oriental Institute Publications 88.) Chicago (IL): University of Chicago Press.

Dennell, R.W., 1972. The interpretation of plant remains: Bulgaria, in *Papers in Economic Prehistory*, ed. E.S. Higgs. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 149–60.

Di Caprio, N.C. & S.J. Vaughan, 1993. An experimental study in distinguishing grog (chamotte) from argillaceous inclusions in ceramic thin sections. *Archeomaterials* 7, 21–40.

Diener, P. & E. Robkin, 1978. Ecology and evolution and the search for cultural origins: the question of Islamic pig prohibition. *Current Anthropology* 19, 493–540.

Ditmer, J., 1994. *Basic Bowl Turning*. Atglen (PA): Schiffer Publishing.

Du Plat Taylor, J., M.V. Seton Williams & J. Waechter, 1950. The excavations at Sakce Gözü. *Iraq* 12, 53–138.

Dunand, M., 1939. *Fouilles de Byblos I, 1926–1932*. Paris: Paul Geuthner.

Edens, C., 1999. The chipped stone industry at Hacınebi: technological styles and social identity. *Paléorient* 25/1, 23–33.

Edwards, I. & L. Jacobs, 1986. Experiments with stone 'pottery wheel' bearings — notes on the use of rotation in the production of ancient pottery. *Newsletter Department of Pottery Technology (Leiden University)* 4, 49–55.

Egami, N., 1958. *Telul eth Thalathat, the Excavation of Tell II*. Tokyo: Institute for Oriental Culture.

Egami, N., 1959. *Telul eth Thalathat, Report I, the Excavation of Tell II 1956–57*. Tokyo: Yamakawa Publishing.

Eichler, S., M. Wäfler & D. Warburton (eds.), 1990. *Tall Al-Hamidiya 2*. Göttingen: Universatsverlag Freiburg Schweiz.

Eidem, J., 1998. Nagar. *Reallexikon der Assyriologie und Vorderasiatischen Archäologie* 9:1/2, 75-7.

Eiland, M.L., 1995. Parthian Nineveh. Unpublished D.Phil thesis, Oxford University.

Eiland, M.L., 1996. Some technological and petrographic observations on post-Assyrian pottery from Nineveh in Iraq, in *Continuity and Change in Northern Mesopotamia from the Hellenistic to the Early Islamic Period*, eds. K. Bartl & S. R. Hauser: Berlin: Dietrich Reimer.

Emberling, G., J. Cheng, T.E. Larsen, H. Pittman, T.B.B. Skuldboel, J. Weber & H.T. Wright, 1999. Excavations at Tell Brak 1998: preliminary report. *Iraq* 61, 1–41.

Erkanal, H., 1990. 1988 Girnavaz kazıları. *Kazı Sonuçları Toplantısı* 11, 261–74.

Erkanal, H., 1991. 1989 Girnavaz kazıları. *Kazı Sonuçları Toplantısı* 12, 277–92.

Esin, U., 1972. Tepecik Excavations 1970. *Keban Project 1970 Activities*, 149–58.

Esin, U., 1976. Tülintepe excavations 1972. *Keban Project 1972 Activities*, 147–63.

Esin, U., 1979. Tepecik excavations 1973. *Keban Project 1973 Activities*, 97–113.

Esin, U., 1982. Tepecik excavations 1974. *Keban Project 1974 Activities*, 95–118.

Esin, U., 1985. Some small finds from the Chalcolithic occupation at Değirmentepe (Malatya) in eastern Turkey, in Liverani *et al.* (eds.), 253–63.

Evershed, R.P., C. Heron, S. Charters & L.J. Goad, 1992. The survival of food residues: new methods of analysis, interpretation and application, in *New Developments in Archaeological Science*, ed. A.M. Pollard. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 149–59.

Evins, M.A., 1998. Reorganization and Intensification on the Mesopotamian Periphery: Late Prehistoric Ce-

ramic Production and Exchange in the Karababa Basin, Southeastern Turkey. Unpublished PhD dissertation, University of Chicago.

Faivre, X., 1992. La céramique de Mohammed Diyab 1990–1991, in *Recherches en Haute Mésopotamie Tell Mohammed Diyab Campagnes 1990 et 1991*, ed. J.-M. Durand. (Mémoires de Nabu 2.) Paris: SEPOA, 55–90.

Fales, F.M., S. Tusa, G. Wilhelm & C. Zaccagnini, 1987. German–Italian expedition to Iraq, preliminary report on the first campaign of excavations within the Saddam Dam reservoir archaeological rescue project (1984), in *Researches on the Antiquities of Saddam Dam Basin Salvage and Other Researches*. Baghdad: State Organization of Antiquities and Heritage, 99–128.

Feinbrun-Dothan, N., 1978. *Flora Palaestina*, vol. 3. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

Feinbrun-Dothan, N., 1986. *Flora Palaestina*, vol. 4. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

Felli, C., 2000. Middle Uruk pottery from area HS1, Tell Brak: aspects of continuity and change, in *Proceedings of the First International Congress on the Archaeology of the Ancient Near East*, eds. P. Matthiae, A. Enea, L. Peyronel & F. Pinnock. Rome: Università degli Studi di Roma 'La Sapienza', 411–20.

Fielden, K., 1977. Tell Brak 1976: the pottery. *Iraq* 38, 245–55.

Fielden, K., 1981. A Late Uruk pottery group from Tell Brak, 1978. *Iraq* 43, 157–66.

Finkbeiner, U. & W. Röllig (eds.), 1986. *Gamdat Nasr: Period or Regional Style?* Wiesbaden: Ludwig Reichert.

Finkbeiner, U., R. Dittmann & H. Hauptmann (eds.), 1995. *Beiträge zur Kulturgeschichte Vorderasiens. Festschrift für Rainer Michael Boehmer*. Mainz am Rhein: Philipp Von Zabern.

Finkel, I., 1985. Inscriptions from Tell Brak 1984. *Iraq* 47, 197–201.

Fiorina, P., 1997. Khirbet Hatara - la stratigrafia. *Mesopotamia* 32, 7–62.

Forbes, R.J., 1964. *Studies in Ancient Technology*. Leiden: E.J. Brill.

Forest, J.D., 1987. Khirbet Derak and Kutan: a preliminary report about the French excavations in the Saddam Dam area, in *Researches on the Antiquities of Saddam Dam Basin Salvage and Other Researches*. Baghdad: State Organization of Antiquities and Heritage, 82–8.

Forest, J.D., 1991. Du bon usage de la démarche inductive. Réponse à J.R. Alden. *Paléorient* 17/2, 173–4.

Forest, J.D., n.d. La culture Ninivite V: sa nature et ses origines, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Fortin, M., 1989. Trois campagnes de fouilles à Tell 'Atij: un comptoir commercial du IIIème millénaire en Syrie du nord. *Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies* 18, 35–56.

Fortin, M., 1991a. Récentes recherches archéologiques dans la moyenne vallée du Khabour (Syrie). *Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies* 21, 5–15.

Fortin, M., 1991b. Tell Gudeda: un site 'industriel' du IIIème millénaire av. J.C. dans la moyenne vallée du Khabour (Syrie). *Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies* 21, 63–78.

Fortin, M., 1997. Urbanisation et 'redistribution' de surplus agricoles en Mésopotamie septentrionale (3000–2500 av. J.-C.), in *Urbanism in Antiquity*, eds. W.E. Aufrecht, N.A. Mirau & S.W. Gauley. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 50–81.

Fortin, M., 1998. New horizons in ancient Syria: the view from 'Atij. *Near Eastern Archaeology* 61/1, 15–25.

Fortin, M., 2000. Économie et société dans la moyenne vallée du Khabour durant la période de Ninive 5, in Rouault & Wäfler (eds.), 111–36.

Fortin, M. & O. Aurenche (eds.), 1998. *Natural Space, Inhabited Space in Northern Syria (10th–2nd Millennium BC)*. (Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies 33.) Quebec: Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies.

Fortin, M. & L. Cooper, 1994. Canadian excavations at Tell 'Atij 1992–1993. *Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies* 27, 33–50.

Fortin, M., B. Routledge & C. Routledge, 1994. Canadian excavations at Tell Gudeda (Syria) 1992–1993. *Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies* 27, 51–64.

Foster, B.R., 1993. Management and administration in the Sargonic period, in Liverani (ed.), 25–39.

Frangipane, M., 1993. Local components in the development of centralized societies in Syro-Anatolian regions, in Frangipane *et al.* (eds.), 133–61.

Frangipane, M., 1994. Excavations at Arslantepe-Malatya, 1992. *Kazı Sonuçları Toplantısı* 15(1), 211–28.

Frangipane, M., 1997. A 4th millennium temple/palace complex at Arslantepe-Malatya. North–south relations and the formation of early state societies in the northern regions of Greater Mesopotamia. *Paléorient* 23/1, 45–73.

Frangipane, M., 1998. Changes in Upper Mesopotamian/Anatolian relations at the beginning of 3rd millennium BC, in Lebeau (ed.), 192–218.

Frangipane, M. & A. Palmieri, 1983. Cultural developments at Arslantepe at the beginning of third millennium. *Origini* 12, 523–74.

Frangipane, M., H. Hauptmann, M. Liverani, P. Matthiae & M. Mellink (eds.), 1993. *Between the Rivers and Over the Mountains: Archaeologica Anatolica et Mesopotamia Alba Palmieri Dedicata*. Rome: University of Rome 'La Sapienza'.

Franken, H.J., 1974. *In Search of the Jericho Potters: Ceramics from the Iron Age and from the Neolithic*. Amsterdam: North Holland Publishing.

Frankfort, H., 1934. *Iraq Excavations of the Oriental Institute 1932/33. Third Preliminary Report of the Iraq Expedition*. (Oriental Institute Communications 17.) Chicago (IL): University of Chicago Press.

Frankfort, H., 1955. *Stratified Cylinder Seals from the Diyala Region*. (Oriental Institute Publications 72.) Chicago (IL): University of Chicago Press.

Fraser, H., 1995. *Ceramic Faults and their Remedies*. London:

A. & C. Black.

Freestone, I.C. & D. Gaimster (eds.), 1997. *Pottery in the Making: World Ceramic Traditions*. London: British Museum Press.

Freestone, I.C. & M.J. Hughes, 1989. Appendix III: examination of ceramics from Qasrij Cliff and Khirbet Qasrij, in *Excavations at Qasrij Cliff and Khirbet Qasrij*, ed. J. Curtis. London: British Museum, 61–75.

French, D., 1972. Aşvan excavations, 1970. *Keban Project 1970 Activities*, 55–62.

Fugmann, E., 1958. *L'Architecture des périodes pré-Hellénistiques*. (Hama. Fouilles et Recherches de la Fondation Carlsberg 1931–1938 II.1.) Copenhagen: Nationalmuseets Skrifter.

Fujii, H. (ed.), 1981. Preliminary report of excavations at Gubba and Songor. *Al-Rafidan* 2, 3–242.

Fujii, H., 1987. Working report on first season of Japanese archaeological excavation in Saddam Dam salvage project, in *Researches on the Antiquities of Saddam Dam Basin Salvage and Other Researches*. Baghdad: State Organization of Antiquities and Heritage, 33–72.

Fujii, H. & H. Oguchi, 1987. Tell Masrafa. *Archiv für Orientforschung* 34, 188–92.

Fukai, S., K. Horiuchi & T. Matsutani, 1974. *Telul eth Thalathat, The Excavation of Tell V, The Fourth Season (1965)*, vol. III. Tokyo: Institute of Oriental Culture, University of Tokyo.

Geldiay, R. & S. Balık, 1996. *Türkiye Tatlısu Balıkları*. Izmir: Ege Üniversitesi.

Gerber, C., 1999. Entwurf zu 'Lokal' und 'Fremd' anhand des Frühbronzezeitlichen Keramikinventars aus Hassek Höyük, in Van Lerberghe & Voet (eds.), 101–21.

Gesuato, M.K., 1993. The pre-Islamic pottery from Tell Jikan, in Wilhelm & Zaccagnini (eds.), 269–76.

Gibson, M., 1972. Umm el-Jir, a town in Akkad. *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 31, 237–94.

Gibson, M., 1982. A re-evaluation of the Akkad period in the Diyala region on the basis of recent excavations at Nippur and in the Hamrin. *American Journal of Archaeology* 86, 531–8.

Gibson, M., 2000. Hamoukar: early city in northeastern Syria. *The Oriental Institute News and Notes* 166.

Gibson, M. & M. Maktash, 2000. Tell Hamoukar: early city in northeastern Syria. *Antiquity* 74, 477–8.

Gluck, J., 1977. Pottery, in *A Survey of Persian Handicraft*, eds. J. Gluck & S. H. Gluck. Tokyo: Hanshichi Shasin Insatsu.

Goddeeris, A., M. Lahlouh & M.-E. Sténuit, 1997. An Early Dynastic official building and Seleucid-Parthian levels (field E), in Lebeau & Suleiman (eds.), 105–15.

Grant, A., 1982. The use of tooth wear as a guide to the age of domestic ungulates, in *Ageing and Sexing Animal Bones from Archaeological Sites*, eds. B. Wilson, C. Grigson & S. Payne. (British Archaeological Reports British Series 109.) Oxford: BAR, 91–108.

Grattan, J.P. & D.D. Gilbertson, 1999. Energy dispersive X-ray micro-analysis and the geochemistry of soil sediments, in *Environmental Reconstruction in Mediterranean Landscaoe Archaeology*, eds P. Leveau, F. Trement, K. Walsh & G. Barker. Oxford: Oxbow Books, 81–8.

Green, A., n.d. The burial of the dead, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Green, A. (ed.), 1993. *The 6G Ash-Tip and its Contents: Cultic and Administrative Discard from the Temple?* (Abu Salabikh Excavations 4.) London: British School of Archaeology in Iraq.

Gülçur, S., 2000. Norşuntepe: die Chalkolithische Keramik (Elazığ/Ostanatolien), in Marro & Hauptmann (eds.), 375–418.

Gut, R., 1995. *Das Prähistorische Ninive*. (Baghdader Forschungen 19.) Mainz am Rhein: Philipp von Zabern.

Gut, R., 1996. Zur Datierung der 'Proto-Ninive 5' Ware von Qalinj Agha. *Baghdader Mitteilungen* 27, 1–12.

Hall, H.R. & C.L. Woolley, 1927. *Al-'Ubaid*. (Ur Excavations 1.) Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hally, D.J., 1983. Use alteration of pottery vessel surfaces: an important source of evidence in the identification of vessel function. *North American Archaeologist* 4/1, 3–26.

Hamer, F. & J. Hamer, 1986. *The Potter's Dictionary of Materials and Techniques*. London: A. & C. Black.

Hammade, H. & Y. Koike, 1992. Syrian Archaeological Expedition in the Tishreen dam basin: excavations at Tell al-'Abr 1990 and 1991. *Damaszener Mitteilungen* 6, 109–75.

Hauptmann, H., 1972. Die Grabungen auf dem Norşuntepe 1970. *Keban Project 1970 Activities*, 103–17.

Hauptmann, H., 1979. Die Grabungen auf dem Norşuntepe 1973. *Keban Project 1973 Activities*, 61–97.

Hauptmann, H., 1982. Die Grabungen auf dem Norşuntepe 1974. *Keban Project 1974 Activities*, 41–70.

Helwing, B., 2000. Regional variation in the composition of Late Chalcolithic pottery assemblages, in Marro & Hauptmann (eds.), 145–64.

Hijara, I., 1973. Excavations at Tell Qalinj Agha (Erbil), 4th season. *Sumer* 29, 13–35.

Hillman, G.C., 1984. Interpretation of archaeological plant remains: the application of ethnographic models from Turkey, in van Zeist & Casparie (eds.), 1–41.

Hodder, I., 1979. Pottery distributions: service and tribal areas, in *Pottery and the Archaeologist*, ed. M. Millett. (Occasional Paper 4.) London: Institute of Archaeology, 7–23.

Hodder, I., 1987. The meaning of discard: ash and domestic space in Baringo, in *Method and Theory for Activity Area Research: an Ethnoarchaeological Approach*, ed. S. Kent. New York (NY): Columbia University Press, 424–48.

Hoh, M.R., 1984. Die Keramik von Hassek Höyük. *Istanbuler Mitteilungen* 34, 66–91.

Hole, F., 1991. Middle Khabur settlement and agriculture in the Ninevite 5 period. *Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies* 21, 17–30.

Hole, F., 1997. Paleoenvironment and human society in the Jezireh of northern Mesopotamia 20,000–6000

BP. *Paléorient* 23/2, 39–49.

Hole, F., 2000. The prehistory of the Khabur, in Rouault & Wäfler (eds.), 16–27.

Hole, F. & J. Arzt, 1998. Tell Ziyadeh, in *Chronique Archéologique en Syrie II. Rapport des Campagnes 1994–1997*. Damascus: Department of Antiquities, 173–6.

Hole, F., K. Flannery & J.A. Neely, 1969. *Prehistory and Human Ecology of the Deh Luran Plain*. (Memoirs of the University of Michigan Museum of Anthropology 1.) Ann Arbor (MI): University of Michigan.

Hood, S., 1951. Excavations at Tabara el Akrad. *Anatolian Studies* 1, 113–47.

Howard, H., 1981. In the wake of distribution: towards an integrated approach to ceramic studies in prehistoric Britain, in *Production and Distribution: a Ceramic Viewpoint*, eds. H. Howard & E. Morris. (British Archaeological Reports British Series 120.) Oxford: BAR, 1–30.

Hrouda, B., 1957. *Die Bemalte Keramik des Zweiten Jahrtausends in Nordmesopotamien und Nordsyrien*. (Istanbuler Forschungen 19.) Berlin: Gebr. Mann.

Ii, H., n.d. Tell Jigan and the relationship between the Ninevite V and Scarlet Wares, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Covilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Ii, H. & M. Kawamata, 1985. The excavations at Tell Jigan by the Japanese Archaeological Expedition. A preliminary report on the first season of work (in Japanese). *Al-Rafidan* 5-6, 111–214.

Ismail, F., W. Sallaberger, P. Talon & K. Van Lerberghe (eds.), 1996. *Administrative Documents from Tell Beydar (Seasons 1993–1995)*. (Subartu II.) Turnhout: Brepols.

James, G.W., 1972. *Indian Basketry*. New York (NY): Dover Publications.

Jans, G. & J. Bretschneider, 1998. Wagon and chariot representations in the Early Dynastic glyptic. 'They came to Beydar with wagon and equid', in Lebeau (ed.), 155–94.

Jones, G.E.M., 1984. Interpretation of archaeological plant remains: ethnographic models from Greece, in van Zeist & Casparie (eds.), 43–61.

Jones, G.E.M., 1991. Numerical analysis in archaeobotany, in *Progress in Old World Paleoethnobotany*, eds. W. van Zeist, K. Wasylikowa & K.E. Behre. Rotterdam: A.A. Balkema, 63–80.

Kalter, J., 1982. Rural life and peasant culture, in *The Arts and Crafts of Syria*, eds. J. Kalter, M. Pavaloi & M. Zerrnickel. New York (NY): Thames & Hudson, 103–18.

Kantor, H.J., 1958. The pottery, in *Soundings at Tell Fakhariyeh*, ed. C.W. McEwan. (Oriental Institute Publications 79.) Chicago (IL): Oriental Institute, 119–32.

Kelly-Buccellati, M., 1990. Three seasons of excavation at Tell Mozan, in Eichler *et al.* (eds.), 119–31.

Kepinski-Lecomte, C., 1992. *Haradum* I: *une ville nouvelle sur le Moyen-Euphrate*. Paris: ERC.

Killick, R.G., 1986. The Eski Mosul region, in Finkbeiner & Röllig (eds.), 229–44.

Killick, R.G. (ed.), 1988. *Tell Rubeidheh: an Uruk Village in the Jebel Hamrin*. (Iraq Archaeological Reports 2.) London: British School of Archaeology in Iraq.

Killick, R.G., n.d. The relative chronology of Ninevite 5 pottery from Tell Mohammed Arab, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Klein, H., 1992. *Untersuchung zur Typologie Bronzezeitlicher Nadel in Mesopotamien und Syrien*. (Schriften zur Vorderasiatische Archäologie 4.) Saarbrücken: Saarbrücker Druckerei und Verlag.

Klengel-Brandt, E., S. Kulemann-Ossen, L. Martin, R.-B. Wartke, H.-G.K. Gebel & E. Vila, 1997. Vorläufiger Bericht über die Ausgrabungen des Vorderasiatischen Museums auf Tall Knedig-NO Syrien Ergebnisse der Kampagnen 1995 und 1996. *Mitteilungen Der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft* 129, 39–87.

Koliński, R., 1996. Tell Rad Shaqrad 1991–1995. *Orient Express* 3, 67–9.

Koliński, R., 2000. *Tell Rijm, the Middle Bronze Age Layers*. (British Archaeological Reports International Series 287.) Oxford: Archaeopress.

Koliński, R. & D. Ławecka, 1992. Report of Polish excavations at Tell Abu Hafur, north Syria 1988–1989. Area A. *Damaszener Mitteilungen* 6, 177–312.

Krafeld-Daughtery, M., 1994. *Wohnen im Alten Orient: Eine Untersuchung zur Verwendung von Räumen in Altorientalischen Wohnhäusern*. (Altertumskunde des Vorderen Orients 3.) Münster: Ugarit-Verlag.

Krupp, F. & W. Schneider, 1991. Bestandserfassung der rezenten Fauna im Bereich des Nahr al-Hābūr, in *Die Rezente Umwelt von Tall Šēh Hamad der Assyrischen Stadt Dūr-katlimmu*, ed. H. Kühne. Berlin: Dietrich Reimer, 69–85.

Krupp, F. & W. Schneider, in press. Die Fischfauna des Nahr al- Hābūr, NO-Syrien, in *Umwelt und Subsistenz im Hābūr-Gebiet (Syrien) im Spätholozän*, ed. H. Kühne. (Berichte des Ausgrabung Tall Šēh Hamad/Dūr-katlimmu 5.) Berlin: D. Reimer.

Kühne, H., 1976. *Die Keramik von Tell Chuera und ihres Beziehungen zu Funden aus Syrien-Palästina, der Türkei und dem Iraq*. (Vorderasiatische Forschungen der Max Freiherr von Oppenheim-Stiftung I.) Berlin: Gebr. Mann.

Lackey, L.M., 1982. *The Pottery of Acatlán: a Changing Mexican Tradition*. Norman (OK): University of Oklahoma.

Le Mière, M. & M. Picon, 1994. Early Neolithic pots and cooking, in Wartke (ed.), 67–70.

Le Patourel, H.E.J., 1968. Documentary evidence and the Medieval pottery industry. *Medieval Archaeology* 12, 101–26.

Lebeau, M., 1985a. Rapport préliminaire sur la sequence céramique du Chantier B de Mari (IIIè millénaire). *Mari Annales de Recherches Interdisciplinaires* 4, 93–126.

Lebeau, M., 1985b. Rapport préliminaire sur la céramique du Bronze Ancien IVa découverte au 'Palais Présargonique 1'" de Mari. *Mari Annales de Recherches Interdisciplinaires* 4, 127–36.

Lebeau, M., 1987. Rapport préliminaire sur la céramique

des premiers niveaux de Mari (Chantier B - 1984). *Mari Annales de Recherches Interdisciplinaires* 5, 415–42.

Lebeau, M., 1993. *Tell Melebiya. Cinq campagnes de recherches sur le Moyen-Khabour (1984–1988).* (Akkadica Supplementum IX.) Leuven: Peeters.

Lebeau, M., 1997. La céramique de la 'maison aux tablettes' (Chantier B), inLebeau & Suleiman (eds.), 65–87.

Lebeau, M. (ed.), 1998. *About Subartu: Studies Devoted to Upper Mesopotamia.* (Subartu IV,2.) Turnhout: Brepols.

Lebeau, M., 2000. Stratified archaeological evidence and compared periodizations in the Syrian Jezirah during the third millennium BC, in Marro & Hauptmann (eds.), 167–92.

Lebeau, M. & A. Suleiman (eds.), 1997. *Tell Beydar, Three Seasons of Excavations (1992–1994): a Preliminary Report.* (Subartu III.) Turnhout: Brepols.

Lebeau, M., P. Buch, F. Fauconnier, A. Lira, K. Pillen-Vandermeersch, M. Schneider & P. Talon, 1986. Rapport préliminaire sur la deuxième campagne de fouilles à Tell Melebiya (Moyen Khabour-printemps 1985). *Akkadica* 46, 1–49.

Lebeau, M., F. Fauconnier, A. Leyniers, D. Martin & M. Schneider, 1987. Rapport préliminaire sur la troisième campagne de fouilles à Tell Melebiya (Moyen Khabur-automne 1986). *Akkadica* 51, 1–31.

Legrain, L., 1936. *Archaic Seal Impressions.* (Ur Excavations 3.) London: The British Museum.

Liverani, M., 1983. Fragments of possible counting and recording materials. *Origini* 12, 511–22.

Liverani, M. (ed.), 1993. *Akkad: the First World Empire.* (History of the Ancient Near East / Studies 5.) Padua: Sargon.

Liverani, M., A. Palmieri & R. Peroni (eds.), 1985. *Studi di Paleontologia in Onore di Salvatore Puglisi.* Rome: University of Rome.

Lloyd, S., 1940. Iraq government soundings at Sinjar. *Iraq* 6, 13–21.

Lloyd, S. & F. Safar, 1943. Tell Uqair. Excavations by the Iraq Government Directorate of Antiquities in 1940 and 1941. *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 2, 131–58.

London, G.A., 1991. Standardization and variation in the work of craft specialists, in *Ceramic Ethnoarchaeology,* ed. W.A. Longacre. Tucson (AZ): University of Arizona, 182–304.

Lupton, A., 1996. *Stability and Change: Socio-Political Development in North Mesopotamia and South East Anatolia 4000–2700* BC. (British Archaeological Reports International Series 627.) Oxford: BAR.

Lyonnet, B., 1996. La prospection archéologique de la partie occidentale du Haut-Khabur (Syrie du nord-est): méthodes, résultats et questions autour de l'occupation aux III et II millénaires av. n.è. *Amurru* 1, 363–76.

Lyonnet, B., 1998. Le peuplement de la Djéziré occidentale au début du 3e millénaire, villes circulaires et pastoralisme: questions et hypothèses, in Lebeau (ed.), 179–93.

McCorriston, J., 1998a. Syrian origins of safflower production: new discoveries in the agrarian prehistory of the Habur basin, in *The Origins of Agriculture and Crop Domestication,* eds A.B. Damania, J. Valkoun, G. Willcox & C.O. Qualset. Aleppo: ICARDA, 39–48.

McCorriston, J., 1998b. Landscape and human–environment interaction in the Middle Habur drainage from the Neolithic period to the Bronze Age, in Fortin & Aurenche (eds.), 43–54.

McDonald, H. & St J. Simpson, 1999. Recent excavations in Iraq. *Iraq* 61, 195–202.

Machule, D., K. Karstens, H. Klapproth, G. Mozer, W. Mayer, W. Pape, P. Werner, R. Mayer-Optificius & M. Mackensen, 1986. Ausgrabungen in Tall Munbāqa 1984. *Mitteilungen der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft* 118, 67–146.

Machule, D., M. Benter, R. Czichon, W. Pape & P. Werner, 1990. Ausgrabungen in Tall Munbāqa 1988. *Mitteilungen der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft* 122, 9–42.

MacKenzie, W.S., C.H. Donaldson & C. Guilford, 1982. *Atlas of Igneous Rocks and their Textures.* New York (NY): Longman.

McMahon, A., 1998. The Kuyunjik gully sounding, Nineveh, 1989 & 1990 seasons. *Al-Rafidan* 19, 1–32.

Magrill, P. & A. Middleton, 1997. A Canaanite potter's workshop in Palestine, in Freestone & Gaimster (eds.), 68–73.

Mallowan, M.E.L., 1936. The excavations at Tall Chagar Bazar, and an archaeological survey of the Habur region, 1934–35. *Iraq* 3, 1–86.

Mallowan, M.E.L., 1937. The excavations at Tall Chagar Bazar and an archaeological survey of the Habur region. Second campaign, 1936. *Iraq* 4, 91–177.

Mallowan, M.E.L., 1946. Excavations in the Balih valley, 1938. *Iraq* 8, 111–59.

Mallowan, M.E.L., 1947. Excavations at Brak and Chagar Bazar. *Iraq* 9, 1–259.

Mallowan, M.E.L., 1964. Ninevite V, in *Vorderasiatische Archäologie,* eds. K. Bittel, E. Heinrich, B. Hrouda & W. Nagel. Berlin: Gebr. Mann, 142–54.

Mallowan, M.E.L. & J.C. Rose, 1935. Excavations at Tell Arpachiyah, 1933. *Iraq* 2, 1–178.

Maniatis, Y. & M.S. Tite, 1981. Technological examination of Neolithic–Bronze Age pottery from Central and Southeast Europe and from the Near East. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 8, 59–76.

Marchetti, N., 1996. The Ninevite 5 glyptic of the Khabur region and the chronology of the piedmont style motives. *Baghdader Milleilungen* 27, 81–115.

Marchetti, N., 1997. Cronologia relativa e significato delle culture del Bronzo Antico I in alta Mesopotamia, Siria e Anatolia. *Contributi e Materiali di Archeologia Orientale* 7, 237–86.

Marchetti, N., 1998. The mature Early Syrian glyptic from the Khabur region, in Lebeau (ed.), 115–53.

Margueron, J.-C., 1991. Mari, l'Euphrate, et le Khabur au milieu du IIIe millénaire. *Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies* 21, 79–100.

Margueron, J.-C., 2000. Mari et le Khabur, in Rouault & Wäfler (eds.), 99–110.

Marro, C., 1993. Introduction à la céramique du Haut-Euphrate au Bronze Ancien. *Anatolia Antiqua* 2, 43–69.

Marro, C., 1997. *La culture du Haut-Euphrate au Bronze Ancien, essai d'interpretation à partir de la céramique Peinte de Keban (Turquie)*. (Varia Anatolica VIII.) Istanbul: Institut Français d'Études Anatoliennes

Marro, C., 2000. Vers une chronologie comparée des pays du Caucase et de l'Euphrate aux IVe–IIIe millénaires, in Marro & Hauptmann, 473–94.

Marro, C. & B. Helwing, 1995. Vers une chronologie des cultures du Haut-Euphrate au troisième millénaire, in Finkbeiner *et al.* (eds.), 341–84.

Marro, C. & H. Hauptmann, 2000. *Chronologies des Pays du Caucase et de l'Euphrate aux IVe–IIIe Millénaires*. (Varia Anatolica XI.) Paris: De Boccard.

Martin, H. & R.J. Matthews, 1993. Seals and sealings, in Green (ed.), 23–81.

Martin, L., 1998. Rettingsgrabungen im Gebiet des nördlichen Hābūrstausees, in Lebeau (ed.), 171–7.

Martin, L. & R.-B. Wartke, 1993–94. Tall Abu Hgaira 1987–1990. *Archiv für Orientforschung* 40–41, 200–215.

Matson, F.R., 1974. The archaeological present: Near Eastern village potters at work. *American Journal of Archaeology* 78, 345–7.

Matthers, J. (ed.), 1981. *The River Qoueiq, Northern Syria, and its Catchment*. (British Archaeological Reports International Series 98.) Oxford: BAR.

Matthew, A.P., A.J. Woods & C. Oliver, 1991. Spots before the eyes: new comparison charts for visual percentage estimation in archaeological material, in *Recent Developments in Ceramic Petrology*, eds. A. Middleton & I. Freestone. (Occasional Paper 81.) London: British Museum, 211–63.

Matthews, D.M., 1991. Tell Brak 1990: the glyptic. *Iraq* 53, 147–57.

Matthews, D.M., 1997. *The Early Glyptic of Tell Brak*. (Orbis Biblicus et Orientalis Series Archaeologica 15.) Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

Matthews, D.M. & J. Eidem, 1993. Tell Brak and Nagar. *Iraq* 55, 201–7.

Matthews, R.J., 1985. The world's first pig farmers. *Pig Farming* 33/3, 51–5.

Matthews, R.J., 1990. Excavations at Jemdet Nasr, 1989. *Iraq* 52, 25–39.

Matthews, R.J., 1991. Fragments of officialdom from Fara. *Iraq* 53, 1–15.

Matthews, R.J., 1993. *Cities, Seals and Writing: Archaic Seal Impressions from Jemdet Nasr and Ur*. (Materialen zu den frühen Schriftzeugnissen des Vorderen Orients 2.) Berlin: Gebr. Mann.

Matthews, R.J., 1995. Excavations at Tell Brak, 1995. *Iraq* 57, 87–111.

Matthews, R.J., 1998. Image and function in early Ninevite 5 administration, in *Written on Clay and Stone: Ancient Near Eastern Studies Presented to Krystyna Szarzyńska on the Occasion of her 80th Birthday*, eds. J. Braun, K. Łyczkowska, M. Popko & P. Steinkeller. Warsaw: Agade, 55–63.

Matthews, R.J., 2000. Fourth and third millennia chronologies: the view from Tell Brak, north-east Syria, in Marro & Hauptmann (eds.), 65–72.

Matthews, R.J., 2002a. Seven shrines of Subartu, in *Of Pots and Plans: Papers on the Archaeology and History of Mesopotamia and Syria presented to David Oates*, eds. L. Al-Gailani Werr, J. Curtis, H. Martin, A. McMahon, J. Oates & J. Reade. London: Nabu, 186–90.

Matthews, R.J., 2002b. Zebu: harbingers of doom in Bronze Age western Asia? *Antiquity* 76, 438–46.

Matthews, R.J., W. Matthews & H. McDonald, 1994. Excavations at Tell Brak, 1994. *Iraq* 56, 177–94.

Matthews, W., 2001a. Microstratigraphic traces of uses and concepts of space, in *Contextual and Quantitative Analysis of Uses of Space at two Ancient Near Eastern Settlements*. http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/catalogue/projArch/TellBrak/index.cfm

Matthews, W., 2001b. Methodological approaches in microstratigraphic analysis of uses and concepts of space at Tell Brak, in *Recherches en archéométrie*, ed. M. Fortin. Quebec: CELAT, 177–97.

Matthews, W., forthcoming. Uses and concepts of space in Ancient Near Eastern settlements: micro-stratigraphic approaches at Çatalhöyük and Tell Brak, in *Papers in Honour of Malcolm Wiener*, ed. P. Cunniholm. New York (NY): Institute of Fine Arts.

Matthews, W. & J.N. Postgate (with S. Payne, M.P. Charles & K. Dobney), 1994. The imprint of living in a Mesopotamian city: questions and answers, in *Whither Environmental Archaeology*, eds. R. Luff & P. Rowley Conwy. (Oxbow Monograph 38.). Oxford: Oxbow Books, 171–212.

Matthews, W., C.A.I. French, T. Lawrence & D. Cutler, 1996. Multiple surfaces: the micromorphology, in *On the Surface: Çatalhöyük 1993–95*, ed. I. Hodder. (McDonald Institute Monographs.) Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research and British Institute of Archaeology at Ankara, 301–42.

Matthews, W., C.A.I. French, T. Lawrence, D.F. Cutler & M.K. Jones, 1997a. Microstratigraphic traces of site formation processes and human activities. *World Archaeology* 29/2, 281–308.

Matthews, W., C.A.I. French, T. Lawrence, D.F. Cutler & M.K. Jones, 1997b. Activities inside the temple: the evidence of microstratigraphy, in *The Dilmun Temple at Saar*, eds. H. Crawford, R. Killick & J. Moon. New York (NY): Kegan Paul, 31–46.

Matthews, W., C.A.I. French, T. Lawrence, D.F. Cutler & M.K. Jones, 2001. Microstratigraphic analysis of depositional sequences in Areas FS and SS, in D. Oates *et al.* (eds.), 353–72.

Maxwell-Hyslop, K.R., 1995. A note on the Anatolian connections of the Tôd treasure. *Anatolian Studies* 45, 243–50.

Mazzoni, S., 1992. *Le Impronte su Giare Eblaite e Siriane nel Bronzo Antico*. (Materiali e Studi Archeologici di Ebla 1.) Rome: University of Rome 'La Sapienza'.

Meijer, D.J.W., 1986. *A Survey in Northeastern Syria*. Istanbul: Nederlands Hisorisch-Archaeologisch Instituut te Istanbul.

Mellaart, J., 1964. Excavations at Çatal Hüyük 1963. Third

preliminary report. *Anatolian Studies* 14, 39–119.
Merpert, N. & R.M. Munchaev, 1973. Early agricultural settlements in the Sinjar Plain, northern Iraq. *Iraq* 35, 93–113.
Michalowski, P., 1978. The Neo-Sumerian silver ring texts. *Syro-Mesopotamian Studies* 2/3, 1–16.
Michalowski, P., 1999. Sumer dreams of Subartu: politics and the geographical imagination, in Van Lerberghe & Voet (eds.), 305–15.
Middleton, W.D. & D.T. Price, 1996. Identification of activity areas by multi-element characterization of sediments from modern and archaeological house floors using inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 23, 637–87.
Miller, N.F., 1996. Seed eaters of the ancient Near East: human or herbivore. *Current Anthropology* 37, 521–8.
Miller, N.F., 1999. Interpreting ancient environment and patterns of land use: seeds, charcoal and archaeological context. *Tüba-Ar. Turkish Academy of Sciences Journal of Archaeology* 2, 15–29.
Miller, N.F. & T.L. Smart, 1984. Intentional burning of dung as fuel: a mechanism for the incorporation of charred seeds into the archaeological record. *Journal of Ethnobiology* 4, 15–28.
Monchambert, J.-Y., 1987. Mashnaqa 1986, rapport préliminaire sur la deuxième campagne de fouilles. *Syria* 64, 47–78.
Moorey, P.R.S., 1994. *Ancient Mesopotamian Materials and Industries. The Archaeological Evidence*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
Moortgat, A., 1957. *Archäologische Forschungen der Max Freiherr von Oppenheim - Stiftung im Nördlichen Mesopotamien 1956*. Cologne: Westdeutscher Verlag.
Moortgat, A., 1965. *Tell Chuera in Nordost Syrien. Vorläufiger Bericht über die Vierte Grabungskampagne 1963*. Cologne: Westdeutscher Verlag.
Moortgat, A. & U. Moortgat-Correns, 1974. Archäologische Bemerkungen zu einem Schatzfund im vorsargonischen Palast in Mari. *Iraq* 36, 155–67.
Moortgat, A. & U. Moortgat-Correns, 1976. *Tell Chuera in Nordost Syrien. Vorläufiger Bericht über die Siebente Grabungskampagne 1974*. Berlin: Gebr. Mann.
Moortgat-Correns, U., 1988. *Tell Chuera in Nordost Syrien. Vorläufiger Bericht über die Elfte Grabungskampagne 1985*. Berlin: Gebr. Mann.
Mudar, K., 1982. Early Dynastic III animal utilization in Lagash: a report on the fauna from Tell al-Hibba. *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 4, 23–34.
Munchaev, R.M. & N.Y. Merpert, 1998. Tell Hazna I - the most ancient cult centre in north-east Syria, in *Light on Top of the Black Hill: Studies Presented to Halet Çambel*, eds. G. Arsebük, M.J. Mellink & W. Schirmer. Istanbul: Ege Yayınları, 499–514.
Munchaev, R.M., N.Y. Merpert & N.O. Bader, 1993. The excavations at Tell Hazna I, Syria 1991. *Cahiers de l'Euphrate 7*, 161–90.
Nashef, K., 1987. Ausgrabungen und Geländebegehungen. Irak (II). *Archiv für Orientforschung* 34, 98–236.
Neff, H., R.L. Bishop & E.V. Sayre, 1988. A simulation approach to the problem of tempering in compositional studies of archaeological ceramics. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 15, 159–72.
Neff, H., R.L. Bishop & E.V. Sayre, 1989. More observations on the problem of tempering in compositional studies of archaeological ceramics. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 16, 57–69.
Negro, F., 1998. Khirbet Hatara (Eski Mossul), livelli 4a-5b-5a, la ceramica. *Mesopotamia* 33, 29–146.
Nicholson, P.T. & W.Z. Wendrich, n.d. *The Potters of Deir Mawas: a Village in Middle Egypt*. Sheffield: Sheffield University Television.
Nishiaki, Y., 1992. Lithic Technology of Neolithic Syria: a Series of Analyses of Flaked Stone Assemblages from Douara Cave II, Tell Damishilyya, Tell Nebi Mend, and Tell Kashkashok II. Unpublished PhD thesis, University College London.
Nishiaki, Y., T. Koizumi, M. Le Mière, & T. Oguchi, 1999. Prehistoric occupations at Tell Kosak Shamali, the Upper Eupharates, Syria. *Akkadica* 113, 13–68.
Nissen, H.J., 1970. Grabung in den Quadraten K/L XII in Uruk-Warka. *Baghdader Mitteilungen* 5, 101–91.
Nissen, H.J., 1974. Zur frage der arbeitsorganisation in Babylonien während der Späturuk-zeit. *Acta Antiqua Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* 22, 5–14.
Nissen, H.J., 1993. The Early Uruk period: a sketch, in Frangipane *et al.* (eds.), 121–31.
Noll, W., 1976. Mineralogie und Technik der frühen Keramiken Grossmesopotamiens. *Neues Jahrbuch für Mineralogie Abhandlungen* 127, 261–88.
Numoto, H., 1988. Excavations at Tell Fisna. *Al-Rafidan* 9, 1–72.
Numoto, H., 1989. Changes of the Ninevite 5 carinated bowl. *Al-Rafidan* 10, 13–26.
Numoto, H., 1990. Findings from Tell Jessary. *Al-Rafidan* 11, 201–36.
Numoto, H., 1991. Painted designs of the Ninevite 5 pottery. *Al-Rafidan* 12, 85–155.
Numoto, H., 1992a. Ninevite 5 pottery from Tell Jigan area C. *Al-Rafidan* 13, 139–58.
Numoto, H., 1992b. Painted designs of the Ninevite 5 pottery, part 2. *Al-Rafidan* 13, 105–37.
Numoto, H., 1993. Incised and excised designs of the Ninevite 5 pottery. *Al-Rafidan* 14, 69–108.
Numoto, H., 1994. Examinations of the presence of the Ninevite 5 'intermediate period'. *Al-Rafidan* 15, 51–71.
Numoto, H., 1996. Excavations at Tell Thuwaij trench C. *Al-Rafidan* 17, 77–110.
Numoto, H., 1997. Re-examination of the Ninevite 5 pottery from Tell Thalathat No. 5. *Al-Rafidan* 18, 119–36.
Numoto, H., 1998. Late Uruk and the transitional Ninevite V pottery from Tell Thalathat No. 5. *Al-Rafidan* 19, 53–74.
Numoto, H., n.d. Ninevite 5 pottery from Tells Fisna and Thuwaij and chronological problems in Eski-Mosul, Iraq, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilzation: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Oates, D., 1977. The excavations at Tell Brak 1976. *Iraq* 36, 233–44.

Oates, D., 1982. Excavations at Tell Brak, 1978–81. *Iraq* 44, 187–204.

Oates, D., 1985. Excavations at Tell Brak, 1983–84. *Iraq* 47, 159–73.

Oates, D., 1987. Excavations at Tell Brak, 1985–86. *Iraq* 49, 175–91.

Oates, D. & J. Oates, 1989. Akkadian buildings at Tell Brak. *Iraq* 51, 193–211.

Oates, D. & J. Oates, 1991. Excavations at Tell Brak 1990–91. *Iraq* 53, 127–45.

Oates, D. & J. Oates, 1993a. Excavations at Tell Brak 1992–93. *Iraq* 55, 155–99.

Oates, D. & J. Oates, 1993b. Excavations at Tell Brak Syria. *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 3(1), 137–40.

Oates, D. & J. Oates, 1994. Tell Brak: a stratigraphic summary 1976–1993. *Iraq* 56, 167–76.

Oates, D., J. Oates & H. McDonald, 1997. *Excavations at Tell Brak*, vol. 1. *The Mitanni and Old Babylonian Periods*. (McDonald Institute Monographs.) Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research & British School of Archaeology in Iraq.

Oates, D., J. Oates & H. McDonald, 2001. *Excavations at Tell Brak*, vol. 2. *Nagar in the Third Millennium*. (McDonald Institute Monographs.) Cambridge: McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research & British School of Archaeology in Iraq.

Oates, J., 1982. Some late Early Dynastic III pottery from Tell Brak. *Iraq* 44, 205–19.

Oates, J., 1985. Tell Brak: Uruk pottery from the 1984 season. *Iraq* 47, 175–86.

Oates, J., 1986. Tell Brak: the Uruk/Early Dynastic sequence, in Finkbeiner & Röllig (eds.), 245–53.

Oates, J., 1987. A note on 'Ubaid and Mitanni pottery from Tell Brak. *Iraq* 49, 193–8.

Oates, J., 1993. An Akkadian administrative device from Tell Brak, in Frangipane *et al.* (eds.), 289–305.

Oates, J. & D. Oates, 1997. An open gate: cities of the fourth millennium BC (Tell Brak 1997). *Cambridge Archaeological Journal* 7(2), 287–96.

Oates, J., T.E. Davidson, D. Kamilli & H. McKerrell, 1977. Seafaring merchants of Ur? *Antiquity* 51, 221–34.

Oetgen, J.M., 1984. The absorption of foodstuffs by ceramics. *Bulletin of the Experimental Firing Group (Leicester University)* 2, 41–4.

Oguchi, H., 1997. A reassessment of the distribution of Khabur ware: an approach from an aspect of its main phase. *Al-Rafidan* 18, 195–224.

Oguchi, H., 1998. Notes on Khabur ware from outside its main distribution zone. *Al-Rafidan* 19, 119–33.

Oguchi, H., 2000. The 'Late' Khabur ware problem once again. *Al-Rafidan* 21, 103–26.

Orthmann, W., 1990. *Tell Chuera. Ausgrabungen der Max Freiherr von Oppenheim-Stiftung in Nordost-Syrien*. Damascus/Tartous: Amani Verlag.

Orthmann, W., 1995. Die Grabungen am Steinbau 1, in *Ausgrabungen in Tell Chuera in Nordost Syrien I, Vorbericht über die Grabungskampagnen 1986 bis 1992*, ed. W. Orthmann. (Vorderasiatische Forschungen Der Max Freiherr Von Oppenheim Stiftung 2.) Saarbrücken: Saarbrücker Druckerei und Verlag, 17–72.

Orton, C., P. Tyers & A. Vince, 1994. *Pottery in Archaeology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Otte, M., J. Pélegrin & F. Collin, 1990. Towards an integrated approach: the use of Canaanean blades. *Aun* 14, 135–45.

Özdoğan, M., 1977. *Lower Euphrates Basin Survey*. Ankara: Middle Eastern Technical University.

Özgen, E., B. Helwing & H. Tekin, 1997. Vorläufiger Bericht über die Ausgrabungen auf dem Oylum Höyük. *Istanbuler Mitteilungen* 47, 39–90.

Özgüç, N., 1992. The Uruk culture at Samsat, in *Von Uruk nach Tuttul. Eine Festschrift für Eva Strommenger; Studien und Aufsätze von Kollegen und Freunden*, eds. B. Hrouda, S. Kroll & P.Z. Spanos. (München Vorderasiatische Studien 12.) München: Profil Verlag, 151–7.

Özgüç, N., 1995. Silver and copper ingots from Acemhöyük, in Finkbeiner *et al.* (eds.), 513–19.

Özgüç, T. & R. Temizer, 1993. The Eskiyapar treasure, in *Aspects of Art and Iconography: Anatolia and its Neighbours: Studies in Honor of Nimet Özgüç*, eds. M. Mellink, E. Porada & T. Özgüç. Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu, 612–28.

Öztan, A., 1997. Acemhöyük gümüs hazinesi. *Belleten* 61, 233–71.

Palmieri, A., 1969. Recenti dati sulla stratigrafia di Arslantepe. *Origini* 3, 7–66.

Palmieri, A., 1981. Excavations at Arslantepe (Malatya). *Anatolian Studies* 31, 101–19.

Palmieri, A., 1985. Eastern Anatolia and early Mesopotamian urbanization. Remarks on changing relations, in Liverani *et al.* (eds.), 191–213.

Panagiotakopulu, E., P.C. Buckland & P.M. Day, 1995. Natural insecticides and insect repellents in antiquity: a review of the evidence. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 22, 705–10.

Parrot, A., 1948. *Tello. Vingt campagnes de fouilles (1877–1933)*. Paris: Albin Michel.

Parrot, A., 1956. *Le Temple d'Ishtar*. (Mission Archéologique de Mari 1.) Paris: Paul Geuthner.

Parrot, A., 1965. Les fouilles de Mari. Quinzième campagne (printemps 1965). *Syria* 42, 197–225.

Parrot, A., 1968. *Le 'Trésor' d'Ur*. Paris: Paul Geuthner.

Payne, S., 1973. Kill-off patterns in sheep and goats: the mandibles from Aşvan Kale. *Anatolian Studies* 23, 281–303.

Peacock, D.P.S., 1982. *Pottery in the Roman World: an Ethnoarchaeological Approach*. Harlow: Longman.

Pearce, J., 2000. The Late Chalcolithic sequence at Hacınebi Tepe, Turkey, in Marro & Hauptmann (eds.), 115–44.

Pecorella, P.E., 1990. The Italian excavations at Tell Barri (Kahat), 1980–1985, in Eichler *et al.* (eds.), 47–66.

Pecorella, P.E., 1998. *Tell Barri/Kahat 2: Relazione sulle Campagne 1980–1993 a Tell Barri/Kahat, nel Bacino del Habur (Siria)*. (Documenta Asiana V.) Rome: CNR & Universita degli Studi di Firenze.

Peltenburg, E.J., 1999. Tell Jerablus Tahtani 1992–1996: a

summary, in del Olmo Lete & Montero Fenollós (eds.), 97–105.

Peltenburg, E.J., D. Bolger, S. Campbell, M.A. Murray & R. Tipping, 1996. Jerablus-Tahtani, Syria. 1995: preliminary report. *Levant* 28, 1–25.

Peregrine, P., 1991. Some political aspects of craft specialization. *World Archaeology* 23/1, 1–10.

Pettijohn, F.J., P.E. Potter & R. Siever, 1973. *Sand and Sandstone*. New York (NY): Springer.

Pfälzner, P., 1988. Tell Bderi 1985, Bericht über die erste Kampagne. *Damaszener Mitteilungen* 3, 223–378.

Pfälzner, P., 1997. Wandel und Kontinuität im Urbanisierungsprozess des 3. Jtsds. v. Chr. in Nordmesopotamien, in *Die Orientalische Stadt: Kontinuität, Wandel, Bruch*, ed. G. Wilhelm. Saarbrücken: Saarbrücker Druckerei und Verlag, 239–65.

Pfälzner, P., 1998. Eine Modifikation der Periodisierung nordmesopotamiens im 3. Jtsd. V. Chr. *Mitteilungen der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft* 130, 69–71.

Philip, G., 1968. Mineralogy of recent sediments of Tigris and Euphrates rivers and some of the older detrital deposits. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 38/1, 35–44.

Philip, G., 1997. The metal objects, in D. Oates *et al.* (eds.), 113–24.

Pittman, H., 1994. *The Glazed Steatite Glyptic Style: the Structure and Function of an Image System in the Administration of Protoliterate Mesopotamia*. (Berliner Beiträge zum Vorderen Orient 16.) Berlin: Dietrich Reimer.

Pittman, H., 1999. Administrative evidence from Hacınebi Tepe: an essay on the local and the colonial. *Paléorient* 25/1, 43–50.

Pollard, A.M. & C. Heron, 1996. *Archaeological Chemistry*. Cambridge: Royal Society of Chemistry.

Pollock, S. & C. Coursey, 1995. Ceramics from Hacınebi Tepe: chronology and connections. *Anatolica* 21, 101–41.

Pollock, S., C. Steele & M. Pope, 1991. Investigations on the Uruk mound, Abu Salabikh, 1990. *Iraq* 53, 59–68.

Pollock, S., M. Pope & C. Coursey, 1996. Household production at the Uruk mound Abu Salabikh, Iraq. *American Journal of Archaeology* 100, 683–98.

Porada, E., 1982. Remarks on the Tôd treasure in Egypt, in *Societies and Languages of the Ancient Near East: Studies in Honour of I.M. Diakonoff*, ed. J.N. Postgate. Warminster: Aris & Phillips, 284–302.

Porada, E., 1984. Pottery in scenes of the period of Agade?, in Rice (ed.) 1984b, 21–5.

Postgate, C., D. Oates & J. Oates, 1997. *The Excavations at Tell al-Rimah: the Pottery*. (Iraq Archaeological Reports 4.) Warminster: Aris & Phillips/BSAI.

Postgate, J.N., 1983. *The West Mound Surface Clearance*. (Abu Salabikh Excavations 1.) London: British School of Archaeology in Iraq.

Postgate, J.N., 1986. The transition from Uruk to Early Dynastic: continuities and discontinuities in the record of settlement, in Finkbeiner & Röllig (eds.), 90–106.

Postgate, J.N., 1990. Excavations at Abu Salabikh, 1988–89. *Iraq* 52, 95–106.

Postgate, J.N., 1994. In search of the first empires. *Bulletin of the American Schools of Oriental Research* 293, 1–13.

Postgate, J.N. & P.R.S. Moorey, 1976. Excavations at Abu Salabikh, 1975. *Iraq* 38, 133–69.

Postgate, J.N., R.J. Matthews, P. Baker, S. Colledge, K. Dobney & W. Matthews, 2001. *Contextual and Quantitative Analysis of Uses of Space at Two Ancient Near Eastern Settlements*. http://ads.ahds.ac.uk/catalogue/projArch/TellBrak/index.cfm.

Powell, M.A., 1978. A contribution to the history of money in Mesopotamia prior to the invention of coinage, in *Festschrift Lubor Matous*, ed. B.H.G. Komoróczy. Budapest: Az Eötvös Loránd, 211–43.

Pruß, A., 2000. The Metallic Ware of Upper Mesopotamia: definition, chronology and distribution, in Marro & Hauptmann (eds.), 193–204.

Quarantelli, E. (ed.), 1985. *The Land Between the Two Rivers: Twenty Years of Italian Archaeology in the Middle East - The Treasures of Mesopotamia*. Turin: Il Quadrante.

Quenet, P., 1997a. La céramique des niveaux du IIIe millénaire (Chantier B1), in Lebeau & Suleiman (eds.), 59–63.

Quenet, P., 1997b. La séquence stratigraphique du IIIe millénaire (Chantier G), in Lebeau & Suleiman (eds.), 169–77.

Rawson, P.S., 1954. Palace wares from Nimrud: technical observations on selected examples. *Iraq* 16, 168–72.

Reade, J., 1968. Tell Taya (1967): summary report. *Iraq* 30, 234–64.

Reade, J., 1973. Tell Taya (1972–73): summary report. *Iraq* 35, 155–87.

Redding, R., 1981. Decision Making in Subsistence Herding of Sheep and Goats in the Middle East. Unpublished PhD dissertation, University of Michigan.

Rice, P.M., 1984a. The archaeological study of specialized pottery production, in Rice (ed.) 1984b, 45–54.

Rice, P.M. (ed.), 1984b. *Pots and Potters: Current Approaches in Ceramic Archaeology*. (Institute of Archaeology UCLA Monograph 24.) Los Angeles (CA): Institute of Archaeology UCLA.

Rice, P.M., 1987. *Pottery Analysis: a Sourcebook*. Chicago (IL): University of Chicago.

Roaf, M., 1983. A report on the work of the British Archaeological Expedition in the Eski Mosul dam salvage project from November 1982 to June 1983. *Sumer* 39, 68–94.

Roaf, M., 1998. A group of pottery from Mohammed Arab period 1, in Lebeau (ed.), 131–49.

Roaf, M., 2000. Nineve-5-Kultur (Ninevite 5). *Reallexikon der Assyriologie und Vorderasiatischen Archäologie* 9:5/6, 434–9.

Roaf, M., n.d. The architecture of the Ninevite V period, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite V Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Roaf, M. & J. Galbraith, 1994. Pottery and p-values: 'Seafaring merchants of Ur?' re-examined. *Antiquity* 68, 770–80.

Roaf, M. & R. Killick, 1987. A mysterious affair of styles: the Ninevite 5 pottery of northern Mesopotamia.

Iraq 49, 199–230.

Rosen, A.M., 1998. Early to Mid-Holocene environmental changes and their impact on human communities in southeastern Anatolia, in *Water, Environment and Society in Times of Climatic Change*, eds. A.S. Issar & N. Brown. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 215–40.

Rosenberg, M. & H. Togul, 1991. The Batman river archaeological site survey, 1990. *Anatolica* 17, 241–54.

Rothman, M.S., 1994. Sealing as a control mechanism in prehistory: Tepe Gawra XI, X and VIII, in Stein & Rothman (eds.), 103–20.

Rothman, M.S. (ed.), 2001. *Uruk Mesopotamia and its Neighbors: Cross-cultural Interactions in the Era of State Formation*. Santa Fe (NM): School of American Research Press.

Rouault, O. & M. Wäfler (eds.), 2000. *La Djéziré et l'Euphrate Syriens de la protohistoire à la fin du IIe millénaire av. J.-C.* (Subartu VII.) Turnhout: Brepols.

Routledge, B., 1998. Making nature human: small scale production and specialization at Tell Gudeda in the Middle Khabour valley, in Fortin & Aurenche (eds.), 243–56.

Rova, E., 1988. Distribution and chronology of the Nineveh 5 pottery and of its culture. *Contributi e Materiali di Archeologia Orientale* 2, 1–276.

Rova, E., 1991. Pottery from Tell Karrana. *Mesopotamia* 26, 15–22.

Rova, E., 1993. Pottery from Tell Karrana 3, in Wilhelm & Zaccagnini (eds.), 37–143.

Rova, E., 1996a. Ceramic provinces along the Middle and Upper Euphrates: Late Chalcolithic–Early Bronze Age, a diachronic view. *Baghdader Mitteilungen* 27, 13–37.

Rova, E., 1996b. *Alta Mesopotamia: la Preistoria Fino al 2000.* (Atlante Storico Del Vicino Oriente Antico Fascicolo 3.1.) Rome: University of Rome 'La Sapienza'.

Rova, E., 1999–2000. A tentative synchronisation of the local Late Chalcolithic ceramic horizons of northern Syro-Mesopotamia. *Mesopotamia* 34–5, 175–99.

Rova, E., 2000. Early third millennium BC painted pottery traditions in the Jezirah, in Marro & Hauptmann (eds.), 231–54.

Rova, E., n.d. Tell Karrana 3: ceramic evidence for the Late Uruk/ Ninevite 5 transition, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Rye, O.S., 1981. *Pottery Technology: Principles and Reconstruction*. Washington (DC): Taraxacum.

Rye, O.S. & C. Evans, 1976. *Traditional Pottery Techniques of Pakistan*. (Smithsonian Contributions to Anthropology 21.) Washington (DC): Smithsonian.

Sagona, A., 1994. *The Aşvan Sites 3, Keban Rescue Excavations, Eastern Anatolia; The Early Bronze Age*. (British Institute of Archaeology at Ankara, Monograph 18.) London: British Institute of Archaeology at Ankara.

Sallaberger, W., 1996a. Sign list: palaeography and syllabary, in Ismail *et al.* (eds.), 33–67.

Sallaberger, W., 1996b. Grain accounts: personnel lists and expenditure documents, in Ismail *et al.* (eds.), 89–106.

Sallaberger, W., 1999. Nagar in den frühdynastischen Texten aus Beydar, in Van Lerberghe & Voet (eds.), 393–407.

Sauvage, M., 1998. *La brique et sa mise en oeuvre en Mésopotamie des origines à l'époque Achéménide*. Paris: Centre de Recherche d'Archéologie Orientale.

Schiffer, M.B., 1987. *Formation Processes of the Archaeological Record*. Albuquerque (NM): University of New Mexico Press.

Schiffer, M.B., 1988. The effects of surface treatment on permeability and evaporative cooling effectiveness of pottery, in *Proceedings of the 26th Annual International Archaeometry Symposium*, ed. R.M. Farquhar. Toronto: University of Toronto, 23–9.

Schmandt-Besserat, D., 1992. *Before Writing. From Counting to Cuneiform*. Austin (TX): University of Texas.

Schneider, G., 1989. A technological study of north-Mesopotamian Stone Ware. *World Archaeology* 21/1, 30–50.

Schneider, G., 1994. Rohstoffe und brenntechnik von keramik in Nordmesopotamien, in Wartke (ed.), 99–109.

Scholle, P.A., 1978. *A Color Illustrated Guide to Carbonate Rock Constituents, Textures, Cements, and Porosities*. Tulsa (OK): American Association of Petroleum Geologists.

Scholle, P.A., 1979. *A Color Illustrated Guide to Constituents, Textures, Cements, and Porosities of Sandstones and Associated Rocks*. Tulsa (OK): American Association of Petroleum Geologists.

Schwartz, G.M., 1985. The Ninevite V period and current research. *Paléorient* 11/1, 53–70.

Schwartz, G.M., 1986. Mortuary evidence and social stratification in the Ninevite V period, in Weiss (ed.) 1986b, 45–60.

Schwartz, G.M., 1987. The Ninevite V period and the development of complex society in northern Mesopotamia. *Paléorient* 13/2, 93–100.

Schwartz, G.M., 1988a. *A Ceramic Chronology from Tell Leilan: Operation I*. (Yale Tell Leilan Research I.) New Haven (CT): Yale University Press.

Schwartz, G.M., 1988b. Excavations at Karatut Mevkii and perspectives on the Uruk/Jemdet Nasr expansion. *Akkadica* 56, 1–41.

Schwartz, G.M., 1994. Before Ebla: models of pre-state political organization in Syria and northern Mesopotamia, in Stein & Rothman (eds.), 153–74.

Schwartz, G.M., 2000. Perspectives on rural ideologies: the Tell al-Raqa'i 'temple', in Rouault & Wäfler (eds.), 163–82.

Schwartz, G.M., n.d. Socio-political developments in the Ninevite V period, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Schwartz, G.M. & H.H. Curvers, 1992. Tell al-Raqa'i 1989 and 1990: further investigations at a small rural site of early urban northern Mesopotamia. *American Journal of Archaeology* 96, 397–419.

Schwartz, G.M. & H.H. Curvers, 1993–94. Tall al-Raqa'i,

1986–1993. *Archiv für Orientforschung* 40–41, 246–56.
Schwartz, G.M. & E.E. Klucas, 1998. Spatial analysis and social structure at Tell Al-Raqa'I, in Fortin & Aurenche (eds.), 199–208.
Senior, L.M., 1998. Time and Technological Change: Ceramic Production, Labor, and Economic Transformation in a Third-millennium Complex Society (Tell Leilan, Syria). Unpublished PhD Dissertation, University of Arizona-Department of Anthropology.
Shepard, A.O., 1956. *Ceramics for the Archaeologist*. Washington (DC): Carnegie Institution.
Simpson, St J., 1997. Early urban ceramic industries in Mesopotamia, in Freestone & Gaimster (eds.), 50–55.
Skibo, J.M., M.B. Schiffer & K.C. Reid, 1989. Organic-tempered pottery: an experimental study. *American Antiquity* 54/1, 122–46.
Smilauer, P., 1992. *CANODRAW 3.0 User's Guide*. Ithaca (NY): Microcomputer Power.
Spanos, P.Z., 1988. Ausgrabungen in Tall Durdara (Eski Mosul Projekt) und Tall Hamad Aga as-Sagir (Gazira Projekt), Nordirak, 1986. *Mitteilungen Der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft* 120, 59–92.
Speiser, E.A., 1933. The pottery of Tell Billa. *University Museum Philadelphia, Museum Journal* 23/3, 249–308.
Speiser, E.A., 1935. *Excavations at Tepe Gawra 1*. Philadelphia (PA): University of Pennsylvania.
Starr, R., 1937. *Nuzi, II: Plates and Plans*. Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press.
Starr, R., 1939. *Nuzi, I: Text*. Cambridge (MA): Harvard University Press.
Stein, D.L., 1984. *Khabur Ware and Nuzi Ware: their Origin, Relationship and Significance*. (Assur 4/1.) Malibu (CA): Undena.
Stein, G.J. & M.J. Blackman, 1993. The organizational context of specialized craft production in early Mesopotamian states. *Research in Economic Anthropology* 14, 29–59.
Stein, G.J. & A. Mısır, 1994. Mesopotamian–Anatolian interactions at Hacınebi, Turkey: preliminary report on the 1992 excavations. *Anatolica* 20, 145–89.
Stein, G.J. & M.S. Rothman (eds.), 1994. *Chiefdoms and Early States in the Near East: the Organizational Dynamics of Complexity*. (Monographs in World Archaeology 18.) Madison (WI): Prehistory Press.
Stein, G.J. & P. Wattenmaker, n.d. Settlement trends and the emergence of social complexity on the Habur plains (Syria) from the fourth to the third millennium BC, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.
Stein, G.J., R. Bernbeck, C. Coursey, A. McMahon, N.F. Miller, A. Mısır, J. Nicola, H. Pittman, S. Pollock & H.T. Wright, 1996. Uruk colonies and Anatolian communities: an interim report on the 1992–1993 excavations at Hacınebi, Turkey. *American Journal of Archaeology* 100, 205–60.
Stein, G.J., K. Boden, C. Edens, J. Pearce Edens, K. Keith, A. McMahon & H. Özbal, 1997. Excavations at Hacınebi, Turkey-1996: preliminary report. *Anatolica* 23, 111–72.
Stienstra, P., 1986. Systematic macroscopic description of the texture and composition of ancient pottery - some basic methods. *Newsletter Department of Pottery Technology (Leiden University)* 4, 29–48.
Stocks, D., 1986. Egyptian technology II: stone vessels manufacture. *Popular Archaeology* 7/4, 14–18.
Strommenger, E., 1980. *Habuba Kabira. Eine Stadt vor 5000 Jahren*. Mainz am Rhein: Phillip von Zabern.
Strommenger, E. & K. Kohlmeyer, 1998. *Tall Bi'a-Tuttul - 1. Die Altorientalischen Bestattungen*. Saarbrücken: Saarbrücker Druckerei und Verlag.
Suleiman, A., n.d. Tall Kash-Kashok, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.
Sürenhagen, D., 1986. The dry farming belt: the Uruk period and subsequent developments, in Weiss (ed.) 1986b, 7–44.
Sürenhagen, D., 1990. Ausgrabungen in Tall Mulla Matar 1989. *Mitteilungen der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft* 122, 125–52.
Talon, P., 1996. Index of names, in Ismail *et al.* (eds.), 187–92.
Teissier, B., 1997. The glyptic (season 1994), in Lebeau & Suleiman (eds.), 155–68.
ter Braak, C.J.F., 1988. *CANOCO - a FORTRAN Program for Canonical Community Ordination (version 2.1)*. Ithaca (NY): Microcomputer Power.
ter Braak, C.J.F. & P. Smilauer, 1998. *CANOCO Reference Manual and User's Guide to Canoco for Windows: Software for Canonical Community Ordination (version 4)*. Ithaca (NY): Microcomputer Power.
Thissen, L.C., 1985. The Late Chalcolithic and Early Bronze Age pottery from Hayaz Höyük. *Anatolica* 12, 75–130.
Thuesen, I., H. Heydorn & R. Gwozdz, 1982. Investigation of 5000-year-old pottery from Mesopotamia by instrumental neutron activation analysis. *Journal of the European Study Group on Physical, Chemical and Mathematical Techniques Applied to Archaeology* 7, 375–81.
Tixier, J., 1984. Le débitage par pression, in *Préhistoire de la pierre taillée 2: Économie du débitage laminare: technologie et expérimentation*. Paris: CNRS.
Tobler, A.J., 1950. *Excavations at Tepe Gawra 2*. Philadelphia (PA): University of Pennsylvania.
Tomita, T., 1998a. Pottery, (phases 2–4: later periods), in Tsuneki & Miyake (eds.), 141–60.
Tomita, T., 1998b. Late Chalcolithic chronology in Syria and northern Mesopotamia, in Tsuneki & Miyake (eds.), 197–201.
Trentin, M.G., 1993. The early reserved slip wares horizon of the Upper Euphrates basin and western Syria, in Frangipane *et al.* (eds.), 177–200.
Trufelli, F., 1994. Standardisation, mass production and potters' marks in the Late Chalcolithic pottery of Arslantepe (Malatya). *Origini* 18, 245–89.
Trufelli, F., 1997. Ceramic correlations and cultural relations in IVth millennium eastern Anatolia and Syro-

Mesopotamia. *Studi Micenei ed Egeo-Anatolici* 39, 5–33.

Tsuneki, A. & Y. Miyake (eds.), 1998. *Excavations at Tell Umm Qseir in Middle Khabur Valley, North Syria, Report of the 1996 Season*. Tsukuba: University of Tsukuba.

Tunca, Ö., 1984. *L'architecture religieuse protodynastique en Mésopotamie*. (Akkadica Supplementum II.) Leuven: Peeters.

van As, A. & L. Jacobs, 1986. Technological study of paleo- and meso-Babylonian pottery — a report on the 1986 season at Tell ed-Deir (Iraq) and some preliminary results. *Newsletter Department of Pottery Technology (Leiden University)* 4, 21–8.

van As, A. & L. Jacobs, 1992. The work of the potter in ancient Mesopotamia during the second millennium BC, in *Materials Issues in Art and Archaeology III*, eds. P.B. Vandiver, J.R. Druzik, G.S. Wheeler & I.C. Freestone. (Material Research Society Symposium Proceedings Series 267.) San Francisco (CA): Material Research Society, 529–44.

van der Plas, L. & J. van Doesburg, 1987. Heavy minerals and feldspars in potsherds. *Newsletter Department of Pottery Technology (Leiden University)* 5, 74–86.

van der Veen, M., 1999. The economic value of chaff and straw in arid and temperate zones. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 8, 211–24.

van Driel, G. & C. van Driel-Murray, 1983. Jebel Aruda, the 1982 season of excavation, interim report (1). *Akkadica* 33, 1–26.

Van Lerberghe, K., 1996a. The livestock, in Ismail *et al.* (eds.), 107–17.

Van Lerberghe, K., 1996b. The Beydar tablets and the history of the northern Jazirah, in Ismail *et al.* (eds.), 119–26.

Van Lerberghe, K. & G. Voet (eds.), 1999. *Languages and Cultures in Contact: at the Crossroads of Civilizations in the Syro-Mesopotamian Realm*. Leuven: Peeters.

Van Liere, W.J. & J. Lauffray, 1954–55. Nouvelle prospection archéologique dans la haute jezireh syrienne. *Annales Archéologiques de Syrie* 4–5, 129–48.

van Loon, M.N. (ed.), 1988. *Hammam et-Turkman. Report on the University of Amsterdam's 1981–1984 Excavations in Syria*. Leiden: Nederlands Historisch-Archeologisch Instituut te Istanbul.

van Neer, W., 1984. Les restes de poissons de quelques maisons d'Apamée, in *Fouilles d'Apamée de Syrie*, ed. J. Balty. *Miscellanea* 13, 291–303.

van Neer, W., 1993. Limits of incremental growth in seasonality studies: the example of the clariid pectoral spines from the byzantino-islamic site of Apamea (Syria, 6th–7th century AD). *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 3, 119–27.

van Zeist, W. & J.A.H. Bakker-Heeres, 1985. Archaeobotanical studies in the Levant 4. Bronze Age sites on the north Syrian Euphrates. *Palaeohistoria* 27, 247–316.

van Zeist, W. & W.A. Casparie (eds.), 1984. *Plants and Ancient Man*. Rotterdam: A.A. Balkema.

Vandiver, P.B. & P. Lacovara, 1985–86. An outline of technological changes in Egyptian pottery manufacture. *Bulletin of the Egyptological Seminar* 7, 54–85.

Waetzolt, H., 1971. Zwei unveröffentlichte Ur-III texte über die Herstellung von Tongefässen. *Die Welt des Orients* 6, 7–41.

Wäfler, M., 1990. The excavations at Tell Hamidi, in Eichler *et al.* (eds.), 219–28.

Wartke, R.B. (ed.), 1994. *Handwerk und Technologie im Alten Orient*. Mainz am Rhein: Philipp von Zabern.

Watson, P.J. & S.A. Le Blanc, 1990. *Girikihacıyan: a Halafian Site in Southwestern Turkey*. Los Angeles (CA): Institute of Archaeology UCLA.

Weast, R.C. & M.J. Astle, 1978. *CRC handbook of Chemistry and Physics*. Florida (FL): CRC Press.

Weiss, H., 1983. Excavations at Tell Leilan and the origins of north Mespotamian cities in the third millennium BC. *Paléorient* 9, 39–52.

Weiss, H., 1985. Tell Leilan on the Habur plains of Syria. *Biblical Archaeologist* 48, 5–35.

Weiss, H., 1986a. The origins of Tell Leilan and the conquest of space in third millennium Mesopotamia, in Weiss (ed.) 1986b, 71–108.

Weiss, H. (ed.), 1986b. *The Origins of Cities in Dry Farming Syria and Mesopotamia in the Third Millennium* BC. Guilford (CT): Four Quarters.

Weiss, H., 1988. Introduction, in Schwartz (ed.) 1988a, xiii–xxiii.

Weiss, H., 1990. Tell Leilan 1989: new data for mid-third millennium urbanization and state formation. *Mitteilungen der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft* 122, 193–218.

Weiss, H., 1994. Archaeology in Syria. *American Journal of Archaeology* 98, 101–58.

Weiss, H. & L. Calderone, n.d. The end of the Ninevite 5 period at Tell Leilan, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Weiss, H. & M.A. Courty, 1993. The genesis and collapse of the Akkadian empire: the accidental refraction of historical law, in Liverani (ed.), 131–55.

Weiss, H. & D. Mayo, n.d. The beginning of the Ninevite 5 period at Tell Leilan, in *The Origins of North Mesopotamian Civilization: Ninevite 5 Chronology, Economy, Society*. New Haven (CT): Yale University 1988 Conference Proceedings.

Weiss, H., P. Akkermans, G.J. Stein, D. Parayre & R. Whiting, 1990. 1985 excavations at Tell Leilan, Syria. *American Journal of Achaeology* 94, 529–81.

Weiss, H., M.A. Courty, W. Wetterstrom, F. Guichard, R. Meadow, L. Senior & A. Curnow, 1993. The genesis and collapse of third millennium north Mesopotamian civilization. *Science* 261, 995–1004.

Werner, P., 1994. *Die Entwicklung der Sakralarchitektur in Nordsyrien und Südostkleinasien*. (Münchener Vorderasiatische Studien 15.) München: Profil Verlag.

Westenholz, J.G., 1998. Relations between Mesopotamia and Anatolia in the age of Sargonic kings, in *34. International Assyriology Congress, 6-10/7/1987 Istan-*

bul, eds. H. Erkanal, V. Donbaz & A. Uğuroğlu. Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu, 5–22.

Whallon, R., 1979. *An Archaeological Survey of the Keban Reservoir Area of East Central Turkey*. Ann Arbor (MI): Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan.

Whallon, R. & H.T. Wright, 1970. 1968 Fatmeli Kalecik excavations preliminary report. *Keban Project 1968 Activities*, 67–71.

Whitbread, I.K., 1986. The characterization of argillaceous inclusions in ceramic thin sections. *Archaeometry* 28/1, 79–88.

Whitbread, I.K., 1996. Detection and interpretation of preferred orientation in ceramic thin sections. *Proceedings of the 2nd Symposium of the Hellenic Archaeometrical Society*. Thessaloniki, 413–25.

Whitten, D.G.A. & R.V. Brooks, 1972. *The Penguin Dictionary of Geology*. Harmondsworth: Penguin.

Wilhelm, G. & C. Zaccagnini (eds.), 1993. *Tell Karana 3, Tell Jikan, Tell Khirbet Salih*. (Baghdader Forschungen 15.) Mainz am Rhein: von Zabern.

Wilkinson, T.J., 1990a. The development of settlement in the North Jazira between the 7th and 1st millennia BC. *Iraq* 52, 49–62.

Wilkinson, T.J., 1990b. Soil development and early land use in the Jazira region, Upper Mesopotamia. *World Archaeology* 22/1, 87–103.

Wilkinson, T.J. & D.J. Tucker, 1995. *Settlement Development in the North Jazira, Iraq*. (Iraq Archaeological Reports 3.) London: British School of Archaeology in Iraq.

Wilkinson, T.J., B.H. Monahan & D.J. Tucker, 1996. Khanijdal East: a small Ubaid site in northern Iraq. *Iraq* 58, 17–50.

Wilkinson, T.J., C.A.I. French, W. Matthews & J. Oates, 2001. Geoarchaeology, landscape and the region, in D. Oates *et al.* (eds.), 1–14.

Williams, Q., 1995. Infrared, raman and optical spectroscopy of earth materials, in *Mineral Physics and Crystallography: a Handbook of Physical Constants*, ed. T.J. Ahrens. Washington (DC): American Geophysical Union, 291–302.

Williams, Q. & T.F. Cooney, 1992. Cation effects on orthosilicate glass vibrations. *American Mineralogist* 77, 1–7.

Williams, Q. & E. Knittle, 1996. Infrared and raman spectra of $Ca_2(PO_4)_3F_2$-fluorapatite at high pressures: compression-induced changes in phosphate site and Davydov splittings. *Journal of Physical Chemical Solids* 57/4, 417–22.

Wilson, A.L., 1978. Elemental analysis of pottery in the study of its provenance: a review. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 5, 219–36.

Wilson, C.A., 1997. The Archaeobotanical Investigation of a Ninevite V Mesopotamian City, Tell Brak, Syria. Unpublished MSc dissertation, University of Sheffield.

Wingate, M., 1985. *Small Scale Lime-Burning*. London: Intermediate Technology Publications.

Woods, A., 1985. An introductory note on the use of tangential thin sections: distinguishing between wheel-thrown and coil/ring built vessels. *Bulletin of the Experimental Firing Group (Leicester University)* 3, 100–114.

Woolley, C.L., 1934. *The Royal Cemetery*. (Ur Excavations 2.) London: The British Museum.

Woolley, C.L., 1955. *Alalakh: an Account of the Excavations at Tell Atchana*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Wright, H.T. & G. Johnson, 1975. Population, exchange, and early state formation in southwestern Iran. *American Anthropologist* 77, 267–89.

Wright, H.T., N. Miller & R. Redding, 1980. Time and process in an Uruk rural centre, in *L'archéologie de l'Iraq: perspectives et limites de l'interprétation anthropologique des documents*. Paris: Éditions du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, 265–84.

Yamazaki, Y., 1999. Excavations at Tell al-'Abr, in del Olmo Lete & Montero Fenollós (eds.), 83–96.

Yusif, K.T., 1987. Excavations at Tell Jambur, in *Researches on the Antiquities of Saddam Dam Basin Salvage and other Researches*. Baghdad: State Organization of Antiquities and Heritage, 10–25.

Zaccagnini, C., 1983. Patterns of mobility among ancient Near Eastern craftsmen. *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 42, 245–64.

Zeder, M.A., 1991. *Feeding Cities: Specialized Animal Economy in the Ancient Near East*. Washington (DC): Smithsonian Institution.

Zeder, M.A., 1995. The archaeozoology of the Khabur Basin. *Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies* 29, 21–32.

Zeder, M.A., 1998a. Pigs and emergent complexity in the Near East. *MASCA Research Papers in Science and Archaeology* 15, 109–22.

Zeder, M.A., 1998b. Environment, economy and subsistence on the threshold of urban emergence in northern Mesopotamia, in Fortin & Aurenche (eds.), 55–67.

Zeder, M.A., 1999. Regional patterns of animal exploitation in the Khabur basin, 7000 to 1500 BC, in *Man and the Animal World: Studies in Archaeozoology, Archaeology, Anthropology and Palaeolinguistics in Memoriam Sandor Bökönyi*, eds. P. Anreiter, L. Bartosiewicz, E. Jerem & W. Meid. Budapest: Archaeolingua, 569–80.

Zettler, R.L., 1977. The Sargonic royal seal: a consideration of sealing in Mesopotamia, in *Seals and Sealings in the Ancient Near East*, eds. M. Gibson & R.D. Biggs. Malibu (CA): Undena, 33–9.

Zimansky, P., 1995. The origin of Nuzi Ware: a contribution from Tell Hamida, in *Studies on the Civilization and Culture of Nuzi and the Hurrians 5*, ed. D.I. Owen. Winona Lake (IN): Eisenbrauns, 75–83.

Zohary, M., 1966. *Flora Palaestina*, vol. 1. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

Zohary, M., 1972. *Flora Palaestina*, vol. 2. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities.

Zohary, M., 1973. *Geobotanical Foundations of the Middle East*. Stuttgart: Gustav Fischer.