

# Robust Uncertainty Quantification for Measurement Problems with Limited Information.\*

**Tathagata Basu**  
**Jochen Einbeck**  
**Matthias C. M. Troffaes**

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, UK*

TATHAGATA.BASU@DURHAM.AC.UK  
JOCHEN.EINBECK@DURHAM.AC.UK  
MATTHIAS.TROFFAES@DURHAM.AC.UK

**Alistair Forbes**

*Data Science Group, National Physical Laboratory, UK*

ALISTAIR.FORBES@NPL.CO.UK

Metrology has an important role in modern science and relies on the accuracy and repeatability of a measurement. However, these measurements are the outcomes of different expensive experiments and noisy due to the epistemic uncertainty associated with these experiments. We express our model by  $y = f(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m)$ , where  $\mu := (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  are  $m$  different inputs. Our main goal is to obtain a confidence interval for  $f(\mu)$ , based on some estimates for  $\mu$ .

We use the delta method [3] for uncertainty quantification, which is based on the multivariate normal approximation. Let  $\hat{X} := (\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_m)$  be an estimator of  $\mu$  such that approximately  $\hat{X} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$  where  $\Sigma := \text{Cov}(\hat{X})$ . If  $f$  is differentiable, then by first order Taylor expansion, we have

$$f(\hat{X}) \approx f(\mu) + \nabla f(\mu)^T (\hat{X} - \mu). \quad (1)$$

Now, if  $f$  is approximately linear around  $\mu$  for the distributional range of  $\hat{X}$ , then we approximately have that  $f(\hat{X}) \sim N(f(\mu), \nabla f(\mu)^T \Sigma \nabla f(\mu))$ , by Eq. (1) and by the usual linear transformation rule for the covariance matrix. We can use this approximate distribution of  $f(\hat{X})$  to construct a 95% confidence interval for  $f(\mu)$ . However,  $f(\hat{X})$  may not be necessarily Gaussian especially if  $f$  is highly non-linear. Additionally, for the variance term  $\nabla f(\mu)^T \Sigma \nabla f(\mu)$ , we may need to use the sample standard deviation as we do not know  $\Sigma$ , and we may need to use  $\nabla f(\hat{X})$  as we do not know  $\nabla f(\mu)$ .

To avoid these issues, we propose using imprecise probability for uncertainty quantification in metrology, which is a new contribution to the field. Specifically, we propose using p-boxes [1]. This helps us to relax distributional assumptions and thereby leads to more robust estimates. Additionally, uncertainty expressed as a p-box can be easily propagated through a range of standard non-linear operators.

We illustrate our results by analysing the uncertainty associated with end gauge calibration [2]. Here, we try to determine the length ( $\ell_M$ ) of an end gauge ( $M$ ) by comparing it with length ( $\ell_S$ ) of a known standard ( $S$ ) using the relation,  $\ell_M = \frac{\ell_S(1 + \alpha_S \theta_S) + d}{1 + \alpha_M \theta_M}$ . Here,  $\alpha_M$  and  $\theta_M$  ( $\alpha_S$  and  $\theta_S$ ) are thermal expansion coefficient and temperature deviation of  $M$  ( $S$ ) and  $d$  is the difference between  $\ell_M$  and  $\ell_S$ . In practice,  $\alpha_M$  and  $\theta_M$  ( $\alpha_S$  and  $\theta_S$ ) often have weak correlation between them. Therefore, we use p-boxes to characterise these variables. We inspect their dependence structure for uncertainty propagation and obtain a robust estimate. Finally, we compare our results with the delta method.

## References

- [1] Scott Ferson, Vladik Kreinovich, Lev Grinzburg, Davis Myers, and Kari Sentz. Constructing probability boxes and dempster-shafer structures. *Sandia journal manuscript; Not yet accepted for publication*, 5 2015. ISSN 9999-0014. URL <https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1427258>.
- [2] JCGM 100:2008. Evaluation of measurement data – guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement, 2008. URL <https://www.bipm.org/en/publications/guides>. Accessed: 2019-05-24.
- [3] Aad W. van der Vaart and Jon A. Wellner. *Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes: With Applications to Statistics*. Springer New York, 1996. doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-2545-2.

---

\* This work is funded by the European Commission's H2020 programme, through the UTOPIAE Marie Curie Innovative Training Network, H2020-MSCA-ITN-2016, Grant Agreement number 722734.