Societas Scientiarum Fennica

The Finnish Society of Sciences and Letters

Address: Fabianinkatu 4 B 16, FIN – 00130 Helsinki, Finland In Swedish: Finska Vetenskaps-Societeten, Fabiansgatan 4 B 16, FIN – 00130 Helsingfors In Finnish: Suomen Tiedeseura, Fabianinkatu 4 B 16, 00130 Helsinki

Commentationes Humanarun Litterarum

The series, founded in 1923, publishes since 1996 monographs on antiquity and its tradition.

Editor:

Prof. em. Jaakko Frösén

Address: Department of World Cultures, P. O. Box 24, FIN - 00014 University of Helsinki

Requests for Exchange:

Exchange Center for Scientific Literature, Snellmaninkatu 13, FIN – 00170 Helsinki, or at the Secretary of the Society.

Distributed by:

Tiedekirja, Snellmaninkatu 13, FIN - 00170 Helsinki, Finland, tiedekirja@tsv.fi

Other series published by the Society:

Commentationes Physico-Mathematicae

Commentationes Scientiarum Socialium

Bidrag till kännedom av Finlands natur och folk

The History of Learning and Science in Finland 1828–1918

Årsbok – Vuosikirja (Yearbook), serie A sarja

Sphinx (Årsbok – Vuosikirja, serie B sarja)

Commentationes Humanarum Litterarum 132 2015

SECOND SAILING: Alternative Perspectives on Plato

Edited by Debra Nails and Harold Tarrant

in Collaboration with

Mika Kajava and Eero Salmenkivi

Societas Scientiarum Fennica

The Finnish Society of Sciences and Letters

Contents

Pretace Mika Kajava, Pauliina Remes and Eero Salmenkivi	
Introduction Harold Tarrant and Debra Nails	iii
Paradigmatic Method and Platonic Epistemology DIMITRI EL MURR	1
Pseudo-Archytas' Protreptics? On Wisdom in its Contexts PHILLIP SIDNEY HORKY	21
Plato and the Variety of Literary Production MAURO TULLI	41
The Meaning of "Απολλον δαιμονίας ὑπερβολῆς' in Plato's <i>Republic</i> 6,509b6–c4: A New Hypothesis A. Gabrièle Wersinger-Taylor	53
Dangerous Sailing: [Plato] Second Alcibiades 147a1-4 HAROLD TARRANT	59
Bad Luck to Take a Woman Aboard DEBRA NAILS	73
Argument and Context: Adaption and Recasting of Positions in Plato's Dialogues MICHAEL ERLER	91
Listening to Socrates in the <i>Theaetetus</i> : Recovering a Lost Narrator Anne-Marie Schultz	107
The Mask of Dialogue: On the Unity of Socrates' Characterization in Plato's Dialogues Mario Regali	125
Plato, Socrates, and the <i>genei gennaia sophistikē</i> of <i>Sophist</i> 231b Christopher Rowe	149
Erôs and Dialectic in Plato's Phaedrus: Questioning the Value of Chronology Francisco J. Gonzalez	169

Gerald A. Press	187
Is the Idea of the Good Beyond Being? Plato's <i>epekeina tēs ousias</i> Revisited (<i>Republic</i> 6,509b8–10) RAFAEL FERBER and GREGOR DAMSCHEN	197
Like Being Nothing: Death and Anaesthesia in Plato Apology 40c RICK BENITEZ	205
Ideas of Good? LLOYD P. GERSON .	225
Are There Deliberately Left Gaps in Plato's Dialogues? THOMAS ALEXANDER SZLEZÁK	243
Plato's Putative Mouthpiece and Ancient Authorial Practice: The Case of Homer J. J. Mulhern	257
Translating Plato JAN STOLPE	279
'Making New Gods'? A Reflection on the Gift of the Symposium MITCHELL MILLER	285
A Horse is a Horse, of Course, of Course, but What about Horseness? NECIP FİKRİ ALİCAN	307
Works Cited	325
Index Locorum	347
General Index	359

Preface

The idea that gave birth to this volume goes back some years to when we were discussing privately how to celebrate the forthcoming ninetieth birthday of our friend and instructor Holger Thesleff. This was to happen, we felt, discretely and as unostentatiously as possible, and paying particular attention to Plato and his work, which have been the major focus of Thesleff's scholarly interests over the past decades. As it happens, the honorand was not unaware of our plans, and indeed we are glad to recognize that during the whole process he had a host of constructive thoughts of how to deal with it. In particular, thanks to Thesleff's farsighted and well-thought views, an initially planned gathering of a number of Platonists in Helsinki transformed into a volume, the present one, containing contributions by the same people. Rather than a traditional Festschrift, the book was to become a collection of critical revisitations of various Platonic themes, many of which have been discussed by Thesleff himself. In many respects, then, what the reader has in hand may be literally taken as a 'second sailing'. Coincidence or not, Holger Thesleff himself is a seafaring man, as is shown by the frontispiece photograph of the square-rigged windjammer Passat, one of the last true Cape Horn ships, with Holger as apprentice on board in the late 1940s on the voyage from England to South Australia and as third mate on the way back to Wales via Ireland.

This book would never have docked in harbour without the gratuitous and sagacious commitment of its chief editors, professors Debra Nails and Harold Tarrant, who became involved with the project at an early stage. We are immensely grateful for their contribution.

Our sincerest thanks go to the publisher, the Finnish Society of Sciences and Letters, for accepting this volume to appear in the *Commentationes Humanarum Litterarum*, as well as to the general editor of the series, professor Jaakko Frösén, who gave invaluable support during the editorial process.

Helsinki, September 2015

Mika Kajava Pauliina Remes Eero Salmenkivi Therefore, the paradigmatic method can lead to the awakening of knowledge but not solely through its own action: it is a crucial tool for dialectical enquiries inasmuch as it enables the division to resume and progress on the right track. Because it secures the starting-point of the enquiry, the methodical use of paradigms guides the introduction of significant differentiae that the dialectician will introduce in the genera he considers, in order to isolate his target. Yet, Plato is eager to make clear that the paradigmatic method that underpins any use of paradigms does not allow one to attain knowledge of the target.

Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne and Institut universitaire de France

Pseudo-Archytas' Protreptics? On Wisdom in its Contexts*

PHILLIP SIDNEY HORKY

Among ancient philosophers, pseudo-Archytas has not fared especially well. With the exception of his work On the Universal Logos (or, in its alternative title, On the Categories), which has been studied for its value in calibrating the various reactions to Aristotle's Categories in the first century BCE (especially in Alexandria), the remaining fragments of ps-Archytas have generally received little discussion in the critical literature. The titles of these works are, in order of probable date of production, On Law and Justice (possibly composed around the late fourth or early third centuries BCE); On Wisdom, On Intelligence and Perception, and On Being (possibly fourth to first century BCE, likely leaning towards the end of this period); On the Universal Logos/On the Categories, On Opposites, On the Virtuous and Happy Man, and On Moral Education (very likely first century BCE); and Ten Universal Assertions (after the fourth century CE). Most of these works appear to be treatises, with the exception of On Law and Justice, which is currently the subject of an extensive study by myself and Monte Ransome Johnson, and which we hypothesize to have been an extract from a speech associated with Archytas by his biographer Aristoxenus of Tarentum in the late fourth/early third century BCE.3

If these termini post and ante quem are approximately valid, then we have a situation in which production of Pythagorean pseudepigrapha⁴ was a sustained

^{*} This paper is an adaptation and translation into English of material taken from chapter 2 of El Murr (2014). I thank Mary Louise Gill for stimulating comments on an earlier version. This paper is offered to Professor Thesleff as a token of admiration for his groundbreaking work on the structure and styles of Plato's dialogues.

Studies of ps-Archytas' philosophy within the larger context of the Pythagorean pseudepigrapha include Centrone 2014, 2000b, and 1990; Reale 1990, 237–49; and Moraux 1984, 608–83. Szlezák 1972 is the only monograph dedicated to this author, and only to one work (On the Universal Logos/On the Categories). Griffin 2015, 97–99 discusses On the Universal Logos/On the Categories in the context of the fragments of Eudorus and Andronicus.

I adopt a version of Thesleff's dating (1961) for the purposes of this article but suspend judgment at this time about dating these texts more precisely.

See Horky and Johnson (forthcoming).

Following Thesleff and Moraux, I refer to these works as 'Pythagorean pseudepigrapha' rather than 'Pseudo-Pythagorean' works or 'Pseudopythagorica' (as Burkert, Centrone, Huffman, Macris, and Ulacco do), which, in my opinion, has the capacity to be doubly obscurantist; not only does it assume that we can classify 'real' vs. 'pseudo-' Pythagoreans with any confidence (which I have argued is an effort that rests on dubious historiographical principles in Horky 2013, chapter 3); it

effort over a period of several hundred years, although it is quite difficult to say with precision who wrote them, or when or where exactly they were written, after the second half of the fourth century BCE, the period within which Pythagorean philosophy received systematic treatments in the works of the Peripatetics Aristoxenus, Dicaearchus, Eudoxus, and Theophrastus, on the one side, and the early Platonists Xenocrates and Speusippus, on the other, all of whom wrote doxographical works that treated, or philosophical works dedicated to, Pythagorean philosophy and history, which were subsequently passed down through the traditions. As is the case with Aristotle's dialogues, which have been lost to us but were available in the Hellenistic period, so too the treatments of Pythagoreanism issuing from the Academy and the Lyceum remain out of our reach, although one could speculate, for example, from the relative popularity of the philosophical biographies of Aristoxenus in the Hellenistic and post-Hellenistic worlds, that Pythagoreanism in general held a certain place in the imaginations of those Romans and Greeks who celebrated Pythagorean wisdom.

It is thus in the shadowy context of the Hellenistic and post-Hellenistic worlds that a work attributed to the fourth century BCE Pythagorean Archytas of Tarentum by the Neoplatonist Iamblichus of Chalcis in *Protrepticus*, entitled *On Wisdom*, comes into view. The reception and reconstruction of Pythagorean thought in the Pythagorean pseudepigrapha has a close relationship to both Early and Middle Platonism, probably due to the Peripatetic and Academic emphasis on the relevance of Pythagorean philosophy for Platonist metaphysics (the doctrine of the principles and form-numbers), theology (the craftsman-god and homoiōsis theōi), epistemology (the role of number in obtaining knowledge),

physics (the generation of the cosmos), ethics and psychology (virtue ethics, metempsychosis, and the bipartite/tripartite soul), and politics (the mixed constitution). While a great amount of work remains to be done on these topics, in this piece I would like to focus on just one topic that, to my knowledge, has almost never received substantial discussion among scholars, namely Pythagorean protreptics in the Hellenistic and post-Hellenistic worlds, i.e. the fragments of the Pythagorean pseudepigrapha that appear to exhort a young potential philosopher to philosophical education, especially by reference to the value that wisdom in particular holds for theoretical reasoning and practical intelligence.8 Two texts from the collected pseudepigrapha can be associated with protreptics, On Wisdom of ps-Archytas, and the very closely related On Wisdom of ps-Perictione.9 My project in this piece is twofold: first, to examine the philosophical content of the fragments of ps-Archytas' On Wisdom, especially with reference to his claims about what theoretical philosophy is, in the context of texts that are of relevance to this unique expression; and second, to consider how Iamblichus, who preserves the five fragments of On Wisdom in the fourth chapter of his Protrepticus, reinterprets their content in order to justify his own philosophical claims about the beneficent relationship between theoretical philosophy and practical intelligence. I will start with the latter aspect of the enquiry and interweave both aspects by approaching the fragments in order of presentation by Iamblichus.

It is worth noting first that ps-Archytas' On Wisdom occupies an important location in Iamblichus' Protrepticus: it appears in the fourth chapter, just after Iamblichus has analysed the acusmata and the Golden Verses, which he considers to have been passed down through the tradition from Pythagoras (3.10.14–17 Pistelli), and explained their centrality for any universal exhortation to philosophy. Similarly, the fragments of On Wisdom also appear just before Iamblichus'

also de-contextualizes the writings from the genre of pseudepigrapha, which flourished especially in the Hellenistic world. For useful introductions to the topic, see Ulacco forthcoming, Centrone 2014, and Macris 2002, 78–85.

^{5 -} Such is the hypothesis of Thesleff 1961 and, with less confidence, Centrone 2014 and 2000a.

Generally, on the early Platonists and Pythagoreanism, see Dillon 2014, 250–60; for the Peripatetics and Pythagoreanism, see Huffman 2014. It is not often assumed that the links between especially the early Platonists and the Pythagorean pseudepigrapha might be of relevance to the 'rediscovery' of both those corpora in the first century BCE. See Bonazzi 2012, 162–69. It may be telling that the first complete collection of the pseudepigrapha was undertaken by Thesleff, although it is likely that Iamb., and Stob. after him (the latter appears to have had access to the library of Iamb., or to have obtained a similar collection of pseudepigrapha as those of Iamb.), brought them together (see Iamb. VP 157–8). See Macris 2002, 88–106.

⁷ See, for example, the popularity of Aristoxenus, Life of Archytas from the early first century BGE until the second century GB (cf. Horky 2011).

On protreptics, see recent work by Wareh 2012, 41-54 and Collins 2015. The only scholarly work to treat ps-Archytas' On Wisdom in any detail—and, incidentally, the only translation into English of those fragments—is Johnson 2008, 17-21. Moraux (1984, 632-3) mentions On Wisdom in reference to discussion of ontology and principles in other works of ps-Archytas. Huffman (2005, 598-99) investigates the philosophical content of these fragments chiefly for the sake of demonstrating their inauthenticity. The fragments have been translated into French by Des Places (1966), German by Schönberger (1984), and Italian by Romano (1995) in their editions/translations of Iamb., Protr., but are not extensively analyzed of their own account in any of those editions.

Johnson 2008, the only sustained philosophical discussion of this text that I know of, considers ps-Archyt. within the context of protreptic texts. It is notable that ps-Archyt. elsewhere (Concerning the Whole System 31.30–32.23 Thesleff), in a text that is complementary to On Wisdom, shows interest in protreptics.

quotation of protreptic passages from Plato's dialogues in chapter 5, ¹⁰ which anticipate the extensive selections Iamblichus made from Aristotle's *Protrepticus* in chapters 6–10. From this point of view, Iamblichus understood ps-Archytas to be an intermediary between Pythagoras himself and Plato and Aristotle in advancing protreptic arguments. This is clear when we read the final paragraph of chapter 3, where one of the most 'Platonic' of the *Golden Verses*, also Hellenistic productions, is exploited for its protreptic value (*Protrepticus* 3.15.15-16.17 Pistelli):

Moreover, at the end, [Pythagoras] exhorts to the departure of the soul to the life it leads by itself, which is liberated from the body and from the natures that are bound together with the body. This is what he says:

Set on high like a charioteer the thought that is best; by leaving the body you go into the free aether, you will be immortal, a god undying, it mortal no longer. [Aurea Carmina 69–71]

Now then, setting up the best intellect as leader in the highest rank preserves the soul's undefiled likeness to the gods, towards which it exhorts primarily; and leaving the body and departing to the aether, exchanging the human nature too for the purity of the gods, and preferring an immortal life over a mortal way of life, provide restoration to the very substance and circuit with the gods which we had even earlier, before we came into human form. Thus has been shown the method of such encouragements, exhorting us to whole kinds of goods and to all the types of a better life.

But if now we need to advance on the esoteric, i.e. scientific, exhortations as well, we should first take up those that, along with providing a teaching about the most authoritative and primary realities, at the same time also exhort to a theological and intellectual discovery and teaching of them, and encourage the most venerable wisdom.¹¹

The way up to ps-Archytas goes through the doctrinal sayings of the Pythagoreans, including the *acusmata* and the *Golden Verses*; here Iamblichus seizes on 'Pythagoras' image of the charioteer which, as intellect, frees itself upon death to obtain immortality, in order to encourage the student who is reading this text to pursue a way of life that Iamblichus treats as 'immortal', an echo of Socrates' injunction after the final argument in the *Phaedo* (167c–d) to become as good and as wise as possible through education and upbringing. ¹² The idea of the intellect as charioteer of the soul-apparatus also solicits from Iamblichus strong comparisons with the *Phaedrus* (247a–249d), and when he claims that we, by pursuing this immortal way of life, are restored to the substance and the circuit of the gods, it is clear that the analogies drawn between death and purification in Plato's *Phaedo* and *Phaedrus* function for Iamblichus as an instrument by which to structure his own protreptic.

Indeed, Iamblichus explains that we need to advance upon what he calls the 'esoteric', or the 'scientific', methods of exhortation, which are to be differentiated from the more commonplace sayings of Pythagoras, whose protreptic value the student is invited to contemplate.¹³ It is by reference to the 'scientific' treatment of wisdom in the lost treatise called *On Wisdom*, attributed to Archytas, that Iamblichus sets out his own project of establishing the first principles of being, the proper methods of division and collection, and the relationship between theoretical knowledge and practical intelligence. He says (*Protrepticus* 4.16.17–17.19 Pistelli):

Archytas, then, right at the beginning of his On Wisdom, makes his exhortation in this way:

Wisdom (sophia) excels in all human activities to the same extent that sight excels the [other] senses of the body, the intellect excels the soul, and the sun excels the stars. For sight is the most far-reaching and most variegated of the other senses, and the intellect is supreme at fulfilling what is necessary by means of reason (logos) and thought, since it is the sight and power of the most honorable things. Nevertheless, the sun is the eye and soul of natural things; for all things are seen, generated, and understood through it, and, since they stem and are born from it, they are nour-

As Hutchinson and Johnson (forthcoming) note in their working translation of lamblichus' Protrepticus, lamblichus' quotations/summaries from Plato's dialogues take this structure: 'three paragraphs of Sociatic protreptic from Pl., Euthd.; then one paragraph with two conventional Academic divisions, a bifurcation and a trifurcation; then three paragraphs of Academic protreptic from the Platonic corpus, derived from Clit., Alc., and Laws 5; then two paragraphs with protreptic content from Pl., Ti.; then three paragraphs from R. 9 that lead up to a protreptic conclusion'.

All translations from Iamb. Protr. have been aided by Doug Hutchinson and Monte Johnson (forthcoming). Translations of ps-Archyt. and ps-Perict. are wholly my own, however. Italic sections indicate quotations of actual fragments, phrases, or words.

Compare Iamb. in Phdr. F6 Dillon, where the charioteer, as intellect, is placed below the helmsman (as the 'One of the soul').

For the importance of 'scientific' study for Pythagorean education according to Iamb. (as a diverse project from interpretation of the acusmata), see Iamb. Comm. Math. 24.74.7–25.78.26 Festa-Klein), on which see Brisson 2012, and Horky 2013, chapter 1.

Comm. Hum. Litt. Vol. 132

ished, grown, and quickened with sensation. [ps-Archytas, On Wisdom Fragment 1 = 43.25-44.3 Thesleff]

Here [Archytas] very scientifically exhibits both the nature and the activity of wisdom, and he establishes his exhortation to intellect (nous) and contemplation (theōria) starting from the fact of its being most useful and most authoritative. And he provides something else that is amazing, so to speak, for a good exhortation; starting from what is well known, he establishes the reminder (hupomnēsis) through a manifest analogy. For it is obvious to all that vision is the sharpest of the senses, the most precise, and the most honorable; and it escapes no one's notice that the sun excels the stars; and we presuppose that the intellect of the soul rules over the common conceptions. Starting from these, he hints at the excellence of wisdom with regard to all human affairs, in a well-known and scientific way, so that what is true is easily learned and easily grasped by those who listen to what is encrypted in obscurity.

I will first provide an analysis of the fragment on its own terms, before turning to Iamblichus' interpretation of it. Ps-Archytas starts from a definition of 'wisdom', via an analogy, in which wisdom obtains its excellence by reference to all human activities to the same extent that sight excels the other senses, intellect excels the other parts of the soul, and the sun excels the other heavenly bodies. This strategy of analogical comparison can also be found in other works of ps-Archytas, including the first fragment of *On Law and Justice* (33.3–6 Thesleff), in which we hear that

the law's relation to the soul and way of life of a human being is the same as attunement's relation to hearing and vocal expression. For, whereas the law educates the soul, it also organizes its way of life; likewise, whereas attunement makes hearing comprehensible, it also makes the vocal expression agreeable.

It is clear from Aristotle's *Metaphysics* (7.2, 1043a14–26 = Huffman A 22) that the genuine Archytas of Tarentum established definitions by way of drawing analogies between things, and Aristotle in the *Rhetoric* (3.2, 1412a9–17 = Huffman A 12) praised Archytas' approach to employing metaphors that were 'on target'. Within the Pythagorean pseudepigrapha, such analogies are uniquely found in the writings ascribed to Archytas, which indicates the popularity, at least within the tradition, of passing down Archytan 'definition through analogy'. Iamblichus recognizes such an analogy as 'amazing', but focuses on its role as a 'reminder' in the economy of the protreptic—a likely reference to 'writing' as a 'reminder'

(hupomnēsis – an unusual term in Plato) that is to be differentiated from the true 'memory' that leads one to wisdom in the *Phaedrus* (275a). For Iamblichus, this employment of a 'reminder' through analogy allows us to realize the excellence of wisdom in all human affairs easily (he does not discuss how this works, here or elsewhere), as analogy stimulates the intellect to contemplate the comparative relations between immortal and mortal things.

Interestingly, ps-Archytas employs such analogies by comparative excellence of the various parts of the soul, the senses, and the heavenly bodies, in order to set up an argument that comes in Fragment 2, in which we see what appears to be an adaptation of the Function Argument from the first book of Aristotle's *Nicomachean Ethics* (Iamblichus, *Protrepticus* 4.18.19–20.14 Pistelli):

Now, then, such is the approach which proceeds from the fact that wisdom is honorable to the exhortation; the other approach, which proceeds from what is truly human, reminds us of (hupomimnēskousa) the exhortation to the things through which, when they are discussed in the following way [the fact that wisdom is honorable], is demonstrated:

The human has been born the wisest by far of all the animals. For he has the capacity to contemplate the things-that-are, and to obtain knowledge and wisdom concerning all of them. Wherefore the divine too engraved (enecharaze) in him the system of universal reason (tō pantos logō sustama), in which all the types (eidea) of being have been distributed, as well as the meanings of nouns and verbs. For a seat for vocal speech has been assigned—pharynx, mouth, and nostrils. But just as the human has been born as an instrument for speech, through which nouns and verbs are signified through being imprinted, so too has he been born as an instrument for thought, in which the things-that-are are seen. It seems to me that this is the function of wisdom, for which the human has both been born and constituted, and [for which] he obtained his instruments and abilities from god. [ps-Archytas, On Wisdom Fragment 2 = 44.5–15 Thesleff]

This approach to exhortation arises out of the nature of a human being. For if a human is wisest and capable of contemplating (theôrēsai) the things-thatare, then he should make efforts to get theoretical and theological wisdom; and if he has a supernatural capacity to acquire knowledge and intelligence about everything, then he should devote himself to the best of his ability to demonstrative science and the virtue that concerns intelligence, which is appropriate to him. And this is surely why the divine engraved in him the system of universal

Or: 'species', if ps-Archyt. is thinking about establishing differentiae here.

Comm. Hum. Litt. Vol. 132

speech, in which all the types of beings inhere, as well as the meanings of nouns and verbs. For this reason it is appropriate for him to pursue the whole of logical philosophy, since the human being has been born a contemplator (theōros) not only of meanings but also of the concepts that belong in things that exist; and it is for this reason that humans have been born, and have acquired instruments and abilities from god. So for these reasons again, about all being insofar as it exists, humans need to pursue theoretical wisdom and to hunt after scientifically both the principles and the criteria of all knowledge about every kind of being; and it is right for humans to investigate the intellect by itself and the purest reason; and it is appropriate to be taught eagerly from the beginning how many are the principles that afford the beautiful and good things in the human way of life, and how many are the things we reason over concerning virtues universally, and how many things we learn about mathematics and certain other skills and proficiencies. And this is how the invitation that takes us from the nature of the human being and urges towards the whole of philosophy arose.

Ps-Archytas echoes what is a somewhat commonplace axiology among some contemporary Platonists, ¹⁵ and in the Pythagorean tradition, ¹⁶ that the human being is wisest of all the animals because of his capacity to communicate and contemplate the true beings; this position represents a modification of the Stoic claim that man is wisest because other things in nature exist for the sake of him, but, despite the fact that the author seems to be soliciting Aristotle's function argument for his own purposes, the claim made by ps-Archytas is actually quite distant from that of Aristotle, who was ambivalent about whether humans were naturally born better than other animals, and focused instead on whether they could perfect themselves through deliberation concerning the goods appropriate

to themselves, which is enhanced through experience. 17 By contrast, ps-Archytas has the human being receiving his capacities and instruments for intellectual and perceptual engagement with the world through a divine gift-the 'engraving' of the 'system of universal reason', which ps-Archytas explains to be that within which the types of beings, as well as the meanings of nouns and verbs, are to be found. 18 Ps-Archytas interestingly assumes that the human has been generated as an instrument (organon) for speaking and thinking, rather than assuming that the mouth and the brain are the sole instruments for those activities. 19 Ps-Archytas thus assumes that the human has a role to play within a larger cosmic economy, in which he is the vehicle for verbal communication and discursive thinking, and he possesses faculties distinctive from those of other animals in order to achieve this goal. The latter activity, discursive thinking, appears to be undertaken in pursuit of wisdom; the former activity, verbal discursive communication, makes possible the expression and grasping of meanings, and it is not clear from this fragment that ps-Archytas wishes to implicate verbal understanding in wisdom (sophia).20 Iamblichus too assumes that verbal communication exists for the sake of exhortation to theoretical and theological wisdom, which he glosses as 'how many are the principles that afford the beautiful and good things in the human way of life, and how many are the things we reason over concerning virtues universally, and how many things we learn about mathematics and certain other skills and proficiencies'.

The express relationship between theoretical and practical wisdom (*phronēsis*) only comes once Iamblichus has laid the ground for what he refers to as a 'mixed' type of protreptic, namely, a protreptic that exhorts a young person not only to theoretical wisdom, but also to practical knowledge that is correlative with theoretical wisdom. So, quoting the short Fragment 3 of ps-Archytas' *On Wisdom*, Iamblichus says (*Protrepticus* 4.20.15–21.13 Pistelli):

Compare Aët. 5.20.4, in which 'Pythagoras and Plato' are credited with the notion that the soul of so-called non-rational animals is rational, but that they cannot act rationally (e.g. speak) because of the poor composition of their bodies.

See, e.g., Alex. Polyh.'s claim (ap. D. L. 30) that Pythag, believed that 'intelligence (now) and passion (thumos) are also to be found in the other animals, but reason (phrenes) is in the human alone'. How to interpret this passage has been a subject for much discussion, on which see Long 2013, 155-6. Also see Hippol. Haer. 6.24, where he says that Pythagoras believed that there were two cosmoi, the first of which, the intelligible, was created 'in order that we might gaze upon (epopteuômen) the substance of the intelligible, incorporeal, divine things by reason'. Finally, consider the beginning and ending of ps-Archyt.; On the Universal Logosl On the Categories (22.8-11 and 31.30-32.23 Thesleft).

¹⁷ For the importance of 'experience' to Aristot.'s notion of 'wisdom', see, *inter alia*, Aristot. Metaph. 1.1-2, 981b30-982a20. Cf. Johnson 2005, 225-28.

Compare ps-Archyt., On Intelligence and Perception (38.10-12 Thesleft), where 'form' (e:dos) is defined as an 'imprint of being qua what-is' (tupōsis tō ontos, ēi on estin).

Compare ps-Archyt., On the Universal Logosl On the Categories 31.32-32.5 Thesleff, where we hear that the human being is the 'rule and standard' of knowledge.

It is possible that S. E. is referring to ps-Archyt, when he claims that the Pythagoreans study the constituent parts of the universe the same way they study the constituent parts of words (adv. Math. 2.249-50), although there he might be attacking the position of the Neopythagorean Moderatus of Gades (ap. Porph. VP 48-49), whose works he demonstrably knew.

[Archytas] also posits another approach, the mixed one, which exhorts to the same things in the following way:

The human has been born and constituted for the purpose of contemplating the reason of the nature of the universe; and, therefore, it is the function of wisdom to <obtain> and contemplate the intelligence (theorem tan phronasin) of the things-that-are. [ps-Archytas, On Wisdom Fragment 3 = 44.17–20 Thesleff]

For we will not be passing through our lives in accordance with nature, which is the chief object of our pursuit, unless we live in accordance with reason, both the divine and the human; nor will we be successful in any other way, unless we acquire it through philosophy and we contemplate the intelligence of the things-that-are. Moreover, take note of this other sort of mixture in the above: in the same way, [Archytas] tries to urge us on to both practical and theoretical philosophy. For the acquisition of intelligence of something productive is a function too of practical virtue, the end of which is not simply beholding how it is, but apprehending it through its activities; indeed, contemplation exists as an operation (energêma) of the theoretical intellect. Further, the exhortation turns out as it should, for both of them.

Interestingly, it is not obvious from ps-Archytas' fragment alone that he seeks to implicate, as Iamblichus does, practical intelligence in theoretical wisdom. ²¹ As it stands, ps-Archytas only claims that it is the function of wisdom to obtain and contemplate the *phronēsis* of the things-that-are, i.e., of real objects, in a Platonist sense (which he later explains, as we will see). How we translate *phronēsis* here depends entirely on our assumptions about what this fragment is contributing to ps-Archytas' system; ²² if it is 'practical intelligence', one would need to explain why the qualification 'of the things-that-are' is present; if it is 'intelligence', in the sense of 'prudence', then the axiology remains intact, but we are forced to explain how the things-that-are, by which ps-Archytas appears to mean 'forms',

'genera', and 'principles' (as we will see below), are actively prudent. Possibly he means something more passive, e.g. that the things-that-are are 'prudent' in the sense that the system of universal reason, within which they inhere, has a strict economy, and that 'forms' and 'genera', as well as 'principles', are best organized, most efficient, and lack inconsistencies.

Regardless, it is clear that Iamblichus takes ps-Archytas to be speaking about both theoretical knowledge and practical intelligence. For Iamblichus develops a nuanced sense of the relationship between theoretical 'intellect' and practical 'virtue' by claiming that contemplation is an operation of the theoretical intellect that, by apprehending the intelligence of a productive object through observation of its activities, is the culmination (telos) of practical virtue. We are meant to interpret ps-Archytas as saying that the intellect's operation of contemplation (theōria) is the final cause of the virtue that obtains its value within the practical sphere of life. Hence, so Iamblichus protests in a way that challenges a more straightforward reading of the text of ps-Archytas, the exhortation to philosophy found in On Wisdom is to be understood as an exhortation both to contemplation and to practical ethics.

However, if we are to judge by the fragment that is presented by Iamblichus as following upon Fragment 3, ps-Archytas is *not* speaking about practical intelligence or the like. Instead, what we see is a further explanation of what the proper objects of wisdom are, and how wisdom, by considering the accidents universally, discovers the first principles of the things-that-are (Iamblichus, *Protrepticus* 4.21.14–22.15 Pistelli):

Therefore, since the good of wisdom is made more apparent when it is common and extended to all things, the exhortation towards it [the good] becomes more complete through the following [words of Archytas]:

Wisdom is not concerned with one delimited (aphörismenon) thing among the things-that-are, but with all things-that-are in an absolute sense (haplôs), and it is necessary not for it to discover first its own principles, but rather those that are common to the things-that-are; for wisdom relates to all the things-that-are in the same way as sight does to all the things that are seen. Therefore, it is proper for wisdom to consider and contemplate the accidents of all things universally (katholô), and, hence, wisdom discovers the principles of all things-that-are. [ps-Archytas, On Wisdom Fragment 4 = 44.22–28 Thesleff]

For here again, [Archytas] does not delimit its activity to some part, but says it extends in common over all the things-that-are, and he says that it investigates the *principles* that are *common* to whole things, and it contemplates

As apparently Antioch. Hist. (fr. 9 Sedley = Cic. Fin. 5.58; see Dillon 1996, 75) and Alcin. (Didask. 152.30–153.1; see Sedley 2012). The position of ps-Archyt. with a strong emphasis on the importance of theôria in its own right, might also be thought to anticipate that of Plu. who, like Eudorus (see Dillon 1996, 122), thought that 'theôria leads the way, and is fulfilled through praxis' (so Bonazzi 2012, 148).

Interestingly, the ps-Archyt. who wrote On the Virtuous and Happy Man (11.23–26 Thesleft) differentiates 'science' (epistēmē) from phronēsis, which are considered two parts of wisdom: 'When I say 'science', I mem 'the wisdom (sophia) of divine and daemonic things'; and 'prudence' (phronasis), '[the wisdom] of human things, and things that concern life'. Are these texts written by different pseudepigraphers?

according to these kinds and to the simple apprehensions, just as vision apprehends the things that are seen; and he says that it comprises the universal rationales for everything and, in addition to this, contemplates and discusses; and he says that it is the only unconditional knowledge, too, since it discovers the principles of all the things-that-are, and is able to give a rationale concerning its own proper principles. This approach of exhortation, then, develops beautifully; for if wisdom is of this sort and it is not possible for reasoning to acquire an exhortation that is more universal, more perfect, more common, more self-sufficient, more well-formed, or more beautiful than this one, then those who wish to be successful need to pursue this in accordance with reason and intellect.

As we saw in Fragment 1 of ps-Archytas' On Wisdom, wisdom fulfills its duty among human activities, and so is an activity practiced by human beings-but it is directed specifically towards 'the things-that-are'. 23 Because, so ps-Archytas seems to be arguing, wisdom concerns itself not with knowledge of composite individuals (which he refers to as 'delimited' things), but rather must consider all things absolutely, it cannot investigate its own principles before considering the common principles under which all the things-that-are, presumably including itself, fall.24 We might assume that it is only after discovering the principles under which all things fall universally that wisdom is able to pursue its own peculiar principles-if indeed it has peculiar principles. In order to advance upon the common principles, wisdom considers and contemplates the universal accidents, the properties that all the things-that-are possess. The rationale for this is that wisdom relates to the things-that-are in the same way that sight does to visible objects. What is the analogy doing? Ps-Archytas is not especially clear, and Iamblichus supplements the slightly obscure account with his own peculiar interpretation: by investigating the accidents that inhere in all the things-that-are, Iamblichus says, wisdom contemplates 'according to kinds and simple apprehensions'—the latter of which appears nowhere in ps-Archytas' text and represents an attempt by Iamblichus to make sense of the analogy between wisdom's contemplation of beings and sight's grasping of visible objects. But ps-Archytas doesn't really say this: he seems to be saying, rather, that the approach wisdom takes to its objects, as the approach of sight to its own objects, is peculiar to each activity:

it is appropriate for wisdom to study what is universal in all things, just as it is appropriate to sight to study what is visible in visible objects. ²⁵ The appeal to *logoi* (which I've translated 'rationales' here) nowhere appears in the text *On Wisdom* either, and represents means by which lamblichus can translate ps-Archytas' account of contemplation through wisdom into an exhortation to both theoretical investigation and practical intelligence ('in accordance with reason and intelligence').

It appears that ps-Archytas' lack of clarity about the relation of wisdom to phronesis supplies Iamblichus with an opportunity to make important corrections, and one gets the sneaking suspicion that Iamblichus' selection of portions of ps-Archytas' On Wisdom is quite intentional, and directed towards his own project of developing a robust account of the coherence of theoretical and practical knowledge within earlier Pythagorean protreptic texts. Indeed, we have what appears to be evidence of a portion of ps-Archytas' treatise that Iamblichus has passed over in silence: it comes in the form of a fragment from the Pythagorean pseudepigrapha, quoted by Stobaeus, by someone called 'Perictione'. ²⁶ This author is closely connected with ps-Archytas, since, as we will see, portions of her work are near exact copies of the fragments of ps-Archytas' On Wisdom:

Geometry, then, arithmetic, and the other theoretical sciences are concerned with the things-that-are, but wisdom (sophia) is concerned with all the genera (genē) of things-that-are. For wisdom relates to all the things-that-are in the same way as sight does to all the things that are seen, and hearing does to all things heard. With regard to the accidents (ta sumbebakota) to the things-that-are, some universally (katholō) apply to all things, others to the majority, and others to each individual (hen hekaston). Therefore, to consider and contemplate the accidents of all things universally is proper to wisdom; of the majority of things to natural science (periphusin epistēmē); and of per se individuals (ta idia kath' hekaston) to the science of what is delimited (peri ti aphōrismenon epistēmē). Hence, wisdom discovers the principles of all things-that-are; natural science discovers the principles of things that come-to-be naturally; and geometry, arithmetic, and music discover the principles that concern quantity and the harmonious.

²³ Initially, it seems possible that this phrase might refer too to human affairs. But see below.

Again, compare ps-Archyt., On the Universal LogoslOn the Categories 32.10-14 Thesleff, where knowledge starts from 'delimited things' but proceeds to 'infinities'.

Compare Alcin., description (*Didask*. 153.3–9) of theoria as 'the activity of the intellect when it is intellecting the intelligibles', on which see Sedley 2012, 155–57.

Perictione was the mother of Plato (D. L. 3.1), which is probably why her name gets associated with these sorts of texts.

Therefore, whoever is able to reduce all the kinds under one and the same principle and, again, synthesize and calculate them, he seems to me to be the wisest and absolutely truest. And yet he will also discover a good lookout position, from which he will be able to behold god and all things that have been assigned to his column and order. [ps-Perictione, On Wisdom Fragment 2 = 146.6–22 Thesleff = Stobaeus 3.1.121 = 86.4–87.13 Hense]

Ps-Perictione's fragment concludes with exactly the same passage of text that, as we will see, introduces the conclusion of ps-Archytas' treatise On Wisdom, which could lead one to speculate (a) that ps-Archytas has copied portions of ps-Perictione; (b) that ps-Perictione has copied portions of ps-Archytas, and the intervening portion preserves what Iamblichus has left out in his analysis; (c) that ps-Perictione has preserved a portion of ps-Archytas, but the portion that comes before in this fragment represents a modification, or an adaptation, of what the author originally found; or (d) the suggestion favored by scholars, that the fragments of ps-Archytas were erroneously assigned to Perictione by later copyists, which is made more likely by the fact that the other fragments associated with this name are in Ionic.²⁷ The first hypothesis, advocated by Sarah Pomeroy,²⁸ does not account for the philosophical importance of ps-Archytas within the broader reception-history of the Pythagotean pseudepigrapha—he occupies a place either primary or secondary to ps-Ocellus and is known relatively early on within the tradition (at the latest Theon of Smyrna and Philo of Alexandria, but then Hippolytus, Porphyry, Iamblichus, Dexippus, Themistius, etc.), whereas ps-Perictione only appears as an addition to the fragment in Stobaeus, and has no independent historiographical tradition within the writings of the Neopythagoreans and Neoplatonists. The latter three hypotheses all point in the same direction: that ps-Perictione's fragment preserves what came between what Iamblichus cited in Fragment 4 and the conclusion of ps-Archytas' work, in Fragment 5. Internal similarities in vocabulary suggest that ps-Perictione is employing the same concepts as ps-Archytas, which would lend credence to the notion that the fragment really is just assigned by Stobaeus, or a copyist, incorrectly to Perictione. Either way, we have what appears to be good evidence for what ps-Archytas said in the interim between Fragment 4 and the concluding Fragment 5.

If this interpretation is right, then we can see with greater precision what ps-Archytas in On Wisdom thought the proper objects of wisdom were, and the

difference between wisdom and the two types of scientific investigation (note that he does not expressly call wisdom a 'science', as other Platonists, such as Alcinous, do). Ps-Archytas begins by claiming that the mathematical sciences, such as geometry and arithmetic, as well as the 'other theoretical sciences', deal with the things-that-are, but wisdom alone pursues the genera (gene) under which the things-that-are fall. He also further explains what he has previously said about accidents, which wasn't wholly clear. Wisdom is the consideration and contemplation of universal accidents, whereas natural science (peri phusin epistème) is the consideration and contemplation of accidents that occur in the majority of cases (and it discovers the principles of things subject to generation), and finally 'delimited' science (peri ti aphōrismenon epistème) considers and contemplates the accidents that occur in per se individuals (and here, ps-Archytas interestingly notes that this science, which employs mathematics, discovers the principles of quantity and things that are harmonious).

To my knowledge, this differentiation of theoretical activity into threewisdom, which contemplates the kinds of things-that-are universally and discovers their principles; natural science, which contemplates the accidents that occur most of the time and discovers the principles of generated objects; and 'delimited' science, which contemplates the accidents that happen in per se individuals, by which he means the objects of mathematics, or things that possessed a specific 'quantity' (it is unclear what he means here-geometrical shapes?), and that possessed 'harmony', by which ps-Archytas might mean things like 'virtue', 'justice', and 'health', which in the Pythagorean system of the Hellenistic period were considered subject to harmony³⁰—is unique in ancient philosophy. It shares no direct relationship with the other pseudepigrapha attributed to Archytas, although it is possible that the author may have been adapting from Archytas' own works, or from someone who had 'Peripateticized' Archytas' fragments by breaking them down doxographically into the system that they were taken to represent.31 But such a division into triplets is common in the writings of the Middle Platonists—both their own, and those doxographical works that spoke about Pythagorean systems—as well as the Pythagorean pseudepigrapha. It is perhaps best

²⁷ See Huffman 2005, 598.

²⁸ Pomeroy 2012, 69-71.

²⁹ Alcin. defines 'wisdom' (Didask. 152.5-6) as 'science of divine and human affairs'.

³⁰ According to Alex. Polyh. (ap. D. L. 8.33), Pythagoras held that the things that are subject to harmony are virtue, health, the entire good, and God'.

³¹ Them. argued against lamb., believing that the author of these texts was a Peripatetic who used the name 'Archytas' in order to confer authority to his own work (Boethius, in Aristot. Cat. 162a Migne).

compared with the tripartite division of 'theoretical knowledge' by Alcinous into a similar grouping (*Didaskalikos* 153.43–154.5): the *theological*, which deals with unmoved and primary causes; the *natural*, which deals with the motions of the heavenly bodies, especially their risings and fallings; and the *mathematical*, which deals with those sorts of things subject to mathematical sciences. Alcinous and ps-Archytas don't line up exactly the same, but they do show similar inclinations.

Once we arrive at the final conclusion, Iamblichus has us return to the image of the charioteer riding aloft and reaching the vista that makes possible intellectual sight of reality, which he employed as a framing device in order to show the conclusion of his treatment of famous dicta of Pythagoras, and to introduce his account of 'esoteric, i.e. scientific' exhortation (*Protrepticus* 4.22.16–24.13 Pistelli):

Therefore, at the end [of Archytas' work], his advice rises to the highest peak, in the following way:

Therefore, whoever is able to reduce all the genera under one and the same principle and, again, synthesize and calculate them, he seems to me to be the wisest and absolutely truest. And yet he will also discover a good lookout position, from which he will be able to behold god and all things that have been assigned to his column and order; and furnishing himself with this charioteer's path, he will set out and arrive at the end of the course, connecting the beginnings (archas) with the conclusions (perasi), and finding out why god is the beginning, end, and middle of all things-that-are defined in accordance with justice and right reason (orthos logos). [ps-Archytas, On Wisdom Fragment 5 = 44.31–45.4 Thesleff]

So clearly here too he has set down the end of the theological exhortation, not thinking it right to stop at a plurality of principles, i.e. of all the kinds of being, but rather to reduce enthusiastically everything under one and the same principle, and dividing from the single One according to a definite number the things approximating the One, and in this way always investigating the things-that-are further removed and separated, until the plurality may be calculated together for the synthetic things, i.e. those that are composed out of many things; and by proceeding in both directions he is sufficient to ascend from the plurality to the One, and to descend from the One to the plurality.

But since we especially pursue truth and wisdom, he says, exhorting to this sort of science, that the wisest and absolutely truest person is the one who has this kind of science of division through the first forms and kinds, drawing these together into the One by way of the science of definition, and being contemplative of the One, which is an end of all theory. And he introduces a good still more authoritative than this, namely to be able as if from a lookout position to behold god and all things that have been assigned to god. For if god is in charge of all truth and success, substance and cause and the principles, then one should especially put effort in this to acquiring that science by which someone will gaze at what is itself pure and by which he will discover a wide passage to it, and by which he will connect the ends with the principles. For this kind of life and success is most perfect, no longer definitely distinguishing the final things from the first ones but rather grasping together the things collected into one, keeping together both principles and end and middle alike. For the divine cause is this kind of thing, to which should cling those who intend to be successful. Now then, this is how the exhortation proceeds all the way through everything both in us and in nature and, so to speak, through all the beings, and sums up by way of conclusion all the approaches towards the single ascent that reaches up to god.

Ps-Archytas' text concludes with an appeal to the person who is 'wisest and absolutely truest', i.e. the person who is able to practice all three sorts of theoretical activity referred to in the ps-Perictione fragment (which ps-Archytas calls 'reduction of the genera to one and the same principle', 'synthesizing', and 'calculating', respectively). Such a sophōtatos and alēthestatos will also find a vista, from which to see god, and all the things that fall under his 'column and order' (by which apparently ps-Archytas means the 'good' column in the 'table of contraries' mentioned by Aristotle in the Metaphysics and known to ps-Philolaus). There is a distinctly logical flavor to his account of the charioteer, whereby ps-Archytas claims that the charioteer's path, which is presumably in a circle, will lead him to draw together first principles with conclusions, thus discovering the reason why god, for the Pythagoreans, is the beginning, middle, and end of all things-thatare defined according to justice and right reason. The philosophical activity of the wisest and truest human seems to involve at least one, and probably all, of

References to the 'wisest and truest' person recur in lamb., Comm. Math. (6.21.13-15 and 7.31.13-14 Festa).

³³ Aristot. Metaph. 1.5, 986a22-b8 and Philolaus fr. *8a Huffman = Syria. in Aristot. Metaph. 165.33-166.6 Kroll.

The notion that the Pythagoreans associated the number 3 with 'beginning, middle, and end' is as old as Aristot. (Cael. 1.1, 268a10–13), but there is no explicit link to god there; that link is established by Plato's Lg. 5,715e-716a. Moreover, ps-Archytas' appeal to 'right reason' need not be a Stoic giveaway, since the concept had its own earlier history in the writings of Plato (especially Lg. 2,659d and 3,696c) and Aristot. See Moss 2014.

Comm. Hum. Litt. Vol. 132

three aspects of theoretical reasoning: reduction of classes of intelligible objects to establish basic definitions on which to establish proofs; some sort of syllogistic synthesis of first, last, and middle terms; and mathematical calculation of the relationship between composite intelligible objects (through arithmetic, geometry, or harmonics). Interestingly, the final appeal to 'justice' here, a Pythagorean watchword, might be thought to point towards practical intelligence—if so, this would be the only evidence in the entirety of ps-Archytas' treatise that survives of any focus on the value of theoretical inquiry for practical intelligence. But even lamblichus doesn't make this move; instead he focuses on how such theoretical procedures allow one to move from the Many upwards to the One, and back down again to the Many. Such a procedure proceeds, so Iamblichus' exhortation states, to happiness and success in life.

Apropos of the appeal in the final fragment of ps-Archytas, it is time for us to allow our collective chariot to pause at a plateau and consider how we can bring all this information together. It is clear that, despite the lengths to which Iamblichus will go to try to influence his reader's interpretation, ps-Archytas' On Wisdom is not a protreptic text, at least in the sense that protreptic texts aim to exhort young people to a certain way of life that will help them to obtain the goods that will encourage them to live happily or successfully.35 This is because the text, as it stands, does not obviously refer to the Pythagorean 'way of life', as, for example, Aristotle does, but rather focuses on explaining axiologically how human beings are born for the sake of contemplating the rational system that gives order to the nature of things (Fragment 3), which they do by way of theoretical investigation through wisdom. Humans, so ps-Archytas argues (Fragment 2), have been gifted certain instruments for thinking and for communication, so that they might be able to perform their cosmic roles as exegetes of the system of universal reason, which has been imprinted upon them, and which can be understood owing to the guarantee of language, which also bears the imprint of universal reason. Wisdom, in particular, which deals with the kinds of things that are and are universally subject to such kinds, seeks to expose the intelligence that gives order to the things-that-are (Fragments 3 and 4). Two other types of theoretical reasoning are mentioned (Fragment 5): natural science, which deals with the attributes that most real things have, discovers the first principles of generated objects; and 'delimited' science, which deals with the attributes of per se individuals, discovers the principles that give complex real objects their unique

mathematical attributes. The wisest and truest person will be he who is able to master all three parts of theoretical reasoning (Fragment 6), which, according to ps-Archytas, allows him to see the things that fall under the column of god, and to discover the ultimate reason why god is the beginning, middle, and end of all things. Such a proposal is, to my knowledge, unique in antiquity, and it would require much more work to see whether, beyond the value it held for lamblichus, we might be able to see reflections of this work in other Middle and Neoplatonist authors. Even if we cannot ultimately admit ps-Archytas' On Wisdom to the corpus of ancient protreptic texts, it still represents one of the longest, and best sustained, analyses of theoretical reasoning in ancient philosophy, expanding upon tropes that were first developed as such by Aristotle in his Nicomachean Ethics and Metaphysics—the differentiation of theoretical from other forms of reasoning and the function argument—but with a unique 'Pythagorean' twist.

Durham University

³⁵ For a similar description by reference to Aristot., Protrepticus, see Hutchinson and Johnson forthcoming.

^{*}This piece is dedicated to Holger Thesleff, whose scholarship has shone as a beacon to which much of my own research has striven. Hence, it is approps that I treat the topic of ancient protreptics in the Pythagorean tradition here. This paper has benefited significantly from the audience at the Milan-Durham Workshop on Platonist Epistemology in October 2014, and I wish to thank especially Mauro Bonazzi and George Boye-Stones for suggestions.

the upper level of reality, the Forms fulfil this role through the familiar if controversial process of instantiation. ²⁰ Their place in the upper level presents only a soft *chōrismos* balanced by a *koinōnia* of sorts as reflected, for example, in the divided line (*Republic* 6,509d–511e) and in the ladder of love (*Symposium* 209e–212a). Other bridges include 'philosophy at large and dialectic in particular' with the 'Philosopher' serving, more or less playfully, as a "daimonic" intermediate' between the two levels (1999, 33 [= 2009, 417]). Yet Thesleff nominates the Forms as the 'most explicit, ambitious and famous' of Plato's 'attempts to bridge the levels and explicate their internal relations' (1999, 33 [= 2009, 418]).

In the final analysis, no matter what Thesleff says, there will still be room for disagreement on both the existence and the nature of Forms, not to mention the meaning of existence, that is, its proper definition and explication. Thesleff has not, to my knowledge, deciphered the meaning of existence in any sense, unless he has been keeping it to himself. But he has clarified the nature of Plato's Forms at least to my satisfaction. And this helps decide what to say about the existence of Forms.

Ultimately, maybe secretly, we all mean the same thing when we assert or deny the existence of something even if we disagree when we take up existence as a philosophical topic of its own.

What is most exciting about Thesleff's approach is that it expands our understanding of the existence of Forms, telling us how they exist if they exist. He is generously forthcoming about what this includes, what it does not, and what difference it makes.

Do the Forms really exist? We are still allowed to disagree about that. But not so much about why they exist, how they exist, and where they exist. Perhaps most important, we now know what to make of a world—indeed, only one—in which they do exist.

Istanbul

Works Cited

- Adam, Adela Marion (ed.). 1914. The Apology of Socrates, Cambridge.
- Adam, James (ed.). 1886. Platonis Apologia Socratis, Cambridge.
- --- (tr.). 1965 (c. 1902). The Republic of Plato, 2nd edition, Cambridge.
- Ahbel-Rappe, Sera and Rachana Kamtekar (eds.). 2006. A. Companion to Socrates, Malden.
- Alican, Necip F. 2012. Rethinking Plato: A Cartesian Quest for the Real Plato, Amsterdam-New York.
- Alican, Necip F. and Holger Thesleff. 2013. "Rethinking Plato's Forms", Arctos 47, 11-47.
- Allen, Reginald E. 1965. Studies in Plato's Metaphysics, London-New York.
- (tr.). 1934-1997. The Dialogues of Plato, 4 vols., New Haven.
- Alt, Karin. 1982. "Dieseits und Jenseits in Platons Mythen von der Seele (Teil 1)", Hermes 110, 278–99.
- Altman, William H. F. 2012. Plato the Teacher: The Crisis of The Republic, Lanham.
- Anastaplo, George. 1975. Human Being and Citizen: Essays on Virtue, Freedom and the Common Good, Chicago.
- Annas, Julia. 1981. An Introduction to Plato's Republic, Oxford.
- 1999. Platonic Ethics: Old and New, Ithaca.
- Armleder, Paul. 1966. "Death in Plato's Apologia", Classical Bulletin 42, 46.
- Aronadio, Francesco (ed.). 2008. Dialoghi spuri di Platone, Torino.
- Ast, Friedrich. 1835-1838. Lexicon Platonicum, New York. Reprinted 1956.
- Aumann, T. 2003. Kierkegaard on the Need for Indirect Communication. PhD dissertation, Indiana University.
- Ausland, Hayder: W. 2000. "Who Speaks for Whom in the Timaeus-Critias?", in Press (ed.), 183-
- ——. 2006. "Socrates' Definitional Inquiries and the History of Philosophy", in Al-bel-Rappe and Kamtekar (eds.), 493–510.
- Austin, Emily A. 2010. "Prudence and the Fear of Death in Plato's Apology", Ancient Philosophy 30, 39-55.
- Baltes, Matthias. 1997. "Is the Idea of the Good in Plato's Republic Beyond Being?", in Mark Joyal (ed.), Studies in Plato and the Platonic Tradition, London, 1-23. Reprinted in Matthias Baltes, Dianoémata. Kleine Schriften zu Platon und zum Platonismus, Stuttgart-Leipzig (1999, 351-71).
- Barnes, Jonathan. 1995. [review of] "Harold Cherniss, L'énigme de l'ancienne Académie, tr. L. Boulakia, Paris, 1993", Classical Review 45, 178.
- (cd.). 1934. The Complete Works of Aristotle, the Revised Oxford Translation, Princeton.

Note that Thesleff does not make too much of the traditional debate over 'transcendence' versus 'immanence' (both habitually kept at a distance with scare quotes), preferring instead to balance the separation of Forms (1999, 62–3 [= 2009, 446]) with their inherence in particulars (1999, 30–1 [= 2009, 414–5]). He has been coaching me privately not to be more excitable about instantiation, especially in regard to working out the mechanics and sorting out the details, than would be absolutely necessary to understand Plato (cf. Alican 2014, 39–44).

- Barnes, Jonathan and Miriam T. Griffin (eds.). 1997. Philosophia Togata II: Plato and Aristotle,
- Bastianini, Guido and David N. Sedley (eds.). 1995. [edition of the anonymous In Theaetetum in]

 Corpus dei papiri filosofici greci e latini, Commentari, 3, Firenze, 227-562.
- Beckman, James. 1979. The Religious Dimension of Socrates' Thought, Waterloo.
- Benardete, Seth. 1984. The Being of the Beautiful, Chicago.
- 1986. "On Interpreting Plato's Charmidis", Graduate Faculty Philosophy Journal 11, 9-16.
- 1997. "Plato's "Theaetetus': On the Way of the Logos", The Review of Metaphysics 51, 25-53.
- (tr.). 1993. Plato's Symposium, Chicago.
- Berger, Harry, Jr. 1984. "Facing Sophists: Socrates' Charismatic Bondage in Protagonas", Representations 5, 66–91.
- Berlin, Isaiah. 1953. The Hedgehog and the Fox: An Essay on Tolstoy's View of History, London.
- Bett, Richard. 2011. "Socratic Ignorance", in Morrison (ed.), 215-36.
- Beversluis, John. 2000. Cross-Examining Socrates: A Defense of Interlocutors in Plato's Early Dialogues, Cambridge.
- Blößner, Norbert. 1997. Dialogform und Argument, Studien zu Platons "Politeia", Stuttgart.
- Blondell, Ruby. 2002. The Play of Character in Plato's Dialogues, Cambridge.
- -----. 2006. "Where is Socrates on the 'Ladder of Love'?", in Lesher et al. (eds.), 147-78.
- Bloom, Allan. 1993. Love and Friendship, New York.
- (tr.). 1968. The Republic of Plato, New York.
- Bluck, R. S. 1975. Plato's Sophist: A Commentary. G. C. Neal (ed.), Manchester.
- Bobonich, Christopher (ed.). 2010. Plato's Laws: A Critical Guide, Cambridge.
- Bonazzi, Mauro. 2012. "Theôria and Praxis: On Plutarch's Platonism", in T. Bénatouïl and M. Bonazzi (eds.), Theôria, Praxis and the Contemplative Life after Plato and Aristotle, Leiden, 139-61.
- Bonitz, Hermann. 1870. Index Aristotelicus, Berolini.
- Bowery, Anne-Marie. 2007. "Socratic Reason and Emotion, Revisiting the Intellectualist Socrates in Plato's Protagonas", in Ann Ward (ed.), Socrates: Reason or Unreason as the Foundation of European Identity, Cambridge, 1-29.
- Boys-Stones, George, Dimitri El Murr, and Christopher Gill (eds.). 2013. The Platonic Art of Philosophy, Cambridge.
- Brancacci, Aldo, Dimitri El Murr, and Daniela Patrizia Taormina (eds.). 2010. Aglaïa: Autour de Platon. Mélanges offerts à Monique Dixsaut, Paris.
- Brickhouse, Thomas C. and Nicholas D. Smith 1986. "The Divine Sign Did Not Oppose Me': A Problem in Plato's Apology", Canadian Journal of Philosophy 16, 511–26.
- -. 1989. Socrates on Trial, Princeton.
- 1994. Plato's Socrates, New York-Oxford.

— 2004. Routledge Philosophy Guidebook to Plato and the Trial of Socrates, New York-London 175–81.
2008. "A Matter of Life and Death in Socratic Philosophy", Ancient Philosophy 9, 155-65.
Brisson, Luc. 2000. Lectures de Platon, Paris.
———. 2000–2014. Plato Bibliography. International Plato Society http://platosociety.org/plato-bibliography .
— 2002. "L'approche traditionnelle de Platon par H. F. Cherniss", in Giovanni Reale and Samuel Scolnicov (eds.), New Images of Plato: Dialogues on the Idea of the Good, Sank Augustin, 85–97.
———. 2011. "Vingt ans après", in Jean-Luc Périllié (ed.), Oralité et écriture chez Platon, Bruxelles 51~62.
2012. "Chapter 18 of the De communi mathematica scientia: Translation and Commentary" in E. Afonasin, J. Dillon, and J. F. Finamore (eds.), lamblichus and the Foundations of Lati Platonism, Leiden, 37–50.
(ed.). 2008. Platon: Œuvres complètes, Paris. Nouvelle édition, 2011.
Brown, Eric. 2004. "Minding the Gap in Plato's Republic", Philosophical Studies 117, 275-302.
Brown, Lesley (ed.). 2009. Aristotle: The Nicomachean Ethics, revision of Ross 1925, Oxford.
Brucker, Jacob. 1731. Kurtze Fragen Aus der Philosophischen Historie, Von Anfang der Welt, Biß au die Geburt Christi, mit Ausführlichen Anmerkungen erläutert, 2 vols., Ulm.
Burger, Ronna. 1984. The Phaedo: A Platonic Labyrinth, New Haven.
Burkert, Walter. 1960. "Platon oder Pythagoras? Zum Ursprung des Wortes 'Philosophie'", Herma 88, 159-77.
. 1972. Lore and Science in Ancient Pythagoreanism, Cambridge.
Burnet, John (ed.). 1900-1907. Platonis Opera, 5 vols., Oxford.
——— (ed.). 1911. Plato's Phaedo, Oxford.
(ed.). 1924. Plato's Euthyphro, Apology of Socrates, and Crito, Oxford.
Burtt, J. O. 1954. Minor Attic Orators, vol. 2: Lycurgus, Dinarchus, Demades, Hyperides, Cambridge
Bussanich, John. 2006. "Socrates and Religious Experience", in Ahbel-Rappe and Kamtekar (eds.) 200–13.
2013. "Socrates' Religious Experiences", in Bussanich and Smith (eds.), 276-300.
Bussanich, John and Nicholas D. Smith (eds.). 2013. The Bloomsbury Companion to Socrates London-New York.
NE NATIONAL PRODUCTION OF THE PRODUCT OF THE PRODUC

Byrd, Miriam. 2007. "The Summoner Approach: A New Method of Plato Interpretation", Journal

Bywater, Ingram. 1892. Contributions to the Textual Criticism of Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics,

Calef. Scott W. 1992. "Why is Annihilation a Great Gain for Socrates?", Ancient Philosophy 12,

of the History of Philosophy 45, 365-81.

285-97.

- Campbell, Lewis (ed.). 1867. The Sophistes and Politicus of Plato, Oxford. Reprinted New York 1973.
- Capra, Andrea. 2014. Plato's Four Muses: The Phaedrus and the Poetics of Philosophy, Washington.
- Capuccino, Carlotta. 2014. Phaedrus: APXH ΛΟΓΟΥ: Sui proemi platonici e il loro significato filosofico, Firenze.
- Carlini, Antonio. 1962. "Alcuni dialoghi pseudo-platonici e l'Accademia di Arcesilao", Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Lettere e di Filosofia 31, 33–63.
- (ed.). 1964. Platone: Alcibiade, Alcibiade secondo, Ipparco, Rivali, Torino.
- Carron, Paul and Anne-Marie Schultz. 2014. "The Virtuous Ensemble: Socratic Harmony and Psychological Authenticity", Southwest Philosophy Review 30, 127–36.
- Centrone, Bruno. 1990. Pseudopythagorica Ethica: I trattati morali di Archita, Metopo. Tcage, Eurifamo, Napoli.
- ------. 2000a. "La letteratura pseudopitagorica: origine, di Tusione, finalità", in G. Cerri (ed.), La letteratura pseudepigrafa nella cultura greca e romane, Napoli, 429–52.
- 2000b. "Platonism and Pythagoreanism in the Early Empire", In Christopher J. Rowe and Malcolm Schofield (eds.), The Cambridge History of Greek and Roman Political Thought, Cambridge, 559–84.
- Chambry, Émile (tr.). 1933. Platon. Œuvres complètes, 7.1: La République: Livres 4-7, Paris.
- Chance, Thomas H. 1992. Plato's Euthydemus, Berkeley.
- Charalabopoulos, Nikos G. 2012. Platonic Drama and its Ancient Reception, Cambridge.
- Chen, Ludwig C. H. 1992. Acquiring Knowledge of the Ideas, Stuttgart.
- Cherniss, Harold F. 1932. "On Plato's Republic X 597B", American Journal of Philology 53, 233-42.
- ______. 1944. Aristotle's Criticism of Plato and the Academy, Baltimore.
- Classen, Carl Joachim. 1959. Spruchliche Deutung als Triebkraft platonischen und sokratischen Philosophiereni, München.
- Clay, Diskin. 2000. Platonic Questions: Dialogues with the Silent Philosopher, University Park.
- Coby, Patrick. 1987. Socrates and the Sophistic Enlightenment, A Commentary on Plato's Protagoras, Lewisburg.
- Collins, James Henderson, II. 2015. Exhortations to Philosophy: The Protreptics of Plato, Isocrates, and Aristotle. Oxford.
- Cooper, John M. (ed.). 1997. Plato: Complete Works, Indianapolis.
- Cornelli, Gabriele. 2013. In Search of Pythagoreanism, Berlin.
- Cornelli, Gabriele, Richard McKirahan, and Constantinos Macris (eds.). 2013. On Pythagoreanism, Berlin.
- Cornford, Francis McDonald. 1971 (c. 1949). "The Doctrine of Eros in Plato's Symposium", in Gregory Vlasto: (ed.), Plato II: A Collection of Critical Essays, Notre Dame, 119–31.
- (tr.). 1937. Plato's Cosmology: The Timacus of Plato, London.
- (tr.). 1941. The Republic of Plato, London.

- Croiset, Maurice. 1953. Platon, Oeuvres complètes, Tome 1, Paris.
- Crombie, Ian MacHattie. 1963. An Examination of Plato's Doctrines, vol. 2: Plato on Knowledge and Reality, London-New York.
- Cross, R. C. and A. D. Woozley. 1964. Plato's Republic, A Philosophical Commentary, London.
- Curd, Patricia. 2004. The Legacy of Parmenides: Eleatic Monism and Later Presocratic Thought, Las Vegas.
- Curley, Edwin (tr.). 1985. The Collected Works of Spinoza, vol. 1, Princeton.
- Dalfen, Joachim. 1974. Polis und Poiesis, München.
- Damschen, Gregor. 2003. "Grenzen des Gesprächs über Ideen. Die Formen des Wissens und die Notwendigkeit der Ideen in Platons Parmenides", in Gregor Damschen, Rainer Enskat, and Alejandro G. Vigo (eds.), Platon und Aristoteles—su! ratione veritatis, Göttingen, 31–75.
- Dancy, Russell M. 2004. Plato's Introduction of Forms, Cambridge.
- De Lacy, Phillip H. and Benedict Seneca Einarson (tr.). 1967. Plutarch, Moralia, vol. XIV, Cambridge-London.
- Delcomminette, Sylvain. 2000. L'inventivité dialectique dans le Politique de Platon, Bruxelles.
- Déniz, Alcorac Alonso. 2011. "An Arcadian Toast (Harmod. FGrH 319F1)", Mnemosyne 64, 232–48.
- Denyer, Nicholas. 1983. "Plato's Theory of Stuffs", Philosophy 58, 315-27.
- 2007. "Sun and Line: The Role of the Good", in Ferrari (ed.), 284-309.
- Derrida, Jacques. 2004. "La Pharmacie de Platon", in Brisson (ed.), 257-387.
- De Sanctis, Dino. 2015. "Le scene d'incontro nel dialogo di Platone", in Mauro Tulli (ed.), Testo e forme del testo. Ricerche di filologia filosofica, Pisa-Roma, 49–88.
- Des Places, Édouard. 1966. Jamblique. Les mystères d'Égypte, Paris.
 - Destrée, Pierre and Fritz-Gregor Herrmann (eds.). 2011. Plato and the Poets, Leiden,
 - de Strycker, Émile and Simon Roelof Slings. 1994. Plato's Apology of Socrates. A Literary and Philosophical Study with a Running Commentary, Leiden-New York-Köln.
 - Détienne, Marcel (ed.). 1988. Les Savoirs de l'écriture en Grèce Ancienne, Villeneuve-d'Ascq.
 - Dillon, John. 1977. The Middle Platonists: A Study of Platonism 80 BC to AD 220, London.
 - ——. 1990. The Golden Chain. Studies in the Development of Platonism and Christianity, Aldershot.

 - ———. 2012. "Dubia and Spuria", in Gerald A. Press (ed.), The Continuum Companion to Plato, London-New York, 49–52.
 - ———. 2014. "Pythagoreanism in the Academic Tradition: The Early Academy to Numerius", in Huffman (ed.), 250-73.
 - Dirlmeier, Franz. 1962. Merkwürdige Zitate in der Eudemischen Ethik des Aristoteles, Heidelberg.

Dittmar, Heinrich. 1912. Aischincs von Sphettos: Studien zur Literaturgeschichte der Sokratiker. Untersuchungen und Fragmente, Berlin.		
Dixsaut, Monique. 2000. Platon et la question de la pensée, Paris.		
— . 2001. Métamorphoses de la dialectique dans les Dialogues de Platon, Paris.		
2013. "Macrology and Digression", in Boys-Stones et al. (eds.), 10-26.		
Dixsaut, Monique (tr.). 1991, Platon: Phédon, Paris.		
Dodds, E. R. (ed.). 1959. Plato: Gorgias: A Revised Text with Introduction and Commentary, Oxford. Revised edition, 1966.		
Dorion, Louis-André. 2010. "L'impossible autarcie du Socrate de Platon", in L. Rossetti and A. Stavru (eds.), Socratica 2008: Studie: in Ancient Socratic Literature, Bari, 137–58.		
2011. "The Rise and Fall of the Socratic Problem", in Morrison (ed.), 1-23.		
Dorter, Kenneth. 1982. Plato's Phaedo: An Interpretation, Toronto.		
1990. "Diaeresis and the tripartite soul in the Sophist", Ancient Philosophy 10, 41-61.		
2006. "The Method of Division and the Division of the <i>Phaedrus</i> ", <i>Ancient Philosophy</i> 26, 259-73.		
Duke, E. A., W. F. Hicken, W. S. M. Nicoll, D. B. Robinson, and J. C. G. Strachan (eds.). 1995. Platonis Opera, vol. 1, Euthyphro, Apologia Socratis, Crito, Phaedo, Cratylus, Sophista, Politicus, Theaetetus, Oxford.		
Ebert, Theodor. 2003. "The Role of the Frame Dialogue in Plato's Protagoras", in Aleš Havliček and Filip Karfik (eds.), Proceedings of the Third Symposium Platonicum Pragense, Prague, 9–20.		
Ehnmark, Erland. 1946. "Socrates and the Immortality of the Soul", Eranos Rudbergianus 44, 105-22.		
Eisner, Robert. 1982. "Socrates as Hero", Philosophy and Literature 6, 106-18.		
El Murr, Dimitri. 2002. "La symploké politiké: le paradigme du tissage dans le Politique de Platon, ou les raisons d'un paradigme arbitraire", Kairos 19, 49–95.		
———. 2013a. "Reflective commentary (2): appearance, reality and the desire for the good", in Boys-Stones et al. (eds.), 122–9.		
———. 2013b. "Desmos et logos: de l'opinion droite à la connaissance (Ménon, 97e-98a et Théétète, 201c-210b)", in D. El Murr (ed.), La Mesure du savoir: Études sur le Théétète, Paris, 151-71.		
2014. Savoir et gouverner. Essai sur la science politique platonicienne, Paris.		
Emlyn-Jones, C. J. and William Preddy (tr.). 2013. Republic Books 6-10, Cambridge.		
Erler, Michael. 1987. Der Sinn der Aporien in den Dialogen Platons, Berlin-New York.		
—— 2003a. [review of] "N. Blößner, Dialogform und Argument, Studien zu Platons 'Politeia', Stuttgart, 1997", Gnomon 75, 392–5.		
2003b. "To Hear the Right Thing and to Miss the Point: Plato's Implicit Poetics", in Michelini (ed.), 153-73.		

— 2007. "Platon", in H. Flashar (ed.), Die Philosophie der Antike Bd. 2,2. Grundriss der Geschichte der Philosophie. Begründet von Friedrich Ueberweg. Völlig neu bearbeitete Ausgabe, Basel.
———. 2009. "The Fox Knoweth Many Things, the Hedgehog One Great Thing: the Relation of Philosophical Concepts and Historical Contexts in Plato's Dialogues", Hermathena 187, 5–26.
2012. "Vieles weiß der Fuchs, aber eine große Sache der Igel': zum Verhältnis von philosophischer Einheit und literarischer Poikilia bei Piaton", in U. Bruchmüller (ed.), Platons Hermeneutik und Prinzipiendenken im Licht der Dialoge und der antiken Tradition: Festschrift für Thomas Alexander Szlezák zum 70. Geburtstag, Hildesheim, 99–120.
— 2013a. "Argument im Kontext: Das dritte Argument für die Eudaimonie des Gerechten in der Politeia (583b ff.) und der 'Griesgram' im Philebos (42c-44d)", in Noburu Notomi and Luc Brisson (eds.), Dialogues on Platoi Politeia (Republic): Selected Papers from the Ninth Symposium Platonicum, Sankt Augustin, 76–81.
———. 2013b. "Plasma und Historie: Platon über die Poetizität seiner Dialoge", in M. Erler and J. E. Heßler (eds.), Argument und literarische Form in antiker Philosophie. Akten des 3. Kongresses der Gesellschaft für antike Philosophie 2010, Berlin-Boston, 59–84.
forthcoming. "Crying for Help: Socrates as papposilenus in the Euthydemus", in F. de Luise, C. Moore, and A. Stavru (eds.), A Companion to Socrates and the Socratic Dialogue, Leiden.
Ferber, Rafael. 1989 (c. 1984). Platos Idee des Guten, 2nd edition, Sankt Augustin.
——. 1995. "Für eine propädeutische Lektüre des Politicus", in Christopher Rowe (ed.), III Symposium Platonicum, Sankt Augustin, 63–74.
———. 2003b. "L'idea del bene è o non è trascendente. Ancora su epekeina tës ousias", in M. Bonazzi and F. Trabattoni (eds.), Platone e la tradizione platonica. Studi di filosofia antica, Milano, 127—49.
———. 2005. "Ist die Idee des Guten nicht transzendent oder ist sie es doch? Nochmals Platons EPEKEINA TES OUSIAS", in Damit Barbarić (ed.), Platon über das Gute und die Gerechtigkeit / Plato on Goodness and Justice / Platone sul Bene e sulla Giustizia, Würzburg, 149–74.
2013. "Was jede Seele sucht und worumwillen sie alles tut", Elenchos 34, 5-31.
2015a. "Le Bien de Platon et le problème de la transcendance du Principe", in A. Gabrièle Wersinger (ed.), Séminaire de recherches sur "Les Principes: philosophie et pensée risuelle", ENS Ulm, séance du 28 Janvier.
2015b. Platos Idee des Guten, 3rd edition, Sankt Augustin.
Ferrari, G. R. F. 1992. "Platonic Love", in Richard Kraut (ed.), The Cambridge Companion to Plato, Cambridge, 248–76.
Festa, Nicola (ed.). 1891. Iamblichi De communi mathematica scientia liber, Lipsiae.
Festugière, André-Jean (tr.). 1970. Proclus. Commentaires sur la République de Platon, Paris.

- Findlay, John N. 1974. "Appendix 1: Translated Passages Illustrating Plato's Unwritten Doctrines", in Plato: The Written and the Unwritten Doctrines, London 1974, 413–54.
- Fine, Gail. 2008. "Does Socrates Claim to Know That He Knows Nothing?", Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy 35, 49-88.
- Fougères, Gustave. 1898. Mantinée et l'Arcadie orientale, Paris.
- Franklin, Lee. 2005. "Recollection and Philosophical Reflection in Plato's Phaedo", Phronesis 50, 289–314.
- Frede, Dorothea. 1996. "The hedonist's conversion", in Christopher Gill and M. M. McCabe (eds.), Form and Argument in Late Plato, Oxford.
- 1999. Phaidon: Der Traum von de: Unsterblichkeit der Seele, Darmstadt.
- ---- (tr.). 1993. Plato, Philebus, Indianapolis.
- (tr.). 1997. Platon, Philebos, Göttingen.
- Freud, Sigmund. 1911. Formulations on the Two Principles of Mental Functioning, Standard Edition vol. 12, New York, 213–26.
- 1915. The Unconscious, Standard Edition vol. 14, 159-215.
- ——. 1920. Three Essays on the Theory of Sexuality, preface to the 4th edition, Standard Edition vol. 7, 123–245.
- 1925. An Autobiographical Study. Standard Edition vol. 20, 1-74.
- ----- 1940. An Outline of Psycho-analysis, Standard Edition vol. 23, 139-207.

Fuhrmann, Manfred (tr.). 1986. Apologie des Sokrates, Stuttgart.

- Gadamer, Hans-Georg. 1969. "Schleiermacher als Platoniker", in Gesammelte Werke 4, Tübingen 1987, 374–83.
- Gaiser, Konrad. 1968 (c. 1963). "Testimonia Platonica. Quellentexte zur Schule und mündlichen Lehre Platons", in Platons ungeschriebene Lehre, 2nd edition, Stuttgart, 441–557.
- 1984. Platone come scrittore filosofico. Saggi sull'ermeneutica dei dialoghi platonici, Napoli. Reprinted in Gesammelte Schriften, Sankt Augustin 2004, 3-72.
- Gallop, David. 1963. "Plato and the Alphabet", Philosophical Review, 72, 364-76.
- Garber, Daniel and Roger Ariew (tr.). 1989. [G. W. Leibniz] Philosophical Essays, Indianapolis.
- Geach, Peter. 1956. "Good and Evil", Analysis 17, 32-42.
- Geier, Alfred. 2002. Plato's Erotic Thought: The Tree of the Unknown, Rochester.
- Gerson, Lloyd P. 2004. "Platonism and the Invention of the Problem of Universals", Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie 86, 233-56.
- 2005, Aristotle and Other Platonists, Ithaca.
- 2013, From Plato to Platonism, Ithaca.
- Giannantoni, Gabriele. 1990 (c. 1983). Socratis et Socraticorum Reliquiae. 4 vols., Napoli,
- Giannopoulou, Zina. 2001. "The 'Sophist of Noble Lineage' Revisited: Plato's Sophist 226b1-231b8", Illinois Classical Studies 26, 101-24.
- Gilead, A. 1994. The Platonic Odyssey: A Philosophical-Literary Inquiry into the Phaedo, Amsterdam.

Gill, Christopher. 1980. The Atlantis Story, Bristol.

Gill, Mary Louise. 2012. Philosophos: Plato's Missing Dialogue, Oxford.

Giuliano, Fabio Massimo. 2005. Platone e la poesia: teoria della composizione e prassi della ricezione, Sankt Augustin.

Godel, R. 1954. "Socrate et Diotime", Bulletin de l'Association Guillaume Budé 13, 3-30.

Goldschmidt, Victor. 1985 (c. 1947). Le paradigme dans la dialectique platonicienne, Paris.

Gómez Robledo, Antonio (tr.). 1971. Platón, República, México City.

Gorzalez, Francisco J. 1995. "Plato's Lysis: An Enactment of Philosophical Kinship", Ancient Philosophy 15, 69-90.

- --- 2003a. "How to Read a Platonic Prologue: Lysis 203a-207d", in Michelini (ed.), 15-44.
- 2003b. "Plato's Dialectic of Forms", in William Welton (ed.), Plato's Forms: Varieties of Interpretation, Lanham, 31-83.

Griffin, Michael J. 2015. Aristotle's Categories in the Early Roman Empire, Oxford.

Griswold, Charles L. 1986. Self-Knowledge in Plato's Phaedrus, New Haven.

- 2011. "Socrates' Political Philosophy", in Morrison (ed.), 333-54.

Groden, Suzy (tr.). 1970. The Symposium of Plato, John Brentlinger (ed.), Amherst.

Grube, G. M. A. (tr.). 1997. Apology, in Cooper (ed.), 18-36.

- ——— (tr.). 1997 (c. 1928). Republic, revised by C. D. C. Reeve, Indianapolis. Reprinted in Cooper (ed.), 972–1,223.
- Guéraud, Octave and Pierre Jouguet (eds.). 1938. Un livre d'écolier du IIIe siècle avant J.-C., Le Caire.
- Gundert, Hermann. 1952. "Die Simonides-Interpretation in Platons Protagoras", in Hermeneia: Festschrift für Otto Regenbogen zum 60. Geburtstag, Heidelberg, 71–93.
- ----- 1971. Dialog und Dialektik: Zur Struktur des Platonischen Dialogs, Amsterdam.

Hackforth, R. 1945, Plato's Examination of Pleasure, Cambridge.

Haden, James. 1997. "On the Hippias Minor. Achilles, Odysseus, Socrates", in Hart and Tejera (eds.), 143–68.

Halliwell, Stephen. 2002. The Aesthetics of Mimesis, Princeton.

Halperin, David. 1992. "Plato and the Erotics of Narrativity", in J. C. Klagge and Nicholas D. Smith (eds.), Methods of Interpreting Plato and His Dialogues. Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy, suppl. vol., Oxford, 93–129.

Hart, Richard and Victorino Tejera (eds.). 1997. Plato's Dialogues—The Dialogical Approach, Lewiston.

Heidegger, Martin. 2012. Seminare. Platon-Aristoteles-Augustinus, Frankfurt am Main.

Heindorf, Ludwig Friedrich (ed.). 1809. Platonis Phaedo, Berolini.

Heitsch, Ernst (tr.). 1997. Phaidros, Platon Werke 3.4, 2nd edition, Göttingen.

Hicks, R. D. (tr.). 1925. [Diogenes Laertius] Lives of Eminent Philosophers, 2 vols., Cambridge.

- Horky, Phillip Sidney. 2011. "Herennius Pontius: The Construction of a Samnite Philosopher", Classical Antiquity 30, 119–47.
- ----. 2013. Plato and Pythagorzanism, Oxford.
- -----. 2014. "The Peripatetics on the Pythagoreans", in Huffman (ed.), 274-95.
- Horky, Phillip Sidney and Monte Ransome Johnson. forthcoming. "On Law and Justice Attributed to Archyta: of Tarentum", in David C. Wolfsdorf (ed.), Early Greek Ethics, Oxford.
- Horneffer, August (tr.). 1955. Platon: Der Staat, Stuttgart.
- Hose, Martin. 1998. "Fragment und Kontext, zwei Methoden der Interpretation in der griechischen Literatur", in J. Holzhausen (ed.), Psyche—Seeie—Anima: Festschrift für Karin Alt zum 7. Mai 1998, Stuttgart-Leipzig, 89–112.
- Howland, Jacob. 1991. "Re-Reading Plato: The Problem of Platonic Chronology", Phoenix 45, 189–214.
- ----. 1998. The Paradox of Political Philosophy, Lanham,
- Huffman, Carl A. 2005. Archytas of Tarentum: Pythagorean, Philosopher, and Mathematician King, Cambridge.
- ----- (ed.). 2014. A History of Pythagoreanism, Cambridge.
- Humbert, Jean. 1960. Syntaxe grecque, Paris.
- Hunter, Richard. 2006. "Plato's Symposium and the Traditions of Ancient Fiction", in Lesher et al. (eds.), 295-312.
- Hutchinson, Douglas S. (tr.). 1997. Second Alcibiades, in Cooper (ed.), 596-608.
- Hutchinson, Douglas S. and Monte Ransome Johnson. forthcoming. *Iamblichus: Protrepticus*, Cambridge. Prepublication version available at www.protrepticus.info/protrepticcon2015i20.pdf.
- Hyland, Drew A. 1981. The Virtue of Philosophy. An Interpretation of Plato's Charmides, Athens.
- Inglis, Kristen. 2015. [review of] "James Warren and Frisbee C. C. Sheffield, Routledge Companion to Ancient Philosophy, 2014", Notre Dame Philosophical Reviews https://indpr.nd.edu/news/56011-the-routledge-companion-to-ancient-philosophy/.
- Ionescu, Cristina. 2007. "The Unity of the Philebus: Metaphysical Assumptions of the Good Human Life", Ancient Philosophy 27, 55-7.
- ——. 2012. "Recollection and the Method of Collection and Division in the Phaedrus", Journal of Philosophical Research 37, 1–24.
- -----. 2013. "Dialectic in Plato's Sophist: Division and the Communion of Kinds", Arethusa 46, 41-64.
- Ioppolo, Anna Maria. 1986. Opinione e scienza. Il dibattito tra Stoici e Accademici nel III e nel II secolo a.C., Napoli.
- Irwin, Terence H. 1977. Plato's Moral Theory, Oxford.
- 1995. Plato's Ethics, New York.
- Johnson, David M. (tr.). 2003. Socrates and Alcibiades: Four Texts, Newburyport.

- Johnson, Marguerite and Harold Tarrant (eds.). 2012. Alcibiades and the Socratic Lover-educator,

 London.
- Johnson, Monte Ransome. 2005. Aristotle on Teleology, Oxford.
- 2008. "Sources for the Philosophy of Archytas", Ancient Philosophy 28, 173-99.
- Joly, Henri. 1986. "Platon entre le maître d'école et le fabricant de mots: remarques sur les grammata", in H. Joly (ed.), Philosophie du langage et grammaire dans l'Antiquité, Bruxelles-Grenoble. 105-36.
- Jowett, Benjamin (tr.). 1892. The Dialogues of Plato, Oxford.
- Joyce, Michael (tr.). 1961. Symposium, in Edith Hamilton and Huntington Cairns (eds.), The Collected Dialogues of Plato, Princeton.
- Kahn, Charles. 1990. "Plato as a Socratic", in Hommage à Henri Joly. Recherches sur la philosophie et le langage 12, 233–58.
- 2010. "L'importance philosophique de la forme du dialogue pour Platon", in Brancacci et al. (eds.), 69–81.
- ——. 2013. Plato and the Post-Socratic Dialogue: The Return to the Philosophy of Nature, Cambridge.
- Kalbfleisch, C. (ed.). 1907. Commentaria in Aristotelem Graeca, vol. 8, Berlin. English tr. 2000– 2003, Ancient Commentators on Aristotle, 4 vols., London.
- Kamtekar, Rachana. 1997. "Philosophical Rule from the Republic to the Laws: Commentary on Schofield", in John J. Cleary and Gary M. Gurtler (eds.), Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy 13, 242-52.
- 2006. "Plato on the Attribution of Conative Attitudes", Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie 88, 127-62.
- Kannicht, Richard and Bruno Snell (ed.). 1971–2004. Tragicorum Graecorum Fragmenta, 5 vols., Göttingen.
- Karamanolis, George E. 2006. Plato and Aristotle in Agreement? Platonists on Aristotle from Antiochus to Porphyry, Oxford.
- Kerferd, G. B. 1954. "Plato's Noble Art of Sophistry (Sophist 226a-231b)", Classical Quarterly n.s. 4 84-90
- ——. 1986. "Le sophiste vu par Platon: un philosophe imparfait", in Barbara Cassin (ed.), Positions de la sophistique, Paris, 63–85.
- Kerchum, Jonathan. 1981. The Structure of the Platonic Dialogue, PhD dissertation, State University of New York at Buffalo.
- King, J. E. (ed.). 1927. Cicero. Tusculan Disputations, Cambridge.
- Klonoski, Richard J. 1986. "The Portico of the Archon Basileus: On the Significance of the Setting of Plato's Euthyphro", Classical Journal 81, 130-7.
- Krämer, Hans Joachim. 1959. Arete bei Platon und Aristoteles, Heidelberg.
- . 1964. Der Ursprung der Geistmetaphysik: Untersuchungen zur Geschichte des Platonismus zwischen Platon und Plotin, Amsterdam. 2nd edition, 1967.

- 2014. "Platons Definition des Guten", in H. Krämer, Gesammelte Aufiätze zu Platon, Dagmar Mirbach (ed.), Berlin, 236-40. Originally published in Denken—Gedanken—Andenken. Zum 90. Geburtstag von Elsbeth Büchin, Messkirch (2009, 135-40).
- Kraut, Richard (ed.). 1992. The Cambridge Companion to Plato, Cambridge.
- Kroll, Wilhelm (ed.). 1899–1901. Procli Disdochi in Platonis Rem publicam commentarii, 2 vols., Leipzig. Reprinted Amsterdam 1965.
- Kühn, Wilfried. 2010. "L'utilisation rhétorique de la dialectique dans le Phédre", in Brancacci et al. (eds.), 259–73.
- Lafrance, Yvon. 1987. Pour interpréter Platon: la Lign. en République VI 509d-511e; bilan analytique des études (1804-1984), Montréal.
- Lamb, W. R. M. (tt.). 1955. Charmides; Alcibiades 1 and 2; Hipparchus; the Lovers; Theages; Minos; Epinomis, London.
- Landy, Tucker. 1998. "Limitations of Political Philosophy: An Interpretation of Plato's Charmides", Interpretation 26, 183–99.
- Lane, Melissa S. 1993. Method and Politics in Plato's Statesman, Cambridge.
- Lasserre, François (ed.). 1954. "Fragments de l'Aréopagitique de Damon d'Athènes", in [Plutarch] De la Musique, Lausanne.
- Lattimore, Richard (tr.). 1961. The Iliad of Homer, Chicago.
- Lear, Gabriel Richardson. 2006. "Permanent Beauty and Becoming Happy in Plato's Symposium", in Lesher et al. (eds.), 96–123.
- Leroux, Georges (tr.). 2002. Platon, La République, Paris.
- Lesher, J. H., Debra Nails, and Frisbee C. C. Sheffield (eds.). 2006. Plato's Symposium: Issues in Interpretation and Reception, Cambridge.
- Lesser, Harry. 1982. "Style and Pedagogy in Plato and Aristotle", Philosophy 57, 388-94.
- Levett, F. M. Jane (tr.). 1990 (c. 1928). The Theaetetus of Plato, revised by Myles Burnyeat, Indianapolis. Reprinted in Cooper (ed.), 158–234.
- Levin, Saul. 1975. "Diotima's Visit and Service to Athens", Grazer Beiträge 4, 223-40.
- Löwenclau, Ilse von. 1961. Der platonische Menexenos, Stuttgart.
- Lombardo, Stanley (tr.), 1997. Lysis, in Cooper (ed.), 687-707.
- Lombardo, Stanley and Karen Bell (tr.). 1997. Protagoras, in Cooper (ed.), 746-90.
- Long, A. A. 2013. "The eelectic Pythagoreanism of Alexander Polyhistor", in Malcolm Schofield (ed.), Aristotle, Plato, and Pythagoreanism in the First Century BC: New Directions for Philosophy, Cambridge, 139–59.
- Long, Alex (tr.). 2010. Meno and Phaedo, Cambridge.
- Loraux, Nicolc. 1981. L'invention d'Athènes: Histoire de l'oraison funèbre dans la "cité classique", Paris. Nouvelle édition abrégée, augmentée d'une préface, 1993.
- ——. 1985. "Socrate, Platon, Héraklès: sur un paradigme héroïque du philosophe", in Jacques Brunschwig, Claude Imbert, and Alain Roger (eds.), Histoire et Structure: à la mémoire de Victor Goldschmidt, Paris, 93–106.

- Lucarini, Carlo M. and Claudio Moreschini (eds.). 2012. [Hermias Alexandrinus] In Platonis Phaedrum scholid. Berlin.
- Luther, Wilhelm. 1961. "Die Schwäche des geschriebenen Logos, ein Beispiel humanistischer Interpretation, versucht am sogenannten Schriftmythos in Platons Phaidros (274b6ff)", Gymnasium 68, 526–48.
- Macris, Constantinos. 2002. "Jamblique et la littérature pseudo-pythagoricienne", in S. C. Mimouni (ed.), Apocryphia, Turnhout, 77–129.
- Magris, Aldo. 1992. "Der Zweite Alkibiades, ein Wendepunkt in der Geschichte der Akademie", Grazer Beiträge 18, 47–64.
- Malcolm, John. 1991. Plato on the Self-Predication of Forms: Early and Middle Dialogues. Oxford.
- Manuwald, Bernd. 1999. Platon, Protagoras. Übersetzung und Kommentar, Göttingen.
- Marrou, Henti-Irénée. 1981 (c. 1948). Histoire de l'éducation dans l'Antiquité, vol. 1: Le monde gree, Paris.
- Matthews, G. B. 2013. "Death in Socrates, Plato, and Aristotle", in Ben Bradley and Fred Feldman (eds.), The Oxford Handbool: of Death, New York, 186–99.
- Mattingly, Harold B. 1958. 'The Date of Plato's Symposium', Phronesis 3, 31-9.
- McCabe, M. M. 2002. "Developing the Good itself by itself: Critical Strategies in Plate's Euthydemus", Plato: The Internet Journal of the International Plato Society 2. http://gramata. univ-paris1.fr/Plato/article20.html?lang=en.
- McCoy, Marina. 2008. Plato on the Rhetoric of Philosophers and Sophists, Cambridge.
- McDonald, William. 2012. "Søren Kierkegaard", in Edward N. Zalta (ed.), The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/kierkegaard/.
- McPartland, Keith. 2013. "Socratic Ignorance and Types of Knowledge", in Bussanich and Smith (eds.), 94–135.
- McPherran, Mark. L. 1996. The Religion of Socrates, University Park.
- --- (ed.). 2010. Plato's Republic: A Critical Guide, Cambridge.
- Menn, Stephen. 1998. "Collecting the Letters", Phronesis, 43, 291-305.
- Michelini, Ann N. (ed.). 2003. Plato as Author: The Rhetoric of Philosophy, Leiden.
- Miller, Mitchell. 1991. Plato's Parmenides: The Conversion of the Soul, University Park.
- ——. 1999. "Platonic Mimesis", in Thomas Falkner, Nancy Felson, and David Konstan (eds.), Contextualizing Classics: Ideology, Performance, Dialogue, Lanham, 253–66.
- ———. 2007. "Beginning the 'Longer Way'", in G. R. F. Ferrari (ed.), 310–44.
- 2010. "A More 'Exact Grasp' of the Soul? Tripartition in Republic IV and Dialectic in the Philebus", in Kurt Pritzl (ed.), Truth, Washington, 57–135.
- Möbius, Hans. 1934. "Diotima", Jahrbuch des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts 49, 46-58.
- Monro, David B. and Thomas W. Allen (eds.). 1920. Homeri Opera, 2 vols., 3rd edition, Oxford.
- Moorhouse, A. C. 1965. "A Use of ΟΥΔΕΙΣ and ΜΗΔΕΙΣ", Classical Quarterly, n.s. 15, 31-40.
- Moraux, Paul. 1984. Der Aristotelismus bei den Griechen. Von Andronikos bis Alexander von

- Aphrodisias, Bd. 2: Der Aristotelismus im I. and II. Jh. n. Chr. Berlin.
- Morgan, K. A. 2004. "Plato", in Irene de Jong, René Nünlist, and Angus Bowie (eds.), Narrators, Narratees, and Narratives in Ancient Greek Literature. Studies in Ancient Greek Narrative, vol. 1, Leiden.
- Morrison, Donald R. (ed.). 2011. The Cambridge Companion to Socrates, Cambridge.
- Moss, Jessica. 2014. "Right Reason in Plato and Aristotle: On the Meaning of Logos", Phronesis 59, 181-230.
- Most, Glenn W. 1994. "Simonides' Ode to Scopas in Contexts", in I. J. F. de Jong and J. P. Sullivan (eds.), Modern Critical Theory and Classical Liverature, Leiden, 127–52.
- Mourelatos, Alexander P. D. 1983. "Nothing' as 'Not-Being': Some Literary Contexts that Bear on Plato", in J. P. Anton and A. Preuss (eds.), Essays in Ancient Greek Philosophy, vol. 2, Albany, 59-69.
- Müller, G. 1975. "Das sokratische Wissen des Nichtwissens in den platonischen Dialogen", in K. Vourveris and A. Skiadas (eds.), Dorema: Hans Diller zum 70. Geburtstag, Athens, 147–73. Reprinted in Platonische Studien, Heidelberg 1986, 7–33.
- Mulhern, John J. 1968. "ΤΡΟΠΟΣ and ΠΟΛΥΤΡΟΠΙΑ in Plato's Hippias Minor", Phoenix 22, 283-88.
- -----. 2000. "Interpreting the Platonic Dialogues: What Can One Say?" in Press (ed.), 221-34.
- Murley, Clyde, 1555. "Techniques of Modern Fiction in Plato", Classical Journal 50, 281-7.
- Murphy, David. 2010. "Do Plato's Characters Commit the 'Plato Says Fallacy'? With Apologies to J. J. Mulhern", Annual Meeting, Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy, New York, October 17, 2010.
- Nails, Debra. 1993. "Problems with Vlastos' Developmentalism", Ancient Philosophy 13, 273-91.
- ______. 2002. The People of Plato, a Prosopography of Plato and Other Socratics, Indianapolis.
- ______. 2006. "Tragedy Off-Stage", in Lesher et al. (ecis.), 179-207.
- Nails, Debra and Holger Thesleff. 2003. "Early Academic Editing: Plato's Laws", in Samuel Scolnicov and Luc Brisson (eds.), Plato's Laws. From Theory into Practice, Sankt Augustin, 14–29.
- Nehamas, Alexander. 1992. "What Did Socrates Teach and to Whom Did He Teach It?", Review of Metaphysics 46, 279–306.
- Nehamas, Alexander and Paul Woodruff (tr.). 1997 (c. 1989). Symposium, in Cooper (ed.), 457-505.
- Neschke-Hentschke, Ada. 2012. "Platonexegese und allgemeine Hermeneutik (mit einem Methodenbeispiel zu Platon, Politeia VI, 509b8-b10: ... epekeina tés ousias presbeia kai dynamei hyperechontos)", in M. Erler and A. Neschke-Hentschke (eds.), Argumenta in Dialogos Platonis 2: Platoninterpretation und ihre Hermeneutik vom 19. bis zum 21. Jahrhundert. Akten des Internationalen Kolloquiums vom 7. bis 9. Februar 2008 im Istituto Svintero di Roma, Basel, 1-49.

Nesselrath, Heinz-Günther. 2006. Platon: Kritias, Göttingen.

- Nietzsche, Friedrich. 1994. "Über das Verhältniß der Rede des Alcibiades zu den übrigen Reden des platonischen Symposions", in Hans Joachim Mette (ed.), Frühe Schriften 2: Jugendschriften 1861–1864, München, 421–24.
- ——. 1995. "Vorlesungsaufzeichnungen (WS 1871/72-WS 1874/75)", in Fritz Bornmann and Mario Carpirella (eds.), Kritische Gesamtausgabe 2.4, Berlin.
- Nightingale, Andrea W. 1995. Genres in Dialogue, Plato and the Construct of Philosophy, Cambridge.
- Notomi, Noburu. 1999. The Unity of Plato's Sophist: Between the Sophist and the Philosopher, Cambridge.
- Nussbaum, Martha C. 1986. Fragility of Goodness: Luck and Ethics in Greek Tragedy and Philosophy, Cambridge.
- . 1997. Cultivating Humanity, Cambridge.
- Oscanyan, Frederick S. 1973. "On Six Definitions of the Sophist: Sophist 221c-231e", Philosophical Forum 4, 241-59.
- Ostenfeld, Erik. 2000. "Who Speaks for Plato? Everyone!", in Press (ed.), 211-20.
- Pachet, Pierre (tr.). 1993. République. du régime politique, Paris.
- Painter, Corinne. 2005. "In Defense of Socrates: The Stranger's Role in Plato's Sophist", Epoché. A Journal for the History of Philosophy 9, 317–33.
- Pangle, Thomas L. (ed.). 1987. The Roots of Political Philosophy. Ten Forgotten Socratic Dialogues, Ithaca.
- Partenie, Catalin (ed.). 2009. Plato's Myths, Cambridge.
- Paton, H. J. (tr.). 1948. [Immanuel Kant] Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals, London-New York.
- Patterson, Richard. 1993. "The Ascent in Plato's Symposium", in John J. Cleary (ed.), Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy 7, 193–214.
- Pender, Elizabeth E. 2000. Images of Persons Unseen: Plato's Metaphors for the God: and the Soul, Sankt Augustin.
- ——. 2003. "Plato on Metaphors and Models", in George R. Boys-Stones (ed.), Metaphor, Allegory, and the Classical Tradition, Oxford.
- Penner, Terry. 2006. "The Forms and the Sciences in Socrates and Plato", in Hugh H. Benson (ed.), A Companion to Plato, Oxford, 184–98.
- Peterson, Sandra. 2011. Socrates and Philosophy in the Dialogues of Plato, Cambridge.
- Petraki, Zacharoula. 2011. The Poetics of Philosophical Language, Berlin.
- Pistelli, H. (ed.). 1888. lamblichus, Protrepticus, Lipsiae.
- Plax, Martin J. 2000. "Crito in Plato's Euthydemus: The Lover of Family and of Money", Polis 17, 35-59.
- Pomeroy, Sarah B. 2013. Pythagorean Women: their History and their Writings, Baltimore.
- Popper, Karl R. 1966 (c. 1945). The Open Society and Its Enemies, vol. 1: The Spell of Plato, London.

- Poster, Carol. 1998. "The Idea(s) of Order", Phoenix 53, 282-98.
- Press, Gerald A. 1996. "The State of the Question in the Study of Plato", Southern Journal of Philosophy 34, 507-32.
- ——. 2012. "Early, Middle, and Late Platonic Provocation: Comments on Miriam Byrd's 'When the Middle Comes Early'", in Gary M. Gurtler and William Wians (eds.), Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy 28, 210–16.
- ---- (ed.). 1993. Plato's Dialogues: New Studies and Interpretations, Lanham.
- ----- (ed.). 2000. Who Speaks for Plato? Studies in Platonic Anonymity, Lanham.
- Raeder, Hans. 1905. Platons philosophische Entwickelung, Leipzig.
- Rcale, Giovanni. 1990. The Schools of the Imperial Age: A History of Ancient Philosophy, J. R. Catan (ed.), Albany.
- Reeve, C. D. C. 2010. "Blindness and Reorientation: Education and the Acquisition of Knowledge in the Republic", in McPherran (ed.), 209–28.
- --- (tr.). 2004. Plato, Republic, Indianapolis.
- Renaud, François. 2001. "La rhétorique socratico-platonicienne dans le Gorgias (447a-461b)", Philosophie Antique 1, 65-86.
- Renaud, François and Harold Tarrant. 2015. The Platonic Alcibiades 1: the Dialogue and its Ancient Reception, Cambridge.
- Richard, Marie-Dominique. 2005 (c. 1986). "Témoignages", in L'enseignement oral de Platon, 2nd edition, Paris, 243–380.
- Rickless, Samuel C. 2010. "Plato's Definitions of Sophistry", Ancient Philosophy 30, 289-98.
- Riddell, James (ed.). 1867. The Apology of Plato, Oxford.
- Riedweg, Christoph. 2002. Pythagoras. Leben, Lehre, Nachwirkung, München.
- Robin, Léon. 1908. La théorie platonicienne des idées et des nombres d'après Aristote, Paris. Reprinted Hildesheim 1963.
- -----. 1950. Platon, Oeuvres complètes, Paris.
- Robinson, Richard. 1953 (c. 1941). Plato's Earlier Dialectic, Oxford.
- Rodier, Georges. 1926. Études de philosophie grecque, Paris.
- Romano, Francesco (ed.). 1995. Il numero e il divino, Milano.
- Roochnik, David L. 1985. "Apology 40c4-41e7: Is Death Really a Gain?", Classical Journal 80, 212-20
- Rosen, Stanley. 1995. Plato's Statesman: The Web of Politics, New Haven.
- Ross, David. 1922. "Fitzgerald's Canon", Classical Review 36, 194-5.
- (ed.). 1957. Aristotelis Politica, Oxford.
- (tr.). 1925. The Nicomachean Ethics of Aristotle, London.
- Rossetti, Livio (ed.). 1992. Understanding the Phaedrus. Proceedings of the Second Symposium Platonicum, Berlin.

Nowe, Christopher J. 1992. La data telativa del Festo, in Rossetti (ed.), 51-59.
———. 1997. "Why is the Ideal Athens of the 'Timaeus-Critias' not ruled by Philosophers?", Méthexis 10, 51-7.
——. 1999. "Socrates and Diotima: Eros, Immortality, and Creativity", in John J. Cleary and Gary M. Gurtler (eds.), Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy 14, 239–59.
. 2001. "The Concept of Philosophy ('philosophia')", in A. Havliček and F. Karfík (eds.), Plato's Phaedo: Proceedings of the Second Symposium Platonicum Pragense, Praha, 34–47.
2004. "The Case of the Missing Philosophers in Plato's Timaeus-Critias", Würzburger Jahrbücher für die Altertumswissenschaft 28b, 57-70.
- 2007a. Plato and the Art of Philosophical Writing, Cambridge.
———. 2007b. "La concezione dell'anima in Repubblica IV. Che cosa manca esattamente alla 'via più breve' (435c-d)?", in Maurizio Migliori et al. (eds.), Interiorità e anima. La psychè in Platone, Milano, 245-53.
———. forthcoming. "The Four Republics", in Suzanne Husson and Isabelle Koch (eds.), The Three Republics: Plato, Diogenes, Zeno.
(ed.). 1993. Plato: Phaedo, Cambridge.
(tr.), 1986. Plato: Phaedrus, Warminster.
——— (tr.). 1995. Plato: Statesman, Indianapolis.
(tr.). 1998. Plato: Symposium, Warminster.
(tr.). 2015. Plato: Theaetetus and Sophist, Cambridge.
Rudebusch, George. 1999. Socrates, Pleasure, and Value, New York-Oxford.
Ryle, Gilbert. 1960. "Letters and Syllables in Plato", Philosophical Review 69, 431-51.
Sánchez-Elvira, Mariño, Salvador Mas Torres, and Fernando García Romero (eds.). 2009. <i>Platón:</i> La República, Madrid.
Santa Cruz, María Isabel. 1992. "Division et Dialectique dans le Phèdre", in Rossetti (ed.), 253-6.
Santas, Gerasimos. 1988. Plato and Freud: Two Theories of Love, Oxford.
——. 2010. Understanding Plato's Republic, Malden.
Saunders, Trevor J. (tr.). 1970. The Laws, Harmondsworth.
Sauppe, Hermann. 1839. "Appendix Critica", in G. Baiter et al. (eds.), Platonis opera quae feruntur omnia, Zūrich.
Schleiermacher, Friedrich. 1804. "Einleitung", in Platons Werke 1.1, Berlin, 5-36.
——. 1836. Introductions to the Dialogues of Plato. Tr. William Dobson, London. Reprinted New York 1973.
1862 (c. 1828). Platons Werke 3.1: Der Staat, 2nd edition, Berlin.
(tr.). 1957 (c. 1925). Platons sämtliche Werke in zwei Bänden, Hamburg.

Schlosser, Joel A. 2014. What Would Socrates Do? Self-Examination, Civic Engagement, and the

Politics of Philosophy, Cambridge.

Possessi (ad) 21 200

- Schönberger, Otto (ed.). 1984. Aufruf zur Philosophie. Erste deutsche Gesamtübersetzung. Mit zweisprachiger Ausg. von Ciceros Hortensius, Würzburg.
- Schofield, Malcolm. 1999. "The Disappearing Philosopher-King", in M. Schofield, Saving the City, London-New York, 31-50. Revision of "The Disappearance of the Philosopher King", in John J. Cleary and Gary M. Gurtler (eds.), Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy 15, 213-41.
- Schultz, Anne-Marie. 2013. Plato's Socrates as Nurrator: A Philosophical Muse. Lanham.
- ——. 2015. "Socrates on Socrates: Looking Back to Bring Philosophy Forward", in William Wians and Gary M. Gurtler, (eds.), Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy 30, 123–41.
- Scodel, Harvey Ronald. 1987. Diaeresis and Myth in Plato's Statesman, Göttingen.
- Scott, Gary Alan. 2000. Plato's Socrates as Educator, Albany.
- ---- (ed.). 2007. Philosophy in Dialogue: Plato's Many Devices, Evanston.
- Sedley, David. 1995. "The Dramatis Personae of Plato's Phaedo", in T. Smiley (ed.), Philosophical dialogues: Plaso, Hume, Wittgenstein, Oxford, 3-26.
- ——. 1997. "Plazo's Auctoritas and the Rebirth of the Commentary Tradition", in Barnes and Griffin (eds.), 110–29.
- ——. 1999. "The Ideal of Godlikeness", in Gail Fine (ed.), Plato 2. Ethics, Politics, Religion, and the Soul, Onford, 309-28.
- . 2004. The Midwife of Platonism, Text and Subtext in Plato's Theaetetus, Oxford.
- 2012. "The theoretikos bios in Alcinous", in T. Bénatouïl and M. Bonazzi (eds.), Theòria, Praxis and the Contemplative Life after Plato and Aristotle, Leiden, 163–81.
- ———. 2013. "Socratic Intellectualism in the Republic's Central Digression", in Boys-Stones et al. (eds.), 70–89.
- Sharpe, Matthew. 2012. "Revaluing Megalopsuchia: Reflections on the Alcibiades II", in Johnson and Tarrant (eds.), 134–46.
- Sheffield, Frisbee C. C. 2006. Plato's Symposium, Oxford.
- Shorey, Paul (tr.). 1970 (c. 1935). Plato, The Republic, Cambridge-London.
- Smyth, Herbert Weir. 1963 (c. 1920). Greek Grammar, Cambridge.
- Solmsen, Friedrich (ed.). 1970. Hasiodi Theogonia [and] Opera et Dies [and] Scutum, Oxford.
- Souilhé, Jean (tr.). 1930. Platon, Oeuvres complètes, Paris.
- Sprague, Rosamond Kent. 1962. Plato's Use of Fallacy, London.
- ----. 1995. "Platonic Jokes and Philosophical Points", in Sigfried Jäkel and Asko Timonen (eds.), Laughter Down the Centuries, vol. 1, Turku, 53-58.
- ---- (tr.). 1997. Charmides, in Cooper (ed.), 630-63.
- ---- (tr.). 1997. Euthydzmus, in Cooper (ed.), 708-45.
- Stallbaum, Gottfried. 1834. Platonis Opera Omnia, vol. V sect. I continens Lachetem, Charmidem, Alcibiadem utrumque, Gothae.
- 1858. Platonis Apologia et Crito, Gothac.

- Stanford, William Bedell (ed.). 2003 (c. 1958, 1959). Homer. Odyssey, 2 vols., London.
- Starr, David E. 1974. "The Sixth Sophist: Comments Oscanyan 1973", Philosophical Forum 5, 486–92.
- Stavru, Alessandro. 2013, "The Present State of Socratic Studies: An Overview", in Fulvia de Luise and A. Stavru (eds.), Socratica III, Sankt Augustin, 11–26.
- Stavru, Alessandro and Livio Rossetti. 2010. "Introduction", in L. Rossetti and A. Stavru (eds.), Socratica 2008: Studies in Ancient Socratic Literature, Bari, 137-58.
- Steinbock, Bernd. 2013. Social Memory in Athenian Public Discourse: Uses and Meanings of the Past, Ann Arbot.
- Stokes, Michael. C. (tr.) 1997. Plato. Apology of Socrates, Warminster.
- Stolpe, Jan (tr.). 1992. Om kärleken och döden (Gästabudet, Sokrates försvarstal, Faidon), Lund.
- ----- (tr.). 2000-2009. Platon: Skrifter 1-6, Stockholm.
- Strauss, Leo 1970. "On the Euthydemus", Interpretation 1, 1-20.
- Svenbro, Jesper. 1988. Phrasikleia: Anthropologie de la Lecture en Grèce ancienne, Paris.
- Szlezák, Thomas Alexander. 1972. Pseudo-Archytas über Die Kategorien: Texte zur griechischen Aristoteles-Exegese, Berlin.
- 1978. "Dialogform und Esoterik. Zur Deutung des platonischen Dialogs Phaidros", Museum Helveticum 35, 18–32.
- ———. 1992 (c. 1985). Platon und die Schriftlichkeit der Philosophie. 3rd edition, Milano. First edition, Berlin-New York.
- 1997. "Schleiermachers 'Einleitung' zur Übersetzung von 1804. Ein Vergleich mit Tiedemann und Tennemann", Antike und Abendland 43, 46–62.
- 2001 "L'Idée du Bien en tant qu'archê dans la République de Platon", in Michel Fattal (ed.), La Philosophie de Platon, Paris, 345-74.
- ——. 2004a. "Friedrich Schleiermacher und das Platonbild des 19. und 20. Jahrhunderts", in Jan Rohls and Gunther Wenz (eds.), Protestantismus und deutsche Literatur, Göttingen, 125–44.
- ———. 2004b. Platon und die Schriftlichkeit der Philosophie, vol. 2: Das Bild des Dialektikers in Platons späten Dialogen, Berlin-New York.
- ------. 2005. "Platonische Dialektik. Der Weg und das Ziel", Perspektiven der Philosophie, Neues Jahrbuch 31, 289–319.
- -----. 2008. "Platons Gründe für philosophische Zurückhaltung in der Schrift", in Francesca Alesse et al. (eds.), Anthropine sophia. Studi di filologia e storiografia filosofica in memoria di Gabriele Giannantoni, Napoli, 227–36.
- ——. 2010. "Von Brucker über Tennemann zu Schleiermacher. 'Eine folgenreiche Umwälzung in de: Geschichte der neuzeitlichen Platondeutung", in Ada Neschke-Hentschke (ed.), Argumenta in dialogos Platonis, Teil 1, Basel, 412–33.
- Tarrant, Harold. 1985. Scepticism or Platonism? The Philosophy of the Fourth Academy, Cambridge.
- ----. 1993. Thrasyllan Platonism, Ithaca.
- -----. 1996. "Orality and Plato's Narrative Dialogues", in Ian Worthington (ed.), Voice into Text,

Leiden, 129-47. - 2000. Plato's First Interpreters, Ithaca. ----. 2003. "Plato's Euthydemus and a Platonist Education Program", Dionysius 21, 7-22. - 2010. "The Theaetetus as a Narrative Dialogue", Australian Society for Classical Studies Proceedings 31, 1-17. Tarrant, Harold and Terry Roberts. 2012. "Appendix 2: Report of the Working Vocabulary of the Doubtful Dialogues", in Johnson and Tarrant (eds.), 223-36. Taylor, Alfred Edward (tr.). 1961. Plato: The Sophist and the Statesman, London-New York. Taylor, C. C. W. 1998. Socrates, Oxford. Tegnér, Esaias. 1913. "Plato och poesien", Filosofiska och estetiska skrifter, A. Nilsson and B. Möller (eds.), Stockholm, 409-23. Tejera, Victorino. 1984. Plato's Dialogues One by One. A Structural Interpretation, New York. Tennemann, Wilhelm Gottlieb. 1792-1795. System der Platonischen Philosophie, 4 vols., Leipzig. --- 1799. Geschichte der Philosophie, vol. 2, Leipzig. Thesleff, Holger 1961. An Introduction to the Pythagorean Writings of the Hellenistic Period, Abo. - 1965. The Pythagorean Texts of the Hellenistic Period, Abo. - 1967. Studies in the Styles of Plato, Helsinki. Reprinted in Thesleff 2009, 1-142. - 1976, "The Date of the Pseudo-Platonic Hippias Major", Arctos 10, 105-17. the Institute of Classical Studies 25, 157-70. - 1982. Studies in Platonic Chronology, Helsinki. Reprinted in Thesleff 2009, 143-382. 1989. "Platonic Chronology", Phronesis 34, 1-26. ------ 1993. "Looking for Clues: An Interpretation of Some Literary Aspects of Plato's "Two-Level Model", in Press (ed.), 17-45. - 1997. "The Early Version of Plato's Republic", Arctos 31, 149-74, Reprinted in Thesleff 2009, 519-40, - 1999. Studies in Plato's Two-Level Model, Helsinki. Reprinted in Thesleff 2009, 383-506. -----. 2002. "Plato and His Public", in B. Amden et al. (eds.), Noctes Atticae, Copenhagen, 289-301. Reprinted in Thesleff 2009, 541-50. Reprinted in Thesleff 2009, 551-6. - 2009. Platonic Patterns: A Collection of Studies by Holger Thesleff, Las Vegas. Tiedemann, Dieterich. 1791. Geist der spekulativen Philosophie, Bcl. 2, Marburg. Tietzel, Heinrich. 1894. "Die Idee des Guten in Platos Staat und der Gottesbegriff", in Programm des Königlichen Gymnasiums zu Wetzlar für das Schuljahr von Ostern 1893 bis Ostern 1894, Tigerstedt, E. N. 1974. The Decline and Fall of the Neoplatonic Interpretation of Plato, Helsinki. -. 1977. Interpreting Plato, Stockholm.

Tomin, Julius. 1997. "Plato's First Dialogue", Ancient Philosophy 17, 31-45." Tredennick, Hugh and Harold Tarrant (eds.). 1993. The Last Days of Socrates, London-New York. Trevaskis, J. R. 1955. "The Sophistry of Noble Lineage (Sophist 230a5-232b9)", Phronesis 1, 36-49. Tuana, Nancy (ed.). 1994. Feminist Interpretations of Plato, University Park. Tulli, Mauro, 2007. "Il Gorgia e la lira di Anfione", in Michael Erler and Luc Brisson (eds.), Gorgias-Menon: Selected Papers from the Seventh Symposium Platonicum, Sankt Augustin, 72-77. pensoso: un seminario per Graziano Arrighetti sulla coscienza letteraria dei Greci, Pisa-Roma, Tuozzo, Thomas A. 2011. Plato's Charmides: Positive Elenchus in a "Socratic" Dialogue, Cambridge. Ulacco, Angela, forthcoming. "The Creation of Authority in Pseudo-Pythagorean Texts and their Reception in Late Ancient Philosophy", in J. Papy and E. Gielen (eds.), Falsifications and Authority in Antiquity, the Middle Ages and the Renaissance, Leuven. Usacheva, Anna. 2010. "Concerning the Date of Plato's Phaedrus", Hermathena 189, 53-70. Valgimigli, Manara (tr.). 2012 (c. 1931). Apologia di Socrate, Critone, Bari. Van Harten, A. 2011. "Socrates on Life and Death (Plato, Apology 40c5-41c7)", The Cambridge Classical Journal n.s. 57, 165-83. van Lennep, Joannes Daniel. 1777. Phalaridos Epistolai, Groningae. Vegetti, Mario, 1999, "L'autocritica di Platone: il Timeo e le Leggi", in M. Vegetti and M. Abbate (eds.), La Repubblica di Platone nella tradizione antica, Napoli, 13-27. -. 2007. "Glaucone e i misteri della dialettica", in Francisco L. Lisi (ed.), The Ascent to the Good, Sankt Augustin, 161-71. - (tr.). 2007. Platone, Repubblica, Milano. Vlastos, Gregory. 1954. "The Third Man Argument in the Parmenides", Philosophical Review 64, 319-49. - 1983. "The Socratic Elenchus", Ancient Philosoph, 1, 27-58. - 1991. Socrates: Ironist and Moral Philosopher, Cambridge. - 1994. Socratic Studies, Cambridge. Wardy, Robert. 1996. The Birth of Rhetoric: Gorgias, Plato, and their Successors, New York. Wareh, Tarik. 2012. The Theory and Practice of Life: Isocrates and the Philosophers, Cambridge. Waterfield, Robin, 2013. "The Ouest for the Historical Socrates", in Bussanich and Smith (eds.), Weinstein, Joshua I. 2009. "The Market in Plato's Republic", Classical Philology 104, 439-58. Weiss, Roslyn. 1998. Socrates Dissatisfied: An Analysis of Plato's Crito. Oxford ----- 2006. The Socratic Paradox and Its Enemies, Chicago. Welton, William A. (ed.). 2002. Plato's Form: Varieties of Interpretation, Lanham.

346 WORKS CITED

Werner, Daniel. 2007. "Plato's Phacdrus and the Problem of Unity", Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy 32, 91-137.

Wersinger, Anne-Gabrièle. 2012. "La voix d'une 'savante': Diotime de Mantinée dans le Banquet de Platon (201d–212b)", Cahiers "Mondes Anciens" 3 http://mondesanciens.revues.org/816.

West, Martin L. (ed.). 1971, 1972. Iambi et elegi Graeci ante Alexandrum cantati, 2 vols., Oxford.

White, Nicholas P. 1979. A Companion to Plato's Republic, Indianapolis.

---- (tr.). 1993. Sophist. Reprinted in Cooper (ed.), 236-93.

Whiting, Jennifer. 2011. "Psychic Contingency in the Republic", in Rachel Barney, Tad Brennan, and Charles Brittain (eds.). Plato and the Divided Self. New York. 174–208.

Wieland, Wolfgang. 1982. Platon und die Formen de: Wissens, Göttingen.

Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, Ulrich von. 1897. "Die Xenophontische Apologie", Hermes 32, 99– 106.

Wolfsdorf, David C. forthcoming. "The Historical Socrates", in Cambridge Companion to Ancient Ethics, Cambridge.

Woolf, Raphael. 2014. "Plato on Philosophical Method: Enquiry and Definition", in Frisbee C. C. Sheffield and James Watten (eds.), The Routledge Companion to Ancient Philosophy, New York, 143-56.

Yunis, Harvey (ed.). 2011. Plato: Phaedrus, Cambridge.

Zhmud, Leonid. 2012. Pythagoras and the Early Pythagoreans, Oxford.

Zuckert, Catherine. 2009. Plato's Philosophers: The Coherence of the Dialogues, Chicago.

Index Locorum

Ancient sources are indexed here, medieval and modern sources in the General Index. As a rule, comprehensive citations are listed before their internal segments (e.g. R. 6,508d–509b, followed by 6,508e5–509a2, and 6,509a1). If several adjacent phrases or terms are considered in short succession, they are usually combined in the index entry.

AESCHYLUS (Aesch.)	31.32-32.5 Thesleff 29n
Persians (Pr.)	32.10-14 Thesleff 32n
889 217n	On the Virtuous and Happy Man
Aërrus (Ačt.)	11.23-26 Thesleff 30n
5.20.4 28n	On Wisdom
ALBINUS (Alb.)	fr. 1 (= 43.25-44.3 Thesleff) 25-26,
Isagoze (I.)	52
1 51	fr. 2 (= 44.5-15 Thesleff) 27-28, 38
ALCINOUS (Alcin.)	fr. 3 (= 44.17-20 Thesleff) 29-31, 38
Didaskalikos (Didask.)	fr. 4 (= 44.22-28 Thesleff) 31, 34, 38
152.5-6 35n	fr. 5 (= 44.31-45.4 Thesleff) 34,
152.30-153.1 30n	36-37, 38
153.3-9 33п	fr. 6 39
153.43-154.5 36	ARISTOPHANES (Aristoph.)
Anonymous (Anon.)	Acharnians (Ach.)
Commentary on Plato's Theaetetus (Comm.)	237–279 57n
3.28-4.17 Bastianini-Sedley 51	Clouds (Nub.)
ANTIOCHUS OF ASCALON (Antioch. Hist.)	76 57
F 9 Sedley 30n	153 56
Archilochus (Archil.)	1510 xi
ft. 201 West 41, 51	Thesmophoriazusae (Th.)
Archytas (Archyt.)	101-175 45
fr. 12 Huffman = Aristotle, Metaphysics	1227 104n
1043a14-26 26	Wasps (Ves.)
fr. 22 Huffman = Aristotle, Rhetoric	159-160 56
1412a9-17 26	161 56
PSEUDO-ARCHYTAS (ps-Archyt.)	ARISTOTLE (Aristot.)
On Intelligence and Perception	De caelo (Cael.)
38.10-12 Thesleff 29n	268a10-13 37n
On Law and Justice	Eudemian Ethics (EE)
33.3-6 Thesleff 26	1218a5-32 225n
On the Universal Logos/On the Categories/	1221a21-23 221n
Concerning the Whole System	1230b19 221n
22.8-11 Thesleff 28n	Metaphysics (Metaph.)
31.30-32.23 Thesleff 23n, 28n	981b30-982a20 29n