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#### Abstract

An infra-red fixed point of $\mathcal{N}=1$ super-Yang-Mills theory is believed to be dual to a solution of five-dimensional gauged $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity. We test this conjecture at next to leading order in the large $N$ expansion by computing bulk one-loop corrections to the anomaly coefficient $a-c$. The one-loop corrections are non-zero for all values of the bulk mass, and not just special ones as claimed in previous work.


There have been many successful tests of Maldacena's conjecture [1] that IIB string theory compactified on $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ is dual to $\mathcal{N}=4$ super-Yang-Mills theory with gauge group $S U(N)$ on the boundary of $A d S_{5}$. This has led to extensive studies of other conjectured holographic dualities.

A particularly interesting possibility is that an infra-red fixed point of massive $\mathcal{N}=1$ super-Yang-Mills theory can be described by a solution of five-dimensional gauged $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity, [2], [3], [4]. The purpose of the present letter is to test this correspondence at next to leading order in the large- $N$ expansion.

The $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ compactification pertinent to Maldacena's original conjecture is constructed by assuming that in the field theory limit only the metric and five-form field strength have non-zero vacuum expectation values. Kaluza-Klein decomposition of the fields on $S^{5}$ gives an infinite number of supermultiplets of $U(2,2 / 4)$ propagating in $A d S_{5}$. Assuming that this theory can be consistently truncated to its 'massless' multiplet leads to a description in terms of five-dimensional gauged $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity [6], [7]. Allowing certain scalars also to be non-zero introduces mass terms into the boundary theory and breaks $\mathcal{N}=4$ down to $\mathcal{N}=1$. This deforms the $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ structure of the bulk theory, but when the scalars are at the critical point of their potential the bulk spacetime is again $A d S_{5}$ and so the boundary theory must be conformally invariant. It is thought to be the infra-red fixed point of the renormalisation group flow driven by the mass terms. Evidence for this comes from a comparison of symmetries in the bulk and boundary theories, and a comparison of the results of tree-level computations in the bulk theory, (valid to leading order in large $N$ ) with exactly computable quantities in the boundary theory. For example the bulk tree-level mass spectrum has been compared with scaling dimensions in the boundary theory [2], and the trace anomaly coefficients of the boundary theory (conventionally called $a$ and $c$ ) have been correctly reproduced to leading order in $N$ using the saddle-point method of [8] in the bulk theory [2], [7], [13]. In this letter we show that the latter test holds also at the next order in the large- $N$ expansion. We consider only the combination $a-c$, as was done for the Maldacena conjecture itself in [9].

When a four dimensional gauge theory is coupled to a non-dynamical, external metric, $g_{i j}$, the Weyl anomaly, $\mathcal{A}$, is the response of the logarithm of the partition function, $F\left[g_{i j}\right]$, to a scale transformation of that metric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta F=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{g} \delta \rho\left\langle T_{i}^{i}\right\rangle=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{g} \delta \rho \mathcal{A}, \quad \delta g_{i j}=\delta \rho g_{i j}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $T_{i j}$ the stress-tensor. On general grounds $\mathcal{A}$ must be a linear combination of the Euler density, $E$, and the square of the Weyl tensor, $I$, so $\mathcal{A}=a E+c I$. The coefficients $a, c$ are known exactly both for the $\mathcal{N}=4$ gauge theory that is the subject of Maldacena's conjecture and for the infra-red fixed point of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ theory. In both cases $a=c$. The numerical values of $a$ and $c$ are reproduced to leading order in large $N$ by tree-level calculations in the appropriate bulk supergravity theories using just the Einstein-Hilbert part of the action. In [9] it was shown that for the Maldacena conjecture $a=c$ continued to hold at next to leading order in $N$ when bulk one-loop effects contribute for each of the fields in the supergravity theory. The results of [9] can be summarised in the statement that to this order $a-c$ is proportional to $\Phi \equiv \sum(\Delta-2) \alpha$, where $\Delta$ depends on the
mass of the bulk field and coincides with the scaling dimension of the boundary Green's function and $\alpha$ is a numerical coefficient occuring in the short-distance expansion of an appropriate heat-kernel. The sum runs over all the fields in the bulk theory. The values of $\alpha$ are: 1 for a real scalar, $\phi ; 7 / 2$ for a complex spinor, $\chi ;-11$ for a vector, $A_{\mu} ; 33$ for a two-form, $B_{\mu \nu} ;-219 / 2$ for a complex Rarita-Schwinger field, $\psi_{\mu}$; and 189 for a symmetric second rank tensor, $h_{\mu \nu}$. Faddeev-Popov ghosts must be included when there is a gauge symmetry. This occurs for vector fields when $\Delta-2=1$, when the net effect of the ghosts is an additional contribution to $\Phi$ of -2 . Similarly, the Rarita-Schwinger field has a gauge symmetry when $\Delta-2=3 / 2$ and the ghosts contribute $-35 / 4$, and the graviton has a gauge symmetry for $\Delta-2=2$ in which case the ghosts contribute 33 . When $\Phi$ was computed for the Maldacena conjecture [9] it was found that the sum over each of the infinite number of $U(2,2 / 4)$ supermultiplets vanished so that $a=c$ for the full theory agreeing with the boundary theory result.

The supergravity theory conjectured to be dual to the infra-red fixed point of the $\mathcal{N}=1$ gauge theory is a truncation of the ten-dimensional theory and its fields are organised into a finite number of supermultiplets of $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$. $\Phi$ is readily computed from the mass spectrum. The ingredients of the calculation are given in tables 1 and 2 corresponding to tables 6.1 and 6.2 of [2]. Each field is in a representation of $S U(2)_{I}$. The dimensions of the representations are given in the tables and contribute to $\Phi$. When the representation is complex the contribution to $\Phi$ is doubled for the bosonic fields. The fermionic fields are assumed to be complex already so a factor of $1 / 2$ is included for real representations. We should note that the sign of the contribution of the first scalar in Table 1 is taken in accordance with the comments of [2] so as to fit the standard relation for the scaling dimensions of chiral primaries. Summing $\Phi$ over all the supermultiplets in the tables gives

$$
\Phi=0-135 / 4+225 / 2+45 / 4+225+0-675 / 2+45 / 2=0,
$$

so that $a=c$ to next to leading order in $N$ in the bulk theory, in agreement with the exact result in the boundary theory to which it is conjectured to be dual. This result also provides a check on the spectrum of [2].

Finally we outline the derivation of $\Phi$. It is easy to solve Einstein's equations with cosmological constant $\Lambda=-6 / l^{2}$ in the bulk when the boundary metric is Ricci flat to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\frac{1}{t^{2}}\left(l^{2} d t^{2}+\tau^{\prime 2} \sum_{i, j} g_{i j} d x^{i} d x^{j}\right), \quad t \geq \tau^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau^{\prime}$ is a regulator that ultimately should be taken to zero. For a Ricci-flat boundary $E=-I$ so that $\mathcal{A}=(a-c) E$, and working with this metric will only reveal the combination $a-c$. The central object of interest in the AdS/CFT correspondence is the 'partition function' given as a functional integral for the bulk theory in which the fields have prescribed values, $\varphi$, on the boundary at $t=\tau^{\prime}$ [10, 11]. The regulator is necessary even in tree-level calculations but at one-loop we also need a large $t$ cut-off $\tau$; this introduces another boundary, and the functional integral should be performed with the fields taking prescribed values, $\tilde{\varphi}$, there as well. Consequently the partition function is the limit as the cut-offs are removed of a functional $\Psi_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}[\tilde{\varphi}, \varphi]$. The exponential of $F\left[g_{i j}\right]$

Table 1: $\Phi$ for the five short $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$ representations

is the field independent part of this partition function. With the regulators in place the free energy becomes a function of $\tau, \tau^{\prime}$, and the Weyl anomaly can be found by exploiting the invariance of the five-dimensional metric (2) under $t \rightarrow(1+\delta \rho / 2) t, g_{i j} \rightarrow(1+\delta \rho) g_{i j}$, with $\delta \rho$ constant. So, for a constant Weyl scaling

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta F=\int d^{4} x \sqrt{g} \delta \rho \mathcal{A}=-\frac{\delta \rho}{2}\left(\tau \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau}+\tau^{\prime} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau^{\prime}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

At one-loop we only need the quadratic fluctuations in the action, so the fields are essentially free. In [12] we computed the Weyl anomaly for free scalar and spin-half particles for the metric (22), not by performing a functional integration but by interpreting $\Psi_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}[\tilde{\varphi}, \varphi]$ (after Wick rotation of $g_{i j}$ ) as the Schrödinger functional, i.e. the matrix element of the time evolution operator between eigenstates of the field, $\langle\tilde{\varphi}| T \exp \left(-\int_{\tau^{\prime}}^{\tau} d t H(t)\right)|\varphi\rangle$ $=\Psi_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}[\tilde{\varphi}, \varphi]$.

To illustrate this consider a massless scalar field propagating in the metric (2). $\Psi$ satisfies the functional Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \Psi_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}[\tilde{\varphi}, \varphi]=\frac{1}{2} \int d \mathbf{x}\left(\tau^{3} \frac{\delta^{2}}{\delta \tilde{\varphi}^{2}}+\tau^{-3} \tilde{\varphi} \nabla \cdot \nabla \tilde{\varphi}+2 \delta^{4}(0) / \tau\right) \Psi_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}[\tilde{\varphi}, \varphi] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 2: $\Phi$ for the remaining $S U(2,2 \mid 1)$ representations

| Representation | $\Delta-2$ | $\phi$ | $\chi$ | $A_{\mu}$ | $B_{\mu \nu}$ | $\psi_{\mu}$ | $\Phi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{7}+1,0,0 ; 0)$ | $\sqrt{7}-1$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{7}-1$ |
|  | $\sqrt{7}-1 / 2$ |  | $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  | $7(\sqrt{7}-1 / 2) / 2$ |
| real | $\sqrt{7}$ | $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}$ |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  | $-9 \sqrt{7}$ |
|  | $\sqrt{7}+1 / 2$ |  | $\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  | $7(\sqrt{7}+1 / 2) / 2$ |
|  | $\sqrt{7}+1$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{7}+1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |  |
| Total | $3 / 4$ |  | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  |  | $21 / 4$ |
| $\mathcal{D}(11 / 4,1 / 2,0 ; 1 / 2)$ | $5 / 4$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |  | 115 |
|  | $7 / 4$ |  | $\mathbf{2} \oplus \mathbf{2}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ | $-1435 / 4$ |
| complex | $9 / 4$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |  | -99 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  | $-\mathbf{6 7 5 / 2}$ |  |
| $\mathcal{D}(3,0,1 / 2 ; 1 / 2)$ | 1 |  | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  | $7 / 2$ |  |
|  | $3 / 2$ |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  | 66 |  |
| complex | 2 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | -212 |
|  | $5 / 2$ |  |  |  |  | 165 |  |
| Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{4 5 / \mathbf { 2 }}$ |  |  |  |

with a similar equation for the $\tau^{\prime}$ dependence, and an appropriate initial condition as $\tau^{\prime}$ approaches $\tau$. $\log \Psi$ has the form $F+\int d^{d} \mathbf{x}\left(\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi} \Gamma_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}} \tilde{\varphi}+\tilde{\varphi} \Xi_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}} \varphi+\frac{1}{2} \varphi \Upsilon_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}} \varphi\right)$. The kernels can be expressed in terms of simpler operators $\Gamma_{\tau, 0} \equiv \Gamma(\Omega) / \tau^{3}, \Xi_{\tau, 0} \equiv$ $\Xi(\Omega) / \tau^{3}$ and $\Upsilon_{\tau, 0} \equiv \Upsilon(\Omega) / \tau^{3}$, where $\Omega \equiv-\tau^{2} \nabla^{2}$ by using the self-reproducing prop$\operatorname{erty} \int \Psi_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}[\tilde{\varphi}, \phi] \mathcal{D} \phi \Psi_{\tau^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime \prime}}[\phi, \varphi]=\Psi_{\tau, \tau^{\prime \prime}}[\tilde{\varphi}, \varphi]$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{\tau^{4}}\left(\Gamma(\Omega)+\left(\left(\frac{\tau}{\tau^{\prime}}\right)^{4} \Upsilon\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)-\Upsilon(\Omega)\right)^{-1} \Xi^{2}(\Omega)\right) \\
\Upsilon_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}=\frac{1}{\left(\tau^{\prime}+\epsilon\right)^{4}}\left(-\Gamma\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)+\left(\Upsilon\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)-\left(\frac{\tau^{\prime}+\epsilon}{\tau}\right)^{4} \Upsilon(\Omega)\right)^{-1} \Xi^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\Omega^{\prime} \equiv-\tau^{\prime 2} \nabla^{2}$. The $\epsilon$ prescription is needed to ensure that this last expression reduces to $\Upsilon(\Omega) / \tau^{3}$ as $\tau^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$. The Schrödinger equation relates $\tau^{\prime} \partial F / \partial \tau^{\prime}$ to the functional trace of $\left(\tau^{\prime}+\epsilon\right)^{4} \Upsilon_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}$. When this is regulated with a cut-off on $\Omega^{\prime}$ then it simplifies as $\tau^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ and $\tau \rightarrow \infty$

$$
-\Gamma\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)+\left(\Upsilon\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)-\left(\frac{\tau^{\prime}+\epsilon}{\tau}\right)^{4} \Upsilon\left(\left(\tau^{2} / \tau^{\prime 2}\right) \Omega^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1} \Xi^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow-\Gamma\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)
$$

[^0]because for large argument $\Upsilon\left(\left(\tau^{2} / \tau^{\prime 2}\right) \Omega\right) \sim\left(\left(\tau^{2} / \tau^{\prime 2}\right) \Omega\right)^{2}$. Similarly $\tau \partial F / \partial \tau$ is related to the functional trace of $\tau^{4} \Gamma_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}$ which must be regulated with a cut-off on $\Omega$ and simplifies as $\tau^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$ and $\tau \rightarrow \infty$
$$
\Gamma(\Omega)+\left(\left(\frac{\tau}{\tau^{\prime}}\right)^{4} \Upsilon\left(\left(\tau^{\prime 2} / \tau^{2}\right) \Omega^{\prime}\right)-\Upsilon(\Omega)\right)^{-1} \Xi^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Omega)
$$
$\Gamma$ is obtained as a power series in $\Omega$ from the Schrödinger equation, and the regulated traces are calculated using the heat kernel for $\Omega$. The short distance expansion of the heat kernel finally gives the trace as being proportional to $-\Gamma(0)=2(\Delta-2)$. This is readily extended to massive scalars, and, with some work, to all the other fields in the theory.

The simplification of the traces of $\Gamma_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}$ and $\Upsilon_{\tau, \tau^{\prime}}$ to that of $\Gamma$ occurs for all values of the mass, not just special values as claimed in [9, 12]. This is a result of the $\epsilon$ prescription introduced above. The prescription may be understood by writing the Schrödinger functional in terms of field variables which give the expected flat-space behaviour in the $\tau \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}$ limit. A similar prescription holds for fermions.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This initial condition is quite subtle. In flat space we would get a delta-functional in the $\tau \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}$ limit. The solution we found in has the delta-functional property in this limit provided we use the $\epsilon$ prescription discussed here.

