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\begin{abstract}
We describe a numerical implementation of star formation in disk galaxies, in which the conversion of cooling gas to stars in the multiphase interstellar medium is governed by the rate at which molecular clouds are formed and destroyed. In the model, clouds form from thermally unstable ambient gas and get destroyed by feedback from massive stars and thermal conduction. Feedback in the ambient phase cycles gas into a hot galactic fountain or wind. We model the ambient gas hydrodynamically using smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH). However, we cannot resolve the Jeans mass in the cold and dense molecular gas and, therefore, represent the cloud phase with ballistic particles that coagulate when colliding. We show that this naturally produces a multiphase medium with cold clouds, a warm disk, hot supernova bubbles and a hot, tenuous halo. Our implementation of this model is based on the Gadget N-Body code. We illustrate the model by evolving an isolated Milky Way-like galaxy and study the properties of a disk formed in a rotating spherical collapse. Many observed properties of disk galaxies are reproduced well, including the molecular cloud mass spectrum, the molecular fraction as a function of radius, the Schmidt law, the stellar density profile and the appearance of a galactic fountain.
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\section{Introduction}

Galaxies form when gas cools radiatively inside a dark matter (DM) halo. The haloes in turn form from the non-linear collapse of initially small density perturbations that were imprinted by quantum fluctuations before inflation. When the virial temperature of the halo is high enough the gas can cool radiatively (Rees \& Ostriker (1977); White \& Rees (1978)) and may become self-gravitating. Some fraction of the cooling gas can form stars, which then affect the baryon distribution and star formation rate through feedback: for example from supernova (SN) explosions (e.g. Dekel \& Silk (1986)).

Simulating the growth of dark matter haloes from initially small cosmological density perturbations has become routine to the extent that even the complex non-linear stage can be predicted with relative confidence (e.g. Springel et al. (2005)). However, following the behaviour of the baryons until stars form is much more demanding for two main reasons. Firstly, the physical processes that lead to star formation are still relatively poorly understood, even in the Milky Way (MW). Stars are thought to form in molecular clouds in a complex interstellar medium (ISM) in which magnetic fields play a significant role (Safier, McKee \& Stahler (1997)), cosmic rays, turbulence (Krumholz \& McKee (2005)), relativistic jets (Klamer et al. (2004)), molecules, dust, and radiative transfer may all play some role. Secondly, the physical scales on which star formation takes place is vastly different from those of cosmological interest. Therefore, simulations on a sufficiently large scale to sample cosmological structures cannot presently also resolve physics on the scales relevant for star formation. For these two reasons simulations invariably include ‘sub-grid’ physics that model the complexity of star formation in the interstellar medium using simple rules.

In the first generation of hydrodynamic models for galaxy formation (Navarro \& White (1993); Steinmetz \& Muller (1994); Katz, Weinberg \& Hernquist (1996)), the gaseous component of galaxies is modelled as a single fluid. Because the spatial and mass resolution in these simulations is insufficient to resolve star formation they rely upon a simple star formation prescription. In its simplest form this consists of devising criteria by which simulated gas can be flagged as eligible to form stars and then converting the gas to stars by hand (see e.g. Kay et al. (2002) for a comparison of such criteria). Feedback from core collapse (type II) SNe, modelled as an extra source of thermal or kinetic energy, was found to have little effect in the early
models. This is because the gas in the surroundings of star formation sites is at high density and so is very efficient at just radiating away the added energy. As a consequence, too much gas cooled in dense knots, producing galaxies much more concentrated than those observed [Navarro & Benz (1991); Wein, Eke & Efstathiou (1998)].

The ISM in observed galaxies is much more complex than the single phase medium present in these early simulations. Although observed galaxies may have comparable mean gas density to the simulated ones, the real ISM consists of very dense cold clouds with small volume filling factor, embedded in a much more tenuous low-density, hot medium with a ‘warm’ phase at the interface. SN explosions in the tenuous medium have a much greater impact on the galaxy because this medium cools much less efficiently than gas at the mean density. Throughout this work we will use the label ‘cold’ to describe the molecular clouds in the ISM; and the labels ‘warm’ and ‘hot’ to describe the properties of the ambient gas phase at temperatures of approximately $10^4K$ and $10^6K$ respectively.

In response to these problems with the simplest star formation and feedback criteria several authors have introduced ‘multiphase’ models for star formation in which the ISM is treated as a number of distinct phases. These schemes take various forms including modification of the simulation algorithm [Ritchie & Thomas (2001); Croft et al (2000); Scannapieco et al (2006)], treating the multiphase medium implicitly by formulating differential equations that model the interactions between the phases [Yepes et al (1997); Springel & Hernquist (2003); Okamoto et al (2005)], explicitly decoupling supernova heated gas from its surroundings (Stinson et al (2006)), or by decoupling the cold molecular phase from the hot phase by one of a variety of methods, including ‘sticky particles’ (Semelin & Combes (2002); Harfst, Theis & Hensler (2006)) or removing ‘cold’ particles from the SPH calculation (Hultman & Pharasyn (1999); Pearce et al (1999, 2001); Marri & White (2004)). The decoupling of the hot and cold ISM phases allows thermal heating from SN feedback to become more efficient (due to the much lower density of the hot phase) and also allows one to follow the properties of the cold molecular phase of the ISM.

This paper describes an attempt to mimic the multiphase medium in a star forming galaxy. Since stars are known to form in molecular clouds our method focuses on simple rules for cloud formation. The star formation recipe then simply converts the most massive of these clouds, ‘Giant Molecular Clouds’ (GMCs), into stars with an imposed efficiency taken from MW observations. Once a GMC forms simple rules for cloud formation. The star formation recipe directly proceeds to the analysis of the properties of the simulated ISM (e.g. Wolfire et al (1995), who calculated the thermal equilibrium gas properties of a diffuse ISM. Wolfire et al (1995) found that a stable two phase medium was produced, with a transition from hot material at densities $< 10^{-0.5} \text{cm}^{-3}$ to cold, molecular material at densities $> 10^{1.5} \text{cm}^{-3}$. The Jeans mass of the warm ($T = 10^4K$) phase is approximately $1 \times 10^4 M_\odot$, whereas the Jeans mass of the cold molecular gas ($T = 100K$) is $\sim 2000 M_\odot$. Typical cosmological galaxy simulations currently have mass resolutions no better than $10^6 M_\odot$ (Okamoto et al 2001), many orders of magnitude away from being able to resolve the relevant scales for accurate tracking of the cold molecular phase. Note that these simulations do however resolve $M_\odot$ in the warm phase. Given this limitation, we are forced to instead introduce another particle type in our simulations, called a ‘sticky particle’, to represent the clouds. These move as ballistic particles through the ambient medium, yet when they encounter another sticky particle interact inelastically based on a set of collision rules that mimic the coagulation of clouds. Sticky particles have been used before for similar reasons by e.g. Lin & Pringle (1979); Jenkins & Binney (1994) and Semelin & Combes (2002), and seem to have been introduced originally by Larson (1978) and Levinson & Roberts (1981). We show below that our imposed collision rules produce cloud statistics that are similar to those determined in nearby galaxies, for which they can be measured.

We begin by giving a brief overview of the current theory of the ISM and the formation of clouds, on which our recipes are based (section 2). We then introduce the physics we model with the sticky particle prescription (section 3), and constrain all of the free parameters in the model (section 4). We then present results from simple isolated galaxy simulations (section 5) and investigate the effects of changing the physics in the sticky particle model (section 6). Section 7 contains conclusions and details of future work. The ISM is a complicated system and the nomenclature used to describe it is correspondingly complex. For this reason appendix A contains a list of symbols and their associated meanings. Readers not interested in numerical details can read the summary of the model in section 3 and then directly proceed to the analysis of the properties of the simulated galaxies presented in section 4.

2 STAR FORMATION IN DISK GALAXIES

2.1 The interstellar medium in disk galaxies

According to the models of McKee & Ostriker (1977) (hereafter M77; see also Efstathiou (2000); Monaco (2004); Krumholz & McKee (2005)), the ISM of the MW consists of at least three separate and distinct gas phases: a hot tenuous medium at a temperature of $\sim 10^6K$; cold, dense molecular clouds at a temperature of $\lesssim 100K$ and a warm medium that exists at the boundaries between clouds and the hot medium with $T \sim 10^4K$. In the MW, the hot medium has a filling factor of 70–80% and the cold clouds account for a few percent of the volume (M07). Different techniques are used to observe these different phases, with radio observations probing ro-to-vibrational transitions of molecules (mainly CO), the 21-cm line probing atomic hydrogen, and UV- and X-ray observations probing the hot phase, see e.g. Binney & Merrifield (1998) for an overview and further references. The fact that different techniques are used to observe the different gas phases might exaggerate the degree to which these phases are really distinct.

Observations of star formation in the MW show that most stars form in groups, either as gravitationally bound clusters or unbound associations, in the most massive of the molecular clouds (Giant Molecular Clouds, hereafter...
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2.2 The formation of molecular clouds

Although the early models by Field, Goldsmith & Habing (1963) assumed that the different phases of the ISM were in pressure equilibrium, modern observations paint a picture of a much more complex and dynamic situation in which the ISM is shaped by turbulence, possibly powered by SNe and the large-scale dynamics of the galactic disk itself, see e.g. Burkert (2006) for a recent review. A galaxy-wide effect seems to be required to explain the observed Hubble-type dependence of cloud properties.

Yet how GMCs form in this complex environment is not well understood (Blitz & Rosolowsky 2004). Some authors have suggested that GMCs form by the coagulation of preexisting molecular clouds (Kwan & Valdez 1984; Ostri 1954) while others have argued that GMCs form primarily from atomic gas through instability or large-scale shocks (Blitz & Shu 1980). A viable mechanism by which this could occur is the formation of convergent flows induced by a passing spiral arm (Ballesteros-Paredes Vázquez-Semadeni & Sclo (1999)). Of course, both modes of formation may occur: in high density regions, where the vast majority of hydrogen is molecular it seems likely that molecular clouds form from the coagulation of smaller clouds, whereas in the outskirts of galaxies where the gas is predominantly atomic the compression of gas in spiral density shock waves provides a more plausible formation mechanism.

Observationally, star formation in disks seems to occur only above a given surface-density threshold (Kennicutt 1984), with star formation dropping abruptly below the threshold even though the gaseous disk may extend far beyond it. Schaye (2004) describes a model in which this threshold arises naturally due to the thermal instability when gas cools from 10^4 K to the cold phase (~ 100K), rendering the disk gravitationally unstable on a range of scales. This suggests that a combination of thermal instability and large-scale dynamics may be responsible for determining when and where GMCs form.

Elmegreen (2001) discusses observational evidence that GMCs are in fact short lived entities that form, make stars and disperse again on their dynamical time scale. This short time-scale alleviates the need for an internal energy source to sustain the observed internal supersonic turbulence, something that had puzzled astronomers for a long time. Pringle, Allen & Lubow (2001) discuss this assumption in more detail and suggest that GMCs form from agglomeration of the dense phase of the ISM, already in molecular form, when compressed in a spiral shock. They envisage the pre-existing molecular gas to be in dense ‘wisps’, in the inter arm regions, formed from atomic gas by shocks, as simulated by Kovama & Imotsuki (2000).

Recent numerical simulations support the view that when clumpy gas is overrun by a density wave it produces structures that resemble GMCs. Wada, Meurer & Norman (2002) present high-resolution two-dimensional simulations of the evolution of perturbations in a cooling, self-gravitating disk in differential rotation. They show that the disk develops stationary turbulence, even without any stellar feedback. Bonnell et al (2006) and Dobbs & Bonnell (2006) performed three dimensional simulations of the passage of clumpy cold gas through a spiral shock. Their simulations produce dense clouds, with large internal velocity dispersion, reminiscent of the ‘supersonic turbulence’ seen in GMCs. They note that the velocity dispersion is generated on all scales simultaneously, in contrast to what is usually meant by turbulence where energy cascades from large to small scales. Mac Low & Klessen (2004) review the current state of the art in simulations and models of GMC formation, including references to more recent work. In this picture of clouds, GMCs are temporary structures formed and dissolving in converging flows. They do not require an internal source of energy, are not in virial or pressure equilibrium and need not even be gravitationally bound. They point out that the relative contribution of galactic rotation and stellar sources to driving the observed turbulence is not clear.

2.3 Star formation in molecular clouds

In the older picture of cloud formation, GMCs were long-lived, gravitationally bound, virialised objects. The presence of supersonic turbulence ensures that clouds do not immediately collapse to form stars, as this would predict a star formation rate for the MW which is far higher than observed. Locally unstable clumps collapse to form proto-stars, which built-up their mass to produce the initial mass function (IMF) through competitive accretion (e.g. Bonnell et al 1997).

However, simulations show that the energy contained in supersonic motions is quickly dissipated even in the pres-
ence of magnetic fields (see references in Mac Low & Klessen (2004)). To sustain the turbulence therefore requires an energy source, for example from star formation, yet some clouds have turbulence but no current star formation.

The modern picture is one in which clouds are short-lived structures and the turbulence results from the same process that formed the cloud in the first place. Observitionally, GMCs turn a small fraction $\epsilon_* \approx 0.1$ of their mass into stars before they disperse again. This low star formation efficiency of clouds may be due to the fact that they are short lived. The short life times of (star forming) clouds also follows from the small age spread in star clusters (see e.g. Gomez et al (1992)), and indicates that star formation in a given cloud only lasts for a few million years. The short lifetimes of GMCs then also suggests that competitive accretion (e.g. Bonnell et al (1997)) is less important in shaping the IMF (Padoan & Nordlund (2002)).

Turbulence generates a range of substructures inside a GMC, and Padoan & Nordlund (2002) suggest that such ‘turbulent fragmentation’ builds a mass spectrum of protocore, some of which will collapse under their own gravity to form stars. The resulting IMF is a power-law due to the self-similar nature of the turbulence. Only cores dense enough so that self gravity can overcome their magnetic and thermal energy can collapse. This consideration flattens that IMF at low masses, and prevents very low-mass cores from forming stars. They also argue that the maximum mass is a fraction of the overall cloud mass.

A young stellar population does, of course, dump a lot of energy into its surroundings through stellar winds, ionisation, and SN explosions. Even if these do not drive the observed turbulence, they may contribute to the destruction of the cloud, and prevent further star formation. Most simulations use such feedback from star formation to regulate the star formation rate.

2.4 Summary

The current theory of star formation in disk galaxies suggests that supersonic turbulence, generated by a combination of galactic rotation and SNe, regulates the formation of proto-stellar cores inside massive molecular clouds. Some fraction of these clouds can form stars, before the cloud itself is destroyed, by a combination of stellar feedback and the turbulence that built the cloud in the first place.

The cooling of the cores to temperatures $\lesssim 100\,K$ is dominated by grains and CII fine-structures lines and is opposed by photo-electric heating from small grains and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), see Wolfire et al (1993).

A numerical implementation of these processes requires high resolution to model the interstellar turbulence and follow the contraction of cores of masses a few $M_\odot$ at densities above 1 particle per cm$^{-3}$. Such challenging simulations may be feasible in the near future for high-redshift galaxies but are not possible yet for $z = 0$ galaxies. Below we describe a model of cloud formation that tries to incorporate some of these processes with some simple rules.

We would like to apply the same rules to cosmological simulations of galaxy formation, which clearly requires a leap of faith. High-redshift galaxies may not have a well-defined disk, and hence the properties of the supersonic turbulence and the GMCs may well be very different. In the high-redshift simulations of Abel, Bryan & Norman (2000), the first molecular cloud is close to hydrostatic equilibrium, with pressure support slowly leaking away as it cools through molecular hydrogen line emission. This quasi-static evolution, reminiscent of a cooling flow, is very different from the dynamic turbulent fragmentation envisaged in the MW, with corresponding large differences in the predicted IMF. Furthermore, if the properties of the GMCs were similar, the behaviour of the cores may still be very different, with the reduced grain and metal cooling at higher $z$, making for a different IMF.

Despite these difficulties we must start somewhere. The assumption that the physics of the ISM (and therefore the stellar IMF) is similar at redshift zero and in the high redshift universe is common in the simulation community. The understanding gained through these necessarily simplified simulations will allow us, over time, to investigate the physics relevant to galaxy formation at higher redshift.

3 DETAILS OF THE MODEL

As demonstrated in section 2 in typical simulations of galaxy formation we can resolve the Jeans length of the ambient gas phase and so treat its hydrodynamic properties consistently. However, we cannot yet resolve the properties of the cold molecular phase of the ISM. We therefore follow the evolution of the ambient gas phase using a hydrodynamic simulation code, whereas we treat the cold phase using a statistical model that encapsulates the physics relevant to the formation and evolution of molecular clouds. In this section we introduce the properties of the sticky particle model and describe the physics we have implemented.

Following Efstathiou (2000) we consider the ISM to consist of warm and hot ambient materials, and cold molecular clouds. We additionally treat the properties of SN remnants. Throughout this paper the properties of the ambient medium will be represented with the subscript $h$, the properties of the molecular clouds with the subscript $c$, and the properties of the gas internal to SN remnants, or hot bubbles, with the subscript $b$.

The ambient gas phase is represented using the entropy conserving, parallel Tree-SPH code GADGET2 (Springel 2003; Springel, Yoshida & White 2001), which is a Lagrangian code used to calculate gravitational and hydrodynamic forces on a particle by particle basis. Smoothed-Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) was originally introduced by Lucy (1977) and Gingold & Monaghan (1977), see e.g. Monaghan (1992) for a review. We will refer to the gas component treated using SPH as ambient gas to distinguish it from the cold molecular gas. Ambient gas at temperatures around $10^4\,K$ will be referred to as ‘warm’, and gas at temperatures of $10^5\,K$ and higher will be called ‘hot’. We will see that in galaxy formation simulations, this ambient (i.e. non-molecular) medium naturally develops three relatively well-defined phases: a warm ($T \sim 10^4\,K$) component in a galactic disk, a hot ($T \sim 10^5\,K$) tenuous component of shock-heated gas in the halo, and a similarly hot component resulting from gas heated by SN. The fourth, cold ($T \sim 100\,K$) and molecular cloud phase is represented with sticky particles, which interact gravitationally with all other material in the simulation and are allowed to stick together forming more massive
3.1 Radiative Cooling And The Formation of Molecular Clouds

Begelman & McKee (1990) show that under appropriate physical conditions, a thermal instability may operate in the ambient gas, which causes a fraction of the gas to condense into much colder molecular clouds. The sticky particle star formation prescription contains a basic representation of this process, based on a detailed treatment of baryonic radiative cooling.

3.1.1 Relevant Physics

The radiative processes that we take into account are Compton cooling off the microwave background, thermal Bremsstrahlung cooling, line cooling and photo-ionization heating from Hydrogen, Helium and metal species in the presence of an imposed ionising background. These routines were developed for a different project and will be described elsewhere. Briefly, they use tabulated rates for radiative cooling and photo-ionization heating for many species and ionization states computed assuming ionization equilibrium using CLOUDY (version 05.07 of the code last described by Ferland et al. 1998) with a UV background given by Haardt & Madau (2001). The rates are tabulated element by element and we will assume solar abundance ratios and specify a fixed metallicity of the gas in solar units. We do, however, note that the behaviour of the system may depend upon precisely which value of the metallicity we choose, and investigate this in section 5.3.

Other processes such as cosmic ray heating, and cooling by dust and atomic lines that affect the molecular gas in clouds are not treated explicitly since we do not model the internal properties of the clouds themselves.

We include a simple model to determine the rate at which the ambient gas forms molecular clouds. When we identify ambient gas that is thermally unstable (Begelman & McKee 1990) we allow it to collapse into molecular clouds. The rate at which this process occurs is governed by the rate at which the gas is losing thermal energy by radiative cooling.

3.1.2 Numerical Implementation

Following Yepes et al. (1997) we define a density threshold, ρth, to determine when gas becomes thermally unstable. Gas with ρ < ρth undergoes ordinary radiative cooling. Gas with density above the threshold becomes thermally unstable and begins to be converted to molecular clouds. In addition to the density criterion we add a maximum temperature (Tth) for gas to be called thermally unstable which has the effect of preventing SN heated gas in dense regions from collapsing straight to the cold phase.

When gas has been identified as thermally unstable it begins to form molecular clouds at a rate controlled by the rate at which the gas can lose thermal energy by radiative cooling.

\[
\frac{dp_c}{dt} = -\frac{dp_h}{dt} = \frac{1}{u_h - u_c} \Lambda_{\text{net}}(\rho_h, u_h),
\]

where \( u \) represent an internal energies per unit mass. The subscripts \( h \) and \( c \) refer to the ambient phase (either warm or hot) and cold phase respectively. \( \Lambda_{\text{net}} \) is the cooling rate of the ambient gas (ergs cm\(^{-3}\) s\(^{-1}\)). We assume that the cold clouds remain at a fixed temperature of \( T_h = 100K \) hence their thermal energy \( u_c \) is a constant as well.

In practice, each ambient gas particle is identified as either thermally unstable, or non-thermally unstable. non-thermally unstable gas undergoes radiative cooling; thermally unstable ambient gas forms molecular clouds at a...
rate controlled by the radiative cooling rate, as described by Eq. 1.

In this way each ambient gas particle can keep track of what fraction of its mass is in the form of molecular clouds. Gas in the molecular phase is ignored for the purposes of the SPH calculation. When the amount of mass in the molecular phase in a particle reaches the resolution limit of the simulation a separate ‘sticky particle’, representing many sub-resolution molecular clouds is created. This process decouples the molecular clouds from the associated ambient phase. Since we cannot resolve the individual molecular clouds in each sticky particle we work with the mass function of clouds. Initially we assume that the molecular clouds formed through instability are all in the smallest mass bin, that is that the clouds formed by thermal instability are very small, and will interact to form more massive clouds. In the following section we describe the behaviour and evolution of the sticky particles in the simulation.

3.2 Cloud Coagulation and GMC Formation
Molecular clouds are typically many orders of magnitude more dense than the medium they form in (MO77), and their behaviour is governed by a different set of rules than the ambient medium. This section describes the physics of the simplified molecular clouds in the sticky particle model and how it is implemented.

3.2.1 Relevant Physics
We assume that clouds may be treated as approximately spherical objects that obey a power law relation between mass ($M_c$) and radius ($r_c$)

$$r_c = \left( \frac{M_c}{M_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{\alpha_c} r_{\text{ref}}$$

$$= 36 \left( \frac{M_c}{10^3 M_\odot} \right)^{0.3} \text{pc}.$$  (2)

Here, $\alpha_c$ describes how clouds grow as mass is added to them (if they remain at constant density then $\alpha_c = 1/3$), and $M_{\text{ref}}$ and $r_{\text{ref}}$ are a reference mass and radius used to fix the normalisation of this relation. The lower bound on molecular cloud masses is typically calculated to be $100 M_\odot$ (Monaco (2004)) due to the efficient destruction of smaller molecular clouds by photoionization. We introduce an upper limit by converting molecular clouds with large masses into stars (see section 3.3 for discussion). In order to facilitate easy estimates of the relative importance of various effects we have substituted typical numbers and units into most of the equations in this section.

3.2.2 Numerical Implementation
Each sticky particle represents numerous cold clouds. Sticky particles are hydrodynamically decoupled from the ambient SPH phase of the gas and interact only gravitationally with the other phases in the simulation. However, when two sticky particles collide they may coagulate to form a more massive sticky particle. The mass of the smallest molecular clouds is typically orders of magnitude below the mass resolution in a cosmological simulation. We represent an entire mass spectrum of clouds statistically inside of each sticky particle. Our formalism to treat the evolution of the mass function of clouds internal to each of the ‘multiple cloud’ particles will start from the Smoluchowski equation of kinetic aggregation (Smoluchowski (1916)), which describes the behaviour of a system consisting of ballistic particles that can interact via mergers. The coagulation behaviour of this system is driven by a coagulation kernel, $K(m_1, m_2)$, that represents the formation rate of clouds of masses $m = m_1 + m_2$,

$$K = \langle \Sigma v_{\text{app}} \rangle v,$$  (3)

where $v_{\text{app}}$ is the relative velocity of the clouds and $\Sigma$ is the collision cross section. For a Maxwellian distribution of velocities with three-dimensional dispersion $\sigma$ we obtain $\langle v_{\text{app}} \rangle = 1.3 \sigma$ (Lee & Nelson (1988)). The product of the approach velocity and the collision cross section is averaged over the distribution of relative velocities. The cross section is

$$\Sigma \approx \pi (r_c + r_c')^2 \left( 1 + 2G \frac{M_c + M'_c}{r_c + r_c'} \frac{1}{v_{\text{app}}} \right),$$  (4)

where the first term represents the collision geometric cross section and the second term represents the effect of gravitational focusing (Saslaw (1985)). The focused term becomes significant when the approach velocity is not much larger than the internal velocity dispersion of the system. In most cases of interest the geometric term will dominate so the focused term is neglected. In these calculations we need to assume that molecular clouds, although transient and turbulent, are stable for long enough for coagulation to take place. This is reasonable because the cloud velocity dispersion is typically larger than the sound speed of the cold cloud gas (Monaco (2004)).

To model the cooling of sub-resolution molecular clouds via gravitational interaction it has been assumed that when molecular clouds with relative velocities, $v_{\text{app}}$ greater than $v_{\text{stick}}$ (a parameter in our simulations) collide they do not merge, but rather bounce back with relative velocity a fraction, $\eta$, of the initial approach velocity. Clouds with relative velocities less than $v_{\text{stick}}$ merge. For simplicity it has been assumed that the velocity distribution of clouds is Maxwellian with a velocity dispersion that is a function of cloud mass, $\sigma = \sigma(m)$.

The upper and lower bounds on the molecular cloud mass function are set such that the smallest mass bin is comparable with the smallest clouds was can observe, and the largest molecular clouds are approximately the same mass as the largest clouds in the MW. The mass function is discrete. All clouds are assumed to form at the lowest mass, $M_{\text{min}}$, and then the mass of each bin is a multiple of this value. This discrete mass function is necessary when working with the Smoluchowski equation.

In order for us to be able to hold a mass function with a large number of bins internal to every single sticky particle without the requirement to store one number for each mass bin we parameterize the mass function as a third order polynomial, and store only the four coefficients between timesteps.

As these sub-resolution clouds interact and merge, the one-dimensional velocity dispersion $\sigma(m)$ changes, which affects the rate of evolution of the cloud mass function, $n(m)$.
Let $E_m = 3/2m\sigma^2(m)$ denote the random kinetic energy of clouds with mass $m$. The one-dimensional velocity dispersion is related to the three dimensional velocity dispersion by $\sigma_D = \sigma\sqrt{3}$. $E_m$ and may change due to three distinct processes:

- Clouds with masses $m'$ and $m - m'$ merge to form extra clouds of mass $m$, increasing $E_m$ at a rate $E_{\text{gain}}$.
- Clouds with masses $m$ may merge with clouds of any other mass decreasing the number of clouds of mass $m$. This process decreases $E_m$ at a rate $E_{\text{loss}}$.
- Clouds with mass $m$ may interact collisionally with clouds of any other mass and so lose kinetic energy. This process decreases $E_m$ at a rate $E_{\text{cool}}$.

The net change in kinetic energy for particles of mass $m$ during some timestep $\Delta t$ is given by

$$\Delta E_m = \frac{dE_m}{dt} \Delta t = \left[ E_{\text{gain}} - E_{\text{loss}} - E_{\text{cool}} \right] \Delta t. \quad (5)$$

And for this change in kinetic energy, the corresponding change in velocity dispersion is given by

$$\dot{\sigma} = \frac{2E - M\sigma^2}{2M}. \quad (6)$$

Details of the equations used to model these processes are given in Appendix B and the method by which they are solved numerically in Appendix C.

The same processes (cooling and merging) are followed explicitly for the individual particles in our simulations, which can interact in the same two ways as the unresolved sub resolution clouds by merging to form more massive sticky particles, or cooling to decrease their relative velocities. Following the same rules should allow us to remove much of the resolution dependence of the star formation prescription. As the mass resolution of a simulation is degraded, more massive clouds will be treated with the sub-grid physics; our implementation should ensure that the large scale results are approximately the same. This is demonstrated in section 4.2.2.

3.3 Cloud Collapse and Star Formation

The vast majority of stars form in Giant Molecular Clouds. This process is described in the sticky particle model by allowing the most massive clouds in the galaxy to collapse into stars.

3.3.1 Relevant Physics

We follow the process of star formation in our simulations by waiting for star forming clouds to be created by the coagulation process described in section 3.2. We define star forming clouds to be clouds of a mass similar to the most massive clouds observed in the MW ($\sim 10^5 M_\odot$). When one of these star forming clouds is created it is assumed to collapse on a short timescale and approximately $\epsilon$, ~ 10% of its mass is converted into stars, whilst the remainder is disrupted by stellar feedback processes including stellar winds, SN feedback and photoionization. This process reflects that although stars may form in less massive molecular clouds, it is not until the relatively rare, massive O and B stars are created that the cloud is destroyed (Elmegreen 1983).

We assume that each cloud collapse forms a single stellar population with an IMF of the standard Salpeter (1955) form

$$N(M) dM \propto M^{-(1+x)} dM, \quad (7)$$

where $x$ is the slope of the IMF and takes the usual value of 1.35. The masses of stars are assumed to lie between well defined minimum and maximum values, $M_{\text{*,min}}$ and $M_{\text{*,max}}$.

3.3.2 Numerical Implementation

The treatment of star formation adopted in most simulations is to identify gas that is likely to be star-forming and impose a star formation rate given by the Schmidt law,

$$\rho_* = C \rho_{\text{gas}}^{N_{\text{SF}}}. \quad (8)$$

Here, $\rho_*$ and $\rho_{\text{gas}}$ denote the rate of star formation per unit volume and the gas density respectively. This power law relation between star formation rate (SFR) and gas density was found to hold over many orders of magnitude by Kennicutt (1998), who constrained the exponent to be $N_{\text{SF}} = 1.4 \pm 0.2$.

We take a different approach: unstable molecular clouds are identified in the simulations as any cloud with a mass greater than $M_d$. We identify the formation of these massive clouds by using the cloud mass function, as stored internally to every single sticky particle. These unstable clouds are assumed to collapse on a very short timescale, forming stars.

As soon as a cloud of mass $M_d$ forms, it is assumed to be disrupted by OB stars on a timescale of $\sim 10$Myr (Matzner 2002), the rest of the massive cloud is broken down into smaller clouds and the coagulation process begins all over again as described in section 3.2. This process is modelled by taking the fraction of the cloud’s mass that does not turn into stars, $1 - \epsilon$, and assuming that the net effect of the stellar feedback processes is to fragment the GMC into the smallest clouds represented in the sticky particle internal mass function. This has the net effect of steepening the cloud mass function.

Each star particle formation event represents the formation of a single stellar population of stars that are all assumed to have the same age, and to be drawn from the Salpeter IMF. Each stellar particle is therefore formed with a mass approximately equal to $\epsilon$, times the mass of a star-forming cloud. If this particle mass is not allowed by the mass resolution of a given simulation then we either store up unresolved stars internal to a sticky particle (if the star mass is too small to be allowed), or split it into multiple, equal mass particles (if the star mass is too large to be allowed).

3.4 Supernova Feedback

Our simulations include only energy feedback from type II SN. These events return energy from the stars to the ambient phase. We note that it is not currently computationally feasible to resolve the properties of SN remnants so we treat them with a simple, analytic prescription. The mechanism by which SN feedback is implemented in our model is discussed here.
3.4.1 Relevant Physics

Each star of mass greater than $8M_{\odot}$ releases $10^{51}E_b$ ergs in thermal energy when it undergoes an SN event. The lifetime,

$$t = \frac{1.2}{M_{\odot}} \left( \frac{M}{M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1.85} + 0.003 \quad (9)$$

Each SN explosion can be approximated as the injection of energy at a single point in space. If we assume that the ambient density on scales of interest is approximately homogeneous, with density $\rho_b$, then each SN explosion can be modelled as a Sedov blast wave (Sedov (1959)). According to this solution, if at time $t = 0$ we release an amount of energy $E_b$, then after time $t$ the resulting blast wave will have reached a radius $r_b$ given by

$$r_b = \left( \frac{E_b}{\rho_b} \right)^{1/5} t^{2/5}$$

$$= 292 \left( \frac{E_b/10^{51} \text{ ergs}}{\rho_b/0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{1/5} (t/10 \text{ Myr})^{2/5} \text{ pc} \quad (10)$$

These hot SN bubbles have two main effects. Firstly, as they expand and decelerate the SN heated gas will get mixed in with the surrounding ambient medium; the net result of this process is the heating of the ambient medium. Secondly, as discussed in section 3.3, any cold clouds caught inside an SN bubble will undergo evaporation.

There are two main assumptions that must hold for the Sedov solution to be valid, the pressure of the ambient medium, and the cooling rate inside the bubble, must both be negligible. Often at least one these assumptions is invalid. If the ambient medium has a low density and is very hot, for example due to a previous set of explosions, then its pressure is no longer negligible and the Sedov solution breaks down. If the ambient medium is dense then radiative cooling becomes an important process. In the remainder of this section we describe various modifications to the standard Sedov solutions, which allow us to model SN remnants in a wider variety of conditions.

In the case of a hot, tenuous medium the radius of each blast wave is increased (Tang & Wang (2002)). These authors derive a fitting formula for the velocity of a SN blast in a hot medium, which is accurate to within 3% \cite{tang2002}.

$$r_b(t) = \int_0^t c_s \left( \frac{t_c}{t} + 1 \right)^{3/5} dt' \quad (11)$$

$$= 156 \int_0^{t/\text{Myr}} \left( \frac{t_c}{t} + 1 \right)^{3/5} dt' \text{ pc}, \quad (12)$$

where $c_s$ is the sound speed of the ambient medium. We assumed a temperature of $T_h = 10^4 \text{ K}$, mean molecular weight of $\mu = 0.58$, blast wave energy of $1 \times 10^{51} \text{ ergs}$ and an ambient density of 0.1 atoms per cm$^3$ in order to illustrate the order of magnitude of $r_b$. $t_c$ is a characteristic time,

$$t_c = \left[ \left( \frac{2}{5} \right)^5 \frac{E_b}{\rho_b c_s^2} \right]^{1/3}$$

$$= 0.012 \left[ \left( \frac{\xi}{1.14} \right)^5 \frac{E_b/10^{51} \text{ erg}}{\rho_b/0.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}}(T_h/10^4 \text{K})^{5/2} \right]^{1/3} \text{ Myr} \quad (13)$$

This solution matches the standard Sedov evolution, $r_b \propto t^{2/5}$, closely until $t \sim t_c$, after which the shell’s velocity becomes constant, $r_b \propto t$. This modification allows us to take into account that the majority ($\sim$90%), of SNIa happen in preheated SN bubbles (Higdon et al (1998)) and, therefore, the approximation that the pressure of the ambient medium is negligible is often incorrect. Fig 2 shows the difference between an adiabatic gas SPH simulation of a SN induced shock-wave, the pure Sedov solution and the blast wave radius as predicted by the hot medium-modified Sedov solution from Tang & Wang (2005).

Figure 2. Comparison between SPH simulation of a Sedov blast, the Sedov solution and the hot medium correction of Tang & Wang (2005). The points represent individual SPH particles, the dashed line is the Sedov solution and the dotted line is the blast wave radius as calculated with the hot medium correction. The initial condition had a density of 0.001 atoms per cm$^3$ and a temperature of $10^6 \text{ K}$. $10^{51} \text{ ergs}$ were injected to the central 32 particles at $t_0$. This plot was made after the blast wave had evolved for 0.3 Myr.

Situations where radiative cooling are important may be taken into account using the prescription of Thornton et al (1998), whose high resolution simulations of SN explosions expanding in an ambient medium with temperature $T_h = 10^4 \text{ K}$, provide the total thermal energy in SN bubbles as a function of time, ambient density and metallicity. We perform bilinear interpolation on the results in tables 2 and 4 of Thornton et al (1998) to obtain the SN bubble radius and thermal energy at any given time.

Neither of these solutions treat the more general case of SN remnant expansion in a porous ISM, which may have regions of both high and low ambient density, and so we are not able to include the effects of SNe II fully self-consistent. In most of our simulations we use the simple Sedov solution for the evolution of the SN blast waves, but note that the details of our prescription are uncertain. In section 5.3 we investigate the effects of using different implementations of the blast wave implementation to estimate how important the details of the behaviour of SN remnants are to the overall properties of the galaxy. Both the radiative cooling and blast wave velocity physics are varied.
3.4.2 Numerical Implementation

By assuming that each stellar particle in the simulation represents an entire population with the same age we can calculate the minimum and maximum masses of stars that undergo supernova events over any given time period using Eq. (10). Each of these supernovae is assumed to go off in a neighbouring gas particle (i.e. one for which the distance, $r$, to the star is smaller than its smoothing length, $h$, in the SPH formalism). We chose this particle randomly from the neighbours, with a weight computed from the solid angle, $\Omega$, it subtends on the sky as seen from the position of the star particle,

$$\Omega = 4\pi \left( 1 - \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + h^2}} \right).$$

(14)

This weighting forces that nearby hot, diffuse gas (which tends to have larger $h$, hence larger weight) is heated more frequently than cooler, denser parts of the ambient medium (which are dense, hence have smaller $h$).

We do not transfer all SN energy to gas particles each timestep. Assuming that SN explosions are distributed evenly in time and space we can calculate for every ambient gas particle a ‘porosity’ of SN bubbles, $Q = V_B/V_\Lambda$. For the volume associated with a gas particle we use $V_\Lambda = (4\pi/3)h^3$, and $V_B = (4\pi/3) \sum \Delta n_x^3$ is the total volume of all the SN bubbles in this particle. When $Q$ is greater than a critical value, $Q_{\text{crit}} \approx 1$, the ambient phase is heated, else the available SN energy is carried over to the next time step. This ensures that the ambient phase is only heated when hot supernova bubbles make up a significant fraction of the volume. There are two motivations for this, firstly a given SPH particle cannot represent more than one phase at a given time. Secondly simulations usually do not limit the timestep to be a fraction of the cooling time. Consider a warm, $T \sim 10^4$K, SPH particle in the disk. If a small amount of SN energy is injected into this dense particle, it will cool very efficiently since the cooling rate is very high. It is only when the particle is heated to $T \gg 10^5$K that the reduced cooling may affect the particle dynamically, so that it will move into lower density gas, further decreasing its cooling rate, and becoming part of the hot, tenuous gas. Storing the available heating until the SN bubbles fill a significant fraction of the particle is a way of easing the transition from warm to hot and makes the outcome less dependent on the timestep.

To determine the porosity $Q$, we need to know the current radii, $r_B$, of SN bubbles. The radius $r_B$ depends on the ambient gas properties and also on the available energy, $E_b$, as discussed in section 3.3.1. Typically a single stellar particle will undergo multiple SN events over a single timestep. Using Eqs. (10) and (11) and obtaining the SPH estimate of the ambient gas density at the position of the star particle we can estimate the average radius of all supernova bubbles blown by a given star particle at any time. Working under the assumption that the porosity of the ISM is low we calculate the radiative loss from each bubble separately. When the porosity of the ISM becomes $Q > Q_{\text{crit}} \sim 1$, the SN bubbles are overlapping significantly and all coherent structure is assumed to be wiped out. The ambient gas particles are heated by the remaining thermal energy in the supernova bubbles and they are considered to disperse. The porosity is set back to zero. Note that using the Sedov solution implies we neglect radiative cooling in the remnants to determine the porosity, $Q$. However to determine how much energy is in the bubbles once we decide to heat the particle, we do use the tables of Thoron et al. (1995) to account for radiative cooling in the SN shells. We believe that even though this treatment is not fully consistent, it does capture the main physics.

3.5 Thermal Conduction

Thermal conduction between the ambient and cold gas in the simulation is an important ingredient in the self-regulation of the star formation rate in our model of the ISM.

3.5.1 Relevant Physics

Thermal conduction has two primary effects. The first is to smooth out the temperature and density profiles inside SN remnants. In the strong explosion solution of Sedov, where thermal conduction is neglected, the temperature of the blast wave increases sharply towards the centre of the blast. This is due to the fact that the gas near the origin was heated by a stronger shock than that at the edges and therefore evolves adiabatically. The effect of thermal conduction is to efficiently transport heat from the centre of the blast to the outer cool regions. The temperature of the interior of the supernova blast, $T_b$, is then approximately constant and equal to the mean temperature of the blast. (Chevalier 1973; MO77):

$$(\frac{T_b}{10^8 \text{K}}) = 1.2 \left(\frac{r_B}{10 \text{pc}}\right)^{-3} \left(\frac{n_b}{0.1 \text{cm}^{-3}}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{E_b}{10^{51} \text{erg}}\right),$$

(15)

where $n_b$ and $T_b$ are the mean density and temperature inside the bubble, respectively. We assume $r_B$ to be described by Sedov’s self-similar solution. The density $n_b$ is also approximately constant and is given in terms of the ambient density, $n_h$, as

$$n_b = 1 + x^{-5/3}$$

where

$$x \equiv \frac{\left(\frac{r_B}{10 \text{pc}}\right) \left(\frac{\Sigma_{\text{con}}}{10^{-5} \text{cm}^{-2}}\right)^{5/3} \left(\frac{E_b}{10^{51} \text{erg}}\right)^{-2/3}}{1 + x^{-5/3}}.$$ 

(16)

(17)

The dimensionless number $\Sigma_{\text{con}}$ represents the effectiveness of evaporation,

$$\Sigma_{\text{con}} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{con}}}{3} \left(\frac{r_c}{\text{pc}}\right) \left(\frac{E_b}{10^{51} \text{erg}}\right)^{-1/3} \phi^{-1},$$

(18)

(McKee 1977), and depends on $\alpha_{\text{con}} = r_b/c_h$ (the ratio of the velocity of the supernova blast wave to the sound speed of the medium), the cloud’s radius, $r_c$, the volume filling factor of the cold clouds, $f_c$, and the efficiency of thermal conduction, $\phi$ (see MO77 for details). For a pure Sedov blast wave $\alpha_{\text{con}} = 1.68$. The presence of magnetic fields and turbulence may decrease $\phi$ below its maximum value of $\phi = 1$. We compute $f_c$ for each sticky particle from its current cloud mass spectrum given the assumed cloud mass-radius relation, Eq. (2).

The second effect of thermal conduction is to evaporate cold clouds. According to McKee (1977); Cowie (1977), the evaporation rate is well described by:

$$\left(\frac{M_e}{M_\odot \text{Myr}^{-1}}\right) = -0.44 \times \left(\frac{T}{10^6 \text{K}}\right)^{5/2} \left(\frac{r_c}{\text{pc}}\right).$$

(19)
3.5.2 Numerical Implementation

Since we store the mass function of molecular clouds internally to each sticky particle explicitly (Sect. 3.2), we can apply Eq. (19) along with Eq. (2) to each cloud mass bin to calculate the total mass loss of a cloud over one timestep. The evaporation rate of the cloud depends on the temperature of the ambient gas, which is represented with SPH particles. However, as we discussed above, some fraction $Q$ of the volume of each SPH particle may be filled by hot SN bubbles, in which the evaporation rate of clouds may be much higher. Since we have computed $Q$, we can take this important effect into account.

Consider a single molecular cloud in thermal contact with an ambient medium of (constant) temperature $T$. The mass of a cloud at the end of a timestep ($M_f$) is related to its mass at the start of the timestep ($M_i$) by:

$$M_f = \left(M_i^{1-\alpha_c} - (1 - \alpha_c) \frac{0.44T^{5/2}r_{\text{ref}}}{M_{\odot}^{4/5}} \Delta t \right)^{1/(1-\alpha_c)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where $T$ is in units of $10^7$ K, masses are in $M_{\odot}$, lengths are in pc and times are in Myr.

Eq. (20) represents the mass loss rate for a single cloud in contact with a medium of temperature $T$. More generally in a porous medium a single cloud of mass $m$ has a mean mass loss rate described by:

$$\dot{M}_{\text{cloud}} = -Q \dot{M}_{\text{bubble}} - (1 - Q) \dot{M}_{\text{ambient}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where $\dot{m}_{\text{bubble}}$ and $\dot{m}_{\text{ambient}}$ represent the rate of mass loss for a cloud inside a supernova bubble and situated in the ambient medium respectively.

Eq. (20) can be applied directly to the evaporation of a cloud in the local ambient medium ($\dot{m}_{\text{ambient}}$). However to apply the same formula to the evaporation of clouds inside of supernova bubbles we need to account for the fact that although the temperature inside the bubbles remains uniform, due to conduction, it is not constant in time, but decreases as the bubble expands. We therefore make the additional assumption that the mean temperature of the supernova remnant is constant over a timestep (a good approximation after a short ($\sim 20$ yr) transient phase). Under this assumption Eq. (20) can be applied successfully to the more general case of evaporation in a porous medium. Eq. (19) and Eq. (9) are used to show that the total mass loss rate for clouds of mass $m$ in a volume $V_A$ is given by:

$$\left( \frac{M_c}{M_{\odot} \text{Myr}^{-1}} \right) = -0.44 \left( \frac{M_c}{M_{\odot}} \right)^{\alpha_c} \left( \frac{T_b}{10^7 \text{K}} \right)^{5/2} \left( \frac{r_{\text{ref}}}{\text{pc}} \right) \left( \frac{M_{\text{ref}}}{M_{\odot}} \right)^{-\alpha_c} \left( Q \left( \frac{T_a}{10^6 \text{K}} \right)^{5/2} + (1 - Q) \left( \frac{T_a}{10^6 \text{K}} \right)^{5/2} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Under the assumption that $T_b$, the mean temperature of supernova remnants, and $T_a$, the mean ambient temperature, are constant over any single timestep we can write:

$$\left( \frac{M_c}{M_{\odot} \text{Myr}^{-1}} \right) = \lambda \left( \frac{M_c}{M_{\odot}} \right)^{\alpha_c},$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

In order to calculate the constant of proportionality, $\lambda$, we use an estimate of the mean temperature and density inside of a supernova remnant. These estimates were obtained by noting that by definition $Q \equiv V_B/V_A$, ($V_B$ and $V_A$ represent

![Figure 3. Evolution of a population of molecular clouds as they are evaporated by a hot ambient medium. The initial cloud mass function is a power law. The temperature of the ambient medium is assumed to be $10^7$ K, the porosity of the medium is assumed to remain constant at 0.2, and the temperature of the supernova remnants is $\approx 10^8$ K. Thermal conduction acts to preferentially destroy the smaller clouds.](image)

the total volume in bubbles and the ambient phase respectively). The mean radius of a supernova remnant is then:

$$r_b = \left( \frac{3QV_A}{4\pi N_{SN}} \right)^{1/3},$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

where $N_{SN}$ is the total number of supernova explosions that have affected the local ambient medium (Calculated from equations 9 and 17). The mean density inside the supernova remnants, $n_b$, may then be calculated from Eq. (16) and Eq. (17) and the mean temperature from Eq. (15).

Over a period of time $\Delta t$ a cloud with mass $M_i$ will evaporate to a mass of $M_F$, given by:

$$M_F = \left( M_i^{1-\alpha_c} - \lambda \Delta t \right)^{1/(1-\alpha_c)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Thermal conduction efficiently destroys smaller clouds, but its effects are far less dramatic on larger clouds. Fig. 3 shows the evolution of an initially power law mass spectrum of clouds in a hot medium. The energy used to evaporate a mass $M_F - M_i$ of cold clouds is removed from the supernova remnants.

3.6 Mass Resolution Limits

The sticky particle model allows particles of all types to change their mass via processes including merging, thermal conduction and star formation. For this reason it is necessary for us to introduce numerical minimum and maximum masses on all particle types. We define at the initial time a characteristic mass resolution for our simulation, $M_{\text{char}}$, typically this is set equal to the mass of the ambient gas particles in the initial conditions. Where more than one mass of ambient particles is present (for example in the model galaxies discussed in section 5) we use the mass of the gas particles that will be forming most stars. We then define minimum and maximum particle masses relative to this characteristic mass scale.

Ambient gas particles may have their mass decreased
by the formation of molecular clouds. If the total mass of a
gas particle becomes less than $0.1M_{\text{char}}$ then it is converted
to a cloud and the ambient gas particles may also have their mass increased by the process of thermal
conduction. If a gas particle becomes more massive than
$4M_{\text{char}}$ then it is not allowed to grow any more, and the
evaporated cloud mass is given to a different particle. In
practice this limit is rarely, if ever, reached as evaporating
cold clouds effectively cools the ambient gas particles so they become inefficient at thermal conduction.

Sticky particles may decrease their mass by star formation
and evaporation. If the mass of a sticky particle drops below
$0.1M_{\text{char}}$ then it is either completely evaporated or
completely converted into stars. Coagulation may drive the
mass of a sticky particle to be very large. In practice this is
not a real concern since when a sticky particle becomes very
massive the rate at which its internal clouds coagulate also
increases, causing it to form stars very rapidly.

Stars have a maximum and minimum mass of $4M_{\text{char}}$
and $0.1M_{\text{char}}$. If a star forms with a mass greater than the
maximum allowed mass it is split into a number of smaller
star particles. A sticky particle may not form a star with a
mass lower than the minimum allowed mass. In this event-
uality then the mass of the unresolved stars is tracked
internally by the sticky particle and added into the next
star formation event until the total mass of stars formed
reaches the resolution limit of the simulation.

These particle mass limits keep all particle masses in the
range $0.1M_{\text{char}}$ to $4M_{\text{char}}$, which both minimises two body
effects between very massive and very small particles and
also prevents the formation of very many low mass particles,
which are computationally very expensive to evolve.

4 PARAMETER ESTIMATION

The various physical processes in the star formation and
feedback models each have associated with them physical
parameters. Before we discuss the properties of our model in
detail we discuss how its free parameters can be constrained.

The free parameters that control the thermal instability
and formation of the molecular clouds are $\rho_0$, and $T_{\text{th}}$,
the physical density and temperature at which thermal
instability is allowed to set in and radiative cooling creates
molecular clouds. Wolfe et al. (1993) found that a diffuse
ISM naturally settles into two stable phases, with a sharp
cutoff between the ambient and molecular phases at a density
of approximately 1 atom per cm$^3$. We use this as the
value of $\rho_0$. A threshold temperature $T_{\text{th}} = 10^4K$ allows
gas in galaxies which cools radiatively to $\sim (\text{Wilson & Scoville (1990)})$:
observations of molecular clouds in the nearby galaxy M33
(Wilson & Scoville (1990)):

$$r_{\text{pc}} = (36 \pm 6) \times 10^5 M_{\odot}^{0.3 \pm 0.1}$$

This $r_{\text{pc}}$ and $M_{\text{ref}}$ are assumed to be $36$ pc and $10^5 M_{\odot}$
respectively. This calibration (and an assumed $\alpha$ of 0.3) sugges-
ta radius of $122 \pm 6$ pc for the largest clouds observed in
the MW ($6 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ (Williams & McKee (1997))

The properties of the stars and associated feedback are
contained within four parameters: $x$, the slope of the IMF;
$E_{51}$, the energy of each supernova blast in units of $10^{51}$ erg;
$M_{\text{ref}}$, the minimum star mass; and $M_{\text{max}}$, the mass of
the largest allowed stars. For $E_{51}$ we use the fiducial value of
1.0 noting, however, that the value of $E_{51}$ is very uncertain
and may be significantly higher. The effects of varying
$E_{51}$ are investigated in section 5.3. For the purposes of
this work uncertainties in the IMF are neglected and $x$ is as-
sumed to take on the standard Salpeter value of 1.35. We
follow Kawata & Gibson (2003) in adopting values 0.2$M_{\odot}$
and 60$M_{\odot}$ for the minimum and maximum stellar masses,
respectively.

The star formation efficiency in a single cloud collapse is
also somewhat uncertain and is known to be approximately
$\epsilon_{\ast} \approx 11\%$ (Williams & McKee (1997)) in the MW.

The thermal conduction efficiency is characterised by
two numbers: $\alpha_{\text{con}}$, the ratio of the blast wave velocity to
the ambient sound speed and $\phi$, the efficiency of thermal
conduction. Following MO77, the value of $\alpha_{\text{con}}$ is set to 2.5
(for the ideal Sedov blast wave case, $\alpha_{\text{con}}$ is 1.68, the
presence of thermal conduction changes this value). The thermal
conduction efficiency parameter is assumed to be $\phi = 1$.
The presence of magnetic fields and turbulence may change $\phi$
significantly; we investigate the effect of moving away from this
value in Sect. 5.3.

This leaves $v_{\text{stick}}$ (the maximum relative cloud velocity
for mergers) and $\eta$ (the fraction of a cloud’s velocity lost
per non-merger collision) as free parameters that are hard
to constrain via observation. It is noted that the large scale
behaviour of a given simulation is largely independent of the
value of $\eta$. This is because the cold cloud velocity dispersion
is always limited by $v_{\text{stick}}$. In the following section simple
simulations are used in order to calibrate the properties of
the physical model.

4.1 One Zone Simulations

4.1.1 Simulation Details

A ‘one zone model’ is a periodic box that represents a fixed
mass and volume (i.e. a static, periodic box with no mass
outflow). The ambient ISM phase is assumed to be homo-
geneous. Initially, for a chosen mean density of matter we
assume that 50% of the material is initially in the hot phase
(of temperature $T_0 = 10^4K$). The remaining gas is ini-
tially in cold clouds with an initial mass function that is a
constant, $\propto M_{\odot}^{-2}$ and 60$M_{\odot}$ for the minimum and maximum stellar masses,
respectively.

The properties of the molecular clouds themselves are
contained within four parameters: $r_{\text{ref}}$, $M_{\text{ref}}$, and $\alpha_c$ as defined in
Eq. 2 and $u_{\text{c}}$, the internal energy per unit mass of molecular
clouds. The first three values are set by comparison with
observations of molecular clouds in the nearby galaxy M33
(Wilson & Scoville (1990)):

$$r_{\text{pc}} = (36 \pm 6) \times 10^5 M_{\odot}^{0.3 \pm 0.1}$$

Thus $r_{\text{ref}}$ and $M_{\text{ref}}$ are assumed to be $36$ pc and $10^5 M_{\odot}$
respectively. This calibration (and an assumed $\alpha_c$ of 0.3) sug-
gest a radius of $122 \pm 6$ pc for the largest clouds observed in
the MW ($6 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ (Williams & McKee (1997))

The properties of the stars and associated feedback are
contained within four parameters: $x$, the slope of the IMF;
$E_{51}$, the energy of each supernova blast in units of $10^{51}$ erg;
$M_{\text{ref}}$, the minimum star mass; and $M_{\text{max}}$, the mass of
the largest allowed stars. For $E_{51}$ we use the fiducial value of
1.0 noting, however, that the value of $E_{51}$ is very uncertain
and may be significantly higher. The effects of varying
$E_{51}$ are investigated in section 5.3. For the purposes of
this work uncertainties in the IMF are neglected and $x$ is as-
sumed to take on the standard Salpeter value of 1.35. We
follow Kawata & Gibson (2003) in adopting values 0.2$M_{\odot}$
and 60$M_{\odot}$ for the minimum and maximum stellar masses,
respectively.

The star formation efficiency in a single cloud collapse is
also somewhat uncertain and is known to be approximately
$\epsilon_{\ast} \approx 11\%$ (Williams & McKee (1997)) in the MW.

The thermal conduction efficiency is characterised by
two numbers: $\alpha_{\text{con}}$, the ratio of the blast wave velocity to
the ambient sound speed and $\phi$, the efficiency of thermal
conduction. Following MO77, the value of $\alpha_{\text{con}}$ is set to 2.5
(for the ideal Sedov blast wave case, $\alpha_{\text{con}}$ is 1.68, the
presence of thermal conduction changes this value). The thermal
conduction efficiency parameter is assumed to be $\phi = 1$.
The presence of magnetic fields and turbulence may change $\phi$
significantly; we investigate the effect of moving away from this
value in Sect. 5.3.

This leaves $v_{\text{stick}}$ (the maximum relative cloud velocity
for mergers) and $\eta$ (the fraction of a cloud’s velocity lost
per non-merger collision) as free parameters that are hard
to constrain via observation. It is noted that the large scale
behaviour of a given simulation is largely independent of the
value of $\eta$. This is because the cold cloud velocity dispersion
is always limited by $v_{\text{stick}}$. In the following section simple
simulations are used in order to calibrate the properties of
the physical model.

4.1 One Zone Simulations

4.1.1 Simulation Details

A ‘one zone model’ is a periodic box that represents a fixed
mass and volume (i.e. a static, periodic box with no mass
outflow). The ambient ISM phase is assumed to be homo-
geneous. Initially, for a chosen mean density of matter we
assume that 50% of the material is initially in the hot phase
(at a temperature of $T_0 = 10^4K$). The remaining gas is ini-
tially in cold clouds with an initial mass function that is a
very steep $(N(M)dM \propto M^{-8}dM)$ power law. Numerically
we represent the different phases as follows: the ambient
phase is assumed to be homogeneous and isotropic and so is
represented by a single density and temperature throughout
the whole periodic volume, molecular clouds are represented
by discrete sticky particles that are spawned at a random
point in the computational volume with a random velocity,
stars are not tracked individually, and are assumed to heat
the whole volume evenly when they undergo SN explosions.

The mass resolution of the molecular phase is approximately
$10^5 M_{\odot}$, although this figure is varied in section 1.1.2
gravitational focusing makes for an effect of only 10%. In the
between clouds account for the majority of the collisions and
the geometric part is approximately 0.1, therefore direct collisions
of star formation, which is quickly regulated by the effects of
feedback from stellar winds and supernova explosions. After this
initial burst the star formation rate in the simulation settles down
and gradually decreases as the gas in the box is used up.

This initial situation represents hot, dense gas that has just begun to experience a thermal instability and started
forming its first molecular clouds. The volume we simulate is one cubic kpc. The hot phase will evaporate cold clouds through thermal conduction, and can cool via radiative processes using a simple tabulated cooling function from Sutherland & Dopita (1993) (assuming solar metallicity). Cold cloud particles are scattered randomly throughout the volume and given random velocities. Clouds do not feel gravitational forces. Depending on the parameters, the ambient phase will cool radiatively to form more molecular gas. Clouds will coagulate to form GMCs, which in turn form stars. The associated SNe evaporate smaller clouds, and may heat the ambient medium and quench the star formation. This sequence of events is plotted in Fig (4), and may heat the ambient medium and quench the star formation. This is simple, it does produce a realistic cloud spectrum.

The ISM model can also reproduce the observed Schmidt law, and also look at the properties of one zone volumes.

As noted in section 4, the properties of the simulation are largely independent of $\eta$. We assume a value of 0.5 throughout the rest of this paper.

4.1.2 Calibrating the base model

The one zone model provides a useful sandbox in which we can investigate a wide variety of parameter choices in a relatively computationally inexpensive environment. In the following section we discuss our choices for the values of the different parameters. The effects of moving away from this ‘base model’ are discussed more fully in later sections.

The parameters that are available for tuning the output of the model are as follows: the cold cloud reference size and radius ($r_{\text{ref}}$, $M_{\text{ref}}$); the slope of the cloud mass-radius relation, $\alpha$; the efficiency of star formation in any given cloud collapse, $\epsilon_\star$; the maximum relative cloud velocity for merger ($v_{\text{stick}}$) and the amount of energy ejected per SNII event ($E_{51}$).

Even though the initially assumed cloud spectrum, $N(M)dM \propto M^{-\alpha}dM$, is very steep and far from equilibrium, SNe feedback and cloud coagulation rapidly build a mass spectrum $N(M)dM \propto M^{-\alpha}dM$ with $\alpha \approx 2$ (Fig 5), close to what is the observed cloud spectrum in the MW (dashed line) and M33 (dotted lines). This gives us confidence that, although the modelling of cloud formation is simple, it does produce a realistic cloud spectrum.

The ISM model can also reproduce the observed Schmidt law. We find that in our model the interaction between the coagulation of clouds and their destruction by stars leads to a SFR-density relation that is in good agreement with observation (Fig 5) if $v_{\text{stick}}$ is set to 7km/s. This represents a reasonable value for the molecular cloud
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Figure 6. Schmidt law. The diagonal dashed line represents the observed star formation law (Kennicutt 1998) and the vertical line represents the observed cutoff in star formation (10$M_\odot$pc$^{-2}$; Schaye 2004). Each point represents the star formation rate averaged over a period of 500Myr for a separate one zone simulation. Data is shown for three different values of $v_{\text{stick}}$, the base value used in all subsequent simulations is 7km/s. We calculate star formation rates by averaging the star formation rate in the simulation volume over a 500Myr period. Surface densities were calculated from volume densities by assuming a disk of thickness of 1kpc.

Figure 7. Star formation rate as a function of time for one zone models with three different mass resolutions. The star formation rate remains almost unchanged over two orders of magnitude in mass resolution. The coarsest mass resolution of 10$^9 M_\odot$ corresponds to the entire one-zone system being represented with a single particle with all clouds interactions modelled with the coagulation equations.

velocity dispersion, as considering theoretical models for the origin of random motion on molecular clouds, we would expect typical velocity dispersions in the range 5-7km/s (Jog & Ostriker 1988).

The effect of changing the mass resolution of the simulation over two orders of magnitude is demonstrated in Fig 7. Sub-resolution clouds that are simulated only by integrating the coagulation equations are designed to behave in exactly the same way as the resolved cloud particles in

Figure 8. Temperature and density of the ambient phase of a one zone model for a variety of different choices of initial temperature and density. The total gas density, ambient gas plus clouds, is always 2 cm$^{-3}$. The interplay of supernova feedback and radiative cooling quickly brings the system into an equilibrium independent of the initial value.

Figure 9. The large scale properties of a one zone model with initial density $n_0 = 2$ cm$^{-3}$. The physical parameters used in this model are the same as the base model as discussed in section 4.1.2.
The simulation, and so we expect the simulations not to depend strongly on particle number. This is borne out by the good agreement between simulations carried out with only one resolved particle (Fig 4, line with mass resolution of $10^9 M_\odot$) where all of the physics is followed by integrating the sub-grid equations in a single particle and simulations with a hundred particles that are followed explicitly.

As stated in previous sections, the behaviour of a one zone model is virtually independent of its initial temperature and the fraction of the gas that starts off in the cold phase. This behaviour is demonstrated in Fig 8. A one zone volume with total initial density of $n_0 = 2$ cm$^{-3}$ was evolved with a variety of different initial values for the initial temperature and initial fraction of the mass in the hot phase. We observe that regardless of the initial choices for these two quantities the system quickly settles down to its equilibrium state. This process occurs through the opposing actions of thermal conduction and supernova feedback.

Fig 9 and Fig 10 show the behaviour of the large scale properties of two different one zone volumes as a function of time. The only difference in the initial conditions of the two one zone volumes is their initial density Fig 9 shows the evolution of a one zone volume with a total density of 2 atoms/cm$^3$; Fig 10 shows exactly the same plots for a density of 16 atoms/cm$^3$. The initial temperature of the hot phase in both simulations is $10^4$ K. In both cases the star formation rate follows the same general shape. There is a small period of time at the beginning of the simulation where small clouds are coagulating and there is no star formation. When GMCs are formed there is a large burst of star formation that is quickly quenched by feedback SN and thermal conduction in SN bubbles. The temperature of the diffuse phase is regulated by a combination of supernova feedback (acting to increase the temperature) and radiative cooling. Due to the fact that we do not allow mass to leave the one zone volume and also assume instantaneous recycling, the temperature profile very closely matches that of the star formation rate. It is noted that in the one zone simulation with the largest density, the temperature of the ambient phase is held at a higher temperature by the action of supernovae. The fraction of the gas in the molecular phase is lower in the high density simulation due to the increased amount of evaporation by thermal conduction in the high temperature ambient phase. In the following sections the star formation and feedback prescriptions are tested in a more realistic situation.

5 RESULTS
As a more physically interesting test of the star formation model we have conducted simulations of various isolated model galaxies. In this section we introduce the details of the two different types of simulation performed and present the properties of the stellar disk and associated ISM in each case.

5.1 Quiescent Disk
One of the fundamental properties that a star formation prescription must be able to reproduce is that in MW like conditions, the resulting behaviour should be similar to that in the MW. In this section we discuss the properties of galaxy simulations set up to approximate the conditions in the MW’s quiescent disk.

5.1.1 Simulation Details
We set up a simplified model of a MW type galaxy using initial conditions from GalactICS (Kuijken & Dubinski (1993)). GalactICS generates near equilibrium distributions of collisionless particles consisting of a disk, bulge and halo. These models consist of a spherical bulge component; an approximately exponential disk, which is rotationally supported in the x-y plane and supported by random motion in the z direction; and an approximately spherical halo.

We add baryonic material to this distribution by converting the disk and bulge in their entirety into SPH particles at a temperature of $10^4$ K. 1% of the material in the halo is converted to baryons with a temperature of $10^8$ K. The addition of baryonic material puts the system well out of equilibrium so each simulation is run adiabatically for 50 Myr to allow the galaxy to relax closer to its equilibrium state before the additional physics is allowed to operate. The total mass in the disk, bulge and halo are $1 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, $0.43 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ and $1.1 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ respectively. The mass resolution of particles in each of three realisations of this galaxy are summarised in Table 1. These masses were chosen such that the gaseous particles in each of the three components have approximately the same mass and the dark matter halo particles have masses as close as possible to the gas
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution ($M_\odot$)</th>
<th>Disk</th>
<th>Bulge</th>
<th>Halo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$6.6 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$5.84 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$5.6 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base</td>
<td>$8.3 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$7.5 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$7.1 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$9.2 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Initial particle masses in three different realisations of the model GalactICs galaxy that are used throughout this paper. The disk and bulge consist entirely of baryons, the halo additionally contains dark matter. All masses are in units of $M_\odot$. Baryons are added to the dark matter halo by converting a random 1% of the halo DM particles into gas. The dark matter particle mass in the halo is therefore the same as the gas particle mass.

Figure 11. Demonstration of the star formation properties of the isolated galactic disk. The continuous field represents the molecular gas surface density of the simulated galactic disk, spiral structure is evident. The points represent the sites of star formation within the previous 10Myr. Most star formation events represent the collapse of a single GMC, resulting in the formation of $10^5 M_\odot$ of stars. It is clear that star formation is occurring primarily in the spiral arms of the galaxy.

Figure 13. A thin slice of the gas temperature field through the centre of a disk galaxy simulation. The arrows represent the gas velocity field, taking into account only gas that has been heated by supernovae. The generation of bipolar outflows from the galactic disk is very clear. The lower plot represents the galaxy after 50Myr, the upper panel is the same galactic disk after 500Myr.

5.1.2 The Base Simulations

In this section we will discuss simulations run with the base set of physical parameters (section 4.1.2). Most simulations were run at the base mass resolution as defined in Table 1.

The GalactICS simulations provide a test of the code in a situation somewhat similar to a quiescent MW disk. As discussed in section 3 all simulations were performed with the entropy conserving SPH code GADGET2 [Springel (2005)], with all of the physics discussed in section 3 implemented as additional modules. Table 2 contains a brief summary of the different simulations. Typical timestep size in one of the base simulations is $\sim 10^4$ yr, although this figure is smaller at early times when bursts of supernovae heat gas very strongly.

The large scale behaviour of the model galaxy is as follows: Immediately after switching on the additional star formation physics the dense, thermally unstable gas in the disk and bulge collapses into cold clouds, which quickly cause a large burst of star formation. After approximately 500Myr the galaxy settles down into a quiescent state with a star formation rate of approximately $1M_\odot$/yr. The star forma-
It is known that in the MW, most areas of active star formation are concentrated in the galactic spiral arms (e.g. Engargiola et al. 2003). Fig. (11) shows that our simulations reproduce this behaviour. In the sticky particle model this occurs naturally as the converging gas flows in galactic spiral arms lead to an increased merger rate and, therefore, to the presence of more star forming clouds. Face and edge on temperature and density plots of the standard resolution galaxy are shown in Fig (12). The gas heated by SNII is preferentially situated perpendicular to the plane of the disk, suggesting that the feedback scheme is preferentially heating the low density gas and setting up strong outflows. Fig. (13) shows the behaviour of the supernova heated gas in a thin slice through the centre of the disk. Initially there is a strong burst of star formation (lower panel of Fig. (13)), followed shortly by a burst of supernova explosions that heat the gas around the galactic disk as hot at $10^6$K. Most of this gas is driven straight out of the halo in a direction perpendicular to the galactic disk. Later on, as the supernova rate dies down, gas is heated more gently and is ejected from the galactic disk in the form of a fountain reminiscent of the galactic fountains present in the MW. This behaviour is demonstrated in figure (13) which shows for a random subset of particles from the gas disk the number of times they have been heated as a function of time with their height above the galactic disk. It is clear that upon being strongly heated, some particles are ejected from the galactic disk and fall back down a few hundred Myr later. Others remain in dense regions and cool immediately. Some escape the disk completely in the form of a galactic wind. This behaviour was also observed in the multiphase star formation models of Scannapieco et al. (2003), suggesting that it is a more general feature of multiphase models.

Table 2. Brief table of simulation references and details. $N_{gas}$ shows the number of gas particles in the disk, bulge and halo combined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>$N_{gas}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAL_BASE</td>
<td>Base GalactICs model</td>
<td>19330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAL_LORES</td>
<td>Base model with degraded mass resolution</td>
<td>2450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAL_HIRES</td>
<td>Base model with improved mass resolution</td>
<td>255000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAL_BASE_LOSN</td>
<td>$E_{51}$ decreased by factor of 5</td>
<td>19330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAL_BASE_HISN</td>
<td>$E_{51}$ increased by factor of 5</td>
<td>19330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAL_BASE_LOCON</td>
<td>Conduction efficiency decreased by factor of 5</td>
<td>19330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAL_BASE_HICON</td>
<td>Conduction efficiency increased by factor of 5</td>
<td>19330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAL_BASE_LOZ</td>
<td>Gas metallicity set to 0.5 Solar</td>
<td>19330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAL_BASE_HIZ</td>
<td>Gas metallicity set to 1.5 Solar</td>
<td>19330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROT_BASE</td>
<td>Spherical rotating collapse</td>
<td>15000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROT_LORES</td>
<td>Spherical rotating collapse</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROT_HIRES</td>
<td>Spherical rotating collapse</td>
<td>45000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recent observations of the gas content of the MW have allowed the construction of maps of its gas surface density (Levine et al. 2006). In order to compare the properties of our model to observations, another GalactICs model was generated with properties as close as possible to those of the MW. The total mass of the galactic disk was set to $5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, and the scale radius of the exponential disk to 4.5kpc. This simulation was evolved for 1Gyr. The resulting gas distribution is shown in Fig. (17). Our simulations are in good agreement with the observations of Levine et al. (2006).

These properties suggest that the star formation and feedback prescriptions behave well in a quiescent disk, a more robust test of how they perform in a more general situation is given by the rotating collapse simulations.

5.2 Rotating Collapse

5.2.1 Simulation Details

The second simulation we investigate is the collapse of a rotating spherical halo (Navarro & White 1993) with an in-
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Figure 12. A thin slice of the gas temperature and density distributions after 1Gyr in run GAL_BASE. The slice is taken directly through the centre of mass of the stellar disk. The temperature plot clearly shows regions of strongly heated gas, these are areas near to sites of active star formation, where the massive, short lived stars are heating the ambient material via SN explosions.

5.2.2 The Base Simulations

After 2Gyr the density profiles of each of the three phases of matter are shown in Fig. 18 and Fig. 19. The density profiles are averaged around the disk; each radial bin represents a ring centered on the centre of mass of the disk. Figure 18 shows the radial density profiles of both the ambient and molecular gas. The three different resolution simulations once again behave in very similar ways. Fig. 19 shows the radial density profile of stellar mass, demonstrating that our star formation prescription gives rise to an exponential disk and a bulge component well fitted by the standard $r^{1/4}$ law.

The rotating collapse simulations are especially interesting because they start far from equilibrium and so fea-
Figure 21. Density-Temperature relation for a rotating collapse simulation showing the creation of three distinct components. In the final time plot region a contains gas in the halo of the galaxy. Region b is gas in the disk of the galaxy, which reaches an equilibrium temperature of $\sim 10^4 K$ and c represents the approximate position of the molecular clouds, at an assumed temperature of 100K. The gas in the halo consists both of gas that failed to cool on to the disk, and gas that was originally in the disk but has been heated by supernovae. Approximately 45% of the mass is in the two hot phases, 40% in the disk and 15% in the cold molecular clouds.

The evolution of the molecular fraction (the ratio of the mass in molecular hydrogen to the mass in atomic hydrogen) varies as a function of distance from the centre. Observational data from M31 (Dame et al. 1993) is included as a comparison.

The evolution of the thermal properties of the halo are shown in Fig. 21. In its initial state all the gas in the halo is cold. As the halo collapses it becomes dense and is shock heated. The gas that ends up in the disk comes to an equilibrium between radiative cooling and the heating due to SNe at approximately $10^4 K$ and a halo of hot, SN heated gas at $\sim 10^6 K$ is gradually formed. The addition of the molecular gas ($T \sim 100 K, \rho \sim 100 - 1000 cm^{-3}$) forms an ISM with four phases: shocked halo gas, SNe heated material, cold molecular clouds and warm disk gas. The first two components are hard to distinguish on the $\rho-T$ plot. Blitz & Rosolowsky (2006) have argued that the ratio of molecular to atomic gas in galaxies, $R_{\text{mol}}$, is determined by hydrostatic pressure and through observations of nearby galaxies found the following relation:

$$R_{\text{mol}} = \left[ \frac{P_{\text{ext}}/k_B}{(3.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^4} \right]^{0.92 \pm 0.07},$$

(27)
where \( P_{\text{ext}} \) is an estimate of the mid-plane pressure, \( R_{\text{mol}} \) is the ratio of mass in the atomic and molecular phases. Fig 22 shows the data for the ROT_BASE simulation after 1 Gyr alongside the observed best fit line (Eq (27)). We use the SPH estimate of the pressure at \( z = 0 \). To calculate \( R_{\text{mol}} \) we use all matter within a vertical distance of 1kpc from the centre of mass of the disk and bin radially. The model of the ISM clearly reproduces the observed behaviour.

5.3 Away From the Base Model

The determination of some of the physical parameters used in our model is somewhat uncertain. In this section we investigate the effect of varying some of the physics included in the models. Large uncertainties are present in the determination of some of the parameters including \( E_{51} \), the thermal conduction efficiency, and the physics we include in our treatment of SN explosions. In addition our simulations do not contain a detailed treatment of metals. We demonstrate the effects of changing each of these parameters on the large scale properties of simulated quiescent disks.

The value of \( E_{51} \) may differ greatly from unity, for example due to radiative cooling of the SN remnant. We investigate the effect of moving \( E_{51} \) away from unity and also look at changing the physics included in our analytic model for blast wave evolution, firstly by extending the simple Se...
Figure 17. Plot of the distribution of gas atomic in a galactic disk after 500Myr of evolution. Colours and plot dimensions are matched to those in Levine et al (2006) for easy comparison to observations. The inner circle represents the radius from the centre of the galaxy to the position of the sun. The outer radius is where the observations of Levine et al (2006) are truncated. The simulated MW has a surface density profile in close agreement with that observed by Levine et al.

Figure 18. Density profiles for the ambient material (grey lines) and the molecular clouds (black lines). The solid lines represent the low resolution rotating collapse simulations and the dotted lines represent the highest resolution simulations. Agreement between the high and low resolution simulations is very good.

Figure 19. Stellar density profile in the base rotating collapse simulation. Solid lines represent the best fit exponential and a de Vaucouleurs profile. The scale radii used in the exponential and de Vaucouleurs fits are $r_d$ and $r_b$ respectively. It is clear that even starting from an initial condition far from equilibrium we generate a stellar disk with a surface density profile similar to that in observed galaxies.

Figure 20. Fraction of gas in the molecular phase as a function of distance from the centre of the galactic disk for the rotating collapse model at two different times. The solid line represents the same data as plotted from M31 (Dame et al (1993)), with the x-axis representing position along the major axis of the galaxy. The simulated galactic disk is in good agreement with observation.

Altering the value of $E_{51}$ has, as expected, two main effects. Firstly an increased supernova efficiency can eject gas from the galactic disk more efficiently and quenches star formation very quickly. Secondly, the gas disk in simulations with higher supernova efficiency is found to be less centrally concentrated; the reverse is also true in simulations with a low supernova efficiency. This is a result of supernova feedback ejecting gas from the galactic disk more efficiently in the runs with a high value of $E_{51}$. We find that the fiducial value of $E_{51} = 1.0$ provides a good match with the observed properties of disk galaxies.

More accurate modelling of the evolution of supernova blast waves (by using the Tang & Wang (2005) fit to the blast wave evolution) does not significantly change the properties of the galaxy. Assuming that a typical supernova remnant expands for approximately $\sim 0.3$ Myr before being dis-
Our simulation code does not contain a detailed description of mass feedback from supernovae. Therefore we need to verify that the expected evolution in metallicity over the timescale of the simulation will not substantially affect the properties of the galactic disk.

Following Harfst, Theis & Hensler (2006) we use a simple analytic model to estimate the change in metallicity over the timescale of a typical simulation then run simulations with metallicities bracketing this range. By assuming that stars form at a constant rate of $1M_\odot/yr$, that there is one type 2 supernova event per $125M_\odot$ of stars formed, and using metal yields due to Woosley & Weaver (1995) we estimate that over the 1Gyr timespan of one of the quiescent disk simulations the average metallicity of the galaxy should not change by more than 0.04$Z_\odot$.

The base simulations have a metallicity of $Z_\odot$, additional simulations were run with metallicities of $0.5Z_\odot$ and $1.5Z_\odot$, far outside the metallicity evolution range expected in our quiescent disks. The total amount of stars after 1Gyr in the high metallicity run is 5% higher than in the base run. The low metallicity run contains approximately 5% less stars than the base run. This trend arises because the radiative cooling rate of the ambient phase is related to the ambient gas metallicity. All the properties of the three simulated disks agree to within 10% with the properties of the base simulations. The reason for the surprisingly small dependence of the galaxy properties on the metallicity of the gas is that in the quiescent disk the gas is maintained at a temperature of $\sim 10^4K$. At this temperature the radiative cooling function does not change very much with metallicity. We do, however, note that a full treatment of the metal enrichment of the gas is necessary in performing fully cosmological simulations since here the metallicity of the gas may significantly affect the way in which it collapses (e.g. Scannapieco et al. (2005)). In these simulations we have additionally neglected the change in stellar lifetime with metallicity (see e.g. Raiteri, Villata & Navarro (1996)). Since we are simulating a quiescent disk, it is not expected that changes to the lifetimes of massive stars will have a large effect on the properties of the galactic disk.

However, as noted by Harfst, Theis & Hensler (2006) a detailed prescription for the yields from supernovae is necessary if we want to simulate the early evolution of a galaxy.

One of the most poorly constrained parameters is the efficiency of evaporation of molecular clouds through thermal conduction. Magnetic fields and turbulence may affect the amount of thermal conduction by a large amount (MOTT). We ran quiescent disk simulations with the efficiency of thermal conduction moved by a factor of five in each direction (GAL_BASE_LOCON; GAL_BASE_HICON). More efficient thermal conduction leads to a lower density of molecular clouds in the galactic disk, as well as making the cloud mass function more shallow. The star formation rates are affected by a similar amount, in the simulations with a high thermal conduction rate the star formation rate is depressed by an order of magnitude. As discussed in section 5.1 the base value for the thermal conduction efficiency reproduces many of the observed properties of the MW.

6 CONCLUSIONS

Motivated by the fact that we cannot reasonably resolve the Jeans scale for molecular clouds in galaxy simulations we have introduced a new star formation and feedback prescription. We model the ambient phase of the ISM using a hydrodynamic simulation code and the unresolved molecular gas using a sticky particle prescription. Our model leads to a tightly self-regulating multiphase ISM. The multiphase nature of our star formation prescription avoids a lot of the problems of overcooling that were present in the first generation of star formation models. With the exception of the parameter that controls the molecular cloud coagulation timescale, $v_{stick}$, all the parameters in our model can be tightly constrained by observation, leaving the cloud coagulation timescale as a free parameter that we can adjust to match the observed properties of galaxies. Where possible our model of the ISM has been formulated in such a
way that the results of a simulation should be independent of mass resolution. We demonstrated that the large scale properties of our simulations were unchanged over a two orders of magnitude shift in mass resolution.

We have applied the sticky particle star formation model to three different types of simulation: a simple one zone model, the rotating collapse of a gas and dark matter sphere and a model of a quiescent galactic disk. After using the one zone simulation to set the value of the parameters that cannot be determined observationally, the sticky particle model can be applied to the other simulations without any parameter changes.

The simulations of a quiescent disk galaxy reproduce the observed Schmidt law with a slope of 1.4 due to the opposing effects of cloud coagulation and feedback effects. The galaxy also developed a natural three component ISM. Finally we observe supernova heated gas in the galaxy being ejected from the disk either in the form of a galactic fountain, or, when the star formation rate (and associated supernova rate) is sufficient, in the form of strong bipolar outflows. Both of these results arise as a natural consequence of the physics included in our star formation prescription.

Simulations of the collapse of a rotating sphere of dark matter and gas reproduced, many of the observed properties of galactic disks, beginning from an initial condition well out of equilibrium. In particular we observe a stellar disk with distinct bulge and disk components, well fitted by the standard exponential and de Vacouleurs density profiles. The fraction of molecular gas in the disk as a function of radius is reproduced, and agrees well with recent observations of nearby galaxies. The observed relation between the disk midplane pressure and the fraction of molecular clouds is also reproduced. We also observe star formation rates comparable to those in disk galaxies and note that our model reproduces the formation of stars in the spiral arms of the galaxy.

Our preferred values for most of the parameters are discussed in section 4 and are usually constrained by observation. We varied the values of those parameters that are only weakly constrained and found that our preferred value of $E_{51} = 1$ find that we obtain reasonable results. Including different physical descriptions of the evolution of supernova blast waves makes only a modest difference to our results. Finally we note that in the quiescent disk simulation our results depend relatively weakly on metallicity; although this will not be the case in fully cosmological simulations, for which a full treatment of metal enrichment via supernova feedback is necessary.

A natural continuation of this work is to extend our investigations to higher redshift through the use of fully cosmological simulations, and to explore the behaviour of the ISM in colliding galaxies. This work is currently being pursued.
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APPENDIX A: LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\alpha_c$: Slope of the molecular cloud mass-radius relation. Eq 2
$\sigma_0$: Sound speed of the ambient gas phase
$\epsilon_{\star}$: Fraction of a GMC converted to stars in a collapse.
$\eta$: Fraction of cloud velocity lost to 'cooling' collision
$E_{51}$: Energy ejected per SnII in units of $10^{51}$ ergs
$E_{50}$: Total energy in a supernova blast wave
$E_m$: Total kinetic energy in molecular clouds of mass $m$ in a given volume
$f_{C1}$: Filling factor of cold clouds
$f_{\phi}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$: Fraction of collisions between clouds with velocity dispersions $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ that lead to mergers
$K(m, m')$: The kernel for aggregation of clouds of masses
m and m’.

\[ \lambda : \text{Constant of proportionality relating cloud mass and destruction rate by thermal conduction. Eq. (2)}. \]

\[ \Lambda_{S} : \text{Normalised radiative cooling rate}. \]

\[ \Lambda_{S}^\text{net} : \text{Net radiative cooling rate (ergs cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}). \]

\[ M_{c} : \text{Mass of a molecular cloud}. \]

\[ M_{\text{char}} : \text{Characteristic mass resolution of a simulation}. \]

\[ M_{*,\text{min}} : \text{Minimum allowed star mass}. \]

\[ M_{*,\text{max}} : \text{Maximum allowed star mass}. \]

\[ n_{b} : \text{Density internal to a supernova remnant in atoms / cm}^{3}. \]

\[ n_{c} : \text{Density of a molecular cloud in atoms / cm}^{3}. \]

\[ N_{S} : \text{The slope of the schmidt law. Eq (3)}. \]

\[ n(m, t) : \text{The number of clouds with masses between } m \text{ and } m + dm \]

\[ N(m, t) : \text{The number density of clouds with masses between } m \text{ and } m + dm \]

\[ \phi : \text{Efficiency of destruction of cold clouds by thermal conduction}. \]

\[ Q : \text{Porosity of the interstellar medium}. \text{Sec. 3.4.2} \]

\[ r_{c} : \text{Radius of a molecular cloud}. \]

\[ r_{\text{ref}} : \text{Reference cold cloud radius. Eq (2)}. \]

\[ r_{\text{fick}} : \text{The radius of a spherical blast wave}. \]

\[ \rho_{c} : \text{Mean density of molecular clouds contained in a volume}. \]

\[ \rho_{b} : \text{Mean density of ambient gas contained in a volume}. \]

\[ \rho_{\text{amb}} : \text{Density at which ambient gas becomes thermally unstable}. \]

\[ \rho_{\text{SFR}} : \text{Volume density of star formation}. \]

\[ T_{b} : \text{Mean temperature inside of a supernova remnant}. \]

\[ T_{c} : \text{Internal temperature of cold clouds}. \]

\[ T_{\text{fick}} : \text{Temperature of the ambient gas phase}. \]

\[ u_{b} : \text{Thermal energy per unit mass of supernova remnants}. \]

\[ u_{c} : \text{Thermal energy per unit mass of cold clouds}. \]

\[ u_{\text{fick}} : \text{Thermal energy per unit mass of the ambient phase}. \]

\[ \Sigma : \text{Cross section for collision between clouds. Eq. (3)}. \]

\[ \Sigma_{\text{cond}} : \text{Efficiency of thermal conduction. Eq (3)}. \]

\[ v_{\text{app}} : \text{Relative approach velocity of two molecular clouds}. \]

\[ v_{\text{fick}} : \text{Maximum relative velocity for cloud merger}. \]

\[ x : \text{Slope of the stellar IMF}. \]

\[ n(m, t) = \frac{1}{V} n(m, t) \int_{0}^{\infty} n(m', t) K(m', m - m') dm' \tag{B1} \]

\[ n(m, t) \sim \frac{1}{V} n(m, t) \int_{0}^{\infty} n(m', t) K(m, m') dm'. \]

where \( n(m, t) \) represents the number of clouds with masses between \( m \) and \( m + dm \) contained within a volume, \( V \) and \( K(m, m') \) represents the kernel for aggregation of clouds with masses \( m \) and \( m' \), as defined by Eq. (3).

The fraction of collisions between clouds of masses \( m_{1} \) and \( m_{2} \) that lead to mergers is given by

\[ f_{m}(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\left( \frac{v_{1} - v_{\text{fick}}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{1}} \right)^{2}} \left[ \text{erf} \left( \frac{v_{1} + v_{\text{fick}}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}} \right) - \text{erf} \left( \frac{v_{1} - v_{\text{fick}}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{2}} \right) \right] dv_{1}. \tag{B2} \]

Using this definition of \( f_{m} \) the Smoluchowski equation becomes

\[ \frac{dn}{dt} = \frac{1}{2V} \int_{0}^{\infty} n(m', t) n(m - m', t) K(m', m - m') \tag{B3} \]

\[ f_{m}(\sigma_{m'}, \sigma_{m - m'}) dm' \]

\[ - \frac{n(m, t)}{V} \int_{0}^{\infty} n(m', t) K(m, m') f_{m}(\sigma_{m}, \sigma_{m'}) dm'. \]

As discussed in section 3.2, clouds of mass \( m \) may gain or lose kinetic energy in three ways: clouds of mass \( m' \) and \( m - m' \) may merge to form extra clouds of mass \( m \). Clouds of mass \( m \) may merge with clouds of any other mass to decrease the number of clouds of mass \( m \). Finally clouds of mass \( m \) can interact gravitationally with any other clouds, thus losing kinetic energy. These three processes are termed gain, loss and cooling.

Gain processes may be represented in the following way, where we have integrated over \( m' \) such that the two particles that merge have masses that sum to \( m \)

\[ \dot{E}_{\text{gain}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{v_{1} = -\infty}^{v_{1} = \infty} \int_{v_{2} = v_{1} + v_{\text{fick}}}^{v_{2} = \infty} \left[ P(v_{1}) P(v_{2}) n(m', t) \right] \tag{B4} \]

\[ n(m' - m', t) K(m', m - m') f_{m}(m', m - m') E_{f} \]

\[ dv_{2} dv_{1} dm'. \]

\( P(v_{1}) \) and \( P(v_{1}) \) are the probability distributions velocities \( v_{1} \) and \( v_{2} \) and are assumed to be gaussian with standard deviation \( \sigma_{1} \) and \( \sigma_{2} \) respectively. \( E_{f} \) represents the final kinetic energy of a collision between particles of masses \( m' \) and \( m - m' \). \( E_{f} \) is evaluated by considering conservation of momentum,

\[ E_{f} = \frac{1}{2} \left( m' v_{1} + (m - m') v_{2} \right)^{2}. \tag{B5} \]

Eq (B3) then becomes

\[ \dot{E}_{\text{gain}} = \frac{n(m', t)}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} n(m - m', t) K(m', m - m') \tag{B6} \]

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{v_{1} = -\infty}^{v_{1} + v_{\text{fick}}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{m} \sigma_{m - m'}} e^{-\left( \frac{v_{1} - v_{\text{fick}}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{m}} \right)^{2}} - \frac{1}{\sigma_{m} \sigma_{m - m'}} e^{-\left( \frac{v_{1} + v_{\text{fick}}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma_{m - m'}} \right)^{2}} \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{m} (m' v_{1} + (m - m') v_{2})^{2} \right) dv_{2} dv_{1} dm'. \]
and Eq. (B6) may be written

\[
\dot{E} = \frac{n(m', t)}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} n(m - m', t)K(m', m) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma m' \sigma m} e^{-\left(\frac{v_1}{\sigma m'}\right)^2} dv_1 \int_{v_1 - v_{\text{stick}}}^{v_1 + v_{\text{stick}}} e^{-\left(\frac{v_2}{\sigma m - m'}\right)^2} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m'v_1 + (m - m')v_2}{m}\right)^2 dv_2 \, dm', \tag{B7}
\]

The total kinetic energy of particles of mass \(m\) may also be decreased by mergers between particles of mass \(m\) and any other mass (the second process in the list). Similarly to Eq. (B6), the rate of energy loss may be written

\[
\dot{E}_{\text{loss}} = \frac{n(m, t)}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} n(m', t)K(m, m') \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma m' \sigma m} e^{-\left(\frac{v_1}{\sigma m'}\right)^2} dv_1 \int_{v_1 - v_{\text{stick}}}^{v_1 + v_{\text{stick}}} e^{-\left(\frac{v_2}{\sigma m - m'}\right)^2} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m'v_1 + (m - m')v_2}{m}\right)^2 dv_2 \, dm'. \tag{B8}
\]

Finally, the total energy of particles with mass \(m\) may be decreased by collisions between particles of mass \(m\) and particles of any other mass that occur at relative velocities greater than \(v_{\text{stick}}\). In this case, the velocity of both particles is decreased by a factor \(\eta\) relative to the centre of mass. For a collision between particles of masses \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) (velocities \(v_1\) and \(v_2\)) the final velocity of particle 1 (denoted \(v_1'\)) is evaluated by conservation of momentum

\[
v_1' = \eta(v_1 - v_{\text{com}}) + v_{\text{com}} = \frac{m_1 v_1 + m_2 v_2}{m_1 + m_2}, \quad \Delta E = \frac{1}{2} m_1 v_1'^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_1 v_1^2, \tag{B9}
\]

Using these definitions, the change in energy of a particle of mass \(m_1\) by gravitational cooling with a particle of mass \(m_2\), denoted \(\epsilon\) is given by

\[
\epsilon = \frac{m_1}{2} \left[ v_1^2 (1 - \alpha^2) - v_2^2 \beta^2 - v_1 v_2 \alpha \beta \right], \tag{B10}
\]

where

\[
\alpha = \eta + \frac{m_1}{m_1 + m_2} (1 - \eta), \quad \beta = \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2} (1 - \eta). \tag{B11}
\]

In a similar way to Eq. (B6), the energy loss via this process may be written

\[
\dot{E}_{\text{cool}} = \frac{n(m, t)}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} n(m', t)K(m, m') \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma m' \sigma m} e^{-\left(\frac{v_1}{\sigma m'}\right)^2} dv_1 \int_{|v_1 - v_2| > v_{\text{stick}}} e^{-\left(\frac{v_2}{\sigma m - m'}\right)^2} \epsilon \, dv_2 \, dm'. \tag{B13}
\]

**APPENDIX C: THE SOLUTION OF THE COAGULATION EQUATIONS**

In our simulations we solve the discrete versions of Eq. (B5), Eq. (B6) and Eq. (B8), where by assuming that cloud mass is quantised into \(N\) bins characterised by an index, \(i\), where \(M_i = i M_0\) we can write

\[
\dot{n}_i = \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{i,j} K_{ij} f_{ij}^{m} n_i n_j - \frac{n_k}{V} \sum_{j=1}^{N} K_{jk} f_{jk}^{m} n_j, \tag{C1}
\]

\[
\dot{E}_k = \dot{E}_{\text{gain}} - \dot{E}_{\text{loss}} - \dot{E}_{\text{cool}}, \tag{C2}
\]

\[
\dot{\sigma}_k = \dot{E}_k - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_k^2 M_k \dot{n}_k, \tag{C3}
\]

where subscripts represent different mass bins. \(K_{ij} \equiv K(M_i, M_j) \equiv K(i M_0, k M_0)\). The superscript \(m\) represents that \(f\) is a cross section for particle mergers.

To demonstrate the technique for solving these equations we will consider the numerical solution of the simple Smoluchowski (Eq. (B1)), which when written in a discrete form takes on the following form

\[
\dot{n}_i = \frac{1}{2V} \sum_{j=1}^{N} n_j n_{i-j} K_{ij} - \frac{n_k}{V} \sum_{j=1}^{N} n_j K_{ij}, \tag{C4}
\]

Following [Benson, Kamionkowski & Hassani 2003] Eq (C4) can be rewritten in the form of a matrix equation

\[
\dot{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{k}, \tag{C5}
\]

the vector \(\mathbf{k}\) has \(N \times N\) elements corresponding to \(K(m_i, m_j)\). The kernel matrix, \(\mathbf{B}\) has \(N \times N\) elements and may be written more explicitly as

\[
\dot{n}_i = \sum_{j} B_{ij} \delta_{jk}, \tag{C6}
\]

where

\[
B_{ijk} = \frac{n_i n_k}{V} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j+k} - \delta_{ik} \right] \tag{C7}
\]

where \(\delta\) represents a Kronecker delta function. We solve Eq. (C5) implicitly using an iterative method.

The solution of the equations that govern energy exchange between clouds (Eq (B7), Eq (B8) and Eq (B13)) is the same as for the solution of the Smoluchowski equation in that we will write the equations in the form of the linear multiplication of two matrices and then solve this equation implicitly. In order to simplify the notation in this section we will denote the terms in the three equations that are inside of the integrals over velocity as \(\xi\). Explicitly for the case of the equation for energy gain (Eq. (B7)):

\[
\xi^G(m, m') = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma m' \sigma m} e^{-\left(\frac{v_1}{\sigma m'}\right)^2} \int_{v_1 - v_{\text{stick}}}^{v_1 + v_{\text{stick}}} e^{-\left(\frac{v_2}{\sigma m - m'}\right)^2} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m m_1 + m_2 v_2^2}{m}\right) dv_2 \, dm'. \tag{C8}
\]

The corresponding terms in the equations for energy loss (B8) and cooling (B13) are denoted \(\xi^L(m_1, m_2)\) and
\( \xi^C(m_1, m_2) \) respectively. Note that the definitions of \( \xi \) include the factors of \( 2\pi \) and \( \frac{1}{\sigma} \) from throughout the equations.

The equation for the total evolution of the energy of a system of coagulating and cooling particles may be written in terms of these new functions as:

\[
\dot{E}(m) = \int_0^\infty n(m', t)n(m - m', t)K(m', m - m')\xi^G(m', m - m')dm' \\
- n(m, t)\int_0^\infty n(m', t)K(m, m')\xi^L(m, m')dm' \\
- n(m, t)\int_0^\infty n(m', t)K(m, m')\xi^C(m, m')dm' 
\tag{C9}
\]

Which when discretized and rearranged becomes

\[
\dot{E}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_in_jK_{ij}\xi^G_{ij} - n_i\left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} n_jK_{ij}(\xi^C_{ij} + \xi^L_{ij}) \right) 
\tag{C10}
\]

The subscripts represent different mass bins (\( n_j \equiv n(jM_0) \)). Our goal is to rewrite Eq \( \text{(C10)} \) in the form of a linear multiplication of two matrices

\[
\dot{E} = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{k}, 
\tag{C11}
\]

where \( \mathbf{k} \) is defined in the same way in the solution of the Smoluchowski equation, that is: \( k_{ij} \equiv K(m_i, m_j) \). The form of \( \mathbf{C}_{ijk} \) that is consistent with Eq \( \text{(C10)} \) is given by:

\[
C_{ijk} = n_jn_k\left( \delta_{i,j+k}\xi^G_{jk} - \delta_{ik}(\xi^C_{jk} + \xi^L_{jk}) \right) 
\tag{C12}
\]

This form for \( \mathbf{C}_{ijk} \) is functionally equivalent to \( \mathbf{B}_{ijk} \) (Eq \( \text{(C7)} \)) so the solution may proceed in exactly the same way as for the Smoluchowski equation, the only difference is the form of the matrix \( \mathbf{B} \).

The calculation of the quantities \( \xi^G \), \( \xi^C \) and \( \xi^L \) is computationally very expensive so they are initialised once into a lookup table at the start of every simulation and obtained by bilinear interpolation thereafter.
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