

1 **OsSFR6 is a functional orthologue of Arabidopsis SENSITIVE**
2 **TO FREEZING-6 and can act as a regulator of *COR* gene**
3 **expression, osmotic stress and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis**

4

5 Deepthi L. Wathugala¹, Shane A. Richards, Heather Knight* and Marc R. Knight
6 School of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, Durham University, South Road,
7 Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom.

8 ¹ current address, Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University
9 of Ruhuna, Mapalana, Kamburupitiya, Sri Lanka.

10 * author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel, 0191 334 3215; fax
11 0191 334 1201; email p.h.knight@durham.ac.uk

12 Word counts:

13 Introduction 555

14 Materials and methods 1398

15 Results 1370

16 Discussion 1536

17 Acknowledgements 31

18 Number of figures 10

19

20 Abbreviations:

21 SFR6: sensitive to freezing 6

22 COR: cold-on regulated

23 DREB: DRE-binding

24 CBF: C-repeat binding factor

25

26 **Summary**

27 • The Arabidopsis protein SENSITIVE TO FREEZING 6 (AtSFR6)
28 is required for cold- and drought-inducible expression of *COLD-ON*
29 *REGULATED (COR)* genes and as a consequence, AtSFR6 is essential for
30 osmotic stress and freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. Therefore,
31 orthologues of AtSFR6 in crop species represent important candidate
32 targets for future manipulation of stress tolerance. We identified and
33 cloned a homologue of AtSFR6 from rice, OsSFR6, and confirmed its
34 orthology in Arabidopsis.

35 • OsSFR6 was identified by homology searches, and a full-length
36 coding region isolated using RT-PCR from *Oryza sativa* cDNA. To test
37 for orthology, OsSFR6 was expressed in an Arabidopsis *sfr6* loss-of-
38 function mutant background, and restoration of wild type phenotypes
39 assessed.

40 • Searching the rice genome revealed a single homologue of *AtSFR6*.
41 Cloning and sequencing the *OsSFR6* coding region showed OsSFR6 to
42 have 69.8% identity and 80.7% similarity to AtSFR6 at the predicted
43 protein sequence level. Expression of OsSFR6 in the *Atsfr6* mutant
44 background restored the wild-type visible phenotype, as well as restoring
45 wild type levels of *COR* gene expression and tolerance of osmotic and
46 freezing stresses.

47 • OsSFR6 is an orthologue of AtSFR6, and thus a target for future
48 manipulation to improve tolerance to osmotic and other abiotic stresses.

49 **Keywords:**

50 SFR6, freezing tolerance, cold acclimation, drought, osmotic stress, germination,
51 rice, Arabidopsis, *COR* genes

52 **Introduction**

53

54 Freezing of plants in the field can cause significant damage, a major part of which
55 is due to cellular dehydration as a result of water loss from the cell protoplast
56 when extracellular ice forms (Levitt, 1960; Thomashow, 1999). It is perhaps not
57 surprising, therefore, that of the numerous genes whose expression increases in
58 response to low temperature, many are inducible by drought also (Hughes &
59 Dunn, 1996; Thomashow, 1999). In Arabidopsis the *COLD ON-REGULATED*
60 (*COR*) genes represent a major cold-inducible gene regulon (Fowler &
61 Thomashow, 2002); their expression is activated via the C-repeat (CRT) promoter
62 motif or drought-inducible element (DRE) (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki & Shinozaki,
63 1994). Two distinct families of transcription factors activate *COR* gene
64 expression via the CRT/DRE in Arabidopsis; the C-box binding factors (CBFs) 1-
65 3 (Gilmour *et al.*, 1998), also known as DRE-binding proteins 1A-C (DREB1A-C;
66 (Shinwari *et al.*, 1998)) in response to cold and DREB2A and 2B in response to
67 drought (Liu *et al.*, 1998). A further less closely related member of the CBF
68 family, CBF4, is also involved in drought-, but not cold-inducible *COR* gene
69 expression (Haake *et al.*, 2002). Overexpression of active forms of both families
70 of transcription factor in Arabidopsis leads to tolerance of both drought and frost
71 (Jaglo-Ottosen *et al.*, 1998; Liu *et al.*, 1998; Sakuma *et al.*, 2006).

72 The CRT/DRE motif is utilised in the control of gene expression in
73 response to cold and drought in several crop species, including rice (Dubouzet *et*
74 *al.*, 2003; Ito *et al.*, 2006). Overexpression of CBF/DREB1 transcription factors,
75 both native and heterologous, has been shown to induce native crop *COR* genes,
76 and lead to osmotic stress tolerance in these species (Jaglo *et al.*, 2001; Dubouzet

77 *et al.*, 2003; Gao *et al.*, 2009). Interestingly, CBF transcription factors have been
78 identified in chilling-sensitive species such as tomato, which are not able to
79 achieve freezing tolerance (Jaglo *et al.*, 2001; Hsieh *et al.*, 2002a; Hsieh *et al.*,
80 2002b; Zhang *et al.*, 2004). In these cases it appears that CBF transcription
81 factors, and the CRT/DRE motif are involved in inducing genes required for both
82 drought and chilling tolerance (Jaglo *et al.*, 2001; Hsieh *et al.*, 2002a; Hsieh *et al.*,
83 2002b; Zhang *et al.*, 2004). Manipulating the expression and function of these
84 transcription factors, therefore, has led to the possibility of engineering altered
85 tolerance not only to desiccation stresses such as freezing and drought, but also to
86 chilling.

87 We have recently described the cloning of *SENSITIVE TO FREEZING-6*
88 (*AtSFR6*); a protein that regulates CBF/DREB-dependent *COR* gene expression in
89 *Arabidopsis* (Knight *et al.*, 2009). Our previous work has shown that *AtSFR6* is
90 needed for induction of *COR* genes in response to both cold and osmotic stresses
91 and that is it required for tolerance to osmotic stress and the acquisition of
92 freezing tolerance (Knight *et al.*, 1999; Boyce *et al.*, 2003; Knight *et al.*, 2009).
93 In the case of cold at least, *SFR6* acts post-translationally of the transcription
94 factors that activate *COR* genes via the CRT/DRE motif (Knight *et al.*, 2009).
95 Orthologues of *AtSFR6* in crop species are therefore obvious candidate targets for
96 manipulation of osmotic stress tolerance. The first step towards such a long-term
97 goal is to demonstrate that functional orthologues of *AtSFR6* exist in crop plants.
98 Here we describe the identification of a homologue of *AtSFR6* in rice, its cloning
99 and sequencing, and demonstrate orthology through genetic complementation.

100

101 **Materials and Methods**

102

103 **Plant materials and growth conditions**

104 *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. (*A. thaliana*) ecotype Columbia (Col-0) was
105 obtained from Lehle Seeds (Round Rock, Texas, USA). The *Arabidopsis* mutant,
106 *sfr6-1*, also in Col-0 background has been described previously (Knight *et al.*,
107 1999; Boyce *et al.*, 2003; Knight *et al.*, 2009). Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings of
108 *cv. Japonica var. Lemont* (Herbiseed, Twyford, UK) was used for extraction of
109 mRNA for cloning OsSFR6. Plants were grown in a SANYO MLR351 growth
110 chamber (Sanyo E&E Europe BV, Loughborough, UK) under a 16:8 h light:dark
111 cycle at $150 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ at $20^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ unless stated otherwise. The cold
112 treatments used in gene expression experiments were carried out in the growth
113 chambers described above set to 4°C . Osmotic stress-induced gene expression
114 was measured in plants floated on 350 mM mannitol solutions in transparent
115 plastic cell culture dishes in the same growth chambers set to 20°C . All samples
116 were harvested after 6h of treatment.

117

118 **Cloning OsSFR6 and production of overexpression construct**

119 Total RNA was extracted from rice leaf tissue using RNeasy Plant Total RNA Kit
120 (Qiagen, Hilden, UK), following the manufacturer's instructions. Total plant
121 RNA (5 μg) was annealed to 0.5 μg oligo dT primer (Fermentas, York, UK) and
122 reverse-transcribed at 42°C for 60 min using 200 units of H minus M-MuLV
123 Reverse Transcriptase (Fermentas) according to the manufacturer's instructions.
124 The full-length OsSFR6 coding sequence (3510 bp) was PCR amplified from the
125 cDNA produced using the following primers: 5'-
126 CCGGTACCCCGGGGATGCGCGTGCCCGAGCTCTGCAGGA ACTT-3'

127 (Forward) and 5'-
128 GGGCGGGGGCGGCCGATCCCGTCAAATTCAAACGACTTTTCAC-3'
129 (Reverse). Amplification was performed with Phusion DNA polymerase
130 (Finnzymes, Keilaranta, Finland) according to the manufacturer's instructions.
131 The *OsSFR6* coding sequence was cloned into the pENTR1A gateway entry
132 vector (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) using the *Kpn1* and *Not1* sites and sequenced.
133 The full-length *OsSFR6* coding sequence was transferred by LR recombination
134 from pENTR1A into the pB7WG2 gateway binary destination vector (Karimi *et*
135 *al.*, 2002), which contains the cauliflower mosaic (CaMV) 35S promoter
136 upstream. For comparison, the full-length *AtSFR6* genomic coding sequence
137 (Knight *et al.*, 2009) was cloned into the same binary vector.

138

139 **Plant transformation**

140 Binary vectors containing *35S::AtSFR6* and *35S::OsSFR6* were introduced into *A.*
141 *tumefaciens* C58C1 and transformed into Col-0 and *sfr6-1* mutant using the floral
142 dip method (Clough & Bent, 1998). Primary T₁ transformants were identified by
143 glufosinate ammonium (Basta; 250 mg/l) selection (Bayer Crop Science,
144 Cambridge, UK) on soil. Subsequent analyses were performed on the T₂
145 generation.

146

147 **Quantitative Real Time PCR**

148 A High Capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster
149 City, USA) was used to reverse transcribe cDNA from 1.5 µg total RNA extracted
150 using Qiagen RNeasy plant mini kit (described above) in conjunction with
151 RNase-free DNase (Qiagen) to remove any genomic DNA contamination.

152 Quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed on 10 μ l of 1:50 diluted
153 cDNA reaction in a 25- μ l reaction using an Applied Biosystems 7300 system.
154 Relative transcript levels were measured using gene-specific TAQMAN[®] probes
155 purchased from Applied Biosystems for *AtSFR6* (At4g04920; probe identifier
156 At02209654_g1), *KIN2* (At5g15970; At02354775_s1) and *LTI78* (At5g52310;
157 At02320470_g1) and expression levels were normalised to the expression of
158 *PEX4* (At4g25760; At02304594_g1), an endogenous control gene. A custom-
159 made TaqMan Probe was prepared for *OsSFR6* by Applied Biosystems to the
160 following specifications: Forward primer, CGGTGGTGAAGTGGTTGTC;
161 reverse primer, GTACTAGAGTTTGCAGGAAGCCAT; FAM-labelled probe,
162 CTATACCGGAGAAATTC. Reactions were performed in an optical 96-well
163 plate (Applied Biosystems) with 3 technical replicates for each sample. In all
164 cases, relative quantitation was performed by the $\Delta\Delta C_T$ (comparative C_T) method
165 (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001) and Relative Quantitation (RQ) values and estimates
166 of statistical variation (SV) for each sample calculated as described previously
167 (Knight *et al.*, 2009). The algorithm used is described in Relative Quantitation
168 (RQ) algorithms, Applied Biosystems Real-Time PCR Systems Software, July
169 2007.

170

171 **Freezing assays**

172 To test complementation with *AtSFR6* seven-day-old seedlings (grown as
173 described above) were transferred to peat plugs and maintained for 5 weeks in a
174 growth chamber (Arctic plant growth chamber A3655, Weiss Gallenkamp Ltd.,
175 Loughborough, UK) programmed for short day conditions (8:16 h light: dark
176 cycle), 20°C \pm 0.5°C, 60 % relative humidity and 150 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ light level.

177 Experiments to test complementation with OsSFR6 were performed on plants
178 grown under comparable conditions using a SANYO MLR351 chamber. Cold
179 acclimation in both cases was performed under the same day length and light
180 levels at 4°C for 11 days. The temperature was subsequently reduced to below
181 freezing (-6.5, -7.5 and -8.5°C) for 24 h, then returned to ambient levels. The
182 temperature increases and decreases were achieved by ramping over 3 h.

183

184 **Osmotic stress tolerance**

185 Osmotic stress tolerance was assessed in seedlings as we have described
186 previously (Knight *et al.*, 1998; Boyce *et al.*, 2003). Eight-day old seedlings
187 grown under the conditions described above were floated on 2 ml of water, 330
188 mM, 440 mM or 550 mM mannitol (BDH, Poole, UK), in a transparent 24-well
189 culture plate. Five seedlings were added to each well. The plate was sealed with
190 micropore tape and returned to the growth chamber for 72 h before
191 photographing.

192 Sensitivity of germination to osmotic stress was assessed as described
193 previously (Boyce *et al.*, 2003). Seeds were sown on solid MS medium containing
194 different levels of osmoticum (0, 200, 300 or 400 mM mannitol) and 0.8% agar at
195 pH5.8. Seeds of each line to be tested were sown at a density of approximately
196 30-80 seeds per 55-cm diameter petri dish, with 6 replicate petri dishes for each
197 line/ treatment. Seeds were stratified on the agar plates at 4°C for 4 days and
198 transferred to standard growth chamber conditions for 7 days. Germination was
199 scored on the basis of radicle emergence.

200

201 **Statistical Inference**

202 For each osmoticum treatment and plant line pairing we estimated the probability
203 of seed germination using maximum likelihood. To account correctly for potential
204 unknown variation among plates (e.g. subtle variations in the dryness of agar) and
205 differing numbers of seeds per plate, we assumed that the variation in our data
206 between plates for each treatment could be well described by a beta-binomial
207 distribution. The log-likelihood equation we maximised when estimating each
208 probability and details regarding fitting can be found in Richards (Richards,
209 2008). Uncertainty in these probabilities was estimated using the profile-
210 likelihood approach (Venzon & Moolgavkar, 1988).

211 To explain any potential patterns in our wild type Col-0 and *sfr6-1* data (i.e.
212 variation in germination among osmoticum treatments), we proposed that the
213 relationship between the probability of seed germination (p) and the osmoticum
214 concentration (x) could be described by

$$215 \quad p(x) = \frac{\exp(\beta + \beta_1 x^\alpha)}{1 + \exp(\beta + \beta_1 x^\alpha)}.$$

216 This relationship is a modified form of the commonly adopted logistic equation;
217 however, the x -axis has also been scaled by the positive parameter α_1 . The
218 parameter β_1 describes the strength at which osmoticum concentration affects
219 germination success; here a negative value indicates that germination declines
220 with increasing osmoticum strength. We proposed that germination success for
221 *sfr6-1* was potentially affected by osmoticum concentration in a similar manner,
222 but also allowed germination to be affected by the level of *OsSFR6* transcript in
223 each complemented line. In this case, if *OsSFR6* transcript level was y , then
224 germination success of the mutant was predicted to be

$$225 \quad p(x, y) = \frac{\exp(\beta + \beta_1 x^\alpha + \beta_2 y^\alpha)}{1 + \exp(\beta + \beta_1 x^\alpha + \beta_2 y^\alpha)}. \quad (1)$$

226 For this model, a positive value of β_2 indicates that an increase in *OsSFR6*
227 transcript level increased germination success. Model parameters (i.e., the α_i and
228 the β_i) were fitted to the data using maximum likelihood, and we again assumed
229 that our data were consistent with a beta-binomial distribution. In all cases, when
230 we checked our fits we found that our residuals were as expected.

231 To look for evidence that *sfr6-1* and Col-0 wild type differed in their response
232 to elevated levels of osmoticum, we performed a likelihood ratio test (LRT;
233 (Sokal & Rohlf, 1994)). In this case, the null model assumed that model
234 parameters β_0 , β_1 , and α_1 were identical for both lines; whereas, the alternative
235 model assumed that the β_0 , β_1 , and α_1 had to be estimated separately for each line.
236 Evidence that *OsSFR6* transcript level affected the complemented mutant's
237 response to osmoticum was also investigated using a LRT. Specifically, the null
238 model assumed that transcript level did not affect germination success (by setting
239 $\beta_2 = 0$ and fitting β_0 , β_1 , and α_1); whereas, the alternative model also allowed α_2
240 and β_2 to vary). Finally, we used a LRT to look for evidence that the highest
241 *OsSFR6*-expressing line differed in its response to osmoticum with respect to the
242 wild type. This last test was identical to the first mentioned LRT test, except that
243 we replaced the non-complemented mutant with the highest *OsSFR6*-expressing
244 line ($y = 6.85$).

245

246 **Results**

247

248 We have recently cloned the *AtSFR6* gene (At4g04920) from Arabidopsis (Knight
249 *et al.*, 2009). This gene controls freezing and osmotic stress tolerance in
250 Arabidopsis (Knight *et al.*, 1999; Boyce *et al.*, 2003; Knight *et al.*, 2009). We

251 sought, therefore, to identify orthologues of *AtSFR6* from crop species, as
252 potential targets for future manipulation of crop stress tolerance. Using homology
253 searches, we found a single gene in the rice genome (Os10g35560) that showed
254 strong homology to *AtSFR6*. We named this gene *OsSFR6*. Having identified the
255 gene, we cloned and sequenced the full length coding region from cDNA derived
256 from rice mRNA. Fig. 1 shows a line up of the predicted protein sequence of
257 OsSFR6 with AtSFR6. When comparing the whole sequences, there is 72%
258 protein identity between AtSFR6 and OsSFR6. *OsSFR6* encodes a predicted
259 protein of 1170 amino acids (the length of AtSFR6 protein is 1268; (Knight *et al.*,
260 2009)).

261 To establish whether *OsSFR6* is an orthologue of *AtSFR6*, we tested
262 complementation of the Arabidopsis *sfr6-1* mutant (Knight *et al.*, 2009).
263 Previously, we had used three mutant alleles of *AtSFR6* to prove linkage of
264 *AtSFR6* to the phenotypes of freezing-sensitivity, pale cotyledons and leaves and
265 large cotyledons but complementation had not been attempted. Therefore before
266 testing the effect of *OsSFR6* expression in an *sfr6* mutant background, we tested
267 whether *AtSFR6* itself expressed under the control of the 35S promoter was
268 capable of complementing the visible *sfr6-1* mutant phenotype. Fig. 2a shows
269 four independent 35S::*AtSFR6* lines in the *sfr6-1* background (lower row). These
270 all showed complementation of the visible pale leaf and cotyledon phenotype.
271 This complementation was not apparent in 35S::GUS controls in the *sfr6-1*
272 background (Fig. 2a,b). Similarly, expression of 35S::*OsSFR6* in the *sfr6-1*
273 background resulted in complementation of the visible phenotype (Fig. 3).
274 However, in contrast to complementation with *AtSFR6*, *OsSFR6* complemented to
275 different extents in different lines. Fig. 3b shows one line, #8, with relatively

276 weak complementation compared to another line, #10, which showed strong
277 complementation.

278 We have previously shown that *sfr6* mutants of Arabidopsis are unable to
279 acclimate to freezing, as a result of reduced cold-induced *COR* gene expression
280 (Knight *et al.*, 1999; Boyce *et al.*, 2003; Knight *et al.*, 2009). Therefore to test if
281 the reduced *COR* gene expression phenotype could also be complemented with
282 *AtSFR6* we tested expression of *AtKIN2*, a typical *COR* gene, which shows
283 reduced expression in *sfr6* mutants following cold treatment (Knight *et al.*, 1999;
284 Boyce *et al.*, 2003; Knight *et al.*, 2009). As can be seen in Fig. 4, whilst the *sfr6*-
285 *1* mutant showed low levels of *AtKIN2* expression in the cold compared to wild
286 type Columbia (as reported previously; (Knight *et al.*, 1999; Boyce *et al.*, 2003;
287 Knight *et al.*, 2009)), three lines complemented with *AtSFR6* showed levels of
288 *KIN2* expression comparable to wild type (Fig. 4a). These three lines, #1, #2 and
289 #6 were chosen as they showed medium, low and high levels of *AtSFR6*
290 expression, respectively (Fig. 4b). Interestingly, differences in *AtSFR6* expression
291 did not result in different levels of *AtKIN2* expression (Fig. 4a).

292 To test whether *OsSFR6* also was capable of complementing the low
293 *AtKIN2* expression phenotype, we tested six *sfr6-1* lines complemented with
294 *OsSFR6*. As can be seen in Fig. 5, these six lines showed a range of *OsSFR6*
295 expression levels: there was an approximately six-fold difference between the
296 lowest (line #8) and the highest level (line #10). We therefore tested both of these
297 lines, and a third line expressing *OsSFR6* to intermediate levels (line #19) for
298 *COR* gene expression in the cold. Fig. 6 shows the expression of *COR* genes
299 *AtKIN2* and *AtLTI78* in these three lines. *AtKIN2* and *AtLTI78* expression was

300 significantly lower in line #8 than line #10. Line #19 showed slightly reduced
301 *COR* gene expression, but not significantly, when compared to line #10 (Fig. 6).

302 Given the complementation of the *COR* gene expression phenotype, we
303 tested whether this would also lead to restoration of freezing tolerance. Fig. 7
304 shows that the three lines of *sfr6-1* complemented with AtSFR6 that were tested
305 for *COR* gene expression all showed freezing tolerance comparable to that of wild
306 type (Fig. 7a). In a separate experiment, the three lines of *sfr6-1* complemented
307 with *OsSFR6* showed visible symptoms consistent with variable levels of freezing
308 tolerance: line #8 appearing indistinguishable from the original *sfr6-1* mutant, and
309 lines #10 and #19 showing tolerance comparable to wild type (Fig. 7b).

310 We have shown previously that AtSFR6 is a regulator of both osmotic
311 stress and low temperature responses (Knight *et al.*, 1999; Boyce *et al.*, 2003). To
312 assess whether OsSFR6 is a potential regulator of osmotic stress responses also,
313 we examined the ability of OsSFR6 to restore osmotic stress responsiveness and
314 tolerance in the three complemented lines. Transcript levels of the *COR* genes
315 *AtKIN2* and *AtLTI78* were measured in response to a 6-h treatment with 350 mM
316 mannitol. As expected, the treatment strongly induced both genes in Col-0 wild
317 type plants, with a reduced response seen in *sfr6-1* (Fig. 8). Varying degrees of
318 restoration of the response were seen in the three complemented lines; little or no
319 effect was observed with the lowest expresser, line #8, whilst *AtLTI78* and
320 *AtKIN2* transcript levels in lines #10 and #19 were restored almost to wild type
321 levels (Fig. 8).

322 To examine whether this restoration of osmotically-induced *COR* gene
323 expression was accompanied by a return to wild type levels of osmotic stress
324 tolerance, we performed two assessments. We showed previously that *sfr6-1* is

325 sensitive to osmotic stress at both the germination and seedling stages (Boyce *et*
326 *al.*, 2003). Therefore we tested the ability of the three OsSFR6 complemented
327 lines to tolerate a range of mannitol concentrations. Seedlings were floated on
328 mannitol (0, 330, 440 and 550 mM) for 72 h in a standard 16h:8h light:dark cycle
329 and examined for signs of osmotic stress-induced chlorosis after this time.
330 Seedlings of each line maintained in water showed no signs of damage (Fig. 9).
331 Wild type plants showed slight signs of chlorosis with the 330 mM treatment,
332 becoming more severe at 440 mM, whilst *sfr6-1* was clearly more susceptible,
333 showing some signs of chlorosis even at 220 mM and becoming severe at 330
334 mM. In complemented line #8, only very minor improvements in osmotic stress
335 tolerance were observed; in lines #10 and #19, tolerance was restored to levels
336 similar to wild type (Fig. 9).

337 Seeds sown on agar plates containing 0, 200, 300 or 400 mM mannitol
338 were used to assess the effects of elevated levels of osmoticum on germination
339 success. This assay allowed us to make a quantitative assessment of the effects of
340 expressing OsSFR6 to different levels in *sfr6-1*. Small reductions in the
341 percentage of wild type Col-0 seeds germinating were observed with each
342 increase in mannitol concentration; germination rate fell from close to 100% to
343 approximately 70% in wild type plants when mannitol concentration was raised
344 from 0 to 400 mM. As reported previously, *sfr6-1* seed germination was more
345 sensitive to the high osmoticum levels; germination fell to only 38% at 300 mM
346 and to below 20% at 400 mM (Fig. 10a). Our analysis confirmed that germination
347 success on elevated levels of osmoticum differed significantly between Col-0 wild
348 type and *sfr6-1* (LRT; $G_4 = 73.2$, $P < 0.001$). For both lines germination success
349 was reduced as osmoticum concentration increased; however, for any given level

350 of osmoticum, germination frequency was always higher for the wild type (Fig.
351 10a).

352 When comparing the behaviour of the 3 complemented lines with non-
353 complemented *sfr6-1* we also found significant evidence that the level of *OsSFR6*
354 transcripts (see Fig. 5) affected germination success in *sfr6-1* lines transformed
355 with 35S::OsSFR6 (LRT; $G_2 = 53.7$, $P < 0.001$). Specifically, an increase in
356 *OsSFR6* transcript level increased germination success across all levels of
357 osmoticum investigated (Fig. 10b). The complemented line associated with the
358 highest *OsSFR6* transcript level (line #10; $y = 6.85$) exhibited a significantly
359 higher germination success rate compared with wild type Col-0 (LRT; $G_4 = 40.9$,
360 $P < 0.001$). In fact, for all four levels of osmoticum, this line showed higher
361 germination success than the wild type (c.f. Figs. 10a and 10b). Interestingly, the
362 fits suggest that the rate of reduction in germination success with increased
363 osmoticum may be less for the wild type (Fig.10).

364

365 **Discussion**

366

367 Identification of plant genes that contribute to environmental stress tolerance is
368 vital for crop breeding if food security is to be maintained for a rapidly growing
369 human population in an increasingly unpredictable climate. Previous work has
370 identified a number of genes that contribute to these traits in plants, but arguably
371 the most significant discoveries have been key regulators, for instance,
372 transcription factors. Such genes encode master-regulators that control the
373 expression of many other genes involved in a particular trait, and thus their effect
374 individually is profound. Good examples of these are the CBF/DREB1

375 (Stockinger *et al.*, 1997; Jaglo-Ottosen *et al.*, 1998) and DREB2 (Liu *et al.*, 1998;
376 Sakuma *et al.*, 2006) transcription factors, originally identified in Arabidopsis but
377 which exist in rice also (Dubouzet *et al.*, 2003; Ito *et al.*, 2006; Matsukura *et al.*,
378 2010). The CBF/DREB1 and DREB2 transcription factors regulate the
379 expression of so-called *COLD ON-REGULATED (COR)* genes via a single
380 promoter motif, the DRE/CRT (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki & Shinozaki, 1994), in
381 response to low temperature and osmotic stress, respectively.

382 Our previous work showed that CBF/DREB1- and DREB2-dependent
383 stress gene expression in Arabidopsis requires AtSFR6 (Knight *et al.*, 1999;
384 Boyce *et al.*, 2003; Knight *et al.*, 2009). Loss of function *sfr6* mutants of
385 Arabidopsis show reduced expression of genes controlled by the DREB
386 transcription factors in response to either osmotic stress or cold. As a result, *atsfr6*
387 mutants are unable to mount the correct defence against these conditions and are
388 sensitive to both dehydration and freezing. Thus, SFR6 is a hub regulating at least
389 2 transcription factor systems in Arabidopsis, affecting two overlapping gene
390 regulons leading to freezing and osmotic stress tolerance. Orthologues of AtSFR6
391 in crop species, therefore, represent good targets for future breeding or
392 manipulation. With this in mind, we identified a rice homologue of AtSFR6 and,
393 through testing its function, confirmed it as a orthologue.

394 Examination of the rice genome revealed a sole gene (Os10g35560)
395 showing any significant homology to *AtSFR6*. We named this gene *OsSFR6*.
396 AtSFR6 also exists as a single copy gene in Arabidopsis. Empirical determination
397 of the coding region of *OsSFR6* showed that the predicted coding region had high
398 homology to AtSFR6 (69.8% identity and 80.7% similarity at the predicted
399 protein sequence level: Fig. 1). Interestingly, the N-terminal half of the predicted

400 OsSFR6 protein sequence was more highly conserved than the C-terminal half. In
401 Arabidopsis, 3 mutations in the N-terminal third of AtSFR6 strongly affect
402 phenotype (Knight *et al.*, 2009). Thus it seems likely that the N-terminal part of
403 AtSFR6 and OsSFR6 are important for their function.

404 Having identified a potential orthologue of AtSFR6, we sought to test for
405 orthology by functional complementation of an Arabidopsis *atsfr6* mutant. Firstly
406 it was necessary to demonstrate that this was a viable approach, therefore we
407 tested complementation with Arabidopsis AtSFR6 itself. Expressing AtSFR6
408 using a 35S CaMV constitutive promoter in an *atsfr6* background fully restored
409 the ability to induce *COR* gene expression in response to cold, and also to allow
410 cold acclimation and acquisition of freezing tolerance (Figs. 4 and 7). It is most
411 likely that the restoration of cold acclimation is as a direct consequence of the
412 restoration of full levels of *COR* gene expression: up-regulation of *COR* gene
413 expression by overexpression of CBF/DREB1 transcription factors at ambient
414 temperature is sufficient to induce freezing tolerance (Jaglo-Ottosen *et al.*, 1998).

415 Having established a system for functional testing of SFR6 orthologues by
416 complementation, we used this approach with the coding region of *OsSFR6*.
417 OsSFR6, like AtSFR6, was able to restore both cold-induced *COR* gene
418 expression and acquisition of freezing tolerance (Figs. 6 and 7). However, wild
419 type levels of *COR* gene expression and freezing tolerance were only achieved in
420 the highest OsSFR6 expressing lines (lines #10 and #19); poor levels of
421 complementation were observed in the low (line #8) level expresser (Figs. 6 and
422 7).

423 The experiments above demonstrated that OsSFR6 (from rice, a species
424 incapable of freezing tolerance) can act as a functional orthologue of AtSFR6 in

425 the acquisition of freezing tolerance in Arabidopsis. Osmotic stress is a major
426 component of freezing stress, and in accordance with this, the targets of
427 CBF/DREB1 and DREB2 transcription factors overlap substantially.
428 Overexpression of both CBF/DREB1 (Jaglo-Ottosen *et al.*, 1998) and
429 constitutively active forms of DREB2 (Sakuma *et al.*, 2006) lead to elevated
430 levels of *COR* gene expression and to both freezing and osmotic stress tolerance.
431 It appeared likely, therefore, that the role of OsSFR6 in rice is to facilitate
432 tolerance to osmotic rather than freezing stress. To test this possibility, we
433 examined *COR* gene expression and sensitivity to osmotic stress conditions in
434 *sfr6-1* lines overexpressing OsSFR6. Osmotic stress-inducible *COR* gene
435 expression and tolerance of elevated osmoticum levels at seedling and
436 germination stages were all complemented in *sfr6-1* lines expressing
437 35S::OsSFR6 (Figs. 8-10).

438 When we modelled our quantitative germination data, our best fitting
439 model demonstrated a significant increase in germination success with increasing
440 transcript levels in *sfr6-1* lines expressing 35S::OsSFR6 (Fig. 10b). *OsSFR6*
441 transcript levels are likely to be a predictor of protein levels (although the
442 relationship between the two cannot be assumed to be linear). Therefore our data
443 strongly suggest that the degree of restoration of wild type phenotype in *sfr6-1* is
444 positively correlated with the level of OsSFR6 protein expression. This is similar
445 to the trend we saw in the qualitative assessments of freezing and osmotic stress
446 tolerance (Figs. 7 and 9), and our measurements of *COR* gene expression (Figs. 6
447 and 8). Interestingly, only in the case of germination did we observe indications
448 that expressing OsSFR6 to higher levels can actually supersede wild type levels of

449 tolerance (Fig. 10). This result might suggest a significant role for SFR6 in
450 osmotic stress tolerance in the germinating seed.

451 The fact that OsSFR6 appears to fully complement Arabidopsis *sfr6* loss
452 of function mutants only when expressed at relatively high levels, whilst all levels
453 of AtSFR6 overexpression resulted in complementation, could be interpreted as
454 differences in protein sequence between the two orthologues producing proteins
455 with different efficiencies. However, our quantitation of *SFR6* transcripts was
456 relative; comparison of absolute levels of *OsSFR6* with *AtSFR6* cannot be made
457 from our data. Furthermore, irrespective of whether or not *OsSFR6* and *AtSFR6*
458 transcripts were expressed to similar levels, we cannot rule out the possibility of
459 substantial differences in the levels of expressed OsSFR6 and AtSFR6 proteins in
460 our complemented lines and that these differences account for the dose-dependent
461 effect we see with OsSFR6 complementation. In either scenario, it can still be
462 concluded that OsSFR6 is a functional equivalent (orthologue) of AtSFR6. As
463 OsSFR6 affects osmotic stress-responsive *COR* gene expression and tolerance in
464 Arabidopsis it is very likely that OsSFR6 plays a role in tolerance of rice to
465 osmotic stress during periods of low water availability.

466 Our data demonstrate that OsSFR6 is a potential target for breeding or
467 manipulation to achieve increased abiotic stress tolerance in rice. Given that
468 OsSFR6 is functionally equivalent to AtSFR6, it is most likely that homologues
469 from other crops will be orthologues also, and thus be equally valuable targets.
470 The most obvious avenue to explore in the exploitation of SFR6 would be to
471 increase levels of its production in crop species; however, we have observed that
472 overexpression of AtSFR6 in wild type Arabidopsis does not lead to enhanced
473 expression of *COR* genes in response to cold (Supporting information Fig. S1), or

474 enhanced freezing tolerance (data not shown). In addition to the implications this
475 has on the use of SFR6 in future crop protection strategies, this result gives an
476 insight into the possible mode of action of the protein. Because increasing the
477 titre of AtSFR6 protein has no effect *in vivo*, we surmised that SFR6 is likely to
478 work in conjunction with other proteins in stoichiometric proportions, as part of a
479 complex. If this were the case, elevating SFR6 levels in the absence of increases
480 in the amounts of these other proteins would not be expected to enhance *COR*
481 gene expression.

482 This hypothesis has now been proven correct, with the identification of
483 At4g04920 (AtSFR6) as the gene that encodes the Arabidopsis homologue of
484 yeast MED16, part of the mediator complex (Bäckström *et al.*, 2007). Mediator is
485 a multi-subunit transcriptional co-activator complex that acts as a bridge between
486 DNA-bound transcriptional regulators and the general RNA polymerase II
487 transcriptional machinery. MED16 is one of the so-called “tail” subunits of
488 mediator, whose functions are considered to be directly involved with
489 transcription factor recruitment (Casamassimi & Napoli, 2007). Yeast MED16
490 (SIN4) (Li *et al.*, 1995) and drosophila MED16 orthologues (Kim *et al.*, 2004)
491 have demonstrated roles in facilitating transcriptional activation by transcription
492 factors. If the stoichiometry of mediator subunits remains constant, simple
493 overexpression of OsSFR6 in rice or orthologues in other crop species is unlikely
494 to result in enhanced stress tolerance. However, the ability of OsSFR6 to elevate
495 *atsfr6-1* germination rates on high levels of osmoticum to above wild type levels
496 does raise the possibility that orthologues from different species may have
497 differing effectiveness in some cases. In the main, however, future exploitation of
498 SFR6 in rice or other crop species is likely to necessitate engineering the protein

499 sequence to improve efficiency. Identification of transcription factor binding sites
500 in SFR6 and tailoring these to optimise transcription factor binding may be one
501 approach that could be adopted. This will be the focus for our future work in this
502 area.

503

504 **Acknowledgements**

505 We thank Project Sri Lanka for the PhD studentship awarded to DLW. We are
506 grateful to Lesley Edwards for the gift of Rice seeds (*Oryza sativa* L.) cv.
507 Japonica var. Lemont.

508

509 **References**

- 510 **Bäckström S, Elfving N, Nilsson R, Wingsle G, Björklund S. 2007.**
511 Purification of a plant mediator from arabidopsis thaliana identifies pft1 as
512 the med25 subunit. *Mol Cell* **26**: 717-729.
- 513 **Boyce JM, Knight H, Deyholos M, Openshaw MR, Galbraith DW, Warren**
514 **G, Knight MR. 2003.** The sfr6 mutant of arabidopsis is defective in
515 transcriptional activation via cbf/dreb1 and dreb2 and shows sensitivity to
516 osmotic stress. *Plant Journal* **34**: 395-406.
- 517 **Casamassimi A, Napoli C. 2007.** Mediator complexes and eukaryotic
518 transcription regulation: An overview. *Biochimie* **89**: 1439-1446.
- 519 **Clough SJ, Bent AF. 1998.** Floral dip: A simplified method for agrobacterium-
520 mediated transformation of arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J* **16**: 735-743.
- 521 **Dubouzet JG, Sakuma Y, Ito Y, Kasuga M, Dubouzet EG, Miura S, Seki M,**
522 **Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2003.** Osdreb genes in rice,
523 *oryza sativa* L., encode transcription activators that function in drought-,
524 high-salt- and cold-responsive gene expression. *Plant Journal* **33**: 751-
525 763.
- 526 **Fowler S, Thomashow MF. 2002.** Arabidopsis transcriptome profiling indicates
527 that multiple regulatory pathways are activated during cold acclimation in
528 addition to the cbf cold response pathway. *Plant Cell* **14**: 1675-1690.
- 529 **Gao SQ, Chen M, Xia LQ, Xiu HJ, Xu ZS, Li LC, Zhao CP, Cheng XG, Ma**
530 **YZ. 2009.** A cotton (*gossypium hirsutum*) dre-binding transcription factor
531 gene, ghdreb, confers enhanced tolerance to drought, high salt, and
532 freezing stresses in transgenic wheat. *Plant Cell Rep* **28**: 301-311.
- 533 **Gilmour SJ, Zarka DG, Stockinger EJ, Salazar MP, Houghton JM,**
534 **Thomashow MF. 1998.** Low temperature regulation of the arabidopsis
535 cbf family of ap2 transcriptional activators as an early step in cold-induced
536 cor gene expression. *Plant Journal* **16**: 433-442.

- 537 **Haake V, Cook D, Riechmann JL, Pineda O, Thomashow MF, Zhang JZ.**
538 **2002.** Transcription factor *cbf4* is a regulator of drought adaptation in
539 arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* **130**: 639-648.
- 540 **Hsieh TH, Lee JT, Charng YY, Chan MT. 2002a.** Tomato plants ectopically
541 expressing arabidopsis *cbf1* show enhanced resistance to water deficit
542 stress. *Plant Physiol* **130**: 618-626.
- 543 **Hsieh TH, Lee JT, Yang PT, Chiu LH, Charng YY, Wang YC, Chan MT.**
544 **2002b.** Heterology expression of the arabidopsis *c-repeat/dehydration*
545 *response element binding factor 1* gene confers elevated tolerance to
546 chilling and oxidative stresses in transgenic tomato. *Plant Physiol* **129**:
547 1086-1094.
- 548 **Hughes MA, Dunn MA. 1996.** The molecular biology of plant acclimation to low
549 temperature. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **47**: 291-305.
- 550 **Ito Y, Katsura K, Maruyama K, Taji T, Kobayashi M, Seki M, Shinozaki K,**
551 **Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2006.** Functional analysis of rice *drebl1/cbf-*
552 *type* transcription factors involved in cold-responsive gene expression in
553 transgenic rice. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **47**: 141-153.
- 554 **Jaglo-Ottosen KR, Gilmour SJ, Zarka DG, Schabenberger O, Thomashow**
555 **MF. 1998.** Arabidopsis *cbf1* overexpression induces *cor* genes and
556 enhances freezing tolerance. *Science* **280**: 104-106.
- 557 **Jaglo KR, Kleff S, Amundsen KL, Zhang X, Haake V, Zhang JZ, Deits T,**
558 **Thomashow MF. 2001.** Components of the arabidopsis *c-*
559 *repeat/dehydration-responsive element binding factor cold-response*
560 *pathway* are conserved in brassica napus and other plant species. *Plant*
561 *Physiol* **127**: 910-917.
- 562 **Karimi M, Inze D, Depicker A. 2002.** Gateway vectors for agrobacterium-
563 mediated plant transformation. *Trends Plant Sci* **7**: 193-195.
- 564 **Kim TW, Kwon YJ, Kim JM, Song YH, Kim SN, Kim YJ. 2004.** Med16 and
565 med23 of mediator are coactivators of lipopolysaccharide- and heat-shock-
566 induced transcriptional activators. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **101**: 12153-
567 12158.
- 568 **Knight H, Brandt S, Knight MR. 1998.** A history of stress alters drought
569 calcium signalling pathways in arabidopsis. *Plant Journal* **16**: 681-687.
- 570 **Knight H, Mugford SG, Ulker B, Gao DH, Thorlby G, Knight MR. 2009.**
571 Identification of *sfr6*, a key component in cold acclimation acting post-
572 translationally on *cbf* function. *Plant Journal* **58**: 97-108.
- 573 **Knight H, Veale EL, Warren GJ, Knight MR. 1999.** The *sfr6* mutation in
574 arabidopsis suppresses low-temperature induction of genes dependent on
575 the *crt dre* sequence motif. *Plant Cell* **11**: 875-886.
- 576 **Levitt J. 1960.** Freezing injury of plant tissue. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **85**: 570-575.
- 577 **Li Y, Bjorklund S, Jiang YW, Kim YJ, Lane WS, Stillman DJ, Kornberg RD.**
578 **1995.** Yeast global transcriptional regulators *sin4* and *rgr1* are components
579 of mediator complex/rna polymerase ii holoenzyme. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U*
580 *S A* **92**: 10864-10868.
- 581 **Liu Q, Kasuga M, Sakuma Y, Abe H, Miura S, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K,**
582 **Shinozaki K. 1998.** Two transcription factors, *drebl1* and *drebl2*, with an
583 *erebp/ap2* DNA binding domain separate two cellular signal transduction
584 pathways in drought- and low-temperature-responsive gene expression,
585 respectively, in arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **10**: 1391-1406.

- 586 **Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001.** Analysis of relative gene expression data using
587 real-time quantitative pcr and the 2(-delta delta c(t)) method. *Methods* **25**:
588 402-408.
- 589 **Matsukura S, Mizoi J, Yoshida T, Todaka D, Ito Y, Maruyama K, Shinozaki**
590 **K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2010.** Comprehensive analysis of rice
591 dreb2-type genes that encode transcription factors involved in the
592 expression of abiotic stress-responsive genes. *Mol Genet Genomics* **283**:
593 185-196.
- 594 **Richards SA. 2008.** Dealing with overdispersed count data in applied ecology.
595 *Journal of Applied Ecology* **45**: 218-227.
- 596 **Sakuma Y, Maruyama K, Osakabe Y, Qin F, Seki M, Shinozaki K,**
597 **Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. 2006.** Functional analysis of an arabidopsis
598 transcription factor, dreb2a, involved in drought-responsive gene
599 expression. *Plant Cell* **18**: 1292-1309.
- 600 **Shinwari ZK, Nakashima K, Miura S, Kasuga M, Seki M, Yamaguchi-**
601 **Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 1998.** An arabidopsis gene family encoding
602 dre/crt binding proteins involved in low-temperature-responsive gene
603 expression. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **250**: 161-170.
- 604 **Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. 1994.** *Biometry: The principles and practice of statistics in*
605 *biological research.* . New York.: Freeman.
- 606 **Stockinger EJ, Gilmour SJ, Thomashow MF. 1997.** Arabidopsis thaliana cbf1
607 encodes an ap2 domain-containing transcriptional activator that binds to
608 the c-repeat/dre, a cis-acting DNA regulatory element that stimulates
609 transcription in response to low temperature and water deficit. *Proc Natl*
610 *Acad Sci U S A* **94**: 1035-1040.
- 611 **Thomashow MF. 1999.** Plant cold acclimation: Freezing tolerance genes and
612 regulatory mechanisms. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol* **50**: 571-
613 599.
- 614 **Venzon DJ, Moolgavkar SH. 1988.** A method for computing profile-likelihood-
615 based confidence intervals. *Applied Statistics* **37**: 87-94.
- 616 **Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 1994.** A novel cis-acting element in an
617 arabidopsis gene is involved in responsiveness to drought, low-
618 temperature, or high-salt stress. *Plant Cell* **6**: 251-264.
- 619 **Zhang X, Fowler SG, Cheng H, Lou Y, Rhee SY, Stockinger EJ, Thomashow**
620 **MF. 2004.** Freezing-sensitive tomato has a functional cbf cold response
621 pathway, but a cbf regulon that differs from that of freezing-tolerant
622 arabidopsis. *Plant Journal* **39**: 905-919.

623
624 **Supporting information**

625 Supporting information Fig. S1.

626 Expression of *AtSFR6* and *AtKIN2* in Arabidopsis lines transformed with
627 35S::*SFR6*.

628

629 **Figure Legends**

630 **Figure 1**

631 Alignment of predicted AtSFR6 and OsSFR6 protein sequences. Alignment was
632 produced using Vector NTI software <http://www.invitrogen.com>. Identity and
633 similarity of amino acid sequences are indicated in dark and light coloured boxes
634 respectively. Gaps in the amino acid sequences are indicated by “.”.

635

636 **Figure 2**

637 Visible phenotype of the *sfr6-1* mutant is restored by expression of 35S::AtSFR6.
638 Col-0, *sfr6-1* and T₂ progeny of *sfr6-1* plants transformed to express either
639 35S::AtSFR6 (four independent transformed lines shown) or 35S::GUS (two
640 independent transformed lines shown) were grown on full-strength MS agar
641 plates. **(a)** seedlings 10 days after germination. **(b)** Close-up of Col-0, *sfr6-1*,
642 *sfr6-1* expressing 35S::GUS and *sfr6-1* expressing 35S::AtSFR6. Scale bars
643 represent 10 mm throughout.

644

645 **Figure 3**

646 Visible phenotype of the *sfr6-1* mutant is restored by expression of 35S::OsSFR6.
647 T₂ progeny of *sfr6-1* plants expressing 35S::OsSFR6 (6 independent transformed
648 lines shown) alongside Col-0 and *sfr6-1* mutant for comparison. **(a)** Seedlings ten
649 days after germination. **(b)** Close up Col-0, *sfr6-1* and *sfr6-1* expressing
650 35S::OsSFR6. Scale bars represent 10 mm throughout.

651

652 **Figure 4**

653 *AtKIN2* expression is restored in the *sfr6-1* mutant by complementing with
654 *AtSFR6*. Seven-day-old seedlings were subjected to cold treatments at 4°C for 6

655 h. **(a)** Relative quantitation (RQ) values for *KIN2* expression in 3 complemented
656 lines relative to Col-0. **(b)** Relative quantitation (RQ) values for *AtSFR6*
657 expression in 3 complemented lines relative to Col-0. Expression of *AtKIN2* and
658 *SFR6* was normalised to expression values for β -*TUBULIN4* (endogenous
659 control). Each value is the mean of three technical replicates. Error bars indicate
660 RQ_{MIN} and RQ_{MAX} and constitute the acceptable error for a 95% confidence limit
661 according to Student's *t* test.

662

663 **Figure 5**

664 Expression levels of *OsSFR6* in *sfr6-1* transformed with *35S::OsSFR6*. Relative
665 quantitation (RQ) values of *OsSFR6* expression in seven-day-old Arabidopsis
666 seedlings from six independently transformed lines is presented relative to the
667 level of *OsSFR6* expression in line #20. *OsSFR6* expression was normalised to
668 expression values for *PEX4* (endogenous control). Each value is the mean of three
669 technical replicates. Error bars indicate RQ_{MIN} and RQ_{MAX} constitute the
670 acceptable error for a 95% confidence limit according to Student's *t* test.

671

672 **Figure 6**

673 Cold-inducible *AtKIN2* and *AtLTI78* expression is restored in the *sfr6-1* mutant by
674 expressing *35S::OsSFR6*. Seven-day-old seedlings from three independently
675 transformed lines (low, medium and high levels of *OsSFR6* expression) were
676 subjected to cold treatments at 4°C for 6 h alongside Col-0 and untransformed
677 *sfr6-1*. Data represented here are relative quantitation (RQ) values of gene
678 expression in the transformed lines relative to cold treated Col-0. *OsSFR6*
679 expression was normalised to expression values for *PEX4* (endogenous control).

680 Each value is the mean of three technical replicates. Error bars indicate RQ_{MIN} and
681 RQ_{MAX} constitute the acceptable error for a 95% confidence limit according to
682 Student's *t* test. **(a)** *AtKIN2*; **(b)** *AtLTI78*.

683

684 **Figure 7**

685 Freezing tolerance is restored in the *sfr6-1* mutant by expression of either
686 $35S::AtSFR6$ or $35S::OsSFR6$. Five-week-old plants were cold acclimated at 4°C
687 for 11 days under short day conditions before exposure to either -6.5, -7.5 or -
688 8.5°C for 24 h and then returned to 20°C. Photographs were taken 5 days after
689 returning to 20°C. **(a)** Freezing tolerance of Col-0, *sfr6-1*, *sfr6-1* expressing
690 $35S::GUS$ and *sfr6-1* expressing $35S::AtSFR6$ (Lines #1, #2 and #6). **(b)** Freezing
691 tolerance of Col-0, *sfr6-1* and *sfr6-1* expressing $35S::Os SFR6$ (lines #8, #10 and
692 #19). Figures (a) and (b) depict 2 separate experiments and should not be
693 compared. Scale bars represent 50 mm throughout.

694

695 **Figure 8**

696 Osmotic stress-inducible *AtKIN2* and *AtLTI78* expression is restored in the *sfr6-1*
697 mutant by expression of $35S::OsSFR6$. Seven-day-old seedlings from three
698 independently transformed lines (low, medium and high levels of *OsSFR6*
699 expression) were floated on water (white bars) or 350 mM mannitol (grey bars) at
700 20°C for 6 h alongside Col-0 and untransformed *sfr6-1*. Data represented here are
701 relative quantitation (RQ) values of gene expression in the transformed lines
702 relative to water-treated Col-0. *OsSFR6* expression was normalised to expression
703 values for *PEX4* (endogenous control). Each value is the mean of three technical

704 replicates. Error bars indicate RQ_{MIN} and RQ_{MAX} constitute the acceptable error
705 for a 95% confidence limit according to Student's *t* test. **(a)** *AtKIN2*; **(b)** *AtLTI78*.

706

707 **Figure 9**

708 Osmotic stress tolerance is restored in *sfr6-1* mutant seedlings by expression of
709 35S::*OsSFR6*. Five 8-day-old seedlings from three independently transformed
710 lines (low, medium and high levels of *OsSFR6* expression) were transferred to a
711 multi-well culture dish into wells containing water, 330, 440 or 550 mM mannitol
712 and maintained at 20°C for 72 h alongside Col-0 and *sfr6-1*. The scale bar
713 represents 10 mm.

714

715 **Figure 10**

716 Expression of 35s::*OsSFR6* in *sfr6-1* restores the ability to germinate on high
717 concentrations of osmoticum (a) Observed and best-fit model predictions of seed
718 germination over a range of mannitol (osmoticum) concentrations for wild type
719 Col-0 and *sfr6-1*. (b) Observed and predicted effect of *OsSFR6* transcript
720 expression level, using equation (1), on germination success of *OsSFR6*
721 complemented *sfr6-1* lines #8 (1), #19 (3.67) and #10 (6.85). Numbers in
722 parentheses refer to relative *OsSFR6* expression levels in each line, and increasing
723 levels of shading indicate increased transcript levels. *sfr6-1* is shown for
724 comparison. In both panels the lines represent maximum-likelihood model fits to
725 the data, and the error bars represent estimated 95% confidence intervals for the
726 probability of seed germination, based on the data in each line-treatment pairing.

727