# Postnatal Unit Bassinet Types When Rooming-In After Cesarean Section Childbirth: Implications for Breastfeeding and Infant Safety

### **Abstract**

Background: Postnatal unit rooming-in promotes breastfeeding. Previous research indicates that side-cars (three-sided bassinets that lock onto the maternal bed-frame) faciliate breastfeeding after vaginal childbirth more than stand-alone bassinets (standard rooming-in). No study has previously investigated side-car bassinet use after cesarean section, despite the constraints on maternal-infant interactions that are inherent in recovery from this childbirth mode.

Objective: To test the effect of the side-car bassinet on postnatal unit breastfeeding frequency and other maternal-infant behaviors compared to a stand-alone bassinet following cesarean section childbirth.

Methods: Participants were recruited and prenatally randomized to receive the side-car or standalone bassinet for their postnatal unit stay between January 2007 and March 2009 in Northeast England. Mother-infant interactions were filmed over the second postpartum night. Participants completed face-to-face interviews before and after filming. The main outcome measures were infant location, bassinet acceptability, and breastfeeding frequency. Other outcomes assessed were breastfeeding effort, maternal-infant contact, sleep states, midwife presence, and infant risk. Results: Differences in breastfeeding frequency, maternal-infant sleep overlap, and midwife presence were marginally significant. The 20 dyads allocated to side-car bassinets breastfed a median of 0.6 bouts/ hour compared to 0.4 bouts/hour for the 15 stand-alone bassinet dyads. Participants expressed overwhelming preference for the side-car bassinets. Bedsharing was equivalent between the groups, although the motivation for this practice may have differed. Infant handling was compromised with stand-alone bassinet use, including infants positioned on pillows while bedsharing with their sleeping mothers.

Conclusions: Women preferred the side-car but differences in breastfeeding frequency were only marginally significant. Infant safety was promoted by the side-car over the stand-alone bassinet during night-time interactions after cesarean section.

### Well Established

Rooming-in promotes breastfeeding. Previous research found side-cars (three-sided bassinets that lock onto the maternal bed frame) faciliate breastfeeding after vaginal childbirth compared to stand-alone bassinets (rooming-in). The pathways to ameliorating suboptimal breastfeeding after cesarean section are unclear.

# **Newly Expressed**

Following cesarean section childbirth, stand-alone bassinets may present an unnecessary breastfeeding obstacle and post a hazard for infants because of mothers' compromised mobility during the early postpartum period.

# **Background**

Cesarean section childbirth presents a practical barrier to breastfeeding due to limited maternal mobility and persistent postpartum maternal pain. 1-3 Despite the high incidence of cesarean section, 4-6 little is known about how hospital practices contribute to or compound the adverse effects of this delivery context on the establishment of breastfeeding. Recent guidance from the United Kindgom<sup>7</sup> states that women should be offered additional support initiating breastfeeding after cesarean section, but also that women are not at increased risk of difficulties once breastfeeding is established. Initial maternal interactions with newborns have been rated less favorably following cesarean section than after vaginal childbirth, which was related to the longer elapsed time between birth and first holding the infant. 8 Rowe-Murray and Fisher also found that elevated maternal emotional distress post-cesarean section persisted at eight months postpartum. Better understanding of interactions among mothers, infants, and their environments may explain the lower rates of breastfeeding documented after cesarean section 9-11 and the specific vulnerabilities facing these dyads.

Mothers balance their time and energy between infant care and their own needs, such as sleep, <sup>12</sup> and recovery from cesarean section diverts effort from breastfeeding. <sup>13</sup> Sucessful lactation requires frequent suckling during the day and night. For the mother, this entails awareness of infant cues and the ability to respond. An optimal start on the postnatal unit is challenging, however, when staff are overstretched <sup>14</sup> and women require frequent assistance with maneuvering themselves and accessing infants. Although a longer inpatient stay may be beneficial, <sup>15</sup> this is not often physically or economically feasible. Alternatively, postnatal care might be modified to better address the needs of the cesarean section population.

One intervention to support families after cesarean section childbirth involves promoting maternal-infant proximity. <sup>16</sup> Continuous rooming-in is one of the Ten Steps to Successful Breastfeeding <sup>17</sup> because it allows mothers to hear infant cues and facilitates interaction. However, locating infants in stand-alone bassinets may not provide sufficient opportunity for extended skin-to-skin contact and breastfeeding, especially during cesarean section recovery. Side-cars (three-sided bassinets that lock onto the maternal bed-frame) are in mothers' line of vision and do not require women to exit the bed to access their newborns. Although hospital side-cars are not a new concept, <sup>18</sup> we are unaware of widespread use for any population.

Postnatal unit arrangements are crucial in maternal-infant interactions when considered within the developmental science framework. This systems-perspective emphasizes bidirectionality, <sup>19</sup> with infant cues and maternal responses shaping each other. Consistent with this conceptualization are the findings that bedsharing promotes breastfeeding <sup>20</sup> and that infant sleep locations affect postnatal unit breastfeeding frequency after vaginal childbirth. <sup>21</sup> In both of these studies, infants randomized to sleep in close proximity to the mother (laboratory bedsharing versus sleep in separate rooms or postnatal unit bedsharing versus rooming-in with a side-car or a stand-alone bassinet) breastfed significantly more frequently during the observation periods. The results suggest that some breastfeeding challenges encountered in hospitals are an iatrogenic consequence of the physical separation of mothers and infants imposed by night-time arrangements. <sup>22</sup>

In previous research on postnatal unit rooming-in,<sup>21</sup> cesarean section childbirth was an exclusion criterion. The present study builds on that work. In this article, we describe the impact of randomly allocated side-car or stand-alone bassinets on breastfeeding sessions and maternal-infant interactions after non-labor cesarean section childbirth.

### **Objectives**

We hypothesised that side-car bassinets would be associated with more frequent breastfeeding compared to stand-alone bassinets. Infant location and risk were measured because data regarding hospital infant falls and other handling issues are sparse, <sup>23-24</sup> and use of the two bassinet types have not been investigated after cesarean section. Night-time infant locations can introduce various risks, so direct observations are crucial to understanding these practices. Additional outcome measures for the present study were bassinet acceptability, breastfeeding effort, maternal-infant contact, sleep states, and midwife presence in participants' rooms over the observation period to ascertain how the intervention affected postnatal unit dynamics.

#### Methods

A randomized trial with a parallel deisgn was used to compare the interactions of mother-infant dyads prenatally allocated to receive a postnatal unit side-car or stand-alone bassinet during cesarean section recovery (Figure 1). The side-car bassinet has two latches that fit over the side frame of the maternal bed. A flat clamp positioned underneath the mattress locks the side-car in place. The stand-alone bassinet comprises a clear acrylic bassinet in a frame on a four-wheeled cart.

# [Figure 1 here]

# Setting

This study was conducted at a tertiary-level hospital in Northeast England with approximately 5,400 births annually. The maternity unit had a Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative (BFHI) certificate of commitment, but was not BFHI accredited. The cesarean section rate at the hospital was 22%, compared with a national rate of 34% in England.<sup>25</sup>

At the study hospital, continuous rooming-in is standard on the postpartum unit for all healthy dyads. Infant feeding support is provided by midwives as a part of routine care. Following cesarean section, women as bedbound until the day after childbirth when catheters are removed. Mothers signal for miwifery assistance by pushing a button that makes a light flash next to the maternal bedside and sounds a buzzer throughout the ward. The required night-time staffing level on the study unit is 3 midwives and 1 health care assistant for the 24 beds. The average stay following cesarean section on this ward is 2 or 3 days.

## **Participants**

Participants were non-smoking mothers of healthy singletons who initiated breastfeeding, experienced fullterm cesarean section without labor, and spent the second postpartum night at the delivery hospital in a single or double room. A sample of 72 would be necessary to detect a group difference in breastfeeding frequency, based on a two-sided sample size calculation with a sigma 1.5 (estimated based on <sup>21</sup>), significance level of 0.05, and 80% power.

### Procedures

Ethical and institutional approval was obtained from the authors' university and local healthcare authorities. Recruitment occurred January 2007 to December 2007 and October 2008 to March 2009. Potential participants were approached at surgical booking appointments occurring at 36 weeks gestation or through a postal mailing. The postal mailing was adopted to for the second recruitment period because of the initiation of other research on the study ward in January 2008. The study was overlapping in inclusion criteria and prenatal random allocation of the bassinet types, but it did not involve filming. The timing, design, and participants the other study permitted collaboration to obtain a sub-sample of those dyads for this project.

The inclusion criteria specified that a mother be pregnant with a single infant, expecting to deliver after at least 37 weeks gestation, non-smokers during pregnancy, at least 18 years of age, scheduled for cesarean section at the study hospital, and considering breastfeeding. The additional criterion of planning to spend the entire postnatal stay at the study hospital was added after it emerged that some women transfer to smaller hospitals more local to their homes after the first postpartum night. The study documents listed all of the inclusion criteria together in bullet points. This grouping was done to avoid drawing attention to the research interest in breastfeeding and also so as not to directly ask women's infant feeding intentions. The UNICEF UK Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative recommends that women not be prenatally asked their planned infant feeding method. Exclusion criteria included women whose midwives advised against participation. This occurred once due to a women being HIV-positive.

Enrollment entailed return of completed consent forms prior to childbirth and assignment of an anonymous study number. The second author, who was not involved in recruitment, used a random number table to allocate bassinet types. The allocation was communicated to the participants by telephone and to the midwifery manager by e-mail. The manager alerted midwives as to which women were trial participants and for whom they should provide the side-car. The allocated bassinet was available for the participants' entire postnatal unit stay. The study was described as an investigation of night-time mother-infant interactions without mention of the specific outcome measures.

Factors that rendered a participant ineligible after enrollment were not meeting any of the inclusion criteria, mother or infant being unwell, or the dyad not having a scheduled caesarean section due to the spontaneous onset of labor. The day after childbirth, each participant completed a semi-structured face-to-face interview with the first author, who then set-up a small

camcorder and long-play videocassette recorder (VCR). The camcorder's 'night-shot' facility permitted filming in complete darkness, and was mounted on a monopod clamped to the foot of the maternal bed. The VCR was housed in an attaché case positioned under the bed. Participants used the remote control to start recording once they were ready to sleep and were requested to let the equipment record continuously for the duration of the tape. Mothers and their midwives could stop the recording at any point. Participants were encouraged to care for their infants as usual and disregard the camera. No instructions were provided regarding bassinet use. Following filming, the video equipment was dismantled and mothers completed a brief follow-up interview. Participants were offered a copy of their videotape prior to giving final consent for it to be used in the study. A small gratuity was offered in the form of gift cards. Daytime behavior was not filmed due to the variable presence of visitors and their interactions with the mother-infant dyad. Hospital policy excluded visitors (including infant's father) overnight.

### Measures

Coded video observations were used to assess breastfeeding, infant location, maternal and infant sleep states, physical contact, midwifery presence in participants' rooms, and infant risk between the trial arms. Semi-structured interviews documented maternal experiences with infant care and bassinet use. Questions included "do you think anything has impacted your ability to interact with your baby?"

### Observational Methods

Filming permitted objective comparison of the effects of the bassinet types on maternal-infant interactions. A taxonomy was used with Noldus: The Observer 5.0 to categorize behavioral states of mothers, infants, and the presence of midwives. This method derives from naturalistic observation of animals<sup>27</sup> and was based on previous taxonomies.<sup>20-21</sup> The main

outcome measure, breastfeeding sessions, was defined as separate bouts of suckling at the breast with at least 5 minutes between the ending and onset of the infant's mouth on the breast. This definition therefore included nutritive and nonnutritive suckling. Breastfeeding effort comprised the behaviors of infants being put to the breast (maternal feeding attempts) plus breastfeeding sessions. The full list of study codes and definitions used in this analysis are presented in Table 1.

### [Table 1 here]

The first author coded videotapes from maternal sleep onset to last waking (or to the tape end if still sleeping). Participants were classified as cross-overs from their allocated groups if infants spent 50% or more of their sleep time in an arrangement other than their allocated bassinet. Those assigned to the stand-alone bassinet group could not opt for the intervention condition (side-car bassinet). However, the side-car participants could revert to standard care (stand-alone bassinet) on request.

### Interviews

The pre-filming interview focused on women's childbirth experiences, infant caretaking plans, and postnatal interactions. The post-filming interview explored mothers' night-time experiences and thoughts on the bassinet types. Interviews were semi-structured, open-ended, and conducted in private. Questions were worded in a non-leading manner, and probes were used to elicit full accounts. The interviewer recorded responses verbatim through detailed notes. Medical record reviews and participant-completed socio-demographic questionnaires permitted sample description.

### Data Analysis

Observational data were analyzed by a modified intention-to-treat analysis, comprising all completers. Including all randomized participants in the analysis was not possible because outcomes were generated using video and interview data. Women who withdrew, did not breastfeed, or left the postnatal unit early did not contribute data.

The frequency and duration of behaviors were analyzed as proportions of the observation period, which ranged from 4 to 8 hours. Intra-observer reliability was assessed by recoding video segments. A Cohen's Kappa of 0.86, (the proportion of agreement exceeding that expected by chance), surpassed the recommended 0.70 threshold.<sup>28</sup> After checking the normality of data in SPSS v.18 with the Shapiro-Wilk test, group comparisons were conducted using the chi-square test for two independent samples, Fisher exact test, independent-samples t test, and the Mann-Whitney tests where appropriate.

Participant responses were read in their entirety and entered into a matrix format in response to the interview questions for ease of comparison. Initial codes were then used to create thematic categories across all participants.<sup>29</sup> Codes derived from research questions, such as "is anything influencing the way you are looking after your baby" as well as refinements of the core issues that emerged, such as 'managing breastfeeding,' which the authors identified through an iterative process.

### **Results**

Seventy-seven of 134 (58%) eligible women approached face-to-face were enrolled together with 9 of 23 (39%) approached via postal recruitment. The overall enrollment rate to those eligible was 86/157=55%. Although 86 women were recruited, it was only possible to capture sufficient video observations for 35 (Figure 2).

[Figure 2 here]

Participants were a median age of 35 years (*SD* 4.9) and were mainly multiparous. Eighty-three percent were White British and 73% had a university degree. Over half of the infants were female, with a median gestational age of 39.1 weeks (*SD* 0.6) and a median birthweight of 3.6 kilograms (*SD* 0.5). The recorded characteristics did not vary statistically between the 20 side-car and 15 stand-alone bassinet participants (Table 2).

### [Table 2 here]

Breastfeeding, Sleep, and Midwifery Presence

There was a trend for more frequent breastfeeding and total breastfeeding effort, more mother-infant sleep overlap, and less midwifery presence in the side-car group, but these were only marginally statistically different compared to the stand-alone group (Table 3). The observation periods included formula supplementation in 7 of 35 cases (20%), which was split evenly between the two groups (4 of 20 side-car and 3 of 15 stand-alone bassinet participants). The proportion of time mothers and their infants spent in physical contact, infant sleep time, and maternal sleep time did not differ between groups.

### [Table 3 here]

# Bassinet Acceptability

Mothers expressed overwhelming enthusiasm for the side-car. Participants who received the stand-alone bassinet spontaneously offered that the intervention "would have made a huge difference." Most women (29 of 35) reported that the bassinet types affected their interactions with their infants. No mother commented unfavorably on the side-car, while 11 of 15 (73%) stand-alone participants commented unfavorably about their allocated bassinet. Participants described the side-car bassinet as permitting visual and physical access to their infants, enabling emotional closeness, facilitating breastfeeding, and minimizing the need to request midwifery

assistance. Participants described the stand-alone bassinet as "awkward" and "clumsy." One mother in the stand-alone group commented, "Last night I had to hit the buzzer [for the midwife] to get my baby out. I felt like I was pestering them [the midwives], but that [feeling] is all from me. They're busy, but they are here for you."

Participants recommended that the side-car bassinet be universally offered on postnatal units. Some said that they would not have roomed-in for the entirety of the night without the side-car because it facilitated settling their infants. Additionally, women said that they would not have managed to breastfeed without the access provided by the side-car bassinet:

"The side-car is fantastic...really good to be honest. I'm very sore and if I had to sit up or stand up, I couldn't have done it [breastfeed in the night]."

37-year-old White third-time mother

"Actually the side-car is really good. I can be a lot more responsive and quicker. I pick him up straight away [from the side-car] whereas it takes me a good few minutes to get up out of bed. My little girl [previous baby] had been left crying [on the postnatal unit with the stand-alone bassinet]. I found this a lot easier. I could put my hand on him when he niggled [exhibited small movements or vocalizations]."

35-year-old White second-time mother

The side-car permitted infant contact with minimal maternal repositioning that mothers must substantially undertake with the stand-alone bassinet after cesarean section childbirth:

"The [stand-alone] bassinet wasn't especially good after a cesarean section. It requires a lot of twisting and bending forward which we aren't supposed to do. So, it's not the best.

That's why the bassinet was empty at the end of the night. She was crying a lot so they [the midwives] had to take her."

39-year old White second-time mother

"I would sleep for 10-15 minutes, then my baby cried and I had to stand up. This [stand-alone] bassinet was too hard to use so I brought her into bed. I can't pick up her up very easily. The side-car would've been much easier for a woman like me who had a cesarean section to get the baby, give milk, and set the baby back. It bothered me having to ring midwives every half hour in the night."

29-year-old Asian third-time mother

# Infant Location

No participants allocated to the side-car switched to the stand-alone bassinet. About one third of both groups of mothers (intentionally or unintentionally) bedshared with their infants for the majority of the night (11 of 35 participants). Cross-over from the allocated bassinet to bedsharing occurred with 7 of 20 side-car and 4 of 15 stand-alone bassinet participants.

Infant Safety

None of the 35 infants experienced an adverse event in the course of this study. All mothers attempted to access their infant while reaching from a reclining or sitting position on the bed. Maternal movement was slow and accompanied by grimaces. The height and angle of the stand-alone bassinet relative to the mother introduced several potential risks to infants. Observed stand-alone bassinet risks involved lifting infants without support for their heads, tipping the bassinet while attempting to return an infant, dropping an infant into the bassinet, and prone infant sleep. The prone infant sleep position, defined as infants asleep on their stomachs on a mattress or pillow, was observed in 1 of 15 stand-alone and 2 of 20 side-car participants. When

infants were in their mother's bed, those who had been randomly allocated to the stand-alone bassinet spent more time positioned on a pillow (8 of 15, median proportion of observation time 0.03, range 0.00-0.88 of the night) compared to those who had the side-car (3 of 20, median 0.00, range 0.00-0.01) instead of being on the mattress or their mother's body. The mean difference in the proportion pillow use between the stand-alone versus side-car groups was 0.20 (0.04-0.35, p=0.009, Mann-Whitney U Test). Infants bedsharing on a pillow specifically when their mother was asleep occurred only in the stand-alone group (6 of 15 participants). This arrangement was significantly more likely in the stand-alone group compared to those allocated a side-car bassinet (p=0.003, Fisher's Exact Test).

#### Discussion

This study contributes to understanding of the process by which suboptimal breastfeeding behavior often occurs after cesarean section childbirth. Overnight observation of mother-newborn interactions were triangulated with maternal interviews on the postnatal unit. Findings from this preliminary mixed methods research suggest postnatal unit bassinet types may affect breastfeeding frequency, postnatal unit staff workloads, and infant risk.

Maternal accounts of their experience indicated breastfeeding after cesarean section is constrained by inability to easy access their newborns while rooming-in. The side-car provided maternal benefit through 'easier,' but not more frequent, breastfeeding. In economics terms, there could be a maternal 'cost ceiling' in the early post-cesarean section period in which women breastfeed infrequently because the burden is too great. Considering the needs within breastfeeding dyads may be key in facilitating more holistic and effective support.<sup>12</sup>

Interplay between people and place is increasingly identified as a contributor to health outcomes.<sup>30</sup> Current systems of postnatal care render many families and health care providers

unsatisfied.<sup>31</sup> Dykes<sup>32</sup> argues that the infant and the breast are often compartmentalized in breastfeeding discussions instead of acknowledging the relational and physiological connectedness between mother and child. Although Declercq et al.<sup>9</sup> suggest that early brestfeeding cessation is an area for "teachable moments" to increase women's commitment, the overwhelming need in health services may be for interventions to better support women's realization of their breastfeeding plans. Maternal preference for the side-car bassinet suggest mothers desired their postnatal unit environments to be more accommodating.

As there can be a demonstrable gap between what people do and what they say happened, direct observation is a crucial component for investigating human behavior. 33-34 Participant recall is also limited, and so video-recording enabled an objective, quantifiable comparison of the effects of the bassinet types. Although participant awareness of being observed may have altered the mothers' behavior in unmeasurable ways, the video equipment was equally visible in both arms of the study.

Filming on a postnatal unit provided a unique insight into the constraints experienced by mothers following cesarean section childbirth, revealing how the combination of maternal mobility limitations and the stand-alone bassinet introduced unanticipated risks to infants in terms of suboptimal handling and pillow use. The ability to identify a hazardous situation was limited, however, by stationary filming equipment that provided a single vantage point. Lack of physiological measurements limited the accuracy of classifications such as sleep state and risk. However, the use of monitors could affect participant comfort and infant handling.

As reported in Figure 2, mothers halted video recording infrequently. This suggests that the filming was not much of a breastfeedig disruption. This is important as Morrison et al.<sup>35</sup> found that mothers described "frequent, erratic, and lengthy" postnatal unit activity as hindering

their rest and infant care. Both maternal accounts and video observation demonstrated that use of the stand-alone bassinet after non-labor cesarean section did not facilitate having infants "within easy reach" of their mothers as specified in WHO recommendations.<sup>36</sup> Our qualitative data suggest that post-cesarean section infants are not easily accessible with stand-alone bassinets. This context may lead to increased risk of accidents and harm.

Although bedsharing for the majority of the observation period was a frequent practice in both groups of participants, it occurred differently. Infants from the stand-alone bassinet group slept for significantly longer on a pillow on their mother's lap when in the maternal bed. The pillow use may reflect the mothers falling asleep uninentionally before returning the infant to the stand-alone bassinet. It is unsurprising that a mother might delay stand-alone bassinet use if this will cause her pain or wake the infant. Bedsharing on the postnatal unit in beds not designed for infants to share, with medicated mothers, could lead to infant falling or suffocation. Infants positioned on a pillow while bedsharing with sleeping mothers is particularly concerning, as Blair et al.<sup>37</sup> found that this practice is increasing and associated with Sudden Infant Death Syndrome. Our observations regarding infant safety suggest that the side-car bassinet was preferable due to absence of the various infant risks observed with stand-alone bassinet use (poor infant support, bassinet tipping, newborns sleeping on pillows on mothers). Participants also expressed greater satisfaction with the side-car than the stand-alone bassinet.

The observational coding was also conducted on a micro-level for predefined specific behaviors with a sufficient degree of intra-rater reliability, so the possibility of coder bias was limited despite the visibility of the bassinet types. The authors conducted the qualitative coding from responses to non-leading interview questions, but utilization of research assistants blind to the study hypotheses would be ideal to minimize potential bias.

In previous research, the side-car was determined to be marginally preferable to the maternal bed as an infant sleep site on the postnatal unit after vaginal childbirth<sup>21</sup> because infants experienced face-covering by bed-sheets for a greater proportion of the observation in the bedshare group compared to the side-car bassinet group. However, Ball et al. found that the infant who experienced the greatest airway-covering in that study, although allocated to the bedshare group, experienced the airway-coverings while swaddled in a stand-alone bassinet. Results of these trials therefore indicate that it is the ways in which infant sleeping arrangements are implemented, not the structures themselves, that convey risk. The type of bassinet that mothers have during rooming-in is one of many aspects of their perinatal environment that may be made more family and breastfeeding friendly.

Although the recruitment target was met and women were willing to participate in the trial, a substantial number of women were disqualified following enrolment due to spontaneous onset of labor or the realization that an inclusion criterion, such as breastfeeding intent, had not been accurately represented at enrollment (Figure 2). The results are therefore underpowered. However, the proportion of enrolled participants filmed in this study, 35 of 86 (40.7%), is similar to the percentage achieved in previous comparable research, 61 of 144 (42.4%) with Ball et al.<sup>21</sup> Future observational research may benefit from including dyads experiencing labor before their operative childbirth and anticipating a high rate of disqualification. The strict adherence to specified inclusion/exclusion criteria in this study limits generalizability of the results but ensured internal consistency and thereby enabled a valid test of efficacy. The next step would be to test random allocation of the side-car with samples representative of the population/s for which the bassinet types are of interest

A larger sample is particularly important because we observed infrequent breastfeeding compared to previous overnight observations of breastfeeding dyads, which had similar sample sizes. Ball et al.<sup>21</sup> obtained data on 18 bedsharing, 23 side-car, and 20 standalone bassinet dyads while McKenna et al.<sup>20</sup> had 20 routinely bedsharing and 15 routinely solitary sleeping motherinfant pairs. As reported in Table 3, non-labor cesarean section dyads allocated to side-car bassinets breastfed a median of 0.6 bouts/ hour compared to 0.4 bouts/hour for the stand-alone bassinet group. After vaginal childbirth, 21 those allocated to the side-car breastfed a median of 1.3 bouts/hour compared to 0.5 bouts/hour with stand-alone bassinets (a bedsharing group breastfed a median of 1.2 bouts/hour). If infant condition was the determining factor accounting for this difference in breastfeeding sessions, then findings on total breastfeeding effort (breastfeeding sessions plus attempted breastfeeds) would be expected to be similar after cesarean section compared to vaginal childbirth. We found (Table 3) breastfeeding effort after cesarean section to be a median of 0.7 bouts/ hour in the side-car and 0.4 bouts/ hour in the stand-alone bassinet groups. In contrast, Ball et al.<sup>21</sup> documented breastfeeding effort as 3.4 bouts/ hour in the side-car and 1.3 bouts/ hour in the stand-alone groups after vaginal childbirth. Although infant feeding capabilities are a crucial component of the breastfeeding relationship, maternal opportunity to recognize infant cues and access the babies may be a critical issue during the first postnatal nights.

Earlier provision of side-car basinets, such as in the birthing suite, may promote mother-infant interactions in general and especially breastfeeding. Previous research<sup>38</sup> found that a two-hour separation of mothers and newborns was associated with differences in maternal sensitivity, infant self-regulation, and dyadic interaction at one year postpartum. Another study found that hospital practices that support mother-infant contact, such as skin-to-skin care, are associated

with greater breastfeeding duration.<sup>39</sup> Overall, the more of the 10 Steps that dyads experience, the greater the prevalence of breastfeeding.<sup>40</sup>

### **Conclusions**

Decisions about appropriate postnatal unit arrangements should therefore take into account that families will have individualized needs. Acknowledgement of the risks associated with cesarean section childbirth do not currently extend to breastfeeding disruption or infant handling issues,<sup>7</sup> despite the extensive debate over the trade-offs of cesarean section in relation to vaginal childbirth.<sup>41-42</sup> The stand-alone bassinet may not just be inconvenient for mothers after a cesarean section, it may be an unnecessary breastfeeding obstacle and institutionalized risk for infants.

### References

- 1 Chertok IR. Breast-feeding initiation among post-caesarean women of the Negev, Israel. *Br J Nurs*. 2006;15(4):205-208.
- 2 Declercq E, Cunningham DK, Johnson C, Sakala C. Mothers' reports of postpartum pain associated with vaginal and cesarean deliveries: Results of a national survey. *Birth*. 2008;35(1):16-24.
- 3 Karlström A, Engström-Olofsson R, Norbergh K-G, Sjöling M, Hildingsson I. Postoperative pain after cesarean birth affects breastfeeding and infant care. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs*. 2007;36(5):430-440.
- 4 Betrán AP, Merialdi, M, Lauer JA. et al. Rates of caesarean section: Analysis of global, regional and national estimates. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2007;21(2):98-113.
- 5 Declercq E, Young R, Cabral H, Ecker J. Is a rising cesarean delivery rate inevitable? Trends in industralized countries, 1987 to 2007. *Birth.* 2011;38(2):99-104.
- 6 Menacker F, Hamilton BE. *Recent trends in cesarean delivery in the United States*. NCHS

  Data Brief, No. 35. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics; March 2010.

  http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/databriefs/db35.pdf. Accessed January 14, 2012.
- 7 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. *Caesarean Section (update)*. *Clinical Guideline CG132*; Updated November 2011. http://guidance.nice.org.uk/CG132.

  Accessed January 14, 2012.
- 8 Rowe-Murray HJ, Fisher JRW. Operative intervention in delivery is associated with compromised early mother-infant interaction. *BJOG*. 2001;108(10):1068-1075.
- 9 Declercq E, Labbok MH, Sakala C, O'Hara M. Hospital practices and women's likelihood of

- fulfilling their intention to exclusively breastfeed. *Am J Public Health*. 2009;99(5):929-935.
- 10 Dewey KG, Nommsen-Rivers LA, Heinig J, Cohen RJ. Risk factors for suboptimal infant breastfeeding behavior, delayed onset of lactation, and excess neonatal weight loss.

  \*Pediatrics. 2003;112(3):607-619.
- 11 Venancio SI, Saldiva SRDM, Mondini L, Levy RB, Escuder MML. Early interruption of exclusive breastfeeding and associated factors, state of São Paulo, Brazil. *J Hum Lact*. 2008;24(2):168-174.
- 12 Authors. Trade-offs underlying maternal breastfeeding decisions: A conceptual model.

  \*Matern Child Nutr. 2011; DOI: 10.1111/j.1740-8709.2011.00378.x\*
- 13 Pérez-Ríos N, Ramos-Valencia G, Ortiz AP. Cesarean delivery as a barrier for breastfeeding initiation: The Puerto Rican experience. *J Hum Lact*. 2008;24(3):293-302.
- 14 Smith AHK., Dixon AL, Page LA. Health-care professionals' views about safety in maternity services: A qualitative study. *Midwifery*. 2009;25(1):21-31.
- 15 Patel RR, Liebling RE, Murphy DJ. Effect of operative delivery in the second stage of labor on breastfeeding success. *Birth*. 2003;30(4):255-260.
- 16 Nolan A, Lawrence C. A pilot study of a nursing intervention protocol to minimize maternal-infant separation after cesarean birth. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs*. 2009;38(4):430-442.
- 17 World Health Organization. *Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2003.
  - http://www.who.int/child\_adolescent\_health/documents/9241562218/en/. Accessed January 14, 2012.

- 18 Klaus MH, Kennell JH. *Maternal-Infant Bonding: The Impact of Early Separation or Loss on Family Development*. St. Louis, MO: The C.V. Mosby Company; 1976.
- 19 Magnusson D, Cairns RB. Developmental science: Toward a unified framework. In: R.B.
  Cairns RB, Elder, GH, Costello EJ, eds. *Developmental Science*. Cambridge: Cambridge
  University Press; 1996:7-30.
- 20 McKenna JJ, Mosko SS, Richard CA. Bedsharing promotes breastfeeding. *Pediatrics*. 1997;100(2):214-219.
- 21 Ball HL, Ward-Platt MP, Heslop E, Leech SJ, Brown, KA. Randomised trial of infant sleep location on the postnatal ward. *Arch Dis Child*. 2006;91(12):1005-1010.
- 22 Ball HL. Evolutionary paediatrics: A case study in applying Darwinian medicine. In: Elton S, O'Higgins SP, eds. *Medicine and Evolution: Current Applications, Future Prospects*.
  London: CRC Press; 2008:127-152
- 23 Jolivet RR, Corry MP, Sakala C. Transforming maternity care: Key informant interview summary. *Women's Health Issues*. 2010;20(1 Suppl):S79-S80.
- 24 Monson SA, Henry E, Lambert DK, Schmutz N, Christensen RD. In-hospital falls of newborn infants: Data from a multihospital health care system. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(2):e277-e280.
- 25 Bragg F, Cromwell DA, Edozien LC, et al. Variation in rates of cesarean section among English NHS Trusts after accounting for maternal and clinical risk: Cross sectional study. *BMJ*. 2010;341:c5065.
- 26 United Nations International Children's Fund United Kingdom Baby Friendly Initiative. *How to Implement Baby Friendly Standards: A Guide for Maternity Settings*. 2011.

  <a href="http://www.unicef.org.uk/Documents/Baby\_Friendly/Guidance/Implementation%20Guidance/Implementationguidance\_maternity\_web.pdf">http://www.unicef.org.uk/Documents/Baby\_Friendly/Guidance/Implementation%20Guidance/Implementationguidance\_maternity\_web.pdf</a>. Accessed April 20, 2012.

- 27 Eibl-Eibesfeldt I. *Human Ethology: Foundations of Human Behavior*. New York, NY: Aldine de Gruyter; 1989.
- 28 Martin P, Bateson P. *Measuring Behaviour*. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1993.
- 29 Wilkinson S. Focus group research. In: Silverman D, ed. *Qualitative Research: Theory, Method and Practice*. 2nd ed. London: Sage Publications; 2004:177-199.
- 30 Cummins S, Curtis S, Diez-Roux AV, Macintyre S. Understanding and representing 'place' in health research: A relational approach. *Soc Sci Med*. 2007;65(9):1825-1838.
- 31 Fredriksson GEM, Högberg U, Lundman BM. Postpartum care should provide alternative to meet parents' need for safety, active participation, and 'bonding.' *Midwifery*. 2003;19(4):267-276.
- 32 Dykes F. *Breastfeeding in Hospital: Mothers, Midwives and the Production Line*. London: Routledge; 2006.
- 33 Silverman D. Who cares about experience? Missing issues in qualitative research. In:

  Silverman D, ed. *Qualitative Research: Theory, Method and Practice*. 2nd ed. London:

  Sage Publications; 2004: 342-367.
- 34 Trevathan WR. *Human Birth: An Evolutionary Perspective*. New York, NY: Aldine de Gruyter; 1987.
- 35 Morrison B, Ludington-Hoe S, Anderson GC. Interruptions to breastfeeding dyads on postpartum day 1 in a university hospital. *J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs*. 2006;35(6):709-716.
- 36 World Health Organization. Pregnancy, Childbirth, Postpartum and Newborn Care: A Guide

- for Essential Practice. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2006.

  http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/maternal\_perinatal\_health/92415908

  4X/en/. Accessed January 14, 2012.
- 37 Blair PS, Sidebotham P, Evanson-Coombe C, Edmonds M, Heckstall-Smith EMA, Fleming P. Hazardous cosleeping environments and risk factors amenable to change: Case-control study of SIDS in south west England. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b3666.
- 38 Bystrova K, Ivanova V, Edhorg M, et al. Early contact versus separation: effects on mother-infant interaction one year later. *Birth*. 2009;36(2):91-109.
- 39 Mikiel-Kostyra K, Mazur J, Boltruszko I. Effect of early skin-to-skin contact after delivery on duration of breastfeeding: A prospective cohort study. *Acta Paediatr*. 2002;91(12):1301-1306.
- 40 DiGirolamo AM, Grummer-Strawn LM, Fein SB. Effect of maternity-care practices on breastfeeding. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(Suppl 2):S43-S49.
- 41 Ecker JL, Frigoletto FD. Cesarean delivery and the risk-benefit calculus. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356(9):885-888.
- 42 Kalish RB, McCullough LB, Chervenak FA. Patient choice cesarean delivery: Ethical issues. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;20(2):116-119.

# **Funding and Conflict of Interest**

Funding for this study was provided by the Owen F. Aldis Fund of the International Society for Human Ethology. The first author is currently supported by Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute for Child Health and Human Development Training Grant 2T32HD007376-21A1.

The authors declare that they have no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.