

# The VISTA Deep Extragalactic Observations (VIDEO) survey<sup>★</sup>

Matt J. Jarvis,<sup>1,2,3†</sup> D. G. Bonfield,<sup>2</sup> V. A. Bruce,<sup>4</sup> J. E. Geach,<sup>5</sup> K. McAlpine,<sup>6</sup>  
 R. J. McLure,<sup>4</sup> E. González-Solares,<sup>7</sup> M. Irwin,<sup>7</sup> J. Lewis,<sup>7</sup> A. Kupcu Yoldas,<sup>7</sup>  
 S. Andreon,<sup>8</sup> N. J. G. Cross,<sup>4</sup> J. P. Emerson,<sup>9</sup> G. Dalton,<sup>1,10</sup> J. S. Dunlop,<sup>4</sup>  
 S. T. Hodgkin,<sup>7</sup> O. Le Fèvre,<sup>11</sup> M. Karouzos,<sup>12</sup> K. Meisenheimer,<sup>13</sup> S. Oliver,<sup>14</sup>  
 S. Rawlings,<sup>1</sup> C. Simpson,<sup>15</sup> I. Smail,<sup>16</sup> D. J. B. Smith,<sup>2</sup> M. Sullivan,<sup>1</sup> W. Sutherland,<sup>9</sup>  
 S. V. White<sup>1</sup> and J. T. L. Zwart<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Astrophysics, Department of Physics, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH*

<sup>2</sup>*Centre for Astrophysics, Science & Technology Research Institute, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, Herts AL10 9AB*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Physics, University of the Western Cape, Private Bag X17, Bellville 7535, South Africa*

<sup>4</sup>*SUPA†, Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Royal Observatory, Edinburgh EH9 3HJ*

<sup>5</sup>*Department of Physics, McGill University, Ernest Rutherford Building, 3600 rue University, Montréal, Québec, QC H3A 2T8, Canada*

<sup>6</sup>*Department of Physics and Electronics, Rhodes University, Grahamstown 6139, South Africa*

<sup>7</sup>*Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA*

<sup>8</sup>*INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, via Brera 28, I-20121 Milan, Italy*

<sup>9</sup>*Astronomy Unit, School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS*

<sup>10</sup>*RALSpace, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell, Oxford OX11 0QX*

<sup>11</sup>*Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille, Aix-Marseille Université, 38 rue Frédéric Joliot-Curie, F-13388 Marseille, France*

<sup>12</sup>*CEOU-Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Republic of Korea*

<sup>13</sup>*Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany*

<sup>14</sup>*Astronomy Centre, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QH*

<sup>15</sup>*Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, Twelve Quays House, Egerton Wharf, Birkenhead CH41 1LD*

<sup>16</sup>*Institute for Computational Cosmology, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE*

Accepted 2012 October 1. Received 2012 September 3; in original form 2012 June 19

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we describe the first data release of the Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy (VISTA) Deep Extragalactic Observations (VIDEO) survey. VIDEO is a  $\sim 12$  deg<sup>2</sup> survey in the near-infrared  $Z$ ,  $Y$ ,  $J$ ,  $H$  and  $K_s$  bands, specifically designed to enable the evolution of galaxies and large structures to be traced as a function of both epoch and environment from the present day out to  $z = 4$ , and active galactic nuclei (AGNs) and the most massive galaxies up to and into the epoch of reionization. With its depth and area, VIDEO will be able to fully explore the period in the Universe where AGN and starburst activity were at their peak and the first galaxy clusters were beginning to virialize. VIDEO therefore offers a unique data set with which to investigate the interplay between AGN, starbursts and environment, and the role of feedback at a time when it was potentially most crucial.

We provide data over the VIDEO-XMM3 tile, which also covers the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey Deep-1 field (CFHTLS-D1). The released VIDEO data reach a  $5\sigma$  AB-magnitude depth of  $Z = 25.7$ ,  $Y = 24.5$ ,  $J = 24.4$ ,  $H = 24.1$  and  $K_s = 23.8$  in 2 arcsec diameter apertures (the full depth of  $Y = 24.6$  will be reached within the full integration time in future releases). The data are compared to previous surveys over this field and we find good astrometric agreement with the Two Micron All Sky Survey, and source counts in agreement with the recently released UltraVISTA survey data. The addition of the VIDEO data to the CFHTLS-D1 optical data increases the accuracy of photometric redshifts and significantly reduces the fraction of catastrophic outliers over the redshift range  $0 < z < 1$  from 5.8 to

<sup>★</sup>Based on data products from observations made with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under ESO programme ID 179.A-2006 and on data products produced by the Cambridge Astronomy Survey Unit on behalf of the VIDEO consortium.

†E-mail: matt.jarvis@astro.ox.ac.uk

‡Scottish Universities Physics Alliance.

3.1 per cent in the absence of an *i*-band luminosity prior. However, we expect that the main improvement in photometric redshifts will come in the redshift range  $1 < z < 4$  due to the sensitivity to the Balmer and 4000 Å breaks provided by the near-infrared VISTA filters. All images and catalogues presented in this paper are publicly available through ESO's phase 3 archive and the VISTA Science Archive.

**Key words:** astronomical data bases: miscellaneous – surveys – large-scale structure of Universe – galaxies: general – galaxies: high redshift.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

We are already at a point where we have excellent constraints on the spatial distribution and properties of galaxies in the local Universe from the largest surveys ever undertaken, namely the 2-degree field Galaxy Redshift Survey (2dFGRS; Colless et al. 2001) and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2008) in the optical, the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) in the near-infrared (near-IR), and the *IRAS* redshift survey (Saunders et al. 2000) selected in the far-infrared. Over the past few years these surveys to study the formation and evolution of galaxies in the Universe, and how they trace the large-scale structure of the Universe, have been complemented by deeper and narrower surveys at all wavelengths, carefully balancing depth and area to ensure that representative volumes of the Universe are observed. Most notably the Galaxy and Mass Assembly (GAMA; Driver et al. 2011) survey covering  $\sim 100 \text{ deg}^2$  is building on the legacy of the SDSS and 2dFGRS spectroscopic surveys to obtain accurate redshift information down to an *r*-band limit of  $r \sim 19.8$  (corresponding to  $z \lesssim 0.5$ ), with the United Kingdom Infrared Telescope (UKIRT) Infrared Deep Sky Survey Large-Area Survey (UKIDSS-LAS; Lawrence et al. 2007) providing important near-IR data. At the other extreme, the deepest ground-based surveys such as COSMOS (Scoville et al. 2007) and the UKIDSS Ultra Deep Survey (UKIDSS-UDS), coupled with the Subaru-*XMM* Deep Survey (e.g. Foucaud et al. 2007; Furusawa et al. 2008), cover  $\sim 1 \text{ deg}^2$  fields, relying on photometric redshifts to trace the evolutionary history of galaxies (e.g. Ilbert et al. 2009), coupled with extremely time intensive spectroscopic follow-up (e.g. Le Fèvre et al. 2005; Lilly et al. 2007).

The Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy (VISTA; Emerson et al. 2004) is now taking the surveys carried out within UKIDSS one step further, with its larger field of view and improved sensitivity at the bluer (*Z*, *Y*, *J*) wavelengths of the near-IR window. VISTA is undertaking several near-IR public surveys, one of which is the VISTA Deep Extragalactic Observations (VIDEO) survey. The aim of the VIDEO survey is to gain a representative view of the Universe at  $0 < z < 4$ , allowing galaxy evolution to be traced over the majority of the history of the Universe, from the richest clusters to the field.

The VIDEO survey fields are chosen to incorporate current and future multiwavelength data sets to facilitate the broadest exploitation of the new near-IR survey data both within ESO and across the globe. The main scientific aims of VIDEO are described below. Throughout this paper we use the AB-magnitude system (Oke & Gunn 1983) and adopt the concordance cosmology  $\Omega_M = 0.3$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$  and  $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ .

## 2 KEY SCIENCE AIMS

How and when were massive galaxies formed? When did they assemble the bulk of their stellar mass and how? Where does this mass assembly occur? These are crucial questions which VIDEO is designed to answer.

### 2.1 Galaxy formation over the epoch of activity

The advent of deep multiwavelength surveys has led to huge progress in this field. There are two ways to measure the build-up of stellar mass: by directly observing star formation or by measuring the total stellar mass already formed by a given epoch. The first technique was revolutionized by the Lyman break technique (e.g. Guhathakurta, Tyson & Majewski 1990; Steidel et al. 1996) which found large numbers of star-forming galaxies at  $z \sim 3$  and *GALEX* has stretched this to lower redshifts (e.g. Martin et al. 2005). However, these techniques are only sensitive to relatively unobscured systems, and are known to miss much of the luminosity density arising from galaxies at  $z \gtrsim 1$  (e.g. Schiminovich et al. 2005; Magnelli et al. 2009). The *Herschel Space Observatory* is now opening up our view of the Universe with both wide-field (e.g. Eales et al. 2010) and deep-field (e.g. Oliver et al. 2012) surveys, which together probe sufficient volume over a large fraction of cosmic time to determine the full spectral energy distribution (SED) of galaxies (e.g. Smith et al. 2012) and also the evolution of dust and obscured star formation in the Universe (e.g. Dunne et al. 2011; Lapi et al. 2011; Wuyts et al. 2011).

On the other hand, mass estimates of distant galaxies require rest-frame near-IR wavelength observations to study the parts of galaxy SEDs that are not dominated by young stars with low mass-to-light ratios. Surveys with ground-based near-IR observations have allowed substantial recent progress in this area (e.g. Cirasuolo et al. 2010; Ono et al. 2010; Caputi et al. 2011), particularly at the bright end of the luminosity function at  $z \sim 2$  where both area and moderately deep data are required. Surveys such as the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey (GOODS; Giavalisco et al. 2004) and the Cosmic Assembly Near-infrared Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (CANDELS; Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011) probe to much greater depths but only cover very small sky areas, resulting in studies concentrating on the faint galaxy populations (e.g. Somerville et al. 2004; Bundy, Ellis & Conselice 2005) and galaxies at very high redshift (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2006, 2008; Oesch et al. 2012). These surveys have led the way in probing galaxy evolution from the earliest times up until the present day, but they are fundamentally limited by the fact that they cannot probe scales larger than a few Mpc, severely limiting investigations of the environmental dependence of galaxy formation and evolution.

The goal of VIDEO is to perform an in-depth study of the Universe over the redshift range  $1 < z < 4$ , linking the shallower surveys such as the UKIDSS-LAS (Lawrence et al. 2007), the VISTA Hemisphere Survey (VHS) and the VISTA Kilo-Degree Survey (VIKING) with the deeper UltraVISTA survey (McCracken et al. 2012). The depths of the VIDEO survey have been chosen to detect galaxies at the knee in the galaxy luminosity function,  $L^*$  at  $z \sim 4$ , and down to  $\sim 0.1 L^*$  at  $z = 1$ , thereby enabling us to detect the bulk of the luminosity density arising from galaxies over 90 per cent of the history of the Universe and the most massive galaxies at the highest redshifts. Thus we will be able to investigate in exquisite detail which galaxies are in place first, and address the issue of

downsizing in the mass function of forming galaxies, where the massive early-type galaxies appear to be in place before the less massive galaxies (e.g. Cimatti, Daddi & Renzini 2006; Fontanot et al. 2009). It is also important to note that the epoch over which VIDEO is aimed is a crucial one in the history of the Universe, as this is when the bulk of the star formation (e.g. Madau et al. 1996; Hopkins & Beacom 2006; Lapi et al. 2011) and accretion (e.g. Ueda et al. 2003; Croom et al. 2009) activity took place. This is thus the ideal survey with which to investigate the effects that star formation and accretion activity have on galaxy evolution in general. Moreover, the intrinsic rarity of the most luminous active galactic nucleus (AGN), starburst and elliptical galaxies means that it is important to survey a large enough area from which the luminosity function and clustering of particular galaxy populations can be constrained, thereby enabling the evolution of bias to be determined, improving on previous area-limited surveys that have measured the clustering of various galaxy populations to only  $<0.5$  scales (e.g. Foucaud et al. 2007; Coil et al. 2008; Hartley et al. 2010). Crucially, VIDEO will have sufficient area to carry out these investigations as a function of both redshift and environment.

VIDEO will not only be able to detect the galaxies which contribute the bulk of the luminosity density at these redshifts, but the five near-IR filters, along with ancillary data from optical surveys and the *Spitzer Space Telescope*, will provide photometric redshifts for galaxies as faint as  $L^*$  all the way out to  $z \sim 4$ , and to  $z \sim 6$  for the most massive galaxies.

## 2.2 Statistical studies of the most massive galaxies at the highest redshifts

In addition to probing the sub- $L^*$  population up to  $z \sim 4$ , VIDEO will be uniquely placed to quantify the density and spatial clustering of the most massive galaxies at the earliest epochs (e.g. McLure et al. 2009; Hickey et al. 2010). Initial work on this topic by Willott et al. (2012) used the combined data from the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey Deep-1 field (CFHTLS-D1; Ilbert et al. 2006), along with the WIRCam Infrared Deep Survey (WIRDS; Bielby et al. 2012), UltraVISTA (McCracken et al. 2012) and VIDEO, to show that the bright end of the galaxy luminosity function at  $z \sim 6$  follows an exponential decline and that these massive galaxies appear to be both larger and more dusty than their fainter counterparts discovered in deep *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) imaging (e.g. Bouwens et al. 2006).

With the full VIDEO survey area we will be able to carry out the first statistically significant clustering analysis of massive galaxies towards the epoch of reionization, providing a direct link between the underlying dark matter distribution and galaxy populations and how this evolves up to the highest redshifts. We note that the VIDEO survey will detect a factor of  $\sim 10$  more  $M > 10^{11} M_{\odot}$  galaxies than UltraVISTA at  $z \sim 6$ , as the limiting factor is area rather than depth. However, we emphasize that the combination of VIDEO and UltraVISTA will provide the premier data set for investigating the clustering properties of the highest redshift ( $z > 4$ ) galaxies as a function of mass.

## 2.3 Tracing the evolution of clusters from the formation epoch until the present day

VIDEO provides data over the area and depth with which to study the evolution of galaxy clusters from their formation epoch to the present day. Galaxy clusters are essential tracers of cosmic evolution in the universe for two important reasons. First, clusters are

the largest virialized objects whose masses we can measure. Consequently, comparisons of the present-day cluster mass distribution with the distributions at earlier epochs can be used to determine the rate of structure formation, placing constraints on cosmological models (see e.g. Allen, Evrard & Mantz 2011, for a review). Secondly, the deep potential wells of clusters also mean that they act as closed astrophysical laboratories that retain their gaseous matter. Therefore, clusters possess a wealth of information about the processes associated with galaxy formation such as the efficiency with which baryons are converted into stars and the effects which feedback processes have on galaxy formation.

Within  $0 < z < 1$ , clusters of galaxies appear to undergo little in the way of strong evolution, either in their gas-phase properties or in the properties of the more massive galaxies within them (e.g. Rosati, Borgani & Norman 2002; Collins et al. 2009; Giodini et al. 2009). The epoch at  $z > 1$  is therefore a crucial one for studying their evolution, which must be dramatic in the 4 Gyr within  $1 < z < 4$  (e.g. van Breukelen et al. 2006; Bielby et al. 2010). The depth of VIDEO is such that it can trace the bright end of the cluster luminosity function to  $z = 3$  and look in detail at the less luminous cluster galaxies to  $z = 2$ , while its area should provide a sample of several tens of clusters with masses above  $10^{14} M_{\odot}$  at  $z > 1$ . Once found, such galaxy clusters can be studied in great detail in terms of their galaxy populations (e.g. Papovich et al. 2010; Tran et al. 2010), and AGN content (e.g. van Breukelen et al. 2009; Martini, Sivakoff & Mulchaey 2009), to trace how galaxies form and evolve in *closed* and dense environments. Furthermore, the shear number of clusters will allow the average properties of clusters to be studied in detail as a function of cosmic epoch (Geach et al., in preparation).

## 2.4 Accretion activity over the history of the Universe

### 2.4.1 The first accreting black holes

The SDSS has revolutionized studies of quasi-stellar objects (QSOs) at the highest redshifts (e.g. Fan et al. 2006), providing the first evidence that the epoch of reionization was coming to an end around  $z \gtrsim 6$  (Becker et al. 2001; Fan et al. 2002). Deeper optical surveys have since been pushing further down the QSO luminosity function (e.g. Willott et al. 2010), but pushing to higher redshifts is impossible with optical surveys, regardless of depth, due to the fact that the Gunn–Peterson trough occupies all optical bands at  $z > 6.5$ .

This work is being driven to higher redshift with near-IR surveys such as the UKIDSS-LAS, which recently discovered a QSO at  $z = 7.085$  (Mortlock et al. 2011) and the next step for this work will be with the wide-area VISTA public surveys such as VIKING and the VHS, which will probe the bright end of the QSO luminosity function at  $z > 6$  (e.g. Findlay et al. 2012). However, the shape of the QSO luminosity function at these redshifts can only be studied with much deeper near-IR imaging over a significant survey area. This is the only direct way to determine the contribution of accreting black holes to the reionization of the Universe and constrain the density of black holes within the first Gyr after the big bang, as just using the brightest quasars requires a huge extrapolation to fainter magnitudes.

Using the current best constraints on the QSO luminosity function (Willott et al. 2010), we expect 1–2 QSOs at  $6.5 < z < 7.5$  in the full VIDEO survey; we will therefore be able to provide constraints on the faint end of the QSO luminosity function at  $z > 6$ , a factor of 10 improvement in the number density of QSOs over narrower and deeper surveys such as UltraVISTA and the UKIDSS-UDS.

#### 2.4.2 The peak of accretion activity in the Universe

With the VIDEO survey data we can also find lower redshift QSOs which are faint and/or reddened. However, interesting constraints could also be made on the obscured AGN population when the VIDEO survey data are combined with *Spitzer* (e.g. SWIRE and SERVS; Lonsdale et al. 2004; Mauduit et al. 2012), X-ray (e.g. *XMM-LSS*; Pierre et al. 2004) and *Herschel* (e.g. Oliver et al. 2012) observations, utilizing near- and mid-infrared selection of AGN (e.g. Lacy et al. 2004; Stern et al. 2005; Maddox et al. 2008, 2012; Banerji et al. 2012). The depth of VIDEO is crucial in determining the nature of distant obscured AGN. Although the central quasar is obscured in these objects, VIDEO will have the sensitivity to detect the host galaxies of such sources up to  $z \sim 5$  assuming that their host galaxies are  $\sim 2-3 L^*$  (e.g. Jarvis et al. 2001; Dunlop et al. 2003; Willott et al. 2003; Herbert et al. 2011). The  $12 \text{ deg}^2$  covered by VIDEO will ensure that the rarest and most luminous AGNs are found and investigated in terms of their host galaxy properties and comoving space density. For example, adopting the study of heavily obscured AGN from Martínez-Sansigre et al. (2005), we expect to find  $\sim 300$  heavily obscured QSOs at  $z > 2$  in the VIDEO survey. This would then allow the study of the range of host galaxy masses and the environmental density of these objects, something that would be severely restricted with COSMOS and UltraVISTA, and almost impossible with CANDELS, due to the rarity of these luminous AGNs. Therefore, VIDEO is uniquely placed to probe the cosmic evolution of all AGNs, both obscured and unobscured and address the question of downsizing in the AGN population (e.g. Babić et al. 2007).

### 2.5 Supernovae

There are several outstanding challenges to progress in Type Ia supernova (SN Ia) cosmology (Conley et al. 2007; Kessler et al. 2009; Conley et al. 2011). The most important include (i) improved precision in the photometric calibration, (ii) understanding SN Ia physics, particularly the SN colour laws and the role of the progenitor system, (iii) constructing comprehensive low-redshift surveys to anchor cosmological analyses, and (iv) building the first homogeneous samples of SN Ia at  $z > 1$ .

Near-IR observations are an essential tool to exploring these trends further (e.g. Freedman et al. 2009). As well as verifying the extinction corrections made in the optical, theoretical SN Ia models suggest that the intrinsic peak brightness dispersion could be substantially smaller at longer wavelengths (Kasen 2006). Observational studies using *J*, *H* photometry at  $z < 0.03$  show some evidence for this, indicating that SNe Ia are equally good standard candles at these wavelengths (peak dispersion  $< 0.15 \text{ mag}$ ) and have no apparent correlation with optical light-curve post-peak decline rate (Wood-Vasey et al. 2008; Barone-Nugent et al. 2012). Indeed, the combination of optical and near-IR data could provide the strongest constraints on the optical extinction, enabling a substantial improvement in SN Ia extinction corrections at all wavelengths.

Further, as outlined by Kasen (2006), near-IR light curves offer insight into several key aspects of SN Ia physics. The distinctive light-curve morphology is sensitive to both the amount and radial distribution of iron group elements (radioactive and stable) synthesized in the explosion. These in turn reflect the nature of the explosion process and the progenitor star system, including the white dwarf metallicity. Studies at near-IR wavelengths thus offer

an exciting opportunity to further understand and improve upon our dust corrections of SNe Ia and the near-IR light curves.

The VIDEO fields are key fields within the Dark Energy Survey (DES<sup>1</sup>) SN survey (Bernstein et al. 2012) and will be able to provide near-IR data points on the light curves of SNe discovered in the DES SN survey. Furthermore, the SED fitting and photometric-redshift accuracy of the SN host galaxies, which now play a key role in the cosmological analyses (Sullivan et al. 2011), will improve substantially when the optical DES data are used in conjunction with the deep VIDEO near-IR data, and aid in the determination of host galaxy stellar masses (Kelly et al. 2010; Lampeitl et al. 2010; Sullivan et al. 2010).

### 3 SURVEY FIELDS

The VIDEO survey is being carried out over three of the most widely observed high-Galactic-latitude fields, these are two VISTA tiles ( $\sim 3 \text{ deg}^2$ ) in the ELAIS-S1 field, three VISTA ( $\sim 4.5 \text{ deg}^2$ ) tiles in the *XMM-Newton* large-scale structure field, and another three tiles in the extended *Chandra Deep Field-South*, covering a total area of  $\sim 12 \text{ deg}^2$ . These fields have a wealth of data from the X-rays through to the radio waveband, and are, along with COSMOS/UltraVISTA, the primary fields for observations with future facilities in the Southern hemisphere.

Fig. 1 shows the footprint of the VIDEO observations in each of these three fields. One can see that the individual tiles are distributed in different ways. The design of these positions was driven by the need to cover the wealth of ancillary data available in these fields, including *Spitzer* (Lonsdale et al. 2004; Mauduit et al. 2012) and *Herschel* (Oliver et al. 2012), in addition to future ground-based optical data from both the Very Large Telescope (VLT) Survey Telescope (VST) and the DES. Further in the future these areas will also be targeted with the deepest radio observations with the Square Kilometre Array precursor telescope MeerKAT (Jonas 2009) as part of the deep continuum survey (Jarvis 2012).

### 4 SURVEY DEPTH

Our aim is to be able to detect a galaxy at or below the break of the galaxy luminosity function over 90 per cent of the age of the Universe, which corresponds to  $0 < z < 4$ . This ensures that we are sensitive to the bulk of the energy density from galaxies at one of the most important epochs in the Universe, where star formation and accretion activity were at a maximum.


We use the *K*-band luminosity function from Cirasuolo et al. (2010), where  $M^* = -22.26$  at  $z = 0$ . Assuming a passively evolving stellar population with a high formation redshift, and expect a  $z \sim 4$  galaxy to have a total magnitude of  $K \sim 23.2$ . Given the seeing constraints (see Section 5) and accounting for the apparent size evolution of massive passive galaxies at high redshift (e.g. Zirm et al. 2007; van Dokkum et al. 2010; McLure et al. 2012), we therefore define our  $5\sigma$  *K<sub>s</sub>*-band limit as  $K_s = 23.5$  in a 2 arcsec diameter aperture.

The survey limits in the shorter near-IR filters (*ZJH*) are then determined assuming an elliptical galaxy template at  $z \sim 4$ . The *Y*-band observations are slightly shallower, and are fixed to that of a flat SED from the *J* band to help improve photometric-redshift accuracy at redshifts where the  $4000 \text{ \AA}$  Balmer and the  $\text{Ly}\alpha$  breaks are redshifted between the *Z*- and *J*-band filters.

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.darkenergysurvey.org>

## 5 OBSERVATIONS

Here we describe the properties of the VIDEO survey data taken between 2009 November 3 and 2011 November 20 over the VIDEO-XMM3 field (see Fig. 1). We describe the observations for this single tile, noting that the observational strategy for the other seven tiles within the full VIDEO survey will be the same. We note that the VIDEO survey is an ongoing project and that the final depth of



**Figure 1.** The three areas of sky over which the VIDEO survey will be carried out. From top to bottom: ELAIS-S1 ( $3 \text{ deg}^2$ ), XMM-LSS ( $4.5 \text{ deg}^2$ ) and the extended *Chandra* Deep Field-South ( $4.5 \text{ deg}^2$ ).

the Y-band data in this field will be deeper than presented here by  $\sim 0.1$  mag.

The VISTA Infrared Camera (VIRCAM; Dalton et al. 2006) is a wide-field near-IR camera consisting of 16  $2048 \times 2048$  Raytheon VIRGO HgCdTe arrays distributed over the focal plane of VISTA. The detectors present a sparsely filled focal plane with gaps between each array of 0.90 and 0.425 of a detector along the  $x$ - and  $y$ -axes, respectively (Emerson et al. 2004). The mean pixel scale is  $0.34 \text{ arcsec pixel}^{-1}$ .

As the sky is both bright (particularly in the  $H$  and  $K_s$  bands) and highly variable (due to OH lines in the atmosphere) at near-IR wavelengths, it is necessary to split the individual exposures into a series of short exposures and then add these together. To overcome the issue of the sky background saturating the detectors, particularly at the longer wavelengths, the observations are split into a number of NDIT times the detector integration times (DITs). Thus for a single pointing the total time spent in a single position on the sky is given by  $\text{DIT} \times \text{NDIT}$ . As can be seen in Table 1, the DITs can be as short as 10 s for the  $H$  and  $K_s$  bands. To overcome the variable sky fluctuations and bad pixels, the telescope is ‘jittered’ around by a random offset of  $\lesssim 20$  arcsec in right ascension and declination and the series of  $\text{DIT} \times \text{NDIT}$  is repeated at each jittered position. This also ensures that the same pattern is not repeated throughout the survey period which would result in bad pixels and detector artefacts adding together after combining the full survey data, although we note that some very large detector defects are impossible to remove (Fig. 2).

The paw-print of the 16 detectors provides a non-contiguous instantaneous field of view of  $\sim 0.6 \text{ deg}^2$ . A full tile, where the gaps between detectors are filled, requires moving the telescope in a sequence of six offsets in both right ascension and declination, which together produce a contiguous field of view of  $\sim 1 \times 1.5 \text{ deg}^2$  which (for no jitters) would be sampled at least twice, with some positions being sampled up to six times. In addition there are singly sampled strips of width  $\sim 0.1$  at the extremities of the longer dimensions of the tiles. These characteristics can be seen in the confidence map of the complete VIDEO-XMM3 tile in the  $K_s$  band which is presented in Fig. 2. This shows the regions of overlap between the individual detectors which have more than the nominal exposure time, and also darker, underexposed  $\sim 0.1$  vertical strips at the edges, and other dark areas due to detector artefacts. The prominent detector artefact regions at the top left result from islands of bad pixels on detector 1, and the areas in the lower right result from the half of detector 16 which is affected by a time-varying quantum efficiency (most notably in the shorter wavelength filters) which makes flat fielding that detector extremely challenging.


The number of jitters and paw-prints that are carried out at each pointing is constrained by the limited time per observation block (OB) of 1 h and 5 min, set by ESO to ensure flexible observing over the course of the night and to mitigate changes in the weather conditions over the course of an OB.

All VIDEO survey observations are carried out in good seeing conditions, with the seeing in each filter required to have full width at half-maximum (FWHM)  $< 0.8$  arcsec, ensuring very good data quality and that the full depth is achieved in the estimated time, although in practice observations with 10 per cent worse seeing are formally accepted (i.e. up to 0.9 arcsec) as the seeing can change during a single OB.

Full details of the DIT, NDIT and number of jitters are provided in Table 1 along with the total number of hours required to complete a tile, the expected depth and the Moon phase at which each filter is observed.

**Table 1.** Observational strategy for the VIDEO survey, including the planned integration times and sky conditions for the various VIDEO filters. We note that prior to period 86 the Z-band observations were taken with  $\text{DIT} \times \text{NDIT} = 45 \times 1$  s and  $\text{jitters} \times N_{\text{obs}} = 10 \times 61$ . The  $5\sigma$  limits are for a point source in a 2 arcsec diameter aperture and are the expected depths prior to the survey starting.

Filter	Planned time (h) (per source)	DIT $\times$ NDIT (s)	Jitters $\times$ $N_{\text{obs}}$	$5\sigma$ AB	2 arcsec ap. mag. Vega	Seeing (arcsec)	Moon
Z	17.0	$50 \times 1$	$9 \times 68$	25.7	25.2	0.8	Dark
Y	7.5	$30 \times 2$	$8 \times 28$	24.6	24.0	0.8	Grey
J	9.6	$30 \times 2$	$8 \times 36$	24.5	23.7	0.8	Grey
H	9.1	$10 \times 6$	$7 \times 39$	24.0	22.7	0.8	Bright
$K_s$	9.8	$10 \times 6$	$7 \times 42$	23.5	21.7	0.8	Bright



**Figure 2.** Confidence map of the VIDEO-XMM3 image from the VIDEO  $K_s$  band. The dark regions correspond to areas of low confidence due to lower exposure times through the jittering and/or due to detector artefacts and edges. In particular, a region of dead pixels is evident in the upper left detected (detector 1) and a region of increased noise is present in the lower right region in detector 16 (see text for details). The regions of overlap between the individual detectors also have more than the nominal exposure time. Note that this and some other fields are rotated by  $90^\circ$  with respect to the nominal camera rotation.

## 6 DATA REDUCTION

Initial data reduction steps are performed at the Cambridge Astronomical Survey Unit (CASU) using a software pipeline developed specifically for the reduction of VIRCAM data, as part of the VISTA Data Flow System (VDFS) described by Irwin et al. (2004) and updated on the CASU web pages.<sup>2</sup> This pipeline is modular, and allows different processing recipes to be applied to data obtained with different observing strategies.

For the VIDEO survey, the following steps are applied to each raw data frame (itself the result of NDIT double-correlated-sampling exposures which are co-added by the data acquisition system).

(i) *Destriping*: removes a low-level horizontal stripe pattern introduced by the controller and correlates over the four detectors on each controller.

(ii) *Linearity correction*: corrects for the non-linear detector response which is typically 2–4 per cent depending on the detector.

(iii) *Dark correction*: subtracts a mean dark exposure from the image, correcting for dark current and some other electronic effects.

(iv) *Flat-field correction*: divides images by a mean twilight sky image, to correct for position-dependent variations in telescope/camera throughput and detector response.

(v) *Sky background correction*: subtracts an estimate of the atmospheric emission (more details below).

(vi) *Astrometric calibration*: compares the positions of stars in the image with those in the 2MASS point-source catalogue (Skrutskie et al. 2006).

(vii) *Photometric calibration*: calculates magnitudes in the VISTA Vega-magnitude photometric system<sup>3</sup> for unsaturated 2MASS stars in the image using their magnitudes and colours in the 2MASS point-source catalogue, to set the zero-point in the VISTA photometric system.


(viii) *Jitter stacking*: combines the jittered images in a single paw-print position, using a mean stack of bilinearly resampled images, with outlier rejection to remove cosmic rays, fast-moving objects and bad pixels.

Most of these processing steps are identical to those described by Irwin et al. (2004) and applied to other VISTA survey data, but the sky background estimation has been tuned for the needs of VIDEO. The faint fluxes probed by VIDEO make it particularly sensitive to the sky-subtraction strategy, because many of the most interesting sources in the VIDEO images are indistinguishable from noise until many (sky-subtracted) paw-prints are stacked, and are thus difficult to eliminate from estimates of the background (which must necessarily be based on images taken close to the image from which the background is to be subtracted).

The strategy used for sky estimation in the current VIDEO release is to combine, with outlier rejection, the jittered images taken in three paw-prints of a tile (which for VIDEO means they are obtained from 20–29 individual paw-prints, over a period of  $\sim 30$  min from either the first or the second half of the OB) without shifting them to match astrometry. Bright objects detected in these images are masked out, but objects below the noise level are not. To prevent below-noise objects contributing to the sky estimate (and causing sky subtraction to reduce their fluxes systematically), the

<sup>2</sup> <http://casu.ast.cam.ac.uk/surveys-projects/vista/technical/data-processing>

<sup>3</sup> <http://casu.ast.cam.ac.uk/surveys-projects/vista/technical/data-processing>



**Figure 3.** Example  $YJK_s$  colour image of a  $15 \times 15$  arcmin<sup>2</sup> region within the VIDEO-XMM3 field centred on the putative  $z \sim 2$  galaxy cluster JKCS041 (Andreon & Huertas-Company 2011). The zoomed-in region has a size of  $3 \times 3$  arcmin<sup>2</sup> showing the depth and image resolution of the VIDEO data.

image which is having its background subtracted is omitted from the background estimate. The sky image is then scaled and subtracted from each individual paw-print.

The full-depth image stacks have been co-added using *SWARP*<sup>4</sup> and are weighted mean stacks of the paw-print stacks produced by CASU, after rejecting the paw-print stacks with seeing worse than 0.9 arcsec FWHM. We turn on the background subtraction within *SWARP* (estimated using a mesh size of 128 pixel) to remove large-scale gradients which remain after the sky-subtraction step. We note that using *SWARP* introduces correlated pixel noise and therefore simple noise measurements to estimate flux errors and sensitivity limits are not reliable (see Section 7.1). A  $15 \times 15$  arcmin<sup>2</sup>  $YJK_s$  image from within the VIDEO field is shown in Fig. 3, along with a zoomed in image of the central  $3 \times 3$  arcmin<sup>2</sup> region, demonstrating the quality of the data.

Catalogues have been extracted from each set of images using multiple passes of *SEXTRACTOR* (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) in double-image mode. Each tile catalogue contains objects detected in any of the  $K_s$ ,  $H$ ,  $J$ ,  $Y$  or  $Z$  bands, with measurements made in all the other bands based on the position in the detection image.

Duplicate detections of objects are removed, by retaining only the longest wavelength detection (after matching the  $K_s$ -,  $H$ -,  $J$ -,  $Y$ - and  $Z$ -band detected catalogues with a 1 arcsec tolerance). We extract photometry in fixed circular apertures 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 arcsec in diameter, plus Kron and Petrosian fluxes. To correct for correlated noise in the images, the errors for fixed aperture photometry are estimated by measuring the rms flux in randomly placed apertures of the same size, then adding Poisson errors based on object counts. Errors for Kron and Petrosian fluxes are corrected by scaling the random (non-Poisson) component of the error (as estimated by *SEXTRACTOR*); the scaling factor is estimated by comparing the median *SEXTRACTOR* error for randomly placed 5 arcsec apertures with the actual standard deviation of fluxes in those apertures.

Bright stars are not masked out from the images before catalogue extraction. Ghosting, especially in the  $Y$  and  $Z$  bands, causes large haloes around the brightest stars. A region file was constructed to enclose the regions visibly affected by these ghosts, and all objects whose centres fall within these regions have HALO\_FLAG = 1 in the catalogue.

These catalogues are intended to be complementary to those which will be hosted by the ESO and VISTA Science Archive (VSA; Cross et al. 2012).

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.astromatic.net/software/swarp>

**Table 2.** Measured  $5\sigma$  depths for the VIDEO-XMM3 field in 1–5 arcsec diameter apertures in AB magnitudes. The time given is the total integration time of the images used to measure these.

Filter	Time (h) (pixel <sup>-1</sup> )	1 arcsec ( $5\sigma$ )	2 arcsec. ( $5\sigma$ )	3 arcsec ( $5\sigma$ )	4 arcsec ( $5\sigma$ )	5 arcsec ( $5\sigma$ )
Z	17.13	26.89	25.66	24.87	24.33	23.83
Y	6.05	25.60	24.51	23.74	23.18	22.73
J	9.65	25.59	24.44	23.70	23.11	22.63
H	8.13	25.25	24.12	23.42	22.87	22.40
$K_s$	9.03	24.86	23.77	23.10	22.53	22.13

## 7 FINAL STACKED IMAGES


### 7.1 Noise measurements

The individual VIDEO tiles are produced by co-adding a series of jittered and shifted VISTA paw-prints, where every pixel in the detectors has been exposed on at least two different paw prints. We measure the depth of these individual tiles by placing apertures on parts of the images at least 10 arcsec away from any detected objects in order to measure the level of the sky background in a range of apertures. We then fit two half-Gaussians to the positive and negative noise distributions and take the standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) from the negative side of the Gaussian as the noise estimate. We only use the negative part of the Gaussian fit as this is uncontaminated by real sources in the image that may contribute to and overestimate the noise on the positive side, although we note that in practice this has little effect. Determining the noise by this method takes into account the correlated noise in the deep stacked images and provides a realistic estimate that includes any flat-field fluctuations between observations. The noise properties of the final tiled image are well represented by a Gaussian distribution. Table 2 provides the measured background noise of the VIDEO-XMM-3 tile in all filters as a function of aperture diameter. The total integration time relating to the noise measurement is also shown.

### 7.2 Completeness and reliability

Our ability to recover objects as a function of the objects' flux is dependent on both the noise properties of the images and the proximity to nearby bright objects and other objects in the field. In order to measure the completeness of the deep VIDEO stacked data we add point sources with a range of magnitudes spanning  $15 < K_s < 25$  with FWHM consistent with the average seeing measurement across the image and re-extract the source catalogues using the same `SEXTRACTOR` parameters as for the real sources. We then compare the input and extracted catalogues to determine the completeness of each stacked tile. Fig. 4 shows the completeness of the VIDEO survey for all filters and Table 3 provides the values of the completeness down to the  $5\sigma$  limit in each filter. We find that the data are typically complete at the 80–90 per cent level for the  $5\sigma$  depths given in Table 2. We note that the completeness for extended sources will be worse than the completeness estimates for point sources presented here.

We are also able to determine the reliability of the source extraction by multiplying the stacked image by  $-1$  and re-running `SEXTRACTOR` over this image. The number of extracted sources thus provides a good estimate of the number of spurious sources in the extracted catalogues. Down to the  $5\sigma$  flux-density limit of  $K_s \leq 23.77$  we find that spurious sources contribute at the  $\sim 0.07$  per cent level.


**Figure 4.** Completeness as a function of magnitude measured in a 2 arcsec diameter aperture for all five VIDEO filters. Note that the Y-band data are not at their final depth (see text for details).**Table 3.** Completeness corrections for a point source in the five VIDEO filters in the VIDEO-XMM3 field. The completeness corrections are provided up to and including the  $5\sigma$  depth for a 2 arcsec diameter aperture in the respective filters.

Magnitude	Completeness				
	Z	Y	J	H	$K_s$
17.25	0.996	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.998
17.75	0.995	0.998	0.999	0.995	0.996
18.25	0.994	0.996	0.998	0.999	0.997
18.75	0.993	0.995	0.994	0.996	0.994
19.25	0.990	0.994	0.993	0.994	0.995
19.75	0.988	0.993	0.993	0.995	0.991
20.25	0.983	0.986	0.986	0.983	0.986
20.75	0.974	0.984	0.984	0.987	0.986
21.25	0.967	0.980	0.975	0.985	0.976
21.75	0.958	0.973	0.970	0.969	0.975
22.25	0.953	0.963	0.948	0.967	0.962
22.75	0.946	0.959	0.956	0.951	0.957
23.25	0.935	0.948	0.938	0.937	0.955
23.75	0.916	0.939	0.923	0.918	0.884
24.25	0.902	0.924	0.916	0.846	–
24.75	0.890	0.759	0.818	–	–
25.25	0.871	–	–	–	–
25.75	0.721	–	–	–	–

### 7.3 Astrometric comparison

We compare our astrometric calibration over the VIDEO-XMM3 field with the 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006). 2MASS is used to define the astrometric solution for VIDEO on a paw-print by paw-print basis, we therefore expect close agreement. Fig. 5 shows the distribution for the difference in right ascension and declination for all of the objects detected with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N)  $> 10$  in the 2MASS  $K_s$  band over the VIDEO field. One can immediately see that the distribution is strongly peaked around  $\Delta RA \sim 0$  and  $\Delta Dec. \sim 0$ , with mean offsets of  $\alpha_{\text{VIDEO}} - \alpha_{\text{2MASS}} = 0.020 \pm 0.006$  arcsec with an rms of 0.288 arcsec, and  $\delta_{\text{VIDEO}} - \delta_{\text{2MASS}} = -0.004 \pm 0.005$  arcsec with an rms of 0.253 arcsec. The median offsets are  $\alpha_{\text{VIDEO}} - \alpha_{\text{2MASS}} = 0.003 \pm 0.006$  arcsec and





**Figure 5.** Astrometric comparison between the VIDEO  $K_s$ -band image and the astrometry from 2MASS sources in the VIDEO-XMM3 field. The red dashed lines show the offsets corresponding to  $\Delta RA = 0$  arcsec and  $\Delta Dec = 0$  arcsec.


$\delta_{\text{VIDEO}} - \delta_{\text{2MASS}} = 0.007 \pm 0.005$  arcsec. Thus, the VIDEO astrometry is entirely consistent with the 2MASS astrometric calibration with no significant offset.

The excellent agreement between VIDEO and 2MASS is unsurprising given that 2MASS is used for the astrometric calibration of VIDEO, but the small spread indicates that the data are well calibrated across the whole tile.

#### 7.4 Photometric comparison

The VISTA photometric system is naturally not identical to any other photometric system and transformations to other photometric systems are not yet available. Nevertheless, it is interesting to use the 2MASS and WIRDS data sets to check the photometric calibration of the VIDEO data set in the  $J$ ,  $H$  and  $K_s$  filters. We again select all 2MASS objects with  $S/N > 10$  that fall within the VIDEO-XMM3 tile and cross-match these with the VIDEO catalogue in each filter. As the resolution of 2MASS is much poorer than VIDEO, we use the total magnitude measurements from 2MASS and the MAG\_AUTO measurements from the VIDEO catalogue which we consider to be the closest to total magnitudes for the VIDEO data set. Fig. 6 shows the difference in magnitude for the sources detected in 2MASS, adjusting the magnitudes in the VIDEO bands according to the colour equations derived from 2MASS,<sup>5</sup> following Hodgkin et al. (2009). Given the derived colour equations we expect a close correspondence, and for the limited magnitude range where there is overlap, we do indeed find very good consistency across all magnitudes to which 2MASS is sensitive.

Moving to much fainter magnitudes, we compare the photometry between the WIRDS  $J$ -,  $H$ - and  $K_s$ -band data and the VIDEO data in Fig. 7. Again using the MAG\_AUTO magnitude and correcting the VIDEO magnitudes to 2MASS magnitudes as detailed above and correcting the WIRDS magnitudes according to the values given in Bielby et al. (2012), we find reasonable consistency between the two data sets in all three filters where data are available. However, there is a tendency for  $\Delta$  mag to increase towards bright magnitudes. This is attributed to the lack of a well-defined colour term in converting from the WIRDS photometry to the 2MASS photometry.



**Figure 6.** Comparison of photometry between VIDEO and 2MASS in the  $J$ -,  $H$ - and  $K_s$ -band filters.  $\Delta$  mag corresponds to the VIDEO photometry (corrected to the 2MASS magnitude system) minus the 2MASS photometry in each case. The dashed green line shows the one-to-one relation and the solid red line denotes the median difference as a function of magnitude.

#### 7.5 Number counts


For measuring the number counts in the VIDEO data we use the MAG\_AUTO magnitudes and the  $g - i$  versus  $J - K_s$  colour-colour diagram to separate galaxies from stars. Following Baldry et al. (2010), we fit a quadratic function to the stellar locus and separate the galaxies from the stars using the distance from the locus of the  $J - K_s$  colour (see equation 2 in Baldry et al. 2010). For VIDEO we find that a slight adjustment of the location of locus defined by Baldry et al. (2010) along the  $J - K$  axis is sufficient to account for the difference between the  $K$  filter in UKIDSS and the  $K_s$  filter in VISTA. We therefore find

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\text{locus}}(x) &= -0.6127 & x \leq 0.3, \\ f_{\text{locus}}(x) &= -0.79 + 0.615x - 0.13x^2 & \text{for } 0.3 < x \leq 2.3, \\ f_{\text{locus}}(x) &= -0.0632 & x > 2.3, \end{aligned}$$


where  $x = g - i$ . The  $g - i$  versus  $J - K_s$  colour-colour diagram is shown in Fig. 8 along with the locus defined above. We then separate stars from galaxies using a divide at  $J - K_s = f_{\text{locus}} + 0.1$  mag, where galaxies lie above this line and stars below it. We note that it is possible to identify the stellar locus in just the VIDEO filters (Fig. 9), although this is less precise than the adopted method.

Fig. 10 shows the galaxy number counts for the VIDEO-XMM3 region compared to number counts derived from a variety of other near-IR surveys. One can immediately see that in the  $K_s$  band the number counts agree with the number counts derived in this filter using the UltraVISTA survey (McCracken et al. 2012) and the

<sup>5</sup> <http://casu.ast.cam.ac.uk/surveys-projects/vista/technical/vista-sensitivity>




**Figure 7.** Comparison of photometry between VIDEO and WIRDS in the  $J$ -,  $H$ - and  $K_s$ -band filters.  $\Delta$  mag corresponds to the VIDEO photometry minus the WIRDS photometry in each case. The dashed green line shows the one-to-one relation and the solid red line denotes the median difference as a function of magnitude.



**Figure 8.** Colour-colour diagram showing  $g - i$  from the CFHTLS-D1 versus  $J - K_s$  for sources with  $K_s < 23.5$  in VIDEO. The solid blue line denotes the stellar locus fit from (Baldrý et al. 2010) offset by 0.1 mag in  $J - K_s$  colour as described in the text.

UKIDSS-DXS number counts from Kim et al. (2011). Comparing the number counts with the WIRDS (Bielby et al. 2012) shows that the VIDEO number counts are also consistent.<sup>6</sup> This is also true for

<sup>6</sup> We use updated number counts supplied by R. Bileby (private communication).



**Figure 9.** Near-infrared colour-colour diagram for sources with  $K_s < 23.5$  in VIDEO. The region populated by the majority of stars can easily be seen as the lower clump of objects.

the  $J$ - and  $H$ -band data which are available as part of the WIRDS survey.

We therefore conclude that there is strong agreement in the form of the galaxy number counts in VIDEO and other surveys. Table 4 provides the number counts (not corrected for completeness) within the VIDEO-XMM3 field for all filters.


## 8 PHOTOMETRIC REDSHIFTS IN THE COMBINED VIDEO AND CFHT SURVEYS

In this section we derive photometric-redshift estimates for the combined VIDEO-CFHTLS-D1 optical survey using the publicly available photometric-redshift code `LE PHARE`<sup>7</sup> (Ilbert et al. 2006). The VIDEO photometric redshifts were estimated using the optimized galaxy templates produced by Arnouts et al. (2007). These are based on the four observed spectra in Coleman, Wu & Weedman (1980): an elliptical (EII), two spirals (SBc, SCD) and irregular galaxy (IRR) as well as two observed starburst (SB) templates from Kinney et al. (1996). These templates have been linearly extrapolated to near-IR and ultraviolet wavelengths using the GISSEL synthetic models (Bruzual & Charlot 2003) and optimized using data from the VIMOS VLT Deep Survey (VVDS; Le Fèvre et al. 2004, 2005), which targeted sources to  $i_{AB} < 24.0$  mag.

The process of constructing these optimized templates is described in detail in Ilbert et al. (2006); they proceed by determining rest-frame SEDs for all objects with secure redshifts and separating these into four categories based on the best-fitting Coleman et al. (1980) template. Optimized templates are constructed from the median flux of the rest-frame spectra in each of these four categories; at wavelengths not sampled by the spectroscopic survey the original extrapolated Bruzual & Charlot (2003) flux was retained. The final set of optimized templates is linearly interpolated to produce 64 reference templates used in the photometric-redshift fitting. For SCD and later spectral types the fitting also allowed for dust attenuation with permitted reddening excesses  $E(B - V)$  of 0.0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2 and 0.3 mag. Dust attenuation was modelled using the interstellar extinction law measured by Prevot et al. (1984) in the Small Magellanic Cloud. The opacity of the intergalactic medium is also included according to the prescription of Madau (1995).

Redshifts were estimated from flux densities measured in 2 arcsec apertures and the associated errors were determined by measuring

<sup>7</sup> <http://www.cfht.hawaii.edu/~arnouts/LEPHARE/lephare.html>



**Figure 10.** Total magnitude differential number counts for galaxies detected in the Z, Y, J, H and  $K_s$  bands in the VIDEO-XMM3 field. Filled red circles and solid red line show the number counts uncorrected for completeness and the red dashed line shows the completeness corrected source counts using the values from Table 3. The Poisson uncertainties are smaller than the symbol size. The stellar number counts, separated as discussed in Section 7.5 are also shown as green stars. Also shown are the number counts from other studies in the filters available, these are as follows: CFHT-WIRDS (inverted filled blue triangles; Bielby et al. 2012), the UKIDSS-DXS (black diamonds; Kim et al. 2011) and the UltraVISTA (open black squares; McCracken et al. 2012), showing excellent agreement.

**Table 4.** Differential total magnitude galaxy counts per  $\text{deg}^2$  per 0.5 mag bin for the five VIDEO filters, not corrected for completeness.

Magnitude	$N [\text{deg}^{-2} (0.5 \text{ mag})^{-1}]$									
	Z		Y		J		H		$K_s$	
17.25	94	$\pm 10$	119	$\pm 11$	158	$\pm 13$	178	$\pm 14$	242	$\pm 16$
17.75	173	$\pm 13$	188	$\pm 14$	240	$\pm 16$	330	$\pm 18$	408	$\pm 21$
18.25	276	$\pm 17$	308	$\pm 18$	398	$\pm 20$	531	$\pm 23$	695	$\pm 27$
18.75	445	$\pm 21$	521	$\pm 23$	655	$\pm 26$	835	$\pm 29$	1196	$\pm 35$
19.25	744	$\pm 28$	820	$\pm 29$	1050	$\pm 33$	1499	$\pm 39$	2054	$\pm 46$
19.75	1147	$\pm 34$	1357	$\pm 37$	1712	$\pm 42$	2361	$\pm 49$	3213	$\pm 58$
20.25	1873	$\pm 44$	2253	$\pm 48$	2846	$\pm 54$	3630	$\pm 61$	4809	$\pm 70$
20.75	2934	$\pm 55$	3353	$\pm 59$	4386	$\pm 67$	5477	$\pm 75$	6804	$\pm 84$
21.25	4435	$\pm 68$	5079	$\pm 72$	6184	$\pm 80$	7625	$\pm 89$	9436	$\pm 99$
21.75	6339	$\pm 81$	7341	$\pm 87$	9104	$\pm 97$	11140	$\pm 107$	13025	$\pm 116$
22.25	9483	$\pm 99$	10631	$\pm 105$	12961	$\pm 116$	14943	$\pm 124$	17100	$\pm 133$
22.75	13244	$\pm 117$	14521	$\pm 122$	17609	$\pm 135$	20408	$\pm 145$	21525	$\pm 149$
23.25	19134	$\pm 140$	19110	$\pm 140$	23267	$\pm 155$	25138	$\pm 161$	24232	$\pm 158$
23.75	26816	$\pm 166$	22764	$\pm 153$	28190	$\pm 170$	27884	$\pm 170$	21319	$\pm 148$
24.25	34314	$\pm 188$	24761	$\pm 160$	32020	$\pm 182$	24579	$\pm 159$	13479	$\pm 118$
24.75	38195	$\pm 198$	18709	$\pm 139$	27257	$\pm 168$	11529	$\pm 109$	3946	$\pm 64$
25.25	35118	$\pm 190$	6659	$\pm 83$	11820	$\pm 110$	1774	$\pm 43$	294	$\pm 17$
25.75	23759	$\pm 156$	929	$\pm 31$	1644	$\pm 41$	121	$\pm 11$	31	$\pm 6$

the rms noise in randomly placed apertures of the same size and adding Poisson noise. To adjust for uncertainties in the relative calibration of the observed bands, additional uncertainties of 0.01 mag were added in quadrature to the measured errors.

Systematic offsets in the photometric calibrations were also removed using a sample of approximately 3000 objects with spectroscopic redshifts in the VVDS as described in Ilbert et al. (2006). We note that the photometric offsets applied by LE PHARE are not

indicative of the uncertainty in the VIDEO photometry but are an indication of possible biases in the template set used. These offsets are listed in Table 5.

To account for stars and quasars detected in the VIDEO survey the LE PHARE code independently fits a set of stellar and AGN templates to the observed photometry and reports the results as  $\chi_{\text{star}}$  and  $\chi_{\text{QSO}}$ . In the case of the quasar templates this process also reports the most likely redshift for a source with an intrinsic SED corresponding to

**Table 5.** Systematic offsets ( $\text{Mag}_{\text{data}} - \text{Mag}_{\text{template}}$ ) for the 10 filters used to estimate photometric redshifts, determined by calibrating against a sample of approximately 3000 objects with spectroscopic redshifts in the VVDS.


Filter	Offset (mag)	Filter	Offset (mag)
$u^*$	0.188	$Z$	-0.037
$g'$	-0.003	$Y$	-0.018
$r'$	-0.003	$J$	0.049
$i'$	-0.059	$H$	0.121
$z'$	-0.064	$K_s$	0.125

the best-fitting AGN template  $z_{\text{QSO}}$ . The AGN templates are those used and described in detail in Polletta et al. (2007), the library consists of empirically determined spectra for three Type 1 AGNs, five Type 2 AGNs and two composite AGNs and starburst galaxies.

### 8.1 Comparison with spectroscopic redshifts


Photometric redshifts are known to produce ‘catastrophically’ poor redshift estimates for some fraction of the sample. The ‘catastrophic’ failure label refers to sources whose predicted redshifts differ from their spectroscopic redshifts by a margin considerably greater than the expected/predicted uncertainties. The most direct method of determining the accuracy of a photometric-redshift procedure is by comparison with available spectroscopic data. In Fig. 11, we present a comparison for galaxies with counterparts in the VVDS (Le Fèvre et al. 2005) using photometric redshifts determined from the CFHTLS visible-wavelength ( $ugriz$ ) data alone and with the addition of the  $ZYJHK_s$  data from the VIDEO survey.

Counterparts were identified by cross-matching the VIDEO and VVDS source positions to within 1 arcsec tolerance. The VVDS catalogue provides quality flags indicating confidence in the reported redshift. Quality flags 2, 3 and 4 indicate confidence levels of 75, 95 and 100 per cent, respectively, whilst objects with a flag of 1 have only indicative redshifts based on the continuum with very few supporting spectral features ( $\sim 50$  per cent confidence). The least secure redshifts are those with quality flag 9 which are based on only a single emission line and assigned a tentative redshift. To evaluate the accuracy of the VIDEO photometric redshifts we use only those sources in the VVDS sample with quality flags of 4. We also remove objects classified as stars by the colour selection criteria described in Section 7.5 and sources classified as quasars in the VVDS spectroscopy. Following Ilbert et al. (2006), we determine the normalized median absolute deviation (NMAD; Hoaglin, Mosteller & Tukey 1983) in  $\Delta z / (1 + z_s)$ , where  $\Delta z = z_s - z_p$ , with  $z_s$  and  $z_p$  denoting the spectroscopic and photometric redshifts, respectively. For the VVDS sample we find the NMAD in  $\Delta z / (1 + z_s)$  to be  $\sigma = 0.025$  and  $0.023$  for the CFHTLS and CFHTLS + VIDEO data sets, respectively. However, the fraction of outliers, defined as sources with  $|z_s - z_p| / (1 + z_s) > 0.15$ , reduced from 7.4 per cent for the CFHTLS filters alone to 3.8 per cent when the VIDEO filters are added, if no prior on the luminosity function is used (cf. Ilbert et al. 2006). If we use the  $i$ -band luminosity function prior, as detailed in Ilbert et al. (2006), we find that the fraction of outliers with just the CFHT data is significantly reduced to 5.4 per cent, whereas implementing the prior with the VIDEO data included reduces the fraction of outliers to 3.3 per cent, although a reduced outlier rate of 2 per cent can be achieved by adopting a liberal  $\chi^2$  cut. Therefore, even at  $z < 1$  where the majority of the spectroscopically determined redshifts lie, the VIDEO data reduce the number of catastrophic outliers significantly.




**Figure 11.** Photometric redshifts versus high-reliability spectroscopic redshifts from the VVDS spectroscopic survey with photometric redshifts estimated without any luminosity function prior. Upper panel: a comparison of the photometric redshift derived solely from the CFHTLS-D1 visible-wavelength filters ( $ugriz$ ). Lower panel: the same galaxies but where the photometric redshifts have been determined using the combined CFHTLS and  $ZYJHK_s$  VIDEO filters. The stripe of objects along the horizontal at  $z = 0.04$  is due to the photometric-redshifts fitting to their lowest possible value. The inset shows the histogram of  $\Delta z / (1 + z)$ .

We are able to investigate whether the outliers occupy certain areas of colour–colour space in which the photometric redshifts do not perform very well. Fig. 12 shows the  $g - i$  versus  $J - K_s$  colour–colour diagram for the VVDS sample with good redshift quality. This shows that the galaxies with poor photometric redshifts are generally blue compared to the larger sample with good photometric redshifts. This is unsurprising as blue galaxies do not exhibit strong spectral breaks at low redshift and are therefore difficult to accurately fit photometric redshifts. Inspecting the individual spectra, we also find a significant fraction with very bright emission lines and although emission lines are incorporated into the photometric redshift templates, they are unable to accurately reproduce all emission-line ratios found in galaxies. Indeed, the emission-line ratios in some of the galaxies appear to indicate the presence of an AGN. To investigate this further, we restrict the sample to only those galaxies with a best-fitting galaxy template, rather than include galaxies which are better fitted by an AGN template. We find the percentage of photometric-redshift outliers in this case to decrease further, to 1.2 and 0.3 per cent for the CFHT and CFHT + VIDEO photometry, respectively.



**Figure 12.**  $g - i$  versus  $J - K_s$  colour–colour diagram showing the colours of the VVDS spectroscopic sample used in our analysis. The photometric-redshift outliers, defined as  $|z_s - z_p|/(1 + z_s) > 0.15$ , are denoted by the filled red circles and the galaxies with good photometric redshifts, defined as  $|z_s - z_p|/(1 + z_s) \leq 0.15$ , are shown as black dots. This shows that the bulk of the outliers have blue colours compared to the remainder of the galaxy population within the spectroscopic sample.



**Figure 13.** Photometric redshift distribution for all sources in the VIDEO-XMM3-CFHTLS-D1 overlap area with  $K_s < 23.5$ . The solid black line is for all sources classified as galaxies from the photometric redshift fitting with LE PHARE. The red hashed region shows the distribution of sources classified as AGN by LE PHARE (see text for details).

As noted above, there is a dearth of spectroscopic redshifts in the  $1 < z < 4$  redshift range which is the niche redshift range for the VIDEO survey. We can expect that the photometric accuracy for galaxies at these redshifts will increase substantially with the near-IR data provided by the VIDEO survey, as the Balmer and 4000 Å breaks are redshifted into the near-IR filters (e.g. Abdalla et al. 2008; Ilbert et al. 2009).

In Fig. 13, we show the redshift distribution for all sources detected in the VIDEO to a limit of  $K_s \leq 23.5$ . It is immediately apparent that VIDEO provides an excellent data set to study galaxy evolution over cosmic time with the redshift distribution peaking at  $z \sim 1$  and extending all the way through to  $z \sim 6$ . The peak observed at  $0.8 < z < 0.9$  indicates the presence of a large structure of galaxies at this redshift, although further work is needed to confirm whether it is indeed real. Also shown in Fig. 13 is the photometric redshift distribution for sources classified as AGN by LE PHARE, taken to be those objects with a lower  $\chi^2$  for a QSO template than for a galaxy template. The redshift distribution of AGN tends to

extend to higher redshifts than those sources classified by galaxies, as we would expect, given that on average they are brighter than the quiescent galaxy population.

## 9 SUMMARY

In this paper we have given an overview of the scientific goals, the data processing and the technical details of the VIDEO survey. Our stacked images from our first major data release in the VIDEO-XMM3 field reach  $5\sigma$  depths of  $Z = 25.7$ ,  $Y = 24.5$ ,  $J = 24.4$ ,  $H = 24.1$  and  $K_s = 23.8$  in the AB-mag system in a 2 arcsec diameter aperture, with the expectation that the full depth in the  $Y$  band will be reached in the planned integration times. This quality of data will eventually cover 12 deg<sup>2</sup> of the best-studied fields in the Southern hemisphere, making it a unique survey for investigating the formation and evolution of the rarest and most massive galaxies down to the sub- $L^*$  galaxy population as a function of galaxy environment, over 90 per cent of cosmic time.

For this first major data release, we have emphasized the power of combining the VIDEO survey with the CFHTLS-D1 optical data in the VIDEO-XMM3 field for improving photometric redshifts (these can be obtained from the VIDEO consortium web page<sup>8</sup>). The addition of the VIDEO bands to optical data results in a considerable decrease in the fraction of catastrophic failures in the photometric redshifts, from 7.4 to 3.8 per cent without an  $i$ -band luminosity function prior and from 5.4 to 3.3 per cent when the luminosity function prior is included. Furthermore, the accuracy of the photometric redshifts with the inclusion of the near-IR VIDEO data should increase substantially at  $z > 1$  due to the ability to bracket strong spectral features, such as the Balmer and 4000 Å breaks, over a broader range of redshifts. However, we also emphasize the importance of additional deep optical imaging data over the full VIDEO survey area. Thus, future observations as part of the DES and the VST will play a crucial role in the full scientific exploitation of the VIDEO data.

The images and catalogues described here will be publicly available from the ESO archive<sup>9</sup> and the VSA.<sup>10</sup> Access to value-added information and updates on the progress of the VIDEO survey can also be found at the VIDEO survey website (<http://star.herts.ac.uk/~dgb/video>). The forthcoming release at the end of 2012 June will also include  $Z$ - and  $K_s$ -band data in the ELAIS-S1 northern field, full details of which will accompany the data within the ESO archive and the VSA.

The remainder of the VIDEO survey data and value-added catalogues will be released on regular 6–12 month time-scales. A description of the data products will accompany all releases and be held at the ESO and VSA archives, as well as on the VIDEO survey website.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is dedicated to the memory of Steve Rawlings, without whom the VIDEO Survey may not have gotten off the ground, but also for the never ending enthusiasm, encouragement and occasional drink during 15 yr working with MJJ.

MJJ and DGB acknowledge the support from STFC through the University of Hertfordshire Extragalactic Rolling Grant and

<sup>8</sup> <http://star.herts.ac.uk/~dgb/video>

<sup>9</sup> [http://www.eso.org/sci/observing/phase3/data\\_releases/](http://www.eso.org/sci/observing/phase3/data_releases/)

<sup>10</sup> <http://surveys.roe.ac.uk/vsa>

Consolidated Grant. VAB and SVW acknowledge support of STFC studentships and RJM would like to acknowledge the funding of the Royal Society via the award of a University Research Fellowship and the Leverhulme Trust via the award of a Philip Leverhulme research prize. JSD acknowledges the support of the European Research Council through an Advanced Grant, and the support of the Royal Society via a Wolfson Research Merit Award. MK acknowledges the support from the Creative Research Initiative program, No. 2010-0000712, of the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRFK) funded by the Korea government (MEST). MK was partially supported by the EU COST Action Black Holes in a Violent Universe. SO acknowledges support from the Science and Technology Facilities Council [grant number ST/I000976/1]. IS acknowledges support from STFC and a Leverhulme Senior Fellowship.

The CFHT data used in this paper were based on observations obtained with MegaPrime/MegaCam, a joint project of CFHT and CEA/DAPNIA, at the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) which is operated by the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada, the Institut National des Science de l'Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) of France and the University of Hawaii. This work is based in part on data products produced at TERAPIX and the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre as part of the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey, a collaborative project of NRC and CNRS.

## REFERENCES

- Abdalla F. B., Amara A., Capak P., Cypriano E. S., Lahav O., Rhodes J., 2008, *MNRAS*, 387, 969
- Adelman-McCarthy J. K. et al., 2008, *ApJS*, 175, 297
- Allen S. W., Evrard A. E., Mantz A. B., 2011, *ARA&A*, 49, 409
- Andreon S., Huertas-Company M., 2011, *A&A*, 526, A11
- Arnouts S. et al., 2007, *A&A*, 476, 137
- Babić A., Miller L., Jarvis M. J., Turner T. J., Alexander D. M., Croom S. M., 2007, *A&A*, 474, 755
- Baldry I. K. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 404, 86
- Banerji M., McMahon R. G., Hewett P. C., Alaghband-Zadeh S., Gonzalez-Solares E., Venemans B. P., 2012, preprint (arXiv:1203.5530)
- Barone-Nugent R. L. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 425, 1007
- Becker R. H. et al., 2001, *AJ*, 122, 2850
- Bernstein J. P. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 753, 152
- Bertin E., Arnouts S., 1996, *A&AS*, 117, 393
- Bielby R. M. et al., 2010, *A&A*, 523, A66
- Bielby R. et al., 2012, *A&A*, 545A, 23
- Bouwens R. J., Illingworth G. D., Blakeslee J. P., Franx M., 2006, *ApJ*, 653, 53
- Bouwens R. J., Illingworth G. D., Franx M., Ford H., 2008, *ApJ*, 686, 230
- Bruzual G., Charlot S., 2003, *MNRAS*, 344, 1000
- Bundy K., Ellis R. S., Conselice C. J., 2005, *ApJ*, 625, 621
- Caputi K. I., Cirasuolo M., Dunlop J. S., McLure R. J., Farrah D., Almaini O., 2011, *MNRAS*, 413, 162
- Cimatti A., Daddi E., Renzini A., 2006, *A&A*, 453, L29
- Cirasuolo M., McLure R. J., Dunlop J. S., Almaini O., Foucaud S., Simpson C., 2010, *MNRAS*, 401, 1166
- Coil A. L. et al., 2008, *ApJ*, 672, 153
- Coleman G. D., Wu C.-C., Weedman D. W., 1980, *ApJS*, 43, 393
- Colless M. et al., 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, 1039
- Collins C. A. et al., 2009, *Nat*, 458, 603
- Conley A., Carlberg R. G., Guy J., Howell D. A., Jha S., Riess A. G., Sullivan M., 2007, *ApJ*, 664, L13
- Conley A. et al., 2011, *ApJS*, 192, 1
- Croom S. M. et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 399, 1755
- Cross N. J. G. et al., 2012, *A&A* (arXiv:1210.2980)
- Dalton G. B. et al., 2006, *Proc. SPIE*, 6269,
- Driver S. P. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 413, 971
- Dunlop J. S., McLure R. J., Kukula M. J., Baum S. A., O'Dea C. P., Hughes D. H., 2003, *MNRAS*, 340, 1095
- Dunne L. et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 417, 1510
- Eales S. et al., 2010, *PASP*, 122, 499
- Emerson J. P., Sutherland W. J., McPherson A. M., Craig S. C., Dalton G. B., Ward A. K., 2004, *The Messenger*, 117, 27
- Fan X. et al., 2002, *AJ*, 123, 1247
- Fan X. et al., 2006, *AJ*, 132, 117
- Findlay J. R., Sutherland W. J., Venemans B. P., Reylé C., Robin A. C., Bonfield D. G., Bruce V. A., Jarvis M. J., 2012, *MNRAS*, 419, 3354
- Fontanot F., De Lucia G., Monaco P., Somerville R. S., Santini P., 2009, *MNRAS*, 397, 1776
- Foucaud S. et al., 2007, *MNRAS*, 376, L20
- Freedman W. L. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 704, 1036
- Furusawa H. et al., 2008, *ApJS*, 176, 1
- Giavalisco M. et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 600, L93
- Giodini S. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 703, 982
- Grogin N. A. et al., 2011, *ApJS*, 197, 35
- Guhathakurta P., Tyson J. A., Majewski S. R., 1990, *ApJ*, 357, L9
- Hartley W. G. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 407, 1212
- Herbert P. D., Jarvis M. J., Willott C. J., McLure R. J., Mitchell E., Rawlings S., Hill G. J., Dunlop J. S., 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 1360
- Hickey S., Bunker A., Jarvis M. J., Chiu K., Bonfield D., 2010, *MNRAS*, 404, 212
- Hoaglin D. C., Mosteller F., Tukey J. W., 1983, *Understanding Robust and Exploratory Data Analysis*. Wiley, New York
- Hodgkin S. T., Irwin M. J., Hewett P. C., Warren S. J., 2009, *MNRAS*, 394, 675
- Hopkins A. M., Beacom J. F., 2006, *ApJ*, 651, 142
- Ilbert O. et al., 2006, *A&A*, 457, 841
- Ilbert O. et al., 2009, *ApJ*, 690, 1236
- Irwin M. J. et al., 2004, *Proc. SPIE*, 5493, 411
- Jarvis M. J., 2012, *African Skies*, 16, 44
- Jarvis M. J. et al., 2001, *MNRAS*, 326, 1585
- Jonas J. L., 2009, *IEEE Proc.*, 97, 1522
- Kasen D., 2006, *ApJ*, 649, 939
- Kelly P. L., Hicken M., Burke D. L., Mandel K. S., Kirshner R. P., 2010, *ApJ*, 715, 743
- Kessler R. et al., 2009, *ApJS*, 185, 32
- Kim J.-W., Edge A. C., Wake D. A., Stott J. P., 2011, *MNRAS*, 410, 241
- Kinney A. L., Calzetti D., Bohlin R. C., McQuade K., Storchi-Bergmann T., Schmitt H. R., 1996, *ApJ*, 467, 38
- Koekemoer A. M. et al., 2011, *ApJS*, 197, 36
- Lacy M. et al., 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 166
- Lampeitl H. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 722, 566
- Lapi A. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 742, 24
- Lawrence A. et al., 2007, *MNRAS*, 379, 1599
- Le Fèvre O. et al., 2004, *A&A*, 428, 1043
- Le Fèvre O. et al., 2005, *A&A*, 439, 845
- Lilly S. J. et al., 2007, *ApJS*, 172, 70
- Lonsdale C. et al., 2004, *ApJS*, 154, 54
- Madau P., 1995, *ApJ*, 441, 18
- Madau P., Ferguson H. C., Dickinson M. E., Giavalisco M., Steidel C. C., Fruchter A., 1996, *MNRAS*, 283, 1388
- Maddox N., Hewett P. C., Warren S. J., Croom S. M., 2008, *MNRAS*, 386, 1605
- Maddox N., Hewett P. C., Péroux C., Nestor D. B., Wisotzki L., 2012, *MNRAS*, 424, 2876
- Magnelli B. et al., 2009, *A&A*, 496, 57
- Martin D. C. et al., 2005, *ApJ*, 619, L59
- Martínez-Sansigre A., Rawlings S., Lacy M., Fadda D., Marleau F. R., Simpson C., Willott C. J., Jarvis M. J., 2005, *Nat*, 436, 666
- Martini P., Sivakoff G. R., Mulchaey J. S., 2009, *ApJ*, 701, 66
- Mauduit J.-C. et al., 2012, *PASP*, 124, 714
- McCracken H. J. et al., 2012, *A&A*, 544, A156
- McLure R. J., Cirasuolo M., Dunlop J. S., Foucaud S., Almaini O., 2009, *MNRAS*, 395, 2196
- McLure R. J. et al., 2012, preprint (arXiv:1205.4058)

- Mortlock D. J. et al., 2011, *Nat*, 474, 616  
Oesch P. A. et al., 2012, *ApJ*, 745, 110  
Oke J. B., Gunn J. E., 1983, *ApJ*, 266, 713  
Oliver S. J. et al., 2012, *MNRAS*, 424, 1614  
Ono Y. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 402, 1580  
Papovich C. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 716, 1503  
Pierre M. et al., 2004, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, 9, 11  
Polletta M. et al., 2007, *ApJ*, 663, 81  
Prevot M. L., Lequeux J., Prevot L., Maurice E., Rocca-Volmerange B., 1984, *A&A*, 132, 389  
Rosati P., Borgani S., Norman C., 2002, *ARA&A*, 40, 539  
Saunders W. et al., 2000, *MNRAS*, 317, 55  
Schiminovich D. et al., 2005, *ApJ*, 619, L47  
Scoville N. et al., 2007, *ApJS*, 172, 1  
Skrutskie M. F. et al., 2006, *AJ*, 131, 1163  
Smith D. J. B. et al., 2012, preprint (arXiv:1208.3079)  
Somerville R. S. et al., 2004, *ApJ*, 600, L135  
Steidel C. C., Giavalisco M., Pettini M., Dickinson M., Adelberger K. L., 1996, *ApJ*, 462, L17  
Stern D. et al., 2005, *ApJ*, 631, 163  
Sullivan M. et al., 2010, *MNRAS*, 406, 782  
Sullivan M. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 737, 102  
Tran K.-V. H. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 719, L126  
Ueda Y., Akiyama M., Ohta K., Miyaji T., 2003, *ApJ*, 598, 886  
van Breukelen C. et al., 2006, *MNRAS*, 373, L26  
van Breukelen C. et al., 2009, *MNRAS*, 395, 11  
van Dokkum P. G. et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 709, 1018  
Willott C. J., Rawlings S., Jarvis M. J., Blundell K. M., 2003, *MNRAS*, 339, 173  
Willott C. J. et al., 2010, *AJ*, 139, 906  
Willott C. J. et al., 2012, preprint (arXiv:1202.5330)  
Wood-Vasey W. M. et al., 2008, *ApJ*, 689, 377  
Wuyts S. et al., 2011, *ApJ*, 738, 106  
Zirm A. W. et al., 2007, *ApJ*, 656, 66

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\TeX}/\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.