Tradition, Tribe, and State in Kenya: The Mijikenda Union, 1945–1980

JUSTIN WILLIS

History, Durham University

GEORGE GONA

History, University of Nairobi

In 1963, the young Kenyan historian Bethwell Ogot called for politics to move beyond ethnicity and become truly national: "The African masses have to be persuaded to transfer their loyalties from an association they know and understand—the tribe—to something impersonal, abstract and as yet non-existent, called the nation." More than forty years later, in the wake of the terrifying and overtly ethnic violence that followed the elections of 2007, Ogot, who had in the intervening years become the country's most prominent public intellectual, lamented the continuing political power of tribalism in Kenyan public life. His sentiment captured a wider sense of dismay at the persistence of the politics of ethnicity, in Kenya, and across much of Africa. In the first decades after independence, when the explicit language of politics emphasized nationbuilding, the tribe posed an awkward challenge for academic analysis.³ Scholarship followed political rhetoric, and the word "tribe" fell from academic use in favor of the allegedly more neutral "ethnicity." In 1971, the South African sociologist Archie Mafeje looked forward to a history that would overcome the legacy of a colonial experience that had "helped create the things called 'tribes' in Africa." Professional historians always enjoy denouncing amateur historical invention, and multiple studies subsequently articulated a vision of

Acknowledgments: Fieldwork for this paper was made possible by a grant from the British Academy. The authors would like to thank the British Institute in Eastern Africa and the National Museums of Kenya for their support during the research. Many colleagues have offered advice and assistance, but particular thanks should go to Killian Ngala Chome, Hassan Mwakimako, and Lynn Thomas.

¹ Bethwell Ogot, "From Chief to President," *Transition* 10 (Sept. 1963): 26–30.

² "Chancellor Ogot Takes up Fight against Tribalism at Varsities," *Daily Nation*, 19 Dec. 2008, http://www.nation.co.ke/News/-/1056/504640/-/u0n6ys/-/index.html.

³ M. Crawford Young, "Nationalism, Ethnicity and Class in Africa: A Retrospective," *Cahiers d'Études Africaines* 26, 103 (1986): 421–95.

flexible precolonial ethnicities that were hardened by the experience of colonial rule and instrumentalized by missionaries, officials, and literate "culture brokers"—a transition summed up most cogently in John Lonsdale's contrast between "moral ethnicity" and "political tribalism."⁵

Critics of this literature who argued that it discounted African agency perhaps underestimated the extent to which some of it placed African "culture brokers" very much at the center of the process. 6 Its more significant weakness was a failure to explain what Crawford Young called "the intense passions" of ethnicity, or as John Peel put it, the need for ethnic identity to have a cultural basis that gives it force in everyday life. As Peter Ekeh pointed out, while scholars shunned tribalism, it continued to thrive in popular discourse.⁸ The force of that criticism has been underscored by repeated and sometimes horrifying demonstrations of the continued vitality of ethnic politics over the last two decades, "a brutal reminder," in Carola Lentz's words, "that ethnicity cannot simply be explained away." Faced with a powerful politics of belonging and autochthony, academic work has turned steadily towards arguing for the resilience of "African conceptions of political space," seeking the roots of ethnicity in quotidian behaviors that generate the "habitual dispositions" that underpin ethnic affinity, and even emphasizing the role of what Jonathon Glassman has called "modes of thought ... inherited from precolonial traditions." Paul Nugent's work has demonstrated that the construction of ethnicities in West Africa stretches back to well before the colonial period. 10 Such reevaluations, like those of the 1970s, fit

⁴ Archie Mafeje, "The Ideology of Tribalism," *Journal of Modern African Studies* 9, 2 (1971): 253–61; Aidan Southall, "The Illusion of Tribe," *Journal of Asian and African* Studies 5, 1–2 1970): 28–50.

⁵ A definitive early statement was offered in John Iliffe, *A Modern History of Tanganyika* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1979), 318; see also Patrick Harries, "Exclusion, Classification and Internal Colonialism: The Emergence of Ethnicity among Tsonga-Speakers of South Africa," in Leroy Vail, ed., *The Creation of Tribalism in Southern Africa* (London: James Currey, 1989), 82–117. On moral ethnicity, see John M. Lonsdale, "Moral Ethnicity, Ethnic Nationalism, and Political Tribalism: The Case of the Kikuyu," in Peter Meyns, ed., *Staat und Gesellschaft in Afrika: Erosions- und Reformprozesse* (Hamburg: Lit Verlag, 1996), 93–106.

⁶ Leroy Vail, "Introduction," in Leroy Vail, ed., *The Creation of Tribalism in Southern Africa* (London: James Currey, 1989), 1–20.

⁷ Young, "Nationalism, Ethnicity and Class," 447; John Peel, "The Cultural Work of Yoruba Ethnogenesis," in Elizabeth Tonkin, Maryon McDonald, and Malcolm Chapman, eds., *History and Ethnicity* (London: Routledge, 1989), 198–215.

⁸ Peter Ekeh, "Social Anthropology and Two Contrasting Visions of Tribalism in Africa," *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 32, 4 (1990): 660–700.

⁹ Carola Lentz, "Tribalism' and Ethnicity in Africa: A Review of Four Decades of Anglophone Literature," *Cahiers des Sciences Humaines* 31, 2 (1995): 303–28; see also Carola Lentz and Paul Nugent, "Ethnicity in Ghana: A Comparative Perspective," in Carola Lentz and Paul Nugent, eds., *Ethnicity in Ghana: The Limits of Invention* (New York: St Martins Press, 1999), 1–28, here 6.

¹⁰ G. Carter Bentley, "Ethnicity and Practice," *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 29, 1 (1987): 24–55; Jonathon Glassman, "Slower than a Massacre: The Multiple Sources of Racial Thought in Colonial Africa," *American Historical Review* 109, 3 (2004): 720–54, here 753; Paul

into wider scholarly trends, in this case a tendency to emphasize long-term continuity in African political cultures and downplay the impact of what some would call "the colonial moment" in Africa.¹¹

The present paper reasserts the significance of that moment through revisiting the history of one particular ethnicity in Kenya. While we acknowledge the profound importance of cultural affect, and place African agency at the center of the processes we explore, we nonetheless follow Gabrielle Lynch's work in insisting on the importance of the final years of colonialism. This "critical juncture" was characterized by very public and often fierce politics, when the state was at its most intrusive and best resourced, even as its future was thrust dramatically into question by rapid political change. Ethnicity was not a novel feature of the colonial world, but the processes through which ethnicities were made and remade gained new force because of colonialism. While the "roots of contemporary identities" may, as Nugent says, lie in the precolonial past, at least some of the efflorescent vigor of such identities is a result of more recent circumstances. In particular, we will argue that the final years of colonial rule saw the embedding of a powerful, productive, discursive contrast in quotidian experience as well as in political argument.

Thomas Spear, in a recent critical survey of the literature on colonial invention, used the term "neo-traditionalism"—inspired by the political science notion of "neo-patrimonialism"—to emphasize that processes of innovation were always limited by culture, possibilities always constrained by the need to evoke people's lived experience. ¹⁴ In doing so, he has deployed the word "tradition" in two different ways. The first is to signify a particular performance or pattern of language that is established and familiar in a society—"a tradition." It is the enduring influence of this kind of "tradition" that Spear emphasizes as a force limiting invention. But he also refers to tradition as a discursive tool, specifically as a way of arguing about the character of practices. ¹⁵ The story of the Mijikenda of Kenya's coast, the focus of this paper, suggests that while the first of Spear's meanings was significant in setting the "limits of invention," it

Nugent, "Putting the History Back into Ethnicity: Enslavement, Religion and Cultural Brokerage in the Construction of Mandinka/Jola and Ewe/Agotime Identities in West Africa, c. 1650–1930," *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 50, 4 (2008): 920–48.

Patrick Chabal and Jean-Pascal Daloz, *Africa Works: The Political Instrumentalization of Disorder* (Oxford: International African Institute with James Currey, 1999); Thomas Spear, "Neo-Traditionalism and the Limits of Invention in British Colonial Africa," *Journal of African History* 44, 1 (2003): 3–27, here 20; Richard Reid, "Past and Presentism: The Precolonial and the Foreshortening of African History," *Journal of African History* 52, 2 (2011): 135–55.

¹² Gabrielle Lynch, *I Say to You: Ethnic Politics and the Kalenjin in Kenya* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2011), 51; see also M. Carotenuto, "*Riwruok e Teko*: Cultivating Identity in Colonial and Post-Colonial Kenya," *Africa Today* 52, 2 (2006): 53–73.

Nugent, "Putting the History Back," 923.

¹⁴ Spear, "Neo-Traditionalism."

¹⁵ Ibid., 4, 6, 25.

is the second that reveals just how transformative the colonial experience was in creating new possibilities for cultural entrepreneurship, legitimized by an idea of tradition which rested on its contrast with modernity.

This dichotomy has proven powerfully productive across Africa. As John Comaroff has suggested, colonial officials saw their role as "the practical management, often the production of, difference" between the modern and the traditional, and the African officials who took over the levers of the state in the early 1960s at least partly shared this assumption, seeing themselves as representatives of a modern state, dealing with an often intractable population. ¹⁶ The contrast between tradition and the multiple manifestations of modernity was not only the work of officials and teachers; the evocation of an alternative sphere of distinctive social relations, ruled by tradition, could also serve those who sought to evade or subvert the demands of officials, or to assert their own authority. In constant, implicit contrast to the "modern," this too was a discursive tool used to "make political claims and envision different futures." ¹⁷

James Ferguson's work on the Zambian Copperbelt and Lynn Thomas' study of Kenya in the 1960s have each shown how these contrasts featured in popular moral debate. 18 The evocation of this distinct sphere, conceived in explicit contrast to the modern, may not always have allowed people to "create ... a space that stood separate from the state" as completely as James Giblin has suggested of the Tanzanian villagers whose stories he recorded, given that the rural homestead existed in the same money economy as the urban laborer who migrated from it. 19 But it did provide a way to negotiate individual and community authority. The idea of ethnicity explored in this paper drew on the productive power of this division between tradition and the multiple, modern antitheses which colonialism created for it. What Ogot has called "the invention of greater ethnic communities" that now plays so prominent a role in Kenyan politics must be understood partly in terms of cultural continuity, but this by itself cannot explain why political innovation has taken particular ethnic forms, and why ethnicity has become such a significant "category of practice." As the post-

¹⁶ John Comaroff, "Governmentality, Materiality, Legality, Modernity: On the Colonial State in Africa," in Jan-Georg Deutsch, Peter Probst, and Heike Schmidt, eds., African Modernities (Oxford: James Currey, 2002), 107-34; see also Frederick Cooper, Colonialism in Ouestion: Theory, Knowledge, History (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2005), 143.

¹⁷ Lynn M. Thomas, "Modernity's Failings, Political Claims, and Intermediate Concepts," American Historical Review 116, 3 (2011): 727-40.

¹⁸ James Ferguson, Expectations of Modernity: Myths and Meanings of Urban Life on the Zambian Copperbelt (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1999), 84–85, 93–100; Lynn M. Thomas, Politics of the Womb: Women, Reproduction and the State in Kenya (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003), 169-70.

¹⁹ J. Giblin, A History of the Excluded: Making Family a Refuge from State in Twentieth-Century Tanzania (Oxford: James Currey, 2005).

²⁰ Bethwell Ogot, "Mau Mau and Nationhood: The Untold Story," in E. S. Atieno Odhiambo and John M. Lonsdale, eds., Mau Mau and Nationhood: Arms, Authority and Narration

election violence of 2008 made all too clear, that question has lost none of its urgency since the 1960s.

A BRIEF HISTORY OF FAILURE?

In November 1944, the provincial commissioner of Kenya's Coast Province received a letter announcing the creation of the "Miji Kenda Union": "Please receive this letter informing you that we people of the nine tribes of the coast ... have the intention of uniting our tribes and bringing back the KINSHIP which existed in the time of our forefathers, and creating a party of unity, in order to advance our nation through consultation, mutual affection and assistance in every way." All of the authors were men, waged employees living in the coastal town of Mombasa or the colonial capital of Nairobi. They evoked the newly voguish colonial aim of development in support of their plans: "You must be aware of the rottenness and weakness of the development of these people, always being behind; it is because of being divided into small groups, and not agreeing in consultations."

The provincial commissioner made no objection, and in a first flush of enthusiasm, the new Union organized a grand meeting in Rabai, a few miles inland from Mombasa, attended by men described as representatives of all the "nine tribes": Digo, Duruma, and Giriama, the three most populous groups, and the smaller groups of Rabai, Ribe, Kambe, Jibana, Chonyi, and Kauma. But by the end of the 1940s, the Union had almost collapsed, undermined by a combination of poor management, official hostility, and embezzlement. In the decades that followed it never achieved a sustained institutional existence, although a range of groups and individuals appropriated the name "Mijikenda Union" at different times. In 1980 it was formally dissolved, as an incidental byproduct of the antipathy of independent Kenya's second president, Daniel arap Moi, for another explicitly ethnic union, the Gikuyu, Embu and Meru Association (GEMA). All such associations were required to dissolve on the grounds that all Kenyans should be committed solely to a broader vision of national identity.²³

This seems a poor record, and the Mijikenda Union has been seen in previous scholarship as no more than a brief stage on the route to nationalist politics.²⁴ But despite its organizational failings, the Union had a lasting impact. In

⁽Oxford: James Currey, 2003), 8–36. The term "category of practice" comes from Rogers Brubaker and Frederick Cooper, "Beyond 'Identity," *Theory and Society* 29, 1 (2000): 1–47.

Said Suleiman, care of William Paul, Mombasa to Provincial Commissioner (hereafter PC)

²¹ Said Suleiman, care of William Paul, Mombasa to Provincial Commissioner (hereafter PC) Coast, 5 Nov. 1944, Kenya National Archive (hereafter KNA) Office of the President (hereafter OP) 1/1331.

²² Memo, Acting PC Coast, 27 Nov. 1944, KNA OP 1/1331.

²³ Jennifer Widner, *The Rise of a Party-State in Kenya: From "Harambee!" to "Nyayo!"* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992), 142.

1944, the term Mijikenda was an innovation. As the provincial commissioner's correspondents admitted, the "nine tribes" whom they sought to represent had previously been more often regarded as several separate groups, or—when they were given any collective identity at all—were "better known by the term Wanyika," a mildly pejorative term which implied that their proper place was in the "wilderness," inland from the coastal settlements.²⁵ Twenty years later, the term Mijikenda had become entirely naturalized into the language of Kenya's ethnic politics, and was widely accepted as denoting a group whose members had a shared sense of identity and interests.

TRADITION AND AUTHORITY IN THE LATE COLONIAL STATE

The men who first used the term Mijikenda as an ethnonym did so in ways that reflected their profoundly colonial experience—conjuring the Union through letters, a constitution, and minutes of a meeting. But they also drew imaginatively on "tradition," both in the sense of shared practice and through the powerful contrast between the worlds of tradition and colonial government.

A myth of origin through a shared migration from a northern homeland called Singwaya had come to link all of the nine tribes. This story, told by other coastal groups in the nineteenth century, seems to have become widely (though not universally) known amongst the nine tribes from the early twentieth century.²⁶ Multiple circumstances contributed to the adoption of this story. There is a considerable linguistic continuum among this population, and though this can be categorized into discrete languages, the linguistic boundaries are hazy and there is substantial inter-comprehensibility. There is a long history of intermarriage and migration that has spread words, names, and practices, creating complex patterns of linguistic and cultural similarity and difference that are not easily mapped onto any single ethnic schema. Movements by individuals and families have also expanded the area occupied by this population over time, especially to the north of Mombasa.²⁷ There, the mid-nineteenthcentury concentration of population had been along and west of a chain of hills some 15 miles inland of the coast, stretching up to Kilifi. Subsequently,

²⁴ Robert Mambo, "Nascent Political Activities among the Mijikenda of Kenya's Coast during the Colonial Era," Trans-African Journal of History 16 (1987): 92-120.

²⁵ Said Suleiman, care of William Paul, Mombasa to PC Coast, 5 Nov. 1944, KNA OP 1/1331. R. F. Morton, "The Shungwaya Myth of Mijikenda Origins: A Problem of Late Nineteenth-Century Kenya Coastal Historiography," International Journal of African Historical Studies 5, 3 (1972): 397-423; idem, "New Evidence Regarding the Shungwaya Myth of Mijikenda Origins," International Journal of African Historical Studies 10, 4 (1977): 628-43; Thomas Spear, The Kaya Complex: A History of the Mijikenda Peoples of the Kenya Coast to c. 1900 (Nairobi: Kenya Literature Bureau, 1978); idem, "Traditional Myths and Historians' Myths: Variations on the Singwaya Theme of Mijikenda Origins," History in Africa 1 (1974): 67-84; idem, Traditions of Origin and Their Interpretation: The Mijikenda of Kenya (Athens: Ohio University Center for International Studies, 1982); Justin Willis, Mombasa, the Swahili and the Making of the Mijikenda (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1993).

²⁷ Justin Willis' interview with Ali Warrakah and others, 12 Jan. 2011.

there was a steady and significant movement of population northwards as well as eastwards towards the shoreline.²⁸ This migration became mixed up with the widespread absorption into these communities of former slaves and their descendants, who fled from coastal plantations in the nineteenth century or left them in the wake of slavery's abolition in 1907.²⁹ There also was, and remains across this population, many similar notions of moral authority, notably in the former importance of age-grades and in the continuing role of central ritual spaces known as *kayas*, though details of the age-grade system seem to have varied, as did the nature of each group's relationship to the *kayas*.

This is, in short, a population in which individuals are aware of multiple distinctive group identities, but have also been constantly faced with reminders of their commonality. Disputes over bridewealth, inheritance, and other obligations—the inevitable product of such closeness—have encouraged constant debate over morality and customary law. Also debated are the relationships of these to categories of identity, in which both similarity and difference have been rehearsed through arguments over, for example, the relative significance of maternal and paternal ties in relationships of claim and obligation. These were multiple, overlapping, moral ethnicities whose members constantly debated the bounds of the shared assumptions that constituted their social bonds.

The founding document of the Union offered this similarity in language and customs as evidence that the Mijikenda were "one nation from their origin." It also placed this nation as the victims of dispossession: "...when the Asian and European strangers came, they found these indigenous people. ... And these strangers, if they wanted anything or had any issue, they had to discuss this with the elders of the MIJI KENDA ... this union had the authority to make or remove any law in their country ... because of the avarice which was associated with these foreign tribes, which were not compatible with the people of MIJI KENDA, they caused them to fall out and fight, causing our elders to misunderstand one another, and so it happened that the power and authority of those Mijikenda elders was lost."³⁰

The language and events at the meeting of the Mijikenda Union at Rabai in 1945 played repeatedly on this division between the culture of the indigenes and that of strangers. Opening the meeting, Edward Binns (then in his forties)

²⁸ Spear, *Kaya Complex*, 80–132; Cynthia Brantley, *The Giriama and Colonial Resistance in Kenya* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1981), 19–22.

²⁶ Frederick Cooper, From Slaves to Squatters: Plantation Labor and Agriculture in Zanzibar and Coastal Kenya (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1997 [1980]), 228–29; D. Parkin, "Swahili Mijikenda: Facing both Ways in Kenya," Africa 59, 3 (1989): 161–75.

³⁰ Foreword to the Rules of the Mijikenda Union, with Said Suleiman to PC Coast, 5 Nov. 1944, KNA OP 1/1331. This is the authors' translation of the original Swahili; there is a variant English translation of the letter, foreword, and rules, prepared by Kibwana bin Mza, in KNA CC 1/49.

explained that he and other "youths" who worked in Nairobi had first conceived the Union as a response to their life as migrant workers: "There are not many Nyika youths in Nairobi, but they thought it better to create a party to unite our nine tribes." For Binns, the cultural gap between indigenes and strangers created opportunities for intermediacy: "It is up to [the elders] to lead us with all that they have which is of benefit and it is up to us youths to bring to them all the good things which we pick up in our education," he said, adding later, "We must help [chiefs and elders] and show them the modern way with good manners and respect." The meeting, reportedly attended by a thousand people including chiefs and "elders," offered a theatrical demonstration of the different possibilities of such intermediacy. Nine elders were selected to represent the nine tribes. They gave their approval to the Union, "if you, our children, will sit with your elders respectful," but also took the opportunity to explicitly define themselves as the kambi wa Mijikenda, "the initiated elders of the Mijikenda."31 It was a novel claim, cast as tradition, which drew on both existing notions of elderhood and the powerful distinction between education and tradition.

"The youth" of the Mijikenda Union had cause to be anxious about their relationship with elders and with tradition, for their own experience had been very much shaped by colonialism. The shifting cast of officers of the Mijikenda Union in the later 1940s included a number of educated Christian men, the children or grandchildren of freed slaves from southeast Africa who had been settled at missionary stations.³² They were outsiders, and marked as such by their lack of local kin and by their education, which gave them privileged access to clerical employment. Binns himself was one of them, and so was William Paul, from whose postal address the first letter to the provincial commissioner had been sent.³³ There were other kinds of marginality, too. For example, Nimrod Taabu, who also spoke up at the meeting to ask the elders to pursue unity, was a Digo convert to Christianity, a rare thing among a group who were (unlike other putative Mijikenda) overwhelmingly Muslim. He spent much of his life in Nairobi, working as a carpenter, as did John Juma Maneno, another Digo Christian who became a Mijikenda Union official.³⁴ If asked their identity, all of these men could lay claim to membership of one or more of the nine tribes, a claim sometimes made on the basis of marriage ties, sometimes simply through residence or friendship. Binns had grown

Minutes, Miji Kenda Union General Meeting, 28 and 29 Dec. 1945, KNA CC 1/49.

³² Thomas Herlehy and R. F. Morton, "A Coastal Ex-Slave Community in the Regional and Colonial Economy of Kenya: The Wamisheni of Rabai, 1880-1963," in Suzanne Miers and Richard Roberts, eds., The End of Slavery in Africa (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1988), 254–81.

Authors' interviews with Lawrence Bennett, 25 June 2010; and Justin Willis' interview with Harry Ngonyo and Joshua Malingi, 13 Jan. 2011. Justin Willis' interview with Samuel Maneno, 4 Jan. 2011.

up in Rabai, at the mission station, and had made blood brotherhood with a prominent Giriama family; Nimrod Taabu married a woman from another Mijikenda group, among whom Christianity was more acceptable. But in small communities, where people routinely place one another through a detailed knowledge of kinship and marriage relationships, the ambiguous status of such people was widely known.

These men—and there were no women—may seem rather like the sort of "lonely, bilingual intelligentsia" that elsewhere were nationalists, inducted into a new sense of identity by the experience of government employment, and created as a community by their shared pilgrimages around the nation created by colonialism. 35 But these Mijikenda Union members were exploring a much more closely circumscribed idea of the nation. Some of their fellow members were also employees, but more local in their experience, and there were Muslims as well as Christians: Said Suleiman, who wrote to the provincial commissioner, was a Muslim dock-worker; Ali bin Salim, another of the "youth" who spoke up at Rabai, and head of the Union's Mombasa Branch, was a headman for Mombasa municipality; and Lewis Simeon worked for the Public Works Department. Many of these men had an insecure hold on the world of money and employment, and the community they imagined was one that affirmed a place in local society and the possibility of retirement to a rural home secured through their ties of kinship and marriage that spanned the sub-groups of what they now called the Mijikenda.

Binns and his fellows referred with confidence to elders and tradition, and the audience echoed these terms with enthusiasm. But the chiefs and elders that crowded the Rabai meeting faced their own uncertainties. Popular memory among these communities recalls a precolonial past in which authority was "gerontocratic," diffused among the older men of each community through a system of age-sets, the rituals of which revolved around each community's ritual spaces—the forest clearings known as *kayas*. This is at least in part idealized rather than historical; there are counter-memories of multiple, competing *kayas*, and of individuals who claimed a wider power. Also, a practice persists of esoteric power associated with secret societies to which men gain access through purchase of knowledge rather than generational advance.³⁷ Not since the 1880s has a complete cohort of men gone through the full

³⁵ Benedict Anderson, *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism* (London: Verso, 1991 [1983]), 140.

³⁶ Cynthia Brantley, "Gerontocratic Government: Age-Sets in Pre-colonial Giriama," *Africa* 48, 3 (1978): 248–64.

³⁷ Arthur Champion, *The Agiryama of Kenya* (London: Royal Anthropological Institute, 1967), 5, 12, 22–24; Justin Willis, "'The King of the Mijikenda' and other Stories about the Kaya: Heritage, Politics and Histories in Multi-Party Kenya," in Derek R. Peterson and Giacomo Macola, eds., *Recasting the Past: History Writing and Political Work in Modern Africa* (Athens: Ohio University Press, 2009), 233–50.

rituals of age-set advancement. By 1914, age-grades were remembered only uncertainly, from an ever-more-remote past. 38 In these circumstances, the colonial state struggled to find local agents. In the three districts of Kilifi, Kwale, and Mombasa into which the southern coast was divided for administrative purposes, officials saw those who they selected as headmen (restyled after 1938 as "chiefs") as chronically lacking in authority.³⁹

If district commissioners were unsure who were the "elders," so too were many of their subjects. Tensions between young and old men had been generated by the expansion of settlement in previous decades, which had given young men opportunities to challenge or defy their elders. From the end of the nineteenth century these tensions intensified as opportunities grew for wage labor and marketing produce in the town of Mombasa and along on the coast. Though older men complained of the younger generation's indiscipline, they were themselves divided, with individuals frequently in dispute over land, livestock, or bridewealth. Officially recognized "native tribunals" were supposed to settle such conflicts, but they found their decisions undermined or disputed by groups of "elders" that ran alternative courts, sometimes in collusion with chiefs. 40 Sporadic attempts by the colonial state to clarify control over "customary law" through a revival of age-set rituals for one or more "Wanyika" groups-in 1917-1919, 1924, 1928-1929, and 1939attracted some enthusiasm, but repeatedly collapsed beneath the weight of the mutual suspicion and personal ambitions of older men themselves.⁴¹ Many individuals might claim to be elders, but in 1944 it was reported, "The old kambi system has decayed and now hardly exists." The uncertainty and rivalry over the status of elders, which has been identified in recent scholarship on the Giriama, has a long history.⁴²

For at least some of these older men the idea of the Mijikenda offered a new way to address these problems, by deploying the discursive contrast

Brantley, Giriama and Colonial Resistance, 42-43; Champion, Agiryama, 16-17.

³⁹ District Commissioner (hereafter DC) Kilifi to PC Coast, 22 Dec. 1930, KNA CA 20/22; Kilifi District Annual Report, 1934, section B.3, KNA DC KFI 1/3; see also Kilifi District Annual Reports, 1935, section B3, and 1937, section B1: KNA DC KFI 1/3; Kilifi District handingover reports, Dec. 1933 and May 1944, KNA CA 16/40. For the change in terminology, compare DC Kilifi to PC, 15 Nov. 1937; and DC Kilifi to PC, 9 Sept. 1930, KNA CA 20/22. The Monthly Intelligence Reports for Kilifi record a rapid turnover of chiefs: see entries for Jan. 1942, May 1942, Sept. 1942, and June 1943, all in KNA CA 16/71.

⁴⁰ DC Kilifi to PC Coast, 19 Mar. 1935, KNA CA 20/22.

⁴¹ Brantley, Giriama and Colonial Resistance, 138–39; Kilifi District Annual Report, 1929 KNA PC Coast 2/1/25; Kilifi Station Diary, Jan. and June 1924, KNA DC KFI 4/1; "Description of kaya initiation ceremonies, 21 Nov. 1925," E. R. St. J. Davies to Tisdall, 21 Aug. 1937, Kilifi Political Record Book, vol. 3, KNA DC KFI 3/3; Provincial handing-over report, 16 Mar. 1939, KNA CA 16/36.

⁴² Kilifi handing-over reports, undated, 1944, Section x, KNA DC KFI 2/2; J. McIntosh, "Elders and 'Frauds': Commodified Expertise and Politicized Authenticity among the Mijikenda," Africa 79, 1 (2009): 35-52.

between tradition and modernity to affirm a vision of a wider legitimacy that placed older men at the center of traditional authority, as a new kind of kambi. A variant of the term Mijikenda had first been used in the 1920s precisely in this context of elder men's authority: the "Midzichenda Native Tribunal" was created in 1924 to handle cases under customary law in nine locations in Kwale district—Midzichenda means, literally, "nine settlements." 43

The availability of the term Mijikenda set off a vigorous round of political entrepreneurship and claim-making. In the aftermath of the meeting at Rabai, people claiming to represent the Mijikenda Union set to work collecting funds throughout the southern coast, with "clerks" of the Union traveling widely: at one point it was reported that 16,481/- had been collected in oneshilling subscriptions.44 The collection coincided with another attempt to revive the initiation of elders and reports that "elders" were again subverting official tribunals by trying to settle cases. 45 By mid-1947, the initiations had, like previous attempts, come to nothing, as different groups of older men argued over the control and cost of the ceremonies.⁴⁶

Meanwhile, British officials suspected that chiefs were collecting the Mijikenda Union subscription as though it were a tax and that Union members were misrepresenting themselves as a body that could negotiate with the government.⁴⁷ Colonial officials elsewhere in Kenya seem to have tolerated, and even encouraged ethnic unions at this time. 48 But on the coast their attitude soon turned to outright hostility. In the Rabai area, and a little further inland near Mariakani, development schemes that involved cutting kaya forests were disrupted by young men claiming to work for the Mijikenda Union, and though the effect was limited, it was enough to outrage officials.⁴⁹

Their anger grew when the Union became involved in land issues. When the rest of the East Africa Protectorate had become Kenya Colony in 1921, the

⁴³ The nine locations, all administrative divisions, had been part of Mombasa District: Digo District Station Diary, 5 Apr. and 29-30 Apr. 1924, KNA DC KWL 1/5/1; Kwale monthly intelligence report, Feb. 1940, KNA CA 16/66; Kwale handing-over report, 30 Aug. 1950, KNA CA 16/38. "Mijikenda" is a variant form of the word Midzichenda, with minor sound changes associated with Swahili, the language of government and urban employment.

^{44 &}quot;Miji Kenda Union: position as at 7 Jan. 1949," KNA CC 1/49.

⁴⁵ Local Native Council minutes, 6 Dec. 1946, and 15–16 Apr. 1947, KNA CG 2/29; DC Kilifi to PC 15 Sept. 1947, KNA CA 20/22.

⁴⁶ Kilifi monthly intelligence reports, May 1946, Nov. 1946, Apr. 1947, KNA CA 16/71; Kilifi District Annual Report, 1947, section x, KNA PC Coast 2/1/77. There is a rueful recollection of this in the Kilifi handing-over report of 8 June 1952, KNA CA 16/41.

Wild, District Officer Malindi, Safari Report, 21 Mar. 1947, KNA DC MAL 2/1/3; Kwale monthly intelligence report, Aug. 1948, KNA CA 16/66. Sixty years later, one man still believed that the Mijikenda Union "had agreed with government, each taxpayer should pay 1/" (authors' interview with Katana Juba, 5 Jan. 2011).

⁴⁸ Carotenuto, "Riwruok na teko," 58–59, records official enthusiasm for the Luo Union.

⁴⁹ Kwale monthly intelligence report, Sept. 1947, KNA CA 16/66; Kilifi District Annual Report, 1947, section B, KNA PC Coast 2/1/77.

"Ten-Mile Strip" that ran along the coast had remained a protectorate, nominally the sovereign territory of the Omani sultan of Zanzibar.⁵⁰ In Kenya Colony there was no freehold title to land, whereas in the Ten-Mile strip the British recognized what they believed to be preexisting freehold land rights. In practice, only those classified as Arabs or Asians were able to secure this recognition, and Africans could own neither leasehold nor freehold.⁵¹ South of Mombasa, the collective claims to land of the Digo were acknowledged through the provision of tribal reserves in the coastal strip. North of Mombasa, the coastal strip was composed entirely of freeholds in Arab or Asian ownership, leaseholds given more recently to European or Asian farmers, and unallocated "Crown Land." Many people lived on these lands, sometimes as formal tenants paying rent, but more often as squatters who gave a share of their crops or their labor to landowners but had no clear legal rights or obligations. 52 Some were former slaves or their descendants, and others were more recent migrants from a little further inland. Whatever their parents and grandparents may have called themselves, by the 1940s many of these people claimed to belong to one of the groups that came to be called Mijikenda.

While some landowners, short of capital, were happy to have such squatters on their land, the squatters had no security of tenure. Disputes over crops, and especially over the ownership of planted trees, were always possible. In the 1930s, the wider colonial obsession with erosion and soil degradation encouraged British officials to view squatting as a problem, since squatters were alleged to use the land in a transient, destructive way.⁵³ The result was a prolonged but fitful campaign to evict or at least regulate squatters on private land, and to drive them off of Crown Land into settlement schemes, where tenure and farming practices were controlled.⁵⁴ This did not reduce the number of squatters, but it did produce an insecure and vulnerable group ready to appropriate the name of the Mijikenda Union in pursuit of their claims to land. Officials reacted with predictable irritation: "The action of the temporary committee of the proposed Miji Kenda Union in writing a letter inciting the Wagiriama to turn Arabs off their land makes one regard the Union with suspicion."55

⁵⁰ James Brennan, "Lowering the Sultan's Flag: Sovereignty and Decolonization in Coastal Kenya," Comparative Studies in Society and History 50, 4 (2008): 831-61.

⁵¹ Willis, *Mombasa*, 118–34.

⁵² Cooper, From Slaves to Squatters, 173–232; Kilifi District handing-over report, section B1, 19 Mar. 1946, KNA DC KFI 2/2.

^{53 &}quot;A Report on the Problem of Squatters on Private Lands in the Coastal Strip," National Archives, London (hereafter NA), CO 822/2142.

Jan Hoorweg, "The Experience with Land Settlement," in Jan Hoorweg, Dick Foeken, and R. A. Obudho, eds., Kenya Coast Handbook: Culture, Resources and Development in the East African Littoral (Münster: Lit Verlag, 2000), 309-25. Evictions are mentioned in the Digo monthly intelligence reports of Feb. 1940 and Aug. 1941, KNA CA 16/66.

⁵⁵ Kilifi monthly intelligence report, Apr. 1945, KNA CA 16/71.

The committee of the Mijikenda Union were chastised by officials, but activists continued to evoke the Union's name: "A Giriama, stating that he was acting on behalf of the Mijikenda Union on the 29th July had a baraza [public meeting] ... at which he asserted that all the land in the coastal strip belonged to the African"; men identified as "kaya elders" were alleged to have sent him, though they denied this to the district commissioner. ⁵⁶ Infuriated by these challenges, the district commissioner organized public meetings "at which the Agents of the Miji Kenda Union were invited to state to the people to what purpose they had put the money which they have been collecting."57 The next few years saw a prolonged wrangle over control of the funds, involving British officials and various individuals who claimed to be officeholders (denounced by one district commissioner as "a bunch of semi-educated stiffs"). Several thousand shillings were reportedly embezzled and two men were imprisoned, and by 1953 it was reported that the Mijikenda Union "has practically died."58 A version of the Union survived in Nairobi for a few years among a small, and apparently entirely Christian group of government employees, running a small general goods shop and holding regular music and dance events, but this vanished in the mid-1950s when several key members retired and moved back to the coast.⁵⁹

The term Mijikenda itself maintained a precarious discursive existence during these years. A correspondent to the *Mombasa Times* in 1955 opined that the term was "too long, too artificial, and has already failed"; the only published reaction to this was another letter suggesting that the term Wanyika was perfectly good. An educated Christian Ribe, writing an account of his "tribe" for publication, preferred to argue that the nine tribes were Swahili, although he somewhat dismissively noted that "these days they call themselves 'Miji Kenda." But wider memory does record a persistent use of this new ethnonym, which drew Mijikenda identity directly into everyday expressions of the imagined contrast between the worlds of urban and rural, of money and kinship.

For an urban worker who imagined himself as a migrant from the rural area, to die in town was a constant risk, and to be buried there was

⁵⁶ Kilifi monthly intelligence reports, July and Aug. 1947, KNA CA 16/71.

⁵⁷ Kilifi monthly intelligence report, Aug. 1947, KNA CA 16/71.

⁵⁸ "Miji Kenda Union: position as at 7 Jan. 1949," KNA CC 1/49; Kwale District handing-over report, July 1953, KNA CA 16/38; DC Kilifi to Municipal African Affairs Officer, 10 May 1952 and 20 May 1952, KNA CC 1/49; DC Kilifi to Municipal African Affairs Officer, 19 Jan. 1953; Municipal African Affairs Officer to DC Kilifi 23 Jan. 1953; PC Coast to Treasurer Mijikenda Union, undated, May 1959, KNA CC 1/49.

⁵⁹ The Mijikenda Union in Nairobi was registered as a society on 26 Mar. 1953: Eggins, Municipal African Affairs Officer to DC Mombasa, 28 July 1953, KNA CC 1/49. Justin Willis' interviews with Ali Warrakah and others, 12 Jan. 2011; Justin Willis' interview with Samuel Maneno, 4 Jan. 2011.

⁶⁰ Letter from S. Kalachu, *Mombasa Times*, 16 Mar. 1955; and reply in the same paper from H. G Banks, 11 Apr. 1955.

⁶¹ William Frank, *Habari na Desturi za Waribe* (London: Macmillan and Co, 1953), vii, 9.

inconceivable. An urban death was always the cue for a collection of money to transport the corpse back to a rural home for burial. Such collections were made through meetings that brought together any available immediate family members with a shifting cast of more distant kin, in-laws, and prominent community figures whose attendance, and contributions, were recorded in a book. Marriage, migration, and urban solidarity based on linguistic and cultural similarity would ensure that any such collection meeting assembled individuals from several of the nine tribes, and the collection itself came to be known in the 1950s simply as "the Mijikenda" or "the Midzichenda." "Even today," one man remarked, "Mijikenda is still collected, it buries, it is not for weddings or education, no, that Mijikenda is given to bury."62

THE POLITICS OF THE TEN-MILE STRIP

In the later 1950s, the term Mijikenda—and the Mijikenda Union—suddenly reappeared in the written record with extraordinary rapidity, driven by the complex and very public politics of the final years of colonial rule. As the end of British rule moved swiftly from distant prospect to imminent reality, debates over identity acquired urgency and venom: in this context the idea of Mijikenda identity, like the term Kalenjin elsewhere in Kenya at this time, offered a resource that many sought to exploit, for it conjured a political constituency, African but distinctively coastal, from a bundle of multiple, overlapping moral ethnicities. 63 This was an alluring prospect for aspiring politicians, but the term was equally potent in the hands of ordinary men and women who might use it to make claims on leaders or legitimate their demands for land or jobs. And the term also made its way deeper into daily life as it was deployed in moral arguments over rights and relationships with kin or neighbors. Finally, it added a new layer to the ritual repertoire of a population chronically concerned with the challenges of disease, drought, and the malign possibilities of witchcraft.

This period's beginning was marked by the 1955 decision to allow African political organizations at a district level, followed by the creation in 1957 of directly elected African representation on Kenya's Legislative Council. The anomaly of the Ten-Mile Strip shaped the way these politics developed over the years leading up to independence, in 1963, by raising a stark question: when British rule ended, would the Ten-Mile Strip be part of an independent Kenya, or would it be ruled by the Sultan of Zanzibar?⁶⁴ A second, subsidiary,

⁶² David Parkin, Sacred Void: Spatial Images of Work and Ritual among the Giriama of Kenya (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991), 32; Justin Willis' interview with Harry Ngonyo and Joshua Malingi, 13 Jan. 2011.

⁶³ Lynch, I Say to You, 50.

⁶⁴ A. I. Salim, *The Swahili-Speaking Peoples of Kenya's Coast* (Nairobi: East African Publishing House, 1973), 203-46; Brennan, "Lowering the Sultan's Flag."

question became equally insistent: if the Ten-Mile Strip were to be part of Kenya, would the coast be allowed a degree of autonomy, to guard against the danger of domination by "up-country" Africans?

The first question turned coastal residents against one another, as they rehearsed and developed ideas of identity that had long been extant but had been hardened by the experience of the sultans' rule in the late nineteenth century and by British administration. Those who defined themselves as Arabs, as descendants of migrants that had come from Oman or elsewhere since the seventeenth century, had enjoyed political and economic privilege. 65 Uneasily alongside Arab identity lay the idea of "the Swahili," a usefully ambivalent term that could be used both to assert a relationship to a much more ancient immigration from the Middle East and to claim a status as descendants of coastal indigenes that had intermarried with or assimilated to this immigrant community. Swahili identity had given generations of local migrants, both forced and unforced, the possibility of incorporation into a largely urban, Muslim culture. But it was a possibility rooted in inequality within an explicitly racial and ethnic hierarchy of domination, the assumptions of which were hardened by nineteenth- and twentieth-century experiences.⁶⁶ Arabs looked down on Swahili, and Swahili looked down on those they called Wanyika. The use of the term Swahili itself had declined under the force of Arab disdain and colonial skepticism; by the 1940s, many Swahili preferred to describe themselves in Arabic, as members of the Tissia Taifa ("nine tribes") and *Thalatha Taifa* ("three tribes"). 67 For coastal Africans excluded from these Arab or Arabized identities, the possibility that the coast might actually be ruled by Zanzibar was alarming.⁶⁸

The second question, whether the Ten-Mile Strip would have some autonomy from "up-country" Africans, potentially pitted the people of the coast as a whole against the rest of Kenya. In the towns—most notably in Mombasa, by far the largest coastal urban center—men from across Kenya competed for jobs working for the municipality, for the railway, and most of all, on the docks. There, the long campaign by the state and employers to stabilize labor was fought in the context of bitter rivalry over jobs, which had persistent ethnic overtones.⁶⁹ Coastal people feared that when British rule ended then

⁶⁵ Salim, Swahili-Speaking Peoples, 100–38; 184–202.

⁶⁶ This section is indebted to the work of Jonathon Glassman: Feasts and Riot: Revelry, Rebellion and Popular Consciousness on the Swahili Coast, 1856–1888 (Portsmouth, N.H.: Heinemann, 1995); and idem, "Slower than a Massacre."

⁶⁷ Willis, *Mombasa*, 188–90; Randall Pouwels has identified a long-term trajectory of Arabization in coastal culture: Horn and Crescent: Cultural Change and Traditional Islam on the East African Coast, 800-1900 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987).

⁶⁸ Justin Willis' interview with Daniel Korokoro, 6 Jan. 2011.

⁶⁹ Frederick Cooper, On the African Waterfront: Urban Disorder and the Transformation of Work in Colonial Mombasa (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1987), 147–50.

"up-country" people with superior educations and vigorous involvement in Nairobi politics would seize both political power and the best jobs. 70 This was a local variant of a widespread Kenyan fear of domination by people from central Kenya, an anxiety that politicians encouraged and exploited.⁷¹ But it was a distinctive variant in that, on the coast, all people from up-country could be resented, not just those from central Kenya. For this reason, coastal politicians who became involved in Kenyan national politics always faced local suspicion.

Starting in 1956, aspirant political leaders sought to mobilize coastal Africans against "aliens." In Mombasa, the Mombasa African District Union (MADU) urged African unity against the Arabs. Further north, in Kilifi District, the Kilifi African People's Union (KAPU) encouraged squatters to appropriate the crops of trees on landowners' estates, emboldened by a boom in cashew nut prices that added a new economic incentive to an old grievance.⁷² The documentary record of the period does not show whether the term Mijikenda was used in the public meetings that were the central elements of this politics, and "African" seems to have been the more common term: KAPU's constitution pledged it to "promote with energy all the rights of the Africans of Kilifi District."⁷³

British district commissioners did little to hide their dislike of KAPU and MADU leaders, who they described as "clerks" working in Mombasa. They were initially more enthusiastic when, in 1957, on the basis of a very restricted franchise, Ronald Ngala became the African elected member of Legislative Council representing the coast.⁷⁴ Ngala, a Giriama Christian, had in 1949 written a little book about Giriama custom that asserted the group's distinctive history and culture (though it briefly acknowledged that the nine tribes "have joined together with the name 'Mijikenda'"). There is no evidence that Ngala was involved in the Mijikenda Union in the 1940s, and he only became active in Mombasa public life in the early 1950s, when he was headmaster of

⁷⁰ Justin Willis' interview with Katana Juba, 5 Jan. 2011; also Justin Willis' interview with Harry Ngonyo and Joshua Malingi, 13 Jan. 2011; Richard Stren, "Factional Politics and Central Control in Mombasa, 1960-69," Canadian Journal of African Studies 4, 1 (1970): 33-56.

⁷¹ Cherry Gertzel, *The Politics of Independent Kenva* (Evanston: Northwestern University Press, 1970), 10; David Anderson, "Yours in the Struggle for Majimbo: Nationalism and the Party Politics of Decolonisation in Kenya, 1955 to 1964," Journal of Contemporary History 40, 3 (2005): 547-64; Lynch, I Say to You, 36, 51–80.

Kilifi monthly intelligence reports, Nov. 1955, Jan./Feb. 1956, May 1956, and Aug. 1957, all in KNA CA 16/72; "The Malindi Cashew Dispute," NA CO 822/2142.

73 Julius Mwatsama to Registrar of Societies, 17 July 1957, KNA CB 1/14.

⁷⁴ Malindi sub-district handing-over notes, Edgar, June 1959, KNA CA 16/44. See also Edgar, District Officer Malindi to Mwatsama, 26 Nov. 1958; Mwatsama to DC Kilifi, 3 Dec. 1958; Mwatsama to DC sama to PC Coast, 16 Dec. 1958, KNA CB 1/14. There were 1,785 registered voters in the whole of Kilifi District: Kilifi monthly intelligence report, Nov. and Dec. 1956, KNA CA 16/72.

⁷⁵ Ronald Ngala, *Nchi na Desturi za* Wagiriama (Nairobi: Eagle Press, 1949), 1–2.

a school and a nominated councilor.⁷⁶ By 1959, he was using the term Mijikenda to assert the right of squatters to take ownership of land in the coastal strip.⁷⁷

Ngala tried to assert primacy among a fractious group of men who had preceded him in KAPU and MADU and were now vying to lead African coastal politics, and in the process he revived the Mijikenda Union. His initial status as an apparent favorite of the government was not necessarily an electoral advantage, and by late 1958 he was holding public meetings jointly with KAPU, tacitly competing for prominence with that party's established leaders. In this contest, Ngala turned to an explicitly ethnic political base and to the assertion of tradition, and encouraged the creation in 1957 of the Midzichenda Cooperative Society, which by 1960 had assumed the identity of the Mijikenda Union.

There was an immediate practical advantage to this: political parties were still only permitted to operate at a district level, but as a "welfare" organization, the Mijikenda Union allowed Ngala to organize across three districts. ⁸¹ This was not merely a matter of evading colonial law: the Mijikenda Union was a different kind of organization than KAPU or MADU were. While KAPU and similar parties vied for seats in the institutions of government, using the term "African" to define their claimed constituency and emphasizing development in their rhetoric, the Mijikenda Union put up no candidates for seats. Though it was vigorously engaged in politics, the Union's language was that of tradition. It drew its strength from men who self-consciously presented themselves as elders and insisted that their status rested not on government or education but on their knowledge of the *kayas* and of tradition.

And yet, the biographies of these men might seem to confound the distinction between tradition and government. Among them was a former government chief, Mwachiti Nyawa, who had been present in that role at the 1945 Rabai meeting. Another, Mwanyanje Vali, had been jailed for embezzling Mijikenda Union funds in 1948. A third, Birya Masha, was a member of the African District Council with first-hand experience of local government. But these men

⁷⁶ Eric Aseka, *Ronald Ngala* (Nairobi: East African Educational Publishers, 1993); see also the biographical sketch in NA FCO 31/1192.

There is a reference to Ngala's use of the term in a 1959 petition in Magor, Office of the Chief Secretary, Nairobi to Buist, Colonial Office, 14 June 1960, NA CO 822/2142. The petition itself is not extant.

⁷⁸ Aseka, *Ronald Ngala*, 6–7; also Justin Willis' interview with Harry Ngonyo and Joshua Malingi, 13 Jan. 2011. It is presumably memory of Ngala's role in this revival that has misled some scholars to suggest that he created the Mijikenda Union in 1945: see for example McIntosh, "Elders and 'Frauds," 42.

⁷⁹ Kilifi monthly intelligence report, Sept. 1958, KNA CA 16/73.

⁸⁰ Kilifi monthly intelligence report, Jan. 1958, Apr. 1958, KNA CA 16/73.

⁸¹ Authors' interview with Safari Yeri, 24 June 2010.

⁸² Birya Masha was elected to the Council in 1956, and remained on it in 1961: African District Council minutes, 1960–61, KNA JA 1/336A.

presented themselves as elders, and the style and language of this revived Mijikenda Union evoked a very local idea of cultural continuity and a primacy that rested on tradition. From the late 1950s, Birya Masha routinely cast himself as one of the "elders of Kaya Giriama" (although, symptomatically, some still deny that he ever was a "real" kaya elder), and he publicly derided those who had abandoned their culture in favor of education: "Those who prided themselves on being educated had a hard time with Birya ... he said, you see, these educated people, they are all thieves!"83 This vivid discursive evocation of tradition through contrast to modernity led the district commissioner to comment on "the revival of tradition" in this period; Ngala took to wearing a beaded cap given to him by kava elders, which was widely believed to contain a powerful magic charm.⁸⁴

In late 1961, circumstances provided opportunity for a very public display of the traditional politics of the Mijikenda Union, when the commissioner appointed to enquire into the future of the "Coastal Strip" paid a visit there. By this time Ngala had led a new party, the Coast African People's Union (CAPU)—created from KAPU as the restrictions on party organizations were lifted—into becoming a wider national party called the Kenya African Democratic Union (KADU). KADU brought together a group of politicians who sought national independence but feared, or claimed that "their people" feared, Kikuyu dominance. 85 The party organized delegations and memoranda opposing separate independence for the coast, but the most dramatic display was put on by people claiming association with the Mijikenda Union. They organized a boycott of Arab shops, and brought hundreds of men, "dressed in Mijikenda style" and armed with bows and spears, onto Mombasa's streets to stage a mock "funeral" for the idea of an independent coast. 86 When the commissioner traveled south of Mombasa he was mobbed by cheering crowds chanting "Freedom with Mijikenda."87 Petitions and memoranda used the term Mijikenda to express a claim to autochthony. Ngala himself set the tone: "KADU does not recognize the coastal strip agreement of 1895 we demand immediate abrogation and sovereignty of the whole Coast province reverting to indigenous be [sic] native ie Wamidzichenda"; and "the strip is African soil ... the Arabs know very how they first came into contact with

Authors' interview with Katana Juba, 5 Jan. 2011; see also Justin Willis' interview with Roy Chigube Tsuma, 30 June 2010.

⁸⁴ Kilifi monthly intelligence reports, Aug. and Oct. 1958, Nov. 1958, KNA CA 16/73; and Aug. 1962, KNA CB 18/21; Justin Willis' interview with Mary Jumwa and Jumwa Kazungu, 12 Jan. 2011; and with Harry Ngonyo and Joshua Malingi, 15, 13 Jan. 2011.

⁸⁵ Keith Kyle, *The Politics of the Independence of Kenya* (Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1999), 136–59. 86 "Intimidation Closes Coast Arab Shops," Daily Nation, 11 Oct. 1961; "Commission Condemns Intimidation," Mombasa Times, 11 Oct. 1961; "Sir James Dodges the Demonstrators," Daily Nation, 9 Oct. 1961; Justin Willis' interview with Ali Chizondo and others, 1 July 2010. 87 "Sultan Gives Assurances on Coastal Strip," Mombasa Times, 20 Oct. 1961.

Mijikenda as the indigenous owners of the strip." Appearing at a rally in Mombasa, wearing "Miji Kenda robes presented to him ... as a sign of 'eldership," Ngala wove together autochthony, tradition, and Mijikenda primacy: "The whole of the Coast Province ... was occupied by the Miji Kenda. It was their country—even before the Arab immigration."

Others similarly intertwined the claim to autochthony with an emphasis on traditional authority. "The WAMIJIKENDA are the indigenous owners [of the coast].... The *kayas* have always been the traditional bodies charged with the task of maintaining law and order." Memoranda were dispatched to the commissioner, allegedly from groups of rural elders who were almost certainly illiterate, but typed up in English or Swahili and sent in the space of a few days in October. Their number and style suggest a coordinated attempt to link being indigenous with being Mijikenda. So too did the language of the many delegations that made verbal submissions: "The indigenes who are original in this country, who are the Mijikenda..."; "We the people of Mijikenda ... do not want foreign rule." Some expressed also the view that they "did not want to be ruled by an up-country government." Ngala's close ally, Robert Matano, described as the "leader of the Mijikenda Union," emphasized the point by taking a delegation of "Mijikenda elders" to Zanzibar to challenge the sultan. "22"

At the end of 1961, the commissioner recommended that the coastal strip should become part of Kenya. Political debate rapidly shifted to the terms of that inclusion. Even as the commissioner was gathering evidence, KADU had been developing the idea of a "regional" constitution under which an independent Kenya would see significant powers devolved from the center. KANU was reluctantly forced to agree to this plan, and in 1962 two further commissions visited the coast to delimit electoral constituencies and set the boundaries of the proposed regions. ⁹³ In May 1963 elections gave KADU a victory at the coast, including control of the new regional assembly, but national defeat at the hands of KANU. Over the next seventeen months, as Kenya moved towards

⁸⁸ Telegram from Ngala to Secretary of State for the Colonies, 22 Sept. 1961, NA CO 822/2151 (first quote); Memorandum by the President of KADU, 26 Oct. 1961, NA CO 894/10 (second quote); see also for example "Memorandum to the Coastal Strip Commissioner by Kaya Councillors of Wamijikenda," 6 Oct. 1961, NA CO 894/14.

Speech reported in "KADU Drops Ban on Inquiry Commissioner," *Daily Nation*, 9 Oct. 1961.
 Kumbukumbu juu ya Mkataba wa 1895 na Maili Kumi, Wajumbe wa KADU na KANU, 13
 Oct. 1961, NA CO 894/12; "Miji Kenda memorandum on the future of the Coastal Strip," 15 Oct.
 1961 NA CO 894/13. See also "Memorandum on coastal strip," Johnson Mwero, 11 Oct. 1961, NA
 CO 894/13; Record of meeting with "Miji Kenda elders," Malindi, 13 Oct. 1961, NA CO 894/5;
 "Delegation from the Miji Kenda," Msambweni, 19 Oct. 1961, NA CO 894/8.

⁹¹ Statement by Masha Kalamu, 19 Oct. 1961, NA CO 894/8.

⁹² "Sultan Gives Assurances on Coastal Strip," *Mombasa Times*, 20 Oct. 1961. Popular memory of these events remained vivid in 2010–2011: Justin Willis' interview with Roy Chigube Tsuma, 30 June 2010; Justin Willis' interview with Ali Chizondo and others, 1 July 2010.

⁹³ Kyle, *Politics of the Independence*, 143–49.

complete independence, KANU steadily chipped away at the regional constitution and at KADU's fragile unity. 94 Finally, in October 1964, KADU dissolved. Ngala joined KANU and formally abandoned the aim of a distinct status for the coast, ending an extraordinarily intense period of very public politics.

Although KADU and KANU dominate the written record of these dramatic events, the Mijikenda Union continued to hold public meetings throughout the period, sometimes more frequently than KADU did. 95 The language of the Union continued to foreground tradition: the press announcement of its annual meeting said, "The meeting will be attended by Miji-Kenda elders resident in Mombasa and the Kwale and Kilifi Districts."96 Local activists now used Mijikenda identity to assert their rights against up-country Africans: "We Miji Kenda ... do not want any other tribe to mix with us except the ones which we know to be original." Birya Masha publicly endorsed KADU candidates on behalf of the "elders," and candidates were also brought to Kaya Fungo to be blessed.⁹⁷ The politics of independence had firmly established Mijikenda in the imagination of government, and following the flurry of activity around the Coastal Strip, Mijikenda was added to the "list of tribal names" used in the 1962 census. 98 More importantly, this vivid and very public politics had embedded both the term and the idea of a collective Mijikenda identity in wider popular discussions and quotidian practice. In 1962 and 1963, men claiming to represent the Mijikenda Union were involved in issues of pressing popular concern unrelated to national politics—the control of witchcraft, and the performance of rituals to ensure rain—as well as in continuing disputes over squatting and cashew trees.⁹⁹

THE PEOPLE'S GOVERNMENT?

Independent Kenya was on one level a developmentalist state, whose formal institutions, language, and practices were all alien forms inherited from its late colonial forebear. Its rhetoric linked state legitimacy to a familiar bundle of "progress"—education, healthcare, roads, and waged work—but delivery of that bundle was at best erratic. The state could never reward all of its citizens equally with the fruits of independence, and so politicians were required to manage a local politics of patronage, "to deliver the support of their ethnically

⁹⁴ Gertzel, Politics of Independent Kenya, 33–34.

⁹⁵ In the period November 1963 to February 1964, KADU held fourteen public meetings in Kilifi District; the Mijikenda Union held nineteen: Kilifi monthly intelligence report, Nov. 1963 to Feb. 1964, KNA CB 18/21.

⁹⁶ "Miji-Kenda Union Meeting," Mombasa Times, 22 Dec. 1962.

⁹⁷ Seoni Ezekiel et al., 16 Aug. 1962, NA CO 897/1; Justin Willis' interview with Harry Ngonyo and Joshua Malingi, 13 Jan. 2011.

^{98 &}quot;Report on the Kenya Population Census," D. G. Christie Miller, 31 Aug. 1962, p. 3 and appendix 1, NA CO 822/3177. Kilifi monthly intelligence reports, May and Sept. 1962, Nov. 1963, KNA CB 18/21.

mobilized constituents and clients." 100 While the formal structures of the state were alien, this local politics turned much more readily to a distinctive language of tradition, and to the sometimes-novel ethnic constituencies that it defined. 101

The foci of political activity shifted abruptly after 1964. In the words of one official, "The role of politicians changed to that of Nation-Building. Instead of holding political meetings many Development barazas [public meetings] were held."102 Through such meetings, addressed by district commissioners and sometimes by local members of parliament, "appeals [were] made to the public to provide better sanitation and adopt better methods of living" and "emphasis [was] put on education, farming and self-help projects."103 These meetings were held at district headquarters on national holidays, and elsewhere as part of tours or official visits by ministers, as when Ngala and Matano, now KANU stalwarts and government ministers, addressed a KANU rally in 1971 and urged their listeners "to mount joint efforts in the field of development endeavor," or when Kenyatta gave a speech in Malindi "laying emphasis on hard work and change of attitude by the coastal people."104

The written record of these events can suggest an authoritarian state haranguing a sullen populace to "work harder on your farms." But as Angelique Haugerud has argued, while only one party was allowed to organize, and the state could be heavy handed, politicians and administrators nonetheless feared the humiliation that a scanty, silent, or even restive crowd could visit on a speaker. Thus the state needed politicians who could display their popularity, and this made meetings unpredictable. 106 A partly hidden local politics ran alongside and sometimes through these meetings. This politics was given a particular edge at the coast since, though most senior administrators were from up-country, all politicians were locals, who were expected to secure the support of "their" people. These were encounters between government and local community, in which ideas of ethnicity and tradition were evoked to distance the government and to argue over who had the support of the community. Speeches were not always confined to bland exhortations to development, and

¹⁰⁰ Daniel Branch and Nic Cheeseman, "The Politics of Control in Kenya: Understanding the Bureaucratic-Executive State, 1952–78," Review of African Political Economy, 33, 107 (2006), 11-31, here 17; Githu Muigai, "Jomo Kenyatta and the Rise of the Ethno-Nationalist State of Kenya," in Bruce Berman, Dickson Eyoh, and Will Kymlicka, eds., Ethnicity and Democracy in Africa (Oxford: James Currey, 2004), 200–17; Widner, Rise of the Party-State, 43.

¹⁰¹ Ibid., 45.

Kilifi monthly report, Mar. 1965, KNA CB 18/21.

Kilifi monthly report, Jan. 1965, KNA CB 18/21; Ganze Division handing-over report, Feb. 1967, KNA CA 16/65; Kilifi monthly report, Apr. 1976, KNA CA 16/150.

104 Kilifi monthly reports, Mar. and Apr. 1971, and May 1975, KNA CA 16/150.

Kilifi monthly Report, Apr. 1976, KNA CA 16/150.

¹⁰⁶ Angelique Haugerud, *The Culture of Politics in Modern Kenya* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995), 2–3, 8–11, 58–59, 67–68.

they could include pointed comments about rivals, or appeals to visiting dignitaries. As Haugerud observed, "Indirect messages float between orators and hearers."107

Alongside the public events there were private meetings with individuals or delegations that sought the patronage of politicians to secure anything from school fees for a child to government financing for a clinic. Politicians themselves sought out individuals or groups who they thought would help secure victory for themselves or their allies in local government or parliamentary elections, or in national elections (held in 1969 and 1974), or who might help turn out appreciative crowds for meetings.

In this politics, both Ngala and Matano utilized the idea of the Mijikenda as a collective group that was rooted in tradition and whose loyalty they undertook to secure in return for guarantees of resources. They evoked this idea to negotiate upwards as they vied with other ministers for jobs and projects, and downwards as they argued to "their people" that they were serving their interests. Each man was nervous of the other, and both colluded to prevent the emergence of other possible leaders. 108 Crucially, both talked and behaved in ways that affirmed a distinction between the "government," and a sphere of local legitimacy and authority resting on tradition and embodied in Mijikenda identity. For Ngala, now uneasily in the government, his relationship with elders was central to his claim to be a mediator between the government and the world of tradition: "When Ronald Ngala went to look for votes ... Birya Masha had to be there." ¹⁰⁹

For Matano, too, the Mijikenda Union, which he remained nominal president of until its dissolution, offered a formal way to position himself as the interlocutor between government and tradition, but he struggled to do so. Matano was usually described as being a Duruma, but local people were (and are) always ready to re-categorize him, since his paternal grandfather and maternal grandmother are both said to have been former slaves, brought by missionaries to their home on Methodist church land near Mazeras. 110 The dissolution of KADU came as a severe shock to many coastal people, and in the immediate aftermath Matano's relationship to tradition came under attack.¹¹¹ Within a month, the elders of the Mijikenda Union, including some who had been along on the delegation he led to Zanzibar, were sending a pointed message to Matano: "You, our representative, Mr Matano, we see that you are now very busy, now that you are in the Government and have become a minister," they declared in announcing that a meeting had

¹⁰⁷ Haugerud, *The Culture of Politics*, 2.

Stren, "Factional Politics," 44–45; authors' interview with Katana Juba, 5 Jan. 2011.

¹⁰⁹ Justin Willis' interview with Daniel Korokoro, 6 Jan. 2011.

¹¹⁰ Authors' interview with Lawrence Bennett, 25 June 2010.

Kilifi monthly report, Nov. 1964, KNA CB 18/21; authors' interview with Safari Yeri, 24 June 2010.

elected Birya Masha to be "president" in his place. 112 Matano ignored this, but Birya Masha and his fellow elders kept up a steady campaign in which they consistently referred to their status as kaya elders to justify their claim to authority over the Mijikenda. They announced that Matano had been ousted because, "It appears that the many concerns of government have meant that he does not have the time or ability to lead the Mijikenda Union, which is a party that makes every child of Kenya remember their traditions ... so that the beliefs of our grandfathers and fathers should not be lost."113

These typewritten letters, and the titles the elders used, drew deeply on bureaucratic practice while insisting on the authorizing power of tradition. Birya Masha, they declared, had been "elected" president of the Mijikenda Union, and so "we have given him complete authority over the traditions of the Mijikenda." The authors described themselves as "chairmen," and sometimes as "presidents" of the kayas; in one letter they personified themselves as kayas. 114 David Parkin, conducting anthropological research during those years, encountered what local discourse cast as the "people's government," a practice of dispute resolution based on elder men's authority. 115 Such local "courts" were by no means novel, but the idea that they dealt in "Mijikenda" custom was; Birya and his fellows were inserting themselves and the Mijikenda Union into popular notions of customary justice, drawing on their own roles as intermediaries: "[Birya's] work was to go to Kizurini [the office of the district officer] and when he came back from there he went to the kaya ... all the time he talked of the DO [district officer], DC [district commissioner], PC [provincial commissioner] and he had letters in his pockets; he could not read but he knew that this [pocket] was for the DC; this one for the DO."116 Parkin may have overstated the institutional strength of the Union at this time, but Birya was successful enough to alarm local administrators, one of whom reported that Birya was "engaging in political agitation, holding of unlawful courts and illegal secret collection of money."117

Administrators' suspicions of Birya were exacerbated by concerns over witchcraft. The point at which esoteric individual knowledge and power over well being turned baleful was uncertain, and so witchcraft was a perennial concern in local communities. The services of "witch finders"—individuals who claimed to be able to identify witches, but were themselves often

¹¹² Figo Dzogofya to Matano, 29 Nov. 1964, KNA CC 1/28.

Birya Masha, Chairman, Mijikenda Union to PC Coast, 20 Feb. 1968, KNA CB 11/47.

Kenga Choga to PC Coast, 5 Apr. 1968, KNA CB 11/47; "Minutes za mkutano wa kaya," 12 Sept. 1965; Buru Kunguni to Secretary, Mijikenda Union, 27 Sept. 1966, KNA CC 1/28.

David Parkin, *Palms, Wine and Witnesses: Public Spirit and Private Gain in an African*

Farming Community (London: Intertext Books, 1971), 24–25, 39, 63, 84.

Justin Willis' interview with Mary Jumwa and Jumwa Kazungu, 12 Jan. 2011; see also Justin Willis' interview with Harry Ngonyo and Joshua Malingi, 13 Jan. 2011.

¹¹⁷ Okech, DC Kilifi to PC, 14 May 1968, KNA CB 11/47.

suspected of witchcraft—were prized, despite the disapproving attitude of colonial and post-colonial officials, who saw them as charlatans who endangered public order. Sometimes these officials simply banned witch finding—in Kwale in 1965, for example. 118 But Parkin's work has shown how the government and the Mijikenda Union became entangled in a dispute over how to manage witchcraft in 1966 when a new witch finder emerged named Tsuma Washe, better known as Kajiwe. One local administrator disdainfully noted, "Surprisingly, this Witch Finder has a large crowd of followers." Others sought and failed to enlist the traditional authority of the Mijikenda Union to manage him. This led to the government imprisoning Kajiwe, and was part of a deepening of administrative hostility toward the Mijikenda Union, as Birya Masha and his fellows made increasingly strident claims to an authority based on tradition and beyond the control of the state. 119 "The ritual of the traditional oath of the Mijikenda," they insisted, could end witchcraft; the Mijikenda Union had authorized "indigenous ritual experts" who would eradicate witchcraft if only the government would allow them to do so. 120 Birva and his allies offered the idea of a Mijikenda collective ritual identity as the answer to other problems, too, as when urban workers in Mombasa raised funds for Birya to use in a collective "Mijikenda" ritual to bring rain. 121

Birva and his allies used the Mijikenda Union to raise another chronic concern of a populace that suspected that their political leaders had abandoned them to join "the government." Independence had brought no resolution of the land conflicts at the coast: "Until now you will find that the coast is full of squatters; you were born here, your grandfather, the grandfather of your grandfather ... but you are told that you are a squatter." From 1964, these squatters increasingly faced African landowners who were buying up government land, or estates that had belonged to Europeans or Arabs. Some were from up-country, while a few were coastal members of the new political elite. Ngala and Matano were too cautious to speak out publicly against this, but Birya Masha roundly denounced the eviction of squatters in the name of the Mijikenda Union. 123

Matano fought back, insisting that he controlled the Mijikenda Union and ordering local administrators to prevent Birya and his allies from holding

¹¹⁸ Kwale monthly reports, Feb. 1965 and Mar. 1965, KNA CC 23/9.

Kilifi monthly report, June/July 1966, KNA CB 18/21; Parkin, Sacred Void, 26–28. See also Diane Ciekawy, "Witchcraft and Statecraft: Five Technologies of Power in Colonial and Postcolo-

nial Coastal Kenya," *African Studies Review* 41, 3 (1998): 119–41.

Birya Masha, Chairman, Mijikenda Union to Ngala, 15 Oct. 1967, KNA CB 11/47; Birya Masha to DC Kilifi, 1 Feb 1968, and 25 Mar. 1968; also "Minutes ya Makaya Tisa Mijikenda Union," 3 Apr. 1968, KNA CB 11/47.

Authors' interview with Safari wa Yeri, 10 Jan. 2011.
Authors' interview with Katana Juba, 5 Jan. 2011.

¹²³ Birya Masha to R. G. Ngala, 6 Sept. 1967, and 18 Mar. 1968, KNA CB 11/47.

meetings. 124 After Ngala's death in a car crash in 1972, Matano, hoping to ensure his own status as a political leader, rushed to convene a meeting that confirmed his presidency of the Union. 125 But through the rest of the 1970s, the Union's significance remained imaginary rather than institutional, torn between multiple claimants to the role of intermediary. Though its name was constantly evoked, it had no sustained organizational existence.

CONCLUSION

The dissolution of the Mijikenda Union in 1980 had little meaning, since there was really no institution to abolish. But the idea of a Mijikenda identity had become embedded in multiple areas of discourse and practice. It was evoked by politicians to define a constituency, and by elders who sought to create a moral community over which they had influence. But it was also employed by urban workers who saw the Union as both a tool for political mobilization in their complex battles over civic office in Mombasa, and a means to assert their continued commitment to a rural moral community through a collective offering for rain. Squatters, too, drew upon it as legitimation of their land claims. For all of these different actors, the idea of a Mijikenda identity offered a way to claim an intermediate position between tradition and modernity, by asserting the central importance of a categorical distinction that was both imaginary and powerful.

This super-tribe was not the creation of either colonial officials or missionaries, and it drew much of its creative and dynamic force from continuity; that is, it did not entirely remake culture, or people's sense of themselves. In this way, it reveals the "limits of invention." But it was also a "modern product of the African encounter with capitalism and the nation state." The processes involved could be dramatic, as they were in the debates over the Ten-Mile Strip in October 1961, but more often they were incremental. The idea of the Mijikenda grew out of colonial and post-colonial experience as, consistently and innovatively, those who experimented with it built on the powerfully productive set of contrasts that set modernity against tradition.

¹²⁴ Matano to PC Coast, 8 June 1966, KNA CC 1/28.

¹²⁵ For the popular assumption that Ngala was murdered, see Justin Willis' interview with Kazungu Ngala, 12 Jan. 2011 and with Mary Jumwa and Jumwa Kazungu, 12 Jan. 2011. For Matano's reelection, see Hall, British High Commission, Nairobi to Wood, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, 17 Jan. 1973, NA FCO 31/1498.

¹²⁶ Bruce Berman, Dickson Eyoh, and Will Kymlicka, "Ethnicity and the Politics of Democratic Nation-Building in Africa," in B. Berman, D. Eyoh, and W. Kymlicka, eds., Ethnicity and Democracy in Africa (Oxford: James Currey, 2004), 1-21, here 5.

Abstract: The apparent mobilizing power of ethnic sentiment in recent African history has been the subject of vigorous debate. Studies that emphasize the centrality of colonialism and the instrumental use of ethnicity have been criticized by a scholarship arguing that the affective power of ethnicity is culturally rooted through longstanding experience and practice, and that both manipulation and invention are constrained by this. This paper contributes to that debate through a discussion of the history of the Mijikenda, one of the "super-tribes" of modern Kenyan politics. It suggests that there were indeed "limits to invention," but that there was nonetheless substantial entrepreneurship and creativity in the politics of Mijikenda identity. This drew heavily on the productive, discursive tension between tradition and modernity that lay at the heart of colonialism and was drawn into vigorous debates over legitimacy and representation in the "critical juncture" of the final years of colonial rule.