Projecting impacts of anthropogenic climatic change on the bird communities of southern Swedish spruce monocultures: will the species poor get poorer?

Adam Felton*, Matts Lindbladh, Johan Elmberg, Annika M. Felton, Erik Andersson, Cagan H. Sekercioglu, Yvonne Collingham & Brian Huntley

> A. Felton, M. Lindbladh & A. M. Felton, Southern Swedish Forest Research Centre, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, P.O. Box 49, SE-23053 Alnarp, Sweden. * Corresponding author's e-mail: adam.felton@slu.se

> J. Elmberg, Aquatic biology and chemistry, Kristianstad University, SE-29188 Kristianstad, Sweden

E. Andersson, Stockholm Resilience Centre, Stockholm University, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden

C. H. Sekercioglu – University of Utah, Department of Biology, 257 S. 1400 E, Salt Lake City, Utah, U.S.A.; KuzeyDoğa Derneği, İsmail Aytemiz Caddesi 161/2, 36200 Kars, Turkey

Y. Collingham & B. Huntley, School of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, U.K.

The potential impact of climatic change on bird species' distributions in Europe was re-

Received 25 June 2012, accepted 23 May 2013

cently modeled for several scenarios of projected late 21st century climate. The results indicate mean range shifts of hundreds of kilometres north for many of European bird species. Here we consider the implications from such distributional shifts for the bird communities of Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) monocultures in southern Sweden, a forest type likely to remain prevalent due to forestry, despite climate change. Our assessment led us to three key findings. First, the monocultures offer suitable habitat to only two bird species projected to extend their breeding distribution northwards into southern Sweden this century. Second, species richness was projected to decline overall, which would accentuate the depauperate nature of these stands. Third, all conifer-associated arboreal granivores and three of four conifer-associated arboreal insectivores were projected not to occur, reducing both the functional richness and functional redundancy. We discuss caveats related to our approach, including the potential for bioclimatic projections – used in this study – to be hampered by the artificial retention of dominant vegetation. We also discuss the implications of our results for avian biodiversity in what is today the most prevalent forest type in southern Sweden and in many other regions of Europe.



1. Introduction

The potential impact of climatic change on breeding bird species' distributions in Europe by the end of this century was recently modeled (Huntley et al. 2007, 2008), with the results indicating mean range shifts of hundreds of kilometres north for many species. The climatic envelope models used in these studies are explicitly based on the assumption that, when species' distributions are considered at a sufficiently extensive spatial scale (continental in this case) and coarse enough grain (50 km \times 50 km grid cells in this case), climate is the ultimate determinant of observed patterns of species' occurrence (Huntley et al. 2008, Araujo et al. 2009, Gregory et al. 2009). This expectation has been supported by comparisons of projected changes in the regional climatic suitability for bird species with observed population trends (Green et al. 2008, Gregory et al. 2009, Jiguet et al. 2010a), as well as by a modeling study using a range of grain sizes (Luoto et al. 2007). However, when attempting to apply the outcomes of such models to specific ecosystems at smaller spatial extents and finer grain, the complicating influence of vegetation structure and composition (Lee & Rotenberry 2005, Matthews et al. 2011), land-use practices (Peterson et al. 2002, Felton et al. 2010b), and species' habitat requirements (Fearer et al. 2007), need also to be taken into account.

Approximately one third of Sweden's bird species are associated with forests (Gerell et al. 1996). Nevertheless, only ca. 5% of Swedish forest areas are protected, with the rest having largely been transformed by production forestry in terms of tree species composition, age structure, spatial structure and disturbance regimes (Gustafsson & Perhans 2010). In the south of the country, production forestry has often led to the conversion of broadleaf and mixed broadleaf-conifer forests to even-aged monocultures of the Norway spruce (Picea abies, hereafter spruce) (Lindbladh & Foster 2010, Lindbladh et al. 2011). This has resulted in spruce monocultures becoming the most common forest type in southern Sweden, accounting for 50% of standing volume (SFA 2009). This dramatic increase in the abundance and distribution of spruce in the region (Lindbladh et al. 2000, Lindbladh & Foster 2010), has been to the detriment of deciduous and old-growth forests and

their associated bird communities (Felton *et al.* 2010b, 2011). As forest land in southern Sweden is dominated by spruce monocultures, projecting how climatic change will likely affect the bird communities found in these environments is relevant to understanding the future of southern Swedish forest-associated bird diversity in general.

Here, we use bird habitat associations, in combination with the climatic change modeling results from Huntley et al. (2007, 2008), to consider the potential bird-community composition of spruce monocultures in southern Sweden at the end of this century. Several features of our approach should increase the reliability of the projections. First, we focus on a specific managed habitat type that, due to production benefits, market incentives, longterm planning, and rotation periods of 60-70 years (Carbonnier & Hägglund 1969, Bergquist et al. 2009, Felton et al. 2010a), is likely to persist in southern Sweden over the course of this century, thus reducing confounding influences of climateassociated changes to vegetation structure and floristics. Second, the results of response-surface models are more reliable when applied to regions of low topographical relief, and in regions for which regular and widespread systematic monitoring of species take place (Huntley et al. 2007). Southern Sweden possesses both of these characteristics. Third, as spruce monocultures also occur in more temperate biogeographic zones of Western Europe, we can use bird surveys from these regions to identify species that use spruce monocultures as habitat, but do not as yet breed regularly in Sweden. We discuss our results with respect to the potential costs to forest bird communities from retaining boreal-associated tree species cover in what are increasingly temperate climatic conditions, and raise caveats relevant to our approach and the interpretation of bioclimatic projections.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Bird communities assessed

We used the Swedish standard for defining spruce monocultures, as managed stands of trees in which Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) comprise the majority of the stand's basal area, with no other species



contributing \geq 30% of total basal area (NFI 2007). Using electronic databases and Boolean search terms, we searched the scientific literature for studies that assessed bird-community composition for spruce monocultures in Southern Sweden and north-western Europe. To ensure all potentially relevant studies were considered, we used inclusive search terms: ("Picea abies" or "Norway spruce") and "bird*". The databases used were: Web of Science (http://www.isiwebofknowledge. com/), Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.se/) and Google (http://www.google.se/). Information on bird dietary guilds, foraging ecology, mass and migratory status were obtained from Birds of the Western Palearctic (BWPi 2007) and Lindell (2002).

Three published studies (Nilsson, 1979a, 1979b, Felton *et al.* 2011) surveying a total of nine mature stands were used to provide the bird-community composition and relative abundance for bird species currently associated with spruce monocultures in this region. These studies took place in the south eastern counties of Blekinge, Kalmar, and Kronoberg (Fig. 1), with stands located at least 2 km apart to ensure independence of the surveyed bird communities. The results from these studies enabled us to identify which species

occur in spruce monocultures, and their abundance. Although two of the studies are more than 30 years old, all of the species encountered are consistent with recent surveys (Lindström *et al.* 2012a) and the distribution maps for breeding European bird species in the present climate (Huntley *et al.* 2007, 2008).

We reviewed relevant literature on the habitat associations of all forest birds in Sweden and Western Europe (Lindell 2002, BWPi 2007). This review provided us with a list of European bird species considered to be associated with spruce monocultures, often due to their provision of suitable breeding sites or adequate food resources (Lindell 2002, BWPi 2007). Of the species associated with spruce monocultures, we refer to those projected to find suitable climatic conditions in southern Sweden by the end of this century as "establishing species", though we emphasise that establishment itself is not a given, and address this uncertainty as part of our analysis.

2.2. Background to climatic change projections

Huntley *et al.* (2007, 2008) simulated the potential distributions of bird species breeding in Europe at

the end of this century (2070-2099) for six IPCC climate-change scenarios. Their models were based on presence/absence records of breeding birds within 50 km \times 50 km grid cells used by the European Bird Census Council (Hagemeijer & Blair 1997), and mean monthly climatic data at $0.5^{\circ}C \times 0.5^{\circ}C$ resolution for 1961–1990 (New et al. 1999). Huntley et al. (2007, 2008) fitted response-surface models, using locally-weighted regression, to the distribution of each bird species, using three bioclimatic variables shown to give the best-fitting models of breeding distribution across all species. The three variables used were: mean temperature of the coldest month; annual temperature sum above 5°C; and an estimate of the ratio of actual to potential evapotranspiration. Model performance was assessed using area under the curve of a receiver-operating characteristic plot (Metz 1978). The probability of occurrence for a species for a given grid cell was simulated by the model, and was converted to presence/absence record using the threshold probability that maximises Cohen's K (Cohen 1960). See Huntley et al. (2007, 2008) for a detailed description of these methods.

The reliability of the climate-envelope approach at projecting shifts in species distributions due to climatic change has been questioned (Araújo & Rahbek 2006, Pearson *et al.* 2006, Zimmer 2007). Gregory *et al.* (2009) addressed such concerns by (1) simulating observed species' distributions in one part of a species' range using a model based on data fitted from another part of the species' range; (2) comparing observed changes in species' distribution with projected changes to distribution (eliminates effect of spatial auto-correlation); and (3) comparing the capacity to project changes for species for which climatic envelope models were fitted to all or only part of their geographic range. Their results support

Huntley *et al.* (2007, 2008) and justify the use of climate-envelope approaches as a means of projecting shifts in bird species distributions due to climatic change.

We used a subset of results from Huntley *et al.* (2007, 2008) that were based on the HadCM3 general circulation model (Gordon et al., 2000) and the IPCC 2001 synthesis SRES B2 and A2 emission scenarios (Nakicenovic & Swart 2000, Cubasch et al. 2001). We restricted our assessment to the results provided for the HadCM3 model, be-

cause it is a "middle-of-the-road" model with respect to simulating global mean temperature and precipitation changes (Huntley *et al.* 2008). We used the A2 emission scenario because it assumes rapid human population growth and high emissions, with end-of-the-century CO_2 emissions equating to approximately five times the 1990 values. In contrast, we used the B2 emission scenario because it assumes relatively slower population growth, with more diverse technological advancements contributing to the end-of-the-century CO_2 emissions equating to slightly more than two times the 1990 values (see Cubasch *et al.* 2001, IPCC 2007).

2.3. Avian community composition

We used presence/absence results from Huntley et al. (2007, 2008) for grid cells proximate to the spruce stands considered to determine which of the baseline "present" bird species for each stand were projected to persist under A2 and B2 scenarios. We applied the same approach to identify the spruce-associated bird species projected to arrive in southern Sweden for these scenarios. We then considered two alternative outcomes: one in which no new species would establish in spruce monocultures by the end of this century ("Not establishing species"), and another in which spruce-associated bird species do establish ("Establishing species"). Projected species-richness results were based on the net results of these two outcomes (not establishing or establishing species) for the two climate-change scenarios (A2 or B2).

2.4. Statistical analysis

To assess whether statistically significant differences occur between present and projected species richness, we conducted paired *t*-tests contrasting present species richness with projected species richness as response variables, after testing for normally distributed errors. We repeated this approach for A2 and B2 scenarios, and for the two establishment scenarios. To compensate for the inflated risk of false discovery arising from multiple comparisons, we applied the FDR correction procedure (Benjamini & Hochberg 1995). We also

22

used Cohen's *D* to calculate the effect size of the projected response (Cohen 1988). We ran all statistical tests using R (R Development Core Team 2010).

3. Results

3.1. Bird communities in spruce monocultures, and projected climatic suitability

We recorded a total of 36 bird species to use the managed spruce monocultures of southern Sweden (Nilsson 1979a, 1979b, Felton et al. 2011). The analysis by Huntley et al. (2007, 2008) then enabled us to consider which of these and additional species are likely to shift their distributions to the inclusion or exclusion of southern Sweden over the course of this century for different climate-change scenarios. Of those bird species projected to encounter suitable climate in southern Sweden later on this century, most will not find suitable breeding habitat or resources in spruce production stands (Lindell 2002, BWPi 2007). For example, although the Melodious Warbler (Hippolais polyglotta), Nightingale (Luscinia megarhynchos), and Bonelli's Warbler (Phylloscopus bonelli) are all projected to experience suitable climatic conditions in southern Sweden by the end of this century for either the B2 or A2 scenarios (Huntley et al. 2007, 2008), none of these have habitat associations that overlap with the conditions provided in dense coniferous production forests (BWPi 2007). Firecrest (Regulus ignicapilla) and Short-Toed Treecreeper (Certhia brachydactyla) are projected to experience suitable climates in southern Sweden under the A2 and B2 SRES scenarios (2007, 2008), have a defined breeding habitat association with production coniferous forests (BWPi, 2007), and are found in spruce monocultures in at least part of their present range, albeit at low density (Steverding & Leuschner 2002, Paquet et al. 2006, BWPi 2007, de Warnaffe & Deconchat, 2008). The former of these two is an extremely rare breeder in Sweden, and the latter appears a vagrant (Lindell 2002, Ottosson et al. 2012).

Both of these species have closely-related con-

Fig. 2. Species-richness scores for present bird communities within surveyed Norway spruce monocultures in southern Sweden, and the projected outcomes for B2 (light grey) and A2 (dark grey) climatic-change scenarios (see text for details), with establishment (E) or no establishment (N) of new bird species. Box plots are based on overall means; *P* values are obtained from paired *t* tests after FDR correction. *** = P < 0.001.

geners which breed in spruce monocultures of southern Sweden, viz. the Goldcrest (*Regulus regulus*) and the Eurasian Treecreeper (*Certhia familiaris*). These congeneric pairs often co-occur within stands (Steverding & Leuschner 2002, de Warnaffe & Deconchat 2008). For our analysis, we therefore considered climate projections for the 36 bird species recorded to occur in the managed spruce monocultures of southern Sweden (Nilsson 1979a, 1979b, Felton *et al.* 2011), in combination with projections for the Firecrest and Short-Toed Treecreeper.

For both the B2 and A2 scenarios, the net impact of climatic change on bird species associated with spruce production forests was negative in terms of species richness. Stands were projected to have significantly lower than current species richness for both B2 and A2 scenarios (Fig. 2), regardless of whether the scenarios included the establishment of Firecrest and Short-Toed Treecreeper (B2: t = 6.78, df = 8, P < 0.001, D = -0.54; A2: t = 4.05, df = 8, P < 0.001, D = -0.52), or excluded their establishment (B2: t = 13, df = 8, P < 0.001, D = -0.91; A2: t = 6.95, df = 8, P < 0.001, D = -0.91).



Mean

Mean±SE Mean±1.96*SE

ORNIS FENNICA Vol. 91, 2014

Table 1. Bird species contributing $\ge 1\%$ of relative abundance in spruce monocultures in southern Sweden. Characteristics of the two species projected to both find suitable climate and use spruce monocultures in the future are also included. Species are sorted according to their foraging and dietary ecology. Species are classified according to body mass (g), foraging and dietary ecology during the breeding season, migratory status (R = resident, PM = partial migrant, M = migrant), and the projected relative change in climatic suitability score from present to the A2 and B2 scenarios (Huntley *et al.* 2007, 2008) for each species as an average across the surveyed sites. Categories for climatic suitability change are; Substantial increase (SI) = >25\% increase, Stable (S) = =10\% change, Decrease (D) = >10-25\% decline, Substantial decrease (SD) = >25\% decline, but still present, Unsuitable (U) = unsuitable climate. C/B = conifer/broadleaf.

Scientific name	Common name	"Present" relative abun- dance	Body mass (g)	Migra- tory status	Foraging and diet	B2 sce- nario	A2 sce- nario
Ficedula hypoleuca	European Pied Flycatcher	1%	13.2	М	Arboreal/aerial feeding insectivore	U	U
Pyrrhula pyrrhula	Eurasian Bullfinch	1%	31.1	PM	Arboreal/ground-feeding ominivore	S	S
Parus caeruleus	Blue Tit	1%	11.4	R	Broadleaf-preferring arboreal insectivoore	S	S
Parus major	Great Tit	5%	18.5	R	Broadleaf-preferring arboreal insectivoore	S	S
Phylloscopus trochilus	Willow Warbler	3%	8.9	Μ	Broadleaf-preferring arboreal insectivoore	U	U
Poecile palustris	Marsh Tit	1%	11.8	R	Broadleaf-preferring arboreal insectivoore	S	D
Sitta europaea	Eurasian Nuthatch	1%	23.9	R	Broadleaf-preferring arboreal insectivoore	S	S
Sylvia atricapilla	Blackcap	1%	19.4	М	Broadleaf-preferring arboreal insectivoore	S	S
Garrulus glandarius	Eurasian Jay	1%	180.9	R	Broadleaf-preferring arboreal omnivore	S	S
Certhia familiaris	Eurasian Tree-creeper	5%	8.9	R	C/B generalist arboreal insectivore	U	U
Fringilla coelebs	Chaffinch	21%	24.5	PM	C/B generalist arboreal insectivore	S	S
Dryocopus martius	Black Woodpecker	<1%	318	R	C/B generalist arboreal insectivore	U	U
Dendrocopos major	Great Spotted Woodpecker	r <1%	87.5	R	C/B generalist arboreal insectivore	S	S
Carduelis spinus	Eurasian Siskin	10%	13.2	PM	Conifer-preferring arboreal granivore (nomadic)	U	U
Loxia curvirostra	Red Crossbill	1%	40.4	PM	Conifer-preferring arboreal granivore (nomadic)	U	SD
Parus cristatus	Crested Tit	1%	11.2	R	Conifer-preferring arboreal insectivore	SD	SD
Periparus ater	Coal Tit	5%	9.0	R	Conifer-preferring arboreal insectivore	S	S
Poecile montanus	Willow Tit	2%	11.2	R	Conifer-preferring arboreal insectivore	U	U
Regulus regulus	Goldcrest	7%	5.8	PM	Conifer-preferring arboreal insectivore	SD	SD
Columba palumbus	Common Wood-Pigeon	3%	509.6	Μ	Ground-feeding granivore	S	S
Anthus trivialis	Tree Pipit	1%	23.4	Μ	Ground-feeding insectivore	S	D
Erithacus rubecula	European Robin	15%	16.4	Μ	Ground-feeding insectivore	S	S
Prunella modularis	Dunnock	3%	19.0	Μ	Ground-feeding insectivore	SD	D
Troglodytes troglodytes	Winter Wren	4%	8.9	Μ	Ground-feeding insectivore	S	S
Turdus merula	Eurasian Blackbird	3%	93.2	R	Ground-feeding insectivore	S	S
Turdus philomelos	Song Thrush	5%	69.7	М	Ground-feeding insectivore	S	D
New arrivals							
Certhia brachydactyla	Short-toed Tree-Creeper	-	9.2		C/B generalist arboreal insectivore	SI	SI
Regulus ignicapilla	Firecrest	-	5.6		C/B generalist arboreal insectivore	SI	SI

3.2. Bird species ecology

Bird dietary guilds, foraging ecology, mass, and migratory status are provided in Table 1. As 12 of the 36 species recorded in cited studies (Nilsson 1979a, 1979b, Felton *et al.* 2011) occurred at extremely low densities (e.g., were rare visitors to these stands and absent from all but 1–2 of the surveyed stands) and thus were unlikely to play major roles in the bird-community composition, we restricted this aspect of assessment (but not the sta-

tistical analysis) to those species that contributed at least 1% of total abundance (Table 1). The bird species excluded from this analysis thus were Common Chiffchaff (*Phylloscopus collybita*), Common Raven (*Corvus corax*), Eurasian Sparrowhawk (*Accipiter nisus*), European Greenfinch (*Carduelis chloris*), European Starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*), Long-Tailed Tit (*Aegithalos caudatus*), Mistle Thrush (*Turdus viscivorus*), Redwing (*Turdus iliacus*), Wood Warbler (*Phylloscopus sibilatrix*) and Yellowhammer (*Emberiza citri*- nella). Despite similar occurrence at less than 1% of total abundance, we included Black Woodpecker (Dryocopus martius) and Great Spotted Woodpecker (Dendrocopos major) due to their potential ecological importance via their capacity to create reproduction habitat for cavity-nesting birds. None of the excluded species were projected to experience an increase in climate suitability under the considered climate-change scenarios. Of the species commonly found in spruce monocultures, five were projected to not occur due to a loss of climatic suitability in the region under both the B2 and A2 scenarios. These were Eurasian Tree Creeper (Certhia familiaris), Eurasian Siskin (Carduelis spinus), European Pied Flycatcher (Ficedula hypoleuca), Willow Tit (Poecile montanus), and Willow Warbler (Phylloscopus trochilus).

4. Discussion

4.1. Projected climate-induced bird-community changes

Our assessment indicated a significant decrease in bird species richness for managed spruce monocultures of southern Sweden by the end of this century. We projected declines in species richness for both B2 and A2 SRES scenarios, regardless of the establishment alternative considered for newly-immigrating species. Notably, we found such declines in species richness despite the already depauperate nature of these stands, and the occupation of these stands by a bird fauna pre-selected to be tolerant of human disturbance. Below we discuss the specifics of our projections and potential caveats.

The species we projected to not occur, or to experience the most extensive decreases in climatic suitability for B2 and A2 scenarios (Table 1) included three of the most common species currently found in southern Swedish spruce monocultures, namely Eurasian Siskin, Goldcrest and Eurasian Treecreeper. Considered together, these species represent more than 20% of avian abundance presently encountered in these stands (Table 1). Furthermore, five of the six conifer-associated species were projected to not occur in the considered scenarios, or to experience substantial declines in climatic suitability (with the exception of Coal Tit *Parus ater*; Table 1). The net result is a projected absence of all conifer-associated arboreal granivores and three of the four conifer-associated arboreal insectivores from these stands. This notably equates with a decline in both functional richness (the diversity and range of functional traits possessed by different species; Mayfield & Daily 2005, Wright *et al.* 2006) and functional redundancy (when a given function is fulfilled by multiple species; Walker 1992, 1995), which are important determinants of resilience of ecological systems (Peterson *et al.* 1998, Allen *et al.* 2005).

In contrast to the projected reductions of conifer specialists, among the six broadleaf-associated insectivores, we projected only Willow Warbler to decrease considerably according to the climatic suitability in the B2 and A2 scenarios (Table 1). The reason why a larger proportion of conifer-associated species was projected to be susceptible to climatic change may relate to the boreo-nemoral location of these coniferous stands. In southern Sweden, current management practices are promoting "borealised" forests (Emmer et al. 1998) within an increasingly "non-boreal" climatic zone (Koca et al. 2006). This has resulted in a managedforest type inhabited by a significant number of boreal-forest-associated bird species, which appear to be more proximate to their limits of climatic tolerance, and generally less well suited to the direction the regional climate is projected to take than are their broadleaf-associated counterparts.

Any projected species loss in these managed forests may be compensated for by concomitant projected increases in newly-establishing birds from more temperate western European climates. However, only two bird species - the Short-Toed Treecreeper and the Firecrest - are likely to colonize spruce monocultures under the assessed scenarios (Table 1). Furthermore, even these two species are not specialized on these environments. Although they inhabit spruce production forests within their present range (Steverding & Leuschner 2002, Paquet et al. 2006, de Warnaffe & Deconchat 2008), neither of these species is a conifer specialist, nor are they particularly well adapted to structurally simplified stands (BWPi 2007). The Short-Toed Treecreeper is most commonly associated with tall rough-barked broadleaved tree species, whereas Firecrest prefers mixed forests with a distinct understorey (BWPi 2007). These ecological requirements do not align with the environments found in spruce monocultures in southern Sweden. Furthermore, these ecological requirements are not characteristic of the Eurasian Treecreeper or Goldcrest. As a result, of all the species projected to newly encounter suitable climatic space within southern Sweden this century (Huntley et al. 2007, 2008), only two inhabit spruce monocultures, and neither are likely to be able to fulfill similar ecological roles to those occupied by their departing congeners, either with respect to abundance or ecological function. In short, if spruce monocultures in southern Sweden lose species due to climate change, replacement candidates among northward-shifting bird communities may not compensate this loss.

These results raise important issues for forestassociated avian biodiversity in this region. Climate change appears likely to reduce the climatic suitability for a number of bird species found in these spruce monocultures over the forthcoming century (however see caveats below), primarily through the loss or decline of conifer-associated species. In contrast, broadleaf-associated species were projected to be relatively resilient to climatic change for the considered projections (Table 1). In combination, these findings indicate that climate change may exacerbate the differences in biodiversity value between spruce monocultures and mixed/broadleaved forests in this region during this century. As spruce monocultures are already associated with low ecological values in this region (Berg et al. 1994, Fridman 2000, Chapin et al. 2007, Felton et al. 2010b, Gärdenfors 2010), any further reduction in the capacity of these dominant production forests to provide suitable habitat for forest species is relevant to the future of Swedish avian biodiversity in general. Furthermore, these results highlight how existing biodiversity stressors (i.e., intensive forest management) may interact with climate change to escalate regional biodiversity loss (see Driscoll et al. 2011).

4.2. Caveats in the model predictions

The underlying assumption of bioclimatic projections is that if climatic conditions within all or parts of a species' present distribution are projected to shift outside the range experienced within that current distribution, then the species is unlikely to thrive in those areas. The processes that drive such induced declines in a species include habitat change (Warren et al. 2001, Julliard et al. 2004), physiological limitations (Angilletta et al. 2010, Fuller et al. 2010, Boyles et al. 2011, Oswald & Arnold 2012), species interactions (Van der Putten et al. 2010), diseases (LaPointe et al. 2005) and synergistic effects (Drake et al. 2005). However, the circumstance considered in our study is distinct, in that the climate is projected to change while the dominant vegetation cover - a key determinant of suitable habitat for terrestrial species - is artificially retained through human management. This disconnects two important components of a species' niche that are normally coupled during periods of relative climatic stasis. This fact has the potential to hamper the accuracy of our climate-induced projections. Specifically, if individuals of a species are capable of persisting under a wider range of climatic conditions than thee current distribution of the species indicates, then retention of the dominant vegetation may allow the species to persist in areas where climatic conditions for the scenarios considered shift outside the range experienced within the species' current distribution. Our projections may thus overestimate the extent to which the bird communities of these spruce monocultures will be affected by climatic change over the forthcoming century.

The accuracy of these projections may also be reduced if a species has been consistently eradicated (e.g., due to habitat loss or persecution) from areas experiencing a particular range of combinations of climatic conditions. In such cases a bioclimatic model will erroneously attribute the absence of the species to that particular combination of climatic conditions, whereas in fact the absence was due to non-climate-related human activity. In such cases, any resultant projections could mistakenly indicate a species' absence from locations where climatic conditions may in fact be suitable. We cannot rule out this possibility. However, the likelihood of this error is reduced by the fact that all of the species we considered are extensively distributed throughout much of Europe (Huntley et al. 2007), and therefore are less likely to have been consistently eradicated from all those locations overlapping with a particular suite of climatic conditions.

Recent observations of population declines for European bird species at the warmest edge of their distribution (Jiguet et al. 2010a) may be indicative of bird species experiencing temperature-related physiological stress (Jiguet et al. 2010b, Oswald & Arnold 2012). If this is the causal mechanism behind populations exhibiting the so-called trailingedge retractions to their previous distribution (Maggini et al. 2011), then the habitat provided by mature spruce monocultures may buffer against some of the species losses indicated in our projections. As currently managed, the vegetation of Norway spruce monocultures is very dense during some periods of the rotation (Linder & Östlund 1998), with the resultant understorey microclimate capable of ameliorating local climatic extremes (Merklova & Bednarova 2007). If so, then the climate-change projections for these locations may diverge sufficiently from the microclimates actually experienced by birds within these stands to enable bird species to persist even when projections indicate they would not (Fuller et al. 2010). In addition, this could contribute to a lag in the response rate of bird communities to climatic change, as has recently been observed throughout Europe (Brommer et al. 2012, Devictor et al. 2012, Lindström et al. 2012b). The degree to which such processes would alter the outcomes of our projections requires further research and is primarily speculative at this stage.

We solely focused on species associated with a managed habitat type (i.e., Norway spruce monocultures) that is currently actively created (planted) and maintained, and expected to remain in this region over the course of this century. By so doing we attempted to account for one of the primary limitations to species tracking climate change, viz. the availability of suitable habitat (Barbet-Massin et al. 2012, Barnagaud et al. 2012). However, other studies have emphasised the capacity of extensive land-use change to override observed impacts on bird-species populations (Julliard et al. 2004, Eglington & Pearce-Higgins 2012). We therefore acknowledge that, even accepting that Norway spruce monocultures will remain in southern Sweden throughout this century, substantial changes to management approaches would have implications for these bird species communities that to some extent could rival those due to climatic change. These include, for instance, rotation length, fertilization intensity, or thinning regimes (Nilsson *et al.* 2011). At this stage, such potential changes to Norway spruce management remain experimental, and due to the slow rate at which production forestry operations can shift direction (Felton *et al.* 2010a), they probably only alter a small percentage of production stands in the time period considered.

4.3. Conclusions

Although there is increasing empirical support for spatial responses being a predominant adaptation strategy among terrestrial species to climatic change (Parmesan & Yohe 2003, Root et al. 2003, Devictor et al. 2008, Green et al. 2008, Gregory et al. 2009, Jiguet et al. 2010a, Thomas 2010, Brommer et al. 2012, Devictor et al. 2012, Lindström et al. 2012b), our results should not be conflated with predictions. The response of any species to climate change represents a complex interplay of vulnerabilities and opportunities (Williams et al. 2008), with the net result being species specific. As such, our results are best considered as indications of the direction and potential extent to which climatic change alters these bird communities, limited for the scenarios, locations and habitat type considered. Accepting the caveats and limitations specific to our approach (see above), and that all bioclimate projections will be to some extent inaccurate, our results indicate that climate change reduces the avian diversity of Norway spruce monocultures in southern Sweden. We emphasize that Norway spruce monocultures are the most prevalent forest type in southern Sweden, and also one of the most prevalent production forest types in Europe (Forest Europe 2011). Our results thus appear relevant to European conservation biologists, forest managers and policy makers concerned about the capacity of such plantations proximate to their recent natural low-latitude range boundaries - to maintain their avian diversity this century.

Conservation biologists need to consider the projected forest-dependent species pool, if biodiversity is to be maintained over the forthcoming century within dominant land-uses, such as production forestry. For southern Sweden, further studies are needed to confirm whether this requires a shift towards managed forests with a higher share of broad-leaved trees better suited to the habitat requirements of both present and colonizing bird species in the region.

Acknowledgements. BH and YCC thank the Natural Environment Research Council (GR9/04270) and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds for financial support for the underlying modeling studies. We are grateful for constructive comments provided by the editors of Ornis Fennica, and two anonymous reviewers, all of which substantially improved the final version of this paper.

Inverkan av antropogen klimatförändring på sydsvenska fåglesamhällen i monokulturer av gran: kommer det artfattiga att ytterligare utarmas?

Forskare har nyligen modellerat hur europeiska fågelarters utbredning kan komma att påverkas av eventuella klimatförändringar under detta århundrade. Resultaten visar att många arters utbredning sannolikt kommer att förskjutas norrut med hundratals kilometer. Vi presenterar här en analys av vilka konsekvenser sådana förskjutningar kan ha för fågelfaunan i södra Sveriges monokulturer av gran (*Picea abies*), en skogstyp som med människans hjälp sannolikt kommer att bestå även under ett förändrat klimat.

Vår analys ger tre viktiga slutsatser. För det första, är det endast två arter med monokulturer av gran som lämplig livsmiljö som kan tänkas "vandra norrut" och etablera sig i södra Sverige under detta århundrade. För det andra, beräknas antalet arter i denna skogstyp minska totalt sett, vilket i så fall ytterligare utarmar mångfalden i dessa bestånd. För det tredje, förväntas alla fröätande arter kopplade till barrskog försvinna från denna skogstyp i södra Sverige, liksom tre av fyra insektsätare, vilket leder till en nedgång i både funktionell diversitet och redundans.

Vi diskuterar flera källor för osäkerhet i våra resultat, bland annat att bioklimatiska förutsägelser kan minska i precision när den dominerande vegetationstypen behålls artificiellt. Vi diskuterar vidare vilka implikationer våra resultat kan ha för fågelfaunan i brukade granskogar, som är den vanligaste skogstypen i Sverige och i många andra regioner i Europa.

References

- Allen, C. R., Gunderson, L. & Johnson, A. R. 2005: The use of discontinuities and functional groups to assess relative resilience in complex systems. — Ecosystems 8: 958–966.
- Angilletta, J. M. J., Cooper, B. S., Schuler, M. S. & Boyles, J. G. 2010: The evolution of thermal physiology in endotherms. — Frontiers in Bioscience E2: 861–881.
- Araújo, M. B. & Rahbek, C. 2006: How does climate change affect biodiversity? — Science 313: 1396– 1397.
- Araujo, M. B., Thuiller, W. & Yoccoz, N. G. 2009: Reopening the climate envelope reveals macroscale associations with climate in European birds. — Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106: E45– E46.
- Barbet-Massin, M., Thuiller, W. & Jiguet, F. 2012: The fate of European breeding birds under climate, land-use and dispersal scenarios. — Global Change Biology 18: 881–890.
- Barnagaud, J.-Y., Devictor, V., Jiguet, F., Barbet-Massin, M., Le Viol, I. & Archaux, F. 2012: Relating Habitat and Climatic Niches in Birds. — Plos One 7.
- Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. 1995: Controlling the false discovery rate – A practical and powerful apporach to multiple testing. — Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Methodological 57: 289–300.
- Berg, A., Ehnstrom, B., Gustafsson, L., Hallingback, T., Jonsell, M. & Weslien, J. 1994: Threatened plant, animal, and fungus species in Swedish forests – Distribution and habitat associations. — Conservation Biology 8: 718–731.
- Bergquist, J., Lof, M. & Orlander, G. 2009: Effects of roe deer browsing and site preparation on performance of planted broadleaved and conifer seedlings when using temporary fences. — Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research 24: 308–317.
- Boyles, J. G., Seebacher, F., Smit, B. & McKechnie, A. E. 2011: Adaptive thermoregulation in endotherms aay alter responses to climate change. — Integrative and Comparative Biology 51: 676–690.
- Brommer, J. E., Lehikoinen, A. & Valkama, J. 2012: The breeding Ranges of Central European and Arctic bird species move poleward. — Plos One 7: e43648.
- BWPi. 2007: Birds of the Western Palearctic interactive. pp. DVD. — Bird Guides Oxford University Press, Sheffield.
- Carbonnier, C. & Hägglund, B. 1969: A comparison between the volume and value of the yield from beech and Norway spruce. Vol. 17. — Royal College of Forestry, Sweden.
- Chapin, F. S., Danell, K., Elmqvist, T., Folke, C. & Fresco, N. 2007: Managing climate change impacts to enhance the resilience and sustainability of Fennoscandian forests. — Ambio 36: 528–533.
- Cohen, J. 1960: A coefficient of agreement for nominal

scales. — Educational and Psychological Measurement 20: 37–46.

- Cohen, J. 1988: Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. — Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ.
- Cubasch, U., Meehl, G. A., Boer, G. J., Stouffer, R. J., Dix, M., Senior, C. A., Raper, S. & Yap, K. S. 2001: Projections of future climate change. —In Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. (eds. Houghton, J., Ding, Y., Griggs, D. J., Noguer, M. & van der Linden, P. J.): 525–582. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- de Warnaffe, G. D. & Deconchat, M. 2008: Impact of four silvicultural systems on birds in the Belgian Ardenne: implications for biodiversity in plantation forests. — Biodiversity and Conservation 17: 1041–1055.
- Devictor, V., Julliard, R., Couvet, D. & Jiguet, F. 2008: Birds are tracking climate warming, but not fast enough. — Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Ser. B 275: 2743–2748.
- Devictor, V., van Swaay, C., Brereton, T., Brotons, L., Chamberlain, D., Heliola, J., Herrando, S., Julliard, R., Kuussaari, M., Lindstrom, A., Reif, J., Roy, D. B., Schweiger, O., Settele, J., Stefanescu, C., Van Strien, A., Van Turnhout, C., Vermouzek, Z., WallisDeVries, M., Wynhoff, I. & Jiguet, F. 2012: Differences in the climatic debts of birds and butterflies at a continental scale. — Nature Climate Change 2: 121–124.
- Drake, B. G., Hughes, L., Johnson, E. A., Seibel, B. A., Cochrane, M. A., Fabry, V. J., Rasse, D. & Hannah, L. 2005. Synergistic effects. —In Climate change and biodiversity. (eds. Lovejoy, T. E. & Hannah, L.): 296– 316. Yale University press, Ann Arbor.
- Driscoll, D., Felton, A., Gibbons, P., Felton, A., Munro, N. & Lindenmayer, D. 2011: Priorities in policy and management when existing biodiversity stressors interact with climate-change. — Climatic Change: 1–25.
- Eglington, S. M. & Pearce-Higgins, J. W. 2012: Disentangling the Relative Importance of Changes in Climate and Land-Use Intensity in Driving Recent Bird Population Trends. — PloS One 7.
- Emmer, I. M., Fanta, J., Kobus, A. T., Kooijman, A. & Sevink, J. 1998: Reversing borealization as a means to restore biodiversity in Central-European mountain forests – an example from the Krkonose Mountains, Czech Republic. — Biodiversity and Conservation 7: 229–247.
- Fearer, T. M., Prisley, S. P., Stauffer, D. F. & Keyser, P. D. 2007: A method for integrating the Breeding Bird Survey and Forest Inventory and Analysis databases to evaluate forest bird-habitat relationships at multiple spatial scales. — Forest Ecology and Management 243: 128–143.
- Felton, A., Andersson, E., Ventorp, D. & Lindbladh, M. 2011: A comparison of avian diversity in spruce monocultures and spruce-birch polycultures in Southern Sweden. — Silva Fennica 45: 1143–1150.
- Felton, A., Ellingson, L., Andersson, E., Drossler, L. & Blennow, K. 2010a: Adapting production forests in southern Sweden to climate change constraints and

opportunities for risk spreading. — International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management 2: 84–97.

- Felton, A., Lindbladh, M., Brunet, J. & Fritz, O. 2010b: Replacing coniferous monocultures with mixed-species production stands: An assessment of the potential benefits for forest biodiversity in northern Europe. — Forest Ecology and Management 260: 939–947.
- Forest Europe, U., FAO 2011: State of Europe's Forests 2011: Status and Trends in Sustainable Forest Management in Europe. — Ministerial Conference on the Protection of Forests in Europe, Oslo. 344 pp.
- Fridman, J. 2000: Conservation of forest in Sweden: a strategic ecological analysis. — Biological Conservation 96: 95–103.
- Fuller, A., Dawson, T., Helmuth, B., Hetem, R. S., Mitchell, D. & Maloney, S. K. 2010: Physiological mechanisms in coping with climate change. — Physiological and Biochemical Zoology 83: 713–720.
- Gerell, R., Gustafsson, L., Ingelög, T., Johansson, O., Lindberg, P. S., Linder, P., Löfroth, M., Påhlsson, L., Rafstedt, T. & Rosen, E. 1996. Ecosystems. —In National atlas of Sweden: geography of plants and animals. (eds. Gustafsson, L. & Ahlen, I.): 39–65. Stockholm.
- Gordon, C., Cooper, C., Senior, C. A., Banks, H., Gregory, J. M., Johns, T. C., Mitchell, J. F. B. & Wood, R. A. 2000: The simulation of SST, sea ice extents and ocean heat transports in a version of the Hadley Centre coupled model without flux adjustments. — Climate Dynamics 16: 147–168.
- Green, R. E., Collingham, Y. C., Willis, S. G., Gregory, R. D., Smith, K. W. & Huntley, B. 2008: Performance of climate envelope models in retrodicting recent changes in bird population size from observed climatic change. — Biology Letters 4: 599–602.
- Gregory, R. D., Willis, S. G., Jiguet, F., Voøíšek, P., Klvaòová, A., van Strien, A., Huntley, B., Collingham, Y. C., Couvet, D. & Green, R. E. 2009: An Indicator of the impact of climatic change on European bird populations. — PLoS ONE 4: e4678.
- Gustafsson, L. & Perhans, K. 2010: Biodiversity Conservation in Swedish forests: ways forward for a 30-yearold multi-scaled approach. — Ambio 39: 546–554.
- Gärdenfors, U. 2010:Rödlistade arter i Sverige 2010 The 2010 Red List of Swedish Species. — ArtDatabanken, Uppsala.
- Hagemeijer, E. & Blair, M. (eds.) 1997: The EBCC atlas of European breeding birds: their distribution and abundance. — T & A.D. Poyser, London.
- Huntley, B., Collingham, Y. C., Willis, S. G. & Green, R. E. 2008: Potential Impacts of Climatic Change on European Breeding Birds. — PLoS ONE 3: e1439.
- Huntley, B., Green, R. E., Collingham, Y. C. & Willis, S.
 G. 2007:A climatic atlas of European breeding birds.
 Durham University, The RSPB, Lynx Edicions, Barcelona.

- IPCC 2007: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Fourth Assessment Report.
- Jiguet, F., Devictor, V., Ottvall, R., Van Turnhout, C., Van der Jeugd, H. & Lindstrom, A. 2010a: Bird population trends are linearly affected by climate change along species thermal ranges. — Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Ser. B 277: 3601–3608.
- Jiguet, F., Gregory, R. D., Devictor, V., Green, R. E., Vorisek, P., Van Strien, A. & Couvet, D. 2010b: Population trends of European common birds are predicted by characteristics of their climatic niche. — Global Change Biology 16: 497–505.
- Julliard, R., Jiguet, F. & Couvet, D. 2004: Common birds facing global changes: what makes a species at risk? — Global Change Biology 10: 148–154.
- Koca, D., Smith, B. & Sykes, M. T. 2006: Modelling regional climate change effects on potential natural ecosystems in Sweden. — Climatic Change 78: 381–406.
- LaPointe, D., T.L., B. & Atkinson, C. 2005. Avian malaria, climate change, and native birds of Hawaii. —In Climate change and biodiversity. (eds. Lovejoy, T. E. & Hannah, L.): 317–321. Yale University Press, Ann Arbor.
- Lindbladh, M., Bradshaw, R. & Holmqvist, B. H. 2000: Pattern and process in south Swedish forests during the last 3000 years, sensed at stand and regional scales. — Journal of Ecology 88: 113–128.
- Lindbladh, M. & Foster, D. R. 2010: Dynamics of long-lived foundation species: the history of *Quercus* in southern Scandinavia. — Journal of Ecology 98: 1330– 1345.
- Lindbladh, M., Hultberg, T., Widerberg, M. K. & Felton, A. 2011: Halland's forests during the last 300 years: a review of Malmstrom (1939). — Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research 26: 81–90.
- Lindell, L. 2002:Sveriges Fåglar (Birds of Sweden). Sveriges Ornitologiska Förening, Stockholm.
- Linder, P. & Östlund, L. 1998: Structural changes in three mid-boreal Swedish forest landscapes, 1885–1996. — Biological Conservation 85: 9–19.
- Lindström, Å., Green, M. & Ottvall, R. 2012a: Övervakning av fåglarnas populationsutveckling. Årsrapport för 2011 – Monitoring of bird population trends. Annual report for 2011. — Lund University, Lund. 82 pp.
- Lindström, Å., Green, M., Paulson, G., Smith, H. G. & Devictor, V. 2012b: Rapid changes in bird community composition at multiple temporal and spatial scales in response to recent climate change. — Ecography 316: 313–322.
- Luoto, M., Virkkala, R. & Heikkinen, R. K. 2007: The role of land cover in bioclimatic models depends on spatial resolution. — Global Ecology and Biogeography 16: 34–42.
- Maggini, R., Lehmann, A., Kery, M., Schmid, H., Beniston, M., Jenni, L. & Zbinden, N. 2011: Are Swiss birds tracking climate change? Detecting elevational shifts using response curve shapes. — Ecological Modelling 222: 21–32.

- Mayfield, M. M. & Daily, G. C. 2005: Countryside biogeography of neotropical herbaceous and shrubby plants. — Ecological Applications 15: 423–439.
- Merklova, L. & Bednarova, E. 2007: Microclimate in a spruce and beech stand. — In Bioclimatology and natural hazards (eds. Střelcová, K., Škvarenina, J. & Blaženec, M.). Poľana nad Detvou, Slovakia. URL: http://www.cbks.cz/sbornikpolana07/pdf/merklova_ bednarova.pdf
- Metz, C. E. 1978: Basic principles of ROC analysis. Seminars in Nuclear Medicine 8: 283–298.
- Nakicenovic, N. & Swart, R. 2000: Emissions scenarios. Special report of the Intergovernmental panel on climate change. — Intergovernmental panel on climate change. 570 pp.
- New, M., Hulme, M. & Jones, P. 1999: Representing twentieth-century space-time climate variability. Part I: Development of a 1961–90 mean monthly terrestrial climatology. — Journal of Climate 12: 829–856.
- NFI. 2007: The Swedish National Forest Inventory. Vol. 2008. — Department of Resource Management Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Umeå.
- Nilsson, S. G. 1979a: Density and species richness of some forest bird communities in south Sweden. — Oikos 33: 392–401.
- Nilsson, S. G. 1979b: Effect of forest management on the breeding bird community in southern Sweden. — Biological Conservation 16: 135–143.
- Nilsson, U., Fahlvik, N., Johansson, U., Lundstrom, A. & Rosvall, O. 2011: Simulation of the effect of intensive forest management on forest production in Sweden. — Forests 2: 373–393.
- Oswald, S. A. & Arnold, J. M. 2012: Direct impacts of climatic warming on heat stress in endothermic species: seabirds as bioindicators of changing thermoregulatory constraints. — Integrative Zoology 7: 121–136.
- Ottosson, U., Ottvall, R., Elmberg, J., Green, M., Gustafsson, R., Haas, F., Holmqvist, N., Lindström, Å., Nilsson, L., Svensson, M., Svensson, S. & Tjernberg, M. (eds.) 2012: Fåglarna i Sverige antal och förekomst. — Sveriges Ornitologiska Förening. (In Swedish with English summary)
- Paquet, J. Y., Vandevyvre, X., Delahaye, L. & Rondeux, J. 2006: Bird assemblages in a mixed woodland-farmland landscape: The conservation value of silviculture-dependant open areas in plantation forest. — Forest Ecology and Management 227: 59–70.
- Parmesan, C. & Yohe, G. 2003: A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. — Nature 421: 37–42.
- Pearson, R. G., Thuiller, W., Araújo, M. B., Martinez-Meyer, E., Brotons, L., McClean, C., Miles, L., Segurado, P., Dawson, T. P. & Lees, D. C. 2006: Model-based uncertainty in species range prediction. — Journal of Biogeography 33: 1704–1711.
- Peterson, G., Allen, C. R. & Holling, C. S. 1998: Ecological resilience, biodiversity, and scale. — Ecosystems 1: 6–18.

- R Development Core Team 2010: R: a language and environment for statistical computing. — R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Root, T. L., Price, J. T., Hall, K. R., Schneider, S. H., Rosenzweig, C. & Pounds, J. A. 2003: Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. — Nature 421: 57–60.
- SFA 2009: Swedish Statistical Yearbook of Forestry 2009. — Jönköping.
- Steverding, M. & Leuschner, C. 2002: Effects of Norway spruce monocultures on the structure of bird communities in a submontane-montane forested landscape of Central Germany. — Forstwissenschaftliches Centralblatt 121: 83–96.
- Thomas, C. D. 2010: Climate, climate change and range boundaries. — Diversity and Distributions 16: 488– 495.
- Walker, B. 1995: Conserving biological diversity through ecosystem resilience. — Conservation Biology 9: 747–752.
- Walker, B. H. 1992: Biodiversity and ecological redundancy. — Conservation Biology 6: 18–23.
- Van der Putten, W. H., Macel, M. & Visser, M. E. 2010:

Predicting species distribution and abundance responses to climate change: why it is essential to include biotic interactions across trophic levels. — Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Ser. B 365: 2025–2034.

- Warren, M. S., Hill, J. K., Thomas, J. A., Asher, J., Fox, R., Huntley, B., Roy, D. B., Telfer, M. G., Jeffcoate, S., Harding, P., Jeffcoate, G., Willis, S. G., Greatorex-Davies, J. N., Moss, D. & Thomas, C. D. 2001: Rapid responses of British butterflies to opposing forces of climate and habitat change. — Nature 414: 65–69.
- Williams, S. E., Shoo, L. P., Isaac, J. L., Hoffmann, A. A. & Langham, G. 2008: Towards an Integrated Framework for Assessing the Vulnerability of Species to Climate Change. — PLoS Biol 6: e325.
- Wright, J. P., Naeem, S., Hector, A., Lehman, C., Reich, P. B., Schmid, B. & Tilman, D. 2006: Conventional functional classification schemes underestimate the relationship with ecosystem functioning. — Ecology Letters 9: 111–120.
- Zimmer, C. 2007: Predicting oblivion: are existing models up to the task? — Science 317.