A statistical analysis of well production rates from UK oil and gas fields - Implications for 1 2 carbon capture and storage

3

Simon A. Mathias¹, Jon G. Gluyas¹, Eric J. Mackay², Ward H. Goldthorpe³ 4

5 1. Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Durham, UK

2. Institute of Petroleum Engineering, Heriot Watt University, Edinburgh, UK 6

7 3. Carbon Capture and Storage Programme, The Crown Estate, London, UK

8

9 Abstract

10

The number of wells required to dispose of global CO₂ emissions by injection into geological 11 formations is of interest as a key indicator of feasible deployment rate, scale and cost. Estimates 12 13 have largely been driven by forecasts of sustainable injection rate from mathematical modelling of 14 the CO₂ injection process. Recorded fluid production rates from oil and gas fields can be considered 15 an observable analogue in this respect. The article presents statistics concerning Cumulative 16 average Bulk fluid Production (CBP) rates per well for 104 oil and gas fields from the UK offshore 17 region. The term bulk fluid production is used here to describe the composite volume of oil, gas and water produced at reservoir conditions. Overall, the following key findings are asserted: (1) CBP 18 19 statistics for UK offshore oil and gas fields are similar to those observed for CO₂ injection projects 20 worldwide. (2) 50% probability of non-exceedance (PNE) for CBP for oil and gas fields without 21 water flood is around 0.35 Mt/yr/well of CO₂ equivalent. (3) There is negligible correlation 22 between reservoir transmissivity and CBP. (4) Study of net and gross CBP for water flood fields 23 suggest a 50% PNE that brine co-production during CO₂ injection could lead to a 20% reduction in 24 the number of wells required.

25

27 Introduction

28

29 There has been on-going discussion in the literature concerning the number of injection wells that 30 will be needed to store global CO₂ emissions in geological formations (Ehlig-Economides and 31 Economides, 2010; Cavanagh et al., 2010; 2011; Hosa et al., 2011; Gammer et al., 2011). Confidence concerning estimates of number of wells required can be increased by consideration of 32 33 previous experience. However, commercial-scale CO₂ injection data remains scarce (Michael et al., 34 2010; Michael et al., 2011; Hosa et al., 2011). Consequently, current estimates heavily rely on 35 numerical simulation (e.g., Pickup et al., 2011; Jin et al., 2012, Zhou et al., 2012). A particular issue 36 with numerical simulation concerns the excessive grid-resolution required to ensure numerically 37 converged results (Pickup et al., 2012). This in turn leads to prohibitive computational requirements in the context of sensitivity analysis for uncertainty propagation (Mathias et al., 2013a: Hedley et 38 39 al., 2013) although this problem can be partially alleviated by the use of simplified analytical 40 solutions (e.g. Mathias et al., 2011b; Mathias et al., 2013b).

41

42 This article seeks to gain further insight concerning the estimation of CO_2 injection rates by 43 undertaking a statistical analysis of production data from 119 UK offshore oil and gas fields 44 (DECC, 2013) (see Figure 1). The conclusions from this work provide new information for 45 forecasting likely injection rates, and therefore numbers of wells, for future CO_2 storage projects 46 located on the UK continental shelf.

47

The article commences with an explanation concerning the need and methodology for converting data for standard conditions (60° F and 14.7 psi) to an equivalent combined volumetric flow rate of oil, gas and water at reservoir conditions. A discussion is then provided to explain the choice of using the cumulative average production rate after 10 years. Production data statistics for UK offshore oil and gas fields are compared with those for CO₂ injection projects world-wide. Water flood data are used to gain further insights concerning the usefulness of brine co-production during
CO₂ injection. An investigation is then performed to look at how production statistics vary for
different reservoir types. Finally, the article summarises and concludes.

56

57 Formatting of DECC production data

58

Time series data for all UK offshore oil and gas fields can be obtained from DECC (2013) (UK Department of Energy and Climate Change) including both monthly production and injection data for oil, gas and water. An example of such a data set is shown for the Balmoral oil field in Figure 2a. The DECC (2013) data is reported at standard conditions (SC), i.e., 60°F and 14.7 psi (Ahmed, 2001, p. 33). Also note to obtain an average production rate per production well it is necessary to divide the DECC (2013) data by the number of production wells in the field. For example, the Balmoral field has 14 production wells (DECC, 2007).

66

Note that the number of production wells in a given field often increases with field life. However,the history of well development for each field studied was not available for this investigation.

69

70 At reservoir conditions (RC) the solubility of gas in oil is much higher. Once the oil is brought to 71 SC, the gas solubility is significantly reduced and gas comes out of solution. Most of the gas produced in UK oil fields has been derived by this process. In reservoir engineering it is typical to 72 73 quantify gas solubility in terms of a gas-oil-ratio at SC, R_s , which is measured in standard cubic ft 74 of gas per standard barrel of oil (SCF/BBL). Figure 3a shows a plot of R_s as a function of pressure 75 for the Balmoral field, assuming a correlation function presented by Glaso (1980) (see Eq. 2.73 of 76 Ahmed, 2001). Note that beyond 1460 psi, R_s remains constant. This critical pressure for a given oil and gas is referred to as the bubble point, defined as the pressure at which a bubble of gas appears 77 78 on depressurising.

Also of interest is the gas expansion factor, E_g (-), defined as the volume of gas at SC divided by the volume of gas at RC. Figure 3a also shows E_g (-) for Balmoral according to the Peng and Robinson (1977) equation of state (EOS) assuming critical pressures and temperatures as calculated using the correlations of Standing (1977) (see Eqs. 2.18 and 2.19 of Ahmed, 2001). Note that E_g increases with increasing reservoir pressure due to the increase in gas density associated with compression.

86

87 A unit volume of oil at RC results in a smaller produced volume at SC due to the loss of gas from 88 solution when the pressure is lowered. Also, at RC, once gas is dissolved an increase in reservoir 89 pressure leads to a slight reduction in oil volume due to compression of the oil phase. The oil 90 formation volume factor, B_o (-), is defined as the volume of oil at RC divided by the resulting 91 volume of oil at SC. Figure 3a shows a plot of B_o as a function of pressure for Balmoral, assuming 92 another correlation function presented by Glaso (1980) (see Eq. 2.87 of Ahmed, 2001), to account 93 for gas exsolution, in conjunction with the correlation of Spivey et al. (2007), to account for oil 94 compression. Above the bubble point, as pressure decreases, B_o increases because the volume of oil 95 is increasing in the reservoir due to decompression. However, once bubble point is reached, B_o 96 decreases with decreasing pressure because gas within the oil is coming out of solution.

97

Note that in principle, B_o should go to unity as pressure approaches 14.7 psi. This is not the case for Glaso's correlation because the analysis is based only on experimental data observed at bubble point pressure. Nevertheless, Glaso's correlation is considered to be one of the more accurate correlations available (Ahmed, 2001, Chapter 2) and is pertinent to our study given that is based on oils exclusively from the North Sea. Furthermore, in the analysis that follows, the formation volume factors are applied at initial reservoir pressures, which are typically above or near bubble point.

105 The blue line in Figure 2b shows monthly oil production at RC. This was obtained by multiplying 106 monthly oil production at SC by the formation volume factor, B_o , based on the initial reservoir 107 pressure. Also of interest is the cumulative average rate (in green). This gives information 108 concerning how the lifetime average rate changes with time. It is clear that there is a gradual decline 109 in the cumulative average oil production rate, which is due to the depletion of the oil within the 110 reservoir.

- 111
- However, to draw insights concerning CO_2 injection, it is of greater interest to consider an estimate of bulk (i.e., oil, gas and water) monthly fluid production at RC, V_b (BBL), found from

(1)

114

115
$$V_b = B_o V_o + (V_g - R_s V_o) / E_g + B_w V_w$$

116

117 where V_o (BBL), V_g (SCF), V_w (BBL) are the monthly productions of oil, gas and water, 118 respectively, at SC and B_w (-) is the formation water volume factor (similar to B_o but for formation 119 water). The formation water volume factor can be obtained by consideration of the density 120 correlations presented by Batzle and Wang (1992).

121

122 Cumulative average Bulk fluid Production, $\overline{V_b}$, (CBP) for Balmoral is shown as a red line in Figure 123 2b. As can be seen, $\overline{V_b}$ is virtually independent of the oil production rate and dependent more on the 124 water injection that occurs during the first 10 years (compare Figure 2a).

125

126 Another quantity of interest is the net bulk fluid production, $V_{b,net}$ (BBL), which is found from

127

128
$$V_{b,net} = V_b - V_{g,inj} / E_g - B_w V_{w,inj}$$
(2)

129

130 where $V_{g,inj}$ (SCF), $V_{w,inj}$ (BBL) are the monthly injections of gas and water, respectively, at SC.

Cumulative average net bulk fluid production, $\overline{V}_{b,net}$, for Balmoral is shown as a turquoise line in 132 Figure 2b. Again it can be said that, $\overline{V}_{b,net}$ is virtually independent of the oil production rate. 133 134 However, net fluid production is negative at the beginning of operations due to large quantities of 135 water injection. But after 20 years, net fluid production starts to converge although still exhibiting a moderate decline. This latter decline may be due to constraints associated with fluid production in 136 137 the reservoir associated with bulk transmissivity and compressibility of the reservoir as a whole. 138 Alternatively, it could also be case that the decline is due to increasing water cut in the producers, 139 thus increasing the gravity head in the well and thereby reducing the flow rates or even leading to 140 lift die out in some wells.

141

142 It is reasonable to compare the net CBP with an equivalent CO_2 injection rate. Assuming a CO_2 143 density of 629 kg/m³ (quite representative of CO_2 density at reservoir conditions), it can be said that 144 1 Mt will take up a volume of 10 million barrels (MMBBL).

145

146 However, the following caveats should be understood:

147

148 (1) Although a correction has been made in terms of fluid density, the above analysis ignores effects 149 associated with differences (between CO_2 and the originally produced fluids) in fluid 150 compressibility and viscosity.

151

(2) For oil/gas production, production rates are often reduced with respect to the maximum possible
production rates for reservoir management reasons (such as avoiding early water or gas
breakthrough). It is not clear for how many of the 104 datapoints this is the case.

(3) For oil/gas production, the production could be well constrained (notably lift problems after water breakthrough) rather than reservoir constrained. It is not clear for how many of the 104 fields this is the case. Also, for production, a relatively small tubing size may have been selected to avoid lift problems later on in the field life. For CO_2 injection, tubing size is still a consideration, but optimal tubing size could be larger.

161

162 The Balmoral field is convenient in this context. However, the Ninian field represents a more 163 problematic example. Production history and estimates of cumulative average production rates for 164 Ninian are shown in Figure 4. The first issue is that, for the first ten years, the CBP is less than the 165 cumulative average oil production (compare red and green lines in Figure 4b). This is because no 166 gas production (or water production for that matter) was reported for that period (see green line in Figure 4a) although gas must have been produced with the oil (consider the $(V_g - R_s V_o) / E_g$ term in 167 168 Eq. (1)). The next problem is that towards the end of the field life, as much fluid is being injected as 169 produced so as to continue oil production. Consequently the net CBP is virtually zero towards the 170 end.

171

Parameters required for the Glaso (1980), Peng and Robinson (1977), Standing (1977), Spivey et al. (2007) and Batzle and Wang (1992) correlations include reservoir temperature, T (°F), initial reservoir pressure, P (psi), specific gravity of the gas (relative to air at SC), γ_g (-), oil gravity, API (°API), the gas oil ratio at bubble point, R_{sb} (SCF/BBL), and water salinity, SAL (ppm NaCl eq.).

176

For many of the fields listed in DECC (2013), most of the parameters described above can be found from Abbots (1991) and/or Gluyas and Hichens (2003) (both of these documents are referred to hereafter as AGH). However, special considerations include γ_g and R_{sb} .

181 For fields with a specified gas expansion factor, E_g , a value for γ_g is calculated by iterative solution

182 of the Peng and Robinson (1977) EOS, otherwise the AGH γ_g value is assumed.

183

A value of R_{sb} is obtained by assuming reservoir pressure is initially above bubble point and calculating R_{sb} based on the mean of the first four months of SC oil and gas production data. The Glaso (1980) correlation is then used to estimate the bubble point pressure. If the estimated bubble point pressure is less than the initial reservoir pressure, the aforementioned value of R_{sb} is accepted. Alternatively, the reported bubble point pressure from the AGH dataset is used with the Glaso (1980) correlation to calculate an alternative estimate of R_{sb} . If none of the above is possible, the R_s value reported in AGH is assumed to be R_{sb} .

191

Where pressure data is absent, a hydrostatic pressure gradient is assumed. Where reservoir temperature is absent, a geothermal gradient of 0.0179 °F/ft with a surface temperature of 52.8 °F is assumed (obtained by linear regression of the AGH temperature and depth to crest data). Where γ_g , API and SAL are absent, mean values for the AGH dataset are assumed. Mean values of γ_g , API and SAL for all the fields reported in AGH are 0.794, 36.9°API and 126,000 ppm NaCl eq., respectively.

198

199 Note that this mean value of salinity is not realistic for some reservoirs. The Permian and some of 200 the Upper Jurassic are near salt saturation whereas some other reservoirs in the Upper Jurassic are 201 much less at around 70,000 ppm and the Brent is less saline than sea water (Warren and Smalley, 202 1994). However, the SAL parameter is only required for calculation of B_w , the sensitivity of which, 203 in comparison to expansions associated with the oil and gas, is virtually negligible. It was necessary 204 to apply the mean value of salinity to 20 of 104 reservoirs.

205

206 Statistical analysis of DECC production data

208 Cumulative distributions for the CBP data from DECC (2013) are shown in Figure 5. The data have 209 been separated out into oil fields without water flood, gas fields and oil fields with water flood. 210 Note that for the fields with water flood, both gross and net production data are presented (Figs 5c 211 and d, respectively). Also note that these data are production rate per well. This has been obtained 212 by dividing the field-scale data, as discussed in the previous section, by the number of production 213 wells in the field. The number of production well data has been obtained from DECC (2007) and 214 the AGH dataset. Probability of non-exceedance (PNE) has been calculated using the Weibull 215 plotting position (Makkonen, 2006).

216

The data in Fig. 5 have been further separated to show how CBP statistics vary with production time. The statistics for the oil fields without water flood are relatively stationary with time (Figure 5a). The remainder of the fields exhibit relative stationary statistics for the first 10 to 15 years and then trend towards a reduced production rate, with the exception of the 25 years production for the gas field data (Fig 5b.). However, the latter should not be considered in too much detail as there are only two recorded gas fields that produced for that duration.

223

Another way to visualise the data is to consider Figure 6a, which shows plots of CBP against production time for the four categories displayed in Figures 5a-d for PNE of 30%, 50% and 70%. Here it can be seen that the categories in order of decreasing 50% PNE CBP are gas fields, oil fields without water flood and oil fields with water flood. Plotted alongside in Figure 6b are the number of fields active for a given production time, from which it can be seen that there is a moderate correlation between the decline in production rate after 15 years and a decline in the number of fields active after 15 years.

231

232 Comparison with CO₂ injection data

234 Figure 7a compares the cumulative distributions of CBP, after 10 years of production, for oil fields 235 without water flood, gas fields and oil fields with water flood (net production) with injection rate 236 data from CO₂ storage projects from around the world. The latter data was obtained from Table 4 of 237 Hosa et al. (2011) for 15 projects including Sleipner, Snohvit, Weyburn and In Salah. Hosa et al. (2011) report mass injection rates in tonnes per day. These are converted to MMBBL/yr by 238 assuming a CO₂ density of 629 kg/m³ such that 1 Mt = 10 MMBL, as discussed above. The 50% 239 240 PNE for net CBP for the oil fields, gas fields and water flood fields are 3.51, 3.38 and 0.416 241 MMBL/yr/well, respectively. The good (high injection rate per well) CO₂ projects are similar to 242 the good gas fields. The more limited CO_2 projects are similar to the oil fields with water flood.

243

244 Hosa et al. (2011)also reports corresponding reservoir transmissivities (i.e., 245 permeability × formation thickness). Similar data are available for the oil and gas reservoirs from 246 AGH. Figure 7b compares plots of CBP against transmissivity for oil fields without water flood, gas 247 fields and oil fields with water flood alongside the CO_2 injection data from Hosa et al. (2011). 248 Interestingly, gas fields are clustered in the lower right of the plot. This may be largely due to the 249 lower viscosity of gas as compared with oil. However, overall it can be said that there is very little 250 correlation between production/injection rate with transmissivity. Nevertheless, it is interesting to 251 note that distribution of CO₂ injection data shares a similar space to the DECC production data. Overall, Figures 7a and b provide a good basis for using the oil and gas production data to provide 252 253 additional insights concerning future CO₂ injection rates.

254

It should be understood that many of the CO_2 injection rates in the Hosa et al. (2011) study are constrained by factors other than injectivity. Sleipner is constrained to 1 MT/year due to plant design. Weyburn is an EOR (Enhanced Oil Recovery) operation where the amount of CO_2 injected is driven by optimisation of oil recovery and CO_2 price. Furthermore, many of the low-rates are attributed to small-scale test projects where testing of monitoring technologies was a main focus
(e.g. Ketzin). Nevetherless, the Hosa et al. (2011) study represents a useful reflection concerning
injection rates that have actually been achieved to date.

262

263 Insights from water flooding

264

The number of injection wells required for a given CO_2 storage site can be reduced by implementing pressure relief via nearby brine production wells (Cavanagh et al., 2010; Neal et al., 2011). However, the effectiveness of pressure relief by brine production is strongly dependent on reservoir connectivity (Neal et al., 2011). Furthermore, Neal et al. (2011) show, through numerical modelling, that brine production only becomes economically beneficial in this context when brine production leads to a greater than 10% reduction in the number of required wells. The water flood data discussed above can be used to explore this issue further.

272

Taking the net CBP as a lower bound estimate of the gross CBP that would have occurred in the absence of water flood, an upper bound estimate of productivity improvement factor, as a consequence of water flood, can be obtained by considering the ratio of gross fluid production to net fluid production. This factor can then be used to indicate a possible increase in CO_2 injectivity one would get in the same reservoir when implementing brine production.

278

A comparison of gross production with net production for water flood fields after 10 years of production is presented in Figure 8a. This represents data from a total of 57 oil fields. The 50% PNE for net and gross CBP are 0.416 and 1.40 MMBBL/yr/well, respectively, which, in effect, quantifies the improvement in productivity obtained by water flooding. Interestingly, the data also suggests an 8.6% probability of not exceeding zero net CBP. This is because some of the fields have negative net CBP (consider again Figure 4b). 286 Figure 8b shows the cumulative distribution for the ratio of gross to net CBP. Note that for ease of 287 interpretation, fields with negative net CBP have been excluded from Figure 8b, which is 288 conservative in this context. The results in Figure 8b indicate a 50% probability of not exceeding 289 (or exceeding) a gross to net CBP ratio of 2.5. Assuming one injection well for every production 290 well, this can be shown to correspond to a predicted reduction in number of wells due to brine 291 production of 20%. Furthermore, a 10% reduction in number of wells corresponds to a gross to net 292 CBP ratio of 2.22, which, according to Figure 8b, corresponds to a PNE of 42.6%. Combining 293 Figure 8b with the analysis of Neal et al. (2011) in turn leads to the idea of a 57.4% probability that 294 brine co-production during CO₂ injection, in the UK offshore region, is likely to be economically 295 beneficial.

296

Most of the water flood fields would have yielded higher CBP unaided than their net CBP with water injection. For example at Ninian (recall Figure 4b), net CBP was negative. Obviously, Ninian would have yielded a positive CBP unaided. Therefore it should be realised that the ratio of gross to net CBP is likely to be an overestimate of injectivity improvement (due to water flooding) for many of the fields included. This overestimation has been partially mitigated by the exclusion of fields with negative net CBP. Nevertheless, it would be unwise to interpret the extreme values in Figure 8b in this context.

304

305 Possible performance indicators

306

Figure 7b shows that there is very little correlation between production rate and transmissivity. Other important aspects include the size of the reservoir compartment and connectivity to outer aquifer systems (Zhou et al., 2008; Mathias et al., 2011a; 2013a; Chang et al., 2013). Within the AGH dataset, the various oil and gas reservoirs are designated a structure type. Terms applied for a given reservoir include: structural; stratigraphic/unconformity; four-way dip antiform/anticline; four-way closure over salt diaper, tilted fault block; three-way dip & fault; faulted pericline; faulted rollover; updip pinch-out; combined stratigraphic/structural; combined tilted and inverted fault block and combined anticline and stratigraphic. We have consolidated these further to just four categories: structural; 4-way closure; with a fault seal; and stratigraphic/structural. Figure 9 shows how reservoir productivity partitions out for the four categories. The statistics are almost identical for each category except for stratigraphic/structural, which come out with better production rates.

318

319 Interestingly, the AGH dataset comments on reservoir mechanisms. Mechanism designations 320 include: pressure depletion drive; gas cap expansion drive; aquifer/natural water drive; gravity 321 drive; water flood/water injection; combined aquifer and gas expansion drive; combined aquifer 322 drive and gas injection; combined water injection, gas injection and depressurization; gas recycle; 323 combined full voidage replacement and water injection; combined depletion and water flood; 324 combined aquifer drive and water injection. We have consolidated these further to just three 325 categories: no aquifer; aquifer drive; and water flood. Figure 10 shows how reservoir productivity partitions out for three mechanism designations. Here it can be seen that where there is no water 326 injection, there is little difference between statistics associated with reservoirs with and without 327 328 aquifer drive.

329

Fields selected for water flood exhibited significantly lower net CBP rates compared with fields not employing water injection. In part this is a function of the way in which fields under water flood are managed. Typically, the early phase of production is through depletion drive and consequential pressure drop. The pressure drop is commonly halted just above bubble point through water injection. The field is then managed at just above bubble point. The reason for doing this is to minimise the energy required for injection while avoiding the problems associated with relative permeability loss if gas starts to break-out within the reservoir. Consequently, when we examine old fields with very long injection and production phases, the overall net volume change in the reservoir
can be very small (Figure 5d), particularly in instances where late field life has been accompanied
by overvoidage (e.g. Figure 4).

340

Another interesting indicator is reservoir age. Figure 11 shows how reservoir productivity partitions out for different geological ages. Of note is that the Triassic and, albeit to a lesser extent, the Permian reservoirs have statistically better productivity that the remainder. Both these sets of fields; Permian gas fields of the Southern North Sea and Triassic oil and gas fields of the Central and Southern North Sea respectively have been developed with depletion drive alone. As such the Permian and Triassic data are likely to be a better indicator of long term injectivity potential for CO₂.

348

The slight difference between the Jurassic (poorer) and Cretaceous/Tertiary performance may be a reflection of the larger unbroken sandstone bodies of the Cretaceous/Tertiary relative to the older reservoirs.

352

353 Summary and conclusions

354

355 The objective of this article was to present a statistical investigation concerning production rates in UK offshore oil and gas reservoirs, with a view to gaining further insight concerning forecasting of 356 357 likely injection rates for similarly located CO₂ storage projects in the future. Production data was 358 sourced from DECC (2013), which reports field-scale monthly production and injection of oil, gas 359 and water. These data are reported at standard conditions. So as to compare to possible CO_2 360 injection rates, it was necessary to convert these data to reservoir conditions and integrate into a net Cumulative averaged Bulk fluid Production (CBP). This was achieved by virtue of the fluid 361 362 properties data presented in Abbotts (1991) and Gluyas and Hichens (2003) (referred to collectively

as AGH) in conjunction with correlations presented by Batzle and Wang (1992), Glaso (1980), Peng and Robinson (1977), Spivey et al. (2007) and Standing (1977). Assuming a CO₂ density of 629 kg/m^3 , 1 Mt of CO₂ is equivalent to a CBP of 10 MMBL. Note that the term bulk fluid production is used here to describe the composite volume of oil, gas and water produced at reservoir conditions.

368

369 It was found that CBP statistics became temporally less stable after between 10 and 15 years of 370 production (Figure 5). Furthermore, it was found that the number of active oil and gas fields started 371 to decline significantly after 15 years of production (Figure 6). It was therefore decided to consider 372 CBP after 10 years of production for the remainder of the study. CBP statistics were then compared 373 to existing CO₂ injection data (after Hosa et al., 2011) (Figure 7). It was found that net CBP from 374 water flood fields was significantly less than from gas fields and oil fields without water flood. The 375 largest and smallest CO₂ injection rates were found to be similar to net CBP for the largest gas field and smallest water flooded fields, respectively. The 50% probability of non-exceedance (PNE) for 376 377 net CBP for the oil fields, gas fields and water flood fields were 3.51, 3.38 and 0.416 378 MMBL/year/well, respectively, which equates to around 0.35, 0.34 and 0.04 Mt/year/well of CO₂ 379 equivalent, respectively.

380

381 The 50% PNE for gross CBP in the water flood fields was 1.40 MMBL/year/well. The improvement on productivity due to water flooding was investigated further by studying the 382 statistics of gross to net CBP ratio for the water flood fields. Improved productivity leads to 383 384 reductions in the number of wells required. In the same way, brine co-production during CO_2 385 injection is thought to lead to reduced numbers of wells for CO₂ storage operations. The 50% PNE 386 gross to net CBP ratio for the water flood fields was 2.5 (Figure 8b). Assuming one injection well for every production well, this corresponds to an equivalent reduction in number of wells of 20%. 387 Numerical work by Neal et al. (2011) suggests that brine co-production becomes economically 388

beneficial for CO_2 storage operations, providing this leads to a reduction in number of wells of more than 10%.

391

392 CBP was found to have very little correlation with reservoir transmissivity. Through a study of 393 alternative variables including reservoir structure, reservoir mechanism and reservoir age, it was 394 found that reservoir mechanism and reservoir age had the strongest control on CBP. In terms of 395 reservoir mechanism, those reservoirs selected for water flood exhibited significantly reduced net 396 and gross CBP as compared to other reservoirs. In terms of reservoir age, the Triassic and Permian 397 reservoirs had the highest CBP. However, this may be largely due to the fact that less reservoirs in 398 the Triassic and Permian were selected for water flood.

399

400 Overall, the following key findings can be asserted: (1) CBP statistics for UK offshore oil and gas 401 fields are similar to those observed for CO_2 injection projects worldwide. (2) The 50% PNE for 402 CBP for oil and gas fields without water flood is around 0.35 Mt/yr/well of CO_2 equivalent. (3) 403 There is negligible correlation between reservoir transmissivity and CBP. (4) Study of net and gross 404 CBP for water flood fields suggest a 50% PNE that brine co-production during CO_2 injection could 405 lead to 20% reduction in the number of wells required.

406

407 Acknowledgments

408

409 This work was funded by The Crown Estate. We are grateful to Liam Herringshaw for help 410 collating some of the AGH data. We are also grateful for useful improvements to the text provided 411 by two anonymous reviewers from IJGGC.

- 413 **References**
- 414

- 415 Abbotts, I. L. (1991). United Kingdom oil and gas fields: 25-years commemorative volume (No.
 416 14). Geological Society Pub House.
- 417 Ahmed, T. (2001). Reservoir engineering handbook. Second Edition. Gulf Professional Publishing.
 418 London.
- 419 Batzle, M., & Wang, Z. (1992). Seismic properties of pore fluids. Geophysics, 57(11), 1396-1408.
- Cavanagh, A. J., Haszeldine, R. S., & Blunt, M. J. (2010). Open or closed? A discussion of the
 mistaken assumptions in the Economides pressure analysis of carbon sequestration. Journal
 of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 74(1), 107-110.
- Chang, K. W., Hesse, M. A., & Nicot, J. P. (2013). Reduction of lateral pressure propagation due to
 dissipation into ambient mudrocks during geological carbon dioxide storage. Water
 Resources Research. 49, 2573-2588.
- 426 Ehlig-Economides, C., & Economides, M. J. (2010). Sequestering carbon dioxide in a closed
 427 underground volume. Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 70(1), 123-130.
- 428 DECC (2007) BERR Well Production
- 429 https://www.og.decc.gov.uk/information/wells/pprs/Well_production_offshore_oil_fields/offshore_
- 430 oil_fields_by_well/offshore_oil_fields_by_well.htm
- 431 DECC (2013) UK Monthly Oil Production. https://www.og.decc.gov.uk/pprs/full_production.htm
- Gammer, D., Green, A., Holloway, S., & Smith, G. (2011). The Energy Technologies Institute's UK
 CO2 storage appraisal project (UKSAP).
- Glaso, O. (1980). Generalized pressure-volume-temperature correlations. Journal of Petroleum
 Technology, 32(5), 785-795.
- Gluyas, J. G., & Hichens, H. M. (Eds.). (2003). United Kingdom oil and gas fields: commemorative
 millennium volume (No. 20). Geological Society.
- 438 Hedley, B. J., Davies, R. J., Mathias, S. A., Hanstock, D., & Gluyas, J. G. (2013). Uncertainty in
- 439 static CO2 storage capacity estimates: Case study from the North Sea, UK. Greenhouse440 Gases: Science and Technology.

- Hosa, A., Esentia, M., Stewart, J., & Haszeldine, S. (2011). Injection of CO2into saline formations:
 Benchmarking worldwide projects. Chemical Engineering Research and Design, 89(9),
 1855-1864.
- Jin, M., Pickup, G., Mackay, E., Todd, A., Sohrabi, M., Monaghan, A., & Naylor, M. (2012). Static
 and Dynamic Estimates of CO2-Storage Capacity in Two Saline Formations in the UK. SPE
 Journal, 17(4), 1108-1118.
- 447 Makkonen, L. (2006). Plotting positions in extreme value analysis. Journal of applied meteorology
 448 and climatology, 45(2), 334-340.
- Mathias, S. A., de Miguel, G. J. G. M., Thatcher, K. E., & Zimmerman, R. W. (2011a). Pressure
 buildup during CO2 injection into a closed brine aquifer. Transport in porous media, 89(3),
 383-397.
- Mathias, S. A., Gluyas, J. G., González Martínez de Miguel, G. J., & Hosseini, S. A. (2011b). Role
 of partial miscibility on pressure buildup due to constant rate injection of CO2 into closed
 and open brine aquifers. Water Resources Research, 47(12).
- 455 Mathias, S. A., Gluyas, J. G., González Martínez de Miguel, G. J., Bryant, S. L., & Wilson, D.
- 456 (2013a). On relative permeability data uncertainty and CO2 injectivity estimation for brine
 457 aquifers. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 12, 200-212.
- Mathias, S. A., & Roberts, A. W. (2013b). A Lambert W function solution for estimating
 sustainable injection rates for storage of CO2in brine aquifers. International Journal of
 Greenhouse Gas Control.
- Michael, K., Golab, A., Shulakova, V., Ennis-King, J., Allinson, G., Sharma, S., & Aiken, T.
 (2010). Geological storage of CO2in saline aquifers—A review of the experience from
 existing storage operations. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 4(4), 659-667.
- 464 Michael, K., Neal, P. R., Allinson, G., Ennis-King, J., Hou, W., Paterson, L., ... & Aiken, T. (2011).
- 465 Injection strategies for large-scale CO2storage sites. Energy Procedia, 4, 4267-4274.

- 466 Neal, P. R., Cinar, Y., & Allinson, W. G. (2011). The economics of pressure-relief with
 467 CO2injection. Energy Procedia, 4, 4215-4220.
- Peng, D. Y., & Robinson, D. B. (1977). A rigorous method for predicting the critical properties of
 multicomponent systems from an equation of state. AIChE Journal, 23(2), 137-144.
- 470 Pickup, G. E., Jin, M., & Mackay, E. J. (2012, September). Simulation of Near-Well Pressure
 471 Build-up in Models of CO2 Injection. In ECMOR XIII-13th European Conference on the
 472 Mathematics of Oil Recovery.
- 473 Pickup, G., Jin, M., Olden, P., Mackay, E., & Sohrabi, M. (2011, May). A Sensitivity Study on CO2
 474 Storage in Saline Aquifers. In SPE EUROPEC/EAGE Annual Conference and Exhibition.
- 475 Spivey, J., Valko, P., & McCain, W. (2007). Applications of the Coefficient of Isothermal
 476 Compressibility to Various Reservoir Situations With New Correlations for Each Situation.
- 477 SPE Reservoir Evaluation & Engineering, 10(1), 43-49.
- 478 Standing, M. B., (1977) Volumetric and Phase Behavior of Oil Field Hydrocarbon Systems, pp.
 479 125–126. Dallas: Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Warren, E. A., & Smalley, P. C. (Eds.). (1994). North Sea formation waters atlas (No. 15).
 Geological Society Publishing House.
- Zhou, Q., Birkholzer, J. T., Tsang, C. F., & Rutqvist, J. (2008). A method for quick assessment of
 CO2 storage capacity in closed and semi-closed saline formations. International Journal of
 Greenhouse Gas Control, 2(4), 626-639.
- Zhao, R., Cheng, J., & Zhang, K. (2012). CO2 Plume Evolution and Pressure Buildup of Largescale CO2 Injection into Saline Aquifers in Sanzhao Depression, Songliao Basin, China.
 Transport in Porous Media, 95(2), 407-424.
- 488
- 489
- 490



492 Figure 1: Map of UK showing locations of the oil and gas fields studied. In the legend, "water
493 flood" refers to oil fields where water injection has been used and "oil fields" refer to oil fields
494 where water injection has not been used.



Figure 2: Time series plot of monthly production for the Balmoral field. a) Assuming standard conditions (SC). Note that data here are production data except for "Water Inj." and "Gas Inj.", which are injection data. b) Assuming reservoir conditions (RC). Note that "Cum. Av." is an abbreviation for cumulative average and "Net Fluid" involves subtracting the injected water and gas.



506

507 Figure 3: Plots of gas expansion factor, gas oil ratio and formation volume factor against pressure, 508 as assumed for the Balmoral field. Assumed associated parameters include T = 207 °F, $\gamma_g = 0.79$, 509 API = 39.9, $R_{sb} = 352$ SCF/BBL.



512 Figure 4: Same as Figure 2 but for the Ninian field.



Figure 5: Cumulative distribution plots for net CBP rate (at RC) per well for varying production
times (as indicated in legend). a) Oil fields. b) Gas fields. c) Net production for oil fields with water
flood. d) Gross production for oil fields with water flood.



521

Figure 6: a) Plot of 30, 50 and 70 probability of non-exceedance (PNE) for net CBP rate (at RC) per well against production time for oil fields, gas fields and oil fields with water flood (both net and gross production rates), as indicated by the legend in Figure 5b. b) Plot of number of fields active for a given production time for the three categories: oil fields, gas fields and oil fields with water flood.



Figure 7: a) Cumulative distribution plots for net CBP rate (at RC) per well after 10 years of production for the oil fields without water flood, gas fields and oil fields with water flood and the CO₂ injection data reported by Hosa et al (2011) (assuming a CO₂ density of 629 kg/m³ such that 1 Mt/yr = 10 MMBBL/yr). b) The same production data but plotted against the transmissivities of the reservoirs. Transimssivity is calculated using net thickness with the exception of those data provided by Hosa et al. (2011), which used gross thickness.

536



Figure 8: a) Cumulative distribution plots for CBP rate (at RC) per well after 10 years of production
for the oil fields with water flood, both gross and net production rates. b) Cumulative distribution
plot for the ratio of gross to net CBP for oil fields with water flood.

541



543 Figure 9: Same as Figure 7 but separated out in terms of reservoir structure type.



545 Figure 10: Same as Figure 7 but separated out in terms of designated reservoir mechanism.



547 Figure 11: Same as Figure 7 but separated out in terms of reservoir age.