

# The Children of the Reformation: Childhood Palaeoepidemiology in Britain, AD 1000–1700

By BENNJAMIN J PENNY-MASON<sup>1</sup> and REBECCA L GOWLAND<sup>2</sup>

*CHILDHOOD IS A TIME of rapid biological growth and development and a stage of the life course during which bodies are particularly sensitive to social and environmental stressors. As a consequence, events which may impact upon a child's care and treatment can become physically embodied within their bones and teeth. The skeletal remains of children have been neglected within archaeological discourse until recently, but they are, in fact, a particularly important demographic for understanding the impact of social processes on past population health. This research examines the prevalence of skeletal disease in children ( $\leq 16$  years) in Britain (England, Wales and Scotland) between AD 1000–1700. Data for a total of 4626 children from 95 sites were collated from published and unpublished skeletal reports and analysed for evidence of skeletal changes reflecting disease. A biocultural approach was adopted in which the evidence was interpreted in relation to ecological, social, economic and environmental conditions. It was observed that childhood levels of skeletal stress did increase significantly after AD 1540. It was noted that during the Reformation sociocultural and economic factors added to stressors in the ecology of the medieval child. The effects of the Reformation were found to be the greatest aggravator in the rise of morbidity prevalence over seven centuries. Differences in morbidity patterns between non-adult age categories indicated that a state of 'childhood' existed until at least eleven years of age, after which there appears to have been a gradual transition into adolescence and adulthood.*

After the end of the Wars of the Roses in AD 1485, Henry VII's focus on fiscal management of the country provided an economic stability that England had not experienced in over a century.<sup>3</sup> The *Valor Ecclesiasticus* is evidence of how pre-Reformation hospitals were providing genuinely beneficial relief to the poor and sick.<sup>4</sup> Children, particularly those in poverty or experiencing poor health, were often aided by monastic institutions; through alms, education and sanctuary.<sup>5</sup> The religious reforms of AD 1535–50 saw the dissolution of not only the majority of religious houses in England, but also of the hospitals, alms houses and fraternities which they ran.<sup>6</sup> The Reformation was not merely a religious phenomenon; it affected all aspects of life and had long-lasting sociocultural ramifications. The reforms had dramatic and rapid repercussions in relation to charitable care: alms giving and the majority of treatment of the sick disappeared in less than a decade, with nothing to substitute for this loss.<sup>7</sup> Compounding this shift further, the post-Reformation economy became increasingly unstable and in conjunction with escalating population pressures caused widespread social stress and urban poverty.<sup>8</sup> This study aims to examine the impact of this upheaval on the health of the population as evidenced through the analysis of the skeletal remains of children dating to both the pre- and post-Reformation periods. We hypothesize that skeletal indicators of poor health amongst children will be greater from those sites dating to the post-Reformation period.

Children would have accounted for 40–65% of most ancient populations, yet non-adult ( $\leq 17$  years old) palaeopathology remains a largely understudied topic in archaeology. The plasticity of the human body during the early years of development renders it far more likely to be shaped by its surrounding environment than in later life.<sup>9</sup> Skeletal markers of non-adult stress can therefore be used as an indicator of a past population's overall health, by measuring the wellbeing of its most fragile and sensitive members.<sup>10</sup> Skeletal evidence provides the most direct evidence of the health status and disease burden of past populations, with skeletal material acting as a '*site of articulation between biology and culture*'.<sup>11</sup> However, osteological material is only of value when it is placed within the correct cultural and environmental contexts, retrieved from historical and archaeological

perspectives. *'It cannot be too strongly emphasized that the pathology of a group is never randomly produced. It is invariably an expression of the stresses and strains to which the people were subjected, a response to their total environment both external and internal. It reflects the climatic conditions under which they lived, their habits of diet, their daily occupations, their choice of clothing or weapons, their social customs and their genetic inheritance'*.<sup>12</sup> This analysis can therefore be used to measure the extent to which health was affected by *'one of the most striking and important changes which occurred within English society and English economy'*.<sup>13</sup>

## THE MEDIEVAL CHILD

Both historically and archaeologically 'children' are rarely considered as independent agents — neither socially active nor economically important.<sup>14</sup> Traditionally they have been conceptualised as socially inconsequential, without their own material culture, social networks or social spaces.<sup>15</sup> The first investigations into the medieval child left a legacy that children were unimportant and often neglected members of society, regarded with ambivalence by emotionally detached parents (Fig 1).<sup>16</sup> However, more recent investigations have demonstrated that 'childhood' was considered to be a clearly definable, separate and special stage of medieval life.<sup>17</sup>

Appreciating that 'age' is a multidimensional construct is vital in understanding growth-related studies (Fig 2). Age categories can be divided into three types: biological age (physical growth); social age (culturally constructed); and chronological age (time elapsed since birth).<sup>18</sup> When defining age categories, most archaeologists use these differing definitions interchangeably. The modern Western perception of 'childhood' is based on a chronological measurement which is both socially and lawfully measured, explicitly pertaining to our own culture and in stark contrast to medieval ideals. Osteologically, biological age is measured in order to gain a chronological age from skeletal remains, despite the fact that this may be inaccurate due to cultural and environmental factors. Chronological age is then often used to determine events within a social age group, despite the fact that the social age may have little bearing on the biological age. The lack of synchronicity between these categories means their definitions should not be assumed. Medieval terminology for describing social ageing was both incredibly specific and entirely flexible. Overlapping age categories and transient definitions make it hard to mark exact stages of maturation, but cultural 'rites of passage' can be used to estimate social growth. Technical terminology used to study non-adults is also conflicting and can lead to misunderstandings of what exactly biologically determine a 'child' and stages of 'childhood'.

Weaning, schooling, confirmation and apprenticeship all marked major transitions in a child's life.<sup>19</sup> Biological puberty was mediievally understood, as well as being a socially important threshold. Around the time of puberty the Church and State began recognizing the maturation of the 'child' to adolescence with the introduction of work-related laws, taxation and religious confirmation.<sup>20</sup> Medieval art and literature clearly depict several stages of childhood within the ages of life (Fig 3).<sup>21</sup>

Evidence for medieval maturation and periods of risk are plentiful from birth to adolescence. Child rearing and birth were incredibly hazardous throughout the medieval period.<sup>22</sup> **With limited medical understanding and intervention available, women were forced to be self-reliant.**<sup>23</sup> Exact proportions of birthing mortalities are difficult to access, but it is widely accepted that death was not a rare occurrence for the mother or the infant.<sup>24</sup>

Infant care practices such as swaddling are also likely to have been hazardous and counter-productive to the growth of straight limbs because this inhibits the skin being exposed to sunlight, the principal source of vitamin D absorption, and hence could have increased the potential for the child to develop rickets.<sup>25</sup> Play became important in the development of a child and was encouraged by adults.<sup>26</sup> We may have sentimental notions of childhood play and tend to romanticize this stage of life, but there is a great deal of evidence to suggest that it was particularly important in the medieval period too.<sup>27</sup> Specific material culture for children's play does exist and often reflects children imitating elements of adult life (Fig 4).<sup>28</sup>

During the early years of childhood, most children would have been closely attached to their house — often an environment of high risk to health.<sup>29</sup> Investigation into the 'average' peasant house has refuted assumptions of rough, temporary and inadequate constructions.<sup>30</sup> Many had three or more bays (rooms), a central hearth, thatched roofs and separate space for animals.<sup>31</sup> As children began to move around this space more independently, they opened themselves up to greater risk of injury.<sup>32</sup> Coroners' rolls reveal how younger children sustained trauma within the house and hagiographic accounts indicate that choking, scalding, falling and drowning were particularly common accidents and causes of death at this age.<sup>33</sup> Animals were present in most households and they are believed to have been a frequent source of childhood trauma.<sup>34</sup> With increasing age comes increasing freedom and most children were given latitude to explore their local environment, which could end in further misadventure and trauma (Fig 5).<sup>35</sup>

As children grew and developed they were likely to have been given basic tasks and slowly become productive agents within the household, to counteract their previous negative impact upon the family economy.<sup>36</sup> Greater responsibility and further domestic tasks were likely to be undertaken by relatively young children as soon as they could be productive and helpful.<sup>37</sup> The education of children under the age of five years was entirely informal and solely the prerogative of the parents. Parents did have a perception of the 'mouldable' nature of the young and their need to be instructed from an early age.<sup>38</sup> Education was largely based on learning Christian principles, mixed with customs and etiquette of medieval society and a general transmission of culture to the younger generation.<sup>39</sup> A child's obedience to its parents was a by-product of the Commandments and an important form of social obedience.<sup>40</sup>

From around six years old the medieval child began entering and interacting with the adult world.<sup>41</sup> This was reflected in increasing responsibility in household duties, the beginning of gender divisions between boys and girls, and a higher level of independence.<sup>42</sup> However 'play' continued to be a big part of children's lives, but developed into more structured social group sports such as wrestling and mock fighting, as well as more adult pursuits such as dice and chess.<sup>43</sup> For boys, joining the hunt was a particularly important stage in maturation.<sup>44</sup> 'Playing at war' was also encouraged and boys as young as seven were being taught how to shoot a bow and arrow.<sup>45</sup> Only a small fraction of children received a formal education during the later medieval period, those in more urban areas having a better chance of schooling.<sup>46</sup> The majority of schooling was limited to boys, so further education for girls in skills such as basic literacy was left to the prerogative of parents to informally instruct their children.<sup>47</sup> With most peasant children not receiving a formal education, they would instead learn occupational and domestic skills through the observation and shadowing of their parents.<sup>48</sup> By the age of seven certainly boys, and occasionally girls, could have started apprenticeships — a clear break with the stage of 'childhood'.<sup>49</sup> Boys who were also destined to enter monasteries could start their formal

education at an early age, although some monastic orders restricted formal induction to those over 18 years old.<sup>50</sup>

From approximately 12 years old puberty began, which is believed to have been perceived as a new stage of life in Medieval Britain.<sup>51</sup> From this age the majority of children would officially undertake occupational roles, with boys mostly taking to the fields and girls tending to the household. With this induction into work, higher trauma rates have been reflected in medieval osteological assemblages.<sup>52</sup> Between the 14th and 16th centuries adolescents formed one third of the work force — a substantial sector of the economy.<sup>53</sup> By the age of 14 years children were susceptible to the poll taxation, indicating their matured status in society.<sup>54</sup> Marriage, in theory, could happen at any point after baptism.<sup>55</sup> Whilst there is evidence that young betrothals and marriages did occur, it was certainly not widespread, nor encouraged by the Church.<sup>56</sup> Marriage between the ages of 12 and 16 years did occur, but were certainly not the norm, with marriage being very much a part of adult behaviour.<sup>57</sup>

It should be acknowledged that historically boys are far more visible than girls.<sup>58</sup> A key limitation of bioarchaeological studies of childhood is the inability to reliably estimate sex from non-adult remains; therefore osteological material cannot add new knowledge here.

Overall, despite a general paucity of evidence regarding the medieval child, it is clear that a state of 'childhood' clearly existed and was acknowledged in medieval Britain. It is also clear that a child aged socially through a series of rites of passage, each of which posed specific health risks.

## REFORMING BRITAIN

If it is to be hypothesized that the Reformation impacted negatively on the health of children, we need to understand what provisions were available prior to the dissolution of the religious houses, and determine how beneficial they were. The Catholic Church in medieval England permeated society at every level.<sup>59</sup> Children were not exempt from this and were inducted into the Church as lifelong members from baptism.<sup>60</sup> By the 11th century the concern that children embodied the original sin meant that baptism needed to be undertaken as soon as possible, to avoid the risk of purgatory and hell if sudden death occurred.<sup>61</sup> A child's relationship with the Church fundamentally affected how they lived and what they thought; the word of God dictated their morality, their understanding of chastity, justice and charity, even extending to their diet and daily conduct.<sup>62</sup> Some churches and monasteries even provided education for the younger children of the local parish.<sup>63</sup> From 12 years old, confirmation marked the child's ascent into adulthood, entering the Church as a full member, able to receive the Eucharist and incur Church taxation.<sup>64</sup> From this point, boys could choose to enter a monastery and receive a formal education.<sup>65</sup>

With the belief in purgatory and the prospect of a long and painful suffering for one's sins during life, charitable donation to religious houses was a fundamental principle of the medieval Church.<sup>66</sup> The Church promoted a benevolent attitude towards the poor as part of a Christian person's moral obligations.<sup>67</sup> In turn for charitable deeds, salvation became more easily attainable and purgatory shorter.<sup>68</sup> Looking after the poor was essentially seen as a community enterprise, presided over by the Church.<sup>69</sup> Before the introduction of Poor Laws during the 16th century, there was no intervention from the Crown or government to deal with the homeless, poverty stricken and sick.<sup>70</sup> In AD 1536 the

first legislative act for dealing with rising poverty levels made it the responsibility of town authorities to manage the local poor, but it was largely ignored until further laws were passed in AD 1563. These too proved largely ineffective until the introduction of the Poor Laws in AD 1598.<sup>71</sup> This left a 60 year period between the Reformation and the latter Poor Laws, in which there was no charitable infrastructure in place to assist the poor; moreover, this was a period filled with epidemics, an unravelling, debased economy, widespread social instability and escalating levels of poverty.

Poverty management was the job of the Church, but quantifying how effective Church-led poor relief was is problematic. Alms giving and its effectiveness has long been the focus of investigations which deemed these provisions to have been largely inadequate; but new research indicates that in most areas of the country it was at least sufficient.<sup>72</sup> The provision of alms from monastic houses was the poor's only real source of financial assistance.<sup>73</sup> Homeless children in particular were entirely dependent on charitable donations.<sup>74</sup> By AD 1500 around 120,000 people were living below the poverty line, existing on holdings inadequate to feed their entire family, causing their access to basic foodstuffs to be significantly restricted. This rendered approximately 5% of the total population to be so limited in their resources that they depended on alms.<sup>75</sup> In cases of orphaned children, the monasteries would even go as far as feeding and sheltering them.<sup>76</sup> By the early Tudor Period, alms giving increased and the *Valor Ecclesiasticus* is a good indicator that the pre-Reformation Church was providing sufficient alms and managing to cope with escalating levels of poverty.<sup>77</sup> Post Reformation, alms giving was halted and royal confiscation of Church property abolished any beneficial care being provided by the religious houses.<sup>78</sup>

It was not just Britain's charitable infrastructure that was a casualty of the Reformation; the majority of the country's hospitals were connected to the monastic institutions undergoing dissolution. In hospitals spiritual cures included a regime of prayer and confession.<sup>79</sup> With treatment limited, healing was encouraged through the dutiful service of God.<sup>80</sup> However, a lack of direct medieval intervention does not mean that the hospitals were ineffective in promoting healing. The hospitals provided a clean and quiet environment, bed rest, warmth, cleanliness, an adequate diet, exercise, quality nursing and basic treatment which would have been genuinely beneficial therapy for sickness.<sup>81</sup> Hagiographic accounts indicate that most illnesses and accidents would have been curable by basic medical treatment, rest and adequate nutrition.

Medieval economic oscillations affected access to food, wages and rates of employment. Economic unrest should provoke changes in the health of the population, with the relationship between the economy and morbidity patterns being of great importance. It is often disregarded that children were major contributors to the economy; yet children over the age of 12 years represented a substantial portion of the medieval workforce.<sup>82</sup> Post-Conquest evidence is sparse, but suggests that the relative stability of politics, the development of the seigneurial economy and the expansion of agriculture led to a relatively balanced and calm economic climate.<sup>83</sup> This lasted until the rapid population growth experienced at the end of the 12th century that led to overcrowding and food shortages, exacerbated by the outbreak of minor plagues.<sup>84</sup> By the mid-14th century people were experiencing overpopulation, high inflation and harvest failures that frequently left the lower classes of society without basic food and drink on regular occasions.<sup>85</sup> The significant decline in the population as a consequence of the Black Death saw an increase in work, wages and food supply, all of which stimulated economic growth and caused a decrease in poverty levels.<sup>86</sup> However, once the initial economic upheaval ended, most lower classes

found themselves worse off, as lower demand for food soon meant a rise in the cost of manufactured goods which the wage **increase** did not match.<sup>87</sup> A shift in labour patterns occurred as women filled the economic gap in the labour market created by the Black Death.<sup>88</sup> It can be hypothesized then, that children who spent their time shadowing their mothers and often following them to the workplace are likely to have played a significant role in filling the economic gap **as well**. By the 15th century epidemics and constant warfare led to economic depression. This stagnation lasted up until the reign of Henry VII, whose focus on securing economic stability at the end of a turbulent period ensured a measure of economic prosperity.<sup>89</sup> In the first quarter of the 16th century high wages, good harvests, easy tenures and low rents made for affluent conditions.<sup>90</sup> By 1530 market growth slowed, likely in response to a succession of poor harvests and outbreaks of plague.<sup>91</sup> These problems were matched by population increase, rising levels of poverty and higher taxation.<sup>92</sup> By 1563 a further series of plagues and poor harvests led many more people to drop below the poverty line, with no provisions for assistance.<sup>93</sup> These overarching economic fluctuations are likely to have impacted on the health and well-being of the medieval child, and should be visible palaeopathologically.

#### THE STUDY OF NON-ADULT PALAEOPATHOLOGY

Palaeopathology is the study (*logos*) of ancient (*palaeo*) suffering (*pathos*), or the scientific study of tissue abnormalities caused by disease in the archaeological record. The study is chiefly restricted to pathologies which affect the skeleton and therefore only provides a very partial picture of past morbidity. Studies of skeletal indicators of childhood health stress provide a broader picture of overall population health. Children represent a particularly vulnerable segment of any population; they require care to survive and their bodies provide a sensitive measure of intrinsic/extrinsic factors. This makes them important tools for social reconstruction. Age estimation of the skeletal remains of non-adults can be achieved more accurately and reliably than for adult skeletons, thus allowing a more detailed study of the fluctuations of mortality and morbidity data in the past, and greater resolution for the identification of diachronic and synchronic trends. The skeletons of younger children (0–3 years) in particular can be useful for interpreting past health and their remains have been viewed as passive barometers of their environment, constrained as they are by their highly dependent, immature physiology and constant demand for nutrition.<sup>94</sup> Their undeveloped immune systems also make them more likely to contract disease, therefore rendering them especially sensitive to past social and physical environments.<sup>95</sup> Non-adults in the archaeological record reflect ‘non-survivors’ — those individuals who have been unable to adapt and survive environmental stressors. Studies of these ‘non-survivors’ have revealed evidence for maternal health, cultural practices, disease prevalence, obstetric practices, infant feeding and attitudes towards ‘childhood’.

In order to explore non-adult health it is first important to define what constitutes ‘health’ and where the point of negative ‘health’ occurs. From the old English ‘hale’ (‘wholeness’, ‘wellness’), health is not just the absence of disease, nor is it a universally perceived ideal. The perception of ‘health’ is considerably different depending on factors such as geography, culture and individuality.<sup>96</sup> Although only very few pathologies cause skeletal changes, when they do occur it is a clear sign of imbalance (stress) of an individual’s equilibrium (health). Health or disease is the expression of the success or failure of an



organism in its efforts to respond adaptively to environmental and sociocultural challenges (Fig 6).<sup>97</sup>

When studying non-adult skeletons, one must be aware of the limitations which compromise their evidential value in the reconstruction of past populations. Pathologies that affect the skeletal system cause limited bony reactions; therefore diagnosing specific diseases can be problematic, particularly when remains are fragmentary and incomplete.<sup>98</sup> Skeletal changes may also have multiple causes and this further complicates interpretation. For example, vitamin D deficiency causes a condition known as rickets in the skeleton, which is commonly observed by weakened and porous bone. In terms of interpretation, vitamin D deficiency may have derived from multiple causes, including a lack of sunlight, diet and/or cultural practices, so a specific behaviour is hard to identify. This is further complicated by the fact that other conditions may result in similar skeletal lesions (eg anaemia and vitamin C deficiency). Having a completely preserved skeleton available for analysis will certainly aid diagnosis, but this is often not the case from archaeological contexts. The morphology and rapid remodelling of non-adult bone makes identifying trauma especially problematic and trauma rates are therefore likely to be significantly underrepresented.<sup>99</sup> Evidence of non-adult trauma relating to occupation, interpersonal violence, accidents, treatment and care is not commonly reported in the archaeological record. It is also not possible to distinguish new periosteal bone growth as a sign of normal developmental growth, or a sign of a palaeopathological incident, caused by an incident of trauma or infection<sup>100</sup>. Furthermore, while evidence of disease may be recorded at the time of death, it is often not possible to determine the age at which the disease process commenced or a traumatic episode occurred. Perhaps the single greatest issue facing the study of non-adult palaeopathology is the inability to reliably assign a biological sex to remains.<sup>101</sup> Determining sex in adults is based upon differences in morphological features of the skull, pelvis and long bones between males and females. However these changes do not commence until puberty, making sex determination of a skeleton under the age of 17 generally unreliable.<sup>102</sup>

Non-adult remains are also regarded as less likely to be preserved in the archaeological record. Often accorded different funerary rites and spatially differentiated from adult burials, skeletal evidence for non-adults is often underrepresented.<sup>103</sup> Shallow interments and burial styles could also have caused non-adult burials to be more prone to scavenging and plough disruption.<sup>104</sup> Intrinsic factors of non-adult skeletons render their bones less likely to survive decay processes as their high organic components and correspondingly low mineral content make them more susceptible to decomposition.<sup>105</sup> Bone morphology could also be further weakened by the destruction of bone by pathological processes.<sup>106</sup> Excavator skill is often a key problem; significant care and knowledge are required in order to retrieve the small bones and unfused epiphyses, which may be mistaken for soil inclusions.<sup>107</sup> Considering these issues, it is highly unlikely that any collection is not in some way biased. In the last 10,000 years, an estimated 100 billion people have died, but only an incredibly small fraction have entered and been recovered from the archaeological record.<sup>108</sup> Knowing exactly what subsample of the population a skeletal collection represents needs to be questioned. Our ability to make statements about the past is entirely dependent on the representativeness of archaeological samples, yet no cemetery collection is a single, static sample of the local ancient population, in which all the members are proportionally represented (Fig 7).<sup>109</sup>

Even within an ideal, bias free, skeletal sample there is the issue of whether or not a dead population has any relevance when compared to a past living population.<sup>110</sup> Formation

of mortuary contexts is complex and our current mortuary categorizations and interpretations are often overly simplistic. Instead of representing individuals of poor health, skeletons with pathological lesions could paradoxically reflect individuals compromised enough to contract a disease, but strong enough for it to develop into its chronic stages.<sup>111</sup> Non-adult remains without lesions could therefore reflect individuals of weak health or the victims of a particularly virulent disease. Palaeopathologists must therefore be cautious when interpreting the data derived from skeletal assemblages. Measuring rates of *morbidity* (levels of sickness and disease) is likely to be more accurate and better reflective of a past population's interaction with disease.<sup>112</sup> The focus of palaeopathological analysis should be altered to a more conjectural palaeoepidemiological study of stress indicators that demonstrate diachronic fluctuations of morbidity rates. The result should be viewed not as a perfect representation of the health status of the living population, but instead as an indication of disease fluctuations and crude patterns of pathological trends. The scientific study of human skeletal material is often problematic, as the material does not lend itself to the precision necessary to produce work directly comparable with clinical epidemiological studies. However, it is still generally considered that rates of morbidity do bear some reflection of the living population,<sup>113</sup> particularly when one adopts a more multidisciplinary, holistic approach by contextualizing the evidence. The issues discussed above are not **intended** to present a negative picture of the study of non-adult palaeopathology; instead they **aim** to emphasize particular problems that must be addressed before attempting to assert an interpretation regarding paleoepidemiological research. Non-adult palaeopathology provides an otherwise unattainable source of information about past populations and can illuminate important factors of past societies.

## MATERIALS & METHODS

Skeletal data from sites in England, Wales and Scotland were collected from contexts **dated to** the period of study AD 1000 to AD 1700 from **both** published and unpublished reports. **Data from Scotland was included in the hope that comparisons could be drawn between the different timing in religious and political changes between England and Scotland.** The broad time **span** was adopted in order to observe long-term trends in health patterns, so that the later medieval period could be interpreted within a wider context. No sites within these parameters were excluded from consideration. Data were downloaded from the *Requiem* database and the *Museum of London Centre for Human Bioarchaeology Online Wellcome Osteological Research Database*.<sup>114</sup> Published reports were gathered from the British Library and grey literature was collected from the *Archaeology Data Service* and commercial archaeological units. While primary data analysis is the most desirable method, it is not feasible with such a large sample as presented here. **Further, recent efforts in the standardisation of skeletal reports has meant that those** dating to within the last two decades are much more detailed and comparable in terms of their presentation of the pathological data than previously. A few older reports **were** omitted due to their lack of sufficient recording. **The quality of grey literature reports is somewhat variable,** with older reports likely to be based on outdated standards and inefficient recording schemes, **thereby under-representing pathological conditions and making these assemblages appear healthier.**

The data were split into three age groups: foetal–5 years, 6–11 years and 12–16 years old. These were chosen to be reflective of medieval perceptions of childhood whilst allowing for the practicalities of data manipulation from mostly grey literature. In instances



where ranges described non-adults as '3–6 years' or '11–13 years' the average age was assumed. With the majority of reports not containing catalogues of data, the figures for disease prevalence were extrapolated from the text, where possible. The few occurrences of cremated material were not included as most site reports failed to undertake substantial enough pathological descriptions. In total, 95 sites and 4,647 skeletons were included in this study (Fig 8).

Many medieval skeletal assemblages are known from across the country; the vast majority are datable due to the events of the Reformation and dissolution of the monasteries. However, datable post-medieval burial grounds, particularly those immediately after the Reformation, are rare.<sup>115</sup> The data are sparse, badly phased and often poorly reported upon, with cemeteries being used for too long a time-span to allow for accurate dating.<sup>116</sup> Due to the nature of commercial archaeology, urban sites are over-represented; only 6% of the medieval population of Britain were living in urban areas by the Reformation.<sup>117</sup> Understanding exactly who the non-adults represent within medieval society is important when trying to avoid including inherent evidential biases. The majority of medieval people would have been buried in parish grounds or cathedral cemeteries, rather than in monastic grounds.<sup>118</sup> From the 12th century onwards, monastic burial became extended to and encouraged for the laity, in return for money, land or possessions.<sup>119</sup> Contrary to some misconceptions, children could be buried in monastic grounds, fee permitting.<sup>120</sup> Non-adult monastic burials likely represent both young boys who were being educated to become members of the clergy and the families of founders, patrons and beneficiaries of the monasteries, solvent enough to afford the burial cost.<sup>121</sup> There is likely an overrepresentation of non-adults from higher levels of society.<sup>122</sup>

This project aimed to assess the pathological conditions in non-adult remains that best reflect living conditions. These include: scurvy, rickets, cribra orbitalia, tuberculosis, syphilis, leprosy, periosteal new bone formation, osteitis, osteomyelitis, poliomyelitis, mastoiditis, fractures, cranial trauma, osteochondritis dessicans, disuse atrophy, caries, calculus, periodontal disease, periapical cavities, endocranial lesions, and ankylosing spondylosis. Pathological conditions that currently have unknown or ambiguous aetiologies, such as musculoskeletal markers or Harris Lines, were not included in this investigation.<sup>123</sup> In order to make all data comparable, crude prevalence rates were extrapolated from each site (crude prevalence = number of individuals affected/number of individuals in the skeletal sample). Crude prevalence provides a percentage of the numbers of individuals affected by particular pathologies, but does not take into account differential preservation between sites. Although some sites had smaller assemblage sizes than others, it was important to give evidence from each site equal weighting, so that each region's health status was represented. This analysis ultimately provides a measure of how many individuals experienced a disruption to the normal state of 'health' as indicated by the presence of skeletal signs of stress, whether that be trauma, infection or disease. Pathology prevalence was then assigned using an average trendline to assess overall fluctuations and patterns.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the paleoepidemiological data analysed (Fig 9) it is evident that non-adult levels of morbidity experienced similar fluctuations to adults. Contrary to expectations, levels of morbidity are lower amongst the children, and this may indicate that the non-adults were less susceptible than adults to sociocultural and environmental stressors. It

could be that non-adults were buffered or protected from stresses by their care-givers, or that they simply died from acute diseases prior to any skeletal manifestation.<sup>124</sup>

Skeletal stressors increased from the 12th to 14th centuries, with a marked decrease around the time of the Black Death — likely a response to more favourable economic conditions, with the surviving population enjoying a 101% wage increase over two years, expanded employment opportunities and an increased access to food.<sup>125</sup> From AD 1350–1500 there was an extended episode of stability in the skeletal data, despite the political commotion of the Hundred Years' War and Wars of the Roses causing social disruption throughout this period.<sup>126</sup> These results suggest that a consistent economy and climate were more influential than sociocultural strain on non-adult morbidity levels. Non-adult trauma during this period is higher and tracks closer to adult rates, perhaps indicating that during this century of war, children were less closely supervised than previously. The legacy of stability provided by Henry VII is reflected in a non-adult and adult drop in morbidity rates during the late 15th century. Economic prosperity and steady wages were matched by the high levels of alms giving for hospital foundations.<sup>127</sup> The heightened cost of living and fluctuations in wheat prices do detract from the picture of an entirely prosperous period, possibly indicating episodes of poor harvests and some early symptoms of underlying economic instability.

The data reveal a significant peak in non-adult morbidity around AD 1540. The causes of this can be split into two factors: the effects of the Reformation and external factors with no relation to the reforms. The closure of the monastic houses indirectly led to the halving of hospital provision in England. This was accompanied by a complete loss of alms giving, charitable donation, education and poor management overseen by the Church.<sup>128</sup> The mechanism of Catholic 'social welfare' was removed with nothing to replace this essential national societal foundation. At the same time, the economy began to fluctuate; some of this was likely motivated by the social unrest caused by the Reformation, but the majority of the problems likely stem from unrelated factors.<sup>129</sup> The rise in the cost of living coincides with fluctuations in agricultural wages which most of the population would have depended on, exacerbated by higher rates of taxation.<sup>130</sup> Fluctuations in wheat prices and some environmental evidence of climatic instability; the combination of these indicates a period of climatic worsening.<sup>131</sup> This is supported by a countrywide shift in dental disease, which could be indicative of a change in eating habits. The stagnation in market growth, environmental worsening and a succession of poor harvests led to several outbreaks of plague.<sup>132</sup> This economic unrest occurred at the same time as the support system of the Church was lost. Population expansion caused stresses through increasing urbanization, escalating to major population pressures by the end of the 15th century.<sup>133</sup>

Trends in the non-adult morbidity data also support the historical research that there were clear stages to medieval childhood. Individuals of 0–5 years are clearly the most susceptible to changes in their ecology, with their rates of morbidity being the most affected by periods that have been defined as ecologically better or worse (Fig 10). Significant levels of trauma and infection, that are also likely underrepresented, indicate that this was a particularly fragile time in medieval life. A significant increase in rickets post-Reformation was most prevalent in this age group, perhaps indicating a shift in infant care, or perhaps an extended period of swaddling.<sup>134</sup>

The most common evidence of infectious processes was periosteal new bone formation, arguably a reflection of general living conditions and episodes of trauma, but also highly problematic to accurately diagnose (Fig 11).<sup>135</sup> The 0–5 and 6–11 year olds were

found to exhibit similar rates of periosteal new bone growth. This could indicate a similar lifestyle, with a state of childhood extending to at least 11 years of age. Previous studies have indicated that that 6–11 year olds would have been undertaking a substantial amount of adult tasks.<sup>136</sup> The palaeopathological data indicate that a state of ‘childhood’ was likely retained for longer than previously assumed. However, By 12–16 years old, morbidity levels become more similar to adult levels. Higher levels of trauma could be an indication of more grown-up activities, such as sporting and hunting, but it could also be an indication of the child’s transition into the adult world and undertaking of adult activities.<sup>137</sup> This is reflected in the historical sources, which detail their beginning apprenticeships and occupational roles, as well as their confirmation to the Church and their taxability. Trauma in younger children (0–3 years) is more likely to be indicative of abuse or neglect, with the likelihood of accidental injury increasing with age and mobility.<sup>138</sup> During the 11th to 14th centuries and post-Reformation, 12–16 year olds follow similar patterns of trauma to 0–11 year olds.

Overall, rural trauma and non-specific infection rates track similarly together for 0–5 and 6–11 year olds. Rates for 12–16 year olds are three times greater, but as high as adult levels (Fig 12). Their level of morbidity is likely underestimated, but even allowing for an escalation in their values, this would likely still be lower than adult levels. The dotted line in Figure 11 indicates the healing time of fractures, which increases with age. This increase is matched by an rise in observed pathology and this shows that non-adult levels of trauma are likely closer to adult levels, but their rate of healing masks traumatic episodes visible in adult skeletons. Exact proportions of how far this affects non-adult data are unclear. Although it is likely that non-adult levels of trauma are in fact higher than we can estimate, the significant differences in the data collected in this study suggest it would still be lower than adult levels.

Between 12 and 16 years of age there is a significant change in activities, but this is still not completely congruent with adult levels (Fig 13). One explanation for this could be that childhood during these periods was extended into adolescence, perhaps indicating an entering into adulthood, but not a complete relinquishing of childhood. Despite previous interpretations that suggested the medieval child was thrust mercilessly into the adult world at a young age, these data indicate not only a sustained period of juvenility, but also a period of transition rather than an abrupt move into adulthood. Interestingly, urban areas show a far less staggered rate of trauma and infection, instead indicating that childhood in urban areas was retained for less time and the transition to adulthood both occurred far earlier and was a more gradual process. However, during the 14th to 16th centuries 12–16 year olds exhibit an adult pattern of trauma. This perhaps reflects a shift in the social norms associated with this particular age group’s role in response to the shifting sociocultural environment. Post-Reformation patterns of trauma dramatically change, showing a clear split between adult and non-adult activities and may tentatively been seen to indicate a more prolonged ‘childhood’.

With 90% of Vitamin D synthesis depending on at least 20 minutes of sun exposure a day, pathologies caused by the lack of it provide us with a clear example of past lifestyle.<sup>139</sup> Levels of rickets represented in the data steadily increase from the 10th century through to the 15th, when post-Reformation rates treble.

Little work has been undertaken regarding non-adult dental disease.<sup>140</sup> An overall shift in eating habits or dental hygiene can perhaps be inferred from the post-Reformation decrease in dental disease. Caries rates follow surprisingly similar patterns, suggesting little difference in dietary habits between age groups. Post Reformation this pattern changes, and

clear differences emerge. The overall lower rates in 0–5 year olds and 12–16 year olds are likely due to the shorter period of time that their deciduous and permanent teeth have had to develop carious lesions. Calculus levels show a clear distinction between the age groups. A post-Reformation drop in calculus rates suggests a shift in dental hygiene or a change in diet, specifically an increase in carbohydrate consumption.<sup>141</sup>

Paradoxically, the rise in non-adult morbidity post-Reformation could indicate that children, particularly younger non-adults, were being cared for in adverse conditions.<sup>142</sup> Most individuals from the medieval period would have been buried in parish or cathedral cemeteries, with those in monastic grounds likely to be of higher social status.<sup>143</sup> Interestingly, non-adults from monastic cemeteries show significantly higher signs of stress than the 'general public'. Hospital cemeteries contained significantly higher proportions of pathological conditions, which is evidence of their actual treatment of individuals with life impacting conditions. The higher levels of morbidity in hospital cemeteries could also be viewed as evidence of non-adults receiving treatment that made it possible for them to survive a period of health stress or particular disease into its chronic stages. The hospital assemblage also indicates that the dead population are indeed more likely to have skeletal indicators of ill health, making them appear sicker than the surviving population.<sup>144</sup> Whilst it is important to note the potentially paradoxical nature of osteological evidence, considering the quantity of data analyzed here, it is still more likely that the rise in morbidity is reflective of overall trends and fluctuations in the health of the living.<sup>145</sup> It could also be hypothesized that the underrepresentation of morbidity in younger non-adults could actually mean that there is very little difference in true prevalence rates. However the significance difference in the data between age categories still indicates that there were marked difference in risk and morbidity patterns during maturation.

## CONCLUSION

The evidence presented above suggests that the child in reforming Britain experienced changes directly related to the dissolution of the monastic houses. The significant peak in non-adult morbidity at the Reformation is a clear indication of heightened stressors in the sociocultural fabric of Britain. These changes caused more significant fluctuations in palaeopathological data than any prior climatic worsening or economic turmoil.<sup>146</sup> The environment of the medieval child was composed and constructed by the Christian Church through the word of God.<sup>147</sup> Royal usurpation of this role saw the disbanding not only of the physical reality of the Church's infrastructure, but the sociocultural foundations of medieval society.<sup>148</sup> These changes to the fundamental environment of a child were rapid and led to the abrupt cessation of 'Catholic social welfare', supplementary support and relief provision.<sup>149</sup> The children of the Reformation who found themselves in need of alms, education, shelter or medical care would have received no formal support, in a country where famine, harvest failures and a heightened cost of living was producing widespread social disorder.<sup>150</sup> These sources of cultural unrest were slowly attended to, but political changes implemented to combat these shortcomings took more than a decade to fully develop, and even then they remained largely ineffective.<sup>151</sup> This is reflected in the sharp increase in non-adult morbidity, followed by a gradual recovery. Although there are difficulties posed by interpreting palaeoepidemiological data, when the limitations are clearly defined, these can still be an ambient signal of past health patterns. Clearly a state of 'childhood' was perceived by

medieval society, punctuated by specific stages of maturation. These stages are mirrored in the palaeopathological and historical evidence. Despite some unavoidable generalisations about medieval Britain, the vast amount of data analyzed here produce a convincing osteological profile of childhood in medieval Britain. The Reformation caused the most significant change in non-adult morbidity trends in the entire medieval period.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to Sarah Bultz at Cambridgeshire County Council Archaeology Field Unit, Kirsty Stonell-Walker at English Heritage, Christopher Guy at Worcester Cathedral, Dr Anwen Caffell and Malin Holst at York Osteology, Dr Louise Loe and Helen Webb at Oxford Archaeology and Pia Nystrom at Sheffield University for their extensive help acquiring reports and instruction. Thanks also to Professor Roberta Gilchrist for her help finding elusive site reports, as well as for the creation of the Requiem database which was essential to this work. Thanks to Dr Jonathan Waterlow and Felicia Fricke for their valued input. Final thanks to Alice Rose for her frequent insights, proofing reading, GIS tutorials and valued friendship.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anderson, S 2009, 'The human skeletal remains', in H Wallis (ed), *A Medieval Cemetery at Mill Lane, Ormesby, St Margaret, Norfolk*, East Anglian Archaeol Rep **130**, 11–27.
- Alexandre-Bidon, D 1999, 'The child in society: twelfth–early sixteenth centuries', in D Alexandre-Bidon and D Lett (eds), *Children in the Middle Ages: Fifth–Fifteenth Centuries*, Indiana: The University of Notre Dame Press, 73–138.
- Anderson, S 2009a, 'Cemetery 3: Late Saxon (Farmer's Avenue)', in Popescu, 194–214.
- Anderson, S 2009b, 'Cemeteries 1 and 4: St John at the Castle Gate', in Popescu, 215–36.
- Anderson, S 2010, 'Human skeletal remains', in R Atkins and E Popescu (eds), 'Excavations at the hospital of St Mary Magdalene, Partney, Lincolnshire, 2003', *Medieval Archaeol* **54**, 242–9.
- Anderson, S and Marlow, M 1995, 'The human remains', in D H Helsop (ed), 'Excavations within the church at the Augustinian Priory of Guisborough, Cleveland', *Yorkshire Archaeol J* **67**, 104–6.
- Anderson, T 1990, 'The human bones', in A Ward and T Anderson (eds), 'Excavations at Rochester cathedral', *Archaeol Cantiana* **108**, 97–136.
- Anderson, T 2006, 'Infant burials', in K Parfitt, B Corke and J Cotter (eds), *Townwall Street, Dover: Excavations 1996*, Canterbury Archaeol Trust Monogr **3**, 319–20.
- Anderson, T 2010, 'The human skeletal material', in I Soden (ed), *Life and Death on a Norwich Backstreet, AD 900–1600: Excavations in St Faith's Lane*, East Anglian Archaeol Rep **133**, 23–5.
- Anderson, T and Andrews, J 2001, 'The human remains', in M Hicks and A Hicks (eds), *St Gregory's Priory, Northgate, Canterbury: Excavations 1988–1991*, Canterbury Archaeological Trust Monogr **2**.
- Ariès, P 1962, *Centuries of Childhood*, Harmondsworth: Penguin Books Ltd.
- Bailey, M 1996, 'Demographic decline in late medieval England: some thoughts on recent research', *Eco Hist Rev* **49**:1, 1–19.
- Baker, D 1971, 'Excavations at Elstow Abbey', *Bedfordshire Archaeol J* **6**, 55–64.

- Bayley, J 1975, *Chelmsford Dominican Priory*, English Heritage Ancient Monuments Laboratory Rep **1890**.
- Bayley, J 1976, *Barnstaple Castle Human Bone Report*, English Heritage Ancient Monuments Laboratory Rep **2054**.
- Baxter, J E 2005, *The Archaeology of Childhood*, California: AltaMira Press.
- Bekvalac, J 2008, Saint Saviour Monastic Cemetery, Bermondsey Abbey, Abbey Street, Long Walk, Southwark SE1, (unpubl rep, Museum of London Archaeology Service).
- Bekvalac, J, Cowal, L, Kausmally, T and Mikulski, R 2007, 56–66 Carter Lane, 1–3 Pilgrim Street, 25–33 Ludgate Hill, EC4, (unpubl rep, Museum of London Archaeology Service).
- Bello, S M, Thomann, A, Signoli, M, Dutour, O, and Andrews, P 2006, 'Age and sex bias in the reconstruction of past population structures,' *Amer J Phys Anthropol* **120**, 24–38.
- Birkett, D A 1986, 'The human burials', in R Daniels (ed), 'The excavation of the church of the Franciscans, Hartlepool, Cleveland', *Archaeol J* **143**, 291–9.
- Boghi, F 2007, 'The human skeletal remains', in S Benfield and H Brooks (eds), *Crouched Friars: The Medieval Church Structure and its Associated Cemetery. 38–40 Crouch Street, Colchester: January–April 2007*, Colchester: Colchester Archaeological Trust, 33–42.
- Bolton, J L 1980, *The Medieval English Economy, 1150–1500*, Guildford: Billing and Sons Ltd.
- Boswell, J E 1984, 'Expositio and oblatio: the abandonment of children and the ancient and medieval family.' *Am Hist Rev* **89**:1, 10–33.
- Boyleston, A and Roberts, C 1995, *Lincoln Excavations 1972–87: Report on the Human Skeletal Remains*, English Heritage Ancient Monuments Laboratory Rep **13/97**.
- Braid, R 2009, 'Behavioural economics, the black death and the labor market', in L Bisgaard and L Søndergaard (eds), *Living with The Black Death*, Odense: University Press of Southern Denmark, 135–60.
- Brickley, M and Ives, R 2008, *The Bioarchaeology of Metabolic Bone Disease*, London: Academic Press.
- Brothwell, D R and Browne, S 1994, 'Pathology', in J M Lilley, G Stroud, D R Brothwell and M H Williamson (eds), *The Jewish Burial Ground at Jewbury*, *Archaeol York*, **12**:3, 457–94.
- Brown, S 1996, 'Human burials', in M Gardiner, M Russell and D Gregory (eds), *Excavations at Lewes Friary 1985–6 and 1988–9*, *Sussex Archaeol Collect* **143**, 117–121.
- Brown, F and Howard-Davis, C 1998, *Norton Priory: Monastery to Museum. Excavations 1970–87*, Lancaster, Oxford Archaeology North.
- Browne, S 2005, 'Human bones', in W D Klemperer and N Boothroyd (eds), 'Excavations at Hulton Abbey, Staffordshire, 1987–1994', *Proc Soc Antiq Scott* **21**, 115–34.
- Browne, S 2010, 'The human bone', in L Barber and L Sibun (eds), 'The medieval hospital of St Nicholas, Lewes, East Sussex', *Sussex Archaeol Collect* **148**, 22–37.
- Bruce, M 1995, 'The human skeletal remains From Kinnoull Street', in D Bowler, A Cox and C Smith (eds), 'Four excavations in Perth, 1979–84', *Proc Soc Antiq Scott* **125**, 979–985.
- Buckberry, J and Storm, R 2008, 'Osteological analysis', in R A Hall, J Buckberry, R Storm and P Budd (eds), 'The medieval cemetery at Riccall Landing: a reappraisal', *Yorkshire Archaeol J* **80**, 69–78.
- Caffell, A and Holst, M 2006, *Osteological Analysis, Whitefriars, Norwich*, York Osteoarchaeol Rep **0806**.



- Cardwell, P 1995, 'Excavation of the hospital of St Giles by Brompton Bridge, North Yorkshire', *Archaeol J* **152**, 109–245.
- Cardy, A 1998, 'The human bones', in P Hill (ed), *Whithorn and St Ninian: The Excavation of a Monastic Town 1984–91*, Stroud: Sutton Publishing Limited, 519–60.
- Christensen, P 2009, 'Appearance and disappearance of the plague: still a puzzle?' in L Bisgaard and L Søndergaard (eds), *Living with The Black Death*, Odense: University Press of Southern Denmark, 11–22.
- Clark, G 2005, 'The condition of the working class in England, 1209–2004', *J Polit Econ* **113**:6, 1307–40.
- Clark, G 2007, 'The long march of history: farm wages, population, and economic growth, England 1209–1869', *Eco Hist Rev*, **60**:1, 97–135.
- Clough, S 2006, 'The human remains', LlanthonySecunda Priory, Gloucester (unpubl rep, Oxford Archaeology).
- Conheeneey, C, 1997, 'The human bone', in C Thomas, B Sloane and C Phillpotts (eds), *Excavations at the Priory and Hospital of St Mary Spital, London*, Museum of London Archaeology Service Monogr **1**.
- Conheeneey, J 2005, 'The human skeletal remains', in J Schofield and R Lea (eds), *Holy Trinity Priory, Aldgate, City of London: An Archaeological Reconstruction and History*, Museum of London Archaeology Service Monogr **24**.
- Cowal, L 2007, *Guildhall Art Gallery, Guildhall Yard; Portland House, 72–73 Basinghall Street, EC2*, Museum of London Archaeology Service Monogr.
- Crawford, S 2009 'The archaeology of play things: theorising a toy stage in the 'biography' of objects', *Childhood Past* **2**, 55–70.
- Cross, J F and Bruce, M F 1990, 'The skeletal remains,' in J A Stones (ed), *Three Scottish Carmelite Friaries: Excavations at Aberdeen, Linlithgow and Perth 1980–1986*, Edinburgh: Society of Antiquaries of Scotland.
- Crossley, D 1990, *Post-Medieval Archaeology in Britain*, Leicester: Leicester University Press.
- Crummy, P 1993, 'Excavations and observations in the grounds of St John's Abbey, 1971–85', in N Crummy, P Crummy and C Crossan (eds), *Excavations of Roman and Later Cemeteries, Churches and Monastic Sites in Colchester, 1971–88*, Colchester Archaeol Rep **9**.
- Daniell, C 1997, *Death and Burial in Medieval England, 1066–1550*, London: Routledge.
- Dawes, J D and Maglilton, J R 1980, *The Cemetery of St Helen-on-the-Walls, Aldwark*, *Archaeol York* **12**.
- Derevenski, J S (ed), 2000, *Children and Material Culture*, London: Routledge
- Duhlg, C 1993 'Assessment of skeletons from Pipe Trenches at Huntingdon', in D Mitchell (ed), *A Leper Cemetery at Spittal's Link, Huntingdon* (unpubl rep, Cambridgeshire County Council Archaeological Field Unit), 6–22.
- Duhlg, C (1994), 'Skeletal remains', in M Alexander (ed), *Medieval Burials at 25–7 Market Square, St Neots* (unpubl rep, Cambridgeshire County Council Archaeology Field Unit), 7–16.
- Dyer, C 2000, *Everyday Life in Medieval England*, London: Hambledon and London.
- Dyer, C 2002, *Making a Living in the Middle Ages: The People of Britain 850–1520*, Yale: Yale University Press.
- Dyer, C 2012, 'Poverty and its relief in late medieval England', *Past Present* **216**:1, 41–78.
- Everton, R F 1976, 'Human bones', in P Rahtz and S Hirst (eds), *Bordesley Abbey*, *Brit Archaeol Rep Brit Ser* **23**, 216–29.

- Finucane, R C 1997, *The Rescue of the Innocents: Endangered Children in Medieval Miracles*, Basingstoke: Macmillan Press.
- Gilchrist, R 2012, *Medieval Life: Archaeology and the Life Course*, Woodbridge: The Boydell Press.
- Gilchrist, R and Sloane, B 2005, *Requiem: The Medieval Monastic Cemetery in Britain*, London: Museum of London Archaeology Service.
- Gilding, N 2005, Osteological Analysis, Main Street, Torksey, Lincolnshire (unpubl rep, York Osteoarchaeology).
- Goldberg, P J P 2004 *Medieval England: A Social History, 1250-1550*. London: Hodder Arnold.
- Goldberg, P J P 2008, 'Childhood and gender in later medieval England', *Viator* **39**:1, 249–62.
- Goodman, A H and Armelagos, G T 1989 'Infant and childhood morbidity and mortality risks in archaeological populations', *World Archaeol* **21**:2, 225–43.
- Goose, H, Guiot, J, Mann, M E, Dubinkina, S and Sallaz-Damaz, Y 2012, 'The medieval climate anomaly in Europe: comparison of the summer and annual mean signals in two reconstructions and in simulations with data assimilation', *Global Planetary Change* **84**, 35–47.
- Gordon, E C 1991, 'Accidents among medieval children as seen from the miracles of six English saints and martyrs', *Med Hist* **35**, 145–63.
- Gowland, R 2006, 'Age as an aspect of social identity: the archaeology funerary evidence', in R Gowland and C Knüsel (eds), *Social Archaeology of Funerary Remains*, Oxford: Oxbow, 143–54.
- Greene, J P 1992, *Medieval Monasteries*, Leicester: Leicester University Press.
- Grell, O P and Cunningham, A 1993 'Introduction', in O P Grell and A Cunningham (eds), *Medicine and the Reformation*, London: Routledge, 1–10.
- Griffiths, R 1978, 'The human skeletal remains', in J H Williams (ed), *Excavations at Greyfriars, Northampton, 1972*, *Northamptonshire Archaeol* **13**, 96–160.
- Guy, C 1994, 'Recent archaeological investigations', in P Barker and C Guy (eds), *Worcester Cathedral: Report of the Fourth Annual Symposium on the Precinct*, 2–5.
- Halcrow, S E and Tayles, N 2008, 'The bioarchaeological investigation of childhood and social age: problems and prospects', *J Archaeol Method Theory* **15**, 190–215.
- Halcrow, S E and Tayles, N 2011, 'The bioarchaeological investigation of children and childhood', in S C Agarwal and B A Glencross (eds), *Social Bioarchaeology*, Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 333–60.
- Hanawalt, B A 1986, *The Ties That Bound: Peasant Families in Medieval England*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hanawalt, B A 1993, *Growing Up In Medieval London: The Experience of Childhood in History*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Harman, M and Wilson, B 1981, 'A medieval graveyard beside Faringdon Road, Abingdon', *Oxoniensia* **56**, 560–61.
- Hazel, C 1998, 'Human bones', in S Bain (ed), *Excavation of a medieval cemetery at Holyrood Abbey, Edinburgh*, *Proc Soc Antiq Scott* **128**, 1065–73.
- Henderson, J D 1990, 'The human skeletal remains', in M R McCarthy (ed), 'Roman, Anglian and medieval site at Blackfriars Street, Carlisle: excavations 1977–9', *Trans Cumberland Westmorland Antiq Archaeol Soc* **4**, 330–355.
- Heywood, C 2001, *A History of Childhood: Children and Childhood in the West from Medieval to Modern Times*, Cambridge: Polity Press.

- Hodder, M 1991, 'Excavations at Sandwell Priory and Hall', *Trans South Staffordshire Archaeol Hist Soc* **31**, 115–36.
- Holst, M 2005, 'The human bone', in C A Spall and N J Toop (eds), *Blue Bridge Lane and Fishergate House, York, Report on Excavations July 2000 to July 2002*, Archaeological Planning Consultancy Monogr **1**, 48–55.
- Holst, M 2006a, Osteological Assessment, Kirkham Priory, North Yorkshire (unpubl rep, York Osteoarchaeology) 1–7.
- Holst, M 2006b, Osteological Analysis, St Andrew's, Corbridge, Northumberland (unpubl rep, York Osteoarchaeology) 1–14.
- Horden, P 2007, 'A non-natural environment: medicine without doctors and the medieval European hospital', in B S Bowers (ed), *The Medieval Hospital and Medical Practice*, Aldershot: Ashgate Publishing Limited, 133–46.
- Hoskins, W G 1964, 'Harvest fluctuations and English economic history, 1480–1619', *Agri Hist Rev* **12**, 28–47.
- Houlbrooke, R A 1986, *The English Family, 1450–1700*, 3rd ed, London: Longman Group Ltd.
- Howe, G M and Phillips, D R 1983, 'Medical geography in the United Kingdom, 1945–1982', in N D McGlashan and J R Blunden (eds), *Geographical Aspects of Health*, London: Academic Press Inc, 33–52.
- Hughes, M K and Diaz, H F 1994, 'Was there a 'medieval warm period', and if so, where and when?', in M K Hughes and H F Diaz (eds), *The Medieval Warm Period, Climatic Change*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 109–42.
- Ingram, M 2005, Hospital Chapel of St Mary and St Thomas, Illford Hill, Illford (unpubl rep, Museum of London Archaeology Service).
- Ives, E 2012, *The Reformation Experience, Living Through the Turbulent 16th Century*, Oxford: Lion Hudson.
- Jackes, M 2011, 'Representativeness and bias in archaeological skeletal samples', in S C Agarwal and B A Glencross (eds), *Social Bioarchaeology*, Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 107–46.
- Jacklin, H 2010, 'Human remains', in M Phillips (ed), *Chicheley Hall Newport, Pagnell, Milton Keynes Archaeological Investigation*, Albion Archaeology Rep **40**, 40–44.
- Jones, G R 1985, 'The human skeletal remains', in T James (ed), 'Excavation at the Augustinian priory of St John and St Teulyddog, Carmarthen, 1979', *Archaeol Cambrensis* **13**, 154–61.
- Jost, J E 2005, 'Loving parents in Middle English literature', in L Bisgaard and L Søndergaard (eds), *Living with The Black Death*, Odense: University Press of Southern Denmark, 307–28.
- Kemp, A M, Dunstan, F, Harrison, S, Morris, S, Mann, M, Rolfe, K, Datta, S, Thomas, P, Sibert, J R and Maguire, S 2008, 'Patterns of skeletal fractures in child abuse: systematic review', *Brit Med J* **337**, 1158–66.
- Kenward, R 1977, 'Human bones', in M Lyne (ed), *Lewes Priory: Excavations by Richard Lewis, 1969–82*, Lewes: Lewes Priory Trust, 151–7.
- Knight, H 2002, *Aspects of Medieval and Later Southwark: Archaeological Excavations (1991–8) for the London Underground Limited Jubilee Line Extension Project*, Museum of London Archaeology Service Monogr **13**.
- Lambrick, G 1985, *Further Excavations on the Second Site of the Dominican Priory, Oxford Oxoniensia* **50**, 131–208.

- Lee, F and Magilton, J 2008, 'Physical anthropology', in J Magilton, F Lee and A Boylston (eds), *Lepers Outside the Gate: Excavations at the Cemetery of the Hospital of St James and St Mary Magdalene, Chichester, 1986–87 and 1993*, CBA Res Rep **158**, 150–156.
- Lett, D 1999, 'The child in christendom: fifth–thirteenth centuries', in D Alexandre-Bidon and D Lett (eds), *Children in the Middle Ages: Fifth–Fifteenth Centuries*, Indiana: The University of Notre Dame Press, 7–69.
- Lewis, C 2009, 'Children's play in the later medieval English countryside', *Childhood Past* **2**, 86–108.
- Lewis, M E 2000, 'Non-adult palaeopathology: current status and future potential', in M Cox and S Mays (eds), *Human Osteology in Archaeology and Forensic Science*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 39–56.
- Lewis, M E 2007, *The Bioarchaeology of Children: Perspective from Biological and Forensic Anthropology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lewis, M E and Gowland RL 2007, 'Brief and precarious lives: infant mortality in contrasting sites from medieval and post-medieval England', *Am J Phys Anthropol* **134**, 117–29.
- Lillehammer, G 2000, 'The world of children', in Derevenski, 17–26.
- Loe, L 2006, 'Analysis of the human bone from sites 1 and 2', in R Jackson (ed), *Excavations at St James's Priory, Bristol*, Oxford: Oxbow Books, 105–20.
- Loe, L and Robson-Brown, K 2005, 'Summary report on the human skeletons', in H Holbrook and A Thomas (eds), 'An early-medieval monastic cemetery at Llandough, Glamorgan: excavations in 1994', *Medieval Archaeol* **49**, 42–52.
- Ludlow, N D, Ramsey, R S F and Schlee, D E 2002, 'Pill Priory, 1996:99: recent work at a Tironian house in Pembrokeshire', *Medieval Archaeol* **46**, 41–80.
- McKintosh, M K 1988, 'Local responses to the poor in late medieval and Tudor England', *Continuity Change* **3**:2, 209–45.
- Malt, D and White, W 1988, *Excavations at Broad Street Station: the Broadgate Development: The Cemetery* (unpubl rep, Museum of London Archive Report).
- Manifold, B E 2010, 'The representation of non-adult skeletal elements recovered for British archaeological sites', *Childhood Past* **3**, 43–62.
- Mays, S 1991, *The Medieval Burials From the Blackfriars Friary, School Street, Ipswich, Suffolk*, English Heritage Ancient Monuments Laboratory Rep **16/91**.
- Mays, S 1998, *The Archaeology of Human Bones*, London: Routledge.
- Mays, S 2009, 'The osteology of monasticism in medieval England', in R Gowland and C Knüsel (eds), *Social Archaeology of Funerary Remains*, Oxford: Oxbow Books, 179–89.
- Mays, S, Brickley, M, and Ives, R 2006, 'Skeletal manifestations of rickets in infants and young children in a historic population from England', *Amer J Phys Anthropol* **129**, 362–74.
- Mays, S, Harding, C and Heighway, C 2007, *The Churchyard, A Study of the Settlement of the Yorkshire Wolds*, Oxford: Oxbow Books.
- Meade, M S and Earickson, R J 2005, *Medical Geography*, 2nd ed, New York: The Guildford Press.
- Miles, A, White, W and Tankard, D 2008, *Burial at the Site of the Parish Church of St Benet Sherehog Before and After the Great Fire. Excavations at 1 Poultry, City of London*, Museum of London Archaeology Service Monogr **39**.

- Miller, P and Saxby 2007, *The Augustinian Priory of St Mary Merton, Surrey*, Museum of London Archaeology Service Monogr **34**.
- Milner, G R, Wood, J W and Boldsen, J L 2008, 'Advances in paleodemography', in M A Katzenberg and S R Saunders (eds), *Biological Anthropology of the Human Skeleton*, 2nd ed, New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons Inc, 561–600.
- Mynard, D C and Ivens, R J 2002, *The Excavation of Gorefields: A Medieval Nunnery and Grange at Stoke Golding, Buckinghamshire*, Records of Buckinghamshire **42**.
- Nolan, J 2010, The early medieval cemetery at the castle, Newcastle upon Tyne, *Archaeol Aeliana* **39**, 147–288.
- O'Connor, T P 1993, 'The human skeletal material', in W Rodwell and K Rodwell (eds), *Rivenhall: Investigations of a Roman Villa, Church and Village, 1950–77: Volume 2*, CBA Res Rep **80**.
- O'Connor, J and Cohen, J. 'Dating fractures', in P Kleinman (ed), *Diagnostic Imaging of Child Abuse*, Baltimore: Williams and Williams, 103–13.
- Orme, N 1994, 'Children and the Church in medieval England', *J Eccles Hist* **45:4**, 563–88.
- Orme, N 1995, 'The culture of children in medieval England', *Past Present* **148**, 48–88.
- Orme, N 2001, *Medieval Children*, Yale: Yale University Press.
- Orme, N 2008, 'Medieval childhood: challenge, change and achievement', *Childhood Past* **1**, 106–19.
- Orme, N and Webster, M 1995, *The English Hospital, 1070–1570*, Yale: Yale University Press.
- Ortner, D J 2003, *Identification of Pathological Conditions in Human Skeletal Remains*, San Diego: Academic Press.
- Ortner, D J and Mays, S 1998, 'Dry-bone manifestations of rickets in infancy and early childhood', *Int J Osteo* **8**, 45–55.
- Parker Pearson, M 2003, *The Archaeology of Death and Burial*, Stroud: Sutton Publishing Limited.
- Pettifor, J 2003, 'Rickets, osteomalacia and other metabolic bone disease; influence of nutrition', in S A New and J P Bonjour (eds), *Nutritional Aspects of Bone Health*, Cambridge: The Royal Society of Chemistry, 1–11.
- Popescu, E S (ed), 2009, *Norwich Castle: Excavations and Historical Survey, 1987–98, Part I: Anglo-Saxon to 1345*, East Anglian Archaeol Rep, **132**.
- Porteus, S 2009, An Archaeological Watching Brief at St Nicholas Church, Charlwood, Surrey (unpubl rep, Archaeology South-East Project).
- Poulton, R. and Woods, H 1984, *Excavations on the Site of the Dominican Friary at Guildford in 1974 and 1978*, Surrey Archaeol Soc Res Vol **9**.
- Powell, F 1996, 'The human remains', in A Boddington (ed), *Raunds Furnells: The Anglo-Saxon Church and Churchyard*, London: English Heritage, 113–124.
- Rawcliffe, C 1984, 'The Hospitals of Later Medieval London', *Medical History*. **28**, 1–28.
- Rawcliffe, C 1999, *Medicine and Society in Later Medieval England*, London: Sandpiper Books Ltd.
- Rex, R 1993, *Henry VIII and the English Reformation*, Basingstoke: The Macmillan Press Ltd.
- Roberts, C 2009, 'Health and welfare in medieval England: the human skeletal remains contextualized', in R Gilchrist and A Reynolds (eds), *Reflections: 50 Year of Medieval Archaeology, 1957–2007*, Soc Medieval Archaeol Monogr **30**, 307–26.
- Roberts, C and Cox, M 2003, *Health and Disease in Britain, From Prehistory to the Present Day*, Stroud: Sutton Publishing Limited.

- Roberts, C and Manchester, K 2010, *The Archaeology of Disease*, 3rd ed, Stroud: The History Press.
- Rogers, J 1984, 'Skeletons from the lay cemetery at Taunton Priory,' in P Leach (ed), *The Archaeology of Taunton*, Western Archaeological Trust Excavation Monogr **8**.
- Rogers, J 1999, 'Burials: the human skeletons', in C Heighway and R Bryant (eds), *The Golden Minster: The Anglo-Saxon Minster and Later Medieval Priory of St Oswald at Gloucester*, CBA Res Rep **117**.
- Rushton, N H 2001, 'Monastic charitable provision in Tudor England: quantifying and qualifying poor relief in the early sixteenth century', *Continuity Change* **16**:1, 9–44.
- Saunders, S R 2008, 'Juvenile skeletons and growth-related studies', in M A Katzenberg and S R Saunders (eds), *Biological Anthropology of the Human Skeleton*, 2nd ed, New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons Inc, 117–48.
- Saunders, S R and Hoppa, R D 1993, 'Growth deficit in survivors and non-survivors: biological morality bias in subadult skeletal samples', *Year Phys Anthropol* **36**, 127–51.
- Scheuer, L and Black, S 2004, *The Juvenile Skeleton*, London: Academic Press.
- Schofield, J 2011, *London 1100–1600: The Archaeology of a Capital City*, Sheffield: Equinox Publishing Ltd.
- Shahar, S 1992, *Childhood in the Middle Ages*, London: Routledge.
- Shoesmith, R 1980, *Excavations at Castle Green, Hereford City Excavations, Volume I*, CBA Res Rep **36**.
- Shoesmith, R 1984, 'St Guthlac's Priory, Hereford', *Trans Woolhope Natur Field Club* **44**:3, 321–57.
- Sloane, B and Malcolm, G 2004, *Excavations at the Priory of Order of the Hospital of St John of Jerusalem, Clerkenwell*, Museum of London Archaeology Service Monogr **20**.
- Soden, I 1995, *Excavations at St Anne's Charterhouse, Coventry 1968–87*, Coventry Museums Monogr **4**.
- Derevenski, J S, 2001, 'Material culture shock: confronting expectations in the material culture of children', in Derevenski, 3–16.
- Stirland, A 1981, 'The human bones', in J E Mellor and T Pearce (eds), *The Austin Friars, Leicester*, CBA Res Rep **35**.
- Stirland, A 1985, 'The human bones', in B Ayres (ed), *Excavations Within the North-West Bailey of Norwich Castle, 1979*, East Anglian Archaeol Rep **28**, 49–56.
- Stirland, A 1987, 'The human bones', in B Ayers (ed), *Excavations at St Martin-at-Palace Plain, Norwich, 1981*, East Anglian Archaeol Rep **37**.
- Stodder, A L W 2008, 'Taphonomy and the nature of archaeological assemblages', in M A Katzenberg and S R Saunders (eds), *Biological Anthropology of the Human Skeleton*, 2nd ed, New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons Inc, 71–116.
- Stroud, G 1984, *The Human Skeletal Remains from Barton Bendish All Saints*, English Heritage Ancient Monuments Laboratory Rep **4251**.
- Stroud, G 1993, 'Human skeletal material', in C Dallas (ed), *Excavations in Thetford, by B. K. Davison Between 1964 and 1970*, East Anglian Archaeol Rep **62**.
- Stroud, S 1998, 'Human bone', in R Price and M Ponsford (eds), *St Bartholomew's Hospital, Bristol: The Excavation of a Medieval Hospital: 1976–8*, CBA Res Rep **110**.
- Stroud, G and Kemp, R L 1993, *Cemeteries of the Church and Priory of St Andrew, Fishergate*, Archaeol York **12**.
- Sweetinburgh, S 2004, *The Role of the Hospital in Medieval England: Gift-Giving and the Spiritual Economy*, Dublin: Four Courts Press Ltd.



- Turner, B L and Andrushko, V A 2011, 'Partnerships, pitfalls and ethical concerns in international bioarchaeology', in S C Agarwal and B A Glencross (eds), *Social Bioarchaeology*, Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 44–67.
- Vincent, S and Mays, S 2009, *Huntingdon Castle Mound, Cambridgeshire: Osteological Analysis of the Huntingdon Castle Population*, English Heritage Research Department Rep **8–2009**.
- Waldron, T 1986, *The Human Bones From Brighton Hill South*, English Heritage Ancient Monuments Laboratory Rep **117/87**.
- Waldron, T 2007a, *St Peter's, Barton-Upon-Humber, Lincolnshire: A Parish Church and its Community, Volume 2, The Human Remains*, Oxford: Oxbow Books.
- Waldron, T 2007b, *Palaeoepidemiology: The Measure of Disease in the Human Past*, California: Left Coast Press Inc.
- Waldron, T 2009, *Palaeopathology*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Walker, P L 2008, 'Bioarchaeological ethics: a historical perspective on the value of human remains', in M A Katzenberg and S R Saunders (eds), *Biological Anthropology of the Human Skeleton*, 2nd ed, New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons Inc, 3–40.
- Ward, S 1990, 'Burials from the Dominican Friary', in S W Ward (ed), *Excavations at Chester, the Lesser Medieval Religious Houses: Sites Investigated 1964–1983*, Grosvenor Museum Archaeological Excavation and Survey Rep **6**.
- Warren, D 1993, 'Excavation at 2 Priory Road, Dunstable', *Manshead J* **33**:4, 12–21.
- Webb, H 2008, St Nicholas Church, Chadlington (unpubl rep, Oxford Archaeology).
- Weiss-Krejci, E 2011, 'The formation of mortuary deposits: implications for understanding mortuary behavior of past populations', in S C Agarwal and B A Glencross (eds), *Social Bioarchaeology*, Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 68–106.
- Wells, C 1967, 'Report on the human skeletons from Red Castle, Thetford', in G M Knocker (ed), 'Excavations at Red Castle, Thetford', *Norfolk Archaeol* **34**, 155–186.
- Wells, C 1980, 'Discussion of the human skeletal remains', in P Wade-Martins (ed), *Excavations in North Elmham Park, 1967–1972*, East Anglian Archaeol Rep **9**:2, 247–74.
- White, W 2007a, 'Human bones', in D Bowsher, T Dyson, N Holder and I Howell (eds), *The London Guildhall: An Archaeological History of a Neighborhood From Early Medieval to Modern Times: Part II*, Museum of London Archaeol Service Monogr **36**, 498–501.
- White, W 2007b, 'The human bone', in P Miller and T Wilson (eds), *Saxon, Medieval and Post-Medieval Settlement at Sol Central, Marefair, Northampton: Archaeological Investigations 1998–2002*, Museum of London Archaeol Service Monogr **27**, 31–4.
- White, W 2007c, 'Excavations at St Mary Spital: burial of the "sick poore" of medieval London, the evidence of illness and hospital treatment', in B S Bowers (ed), *The Medieval Hospital and Medical Practice*, Aldershot: Ashgate, 59–64.
- Wilkie, L 2000, 'Not merely child's play: creating a historical archaeology of children and childhood', in Derevenski, 100–13.
- Wilkinson, J L 1998, 'Report on human skeletal remains', in G Manning (ed), Carmarthen Greyfriars, Carmarthen: The 1997 Rescue Excavations and Watching Brief on the Site of the Choir and Area North of the Friary (unpubl rep, Archaeoleg Cambria Archaeology).
- Wood, W J, Milner, G R, Harpending, H C and Weiss, K M 1992, 'The osteological paradox: problems of inferring prehistoric health from skeletal samples', *Curr Anthropol* **33**:4, 343–70.

FIG 1

The Dance of Death: Death Carries off A Young Child. *Woodcut by Hans Holbein the Younger, AD 1583, Les Simulachres et Historiees Face de la Mort ©.*

FIG 2

Defining chronological, biological and social age. Illustration by B. J. Penny-Mason.

FIG 3

Children's games. *Painting by Pieter Bruegel, AD 1559, Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna ©.*

FIG 4

The wheel of the ten ages of man. *Manuscript: The British Library©, AD 1310, Arundel 83 f. 126v.*

FIG 5

Hagiographic and coroner roll accounts of accidents and illnesses. Illustration by B. J. Penny-Mason.

FIG 6

The circle of human ecology. Illustration by B. J. Penny-Mason.

FIG 7

Problems with population representation. Illustration by B. J. Penny-Mason.

FIG 8

Distribution of sites in Britain. Illustration by B. J. Penny-Mason.

FIG 9

Results of crude prevalence of non-adult pathology in Britain, in relation to economic factors. Illustration by B. J. Penny-Mason.

FIG 10

Crude prevalence of non-specific Infection. Illustration by B. J. Penny-Mason.

FIG 11

Rural vs urban crude prevalence of trauma and non-specific infection. Illustration by B. J. Penny-Mason using data from O'Conner and Cohen (1987).

FIG 12

Crude prevalence of trauma. Illustration by B. J. Penny-Mason.

FIG 13

Crude prevalence of rickets. Illustration by B. J. Penny-Mason.

TAB 1

- 
- <sup>1</sup>The Maisonette, 200a Banbury Road, Oxford, Oxfordshire OX2 7BY.  
*bennpennymason@msn.com*
- <sup>2</sup>Durham University, Department of Archaeology, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE.  
*rebecca.gowland@durham.ac.uk*
- <sup>3</sup>Bolton 1980, 345.
- <sup>4</sup>Rushton 2001, 9.
- <sup>5</sup>Orme and Webster 1995, 65; Ives 2012, 26.
- <sup>6</sup>McKintosh 1988, 216.
- <sup>7</sup>Greene 1992, 178; McKintosh 1988, 225.
- <sup>8</sup>McKintosh 1988, 230.
- <sup>9</sup>Gilchrist 2012, 44.
- <sup>10</sup>Goodman and Armelagos 1989.
- <sup>11</sup>Derevenski 2001, 9; Turner and Andrushko 2011, 44.
- <sup>12</sup>Wells 1967, 159.
- <sup>13</sup>Schofield 2011, 11.
- <sup>14</sup>Halcrow and Tayles 2011, 346; Lewis 2007, 9.
- <sup>15</sup>Halcrow and Tayles 2011, 348; Boswell 1984, 10; Orme 2008, 106; Lewis 2009, 104.
- <sup>16</sup>Ariès 1962, 356.
- <sup>17</sup>Gilchrist 2012, 42; Hanawalt 1993, 5; Shahar 1992, 3.
- <sup>18</sup>Halcrow and Tayles 2008, 192; Gowland 2006, 143.
- <sup>19</sup>Orme 1994, 578.
- <sup>20</sup>Orme 2001, 8.
- <sup>21</sup>Gilchrist 2012, 42; Hanawalt 1993, 5; Shahar 1992, 3.
- <sup>22</sup>Heywood 2001, 60; Rawcliffe 1999, 202; Lewis and Gowland 2007
- <sup>23</sup>Gilchrist 2012, 138.
- <sup>24</sup>Shahar 1992, 35.
- <sup>25</sup>Orme 2001, 62; Hanawalt 1986, 175; Finucane 1997, 39; Houlbrooke 1986, 132.
- <sup>26</sup>Shahar 1992, 103.
- <sup>27</sup>Parker Pearson 2003, 103; Goldberg 2008, 262.
- <sup>28</sup>Orme 1995, 52; Crawford 2009, 57.
- <sup>29</sup>Meade and Earickson 2005, 27; Roberts 2009, 311.
- <sup>30</sup>Dyer 2000, 134.
- <sup>31</sup>Dyer 2000, 141; Gilchrist 2012, 121.
- <sup>32</sup>Houlbrooke 1986, 139.
- <sup>33</sup>Shahar 1992, 140; Alexandre-Bidon 1999, 98.
- <sup>34</sup>Finucane 1997, 109.
- <sup>35</sup>Goldberg 2008, 261; Gordon 1991, 163.
- <sup>36</sup>Gilchrist 2012, 147; Hanawalt 1986, 157.
- <sup>37</sup>Alexandre-Bidon 1999, 75; Houlbrooke 1986, 153.
- <sup>38</sup>Heywood 2001, 35.
- <sup>39</sup>Houlbrooke 1986, 147; Lett 1999, 39.
- <sup>40</sup>Orme 2001, 83; Shahar 1992, 171.
- <sup>41</sup>Heywood 2001, 11; Hanawalt 1986, 183; Houlbrooke 1986, 150.

- 
- <sup>42</sup>Hanawalt 1986, 159.
- <sup>43</sup>Hanawalt 1993, 117; Orme 1995, 61; Orme 2001, 178.
- <sup>44</sup>Gilchrist 2012, 92.
- <sup>45</sup>Orme 1995, 63.
- <sup>46</sup>Shahar 1992, 106; Alexandre-Bidon 1999, 122; Ives 2012, 24.
- <sup>47</sup>Orme 2001, 242; Shahar 1992, 175.
- <sup>48</sup>Shahar 1992, 242; Orme 2001, 307; Goldberg 2004, 262.
- <sup>49</sup>Hanawalt 1993, 142; Orme 2001, 68.
- <sup>50</sup>Shahar 1992, 191.
- <sup>51</sup>Houlbrooke 1986, 150.
- <sup>52</sup>Roberts and Cox 2003, 237.
- <sup>53</sup>McKintosh 1988, 219.
- <sup>54</sup>Goldberg 2004, 17.
- <sup>55</sup>Orme 2001, 334.
- <sup>56</sup>Orme 1994, 571; Goldberg 2004, 26.
- <sup>57</sup>Hanawalt 1986, 99.
- <sup>58</sup>Orme 2008, 112; Shahar 1992, 82.
- <sup>59</sup>Daniell 1997, 5; Rex 1993, 73.
- <sup>60</sup>Orme 1994, 563.
- <sup>61</sup>Houlbrooke 1986, 130; Shahar 1992, 45; Orme 1994, 563.
- <sup>62</sup>Orme 2001, 202.
- <sup>63</sup>Alexandre-Bidon 1999, 121; Lett 1999, 51.
- <sup>64</sup>Orme 1994, 578.
- <sup>65</sup>Orme 2001, 216; Mays 2009, 181.
- <sup>66</sup>Gilchrist and Sloane 2005, 6.
- <sup>67</sup>Gilchrist and Sloane 2005, 19; Ives 2012, 51.
- <sup>68</sup>Sweetinburgh 2004, 14; Gilchrist 2012, 190.
- <sup>69</sup>McKintosh 1988, 214.
- <sup>70</sup>McKintosh 1988, 209.
- <sup>71</sup>Orme 2001, 91; Dyer 2012, 41.
- <sup>72</sup>Dyer 2012, 46.
- <sup>73</sup>Hanawalt 1993, 33; McKintosh 1988, 214.
- <sup>74</sup>Orme 2001, 91; Dyer 2012, 44.
- <sup>75</sup>Dyer 2012, 77.
- <sup>76</sup>Ibid.
- <sup>77</sup>McKintosh 1988, 220; Rushton 2001, 9.
- <sup>78</sup>McKintosh 1988, 225.
- <sup>79</sup>Orme and Webster 1995, 53.
- <sup>80</sup>Horden 2007, 141; Rawcliffe 1984, 11.
- <sup>81</sup>Dyer 2012, 46; Orme and Webster 1995, 62; Rawcliffe 1999, 210; Horden 2007, 136.
- <sup>82</sup>Halcrow and Tayles 2008, 201; McKintosh 1988, 219.
- <sup>83</sup>Roberts and Cox 2003, 225.
- <sup>84</sup>Bolton 1980, 72.
- <sup>85</sup>Hoskins 1964, 28.
- <sup>86</sup>Clark 2007, 115; Bailey 1996, 9; Bolton 1980, 46; McKintosh 1988, 213.
- <sup>87</sup>Dyer 2002, 272; Braid 2009, 143.

- 
- <sup>88</sup>Gilchrist 2012, 38; Dyer 2002, 280.
- <sup>89</sup>Bolton 1980, 345.
- <sup>90</sup>Bailey 1996, 2.
- <sup>91</sup>Hoskins 1964, 32.
- <sup>92</sup>McKintosh 1988, 225; Rex 1993, 161.
- <sup>93</sup>McKintosh 1988, 230.
- <sup>94</sup>Lewis 2007, 4.
- <sup>95</sup>Baxter 2005, 99; Halcrow and Tayles 2011, 337; Lewis 2000, 48; Ortner 2003, 112.
- <sup>96</sup>Howe and Phillips 1983, 34.
- <sup>97</sup>Meade and Earickson 2005, 3.
- <sup>98</sup>Lewis 2007, 12.
- <sup>99</sup>Ibid, 163.
- <sup>100</sup>Lewis 2007, 135.
- <sup>101</sup>Scheuer and Black 2004, 19.
- <sup>102</sup>Lewis 2007, 47.
- <sup>103</sup>Ibid; Saunders 2008, 118.
- <sup>104</sup>Lewis 2007, 28.
- <sup>105</sup>Stodder 2008, 83; Saunders 2008, 119; Bello et al 2006, 24; Manifold 2010, 59.
- <sup>106</sup>Brickley and Ives 2008, 13.
- <sup>107</sup>Mays 1998, 15.
- <sup>108</sup>Weiss-Krejci 2011, 68.
- <sup>109</sup>Jackes 2011, 107; Milner et al 2008, 563; Waldron 2007b, 28.
- <sup>110</sup>Wood et al 1992, 344.
- <sup>111</sup>Ibid; Ortner 2003, 42.
- <sup>112</sup>Waldron 2009, 253.
- <sup>113</sup>Jackes 2011, 138.
- <sup>114</sup>Gilchrist and Sloane, 2005.
- <sup>115</sup>Crossley 1990, 98.
- <sup>116</sup>Roberts and Cox 2003, 289.
- <sup>117</sup>Ives 2012, 13.
- <sup>118</sup>Gilchrist and Sloane 2005, 47.
- <sup>119</sup>Gilchrist and Sloane 2005, 62.
- <sup>120</sup>Orme 1994, 572.
- <sup>121</sup>Gilchrist and Sloane 2005, 60.
- <sup>122</sup>Greene 1992, 56.
- <sup>123</sup>Ortner 2003.
- <sup>124</sup>Goodman and Armelagos 1989, 239; Lewis 2007, 163.
- <sup>125</sup>Clark 2007, 115; Bailey 1996, 9; Bolton 1980, 46.
- <sup>126</sup>Hughes and Diaz 1994, 136; Goose et al 2012, 35; Rex 1993, 12.
- <sup>127</sup>Bolton 1980, 345; Orme and Webster 1995, 11; McIntosh 1988, 221.
- <sup>128</sup>Dyer 2012, 77.
- <sup>129</sup>Sweetinburgh 2004, 22; Orme and Webster 1995, 39.
- <sup>130</sup>McKintosh 1988, 225; Rex 1993, 161.
- <sup>131</sup>Goose et al 2012, 36, Hughes and Diaz 1994, 136.
- <sup>132</sup>Hoskins 1964, 32.
- <sup>133</sup>McKintosh 1988, 225; Roberts 2009, 320; Clark 2005, 1330.

- 
- <sup>134</sup>Houlbrooke 1986, 132.
- <sup>135</sup>Roberts and Cox 2003, 235.
- <sup>136</sup>Heywood 2001, 11.
- <sup>137</sup>Orme 1995, 63; Shahar 1992, 242; Orme 2001, 307; Goldberg 2004, 262; Alexandre-Bidon 1999, 80.
- <sup>138</sup>Kemp et al 2008, 1159.
- <sup>139</sup>Roberts and Manchester 2010, 238; Mays et al 2006, 370; Pettifor 2003, 65.
- <sup>140</sup>Halcrow and Tayles 2011, 343.
- <sup>141</sup>Roberts 2009, 316.
- <sup>142</sup>Wood et al 1992, 344; Walker 2008, 15.
- <sup>143</sup>Gilchrist and Sloane 2005, 63.
- <sup>144</sup>Saunders and Hoppa 1993, 128.
- <sup>145</sup>Jackes 2011, 138.
- <sup>146</sup>Hughes and Diaz 1994, 125; Hoskins 1964, 32.
- <sup>147</sup>Daniell 1997, 5.
- <sup>148</sup>Rushton 2001, 32.
- <sup>149</sup>McKintosh 1988, 225.
- <sup>150</sup>McKintosh 1988, 226; Dyer 2012, 75; Sweetinburgh 2004, 23; Orme and Webster 1995, 37.
- <sup>151</sup>Rex 1993, 99.