Variation in suspended sediment yield across the UK – a failure of the concept and interpretation of the sediment delivery ratio

3

4	Fred Worrall ¹ , Tim P.Burt ² , Nicholas J.K. Howden ³ and Gregory R.Hancock ⁴	
5	1. Dept of Earth Sciences, Science Laboratories, South Road, Durham, DH1	3LE, UK.
6	2. Dept. of Geography, Science Laboratories, South Road, Durham, DH1 3L	E, UK.
7	3. Dept. of Civil Engineering, University of Bristol, Queens Building, Bristol	, UK.
8	4. School of Environmental and Life Sciences, The University of Newcastle	, Callaghan,
9	New South Wales, Australia.	

10

11 Abstract

The sediment delivery ratio (SDR) has been a common approach developed to understand change in sediment yield and flux through a catchment. In this study we propose that the underlying concept of the sediment delivery ratio is flawed for a number of reasons: its linear extrapolation is physically meaningless; there is no evidence of the magnitude of storage required by the SDR approach on annual to decadal timescales; and the SDR approach assumes suspended sediment transport is conservative yet it is known to undergo both loss and production in-channel.

This study considers the sediment yield from 192 UK catchments from 1974 to 2010 for catchment areas between 4 and 9948 km² and shows that linear extrapolation of the SDR approach overpredicts source terms and underpredicts fluxes for large catchments. The SDR approach hides a range of behaviours of suspended sediment flux within catchments with patterns of net deposition, net increase or no change all apparent in UK catchments. The

¹ Corresponding author: <u>Fred.Worrall@durham.ac.uk</u>; tel. no. +44 (0)191 334 2295; fax no. +44 (0)191 334 2301.

approach proved to be self-correlated which meant that it can result in spurious correlations when compared to catchment area. The change in yield with catchment area can be just as well understood as a change in sediment supply from channels rather than as a change in delivery from hillslope sources. We propose that suspended sediment flux change with catchment area be modelled as a more physically-meaningful Gompertz function (step function) rather than using the traditional SDR approach.

30

31 Keywords: suspended sediment flux; SDR; particulate organic matter; rivers

32

33 **1. Introduction**

34 The sediment delivery ratio (SDR) is a common approach used to explain changes in 35 sediment flux through a catchment (Roehl, 1962; Burt and Allison, 2009). The concept was developed because of the need to link observed erosion at field or hillslope scale to sediment 36 yields in larger catchments and to then predict sediment yield and flux at ungauged sites (e.g. 37 38 Bouraoui and Dillaha, 1996). Here, flux is defined as the mass of suspended sediment per year (tonnes/yr) and the yield is the flux per unit area per year (tonnes/km²/yr; yield is often 39 referred to as export). White (2005) described the sediment delivery ratio as a 40 conceptualisation of the erosion-transport-deposition cycle. The black-box nature of the 41 interpretation of SDR has led to criticism (e.g. Trimble and Cosson, 2000) and its subsequent 42 43 replacement by sophisticated deterministic models (e.g. Borah and Bera, 2004) which nonetheless remain opaque due to the complexity of their linkages and difficulties of 44 parameterisation. 45

46

47 1.1 Questioning the geomorphological basis for a Sediment Delivery Ratio

48 Here we are interested in the SDR approach as it pertains to suspended sediment flux. We argue that, for a number of reasons, the use of the SDR approach could have misled the 49 interpretation of suspended sediment flux. Firstly, the term SDR implies that the major reason 50 51 for sediment yield to decrease with increasing catchment area is that delivery of sediment gets less and less, i.e. sediment is not delivered to lower reaches of the basin but rather is lost 52 to storage (Fryirs, 2013). This can be seen in the review of SDR given by Walling (1983) 53 where the spatial lumping of the SDR concept has been recognised but has been interpreted 54 as difference in delivery across the catchment, with each unit of the catchment having its own 55 SDR. Several authors have then been forced to explain a decline in sediment yield with 56 increasing catchment area as due to a decline in connectivity as catchment area increases 57 (Delmas et al., 2012; Fryirs, 2013) and, although they talk of changing erosion rates through 58 59 a catchment, this is not accounted for. Relying on loss to storage to explain changes in 60 sediment yield with catchment area implies large sinks, but such large sink sizes are difficult to explain, identify or justify given the known, measured rates of deposition. 61

Worrall et al. (2013) calculated the suspended sediment flux for the UK, for which the SDR would be 10%, although if an empirical rule were applied (Roehl, 1962), then the SDR would be approximately 4%. Based on the average suspended sediment flux from the UK from 1974 to 2010, at an SDR of 10%, then 84 Mtonnes/yr would have to be stored somewhere in the UK each year, this is equivalent to an average 344 tonnes/km²/yr stored for each km² of the UK. This equates to an annual net deposition across the UK of 0.23 mm/ha/yr based upon a bulk density of 1.5 tonnes/m³.

Sediment budgets are often estimated for small headwater catchments; for example,
Walling et al. (2002) studied lowland agricultural catchments in the UK of between 0.96 and
2.67 km² and found fluxes at the catchment outlets were between 40 and 209 tonnes/km²/yr
with SDR between 14 and 27%. The headwater catchments used by Walling et al. (2002)

73 were described as typical of lowland Britain yet the in-field storage, and storage between 74 field and channel (an SDR of between 14 and 27% equates to between 72 and 86% sediment 75 storage), were still not sufficient to achieve the amount of deposition required at the national 76 scale.

If sediment storage in "typical" headwater catchments is not sufficient, are there additional 77 processes operating at larger scales that could remove an even greater percentage of 78 suspended sediment flux? Overbank sedimentation occurs in the lower courses of typical UK 79 rivers, but estimates of overbank sedimentation give very low rates compared to those 80 81 required. Walling et al. (1999) estimated overbank sedimentation for the Yorkshire Ouse (3313 km² - flux at outlet: 49 ktonnes/yr) as 30% of the outlet flux (23% of influent 82 suspended sediment flux) and as 40% of the outlet flux (29% of influent flux) for the River 83 Tweed (4390 km^2 – flux at outlet: 66 ktonnes/yr). At its maximum, the rate of overbank 84 sedimentation was 6 tonnes/km²/yr. Therefore, compared to sediment storage and SDR 85 required for the UK, the available studies do not show sufficient loss to overbank storage. 86

87 Overbank sedimentation is not the only storage process operating at large scales in river catchments and there could be in-channel storage. Collins and Walling (2007) give values of 88 in-channel storage as between 18% and 57% of the outlet flux of two UK lowland streams 89 but they note that most of this storage was transient. Indeed, Walling et al. (2002) noted that 90 permanent in-channel storage was only between 2 and 5% of the catchment outlet flux. 91 92 Therefore, there is no evidence for sufficient in-catchment storage if the sediment delivery problem is only interpreted in such terms as delivery, conveyance and connectivity - there 93 must have been a change in supply. This can be observed in the study of Delmas et al. (2012) 94 where there is a recognition that erosion rate changes with scale from hillslope scale up to a 95 catchment area of 10,000 km² but there was no recognition that the rate of sediment delivery 96 would change with scale, and therefore did not consider there would be any change upon the 97

98 estimated value of SDR with varying catchment size. In other words a headwater source area will have very different characteristics to one in the lower reaches of a 10,000km² catchment. 99 The fact that slope angles decline towards the lower reaches of most catchments is well 100 101 known (i.e. the hypsometric curve – Cohen et al., 2008). However, the SDR approach implies that each unit area of the catchment supplies material at the same rate no matter where in the 102 catchment it is and that some areas are disconnected and fail to deliver. Even theoretical 103 approaches to understanding SDR have chosen to take a storage rather than supply approach 104 (Lu et al., 2005). Therefore, we argue that the use of the SDR term has emphasised change in 105 106 storage rather than change in supply.

In general, the SDR is plotted in log-log space which disguises some important but 107 implicit assumptions. When not plotted on the log-scale it becomes apparent that sediment 108 109 yield actually decreases fastest in the upper parts of a catchment where flood plains are typically small and slopes highest; correspondingly, sediment yield hardly changes in the 110 lower parts of the catchment where flood plains are large and slopes low. The classical 111 picture of the river system is one of erosion in the headwaters, transport in the middle course 112 and deposition in its lower course (Schumm, 1977), but that is not consistent with a sediment 113 delivery that declines fastest in the headwater tributaries of a catchment but varies little 114 further down the channel network. One explanation of this distribution of sediment yield is a 115 116 common confusion of interpreting sediment yield as sediment flux. Parsons et al. (2006) have 117 indeed argued that sediment delivery is a fallacy when considered via the medium of sediment yield (flux/area) rather than as flux itself. 118

119

120 *1.2 Conservative and non-conservative sediment*

121 The concept of SDR can be unhelpful if it is interpreted as if suspended sediment were 122 chemically and biologically conservative. The average organic matter content of UK

suspended sediment at Harmonised Monitoring Sites (HMS) since 1974 is 33.5% with a 5th 123 percentile of 6% and a 95th percentile of 75% (Worrall et al., 2014). The importance of the 124 non-mineral content of suspended sediment fluxes has been underestimated because it is 125 126 often quoted as particulate organic carbon content but it is forgotten that naturally occurring organic matter is typically only 45 to 50% carbon and so, if organic carbon concentration is 127 compared to dry mass weight of suspended sediment, its importance is approximately halved. 128 This organic matter content means several problems for how a SDR has been interpreted. 129 Firstly, approximately one third of the suspended sediment may change by independent 130 131 physical processes such as turnover to dissolved or gaseous phases. For example, Walling and Collins (2008) give eight sediment budgets for agricultural catchments across UK and 132 USA but in none of these sediment budgets is turnover considered or estimated. Secondly, 133 134 although it is possible under some circumstances for mineral matter to be precipitated in a stream, such effects are rare, whilst the river itself can be a source of particulate organic 135 matter from in-stream flora and fauna independent of erosion processes. Ni et al. (2012) use 136 137 the SDR approach to predict sediment deposition of particulate carbon in small watersheds without reference to supply varying with scale or the non-conservative nature of the carbon. 138 Similarly, Gaiser et al. (2008) when modelling the effect of soil erosion on carbon 139 sequestration assumed that carbon in eroded sediment no longer influenced CO₂ emissions 140 but rather particulate carbon was either deposited in river channels or lost to the sea. 141 142 Furthermore, there are sources of suspended sediment that have nothing to do with soils, river banks or erosion, for example, sewage outfalls in the UK typically discharge water with a 143 suspended solid concentrations of between 20 and 30 mg/l which will predominantly be 144 145 particulate organic matter. Moody et al. (2013) found between 38 and 87% in-stream removal of peat-derived POC over a 10 day period, but even at this rate of loss, or turnover of the 146

147 organic matter content of suspended sediment would still not be enough to fulfil the storage148 requirement identified above.

149

150

1.3 The sediment delivery ratio as a mathematical function

The SDR approach may be more of an empirical then a physical law, however, the 151 "classical" variation in sediment delivery ratio is implicitly assumed to be a power law 152 function of area but this causes some consequences that are rarely interpreted. Firstly, 153 extrapolation to small values of area should tend towards erosion values at the field scale but 154 155 often do not (e.g. Vanmaercke et al., 2011) which implies that a single power law relationship may not hold true at the lowest values of catchment area even though this was one of the 156 reasons that the SDR approach was developed, i.e. linking universal soil loss equations to 157 158 catchment management (Wischmeier and Smith, 1965, USDA SCS, 1972, Lim et al., 2005). 159 Equally, the correlation between sediment delivery ratio and catchment area is generally negative and extrapolation of the trend to very large catchments implies that catchments exist 160 161 with no sediment yield and so, as with the relationship at low values of catchment area, the relationships may not hold true at large values of catchment area. Secondly, the gradients of 162 the relationship between sediment delivery ratio and catchment area vary to the extent that, in 163 some cases, the relationship predicts a decline in actual suspended sediment flux through a 164 catchment. This has been neglected partly because many studies have confused yield and flux 165 166 (eg. Fryyirs, 2012). We therefore suggest the SDR approach has worked poorly in linking field or hillslope observations to both larger catchment scales and to the discharge of the 167 largest catchments. 168

Finally, a plot of sediment delivery ratio (sediment yield vs. catchment area) will be subject to self-correlation (Kenney, 1982) and therefore presents a spurious relationship that cannot - and should not - be interpreted as meaningful let alone used for prediction. Self172 correlation occurs whenever there is a variable common to both the predictor and response variable, in this case the SDR is derived from the sediment yield (the ratio of suspended 173 sediment flux to catchment area) and then compared to catchment area. A variable common 174 to both response and predictor is likely to result in a linear correlation, which is even more 175 likely if the common variable is the dominant source of variance in the response variable. In 176 the case of SDR in the UK, catchment areas vary up to 10000 km² while sediment yield 177 varies up to 1000 tonnes/km²/yr. Self-correlation in the SDR approach has not been tested, 178 yet correlations based upon sediment yield variation with catchment area are commonly used, 179 interpreted and discussed (e.g. Tetzlaff et al., 2013). It should be noted that self-correlation in 180 this context predicts a negative relationship between SDR and catchment area and so positive 181 relationships might be thought to be free of such issues. Such relationships between SDR and 182 183 catchment area have been observed in a number of studies (e.g. Church and Slaymaker, 1989) and have been associated with, for example, areas of high channel incision. Here, we propose 184 that SDR is self-correlated and should therefore be replaced by measures not prone to 185 spurious correlation. 186

The purpose of this study is to consider the change in sediment yield across the UK as a means of testing the sediment delivery ratio approach and whether the issues raised above can be solved.

190

191 **2** Methodology

This study used the suspended sediment flux data as calculated, corrected and analysed by Worrall et al. (2013), which was based on data from the Harmonised Monitoring Scheme (HMS - Bellamy and Wilkinson, 2001). There are 56 HMS sites in Scotland and 214 sites in England and Wales (Figure 1). Rivers for monitoring were selected as the tidal limit of rivers with an average annual discharge over 2 m^3/s ; in addition, any tributaries that have an

average annual discharge above 2 m³/s are also sampled. These criteria lead to a good spatial
coverage of the coast of England and Wales, but in Scotland many of the west coast rivers are
too small to warrant inclusion in the HMS. No data were available from Northern Ireland.
This study only considered sites where sediment flux monitoring was coincident with flow
monitoring, otherwise a flux calculation would be impossible.

202 Among the monitoring agencies, sampling frequencies vary, ranging from sub-weekly to monthly or even less frequently. Annual data were rejected at any site where there were fewer 203 than 12 samples (sampling frequency (f) = 1 month) in that year with the samples in separate 204 205 months. Flux was estimated using the method of Littlewood and Marsh (2005) who proposed an interpolation technique that accounts for differing sampling frequencies. This approach 206 207 assumes that each sample taken at a site is equally likely to be representative of an equal 208 proportion of the year as any other sample. The data from the HMS dataset have an inconsistent, and often only monthly, sampling frequency. So as to correct the flux data for 209 sampling bias and to correct the flux estimates to the highest frequency flux estimates 210 analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to derive all the correction factors after the method 211 and Worrall et al. (2013) and bring all site-year combinations to be equivalent to those with 212 the highest sampling frequency. With respect to the suspended sediment concentration, there 213 were 103162 measurements with 91604 measurements for which there were also measured 214 flow from 270 catchments for all years from 1974 to 2010. An annual suspended sediment 215 216 flux could be calculated for at least one year in all 270 catchments in the HMS scheme but across the 37 years of the HMS records considered and across the 270 catchment (9472 217 possible catchment-year combinations) a flux calculation was only possible for 6026 218 219 catchment-year combinations (66%).

220 The estimated suspended sediment fluxes were compared to a range of catchment 221 properties. All suspended sediment fluxes were expressed as a yield (or an export) based

222 upon catchment areas derived for each monitoring point from the CEH Wallingford digital terrain model which has a 50 m grid interval and a 0.1 m altitude interval. The soils of each 223 catchment were classified into mineral, organo-mineral and organic soils based upon the 224 225 classification system of Hodgson (1997) and the land use was classified into: arable, grass and urban based upon the June Agricultural Census for 2004 (Defra, 2005a). In addition, the 226 number of cattle and sheep in each 1 km² were counted within this census and converted to 227 the "equivalent sheep per hectare" based upon a ratio of 3.1 sheep per cow. A range of 228 hydrological characteristics for each catchment were calculated, these were: the BFI 229 230 (Baseflow Index - Gustard et al., 1992), the average actual evaporation, the standard average annual rainfall; and the maximum altitude within the catchment. The comparison between 231 suspended sediment fluxes and catchment characteristics was analysed firstly by multiple 232 233 regression and secondly by logistic regression. For multiple regression, variables were assessed for normality (Anderson and Darling, 1952) and if necessary log-transformed - it 234 did prove necessary for further transformation. The best-fit multiple regression was fitted 235 236 using both step-up and step-down procedures with variables only retained if they were significantly different from zero at a 95% probability. Quality of fit was assessed using the 237 coefficient of determination (R^2) . Binary logistic regression to understand the differences 238 between groups of data found in the analysis. The best-fit binary logistic regression was fitted 239 using maximum likelihood and again variables were only retained if they were significantly 240 241 different from zero at the 95% probability. The quality of fit was assessed by considering concordance, i.e. the correct classification of the data. For the binary logistic regression the 242 odds ratio was used to assess importance of individual variables. For both multiple regression 243 and binary logistic regression the best-fit line was also chosen based on physical 244 interpretability of the composition of the identified equations. 245

The data can be tested for self-correlation using a method adapted from Vickers et al. 246 (2009). The principle is that in a self-correlated dataset, correlation will be observed even 247 when the values are calculated from values drawn at random. To apply the method, a value of 248 catchment suspended sediment yield is calculated from the catchment's average suspended 249 sediment flux and a catchment area drawn at random from the values within the whole 250 dataset. The new value of sediment yield is paired with the value of catchment area drawn 251 that was used to calculate the sediment yield. This process is repeated a sufficient number of 252 times to create the same number of data pairs as in the original dataset. This random 253 254 generation of a dataset of equivalent size to the original can be repeated to generate as many random datasets as required - in this case 100 random datasets were generated. 255

In these randomised datasets the correlation between the sediment yield and catchment 256 257 area can be calculated and the distribution of gradients and intercepts compared to that for the correlation in the original dataset. If there is no significant difference between the best-fit line 258 for the observed and that from the randomised data, then any line fitted to the observed data 259 can be dismissed as spurious due to self-correlation. This approach differs from that of 260 Vickers et al. (2009) because in this case no distribution of the data is assumed while Vickers 261 et al. (2009) assumed a normal distribution and let that govern the randomisation process. In 262 this study it is only linear relationships in log-log space that were considered and tested. 263

Given the potential issues of self-correlation in the traditional approaches to understanding sediment delivery ratio this study considers two alternative approaches. Firstly, an alternative approach to understanding the change in sediment yield with catchment area is not to consider the change in yield with catchment area but instead to consider the incremental change in yield with catchment area, that is the sediment yield of the ith km² in the catchment that is required to meet the change in sediment flux observed as catchment area increases. Secondly, the change in suspended sediment flux with catchment rather than the change in sediment yield with catchment area was considered. The function of changing
suspended sediment flux with catchment area was assessed using a range of sigmoidal
functions (including logistic, hyperbolic, Weibull and Gompertz functions).

- 274
- 275

276 **3** Results

For catchment-year combinations that met the criteria of sampling frequency (f) ≤ 1 month, 277 the bias-corrected suspended sediment yields have a median of 22.2 tonnes/km²/yr with a 5th 278 percentile of 5.4 tonnes/km²/yr and 95th percentile of 107.7 tonnes/km²/yr. Comparing the 279 sediment yield to the catchment area shows the expected decline and, perhaps not 280 surprisingly, the sediment yield declines with increasing catchment area (Figure 2). 281 Replotting Figure 2 without log-transformation shows that sediment yield declines 282 dramatically across the range 0 to 100 km² compared to the change in catchment size from 283 100 to 10000 km² (Figure 3). This implies that most sediment deposition happens in the 284 headwaters and not in the lower courses of a river. 285

286

287 *3.1* Sediment trends

Figure 2 shows that there is not one trend of declining yield with area, but rather two 288 trends that bound the study catchments. The Trends (A and B – Figure 2) were derived from a 289 290 visual selection of the lowest bounding data points across all catchment areas in the dataset and the highest bounding values in the dataset, and then plotting the best fit line through 291 them: note that point O was included in both the highest and lowest bounding datasets. 292 Trends A and B are distinguished by their difference in the rate of decline of yield with 293 increasing catchment area but both have a common point at point O (area 32 km^2 , yield $374 \pm$ 294 130 tonnes /km²/yr). Note that if Trends A and B were calculated without inclusion of point 295

O then the intersection of Trend A and B would be predicted at area = 29 km^2 and yield = 296 441.6 tonnes/km²/yr. Trend B was taken as only applying for catchment areas $> 30 \text{ km}^2$ while 297 Trend A applies across the entire range. The equations of the bounding trends are: 298 299 Trend A 300 $log_{10}(SS_{vield}) = 3.29 - 0.44 log_{10}(Area)$ (i) 301 302 Trend B 303 $log_{10}(SS_{vield}) = 6.5 - 2.63 log_{10}(Area)$ (ii) 304 305 where: SS_{yield} = suspended sediment yield (tonnes/km²/yr); and Area = the catchment area 306 (km^2) . 307

The projection of Trend B beyond point O would give incredibly large values of erosion for 308 small catchments, but Trend A can be extrapolated to the y-axis. Trend A implies a 309 suspended sediment yield of 1950 tonnes/km²/yr at source, where source is taken as the y-310 intercept value of the trend at 1 km^2 . It is, therefore, possible to estimate an SDR for Trend A 311 (Figure 2). Given Equation (i), the SDR for the largest catchment (River Thames – 9948 km²) 312 is estimated to be 1.8%, but for some catchments represented by Equation (ii) the SDR would 313 314 be as low as 0.1%. At a 1.8% delivery ratio and a source suspended sediment export of 1950 tonnes/km²/yr, then 466 Mtonnes/yr of sediment has be retained in the catchment or turned 315 over to the atmosphere – we would suggest that this is improbably large amount of sediment 316 to be stored, processed or disposed each year in these UK catchments. 317

The value of 1950 tonnes/km²/yr as source term would appear large in comparison to published values for the UK. Walling et al. (2002) working in 1.5 km² and 3.6 km² agricultural catchments on mineral soils in England showed soil erosion rates up to 466

tonnes/km²/yr with 80% removal, or deposition, by the outlet of the catchment – a SDR of 321 20%. Defra (2005b) gave median values of net soil loss from arable fields in England as 410 322 tonnes/km²/yr and from English grasslands as 60 tonnes/km²/yr. Worrall et al. (2011) gave a 323 value of 406 tonnes/km²/yr for a bare peat plot in the South Pennines. Worrall et al. (2013) 324 suggested source suspended sediment values between 241 and 825 tonnes/km²/yr depending 325 upon the nature of the land use and soil types within the catchment with higher values for 326 organic soils under grass. Even though the value of 1950 tonnes/km²/yr would be the lower 327 value predicted from Equations (i) and (ii). There is little evidence, therefore, to support such 328 329 a high source value.

The unreasonably high value of the suspended sediment flux at source predicted by 330 Trend A compared to field observations from the literature does suggest that at small 331 332 catchment sizes the SDR is not linear with catchment area in log-log space and is only linear over a mid-range of catchment sizes. Nevertheless, the data from the smallest catchment 333 available from the HMS dataset was for a 4 km² subcatchment of the River Dearne and its 334 yield is consistent with Trend A, i.e. even at a scale of 4 km² the sediment yield is 1229 335 tonnes/km²/yr (12.29 tonnes/ha/yr). Non-linear relationships in log-log space for sediment 336 yield and catchment area have been noted by, for example, de Vente and Poessen (2005). 337

Because trends identified in Equations (i) and (ii) are bounding trends, it is then possible to express all results as a mixture of the bounding trends. Using the available catchment characteristics, and stepwise regression, it was then possible to explore what controlled a catchment's position between the two bounding trends for which the best-fit line for the proportion of Trend A for a given catchment (ϕ_{trendA}) was:

343

344 $\varphi_{trendA} = 0.51 + 0.29 log_{10} Area - 0.12 log_{10} Arable + 0.09 log_{10} Grass + 0.08 log_{10} Orgmin - 0.27 log_{10} AAR$ (iii) 345 $r^2 = 0.49, n = 192$ 346

Where: Arable = the area of arable land use in the catchment (km^2) ; Grass = the area of grass 347 land use in the catchment (km^2) ; Orgmin = the area of organo-mineral soils in the catchment 348 (km^2) ; and SAAR = the standard average annual rainfall (mm). Only terms found to be 349 significantly different from zero, at P < 0.05, were included in Equation (iii). Equation (iii) is 350 not proposed as a predictive equation, rather that there is a physical explanation for the 351 trends shown in Figure 2. Equation (iii) implies that larger catchments dominated by grass 352 and organo-mineral soils are more like Trend A while those catchments with a greater arable 353 354 land use and higher standard annual average rainfall are more akin to Trend B.

If the bounding trends to the sediment yield vs. catchment area curve are defined by change with catchment area (Equations (i) and (ii)) but the position between two bounding trends is controlled by nature of each catchment (Equation (iii)), then the position within the defined space is defined by an interaction between catchment area and its land use and soil types with a form of equation as:

360

361 $log_{10}SS_{yield} = k_1 + k_2 f(land use, soil type, hydroclimate)Area + k_3 log_{10}Area$ (iv) 362

Fitting this function to the available data gives a fit that can represent the bounding trends and extreme values although the RMSE is 0.46 which suggests the fit for individual catchments would be poor (Figure 4). Equation (iv) shows that land use, soils or hydrology becomes more or less important as catchment area increases or decreases. Although many studies have noted a variation in the relationship between sediment yield and catchment, very few have explained this variation as shown above. Milliman and Syvitski (1992) did use maximum altitude as well as catchment area to improve relationships but described land use, climate and geology as of secondary importance – a result not supported here, although the study of
Milliman and Syvitshi (1992) was for larger catchments than any considered in this study.

The comparison of the nature of Trend A and Trend B suggests that, while catchments 372 373 following along Trend A have increasing suspended sediment flux with increasing catchment area, for those catchments plotting along Trend B, the suspended sediment flux actually 374 decreases with increasing catchment area beyond point O (Figure 2). There are several 375 implications of this observation. Firstly, those catchments following Trend B are net 376 depositing catchments where the maximum sediment flux is that defined by point O (11955 377 378 tonnes/yr) and that this value would decrease for larger catchments. This implies that there would be a catchment where almost no sediment was actually exported - in this case it would 379 be a catchment with area 616 km^2 (it should be noted that such a catchment along this trend 380 381 does not exist in this dataset). Therefore, just as for the small catchments where a linear 382 response gave unreasonable high values of yield, then the implication of a catchment which has zero yield may also be unreasonable and an asymptote to the x-axis may be physically 383 384 preferable.

Secondly, an implication of the rate of decline of yield bounded by the two identified 385 trends, one of which implies increasing suspended sediment flux and the other implying a 386 decrease in suspended sediment flux with area catchment, suggests there is a boundary 387 between these two trends for which, although the yield declines, the actual flux does not. That 388 389 is, there is a boundary discernible below which suspended sediment flux decreases with increasing catchment area, and above which it increases with increasing catchment area. 390 Given the point O is common to both trends then it is easy to define the line from which the 391 392 flux remains constant with increasing catchment area, ie. where the sediment flux remains the same as that estimated for the catchment representing point O (River Dee at Mary Culter 393 Bridge 11955 tonnes/yr) across the range of catchment areas in the study dataset (Trend C -394

Figure 2). All catchments can be defined relative to this line as being a net decreasing or a net increasing catchment. Logistic regression can be used to assess what controls the membership of these two groups. Here the best-fit logistic regression was:

398

399
$$ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right) = 8.8 - 0.012Evap_{act} - 0.00350rg - 0.006Grass$$
 (v)

400 n=192

. . .

401

Where: θ = the probability of being a net increasing catchment; Evap_{act} = the average actual evaporation of the catchment (mm/yr); and Org = area of organic soils in the catchment (km²). The equation was 85% concordant with the data. The odds ratio suggests that none of the variables included are more important than any other. The equation implies that a catchment is more likely to be a net decreasing catchment if it is dominated by grassland and organic soils and high actual evaporation. It should be noted that high actual evaporation often correlates with higher rainfall rather than being indicative of drier catchments.

409 Since Trend C identifies no change in flux with increasing catchment area, this 410 implies that such catchments are experiencing a form of equilibrium in that, relative to point O, they deliver to the outlet the same amount of sediment as enters the channel system. 411 Therefore, it would be reasonable to describe this situation as having a delivery ratio of 1 412 because, even if the sediment yield decreased, all the flux that entered the catchment was 413 delivered to the outlet. Often studies have used a decline in sediment yield with catchment 414 area to describe catchments as inefficient (Hinderer, 2012, Fryirs, 2013) whereas it could be 415 416 reasonable to describe catchments on these trends as very efficient as they export what comes in to them. 417

We use our words carefully as it is important to stress that it is often the interpretation of the SDR that we believe has been unhelpful and not the comparison of exports through a 420 catchment. When we describe catchments close to Trend C as efficient because they export all the sediment that enters them, this is not to say that they convey the same sediment right 421 along their channel system but rather the same amount of sediment. These channels could 422 423 therefore be in a steady-state equilibrium that makes them appear as a perfect conduit of sediment. Note that the back projection of Trend C to catchments sizes smaller than that 424 represented by point O would again suggest unrealistically high sediment yields and so again 425 426 there must be a curving to lower values so that the assumption of linearity is only true within a constrained range of catchment sizes. 427

428

429 3.2 Effects of self-correlation

The test for self-correlation shows that the distribution of self-correlated regression lines covers a considerable proportion of the results of the sediment yield against catchment area (Figure 5). However, both Trend A and Trend B both lie outside the significant range of the self-correlated random datasets and would therefore be considered as significantly different from the self-correlated result.

The identification of self-correlation leads to some important results. Firstly, there is a 435 reasonable probability that any regression line on a graph of sediment yield against catchment 436 area will be spurious, i.e. not significantly different from results that would be expected from 437 438 the self-correlated nature of the comparison. Secondly, the self-correlation test does confirm 439 that there are bounding trends to the sediment yield for UK catchments and given that one of these represents net deposition with increasing catchment area (Trend B) and the other 440 represents increasing sediment flux with increasing catchment area (Trend A), there must be 441 442 a point between these two trends where there is no change with catchment area and so the result illustrated by Trend C does have a physical basis. 443

444 Considering not the yield with catchment area but the change in yield required from the ith km² of the catchment for the catchments along Trends A and B. The graph of the yield 445 of the ith km² with increasing catchment area shows that for Trend A the yield declines 446 following a power law while that for Trend B shows a far more complex pattern that cannot 447 readily be described by a power law (Figure 6). A power law description can be equated with 448 a hyposometric curve for a catchment, i.e. slope change through a catchment is commonly 449 described by a power law and it is reasonable to suggest that sediment yield is a function of 450 slope among other things. If the change in sediment yield can be described by a power law 451 452 akin to a slope descriptor, we may propose that sediment yield change through a catchment is not a matter of delivery but rather a matter of changing sediment supply through the 453 catchment, i.e. if slope through a catchment declines then each new km² of the catchment has 454 455 a lower capacity to produce sediment into the stream network.

For the River Thames catchment (9948 km²) Trend A would now be interpreted as 456 having a sediment yield of 1950 tonnes/km²/yr in the first 1km² of the catchment and a 457 sediment yield of 18.5 tonnes /km²/yr in the very last km² of the catchment before the 458 monitoring point while the average sediment yield for the Thames catchment to 9948 km² 459 point was 36.5 tonnes/km²/yr. Alternatively, for Trend B what is observed is a prediction that 460 the sediment yield from the ith km² would rapidly become negative and there would have to 461 be net deposition with this first occurring for the 47th km² of the catchment and peaking in the 462 65th km² of the catchment after which the rate of deposition declines becoming asymptotic to 463 zero with further increases in catchment area. If the change of sediment yield for Trend A 464 followed a curve which was readily interpretable as declining sediment source as the 465 catchment grew larger, then a change which has net deposition is a catchment which is 466 delivering less and less sediment into the next part of the catchment. The implications are 467 reduced inputs from lower-angle hillslopes further down the catchment and reduced capacity 468

469 for conveyance in lower-gradient channels notwithstanding the higher stream velocities and 470 more efficient channel cross-sections. It may indeed be, for the Thames, that Schumm's 471 "transport zone" encompasses most of the channel network and that there is now very little 472 additional net storage of sediment in the main floodplain system.

Given the self-correlated nature of the relationship between catchment area and 473 sediment yield, it is reasonable to consider alternative approaches. A graph of suspended 474 sediment flux (as opposed to sediment yield) against catchment area is be compromised by 475 self-correlation. Figure 7 shows that, as expected, the sediment flux increases with increasing 476 477 catchment size in the majority of cases and that a single linear relationship, although significant, does not capture the nature of the data; as before, the data may be better described 478 479 as bounded by two trends (Figure 7). Note that in this case the two trends bounding these data 480 do not quite correspond to those identified above (Figure 2 – Equations (i) and (ii)). The point 481 O from Figure 2 is given on Figure 7 and the sites that constitute Trends A and B show that the upper bound in Figure 2 is that identified as Trend A above but Trend B curves down and 482 483 away from Trend A but does not constitute the lower trend observed in Figure 2, i.e. trends of declining suspended sediment flux are possible within the bounds of the data projected on 484 Figure 7. 485

486

487 3.3 Suspended sediment – a new interpretation

If Figure 7 is replotted on a double log plot, it is observed that the plot of suspended sediment flux against catchment area could be bounded by two straight lines. A straight line response on a log-log plot implies that a sigmoidal function would describe the data. Therefore, we propose the use of the Gompertz function which is one of a family of sigmoidal functions, but unlike others based upon hyperbolic functions, the parameters of a Gompertz function are physically interpretable. However, sigmoidal functions have a low response for small values of x, in this case small catchment areas: this is not observed for UK
data and so a short range effect at low values of catchment area was included:

496

497
$$SS_{flux} = \alpha e^{\beta e^{\gamma Area}} - \delta e^{-\varepsilon Area}$$
 (vi)

498

Where: α , β , γ , δ and ε = constants with α = maximum asymptote (tonnes/yr); β = the y displacement with respect to catchment area (km²); γ = the growth rate (tonnes/km²/yr); δ = The maximum small area correction (tonnes/yr); and ε = the decay constant for the small area correction (/km²). Equation (v) was fitted to the data for the bounding trends (as for Figure 2 the highest and lowest bound datapoints were selected and then the best-fit of Equation (vi) estimated), for Figure 7 and the best-fit equations are:

505

506 Trend D
507
$$SS_{flux} = 350000e^{-3.16e^{-0.00089Area}} - 14219e^{-0.061Area}$$
 (vii)
508
509 Trend E
510 $SS_{flux} = 87652e^{-5.53e^{0.00035Area}} - 109e^{-1.132Area}$ (viii)

511

The fit of these equations shows that a maximum asymptote is apparent in the data that represent a maximum sediment flux, in one case 350 ktonnes/yr and the other 87.7 ktonnes/yr.

The approach taken here can be considered for individual catchments. Within the dataset considered here, it was possible to identify two catchments where multiple measurements across scales were available – the rivers Trent and Ouse (Figure 8). Results for individual catchments were included into the analysis illustrated in Figure 2 because plotting

519 in log space can act to cramp data points together. When plotted as sediment yield vs. catchment area then it can be observed that each catchment's sediment yield evolves along a 520 line away from Trend B towards Trend A but at larger catchment areas the trend in sediment 521 yield for each specific catchment changes to trend parallel to Trend A. So, in individual 522 catchments in this UK dataset we do see catchments that show negative and positive 523 relationships between sediment yield and catchment area depending upon catchment location. 524 This has been observed for a number of catchments (e.g. Yellow River - Jiongxin and 525 Yunxia, 2005). When plotted as suspended sediment flux against catchment area, it is 526 527 apparent that both catchments could be described by an equation of the form of Equation (v) where the best-fit equations were; 528 529 Trent 530 $SS_{flux} = 57980e^{-4.896e^{-0.00088Area}} - 188e^{-0.102Area}$ (ix) 531 532 Ouse 533 $SS_{flux} = 62000e^{-5.0e^{0.0016Area}} - 188e^{-0.102Area}$ 534 (x) 535 These observations suggest that catchments will evolve and trend in complex ways across the 536 space bounded by Trends A and B. 537 538

539 **4. Discussion**

Does the approach here solve the problems that have been discussed for the SDR method of analysing suspended sediment flux? Partly. Describing the variation in sediment yield across a catchment using a source-decay approach does describe some of the data and in particular data close to Trend A. However, it would predict no net storage in the catchment which is not possible but clearly it is also absurd to have no change in source with catchment area. Furthermore, the data along Trend B clearly show that net deposition would have to occur in some catchments. Therefore, the reality is some mix of varying supply and delivery and future research could be used to understand and compare the distribution of the magnitude of sediment sources with increasing catchment size compared to the observed change in sediment yield through the catchment.

Studies in Australia and Canada (e.g. Church et al., 1999) have shown positive 550 relationships between sediment yield and catchment area, but for the UK sediment yield is 551 always observed to decrease with increasing catchment area. Negative correlations between 552 553 sediment yield and catchment area have been interpreted in terms of changing land use, hydrology and climatic factors, just as was possible here for the UK but the magnitude of the 554 gradients does suggest that there are catchments in the UK where sediment flux actually 555 556 declines through the catchment. It should be noted that the catchments that lie along Trend B only show this behaviour above a certain catchment size; for a catchment area of less than 30 557 km² the sediment flux would increase with increasing catchment area. After all, suspended 558 sediment flux cannot decline from zero but must decline from some initial value. In this case 559 there appears to be a point at approximately 30 km^2 at which point net deposition begins. 560 Why would this occur? It is easy to envisage catchments with distinct breaks in slope 561 distribution with high slopes at small scales and very low gradients at larger scales, e.g. 562 transition from mountains to a "piedmont" zone (cf Lawler et al., 1999). Such changes in the 563 564 sediment cascade with increasing catchment area are worthy of further research beyond the scope of this study. 565

Equally, the difference between Trend A and Trend B implies that there are catchments that have no net change in sediment flux across the catchment. This only occurs once a certain catchment area and flux have been achieved and therefore this does not mean that these catchments are at an equilibrium, rather that net change is not occurring in their

570 lower reaches. However, it should be noted that, whenever tested with the observations of 571 sediment yield across two actual catchments, both showed complex changes with increasing 572 catchment area not necessarily following any single trend. Either, catchments can switch 573 between regimes with increasing scale, or the plot of sediment yield against catchment area is 574 misleading given the uncertainty in the data and the self-correlated nature of the plot. This 575 therefore may be the big question – how, where and why do catchments shift from transport 576 to storage and over what length and time scales?

However, there should be a prior question of whether we would start from here? The 577 578 SDR approach is used because it matches the information available from soil erosion models, originally the USLE approach (Wischmeier and Smith, 1965). Given that a plot of sediment 579 yield vs catchment area, however drawn, is difficult to meaningfully interpret and suffers 580 581 from self-correlation, then the focus of attention and interpretation should be the actual graph of suspended sediment flux vs. catchment area. This study has proposed that the curve of the 582 suspended sediment flux can be best described by a sigmoidal function, specifically, a 583 584 modified Gompertz function. The graph of the suspended sediment flux shows that, as catchments get larger, the growth in suspended flux declines and reaches a maximum 585 described by the asymptote. It should be noted that no observed catchment had a sediment 586 flux that actually equalled this maximum value, perhaps because UK catchments have 587 relatively small areas compared to large river basins globally. The y-displacement in the 588 Gompertz equation represents the position of the step and, taking this as the position of 589 maximum gradient, then for equation (vii) the step position is at 1500 km² while for equation 590 (viii) it is 5100 km²; for the River Trent it is 1300 km² and for the Ouse the 1100 km². As the 591 y-displacement is here interpreted as the inflexion point in the step function, it represents the 592 catchment area at which the rate of suspended sediment flux is no longer increasing. This 593 could readily have a physical interpretation but, relative to the sediment delivery ratio 594

approach this shows the greatest rate of change is not at small catchment areas but well into
the catchment and so in this sense studying sediment yield from hillslope source areas alone
is misleading.

The growth rate in equation (vi) or the maximum rate of change observed for equation (vii) is 114 tonnes/km² while for equation (viii) it is 11.14 tonnes/km². For the Trent the maximum rate of change is 18.8 tonnes/km² and for the Ouse the maximum rate of change is 36.4 tonnes/km². However, given the small-area correction in equation (vi), then the maximum rate change at the very smallest catchment areas, of the order of 1,280 tonnes/km² for equation (vii) and 110 tonnes/km² for equation (viii). The gradient of the equation (v) represents the incremental sediment yield.

605 The approach cannot say anything about the turnover of the organic proportion of the 606 suspended sediment. Several studies have considered the fate of organic carbon through rivers, and therefore the impact of rivers on concentration of atmospheric greenhouses gases 607 (Battin et al., 2009). These studies have progressively developed methods for understanding 608 609 each component of this problem for the UK to understand the fate of fluvial organic matter and the release of greenhouses gases including CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O (Worrall et al., 2007, 610 2012a,b). Future research will focus upon reproducing this study for the organic matter flux 611 and then compare these results to those already produced for suspended sediment and so 612 assess the change in proportion of organic matter with catchment area relative to changes in 613 suspended sediment flux. We must always be mindful that change in POM flux and its 614 proportion across a catchment may be due to fractionation (i.e. not related to turnover) caused 615 by differential deposition, flocculation or transport of suspended sediment dependent upon its 616 organic matter content. Indeed, as source strength wanes across a catchment, slope, channel 617 or both, so does the proportion of organic matter, for example in the UK it would be common 618 to have peat soils in a headwater but not at the tidal limit of a catchment. 619

620

621 **5.** Conclusion

This study shows that the use of the sediment delivery ratio causes a number of mis-interpretations. The SDR approach can be shown to suffer from a number of problems:

- i) The graphical representation of sediment yield contains trends that encapsulate
 both increasing, decreasing and constant sediment flux with increasing catchment
 area. Some catchments showed perfect "delivery" of sediment whilst in others
 sediment yield declined with increasing catchment area.
- 628 ii) The relationship of SDR against catchment area cannot be linear over the range of629 catchment sizes.
- 630 iii) The relationship of SDR against catchment area suffers from considerable self631 correlation and any relationship derived from the graph should tested before use or
 632 interpretation.
- iv) Alternatively, a plot of suspended sediment flux against catchment area does not
 suffer from self-correlation and can be readily described by a simple empirical
 function based upon the combination of a Gompertz function and a short-range,
 small-area correction based upon an exponential decay function.
- This study can find no reason why the SDR approach should continue to be employed inthe form that it has been traditionally been used.
- 639

640 Acknowledgements

641 The authors are grateful to Abby Lane and Sarah Wheater of the Environment Agency of

England and Wales for supplying the HMS data.

References 644

- Anderson, T. W., Darling, D. A., (1952). Asymptotic theory of certain "goodness-of-fit" 645 criteria based on stochastic processes. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 23, 193–212. 646
- Arnold, J.G., Williams, J.R., Marchment, D.A. 1995. A continuous time water and sediment 647
- routing model for large basins. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering 121, 2, 171-183.
- Battin, T.J., Kaplan, L.A., Findlay, S., Hopkinson, C.S., Marti, E., A.I.Packman, A.I., 649
- Newbold, J.D., T.Sabater, T., 2009. Biophysical controls on organic carbon fluxes in 650 fluvial networks. Nature Geosciences 1, 95-100, 651
- 652 Bellamy, D., Wilkinson, P., 2001. OSPAR 98/3: an environmental turning point or a flawed decision? Marine Pollution Bulletin 49, 87-90. 653
- Borah, D.K., Bera, M., 2004. Watershed-scale hydrologic and nonpoint-source pollution 654 655 models: Review of applications. Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers 47, 3, 789-803. 656
- Bouraoui, F., Dillaha, T.A., 1996. ANSWERS-2000: runoff and sediment transport model. 657 Journal of Environmental Engineering 122, 6, 493-502. 658
- Burt, T.P., Allison, R.J. (editors) 2010. Sediment cascades: an integrated approach. Wiley-659 Blackwell, Chichester. 660
- Church, M., Slaymaker, O., 1989. Disequilibrium of Holocene sediment yieldin glaciated 661 British Columbia. Nature 337, 452-454. 662
- Church, M., Ham, D., Hassan, M., Slaymaker, O., 1999. Fluvial clastic sediment yield in 663 Canada: scaled analysis. Canadian Journal of Earth Science 36, 8, 1267-1280. 664
- Cohen, S., Willgoose, G., Hancock, G., 2008. A methodology for calculating the spatial 665 distribution of the area-slope equation and the hypsometric integral within a catchment. 666
- Journal of Geophysical Research 113, F03027. 667

- Collins, A. L., Walling, D. E., 2007. Fine-grained bed sediment storage within the main
 channel systems of the Frome and Piddle catchments, Dorset, UK. Hydrological
 Processes 21, 11, 1448-1459.
- 671 Defra, 2005a. Agriculture in the United Kingdom 2004. Department of Environment, Food
 672 and Rural Affairs, HMSO, London, 2005.
- 673 Defra, 2005b. Documenting soil erosion rates on agricultural land in England and Wales 674 Part 2 Final Report, SP0413.
- 675 Delmas, M., Pak, L.T., Cerdan, O., Souchere, V., Le Bissonnais, Y., Couturier, A., Sorel, L
- Erosion and sediment budget across scale: a case study in a catchment of the
 European loess belt. Journal of Hydrology 420-421, 255-263.
- De Vente, J., Poesen, J., 2005. Predicting soil erosion and sediment yield at the basin scale:
 scale issues and semi-quantitative models. Earth Science Reviews 71, 95-125.
- Fryirs, K., 2012. (Dis)connectivity in catchment sediment cascades: a fresh look at the
 sediment delivery problem. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 38, 30-46.
- Gaiser, T., Stahr, K., Billen, N., Mohammad, M.A-R., 2008. Modelling carbon sequestration
 under zero-tillage at the regional scale. I. The effect of soil erosion. Ecological
 Modelling 218, 110-120.
- Gustard, A., Bullock, A., Dixon, J., 1992. Low flow estimation in the United Kingdom. IH
 Report 108, Wallingford, UK.
- Hinderer, M., 2012. From gullies to mountain belts: a review of sediment budgets at various
 scales. Sedimentary Geology 280, 21-59.
- 689 Hodgson, J.M., 1997. Soil Survey Field Handbook: Describing and Sampling Soil Profiles.
- 690 Soil survey Technical Monograph No. 5. Soil Survey and Land Research Centre, Silsoe.691 England.

- Jiongxin, X., Yunxia, Y., 2005. Scale effects on specific sediment yields in the Yellow River
 basin and geomorphological explanations. Journal of Hydrology 307, 219-232.
- Kenney, B. C., 1982. Beware of spurious self-correlations. Water Resources Research 18,
 1041-1048.
- Lawler, D.M., Grove, J.R., Couperthwaite, J.S., Leeks, G.J.L., 1999. Downstream change in
- river bank erosion rates in the Swale-Ouse system, northern England. Hydrological
 Processes 13, 977-992.
- Lim, K.J., Sagong, M., Engel, B.A., Tang, Z., Choi, Z., Kim, K-S., 2005. GIS-basedassessment tool. Catena 64, 61-80.
- Littlewood, I.G., Marsh, T.J., 2005. Annual freshwater river mass loads from Great Britain,
 1975-1994: estimation algolrithm, database and monitoring network issues. Journal of
 Hydrology 304, 221-237.
- Lu, H., Moran, C.J., Sivapalan, M., 2005. A theoretical exploration of catchment-scale
 delivery ratio. Water Resources Research 41, W09415.
- Milliman, J.D., Syvitski, J.P.M., 1992. Geomorpholocal/tectonic control of sediment
 discharge to the oceans: the importance of small mountainous rivers. The Journal of
 Geology 100, 525-544.
- Moody, C.S., Worrall, F., Evans, C.D., Jones, T., 2013. The rate of loss of dissolved organic
 carbon (DOC) through a catchment. Journal of Hydrology (in press).
- Ni. J., Yue, Y., Borthwick, A.G.L., Tianhong, L., Miao, C., He, X., 2012. Erosion-induced
- 712 CO_2 flux of small watersheds. Global and Planetary Change 94-95, 101-110.
- Parsons, A.J., Wainwright, J., Brazier, R.E., Powell, D.M., 2006. Is sediment delivery a
 fallacy? Earth Surface Porcesses and Landforms 31, 1325-1328.
- 715 Roehl, J.E., 1962. Sediment source areas, delivery ratios and influencing morphological
- fctors. IAHS publication 59, 202-213.

- 717 Schumm, S.A., 1977. The Fluvial System. Wiley Interscience, New York. 338 pp.
- Tetzlaff, B., Friedrich, K., Vorderbrugge, T., Vereecken, H, Wendland, F., 2013. Distributed
 modelling of mean annual soil erosion and sediment delivery rates to surface waters.
 Catena 102, 13-20.
- Trimble, S.W., Crosson, P.U.S., 2000. Soil erosion rates myth and reality. Science 289,
 248-250.
- USDA-SCS, 1972. Sediment sources, yields, and delivery ratios. National Engineering
 Handbook, Section 3 Sedimentation.
- Vanmaercke, M., Poesen, J., Verstraeten, G., de Vente, J., Ocakoglu, O., 2011. Sediment
 yield in Europe: spatial patterns and scale dependency. Geomorphology 130, 142-161.
- Vickers, D., Thomas, C. K., Martin, J. G., Law, B., 2009. Self-correlation between
 assimilation and respiration resulting from flux partitioning of eddy-covariance CO₂
 fluxes. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 149, 1552-1555.
- Wischmeier, W.K., Smith, D.D., 1965. Predicting rainfall-erosion losses from cropland east
 of the Rocky Mountans. Agriculture Handbook No. 252, USDA Washington D.C.
- Walling, D.E., 1983. The sediment delivery problem. Journal of Hydrology 65, 1-3, 209-237.
- Walling, D.E., Owens, P.N., Leeks, G.J.L., 1999. Rates of contemporary overbank
 sedimentation and sediment storage on floodplains of the main channel systems of the
- 735 Yorkshire Ouse and River Tweed, UK. Hydrological Processes 13, 993-1009.
- Walling, D.E., Owens, P.N., 2003. The role of overbank floodplain sedimentation in
 catchment contaminant budgets. Hydrobiologia 494, 1-3, 83-91.
- Walling, D.E., Russell, M.A., Hodginkinson, R.A., Zhang, Y., 2002. Establishing sediment
 budgets for two small lowland agricultural catchments. Catena 47, 323-353.
- 740 Walling, D.E., Collins, A.L., 2008. The catchment sediment budget as a management tool.
- 741 Environmental Science & Policy 11, 136-143.

- White, S., 2005. Sediment yield prediction and modelling. Hydrological Processes 19, 30533057.
- Worrall, F., T.Guillbert T., Besien T., 2007. The Flux of Carbon from rivers: the case for flux
 from England and Wales. Biogeochemistry 86, 63-75.
- Worrall, F., Rowson, J.G., Evans, M.G., Bonn, A., 2011. Carbon fluxes from eroding
 peatlands the carbon benefit of revegetation. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms
 36, 11, 1487-1498.
- Worrall, F., Davies, H., Bhogal, A., Lilly, A., Evans, M.G., Turner, K., Burt, T.P.,
 Barraclough, D., Smith, P., Merrington G., 2012a. The flux of DOC from the UK –
 predicting the role of soils, land use and in-stream losses. Journal of Hydrology 448-449,
 149-160.
- Worrall, F., Davies, H., Burt, T.P., Howden, N.J.K., Whelan, M.J., Bhogal, A., Lilly, A.,
 2012b. The flux of dissolved nitrogen from the UK predicting the role of soils and land
 use. Science of the Total Environment 434, 90-100.
- Worrall, F., Burt, T.P., Howden, N.J.K., 2013. The flux of suspended sediment from the UK
 1974 to 2010. Journal of Hydrology 504, 28-39.
- 758 Worrall, F., Burt, T.P., Howden, N.J.K., 2014. The fluvial flux of particulate organic matter
- from the UK: quantifying in-stream losses and carbon sinks. Journal of Hydrology 519,611-625.

761	Figure 1. The location of the catchments used in this study for which suspended sediment flux could
762	be calculated.
763	
764	Figure 2. The log-log plot of the average suspended sediment yield against catchment area for all
765	study catchments.
766	
767	Figure 3. The average suspended sediment yield against catchment area for all study catchments
768	showing that the suspended sediment yield changes most rapidly for the smallest catchments.
769	
770	Figure 4. Fit of Equation (iv) to the suspended sediment yield against catchment area.
771	
772	Figure 5. The best-fit self-correlated line and its 95% confidence interval in comparison to the annual
773	average suspended sediment yield against catchment area.
774	
775	Figure 6. The changes in incremental suspended sediment yield with catchment area for a) Trend A;
776	and b) Trend B (Figure 2).
777	
778	Figure 7. The average suspended sediment flux with catchment area for all study catchments.
779	
780	Figure 8. The change in suspended sediment for sites through the catchments of the Rivers Trent and
781	Ouse against their catchment area.
782	