PRC2 represses dedifferentiation of mature somatic cells in Arabidopsis

Momoko Ikeuchi¹*, Akira Iwase¹*, Bart Rymen¹, Hirofumi Harashima¹, Michitaro Shibata¹, Mariko Ohnuma¹, Christian Breuer¹, Ana Karina Morao², Miguel de Lucas³, Lieven De Veylder^{4,5}, Justin Goodrich⁶, Siobhan M. Brady³, François Roudier² and Keiko Sugimoto¹

¹RIKEN Center for Sustainable Resource Science, 1-7-22 Suehiro-cho, Tsurumi, Yokohama, Kanagawa 230-0045, Japan. ²Institut de Biologie de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, CNRS UMR8197, INSERM U1024, 46 rue d'Ulm, 75230 Paris Cedex 05, France. ³Department of Plant Biology and Genome Center, University of California, Davis, 1002 Life Sciences, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616. ⁴Department of Plant Systems Biology, VIB, B-9052, Gent, Belgium, ⁵Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Ghent University, B-9052, Gent, Belgium, ⁶Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JR, UK.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Plant somatic cells are generally acknowledged to retain totipotency, the potential to develop into any cell type within an organism. This astonishing plasticity may contribute to a high regenerative capacity upon severe damages but how plants control this potential during normal post-embryonic development remains largely unknown^{1, 2}. Here we show that POLYCOMB REPRESSIVE COMPLEX 2 (PRC2), a chromatin regulator that maintains gene repression through histone modification, prevents dedifferentiation of mature somatic cells in Arabidopsis thaliana roots. Loss-of-function mutants in PRC2 subunits initially develop unicellular root hairs indistinguishable from those in wild-type but fail to retain the differentiated state, ultimately resulting in the generation of an unorganised cell mass and somatic embryos from a single root hair. Strikingly, mutant root hairs complete the normal endoreduplication programme, increasing their nuclear ploidy, but subsequently reinitiate mitotic division coupled with successive DNA replication. Our data show that the WOUND INDUCED DEDIFFERENTIATION3 (WIND3) and LEAFY COTYLEDON2 (LEC2) genes are among the PRC2 targets involved in this reprogramming as their ectopic overexpression partly phenocopies the dedifferentiation phenotype of PRC2 mutants. These findings unveil the pivotal role of PRC2-mediated gene repression in preventing unscheduled reprogramming of fully differentiated plant cells.

The remarkable developmental plasticity of plant cells was already documented in a pioneering study in the 1950s that demonstrated the regeneration of whole plant bodies from fully differentiated somatic cells *in vitro*³. Plant cells exert this potential upon severe damages but intact plants hardly develop callus, unorganised cell mass, or somatic embryos under favourable conditions¹. Plants likely possess mechanisms to prevent unscheduled dedifferentiation but little is known on this control. To identify regulatory mechanisms that suppress reprogramming of differentiated cells, we collected a set of Arabidopsis mutants that display callus phenotypes¹ and subscreened for those that initiate ectopic proliferation of mature cells. We reasoned that root hairs represent a suitable system for this study since they have a unicellular structure on the root

epidermis with highly specialised functions in water and nutrient uptake⁴. As shown in Fig. 1a, we found that the concomitant loss of CURLY LEAF (CLF) and SWINGER (SWN), plant homologs of the Drosophila E(z) subunit in PRC2⁵, leads to the development of multicellular root hairs. At 15 days after germination, 96 % of *clf-28 swn-7* plants (n = 23) display multicellular root hairs that are never observed in wild-type (WT) plants. Mutations in other PRC2 subunits, such as the Esc homolog, FERTILIZATION INDEPENDENT ENDOSPERM (FIE)⁶, and the Su(z)12 homologs, EMBRYONIC FLOWER2 (EMF2) and VERNALIZATION2 (VRN2)⁷, also cause extensive division of root hairs at a similar frequency (Fig. 1), pointing to the requirement of PRC2 activity in suppressing ectopic proliferation of differentiated cells. Remarkably, sustained divisions ultimately lead to the formation of calli, some of which further develop into somatic embryos that show typical accumulation of lipids (Fig. 1a).

Several lines of evidence demonstrate that PRC2 deficiency leads to mitotic reactivation in terminally differentiated root hairs. First, the root hair-specific differentiation marker *pEXP7:NLS-GFP*⁸ shows a similar expression pattern in WT, heterozygous and homozygous *emf2-3 vrn2-1* roots, indicating that in the absence of PRC2 root hair differentiation occurs indistinguishably from WT (Fig. 1b). In addition, root hairs of 7-day-old *emf2-3 vrn2-1* plants are initially unicellular and only become multicellular in older plants (Fig. 1c). Serial observations of *emf2-3 vrn2-1* roots expressing plasma membrane (LTI6-GFP) and nucleus (H2B-YFP) markers⁹ further indicate that only fully elongated root hairs undergo nuclear and cellular division (Fig. 1d). Multicellularisation of root hairs does not appear to follow a typical gradient along the root axis, suggesting that it is not correlated with the timing of their initial differentiation (Supplementary Fig. 1). Time-lapse imaging of *emf2-3 vrn2-1* root hairs expressing LTI6-GFP and H2B-YFP confirms that these nuclear divisions are accompanied by the formation of a new cell plate and are therefore clearly distinct from the nuclear fragmentation occasionally observed in WT root hairs¹⁰ (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Video 1).

As part of the differentiation program, Arabidopsis root hairs undergo several rounds of

endoreduplication, a modified cell cycle in which cells replicate nuclear DNA without mitoses and concomitantly increase nucleus and cell size¹¹. Entry into the endoreduplication cycle is generally accepted as a commitment for terminal differentiation since cells that have endoreduplicated do not normally reenter the mitotic cycle¹². Flow cytometry analysis showed that activity of the *EXP7* promoter, the pattern of which is similar between WT and PRC2 mutants, is almost exclusively associated with 8C and 16C nuclei (Supplementary Fig. 2), suggesting that root hairs in PRC2 mutants undergo endoreduplication before becoming multicellular. Correspondingly, as previously reported for *fie* seedlings⁶, cells in *clf-28 swn-7*, *fie* and *emf2-3 vrn2-1* roots endoreduplicate and increase ploidy levels up to 16C, which is similar to that observed for WT (Supplementary Fig. 3a). Furthermore, nuclear size, measured on DAPI-stained or H2B-YFP-labelled nuclei, is comparable between unicellular, fully elongated root hairs in WT and PRC2 mutants (Supplementary Fig. 3b, d). Quantitative measurements of SYBR GREEN-stained nuclei also show that chromocentre size, which correlates with ploidy level¹³, is similar between WT and unicellular root hairs in PRC2 mutants (Fig. 1f, Supplementary Fig. 3c), indicating that they have similar ploidy levels. Consistent with these observations, the mitotic B-type cyclin, CYCB1;2, which is normally present in proliferating meristem cells but not in differentiated root hairs¹⁴, is ectopically expressed in the dividing hairs of *clf-28 swn-7* roots (Fig. 1g, Supplementary Video 2). Importantly, other cell types, such as cortex cells that also endoreduplicate, display similar ectopic nuclear division in *clf-28* swn-7 (Supplementary Fig. 4, Supplementary Video 3), suggesting that PRC2 is generally required to prevent mitotic re-entry in mature root cells.

We next investigated whether the division of endoreduplicated hair nuclei is accompanied by DNA replication or whether they undergo reductive division without DNA replication. Two lines of evidence indicate that PRC2 deficiency leads to mitotic division coupled with DNA replication. First, nuclear size of multicellular root hairs in *emf2-3 vrn2-1* is similar to that of endoreplicated root hairs in WT (Supplementary Fig. 2e), suggesting that the high ploidy level is maintained in the mutant. Second, fluorescence microscopy analysis revealed that 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU, a thymidine analog) is incorporated into the DNA of endoreplicated nuclei of *emf2-3 vrn2-1* hair cells (Fig. 1h) but not in WT. Taken together, these results demonstrate that single, terminally differentiated root cells possess the potential to dedifferentiate and that PRC2 suppresses this capacity *in vivo*.

Consistent with our finding that PRC2 is required to maintain the differentiation status, PRC2 components are expressed in differentiating root cells (Supplementary Fig. 5). PRC2 activity maintains gene repression by catalysing the trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 27 (H3K27me3), which is almost entirely lost in *clf swn*, *emf2 vrn2* and *fie*^{6, 15, 16}. Several embryonic or meristem regulators induce cell proliferation when overexpressed, often leading to callus formation and/or somatic embryogenesis¹. Given that many of these regulators are targeted by PRC2¹⁷, derepression of these genes might underlie cellular dedifferentiation observed in PRC2 mutants. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis indeed revealed that among numerous embryonic or meristem regulators marked by H3K27me3 in WT roots^{18, 19}, the expression of *LEAFY COTYLEDON1* (*LEC1*), *LEC2*, *FUSCA3* (FUS3), WUSCHEL (WUS), and WUSCHEL-RELATED HOMEOBOX5 (WOX5) is strongly elevated in emf2-3 vrn2-1 roots (Fig. 2). Other PRC2-targeted loci, such as AGAMOUS-LIKE15 (AGL15), BABY BOOM (BBM), PLETHORA1 (PLT1), and PLT2 did not show marked changes in expression level. WOUND INDUCED DEDIFFERENTIATION (WIND) genes represent another class of key regulators that promote callus generation upon overexpression²⁰. Accordingly, the expression of WIND1, WIND2 and WIND3 is upregulated in emf2-3 vrn2-1 and WIND1 accumulation tightly correlates with root hair dedifferentiation (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 6). Analyses of epigenomic data from different tissues including hair cells^{19, 21} revealed that only WIND3 is marked by H3K27me3 in all tissues examined while all four WIND genes are marked by H3K4me3, a chromatin modification associated with active transcription (Fig. 3a, Supplementary Fig. 7). Our chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments coupled with quantitative real-time PCR validated the preferential enrichment of H3K27me3 at the WIND3 locus in 14-day-old WT roots (Fig. 3b), confirming that, among WIND genes, only WIND3 is directly targeted by PRC2 at this developmental stage.

We next investigated whether ectopic overexpression of any of these PRC2 target genes causes multicellular root hairs. As shown in Fig. 4a, *35S:WIND3* plants develop unicellular root hairs similar to those in WT after germination that progressively turn multicellular and develop callus. *35S:WIND1* and *35S:WIND2* plants also develop multicellular root hairs (Fig. 4a), indicating that they also trigger root hair dedifferentiation. Overexpression of *LEC2* using *35S:LEC2-GR²²* induces root hair multicellularisation (Fig. 4a) and concomitant activation of *WIND1*- and *LEC2*-mediated pathways enhances this phenotype (Supplementary Fig. 8), suggesting that PRC2 plays an integrative role in repressing multiple developmental pathways. Introducing the *lec2* mutation partially supresses the multicellular phenotype in *clf-28 swn-7* since the proportion of multicellular root hairs reduces from 56% in *clf-28 swn-7* (n = 71) to 17% in *clf-28 swn-7 lec2* (n = 81) (Fig. 4b), indicating that ectopic *LEC2* expression underlies root hair multicellularisation. We did not observe the same suppression phenotype when introducing the *wind3* mutation in *clf-28 swn-7*, suggesting higher levels of redundancy in the WIND3-dependent pathway.

This study reveals that PRC2 activity is required to prevent unscheduled dedifferentiation of terminally differentiated plant cells (Fig. 4c). This is an important discovery since what mechanism limits cellular plasticity in plant development and whether such a mechanism is even necessary have remained unsolved for many decades^{1, 2}. Several recent studies showed that hormone-induced callus in Arabidopsis tissue culture derives from adult stem cells in the pericycle, indicating that callus formation does not necessarily involve dedifferentiation of mature somatic cells^{23, 24, 25}. Previous studies reporting callus formation or somatic embryogenesis in PRC2 mutants^{5, 6} or WIND/LEC2 overexpressors^{1, 20, 26} did not explicitly show dedifferentiated, endoreduplicated cells but our data in this study clearly demonstrate that even fully differentiated, endoreduplicated cells can still dedifferentiate and produce somatic embryos once PRC2-mediated epigenetic repression is removed. Why PRC2 deficiency does not cause dedifferentiation earlier in development remains an open question but we postulate that this might be linked to the fact that the main function of PRC2

is to maintain transcriptional decisions over time rather than taking these decisions. In fact, the apparent delay in observing developmental defects is a common feature of phenotypic alterations associated with PRC2 deficiency^{6, 27}. It is plausible that during early development genetically defined transcriptional networks sustain proper growth and differentiation until their robustness becomes sufficiently challenged, for example, by the ectopic expression of PRC2-targeted reprogramming regulators such as *WIND3* and *LEC2*. In nature various forms of stresses override developmental networks to trigger cellular reprogramming¹. Uncovering how stress responses link to chromatin dynamics at key reprogramming regulators will be of great interest in future studies.

References

1. Ikeuchi, M., Sugimoto, K. & Iwase, A. Plant callus: mechanisms of induction and repression. *Plant Cell* **25**, 4159-3173 (2013).

 Birnbaum, K. D. & Alvarado, A. S. Slicing across kingdoms: regeneration in plants and animals. *Cell* 132, 697–710 (2008).

3. Steward, F.C., Mapes, M.O. & Mears, K. Growth and organized development of cultured cells. II. Organization in cultures grown from freely suspended cells. *Am. J. Bot.* **45**, 705–708 (1958).

4. Datta, S. *et al.* Root hairs: development, growth and evolution at the plant-soil interface. *Plant Soil* **346**, 1–14 (2011).

5. Chanvivattana, Y. *et al.* Interaction of Polycomb-group proteins controlling flowering in Arabidopsis. *Development* **131**, 5263–5276 (2004).

6. Bouyer, D. *et al.* Polycomb repressive complex 2 controls the embryo-to-seedling phase transition. *PLoS Genet.* **7**, e1002014 (2011).

7. Mylne, J. S. *et al.* LHP1, the Arabidopsis homologue of HETEROCHROMATIN PROTEIN1, is required for epigenetic silencing of FLC. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 5012–5017 (2006).

8. Cho, H.-T. & Cosgrove, D. J. Regulation of root hair initiation and expansin gene expression in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **14**, 3237–3253 (2002).

9. Campilho, A. *et al.* Time-lapse analysis of stem-cell divisions in the *Arabidopsis thaliana* root meristem. *Plant J.* **48**, 619–627 (2006).

10. Chytilova, E. *et al.* Nuclear dynamics in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **11**, 2733–2741 (2000).

11. Sugimoto-Shirasu, K. *et al.* RHL1 is an essential component of the plant DNA topoisomerase VI complex and is required for ploidy-dependent cell growth. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 18736–18741 (2005).

12. Breuer, C., Ishida, T. & Sugimoto, K. Developmental control of endocycles and cell growth in plants. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **13**, 654–660 (2010).

13. Breuer, C. *et al.* BIN4, a novel component of the plant DNA topoisomerase VI complex, is required for endoreduplication in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **19**, 3655–3668 (2007).

14. Iwata, E. *et al.* GIGAS CELL1, a novel negative regulator of the anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome, is required for proper mitotic progression and cell fate determination in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **23**, 4382–4393 (2011).

15. Lafos, M. *et al.* Dynamic regulation of H3K27 trimethylation during Arabidopsis differentiation. *PLoS Genet.* **7**, e1002040 (2011).

16. Schubert, D. *et al.* Silencing by plant Polycomb-group genes requires dispersed trimethylation of histone H3 at lysine 27. *EMBO J.* **25**, 4638–4649 (2006).

17. Bemer, M. & Grossniklaus, U. Dynamic regulation of Polycomb group activity during plant development. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **15**, 523–529 (2012).

18. Aichinger, E., Villar, C. B. R., Di Mambro, R., Sabatini, S. & Köhler, C. The CHD3 chromatin remodeler PICKLE and polycomb group proteins antagonistically regulate meristem activity in the Arabidopsis root. *Plant Cell* **23**, 1047–1060 (2011).

19. Roudier, F. *et al.* Integrative epigenomic mapping defines four main chromatin states in Arabidopsis. *EMBO J.* **30**, 1928–1938 (2011).

20. Iwase, A. *et al.* The AP2/ERF transcription factor WIND1 controls cell dedifferentiation in Arabidopsis. *Curr. Biol.* **21**, 508–514 (2011).

21. Deal, R. B. & Henikoff, S. A simple method for gene expression and chromatin profiling of individual cell types within a tissue. *Dev. Cell* **18**, 1030–1040 (2010).

22. Ledwoń, A. & Gaj, M. D. *LEAFY COTYLEDON2* gene expression and auxin treatment in relation to embryogenic capacity of Arabidopsis somatic cells. *Plant Cell Rep.* **28**, 1677–1688 (2009).

23. Atta, R. *et al.* Pluripotency of Arabidopsis xylem pericycle underlies shoot regeneration from root and hypocotyl explants grown in vitro. *Plant J.* **57**, 626–644 (2009).

24. Sugimoto, K., Jiao, Y. & Meyerowitz, E. M. Arabidopsis regeneration from multiple tissues occurs via a root development pathway. *Dev. Cell* **18**, 463–471 (2010).

25. Sugimoto, K., Gordon, S. P. & Meyerowitz, E. M. Regeneration in plants and animals: dedifferentiation, transdifferentiation, or just differentiation? *Trends Cell Biol.* **21**, 212–218 (2011).

Stone, S. L., Kwong, L. W., Yee, K. M., Pelletier, J., Lepiniec, L., Fischer, R. L., Goldberg, R.
B., Harada, J, J. LEAFY COTYLEDON2 encodes a B3 domain transcription factor that induces embryo development. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 98, 11806-11811 (2001).

27. Yang, C., Bratzel F., Hohmann N., Koch M., Turck F & Calonje M. VAL- and AtBMI1-mediated H2Aub initiate the switch from embryonic to postgerminative growth in *Arabidopsis. Curr. Biol* 23: 1324-1329 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (22119010) and a grant from Scientific Technique Research Promotion Program for Agriculture, Forestry, Fisheries and Food Industry to KS. MI is supported by the RIKEN Special Postdoctoral Researcher Programme. Epigenomic studies were supported by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme Network of Excellence EpiGeneSys and the CNRS to the group of V. Colot (AKM and FR). AKM is the recipient of a grant from the French Ministère de la Recherche et de l'Enseignement Supérieur. PRC2 expression studies were supported by a France-Berkeley grant to FR and SMB, an EMBO LT and Human Frontiers Science Program Postdoctoral Fellowship to MdL and a Hellman Junior Faculty Fellowship to SMB.

Author Contributions

AI and KS conceived the project. MI, AI and KS designed the experiments, and MI, AI and MO conducted most of genetic and cell biological analyses except ChIP-chip which was performed by FR, AKM and JG and ploidy analyses which was performed by LDV, HH, BR and MS. CB generated *pEXP7:NLS-GFP* and *pEXP7:GTL1-GFP* plants, and MdL and SMB generated *pEMF2:EMF2-GFP* plants. MI and KS wrote the manuscript with help from co-authors.

Author Information

The authors declare no competing financial interests. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to KS (keiko.sugimoto@riken.jp).

Figure Legends

Figure 1 PRC2 represses dedifferentiation of mature root hair cells. a, Roots of WT (top left) and *clf-28 swn-7* (top right). Root hair-derived callus and embryos in *fie* (bottom). Accumulation of chlorophylls and Sudan red 7B-stained lipids highlights developing somatic embryos. Asterisks mark the main root. **b**, Root hairs of heterozygous *emf2-3/+ vrn2-1* (WT-like) and homozygous *emf2-3 vrn2-1* expressing the root hair-specific marker *pEXP7:NLS-GFP*. **c**, Root hairs of WT and *emf2-3 vrn2-1* (three lower panels) expressing the LTI6-GFP and H2B-YFP markers. **d**, Cellular and nuclear division in *emf2-3 vrn2-1* root hairs visualised by time-lapse imaging of LTI6-GFP and H2B-YFP. Colored arrows highlight nuclei originating from the same single-celled root hair. **e**, Nuclear division in *emf2-3 vrn2-1* root hair visualised by H2B-YFP. The white arrow indicates

newly formed cell plate highlighted by LTI6-GFP. **f**, SYBR GREEN-stained nuclei in WT root cap cells and WT, *clf-28 swn-7, emf2-3 vrn2-1* and *fie* root hairs. Note that root cap cells have 2C nuclei. **g**, Expression of the mitotic cyclin reporter CYCB1;2-YFP in *clf-28 swn-7* root tip (top) and a dividing root hair (three lower panels). **h**, EdU incorporation into nuclei of an *emf2-3 vrn2-1* root hair. Bars = 100 μ m (**a, b, c, d, g, h**), 10 μ m (**e, f**).

Figure 2 Key developmental regulators are ectopically activated in PRC2 mutant roots. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis measuring transcript levels of embryonic, meristem and callus regulators in primary roots of 15-day-old heterozygous (WT-like) and homozygous *emf2-3 vrn2-1* plants. Transcript levels are normalised against *PP2AA3* expression and shown as fold change relative to values measured for WT-like plants (arbitrarily set to 1). Error bars represent S.E. of biological triplicates.

Figure 3 PRC2 directly targets *WIND3.* **a**, ChIP-chip analysis measuring H3K27me3 enrichment in WT seedlings, roots, root tips, epidermal root hair and non-hair cells. Green bars highlight probes reporting significant enrichment in H3K27me3 (log2 IP/INPUT). Red arrows show the position and orientation of *WIND* genes according to TAIR10 annotation and blue bars indicate the position of primer sets used in **b**. **b**, ChIP-qPCR validation of H3K27me3 marking in WT roots. Values represent enrichment relative to the corresponding input DNA and error bars show S.E. from three independent experiments.

Figure 4 Overexpression of *WIND1*, *WIND2*, *WIND3* **or** *LEC2* **partly phenocopies the multicellular root hair phenotype of PRC2 mutants. a**, Root hair phenotypes of WT, *WIND-* or *LEC2-* overexpressing plants. For WT, *35S:WIND3, 35S:WIND1, 35S:WIND2*, top and bottom panels show bright-field and DAPI-stained nuclei images, respectively. For *35S:LEC2-GR* grown in the presence of 10 μM dexamethasone (DEX), top and bottom panels show bright-field and

H2B-YFP-labelled nuclei images, respectively. **b**, Partial suppression of *clf-28 swn-7* root hair phenotypes by the *lec2* mutation. Arrows mark DAPI-stained nuclei in *clf-28 swn-7* and *clf-28 swn-7 lec2* root hairs. **c**, Model for PRC2-mediated maintenance of the cellular differentiation status. PRC2 represses at least two developmental pathways (marked by black lines), one involving *WIND3* and the other *LEC2*, to prevent unscheduled dedifferentiation of mature root cells. PRC2 directly or indirectly suppresses other developmental pathways (marked by dotted lines) including those governed by *WIND1* and *WIND2*. Ectopic activation of these pathways leads to cellular dedifferentiation with subsequent callus formation and somatic embryogenesis. Bars = 0.1 mm (**a**, **b**).

METHODS

Plant materials. For phenotypic analyses, Arabidopsis PRC2 mutants, *clf-28* (At2g23380, SALK 139371) swn-7 (At4g02020, SALK 109121)⁵ and emf2-3 (At5g51230) vrn2-1 (At4g16845)⁷ were crossed with CYCB1;2-YFP¹⁴ and 35S:LTI6-GFP 35S:H2B-YFP⁹ plants, respectively. The embryo lethal phenotype of *fie* (At3g20740, SALK 042962) was rescued by the cdka;1 (At3g48750, SALK 106809) mutation as described previously⁶. 35S:WIND1 (At1g78080), 35S:WIND2 (At1g22190), 35S:WIND3 (At1g36060), wind3 (SALK 091212), XVE:WIND1 and $pWIND1:WIND1-GFP^{20}$, 35S:LEC2-GR (At1g28300) lec2 (SALK 015228)²², and $pSWN:SWN-GFP^{28}$ and $pVRN2:VRN2-GUS^{29}$ were previously described. XVE:WIND1 plants were crossed with 35S:LEC2-GR to generate the double transgenic lines. All mutants and transgenic plants used in this study are in Columbia-0 (Col-0) background except vrn2-1 and *pVRN2:VRN2-GUS*, which are in Lansberg erecta (Ler). Plants were grown on 0.6% (w/v) gelzan plates containing Murashige-Skoog (MS) salts and 1% sucrose under continuous light at 22°C.

Generation of transgenic plants. To generate the *pEMF2:EMF2-GFP* reporter fusion, the *EMF2* promoter was PCR amplified from genomic DNA using primers pEMF2 F (TAGAGAGAAACCCTTGTGGT) and pEMF2 R (TCTCGCTACGAGATCCTAGA). The coding of *EMF2* was PCR amplified from cDNA primers sequence using EMF2 F (CACCATGCCAGGCATTCCTCTTGTTAGTC) and EMF2 R (no stop) (AATTTGGAGCTGTTCGAGAAAG). PCR products were purified and cloned into pENTR D-TOPO (invitrogen). The pEMF2 in pENTR 5'TA TOPO, EMF2 cDNA in pENTR D-TOPO and mGFP5 in pDONR P2R-P3 were used as templates for a multisite gateway reaction with the destination vector pB7m34GW. 0^{30} . The *pEXP7:NLS-GFP* plasmid was generated by PCR amplification of a 1413 bp fragment of the EXP7 promoter using primers pEXP7_F (GGGGACAACTTTGTATAGAAAAGTTGCCGTAGTTAGATGATTACAAAGGGG) and pEXP7_R

13

Microscopy. For live cell imaging, plants grown on MS media were transferred onto glass bottom dishes in a high-humidity chamber to prevent the drying of root hairs. To quantify the size of nuclei and chromocentres, nuclei were stained with 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Partec) and SYBR GREEN (Lonza) as previously described^{34, 35} and imaged using a BX51 fluorescence microscope (Olympus) and an SP5 confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica), respectively. The projected area of DAPI-stained nuclei and SYBR GREEN-stained chromocentres was measured using ImageJ (v. 1.49m; http://imagej.nih.gov/ij). To estimate the size of H2B-YFP-labelled nuclei, *emf2-3 vrn2-1* mutants harbouring *35S:H2B-YFP* were imaged using SP5 and the projected area was measured using the associated software (Leica). For the detection of DNA replication, 1 μ M of EdU (Invitrogen) was incorporated into root cells for 4 h, coupled with Alexa flour 488-labelled azide by Click-iT reaction, and imaged using BX51. Somatic embryos were stained following the protocol⁶, except that Sudan III was replaced with Sudan red 7B, and imaged using a M165FC dissection microscope (Leica).

Flow cytometry analysis. Root tips of pEXP7:GTL1-GFP plants were cut with a razor blade in 200 μ L of nuclei extraction buffer containing 45 mM MgCl₂, 30 mM sodium citrate, and 20 mM 3-morpholinopropane-1-sulfonic acid, pH 7.0 for 2 min, and filtered through a 50 μ m nylon filter. The DNA was stained with 1 mg/ml DAPI. Nuclei were measured using a CyFlow Flow Cytometer (Partec) exited by illumination at 375 nm and 488 nm to excite and detect DAPI (total nuclei count) and GFP-and fluorescence, respectively. The measured DNA contents were analyzed using FloMax software (Partec).

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from primary roots of 15-day-old seedlings. Seeds obtained from $emf2-3^{+/-}$ vrn2-1^{-/-} were sown and wild type-like siblings ($emf2-3^{+/+}$) $vrn2-1^{-/-}$ or $emf2-3^{+/-}$ $vrn2-1^{-/-}$) and double mutants ($emf2-3^{-/-}$ $vrn2-1^{-/-}$) were harvested for expression analyses. To minimize the contamination of dividing cells, both root apical meristems and visible lateral root primordia were removed from primary roots. Total RNA of 400 ng, isolated with RNeasy (Qiagen), was subjected for the first strand cDNA synthesis with primescript RT reagent kit (Takara). For quantitative real-time PCR, the Thunderbird SYBR qPCR mix (Toyobo) was used with the following primer sets: WIND1-F (5'-GATCTCACATCGGAGGCGATT-3'), WIND1-R (5'-CCACCGATCGAAACCGAATTC-3') for WIND1, WIND2-F (5'-GAGCTGACGTTTGGTGATACG-3') and WIND2-R WIND2, (5'-TTACAAGACTCGAACACTGAAG-3') for WIND3-F (5'-CTTCAGCTGAGCAGCCGTCAG-3') WIND3-R and (5'-ATGGCATCTCTGGCTCTTGGCA-3') for WIND3, WIND4-F (5'-ATCTTCCACTGCCTCAGATCG-3') WIND4-R and (5'-GTGCAAACCCATCAAGAAACTC-3') for WIND4, WUS-F (5'-AGCCGATCAGATCCAGAAGA-3') and WUS-R (5'-AACCGAGTTGGGTGATGAAG-3') for WUS (At2g17950), WOX5-F (5'-GGCTAGGGAGAGGCAGAAAC-3') and WOX5-R (5'-TCCACCTTGGAGTTGGAGTC-3') for WOX5, PLT1-F

15

(5'-GGTACGACGTGAAAGCCATT-3') and PLT1-R (5'-CCACCACCGTACTGGAAACT-3') for *PLT1* (At3g20840), PLT2-F (5'-CGCCTCACATTCACTCTTCA-3') and PLT2-R (5'-CGTTGGTTTGATGAATGTCG-3') PLT2 for (At1g51190), LEC1-F (5'-CCCTTCTCACTATCAGCTA-3') and LEC1-R (5'-GGCATGTATTGGTCTTGCTC-3') for LEC1 (At1g21970), LEC2-F (5'-CGAGGACGAAAGCAAGAATC-3') and LEC2-R (5'-TGCTGAAGGTCAGTGGTGAG-3') for LEC2, FUS3-F (5'-TGAATGCAAGGAAGGGATTC-3') and FUS3 -R (5'-CACCTAGCTGCAGACCATGA-3') for FUS3 (At3g26790), AGL15-F (5'-CGCTCTCATAAACCACGACA-3') and AGL15-R (5'-GCTTCAGGTGGAGAATTTGC-3') for AGL15 (At5g13790), BBM-F1 (5'-CCGTACGGATGTTGACTCCT-3') and BBM-R1 (5'-CCCAATCTCGGGAGTGACTA-3') for BBM (At5g17430) and PP2AA3-F (5'-GACCAAGTGAACCAGGTTATTGG-3') and PP2AA3-R (5'-TACTCTCCAGTGCCTGTCTTCA-3') for an internal control PP2AA3 (At1g13320).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation. Whole-genome ChIP-chip data from seedlings and roots were retrieved from previous reports^{19, 21}. Raw data were normalised using an ANOVA model, averaged over biological replicates, and analysed using a three-states Parsimonious Higher-Order Hidden Markov Model³⁶ as previously described³⁷. ChIP-qPCR validation of whole root samples was performed as described³⁸. Whole roots from 14 day-old WT seedlings were harvested and fixed in 1% formaldehyde under vacuum for 10 min. Fixation was stopped by the application of glycine (125 mM final concentration) and incubation under vacuum for 5 min. After the wash with distilled water, fixed tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen, ground, re-suspended in 1 mL NIB buffer (Sigma) supplemented with DTT (1 mM final concentration) and filtered with miracloth (Calbiochem). Nuclei collected by centrifugation were subjected to 500 μL NIBA buffer (NIB buffer supplemented with 1x proteinase inhibitor cocktail, Sigma) containing 0.3% Triton-X 100 to breakdown nuclear envelope, then washed with NIBA. Chromatin was precipitated by

centrifugation and resuspended in Lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% deoxychoate, 0.1% SDS, 1x plant proteinase inhibitor cocktail), then sheared by sonication to 500-1000 bp fragments using a Bioruptor (diagenode). The protein A-coated dynabeads (Life technologies) were added to the sonicated chromatin solution and the supernatant was collected using a magnetic stand after incubation for 4 h at 4°C. Then 1 µL of the antibody against H3K27me3 (#07-449, Millipore) was added to the supernatant and incubated at 4° C overnight on a rotator. After a subsequent incubation with 30 µL dynabeads for 4h at 4° C, the immune complexes were collected and washed with Lysis buffer without proteinase inhibitry, LNDET (0.25 M LiCl, 1% NP40, 1% deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA) and TE (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA). The chromatin samples were then collected in elution buffer (1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO₃, 0.2 mg/mL proteinase K, 1 mM DTT), and incubated at 65°C overnight. RNA was subsequently degraded by incubating with RNase A (1 mg/mL) for 30 min at room temperature. After the application of 5 times volume of NTB buffer (Takara) and 1 time volume of isopropanol, DNA was purified using a MinElute PCR purification mini kit (QIAGEN) and eluted in 60 µL of EB buffer. Then 1 µL of the isolated DNA was subjected to quantitative real-time PCR using thunderbird SYBR qPCR mix (Toyobo) with the following primer sets: WIND1-1-F (5'-CGCGTCATTCACTCATTAGC-3') and WIND1-1-R (5'-GATCAGCATATTTCTATTCCAGA-3') for WIND1-1, WIND1-2-F (5'-CAAATCTACTCGCTTAGGTA-3') and WIND1-2-R (5'-TCTCAACCTCACCGATGAAC-3') for WIND1-2, WIND1-3-F (5'-GAGAATTCGGTTTCGATCGGT-3') and WIND1-3-R (5'-CCATTGCAAGCGGCTGAATTTCC-3') for WIND1-3, WIND2-1-F (5'-TCTAACCCTCTAGACCCTTC-3') WIND2-1-R (5'and

GTTCCGAATGTGACACACGT-3') (5'-GGAGTACGTAAACATGGATG-3')

(5'-AATAACTCTCAGCCTCACCG-3')

17

for

for

WIND2-1,

WIND2-2,

and

WIND2-2-F

WIND2-2-R

WIND2-3-F

(5'-GCTGTTAACGGAGAGTTATGG-3') and WIND2-3-R (5'-ACAAGACTCGAACACTGAAG-3') for WIND2-3, WIND3-1-F (5'-CGCGTTGGCTTATGATCGC-3') WIND3-1-R (5'- TCGGCTCAGCTAATATGGCT-3') for WIND3-1, WIND3-2-F (5'-TGAGGTTGAGAGCATCTTCC-3') and WIND3-2-R (5'-GGTTTGAAGATGAAACGAAGC-3') for WIND3-2, WIND3-3-F (5'-GACCTGGTTTCTTTGGC-3') and WIND3-3-R (5'-GCGTAAGCATAAGTACAACGGT-3') WIND4-1-F (5'-GCTATAGGGAAATAGAGTTG-3') for WIND3-3. and WIND4-1-R (5'-CGCGTTGACAATTGTTATGT-3') for WIND4-1, WIND4-2-F (5'-GGTTAAACTATACCGAGGCG-3') and WIND4-2-R (5'-CTGGGAAATTGAGACGAGCG-3') for WIND4-2.

28. Wang, D., Tyson, M. D., Jackson, S. S. & Yadegari, R. Partially redundant functions of two SET-domain polycomb-group proteins in controlling initiation of seed development in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 13244–13249 (2006).

29. Choi, J. *et al.* Resetting and regulation of Flowering Locus C expression during Arabidopsis reproductive development. *Plant J.* **57**, 918–931 (2009).

30. Karimi, M., De Meyer, B. & Hilson, P. Modular cloning in plant cells. *Trends Plant Sci.* **10**, 103–105 (2005).

31. Nakagawa T. *et al.* Development of R4 gateway binary vectors (R4pGWB) enabling high-throughput promoter swapping for plant research. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **72**, 624–629 (2008).

32. Breuer, C. *et al.* Transcriptional repression of the APC/C activator CCS52A1 promotes active termination of cell growth. *EMBO J.* **31**, 4488–4501 (2012).

33. Clough, S. J. & Bent, a F. Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J.* **16**, 735–43 (1998).

34. Ishida, T. *et al.* SUMO E3 ligase HIGH PLOIDY2 regulates endocycle onset and meristem maintenance in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **21**, 2284–2297 (2009).

35. Hayashi, K., Hasegawa, J. & Matsunaga, S. The boundary of the meristematic and elongation zones in roots: endoreduplication precedes rapid cell expansion. *Sci. Rep.* **3**, (2013).

36. Seifert M. *et al.* MeDIP-HMM: Genome-wide identification of distinct DNA methylation states from high-density tiling arrays. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 2930-9 (2012).

37. Marchive C. *et al.* Nuclear retention of the transcription factor NLP7 orchestrates the early response to nitrate in plants. *Nature Comm.* **4**, 1713 (2013).

38. Morohashi, K. & Grotewold, E. A systems approach reveals regulatory circuitry for Arabidopsis trichome initiation by the GL3 and GL1 selectors. *PLoS Genet.* **5**, e1000396 (2009).









а

b

35S:WIND3





35S:WIND1 35S:WIND2

clf-28 swn-7



clf-28 swn-7 lec2



