1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Differential repositioning of the second transmembrane helices from <i>E. coli</i> Tar and EnvZ
11	upon moving the flanking aromatic residues
12	
13	Salomé C. Botelho ^{1,a} , Karl Enquist ^{1,b} , Gunnar von Heijne ¹ and Roger R. Draheim ^{*2,3}
14	
15	
16	
17	¹ Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Stockholm University, Svante Arrhenius väg 16C,
18	SE-10691, Stockholm, Sweden; ² Division of Pharmacy and ³ Wolfson Research Institute for Health
19	and Wellbeing, Durham University, Oueen's Campus, Stockton-on-Tees, TS17 6BH, England,
20	United Kingdom
21	
22	Current addresses: ^a Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology. Howard Hughes Medical
23	Institute, Lorry Lokey SIM1 Building 07-535, 265 Campus Drive Room G1021, Stanford
24	University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, 94305-5453, United States of America;
25	^b OrganoClick AB, Ritarslingan 20, SE-18766, Täby, Sweden
26	
27	
28	Running title: Aromatic-based repositioning of Tar and EnvZ TM2
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	*To whom correspondence should be addressed (R R D).
38	
39	
40	Durham University
41	Division of Pharmacy
42	Wolfson Building Room F106
43	Stockton-on-Tees
44	TS17 6BH
45	Fnoland
46	United Kingdom
47	Tel: +44 191 334 0694
48	Fax: +44 191 334 0374
49	roger draheim@durham ac.uk
50	
51	
	1

52 Abstract

53 Aromatic tuning, *i.e.* repositioning aromatic residues found at the cytoplasmic end of transmembrane (TM) domains within bacterial receptors, has been previously shown to be an 54 55 efficient way to modulate signal output from the aspartate chemoreceptor (Tar) and the major 56 osmosensor EnvZ of Escherichia coli. In the case of Tar, changes in signal output consistent with 57 the vertical position of the native Trp-Tyr aromatic tandem within TM2 were observed. In contrast, 58 within EnvZ, where a Trp-Leu-Phe aromatic triplet was repositioned, the surface that the triplet 59 resided upon was shown to be the major determinant governing signal output. However, these 60 previous studies failed to determine whether moving the aromatic residues within TM2 of Tar or 61 EnvZ was sufficient to physically reposition the TM helix within a membrane. Recent coarse-62 grained molecular dynamics (CG-MD) simulations predicted displacement of Tar TM2 upon 63 moving the aromatic residues at the cytoplasmic end of TM2. Here, we have employed a 64 glycosylation-mapping technique to demonstrate that repositioning the Trp-Tyr tandem within Tar 65 TM2 is sufficient to displace the C-terminal boundary of the helix relative to the membrane. In a 66 similar analysis of EnvZ, an abrupt initial displacement of TM2 was observed but no subsequent 67 movement was seen, suggesting that the vertical position of TM2 is not governed by the location of 68 the Trp-Leu-Phe triplet. In summary, our results support recent CG-MD simulations with 69 aromatically tuned Tar segments that demonstrated the Trp-Tyr tandem is sufficient to displace 70 TM2 within a membrane. Our results also provide another set of experimental data, *i.e.* the 71 resistance of EnvZ TM2 to being displaced upon aromatic tuning, which could be useful for 72 subsequent refinement of the initial CG-MD simulations. We suggest that differences observed 73 between the behavior of helices is due to the inherently different properties of the residues being 74 repositioned (*i.e.* Trp or Tyr versus Phe). Finally, we discuss the limitations of these methodologies, 75 how moving flanking aromatic residues might impact steady-state signal output and the potential to 76 employ aromatic tuning in other bacterial membrane-spanning receptors.

77	Keywords
78	Aromatic tuning / hydrophobic-polar membrane interface / interfacial anchoring / transmembrane
79	helices / glycosylation mapping
80	
81	
82	Highlights
83	• Aromatic tuning with a Trp-Tyr tandem displaces Tar TM2 in a membrane
84	• Displacement of Tar TM2 consistent with previous coarse grained molecular dynamics (CG-
85	MD) simulations
86	• Repositioning the Trp-Leu-Phe triplet does not incrementally displace EnvZ TM2
87	• Propensity for TM2 displacement agrees with previous patterns of tuned signal output
88	
89	
90	Abbreviations
91	WALP or YALP: α -helical peptides that possess an aliphatic core of Ala-Leu repeats flanked by
92	Trp (WALP) or Tyr (YALP) residues; TM: transmembrane; TM2: second transmembrane helix;
93	SHK: sensor histidine kinase; CG-MD: coarse-grained molecular dynamics; MGD: minimum
94	glycosylation distance; AS1: amphipathic sequence 1; AS2: amphipathic sequence 2, RM: rough
95	microsomes

98

99 Two-component signaling circuits allow bacteria to detect and respond to external stimuli. 100 However, for the majority of these circuits, the input stimulus remains unidentified. To circumvent 101 this limitation, we developed an "aromatic tuning" technique, *i.e.* repositioning the aromatic 102 residues commonly found at the cytoplasmic end of the transmembrane domain of receptors within 103 these circuits, to modulate steady-state signal output from the aspartate chemoreceptor (Tar) and 104 EnvZ, a major osmosensor, from Escherichia coli [1, 2]. In essence, aromatic tuning allows 105 stimulus-independent modulation of bacterial signaling circuits, which can be used to control 106 particular physiological or developmental processes without determination of the input stimulus. 107 Aromatic residues are conserved in similar locations in other receptors, suggesting that our tuning 108 approach could be applied to a wide variety of other two-component signaling circuits [3, 4].

109 Aromatic tuning was initially inspired by studies with α -helical peptides that possess an aliphatic core of Ala-Leu repeats flanked by Trp (WALP) or Tyr (YALP) residues. These Trp and 110 111 Tyr residues demonstrated a distinct preference for the polar/hydrophobic interfaces between the 112 headgroups and acyl chains of synthetic lipid bilayers [5, 6]. Furthermore, a glycosylation-mapping 113 technique [7] highlighted the ability of Trp and Phe residues to reposition poly-Leu TM helices in 114 membranes due to their affinity for polar/hydrophobic interfaces [8]. Other studies have compared 115 the biophysical effects of having single or tandem aromatic residues at the end of these poly-Ala-116 Leu α -helical peptides with respect to their preferred orientation and dynamics within different 117 synthetic bilayers [9, 10]. Therefore, substantial biochemical and biophysical evidence suggested 118 that repositioning the aromatic residues at the end of the TM2 helices would dramatically affect the 119 properties of these signaling helices and likely modulate signal output from membrane-spanning 120 receptors in which they were moved.

122 When we initially attempted aromatic tuning, the mechanistic models for transmembrane 123 signaling by Tar were based on piston-type displacements of TM2 [1, 4, 11-14]. We originally hypothesized that aromatic tuning would displace TM2 of Tar within the membrane [1]. To 124 125 examine this hypothesis, a series of Tar receptors was created where the Trp-Tyr tandem found at 126 the cytoplasmic end of TM2 was moved up to three residue steps in either direction (Figure 1A). It 127 is important to note that Tar is not a canonical sensor histidine kinase (SHK), requires CheW and 128 CheA to form functional intracellular signaling complexes, and controls the direction of flagellar 129 rotation rather than gene transcription (Figure 1B) [15-18]. When these Tar receptors were 130 expressed within intact E. coli cells, an increase in steady-state signal output was observed that was 131 consistent with the vertical position of the aromatic residues within TM2 (Figure 1C) [1].

132 In order to determine whether aromatic tuning would work within a canonical SHK, we 133 examined its effectiveness using the major E. coli osmosensor, EnvZ, where a rotation of TM2 has 134 been proposed as the mechanism of transmembrane communication [19-23]. More recently, 135 regulated unfolding [24] and scissor-like models have been proposed for signaling by SHKs [25, 136 26]. Due to this variety of proposed mechanisms, we were unsure of what pattern of signal outputs 137 would be observed upon aromatic tuning. Within EnvZ, a Trp-Leu-Phe triplet was repositioned and 138 an anti-symmetrical fluorescent reporter system was employed to monitor steady-state signal 139 output. In this case, we observed that the surface of TM2 that the aromatic residues reside upon was 140 the major determinant in signal output rather than their vertical position (Figures 1D and 1E) [2].

In our previous studies, we did not directly demonstrate that moving the aromatic residues within TM2 of Tar or EnvZ was sufficient to reposition either helix relative to the cytoplasmic membrane [1, 2]. However, recent coarse-grained molecular dynamics (CG-MD) simulations support displacement of Tar TM2 when aromatic tuning is employed [27]. Here, we utilize a glycosylation-mapping technique to determine whether repositioning the aromatic residues is sufficient to displace the membrane-embedded TM2 helices from Tar and EnvZ [7]. We

147 demonstrate that repositioning the aromatic residues, a Trp-Tyr tandem, that normally reside at the 148 cytoplasmic end of Tar TM2 resulted in a series of small incremental changes in minimal 149 glycosylation distance (MGD) consistent with repositioning the C-terminal boundary of the helix. 150 In the case of EnvZ, a Trp-Leu-Phe triplet was repositioned, and after an abrupt initial 151 displacement, no further substantial displacements were observed. We propose that this large initial 152 displacement is likely due to a loss of interaction between an arginyl residue and the membrane, and 153 that a pattern consistent with increasing TM2 displacement due to aromatic tuning was not 154 observed. We conclude by suggesting that differences observed between the behavior of helices is 155 due to the inherently different properties of the residues being repositioned (*i.e.* Trp or Tyr versus 156 Phe). We also discuss the limitations of these methodologies, how moving flanking aromatic 157 residues might impact steady-state signal output and the potential to employ aromatic tuning in 158 other bacterial membrane-spanning receptors.

- 159
- 160

161 2 Materials and methods

162

163 2.1 Selection of residues comprising TM2 of Tar and EnvZ

164

165 The primary sequences of Tar (GI: 16129838) and EnvZ (GI: 16131281) from *Escherichia* 166 *coli* K-12 MG1655 were subjected to a full protein scan with the Δ G predictor using a minimal 167 window of 9 residues and a maximal window of 40 residues [28]. This software searches the protein 168 sequences for putative TM helices by employing a sliding window of variable lengths and 169 calculating the Δ G_{app} for transmembrane insertion throughout the length of the sequence. In the case 170 of Tar, residues between Tyr-187 and Leu-217 were predicted to comprise TM2, while Leu-160 to 171 Ile-181 were proposed for EnvZ. In both cases, a motif commonly found within transmembrane helices that consisted of positively charged residues and adjacent aromatic resides bracketing a core
of alipathic residues was found within the predicted TM segments [29]. Based on this observation,
Arg-188 to Arg-213 from Tar and Arg-162 to Arg-180 from EnvZ were selected for glycosylationmapping analysis.

176

177

2.2 *Glycosylation-mapping analysis*

178

179 Model Lep proteins including the TM2 segments from Tar and EnvZ were expressed in vitro from plasmid pGEM1 (Stratagene). To create the initial model Lep protein, the 5' end of the 180 181 *lepB* gene from *E. coli* was modified by the introduction of an *Xba*I site and by changing the 182 sequence 5' to the initiator ATG codon to a Kozak consensus sequence [30]. These proteins contained one acceptor site for N-linked glycosylation in positions 3–5 (Asn-Ser-Thr; G1 in Figure 183 184 2A) included within an extended sequence of 24 residues (Met-Ala-Asn³-Ser-Thr-Ser-Gln-Gly-Ser-Gln-Pro-Ile-Asn-Ala-Gln-Ala-Ala-Pro-Val-Ala-Gln-Gly-Gly-Ser-Gln-Gly-Glu-Phe⁵) 185 inserted between Asn³ and Phe⁵ in the wild-type sequence of Lep. A series of proteins that contained a 186 second acceptor site (Asn-Ser-Thr; G2 in Figure 2A) placed at single-residue increments between 187 188 positions 87-90 (d = 6 construct) and positions 92-94 (d = 11 construct) were created using standard 189 site-directed mutagenesis techniques (Stratagene). The predicted TM2 helices from either Tar or 190 EnvZ were introduced between an SpeI site in codons 60-61 and a KpnI site in codon 80 of the lepB 191 gene using standard PCR amplification methods [31]. Plasmids pRD200 [4] or pEnvZ [32] or 192 served as templates for Tar or EnvZ, respectively. The oligonucelotides used during the 193 amplification introduced a flanking tetraresidyl sequence (Gly-Pro-Gly-Gly) to reduce the 194 propensity for formation of secondary structure that could alter the distance between the second 195 accepting site (G2) and the active site of OST [33]. Other Lep proteins were made by moving the residues within TM2 of Tar (Trp-Tyr) or EnvZ (Trp-Leu-Phe) using standard site-directed
mutagenesis techniques (Stratagene) (Figures 3A and 4A).

198

199 2.3 Expression in vitro and quantification of glycosylation

200

201 The Lep proteins cloned in pGEM1 were transcribed and translated in vitro using the TNT 202 Quick Coupled Transcription/Translation System (Promega) as previously described [34]. Briefly, 1 μg of DNA template, 1 μL of ³⁵S-Met (5 μCi), and 0.5 μL of dog pancreas rough microsomes were 203 204 added at the start of the reaction, and samples were incubated for 90 min at 30 °C. To stop the 205 reaction, 40 µL of SDS sample buffer was added and the samples were incubated at 95 °C for 5 206 min, centrifuged for 2 min in a table-top microfuge (13000 x g) and 6 µL was loaded on a 10% 207 SDS/polyacrylamide gel. Translation products were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, and gels were 208 analyzed on a Fuji FLA-3000 PhosphorImager with the Image Reader v1.8J and Image Gauge 209 v4.22 software (Fujifilm). The extent of glycosylation was quantified with QtiPlot v0.9.7.5. To 210 calculate the percentage of doubly glycosylated (% 2X glycosylated), the quotient of the intensity of 211 the doubly glycosylated band to the summed intensities of the singly and doubly glycosylated bands 212 was calculated. The unglycosylated molecules that have not been targeted to the microsomes are 213 ignored but, in general, represent less than 25% of the total Lep present. In most cases, the 214 glycosylation efficiency varied by no more than 3 percent between different experiments.

- 215
- 216

217 *3* Results

218

219 3.1 Overview of glycosylation-mapping analysis

221 Glycosylation-mapping analysis [7] was used to monitor changes in the position of TM2 222 segments within the membrane. This technique is based upon the ability of the luminally located 223 endoplasmic reticulum enzyme oligosaccharyl transferase (OST) to add a glycan to the Asn residue 224 in Asn-Xaa-(Ser/Thr) glycosylation acceptor sites within target proteins. The Lep model protein we 225 used contains an N-terminal acceptor site for N-linked glycosylation (G1) to ensure that the analysis 226 includes only protein that becomes embedded within the microsomal membrane used in the assay 227 (Figure 2A). It also contains a second acceptor site (G2) that is incrementally moved further away 228 from the lumenal face of the microsomal membrane. This movement allows the active site of OST 229 to act as a molecular ruler because each acceptor site will be glycosylated to an extent that 230 correlates with the distance between the active site of OST and the acceptor site (G2). In Figure 2A, 231 the red acceptor sites are not far enough from the lumenal membrane to become glycosylated, 232 whereas the green sites are distal enough to become glycosylated. This technique was previously 233 used to measure the N- and C-terminal boundaries of several human α and β integrin subunits [35, 234 36]. The subsequent high-resolution structures of the transmembrane domains of α IIb monomer 235 [37], the β 3 monomer [38] and the α IIb β 3 heterodimer [39] confirmed these boundaries thereby 236 lending credence to glycosylation-mapping analysis. In addition, similar changes in the pattern of 237 glycosylation have been previously observed due to moving aromatic residues throughout the C-238 terminal half of a poly-Leu transmembrane segment [8] suggesting that the technique is adequate 239 for detecting TM segment repositioning due to aromatic tuning.

- 240
- 241

242 3.2 Baseline positions of TM2 from Tar and EnvZ

243

Based on the previous success with determining TM boundaries by glycosylation mapping,
we performed similar studies with TM2 from Tar and EnvZ (Figure 2B). It should be noted that the

246 segments of interest are also flanked by two tetrapeptide sequences (Gly-Gly-Pro-Gly...Gly-Pro-247 Gly-Gly) that serves to break secondary structure that could adversely affect comparisons between different segments (Figure 2B). The Gly residue denoted with a +1 subscript in Figure 2B was 248 249 considered the first non-transmembrane residue. The percentage of unglycosylated, singly 250 glycosylated, and doubly glycosylated protein can be readily determined by SDS-PAGE because 251 the glycosylated forms of the protein migrate less rapidly (Figure 2C). We began by analyzing the 252 TM2 segment of Tar, and no glycosylation of G2 was observed when six (d = 6) or seven (d = 7)253 residues were present between the boundary of the TM2 segment and G2. Moving G2 an additional 254 residue-step away from the membrane (d = 8) resulted in approximately 30% of the embedded Lep 255 protein undergoing two glycosylation events. Further movement of G2 away from the lumenal 256 surface $(d \ge 9)$ resulted in about 80% of total embedded protein becoming doubly glycosylated, 257 which approximates the maximal extent previously observed under these experimental conditions 258 (Figure 2C) [7]. To quantitatively compare TM segment position, the minimal glycosylation 259 distance (MGD), i.e., the number of residues required for half-maximal glycosylation (defined as 260 the value of d for which glycosylation efficiency is 40%), was calculated. For Tar TM2, the MGD 261 was determined to be 8.3 (Figure 2C).

262 The series of Lep proteins containing EnvZ TM2 exhibited no glycosylation of G2 when d =263 6, 7 or 8. Repositioning G2 another residue away from the lumenal surface resulted in about 60% of 264 the embedded Lep protein becoming doubly glycosylated. Moving the accepting site an additional 265 residue (d = 10) resulted in the previously observed maximal value of approximately 80% of the 266 embedded protein becoming doubly glycosylated [7]. For EnvZ TM2, the MGD was determined to 267 be 8.6 (Figure 2D). This increase in MGD indicates that more residues are required C-terminal to 268 the EnvZ TM2 segment in order to appropriately position the G2 acceptor site for glycosylation by OST. 269

270

272

3.3 TM2 of Tar is increasingly repositioned upon moving the Trp-Tyr tandem

273

274 To monitor possible helix-repositioning effects due to aromatic tuning, a series of Lep 275 proteins containing segments in which the Trp-Tyr tandem was moved up to three residues toward 276 (minus-series) or away from (plus-series) the center of Tar TM2 were created (Figure 3A). 277 Subsequently, this series of Lep proteins was used as a template to create additional subsets that 278 contained a G2 acceptor site in single-residue increments from seven (d = 7) to ten (d = 10) residues 279 away from the lumenal end of TM2. Creation of this library of Lep proteins allowed the 280 glycosylation-mapping assay described in Figure 2 to be performed on each tuned TM2 segment 281 from Tar (Figure 3A). Analysis of these aromatically tuned Tar segments resulted in trends similar 282 to the un-tuned version (Figure 2C). For each segment, the minimal extent of G2 glycosylation was 283 observed at d = 7 and the maximal extent at d = 10 (Figure 3B). During parallel analysis of the 284 aromatically tuned Tar variants, an MGD of 8.2 was observed for WY-3 through WY-1 segments 285 compared to the wild-type segment (WY 0) that possessed an MGD of 8.3. The WY+1 and WY+2 segments possessed MGDs of 8.6, while the WY+3 segment had an MGD of 8.7 (Figure 3B). We 286 287 previously demonstrated that employing aromatic tuning at the C-terminus of TM2 of Tar resulted 288 in incremental changes in steady-state signal output (Figure 1C) [1]. These glycosylation-mapping 289 results are consistent with repositioning of the cytoplasmic boundary of Tar TM2 during aromatic 290 tuning. However, other options such as a partial unwinding of the helix cannot be ruled out with this 291 methodology.

- 292
- 293

294 *3.4 TM2 of EnvZ remains more stationary upon moving the Trp-Leu-Phe triplet*

296 In a similar manner, a series of Lep proteins containing segments in which the Trp-Leu-Phe 297 triplet within EnvZ was moved up to three residues toward (minus-series) or away from (plus-298 series) the center of TM2 were created. These were subsequently used as templates to create 299 additional subsets that contained a G2 acceptor site in single-residue increments from seven (d = 7)300 to ten (d = 10) residues away from the lumenal end of TM2 (Figure 4A). The MGD values 301 demonstrate that the C-terminus of the WLF-3 segment was displaced out of the membrane (MGD 302 = 7.9), while the other segments possessed MGDs ranging from 8.4 to 8.6 (Figure 4B). Analysis of 303 the EnvZ WLF+3 segment resulted in accumulation of a lower molecular weight product consistent 304 with cleavage of TM2 (presumably by the signal peptidase) from the Lep model protein [34]. Based 305 on this result, we did not analyze the segment any further. In the case of most EnvZ segments, 306 changes in MGD are small and not steadily increasing when compared to changes observed with 307 Tar, which suggests that an incremental repositioning of EnvZ TM2 does not occur. We suspect that 308 this abrupt transition is due to the Trp-Leu-Phe triplet repositioning the C-terminal boundary to 309 such an extent that the basic guanido group from the Arg side-chain can no longer interact with the 310 acidic phospholipid head groups (Figure 5). Arginyl side-chains have been shown to snorkel five to 311 six residues along a transmembrane helix [40] and it is possible that the WLF-3 segment is 312 displaced to such an extent that the Arg side-chain cannot contribute to the positioning of the C-313 terminus [41]. 314

- 316 4. Discussion
- 317

- 318 4.1 Differences in the initial position of TM2 helices and their subsequent repositioning
- 319

320 We have measured the minimum glycosylation distance (MGD) of TM2 segments from Tar and 321 EnvZ and observed that the segment from EnvZ is embedded slightly deeper into the membrane 322 than the counterpart from Tar, as observed by MGDs of 8.6 and 8.3, respectively. These results are 323 consistent with a previous study that demonstrated an inverse correlation between the length of a 324 poly-Leu TM segment and its relative MGD [7]. However, the difference in MGD for the TM2 325 segments (~ 0.3) is less than what would be expected for poly-Leu TM segments of similar lengths 326 (~ 1.5). This suggests that the affinity of the amphipathic aromatic residues (Trp and Tyr) for the 327 membrane interfacial region [5, 6, 8], the preference of Phe residues for the aliphatic membrane core [8] and the interactions of the positively charged Arg residues with the negative 328 329 phosopholipids [41] are also relevant in positioning of the TM2 segments within the membrane.

330 A previous study that employed comparative CG-MD simulations to examine the ability of 331 aromatic tuning to displace Tar TM2 in the presence of an explicit membrane and solvent 332 demonstrated that moving the Trp-Tyr residue was sufficient to induce small TM2 displacements of 333 up to 1.5 Å [27]. Assuming that the region in Lep that contains the G2 glycosylation site is in an 334 extended conformation, a shift in MGD of 0.5 residues as seen for the Tar constructs corresponds to 335 a shift in the positioning of the TM2 helix of 1.6-1.7 Å, close to the CG-MD results. It should also 336 be noted that the median value of the ensemble from all simulations is in agreement with our MGD 337 values for the aromatically tuned Tar TM2 helices. In both the CG-MD simulations and MGD 338 analysis, similar patterns of displacement were observed, *i.e.* a grouping of the minus-series of 339 receptors with similar displacements toward the cytoplasm (WY-3 through WY-1), a baseline 340 position for the wild-type (WY 0), two receptors that are slightly displaced toward the periplasm 341 (WY+1 and WY+2) and a larger shift toward the periplasm for the WY+3 variant (Figure 3). We 342 propose that the absence of EnvZ TM2 displacement should be comparatively assessed by CG-MD 343 simulation. In the case of EnvZ, moving the Trp-Leu-Phe triplet did not generate large changes in 344 MGD, with the exception of the WLF-3 variant, which could be due to the fact that the helix

345 displaced to such an extent that the Arg side-chain cannot contribute to the positioning of the C-346 terminus [41].

347

348 4.2 Limitations of an optimized single-helix approach during analysis of transmembrane
349 communication

350

It is important to note that the context of TM2 within the full-length Tar and EnvZ receptors is 351 352 likely more complex than single independently acting α -helices. For example, within the CG-MD 353 simulations described above, contiguous optimized α -helices are explicitly forced [27]. Likewise, 354 within the glycosylation-mapping assay, the flanking tetrapeptide (Gly-Gly-Pro-Gly ... Gly-Pro-355 Gly-Gly) is employed as a helix-breaker to ensure that all residues downstream are in an extended 356 form, however, the ability to prevent the membrane-embedded TM helix from partially unwinding 357 has not been probed [33]. Thus, when small fractional differences in MGD are observed, a partial 358 unwinding of the transmembrane helix cannot be explicitly ruled out.

359 Recently, a vast amount of structural, biochemical and genetic information has been integrated into a "regulated unfolding" model of intraprotein signaling by SHKs [24]. This model 360 361 proposes that modular proteins are composed of individually folding domains that contribute 362 distinct functionalities to overall protein function. Within SHKs, the effector domain has been 363 suggested to be maintained in an inactive conformation by a rigid connection between the stimulus 364 perception and effector domains. Upon perception of stimulus, this structurally labile connection 365 would be disengaged in a manner that would allow the effector domain to adopt an active 366 conformation [24]. Previous biophysical analyses has demonstrated that the presence of tandem 367 amphipathic aromatic residues, Trp or Tyr, at one end of a transmembrane α -helix promotes increased conformational dynamics compared to the presence of a single Trp or Tyr. This increase 368 369 has been proposed to be based upon the ability of the Trp and Tyr residues to form hydrogen bonds with the polar head groups and interfacial water molecules. Consistent with these expectations, the
presence of two Phe residues is not remarkably different from a single Trp, Tyr or Phe residue [9,
10].

373 One intriguing possibility is that increased conformational dynamics at the cytoplasmic end 374 of TM2 could facilitate partial unwinding of the TM helix. Within intact bacterial membrane-375 spanning receptors, the region connecting the TM to the HAMP domain is colloquially referred to 376 as a "control cable" because its residue composition governs coupling of signal transduction 377 between adjacent domains [1, 4, 42-49]. As proposed by the dynamic bundle of HAMP signal transmission, this partial unwinding of the cytoplasmic end of TM2 could lead to destabilization of 378 379 AS1, the N-terminal helix within the HAMP domain, and thus to changes in AS2 and AS2' that 380 could subsequently be transmitted downstream to the domains responsible for signal output [42-44, 381 49]. Alternatively, within the context of the gearbox model, it is possible that a destabilization of 382 AS1 would lead to interconversion from knobs-to-knobs packing into a more canonical knobs-into-383 holes packing and thus leading to downstream signaling [19-23]. Therefore, we hypothesize that the 384 Trp-209/Tyr-210 tandem in E. coli Tar maintains the baseline level of conformational dynamics at 385 the cytoplasmic end of TM2, such that a piston-type displacement of TM2 enhances interactions of 386 the aromatic tandem with the polar headgroups and interfacial waters to a degree that promotes 387 "regulated unfolding" of the membrane-adjacent HAMP domain. In the case of EnvZ, moving the 388 Trp-Leu-Phe, while clearly central to the concept of aromatic tuning, may not modulate dynamics at the cytoplasmic end of TM2, as only a single amphipathic aromatic residue (Trp) exists in 389 390 conjunction with a largely hydrophobic residue (Phe) [9, 10].

From another slightly different, albeit interesting perspective, Trp-containing regions in certain helical orientations have been shown to promote dimerization of Tar TM domains [50]. Therefore, moving the Trp residues may alter helix packing within Tar and EnvZ TM domains and thus facilitate changes in the overall dynamic stability of the cytoplasmic end of the TM bundle. 395 Lending support to this concept is a study proposing that the presence of a water-filled hemi-396 channel within the cytoplasmic end of the TM bundle is a critical component of signal transduction 397 within E. coli PhoQ [51]. It is possible that moving the aromatic residues around the surface of 398 TM2 results in certain positions where the aromatic residues would be positioned into this water-399 filled hemi-channel, which could ultimately result in changes to PhoQ baseline signal output. 400 Therefore, it remains important to apply the optimized single-helix results presented here to the 401 greater complexities of transmembrane communication within the context of a full-length 402 membrane-spanning receptor.

403

404 4.3 Wider adoption of aromatic tuning

405

406 In our previous studies, we demonstrate the aromatic tuning results in changes in signal output from 407 both Tar and EnvZ, however, a difference in the pattern of signal outputs was observed (Figure 1) 408 [1, 2]. This pattern of signal outputs shows that even through aromatic tuning did not displace the 409 TM2 helix of EnvZ (Figure 4), it was still effective in modulating signal output within the full-410 length receptor. In that regard, we suggest that aromatic tuning was able to achieve its initial goal of 411 stimulus-independent modulation of a two-component signaling circuit. Published sequence 412 alignments have shown that aromatic residues are often found at the cytoplasmic end of the final 413 transmembrane helix within bacterial membrane-spanning receptors [3, 4] suggesting that aromatic 414 tuning will be useful for other research groups working with other two-component circuits. We 415 hope that these results, in conjunction with our previous demonstration of the differences in α -416 helicity of AS1 segments [52], promote continued discussion about the mechanisms of 417 transmembrane communication within bacterial membrane-spanning receptors.

418

420 Acknowledgments

422	Members of the von Heijne group provided valuable support and discussion during the early stages
423	of the manuscript. S. C. B. was supported by a graduate student fellowship (SFRH/BD/26017/2007)
424	from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal. This work was also supported by grants

- 425 from the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research, the Swedish Research Council and the
- 426 Swedish Cancer Foundation to G. v. H. A Kirschstein National Research Service Award from the
- 427 National Institutes of Health (AI075573) supported R. R. D. during the initial phases of this work.
- 428
- 429
- 430 **References**
- 431
- 432 [1] R.R. Draheim, A.F. Bormans, R.Z. Lai, M.D. Manson, Tuning a bacterial chemoreceptor with 433 protein-membrane interactions, Biochemistry, 45 (2006) 14655-14664.
- 434 [2] M.H. Norholm, G. von Heijne, R.R. Draheim, 'Forcing the issue' Aromatic tuning facilitates
 435 stimulus-independent modulation of a two-component signaling curcuit, (2014) ACS Synth Biol
- 436 [3] T. Boldog, G.L. Hazelbauer, Accessibility of introduced cysteines in chemoreceptor
 437 transmembrane helices reveals boundaries interior to bracketing charged residues, Protein Sci, 13
 438 (2004) 1466-1475.
- 439 [4] R.R. Draheim, A.F. Bormans, R.Z. Lai, M.D. Manson, Tryptophan residues flanking the second
- transmembrane helix (TM2) set the signaling state of the Tar chemoreceptor, Biochemistry, 44(2005) 1268-1277.
- 442 [5] J.A. Killian, I. Salemink, M.R. de Planque, G. Lindblom, R.E. Koeppe, 2nd, D.V. Greathouse,
- Induction of nonbilayer structures in diacylphosphatidylcholine model membranes by
 transmembrane alpha-helical peptides: importance of hydrophobic mismatch and proposed role of
 tryptophans, Biochemistry, 35 (1996) 1037-1045.
- [6] M.R. de Planque, J.W. Boots, D.T. Rijkers, R.M. Liskamp, D.V. Greathouse, J.A. Killian, The
 effects of hydrophobic mismatch between phosphatidylcholine bilayers and transmembrane alphahelical peptides depend on the nature of interfacially exposed aromatic and charged residues,
- Biochemistry, 41 (2002) 8396-8404.
 [7] I. Nilsson, A. Saaf, P. Whitley, G. Gafvelin, C. Waller, G. von Heijne, Proline-induced
 - disruption of a transmembrane alpha-helix in its natural environment, J Mol Biol, 284 (1998) 11651175.
 - 453 [8] P. Braun, G. von Heijne, The aromatic residues Trp and Phe have different effects on the
 - 454 positioning of a transmembrane helix in the microsomal membrane, Biochemistry, 38 (1999) 9778 455 9782.

- 456 [9] N.J. Gleason, V.V. Vostrikov, D.V. Greathouse, C.V. Grant, S.J. Opella, R.E. Koeppe, 2nd,
- 457 Tyrosine replacing tryptophan as an anchor in GWALP peptides, Biochemistry, 51 (2012) 2044-458 2053.
- 459 [10] K.A. Sparks, N.J. Gleason, R. Gist, R. Langston, D.V. Greathouse, R.E. Koeppe, 2nd, 460 Comparisons of interfacial Phe, Tyr, and Trp residues as determinants of orientation and dynamics
- for GWALP transmembrane peptides, Biochemistry, 53 (2014) 3637-3645.
- 401 IOI OWALF transmemorate peptides, Dioenemistry, 55 (2014) 5057-5045.
- 462 [11] J.J. Falke, A.H. Erbse, The piston rises again, Structure, 17 (2009) 1149-1151.
- 463 [12] J.J. Falke, G.L. Hazelbauer, Transmembrane signaling in bacterial chemoreceptors, Trends in 464 biochemical sciences, 26 (2001) 257-265.
- 465 [13] A.S. Miller, J.J. Falke, Side chains at the membrane-water interface modulate the signaling 466 state of a transmembrane receptor, Biochemistry, 43 (2004) 1763-1770.
- 467 [14] B. Isaac, G.J. Gallagher, Y.S. Balazs, L.K. Thompson, Site-directed rotational resonance solid-
- state NMR distance measurements probe structure and mechanism in the transmembrane domain ofthe serine bacterial chemoreceptor, Biochemistry, 41 (2002) 3025-3036.
- 470 [15] M. Welch, K. Oosawa, S. Aizawa, M. Eisenbach, Phosphorylation-dependent binding of a
- 471 signal molecule to the flagellar switch of bacteria, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 90 (1993) 8787-8791.
- 472 [16] S. Ravid, P. Matsumura, M. Eisenbach, Restoration of flagellar clockwise rotation in bacterial
- 473 envelopes by insertion of the chemotaxis protein CheY, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 83 (1986) 7157474 7161.
- 475 [17] J.F. Hess, K. Oosawa, N. Kaplan, M.I. Simon, Phosphorylation of three proteins in the 476 signaling pathway of bacterial chemotaxis, Cell, 53 (1988) 79-87.
- [18] K.A. Borkovich, N. Kaplan, J.F. Hess, M.I. Simon, Transmembrane signal transduction in
 bacterial chemotaxis involves ligand-dependent activation of phosphate group transfer, Proc Natl
- 479 Acad Sci U S A, 86 (1989) 1208-1212.
- [19] H.U. Ferris, K. Zeth, M. Hulko, S. Dunin-Horkawicz, A.N. Lupas, Axial helix rotation as a
 mechanism for signal regulation inferred from the crystallographic analysis of the E. coli serine
 chemoreceptor, J Struct Biol, 186 (2014) 349-356.
- 483 [20] H.U. Ferris, S. Dunin-Horkawicz, L.G. Mondejar, M. Hulko, K. Hantke, J. Martin, J.E.
- 484 Schultz, K. Zeth, A.N. Lupas, M. Coles, The mechanisms of HAMP-mediated signaling in
 485 transmembrane receptors, Structure, 19 (2011) 378-385.
- [21] H.U. Ferris, S. Dunin-Horkawicz, N. Hornig, M. Hulko, J. Martin, J.E. Schultz, K. Zeth, A.N.
 Lupas, M. Coles, Mechanism of regulation of receptor histidine kinases, Structure, 20 (2012) 56-66.
- 488 [22] M. Hulko, F. Berndt, M. Gruber, J.U. Linder, V. Truffault, A. Schultz, J. Martin, J.E. Schultz,
- 489 A.N. Lupas, M. Coles, The HAMP domain structure implies helix rotation in transmembrane 490 signaling, Cell, 126 (2006) 929-940.
- 491 [23] M. Inouye, Signaling by transmembrane proteins shifts gears, Cell, 126 (2006) 829-831.
- 492 [24] J.E. Schultz, J. Natarajan, Regulated unfolding: a basic principle of intraprotein signaling in
 493 modular proteins, Trends in biochemical sciences, 38 (2013) 538-545.
- 494 [25] J.J. Falke, Piston versus Scissors: Chemotaxis Receptors versus Sensor His-Kinase Receptors
 495 in Two-Component Signaling Pathways, Structure, 22 (2014) 1219-1220.
- 496 [26] K.S. Molnar, M. Bonomi, R. Pellarin, G.D. Clinthorne, G. Gonzalez, S.D. Goldberg, M.
- Goulian, A. Sali, W.F. DeGrado, Cys-Scanning Disulfide Crosslinking and Bayesian Modeling
 Probe the Transmembrane Signaling Mechanism of the Histidine Kinase, PhoQ, Structure, 22
 (2014) 1239-1251.
- 500 [27] B.A. Hall, J.P. Armitage, M.S.P. Sansom, Transmembrane Helix Dynamics of Bacterial 501 Chemoreceptors Supports a Piston Model of Signalling, PLoS Comput Biol, 7 (2011) e1002204.
- 502 [28] T. Hessa, N.M. Meindl-Beinker, A. Bernsel, H. Kim, Y. Sato, M. Lerch-Bader, I. Nilsson, S.H.
- 503 White, G. von Heijne, Molecular code for transmembrane-helix recognition by the Sec61
- 504 translocon, Nature, 450 (2007) 1026-1030.

- 505 [29] T.K. Nyholm, S. Ozdirekcan, J.A. Killian, How protein transmembrane segments sense the 506 lipid environment, Biochemistry, 46 (2007) 1457-1465.
- 507 [30] M. Kozak, Initiation of translation in prokaryotes and eukaryotes, Gene, 234 (1999) 187-208.
- 508 [31] A. Saaf, E. Wallin, G. von Heijne, Stop-transfer function of pseudo-random amino acid
- segments during translocation across prokaryotic and eukaryotic membranes, Eur J Biochem, 251
 (1998) 821-829.
- 511 [32] W. Hsing, T.J. Silhavy, Function of conserved histidine-243 in phosphatase activity of EnvZ,
- the sensor for porin osmoregulation in Escherichia coli, J Bacteriol, 179 (1997) 3729-3735.
- 513 [33] T. Hessa, H. Kim, K. Bihlmaier, C. Lundin, J. Boekel, H. Andersson, I. Nilsson, S.H. White,
- 514 G. von Heijne, Recognition of transmembrane helices by the endoplasmic reticulum translocon, 515 Nature, 433 (2005) 377-381.
- 516 [34] C. Lundin, H. Kim, I. Nilsson, S.H. White, G. von Heijne, Molecular code for protein insertion 517 in the endoplasmic reticulum membrane is similar for N(in)-C(out) and N(out)-C(in) 518 transmembrane helices, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 105 (2008) 15702-15707.
- 519 [35] A. Stefansson, A. Armulik, I. Nilsson, G. von Heijne, S. Johansson, Determination of N- and
- 520 C-terminal borders of the transmembrane domain of integrin subunits, J Biol Chem, 279 (2004) 521 21200-21205.
- 522 [36] A. Armulik, I. Nilsson, G. von Heijne, S. Johansson, Determination of the border between the
- transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains of human integrin subunits, J Biol Chem, 274 (1999)
 37030-37034.
- 525 [37] T.L. Lau, V. Dua, T.S. Ulmer, Structure of the integrin alphaIIb transmembrane segment, J 526 Biol Chem, 283 (2008) 16162-16168.
- 527 [38] T.L. Lau, A.W. Partridge, M.H. Ginsberg, T.S. Ulmer, Structure of the integrin beta3
 528 transmembrane segment in phospholipid bicelles and detergent micelles, Biochemistry, 47 (2008)
 529 4008-4016.
- 530 [39] T.L. Lau, C. Kim, M.H. Ginsberg, T.S. Ulmer, The structure of the integrin alphallbbeta3 531 transmembrane complex explains integrin transmembrane signalling, EMBO J, 28 (2009) 1351-532 1361.
- 533 [40] D. Stopar, R.B. Spruijt, C.J. Wolfs, M.A. Hemminga, Local dynamics of the M13 major coat 534 protein in different membrane-mimicking systems, Biochemistry, 35 (1996) 15467-15473.
- 535 [41] M. Monne, I. Nilsson, M. Johansson, N. Elmhed, G. von Heijne, Positively and negatively 536 charged residues have different effects on the position in the membrane of a model transmembrane
- 537 helix, J Mol Biol, 284 (1998) 1177-1183.
- 538 [42] J.S. Parkinson, Signaling mechanisms of HAMP domains in chemoreceptors and sensor539 kinases, Annu Rev Microbiol, 64 (2010) 101-122.
- 540 [43] Q. Zhou, P. Ames, J.S. Parkinson, Biphasic Control Logic of HAMP Domain Signaling in the 541 Escherichia coli Serine Chemoreceptor, Mol Microbiol, (2011).
- 542 [44] S. Kitanovic, P. Ames, J.S. Parkinson, Mutational analysis of the control cable that mediates 543 transmembrane signaling in the Escherichia coli serine chemoreceptor, J Bacteriol, 193 (2011) 544 5062-5072.
- 545 [45] H. Park, W. Im, C. Seok, Transmembrane signaling of chemotaxis receptor tar: insights from 546 molecular dynamics simulation studies, Biophys J, 100 (2011) 2955-2963.
- 547 [46] G.A. Wright, R.L. Crowder, R.R. Draheim, M.D. Manson, Mutational analysis of the 548 transmembrane helix 2-HAMP domain connection in the Escherichia coli aspartate chemoreceptor 549 tar, J Bacteriol, 193 (2011) 82-90.
- 550 [47] C.A. Adase, R.R. Draheim, G. Rueda, R. Desai, M.D. Manson, Residues at the cytoplasmic
- end of transmembrane helix 2 determine the signal output of the TarEc chemoreceptor,
 Biochemistry, 52 (2013) 2729-2738.
 - 52 Dioeneniisu y, 52 (2015) 272

- 553 [48] C.A. Adase, R.R. Draheim, M.D. Manson, The residue composition of the aromatic anchor of 554 the second transmembrane helix determines the signaling properties of the aspartate/maltose 555 chemoreceptor Tar of Escherichia coli, Biochemistry, 51 (2012) 1925-1932.
- 556 [49] Q. Zhou, P. Ames, J.S. Parkinson, Mutational analyses of HAMP helices suggest a dynamic
- bundle model of input-output signalling in chemoreceptors, Mol Microbiol, 73 (2009) 801-814.
- [50] N. Sal-Man, D. Gerber, I. Bloch, Y. Shai, Specificity in transmembrane helix-helix interactions
 mediated by aromatic residues, J Biol Chem, 282 (2007) 19753-19761.
- 560 [51] S.D. Goldberg, G.D. Clinthorne, M. Goulian, W.F. DeGrado, Transmembrane polar
 561 interactions are required for signaling in the Escherichia coli sensor kinase PhoQ, Proc Natl Acad
 562 Sci U S A, 107 (2010) 8141-8146.
- 563 [52] S. Unnerstale, L. Maler, R.R. Draheim, Structural characterization of AS1-membrane 564 interactions from a subset of HAMP domains, Biochim Biophys Acta, 1808 (2011) 2403-2412.
- 565 [53] M. Kollmann, L. Lovdok, K. Bartholome, J. Timmer, V. Sourjik, Design principles of a 566 bacterial signalling network, Nature, 438 (2005) 504-507.
- 567 [54] P. Cluzel, M. Surette, S. Leibler, An ultrasensitive bacterial motor revealed by monitoring 568 signaling proteins in single cells, Science, 287 (2000) 1652-1655.
- 569
- 570

571 Figure legends

572

578

573 Figure 1. Synopsis of results from aromatic tuning of Tar and EnvZ TM2. (A) Within Tar TM2, a

574 Trp-Tyr (red) was moved about its original position at the cytoplasmic polar/hydrophobic interface

575 [1]. (B) The chemotactic circuit of *E. coli* [53]. Chemotaxis proteins are denoted by a single letter,

576 e.g. CheR denoted as "R", and the activated form of Tar is indicated with an asterisk (Tar*).

577 Aspartate (Asp) binds to Tar and promotes the inactive form, which results in decreased

579 clockwise flagellar rotation (P_{CW}) [54]. (C) Rotation of a single flagellum from roughly 200

intracellular levels of CheY-P. The intracellular level of CheY-P governs the probability of

580 independent cells expressing one of the aromatically tuned variants were analyzed for 30 seconds

and classified into one of five categories (left to right): rotating exclusively CCW, rotating mostly

582 CCW with occasional reversals, rapidly switching between both rotational directions (CW/CCW),

583 rotating mostly CW with occasional reversals and rotating exclusively CW. As P_{CW} increases, the

number of cells in each category shifts from the left end of the axis toward the right end. In summary, the lowest overall P_{CW} was observed from cells expressing the WY-3 variant, while the 586 greatest was observed from cells expressing the WY+2 or WY+3 variants. In the case of Tar, the 587 vertical position of the aromatic residues correlates with P_{CW} [1]. (D) When aromatic tuning was performed in EnvZ, a Trp-Leu-Phe triplet (red) was repositioned [2]. (E) The EnvZ/OmpR 588 osmosensing circuit of E. coli. EnvZ is a bifunctional SHK that phosphorylates and 589 590 dephosphoryates its cognate RR, OmpR. Osmotic pressure (Osm), depicted in red, due to the 591 presence of small inner membrane-impermeable solutes, alters the ratio of these activities resulting 592 in a net increase of intracellular OmpR-P. The intracellular level of OmpR-P governs transcription 593 of *ompF* (yellow) and *ompC* (blue) and was monitored by employing an *E. coli* strain that contains 594 a transcriptional fusion of yfp to ompF and of cfp to ompC. Intracellular levels of OmpR-P were 595 estimated by calculating the CFP/YFP ratio (red). The gray-filled circles on the dashed lines 596 indicate the estimated OmpR-P levels in cells expressing one of the aromatically tuned variants at 597 intermediate levels. Aromatic tuning in EnvZ resulted in a pattern of signal output that did not 598 correlate with the vertical position of the aromatic residues but appeared more helical in distribution 599 suggesting that the surface of TM2 that the residues were located upon was of greater importance 600 [2].

601

602 Figure 2. Minimum glycosylation distance (MGD) analysis of Tar and EnvZ TM2. (A) Linear and 603 topological characteristics of the model Lep protein used in this study. The model protein contains a 604 glycosylation-accepting site (G1) more than 20 residues away from the lumenal boundary TM1 and 605 a second glycosylation-accepting site (G2) that is positioned between 6 and 11 residues (d = 6 to d 606 = 11) from the boundary of TM2. If TM2 is displaced, the position of the second G2 relative to the 607 boundary of the membrane will change and allow a previously unglycosylated accepting site (red) 608 to become glycosylated (green). It is also possible to monitor displacements of TM2 into the 609 membrane. (B) Primary sequence of TM2s used for glycosylation-mapping analysis. A motif 610 commonly found in transmembrane helices consisting of flanking positively charged residues

611 (blue), adjacent aromatic residues (red) and an aliphatic core (uncolored) was present in both 612 segments. The flanking Gly-Pro-Gly-Gly tetrapeptide was included to reduce the propensity for formation of secondary structure. The first Gly of the flanking tetrapeptide (G_{+1}) is considered the 613 614 first residue (d = 1) outside of TM2. MGD values for each segment are provided above the primary 615 sequence. (C) Identification and analysis of the different species by SDS-PAGE. The presence of 616 rough microsomes (RM) facilitates glycosylation due to the presence of oligosaccharyltransferase 617 (OST). Differences in migration allow identification of the unglycosylated (single white dot), singly 618 glycosylated (G1 only; single gray dot) and the doubly glycosylated moieties (G1 and G2; two gray 619 dots). An increase in the doubly glycosylated moiety is observed as G2 is moved further away from 620 the boundary of TM2 from Tar. MGD is calculated as the number of residues (d) required to 621 achieve 40% double glycosylation (dashed line). The MGD for Tar TM2 was found to be 8.3. (D) A 622 similar analysis was performed with EnvZ TM2 and a value of 8.6 was determined for the MGD 623 (dashed line).

624

625 Figure 3. Glycosylation-mapping analysis of aromatically tuned Tar TM2 segments. (A) Primary 626 sequence of the C-terminal end of Tar TM2. Within the segment, a Trp-Tyr tandem was moved 627 (red). MGD values are provided above the primary sequence of each segment. (B) As described in 628 Figure 2C, the amount of the doubly glycosylated moiety correlates with the number of residues 629 between the end of TM2 and G2. Results are provided for the modified TM2 segments from Tar: -3 630 variants as filled circles; -2 variants as filled squares; -1 variants as filled diamonds; +1 variants as 631 filled downward-pointing triangles; +2 variants as filled upward-pointing triangles; and +3 variants 632 as filled leftward-pointing triangles. The red line indicates results for receptors containing the 633 aromatic residues at their original position. MGDs were determined via the dashed lines.

635 Figure 4. Glycosylation-mapping analysis of aromatically tuned EnvZ TM2 segments. (A) Primary 636 sequence of the C-terminal end of EnvZ TM2. Within the segment, a Trp-Leu-Phe triplet was 637 moved (red). MGD values are provided above the primary sequence of each segment. ND indicates 638 that the MGD was not determined. (B) As described in Figure 2C, the amount of the doubly 639 glycosylated moiety correlates with the number of residues between the end of TM2 and G2. 640 Results are provided for the modified TM2 segments from EnvZ: -3 variants as filled circles; -2 641 variants as filled squares; -1 variants as filled diamonds; +1 variants as filled downward-pointing 642 triangles; and +2 variants as filled upward-pointing triangles. The red line indicates results for the 643 receptor containing the aromatic residues at their original position. MGDs were calculated via the 644 dashed lines.

645

646 Figure 5. Proposed model for the large difference in MGD values for the WLF-3 and WLF-2 647 variants of EnvZ. We propose that the baseline position of EnvZ TM2 (WLF 0) is due to both the 648 interaction of Trp-176 with the polar/hydrophobic interfacial region and due to snorkeling of the 649 Arg-180 side chain to interact with the negatively charged phospholipids (left). One possibility for 650 the large change in MGD observed between WLF-3 (7.9) and WLF-2 (8.4) is that upon moving the 651 Trp into the membrane at residue position 173, TM2 is displaced out of the membrane to such an 652 extent that the Arg residue at residue position 180 can no longer snorkel and interact with the 653 negatively charged lipids (center). When the Trp residue is moved one more step toward the 654 interface, *i.e.* at position 174, the side chain or the Arg residue is in a position where it could still 655 interact with the membrane surface (right).



Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Figure 3.

d





Figure 4.



Figure 5.