# Herbivore Diets and the Anthropogenic Environment of Early Farming in Southern Scandinavia

Kurt J. Gron<sup>1\*</sup> and Peter Rowley-Conwy<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Archaeology, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE United Kingdom

\* Corresponding author

E-mail: k.j.gron@durham.ac.uk

Phone: +44 07586438998

Postal Address: Department of Archaeology, Durham University, South Road, Durham,

DH1 3LE United Kingdom

#### Abstract

Farming practice in the first period of the southern Scandinavian Neolithic (Early Neolithic I, Funnel Beaker Culture, 3950-3500 cal B.C.) is not well understood. Despite the presence of the first farmers and their domesticated plants and animals, little evidence of profound changes to the landscape such as widespread deforestation has emerged from this crucial early period. Bone collagen dietary stable isotope analyses of wild herbivores from southern Scandinavia are here analysed in order to determine the expected range of dietary variation across the landscape. Coupled with previously published isotope data, differences in dietary variation between wild and domestic species indicate strong human influence on the choice and creation of feeding environments for cattle. In context with palynological and zooarchaeological data, we demonstrate that a human-built agricultural environment was present from the outset of farming in the region and such a pattern is consistent with the process by which expansion agriculture moves into previously unfarmed regions.

# Keywords

Isotopes, Agriculture, Herbivores, Cattle, Deer, Scandinavia, and Neolithic

## Introduction

Southern Scandinavia represents one of the last European Neolithisation events, in which domestic plants and animals appear concurrently with cultural change from the late Mesolithic Ertebølle culture (EBK, 5400-3950 cal BC) to the early Neolithic Funnel Beaker Culture (EN, TRB, 3950-3300 cal BC). The first 500-700 years of the Neolithic (ENI 3950-3500 cal BC, ENII 3500-3300 cal BC) in this region has long been described as a period of transition (Price and Noe-Nygaard, 2009; Zvelebil and Rowley-Conwy, 1984), but it is clear that by the end of the EN, farming was entrenched, and had permanently altered the landscape. In this paper, we use the concept of *expansion agriculture* in conjunction with stable isotope data from wild herbivores to illustrate the character of early cattle (*Bos taurus*) husbandry. In particular, we use isotopic data from these cattle to demonstrate the immediate modification of the natural environment for farming. In so doing we examine the case for immigrant farmers bringing agricultural lifeways to a previously unfarmed region. We argue that these immigrants brought entrenched ideas of how farming should be performed through the alteration of the natural environment.

To do this we present both a review of the palynological data in the late Mesolithic and Neolithic, and also a review of the zooarchaeological data, and we integrate these with models of expansion agriculture. We combine this with new and previously published carbon and nitrogen stable isotopic data deriving from herbivore bone collagen to place cattle within the created and natural environment. Early domestic cattle show some overlap with Ertebølle and early Neolithic herbivores from a number of sites in southern Scandinavia, but they also show

an overall pattern of variability contrary to what is expected for herbivores in natural Scandinavian environments. This indicates that the cattle were raised in open landscapes in part created by human activity. Forests and their resources were not widely utilized in this early period. To put these data in context, we discuss the period, synonymous with the EN, in which agriculture moves from a technology new to the region to a mature mode of food production that is archaeologically visible. This process probably was the result of the first farmers relying on a small-scale integrated regime of pastoralism and cereal cultivation in natural and created open environments characterized by small-scale clearance of the forests. Results serve to clarify the development of the Neolithic within the region's landscape.

# Expansion agriculture, land-cover change, and farmers in the landscape

Expansion agriculture is the process by which farming moves into an unfarmed landscape and alters that landscape (Foley et al., 2005; Mustard et al., 2004). Agricultural expansion into previously unfarmed areas follows a predictable course during which the landscape undergoes a series of profound changes. Some changes are large-scale, and some are small-scale. Any analysis focusing only on broad patters or conversely only on local patterns will miss parts of the whole picture. Such a difference of scale is not only geographic, but also temporal. If too long or too short a period is focused-upon, then important trends have the potential to be overlooked. In true expansion agriculture, a group of individuals embracing and skilled in a fully agriculture-based subsistence strategy move into an "untouched" landscape, gradually alter its composition, and reduce the prevalence of natural cover (Foley et al., 2005; Mustard et al., 2004). The speed at which this happens and the character of the resulting land use pattern depends on a number of factors, including numbers of individuals involved, the particular environmental setting, and participation in modern market economies.

The land cover of landscapes can generally be classified into Undisturbed, Frontier, Agricultural/Managed, and Urbanized/Industrialized (Mustard et al., 2004). Others have described similar patterns of land-use transitions (Foley et al., 2005) in context with the importance of agriculture in subsistence and its effect on original forest cover of a region. At first, the undisturbed land cover is characterized by little human use and natural disturbance. Next, frontier landscapes start to show changes, albeit on a small scale, from their natural state owing to human activity. Further, agricultural or managed landscapes are those in which human activity has become the main factor causing change and consistency. Of importance is the speed at which one type of land cover moves to another, whether or not this occurs, and the individual setting of each particular case. Six case studies from numerous regions of the world show that the change from Frontier to Agricultural/Managed land cover can happen as fast as a few decades, or can take as long as a thousand years (Mustard et al., 2004), predicated mostly on factors such as number of expansion agriculturalists, the local environment, and others.

While modelled for modern world-systems, such frameworks may offer a complimentary view for understanding how agriculture matured within southern Scandinavia. Neolithisation in this region has long been described as a process (Zvelebil and Rowley-Conwy, 1984; Zvelebil, 1986) proceeding from the integration of domestic plants and animals to a pre-existing economy at a very low frequency (Availability Phase) to a rapid increase in the integration of agricultural production into subsistence economies (Substitution Phase) to full-blown dominance of agricultural production in the economy. Of course, such a procession assumes a degree of integration of agriculture into pre-existing hunter-gatherer subsistence strategy, which implies a degree of indigenous adoption of agriculture in a secondary context.

# Early farmers in southern Scandinavia

The first farming in southern Scandinavia is usually considered to have been small-scale (see Rowley-Conwy, 2004; Sørensen, 2014). Shifting or slash-and-burn agriculture, involving clearing, burning and short-term cultivation followed by a new clearing elsewhere, was originally argued to be the likely early Neolithic method of cultivation. Even small-scale cultivation would have involved considerable areas of clearance, with old clearings regenerating and turning back into forest (Boserup, 1965; Iversen, 1949). Slash and burn is however no longer seen as the likely method. Small long-lasting clearances are more likely (Lüning, 2000; Rowley-Conwy, 1981, 2003; Sherratt, 1980), similar to the earliest farmers in central Europe (Bogaard, 2004) from whom the first Scandinavian farmers came. Pollen spectra from burial mounds, claimed to indicate slash and burn cultivation (Andersen, 1988, 1992) are in fact equally compatible with fixed fields (Rowley-Conwy, 2004:93).

Small-scale farming of this type may initially affect only a small percentage of the forested landscape. There is remarkably little visible palynological evidence of widespread forest clearance for agriculture in the ENI. This is related to a number of factors, some of which have nothing to do with human activity and, in some cases, are almost certainly biases in the archaeological record. A good example is the variable distance the pollen of different species travels (Berglund, 1985), meaning that less-common taxa could be over-represented if their pollen travels farther. Therefore, herbivores have the potential to provide a different view of the landscape, as each individual animal lived its life in a particular environment or combination of environments. As such, it is possible to establish the expected range of variation of local environments that were present across the landscape through investigating the browsing and grazing behaviour of deer through bone collagen isotope analysis of carbon and nitrogen.

Previous research lacks discussion of domestic animals within the available settlement pattern data (e.g. settlement versus catching sites) and within context of the natural environment (e.g. Noe-Nygaard et al., 2005). However, evidence for a human-built environment for agriculture still remains elusive until visible in the palynological record in the ENII and MN (younger than

3300 cal. BC). Our aims are therefore three-fold: (1) Establish the expected range and character of variation in wild herbivores about the transition and (2) characterize the feeding environments of domestic species. In doing so, we will (3) determine through comparison if it is possible to conclusively determine if there are built agricultural environments in the ENI by pinpointing the presence of domesticated species in such environments. We expect that if cattle are being raised in natural environments, their diets and range of variation will approximate those of the deer.

## The flora

In many ways the early Neolithic landscape in southern Scandinavia was dynamic. The body of research concerning the vegetation in the late Mesolithic and the early and middle Neolithic documents change, some of which, almost certainly human-induced, occurred across the landscape (Andersen, 1992, 1993; Berglund, 1969, 1985; Göransson, 1988; Regnell and Sjögren, 2006). From the outset, however, it must be acknowledged that the palynological record is incomplete and much of the available data is removed geographically from sites yielding substantial faunal material. Whilst problematic, such is the state of affairs, and awaiting further work in this regard, the use of such data stands as the only option in discussions of this type.

In very general terms, the unaltered Mesolithic mixed forest landscape included predominantly alder, oak, lime, ash, elm and hazel, with little or no suggestion of human modification (Andersen, 1993; Lageråds, 2008). Starting about the Atlantic-Subboreal transition, a series of changes in the landscape began. The first change was the "classical" elm (*Ulmus* sp.) decline, a marked and widespread reduction in the number of elm trees across much of northern Europe and southern Scandinavia (Regnell and Sjögren, 2006). This event is largely coincident with the start of the Neolithic (Troels-Smith, 1960; Göransson, 1988) and absolute dating places this event in the period of around 4000 to 3800 cal. BC (Andersen, 1993). However, this date is variable by location and often more restricted chronologically. At Ageröd Mosse, Scania, Sweden, for example, the decline is dated to several decades around 3770 cal. BC (Skog and Regnell, 1995) while at Bökebjerg, also in Scania around 40km away, the decline is dated somewhat earlier, at ca. 3980 cal. BC (Regnell et al., 1995). Therefore, the picture is one of variation in timing of the decline, even at sites close to each-other.

The elm decline was formerly attributed to human clearance of the forest or a byproduct of human forest exploitation (Troels-Smith, 1953, 1960). It is now generally considered to have been the result of multiple factors, including change in the climate and elm disease, although the possibility of a human role is not completely excluded (Göransson, 1988; Regnell and Sjögren 2006). In the 1940s, Iversen (1949) proposed that the series of changes that followed the elm decline represented the period in which the landscape was occupied and cleared by Neolithic groups, a process which he termed the "landnam". In eastern Denmark, this is

characterized by a birch peak which follows the elm decline and is coincident with the EN, which in turn is followed by a hazel peak by the Middle Neolithic (MN TRB, 3300-2300 cal. BC). All of the post-elm decline changes in forest composition were interpreted in this case as being the result of intentional human modification of the landscape for agricultural activities (Andersen, 1993).

Demonstrating intentionality in human impact on the environment is difficult but there is some evidence to this effect in the ENI. One characteristic pattern on the local level is that the floral succession may represent the regeneration of forest for grazing of cattle on the quickly-growing secondary invading plants (Andersen, 1998). In one case, soils from within ENI Volling vessels from and contemporary with the Bjørnsholm long-barrow and shell-midden yielded a substantial proportion of pollen which had been deformed through heating, indicating a recent burning episode (Andersen, 1992). This was interpreted as a deliberate burning to encourage the growth of secondary flora.

There is heterogeneity however in terms of actual dominant species and the rate and character of changes by location. This makes it difficult to speak of the floral composition of the landscape in anything more than general terms. For example, forest vegetation at Tågerup in the earliest Neolithic in Scania consisted primarily of oak, elm, and lime trees (Regnell and Sjören, 2006) but at Brunnshög, near the modern city Lund, in Scania, while the hardwood-dominated forest remained, there was evidence of some small scale clearance and regeneration in the form of herb and pine growth (Lageråds, 2008). The pollen data in most areas of southern Scandinavia record a similar pattern of vegetative cover in the EN (Andersen, 1993; Berglund, 1969; Regnell and Sjögren, 2006), but it is important to emphasize the inconsistent nature of the available record across the landscape.

By the end of the EN, however, signs of forest clearance become clearer and more common. These include many secondary plants such as grasses and herbs, and a general opening up of the environment (Lageråds, 2008). By the MN, the landscape had changed markedly yet again, with unambiguous evidence of human modification of the landscape observed for the first time (Regnell and Sjögren, 2006). It is at this point that the region can be considered "fully" Neolithic.

The overall impression of the change in the flora from the Mesolithic to the MN is one of gradual movement towards a more open and modified landscape from the earliest Neolithic into the middle Neolithic. In the EN, and especially the ENI, there is little unambiguous evidence at all of human effects on the flora of the region. The evidence available seems to indicate human disturbance in the form of forest clearings followed by regeneration. Then, starting in the ENII and moving into the MN, clearance and a significant human impact become evident. Of importance though, is the impression that landscape clearance for grazing and agriculture is

very local in its character: different localities show the impact of these activities to a different degree.

## The Fauna

There are two general classes of faunal assemblage in the ENI: those dominated by domestic species and those dominated by wild species. These correspond to the two general types of site that are found in the period, hunting camps with predominantly wild fauna, and residential sites with an almost entirely domestic fauna (Johansen, 2006). Examples of hunting camp faunal assemblages include those from Havnø, Norsminde, Bjørnsholm, and Muldbjerg (Andersen, 1991; Bratlund, 1993; Gron, 2013; Noe-Nygaard, 1995); residential assemblages include sites such as Havnelev (Koch, 1998). Nowhere is this dichotomy more evident than in eastern Sweden at the sites Anneberg and Skuparberget: Anneberg is almost completely dominated by seal remains, while Skumparberget has almost entirely domesticated animals. This is despite the fact that both sites belong to the Funnel Beaker culture, are contemporary, and are geographically close to one another (Hallgren, 2008; Segerberg, 1999). However, the picture is not always so clear, as there are also sites that may be communal centres that were not strictly residential, but instead visited from time to time. Almhov is an example of this type (Rudebeck, 2010), whose assemblage may present a biased picture as domestic animal herds may not have been resident at the site (Gron et al., 2015).

Faunal assemblages are best described as small and poorly preserved. They often remain unpublished, and offer in most cases only a glimpse into early Neolithic animal-based subsistence. Some of the largest assemblages are those from the aforementioned Almhov and Muldbjerg (Noe-Nygaard 1995; Rudebeck, 2010), but even these yielded fewer than two thousand bones determinable to species. Some material is well-preserved, such as the material from the Store Åmose bogs in central Zealand (Gotfredsen 1998), but the fauna from these sites is dominated by wild species with only a few domestic specimens, and do not permit a widespread view of Neolithic life. Much more typical EN assemblages are those from the shell midden sites on Jutland, Denmark (Norsminde, Bjørnsholm, Havnø), which usually number only in the hundreds of highly fragmented specimens identifiable to species (Andersen, 1991; Bratlund, 1993, Gron, 2013).

The small samples, the high degree of fragmentation, and poor preservation preclude a number of different types of zooarchaeological analyses such as age-at-death profiles, repeated stress indicators, sex determination, and any other of a number of lines of evidence that usually can be used for understanding the role of cattle in a prehistoric economy. While some data of these types is available from the largest TRB assemblages (Rudebeck, 2010), such information is rare and equivocal. The role of the domestic fauna in the EN economy is thus largely invisible in the

zooarchaeological record. At present, zooarchaeology can only contribute in a limited fashion to understandings of ENI farming practice.

Despite these problems, there is widespread evidence of the presence of cattle from about ca. 4000 cal. BC and their appearance is synchronous within the resolution of the radiocarbon curve (Noe-Nygaard et al., 2005; Price and Noe-Nygaard, 2009). Any earlier dates are highly controversial, but accepted dates indicate introduction on a wide geographic scale and largely coincident, with the earliest uncontested dates coming from far-flung reaches of the TRB (Price and Noe-Nygaard, 2009; Rowley-Conwy, 2013). Simply, cattle are a key feature of early TRB agriculture.

# Previous herbivore stable isotope research

As in many world regions, the published archaeological stable isotope data from southern Scandinavia is dominated by samples deriving from humans (see Fischer et al., 2007). These data have reinforced the long-held notion (Tauber, 1981) that there is a marked and immediate shift to terrestrial foods from the start of the Neolithic. Data for animals, however, is much more limited. Particularly lacking are data from wild herbivores to serve as an isotopic baseline for interpreting the diets of domestic species. Such a comparison is valid because cattle are grazers, and deer are both browsers and grazers depending on the species and local environmental conditions.

Some previous data are available, however. In the Åmosen lacustrine bog system in Zealand, Denmark, Noe-Nygaard (1995) analysed a number of archaeological and modern herbivore bone collagen samples for their carbon stable isotope values. Her data from modern deer from known environments demonstrated that the openness of browsing environments does affect isotope values in deer in this region. A later study of both aurochs and early cattle (Noe-Nygaard et al., 2005) documented change in browsing environments through the Holocene in both carbon and nitrogen isotopes. Fischer et al. (2007) focused primarily on human diets and dogs as proxies, but included some faunal samples as a baseline. Lastly, Craig et al. (2006) analyzed several deer samples from the Jutland shell middens at Bjørnsholm and Norsminde, and Ritchie et al. (2013) published several isotope measurements on wild fauna from Asnæs Havnemark, an Ertebølle site on the coast of western Zealand.

Most relevantly, Noe-Nygaard and colleagues (2005) used previous data (Noe-Nygaard, 1995) to argue that the earliest cows in Scandinavia were brought into open, coastal grasslands, because of the higher  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the domestic cattle in comparison with deer from the sites Muldbjerg and Præstelyng in central Zealand. While the cattle samples were predominantly from Zealand, several were from elsewhere in southern Scandinavia, including Jutland and northern Germany. While we are aware of further analyses currently being performed, the

general impression is that a much more comprehensive view across the region is required for understanding similarity or difference in browsing environments of early domestic cattle and deer.

# Figure 1

# Materials and methods: carbon and nitrogen in bone collagen

Bone collagen samples were selected from a number of late Mesolithic and early Neolithic archaeological sites in southern Scandinavia (Table 1; Figure 1). Additionally, samples were taken from several transitional Mesolithic-early Neolithic sites, as well as a few purely early Neolithic localities (Table 1; Figure 1). All specimens are indirectly dated, with the greatest confidence context or site age given. Mesolithic sites are included in order to ensure that the sample of deer contains animals that were browsing in all available natural environments. Several taxa were sampled; red deer (*Cervus elaphus*), roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*), domestic cattle, and undifferentiated bovids (*Bos* sp.), which could be either domestic cattle or wild aurochs (*Bos primigenius*). Cattle from Scania were all considered domestic on the grounds that aurochs were absent in the region by the late Mesolithic (Aaris-Sørensen, 1999; Ekström, 1993). Domestic cattle from Jutland were only assigned to taxon if diagnostic measurements could be taken (Degerbøl and Fredskild, 1970). Otherwise they were classified as *Bos* sp., given the possibility that they could be aurochs. Limited numbers of domestic ovicaprids (*Capra* sp./*Ovis* sp.) were also sampled, but could not be differentiated to genus on morphological grounds.

Wherever possible, a Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) (Bunn, 1982) based sampling approach was taken at each site. However, in many cases this simply was not possible, as much of the material derives from shell middens characterized by high fragmentation. To mitigate the possibility of occasional accidental sampling from different elements from the same dead animal, a number of archaeological sites were sampled, and if possible remains from different features were selected. Several solely Mesolithic sites were included to ensure that the observed dietary values of wild species not the result of already-present human modification of the landscape.

Bone was first cleaned of surface contamination using a diamond-tipped dental rotary drill. Some minor variation of collagen extraction method (e.g. coarse grinding of the bone versus coarse chips of bone being used) was introduced by the standard protocols of different laboratories being applied. In this case preparation work was undertaken at Copenhagen University's Department of Geography and Geology, Durham University's Department of Archaeology, and at the ChronoCentre at Queen's University Belfast. Nevertheless, all collagen purification followed standard extraction methodology (Ambrose and DeNiro, 1986; Brown et al., 1988; DeNiro, 1985; Longin, 1971). Samples were analysed at the University of Waterloo's

Stable Isotope Facility, the University of Bradford's Archaeological Stable Isotope facility, and a few at the ChronoCentre Queen's University Belfast. All laboratories strictly adhere to rigorous international quality control guidelines through the application of international reference standards. All data reported here had atomic carbon to nitrogen ratios in the range 2.9-3.6, indicating low probability of diagenesis (DeNiro, 1985). Maximum analytical error reported among the three labs was 0.25% for  $\delta^{13}$ C and 0.3% for  $\delta^{15}$ N, well within the reported range of variation in the data.

# Results: carbon and nitrogen in bone collagen

In all, 68 isotope measurements from southern Scandinavia had acceptable atomic ratios of carbon to nitrogen and therefore had no indications of diagenesis (Table 1) (White et al., 2001) while six were excluded as they were outside the acceptable range. The range of variation within the data generated in this study is shown in Table 2. Lowest  $\delta^{13}$ C variation is among the domestic cattle, at 1.23‰, with the highest variation among the roe deer. Red deer are intermediate. The <u>Bos</u> sp. which could not be determined to species also show a range of variation above 2‰, unsurprising given that this category likely contains forest-dwelling aurochs as well as domestic cattle. Similarly, the ovicaprids have a range of  $\delta^{13}$ C values higher than the cattle, probably stemming from the inclusion in the sample of both sheep and goats, animals that consume different types of vegetative foods. The range of variation in  $\delta^{15}$ N values is more uniform across taxa, with only ovicaprids showing a markedly larger range of variation than the other taxa.

It is difficult to interpret the <u>Bos</u> sp. that could not be attributed to either cattle or aurochs. The same is true for the ovicaprids. This difficulty lies in the potential for vastly different feeding behaviours among these species. Cattle feed on what is provided to them, or on what is available in the areas in which they are grazed. There likely could be wide variation in these source environments for foods. Conversely, aurochs were deep forest creatures (van Vurre, 2005). Therefore, it is unwise to interpret the undistinguished <u>Bos</u> sp. specimens except to note that a wide degree of variation is seen among these specimens. Similarly, the ovicaprids display a large range of variation. It is also difficult to interpret these data because the inherent behavioural differences in feeding behaviour and regimes of domestic sheep and goats cannot be assessed without taxonomic certainty between the species. This is compounded by the small sample size. Furthermore, depending on whether these animals were raised for fibre, milk, or meat, their diets may have differed. It is for these reasons that we will not further interpret the ovicaprid data, save to say that the spread of variation in all individuals does approximate the general trend seen in the cattle. Thus, as  $\delta^{13}$ C increases, so does  $\delta^{15}$ N.

Selecting only cattle samples dating to the ENI (AMS dated samples with complete ranges between 4000-3500 cal BC selected from Noe-Nygaard et al., 2005 and Fischer et al., 2007), and using published EBK and EN deer (Craig et al., 2006; Fischer et al., 2007; Ritchie et al., 2013) in conjunction with the new data from this study, it becomes possible to place the cattle in their Neolithic environment. It is of vital importance to have as large a comparative sample as possible in order to place early Neolithic cattle husbandry in the landscape. 107 isotope measurements are displayed in Figure 2 from the sites in Figure 1; this combines our data with 39 previously published data points from the earlier studies (Craig et al., 2006; Fischer et al., 2007; Noe-Nygaard et al., 2005; Ritchie et al., 2013) all of which are all rounded to the nearest second decimal place for plotting and statistics. Some further isotope values of wild herbivores from this region have been published (Eriksson and Lidén, 2002; Hede, 2005; Noe-Nygaard, 1995; Richter and Noe-Nygaard, 2003), but they are not included as they report either  $\delta^{13}$ C alone without  $\delta^{15}$ N, or samples were very few in number.

# Figure 3

In the combined new and published red deer and roe deer data, the relationship between  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}N$  (Capreolus capreolus R=-0.4532, Cervus elaphus R=-0.5626) does not differ between the species (Fischer R to Z, Z=0.54, p=0.0.5892) (Figure 3). In both cases, with increasing  $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{15}$ N decreases. Similarly, if both species of deer are divided into broad west versus east divisions by their location on Jutland versus Zealand (Figure 1), Denmark, the relationship between  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values in the deer from these geographical groupings (Jutland R=-0.461, Zealand R=-0.4568) does not differ (Fischer R to Z, Z=-0.02, p=.984). Therefore, neither species nor climatic differences have influenced the data. The lack of geographical differences is probably due to southern Scandinavia being too small for climate to affect values, which on a broad scale can effect a 1 to 2‰  $\delta^{13}$ C difference on a gradient across the whole of Europe (van Klinken et al., 1994, 2000). The lack of difference between the two species of deer is somewhat surprising, given that red deer are usually considered to primarily be grazers and roe deer are considered to primarily be browsers (Geist, 1998). This result may illustrate the extent to which the browsing behaviour of modern populations of these species of deer may be partly of anthropogenic origin. Nonetheless, because no difference can be attributed to geography or feeding preference, it must be attributed to natural differences in primary local feeding environment. In this regard, local environmental variation is known to have been high during the late Atlantic in Scandinavia (Paludan-Müller, 1978). Therefore, the observed spread of values is not surprising.

# Table 1

In closed canopy forests,  $\delta^{13}$ C values are more depleted than in open environments (van der Merwe et al., 1981). This is because closed canopies reduce air circulation and have lower light

levels, both influencing factors which result in lower  $\delta^{13}$ C values (Francey and Farquhar, 1982; Noe-Nygaard, 1995). In modern populations from known environments in Denmark, higher values were seen in deer feeding in more open environments, with lower values in animals eating in more closed forests on forest floor vegetation (Noe-Nygaard, 1995). Therefore, lower values reflect feeding on plants from relatively more closed forest environments, while higher values reflect more open environments such as grasslands or open woodlands.

When pooled, both species of deer share a weak to moderate negative relationship between  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}N$  such that as that when  $\delta^{13}C$  decreases,  $\delta^{15}N$  increases (R=-0.5187). A similar trend was observed among late glacial and early Holocene deer from the Jura, France, where animals foraging in more closed forests had higher  $\delta^{15}N$  values owing to faster nitrogen cycling in those types of environments (Drucker et al., 2003). The relationship between degree of forest cover and nitrogen cycling, and the observed effect on these values in deer diets, is what is to be expected for herbivores feeding in the natural environment in southern Scandinavia prior to deforestation. Any population of herbivorous animals living in southern Scandinavia should therefore show a similar relationship between increasing degree of forest closure and increasing rate of nitrogen cycling.

Combining the new data from our study with the ENI values from Noe-Nygaard et al. (2005), 28  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values are available for early domestic cattle from southern Scandinavia (Figure 2). The cattle data show a relationship between  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N roughly opposite that of the deer. With increasing  $\delta^{13}$ C,  $\delta^{15}$ N values <u>increase</u> in a weak to moderate positive correlation (R=0.525) (Figure 4). In comparison with the pooled deer, this difference is significant (Fischer R to Z, Z=4.83, p<0.01), as it is between cattle and the red deer (Fischer R to Z, Z=4.5, p<0.01) and cattle and roe deer (Fischer R to Z, Z=3.75, p<0.01) respectively. On these grounds, we can reject the null hypothesis that the variation in cattle diets is similar to the variation in the deer diets. The variability between cattle <u>is not reflective of herbivore natural variation in feeding environments</u>.

Not all the cattle were however eating in environments wholly different to the deer. Some individual cattle had diets that approximate those of the deer, both in  $\delta^{13}C$  and in  $\delta^{15}N$ . Importantly, almost all early domestic cows from the region have  $\delta^{13}C$  values higher than - 22.5‰, the general cut-off for herbivores in Europe consuming only closed-canopy plants (Drucker et al., 2003). Noe-Nygaard and colleagues (2005) argued that cattle were being raised in more open environments outside of those utilized by deer. However, this was based on a comparison only with the deer from two sites in the Store Åmose in central Zealand. Our broader sample of herbivores shows a significant and marked overlap between the environments where cattle were grazed (or from where cattle fodder was obtained), and the wild herbivores from the region. Average values for the cattle are higher than for the wild

herbivores, but there is no clear separation between them. Perhaps this is unsurprising: our larger sample of deer from a number of sites helps to establish the normal range of expected variation in deer diets.

### Table 2

Drucker et al. (2003) explain the covariance between  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}N$  values in deer as reflective of increased nitrogen cycling in more closed forest environments. While this appears to be the case with the Scandinavian deer sample as well, the opposite trend within the cattle requires explanation. Simply, the nitrogen values of some cattle are too high for the open environments the  $\delta^{13}C$  values indicate. Nitrogen cycling in ecosystems is a complicated process, and  $\delta^{15}N$  values in isotopic studies of diet are most often used in determination of increases in omnivorous or carnivorous species of about 3-5% per trophic level (Bocherens and Drucker, 2003). While not on this order of magnitude, any trophic-level effect can be soundly dismissed as deer and cattle are obligate herbivores.

# Figure 4

Changes in aridity can contribute to  $\delta^{15}N$  values (Heaton et al., 1986). However, major changes in rainfall pattern are unlikely to have affected only the forest-dwelling deer and the openenvironment cattle. Therefore, the observed pattern must be anthropogenic. However, identifying which specific human activities are causing the increase in the cattle  $\delta^{15}N$  is problematic. The two most obvious explanations are the burning of vegetation, and the application of animal manure. Feeding in agricultural landscapes has been shown to increase consumer dietary  $\delta^{15}$ N values compared to conspecifics feeding in non-agricultural areas, despite the two having similar  $\delta^{13}$ C values (Hobson, 1999). Similarly, burned environments increase nitrogen cycling in plants, such that those growing in such environments have higher  $\delta^{15}$ N values and with the resulting effects on consumer values (Grogan et al., 2000). Since the repeated burning associated with slash and burn cultivation probably did not take place (see above), a more likely option is widespread manuring. This similarly causes increased  $\delta^{15}N$  values in crops (Bogaard et al., 2007; Bogaard et al., 2013). Although, in order for this increase to be subsequently seen in cattle they would either need to feed on the crops themselves, which is unlikely, or on regenerating undergrowth in fallow fields that had been manured. Regardless of the specific cause, which may be a combination of factors, the cattle are at least in part feeding in built human agricultural environments.

## **Discussion and conclusions**

Our results amplify and extend several aspects of early Scandinavian Neolithic cattle husbandry and agriculture. Foremost, cattle dietary variation does not follow the natural pattern of environmental variation in wild herbivore diets. Secondly, early domestic cattle were indeed

feeding in open environments such as grasslands or open woodland, as has been previously determined (Noe-Nygaard et al., 2005). These environments however were in part shared with wild herbivores. The data also demonstrate the presence of unambiguously human-created environments in the earliest Neolithic, the practice of animal husbandry within those areas, and a particular preference for animal husbandry within a particular type of environment: open areas outside of the closed forest. These data support the notion of early Neolithic forest clearance, albeit on a small scale. These created open environments were where people carried out both cultivation and animal husbandry. This serves to contextualize cattle husbandry within the Neolithic environment of southern Scandinavia as seen in the palynological record.

The degree of deforestation largely depends on the intensity and duration of farming after initial clearance (Boserup, 1965). The practice of feeding leaves from forest trees to domestic animals only disappeared after the Second World War. In the 19<sup>th</sup> century, forest resources were a major foddering resource for domestic livestock (Slotte, 2001). Our data, however, do not indicate substantial use of forest resources for browsing in the earliest Neolithic. Deep forests were not used for cattle grazing, and forest products were not extracted for cattle fodder. Nevertheless, some of the more open environments may have included coppiced woodlands (Göransson, 1988), because in such environments much more light reaches the ground. Such an environment could result in the observed carbon values.

Most researchers agree that there appears to be a delay of about half a millennium between the appearance of domestic plants and animals in southern Scandinavia, and evidence of widespread agricultural activity in the landscape (Price and Noe-Nygaard, 2009; Sørensen, 2013). Using models of agricultural origins and landscape and land-use change, this period would best be considered the Frontier Phase (cf. Mustard et al., 2004), corresponding to the Substitution Phase as defined by Zvelebil and Rowley-Conwy (1984; Zvelebil 1986). The speed of the transition from an undisturbed landscape to a managed or agricultural landscape depends on the individual setting, numbers of individuals involved, and a range of other factors. It can vary greatly in timescale: from decades to almost a millennium (Mustard et al. 2004). The period in southern Scandinavia from the appearance of domestic plants and animals to the appearance of evidence of large-scale agricultural activity fits well into this timeframe.

Causality is difficult to assess in the archaeological record. In particular, decisions made concerning all aspects of animal husbandry must be accessed by working backwards from the available evidence, which in this case consists of the diets of domestic species. Questions regarding the behaviour of wild species are in some ways easier to address because it is possible to observe their behavioural ecology today. However, such observations may be biased: red deer and roe deer are today considered to be predominantly grazers and browsers respectively, yet in this dataset we see no dietary difference between them. What is clear is

that the cattle were raised in more open environments outside of the closed forest, and <u>some</u> <u>of these environments were created by human groups to be this way</u>. This strongly implicates culturally normative ideas on the part of early Neolithic decision-makers about how and where to raise their cattle.

It is easy to dismiss such ideas as conjecture, or simply argue that it is better to raise cattle in open environments. However, modern studies show that this is not strictly the case. For example, there are over 100 localities in Britain today in which cattle are grazed in forests (Armstrong et al., 2003). The farmers evidently had preconceived ideas of where to graze cattle, and went so far as to create environments they regarded as appropriate. This speaks to entrenched cultural ideas of propriety in this regard. It is tempting to suggest that cultural preference and knowledge to perform this type of husbandry must have developed elsewhere and brought into southern Scandinavia, since indigenous hunter-gatherers adopting a few domestic cattle would not intrinsically "know" that more open environments were "better". We have argued elsewhere (Gron et al., 2015) that there was a degree of sophistication involved in ENI farming, which implies that the first farmers were immigrants. At all events, ENI farmers, for whatever reason, preferred open environments sufficiently strongly to create them.

It is important to remember that cattle must have played a pivotal - perhaps *the* pivotal - role in the earliest agriculture in the region. Perhaps their most important purpose was to buffer against crop failure and serve as a food storage mechanism (Krummel et al., 1986). As dairying was practiced (Gron et al., 2015; Craig et al. 2011), cattle provided a means of obtaining fresh food through the winter, as well as a means of turning foods that are inedible to humans into meat. These data underscore not just the importance of domestic animals in the early Neolithic, but also to their role in an integrated Neolithic regime of stock keeping and small-scale agriculture for cereal production.

# **Acknowledgements**

Thanks are owed to Søren H. Andersen, Elisabeth Rudebeck and T. Douglas Price for permission for the analyses, and to the Zoological Museum of the Natural History Museum of Denmark, Moesgård Museum, and the Malmö Museum for arranging access. We are indebted to the University of Bradford's Stable Isotope Facility, the University of Waterloo, the ChronoCentre at the Queen's University Belfast, and the former Department of Geology and Geography at Copenhagen University for analytical and laboratory support. We acknowledge the contributions of Inge Juul, Janet Montgomery, Nanna Noe-Nygaard, T. Douglas Price, Travis Pickering, Jim Burton, Kristian Murphy Gregersen, and Julia Beaumont. Harry Robson, Tina Jakob, and Charlotte King greatly improved the content of earlier versions of the paper and we

lastly acknowledge Carolyn Freiwald who broke an analytical impasse with her thoughtful comments on the dataset.

# **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

# **Funding statement**

This research was supported by a British Academy Newton International Fellowship awarded to KG. Further funding was provided by the National Science Foundation (DDIG #1135155).

# References

Aaris-Sørensen K (1999) The Holocene history of the Scandinavian aurochs (*Bos primigenius* Bojanus, 1827). *Wissenschaftliche Schriften des Neanderthal Museums* 1: 49–57.

Ambrose SH, DeNiro MJ (1986) The isotopic ecology of east Africal mammals. *Oecologia* 69:395-406.

Andersen SH (1991) Norsminde: A "køkkenmødding" with late Mesolithic and early Neolithic occupation. *Journal of Danish Archaeology* 8:13-40.

Andersen ST (1998) Pollen analytical investigations of barrows from the Funnel Beaker and Single Grave cultures in the Vroue area, west Jutland, Denmark. *Journal of Danish Archaeology* 12:107-132.

Andersen ST (1992) Early- and middle-Neolithic agriculture in Denmark: pollen spectra from soils in burial mounds of the funnel beaker culture. *Journal of European Archaeology* 1:153-180.

Andersen ST (1993) Early agriculture. In: Hvass S and Storgaard B (eds) *Digging into the Past: 25 Years of Archaeology in Denmark.* Aarhus: Aarhus Universitetsforlag, pp. 88-91.

Armstrong HM, Poulsom L, Connolly T, and Peace A (2003) *A Survey of Cattle-grazed Woodlands in Britian*. Report, Forest Research, Northern Research Station, UK, October.

Berglund BE (1969) Vegetation and human influence in south Scandinavia during prehistoric time. *Oikos Supplement* 12:9-28.

Berglund BE (1985) Early agriculture in Scandinavia: research problems related to pollenanalytical studies. *Norwegian Archaeological Review* 18(1-2):77-90. Bocherens H and Drucker D (2003) Trophic level isotopic enrichment of carbon and nitrogen in bone collagen: case studies from recent and ancient terrestrial ecosystems. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* 13:46-53.

Bogaard A (2004). *Neolithic Farming in Central Europe: an Archaeobotanical Study of Crop Husbandry Practices*. London: Routledge.

Bogaard A, Heaton THE, Poulton P and Merbach I (2007) The impact of manuring on nitrogen isotope ratios in cereals: archaeological implications for reconstruction of diet and crop management practices. *Journal of Archaeolgical Science* 34:335-343.

Bogaard A, Fraser R, Heaton THE, Wallace M, Vaiglova P, Charles M, Jones G, Evershed RP, Styring AK, Andersen NH, Arbogast R-M, Bartosiewicz L, Gardeisen A, Kanstrup M, Maier U, Marinova E, Ninov L, Schäfer M and Stephan E (2013) Crop manuring and intensive land management by Europe's first farmers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110(31):12589-12594.

Boserup E (1965) *The Conditions of Agricultural Growth: The Economics of Agrarian Change Under Population Pressure.* London: Allen and Unwin.

Bratlund B (1993) The bone remains of mammals and birds from the Bjørnsholm shell-mound: a preliminary report. *Journal of Danish Archaeology* 10: 97–104.

Brown TA, Nelson DE, Vogel JS, Southon JR (1988) Improved collagen extraction by modified Longin method. *Radiocarbon* 30(2):171-177.

Bunn H (1982) Meat Eating and Human Evolution: Studies on the Diet and Subsistence Patterns of Plio-Pleistocene Hominids in East Africa. PhD Thesis, University of California, Berkeley

Craig OE, Ross R, Andersen SH, Milner N, Bailey GN (2006) Focus: Sulphur isotope variation in archaeological marine fauna from northern Europe. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 33:1642-1646.

Craig OE, Steele VJ, Fischer A, Hartz S, Andersen SH, Donohoe P, Glykou A, Saul H, Jones DM, Koch E, Heron CP (2011) Ancient lipids reveal continuity in culinary practices across the transition to agriculture in Northern Europe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of the Sciences* 108(44): 17910-17915.

Degerbøl M and Fredskild B (1970) The urus (*Bos primigenius* Bojanus) and Neolithic domesticated cattle (*Bos taurus domesticus* Linné) in Denmark. *Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab Biologiske Skrifter* 17(1):1-234.

DeNiro MJ (1985) Postmortem preservation and alteration of *in vivo* bone collagen isotope ratios in relation to palaeodietary reconstruction. *Nature* 317:806-809.

Drucker D, Bocherens H, Bridalult A and Billiou D (2003) Carbon and nitrogen isotopic composition of red deer (*Cervus elaphus*) collagen as a tool for tracking palaeoenvironmental change during the Lage-Glacial and early Holocene in the northern Jura (France). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoeclimatology, Palaeoecology* 195:375-388.

Ekström J. (1993) *The Late Quaternary History of the Urus (*Bos primigenius *Bojanus 1827) in Sweden*. Lund: Lund University.

Eriksson G and Lidén K (2002) Mammalian stable isotope ecology in a Mesolithic lagoon at Skateholm. *Journal of Nordic Archaeological Science* 13:5-10.

Fischer A, Olsen J, Richards M, Heinemeier J, Sveinbjörnsdóttir ÁE, Bennike P (2007) Coast-inland mobility and diet in the Danish Mesolithic and Neolithic: evidence from stable isotope values of humans and dogs. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 34:2125-2150.

Foley JA, DeFries R, Asner GP, Barford C, Bonan G, Carpenter SR, Chapin FS, Coe MT, Daily GC, Gibbs HK, Helkowski JH, Holloway T, Howard EA, Kucharik CJ, Monfreda C, Patz JA, Prentice IC, Ramankutty N, Snyder PK (2005) Global consequences of land use. *Science* 309: 570-574.

Francey RJ and Farquhar GD (1982) An explanation of  $^{13}$ C/ $^{12}$ C variations in tree rings. *Nature* 297:28-31.

Geist V (1998) *Deer of the World: Their Evolution, Behaviour, and Ecology*. Mechanicsburg: Stackpole Books.

Gotfredsen AB (1998) En rekonstruktion af palæomiljøet omkring tre senmesolitiske bopladser I Store Åmose, Vestsjælland-baseret på pattedyr- og fugleknogler. *Geologisk Tidsskrift* 98(2): 92-104.

Grogan P, Bruns TD and Chapin FS (2000) Fire effects on ecosystem nitrogen cycling in a Californian bishop pine forest. *Oecologia* 122:537-544.

Gron KJ, Montgomery J and Rowley-Conwy P (2015) Cattle management for dairying in Scandinavia's earliest Neolithic. *PLOS ONE* 10(7): e0131267.

Gron KJ (2013) *The Ertebølle faunal economy and the transition to agriculture in southern Scandinavia*. PhD Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA.

Göransson H (1988) Pollen analytical investigation at Skateholm, southern Sweden. *The Skateholm Project. I. Man and Environment* (1988):27-33.

Hallgren F (2008) *Identitet I Praktik: Lokala, regional och överregionala sociala sammanhang inom nordlig trattbägarkultur.* PhD Thesis, Uppsala University, SE.

Heaton THE, Vogel JC, von la Chevallerie G and Collett G (1986) Climatic influence on the isotopic composition of bone nitrogen. *Nature* 322:822-823.

Hede SU (2005) The finds: mammal, bird, and amphibian bones. In Price TD and Gebauer AB (eds) *Smakkerup Huse: A Late Mesolithic Coastal Site in Northwest Zealand, Denmark*. Aarhus: Aarhus University Press, pp. 91-102.

Hobson KA (1999) Stable-carbon and nitrogen isotope ratios of songbird feathers grown in two terrestrial biomes: Implications for evaluating trophic relationships and breeding origins. *The Condor* 101:799-805.

Iversen J (1949) The influence of prehistoric man on vegetation. Copenhagen: Danmarks Geologiske Undersøgelse 4.

Johansen KL (2006) Settlement and land use at the Mesolithic-Neolithic transition in southern Scandinavia. *Journal of Danish Archaeology* 14:201-223.

Koch E (1998) *Neolithic Bog Pots from Zealand, Møn, Lolland and Falster*. Copenhagen: Det Kongelige Nordiske Oldskriftselskab.

Krummel JR, O'Neill RV and Mankin JB (1986) Regional environmental simulation of Africal cattle herding societies. *Human Ecology* 14(1): 117-130.

Lageråds P (2008) *Resultat av Pollenanalys och Makrofossilanalys från Brunnshög*. Lund: Riksantikvarieämbetet.

Longin R (1971) New method of collagen extraction for radiocarbon dating. *Nature* 230:241-243.

Lüning J. (2000) *Steinzeitliche Bauern in Deutschland*. Bonn: Rudolf Habelt (Universitätsforschungen zur Prähistorischen Archäologie 58).

Mustard JF, Defries RS, Fischer T, and Moran E (2004) Land use and land cover change pathways and impacts. In: Gutman G, Janetos AC, Justice CO, Moran EF, Mustard JF, Rindfuss R, Skole D, Turner BL, and Cochrane MA (eds) *Land Change Science: Observing, Monitoring, and Understanding Trajectories of Change on Earth's Surface.* Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Pubishers, pp. 411-430.

Noe-Nygaard N (1995) Ecological, sedimentary, and geochemical evolution of the late-glacial to postglacial Åmose lacustrine basin, Denmark. *Fossils and Strata* 37.

Noe-Nygaard N, Price TD, and Hede SU (2005) Diet of aurochs and early cattle in southern Scandinavia: evidence from <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>13</sup>C stable isotopes. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 32:855-871.

Paludan-Müller K (1978) High Atlantic food gathering in northwestern Zealand: ecological conditions and spatial representation. *New Directions in Scandinavian Archaeology* 1:120-157.

Price TD and Noe-Nygaard N (2009) Early domestic cattle in southern Scandinavia and the spread of the Neolithic in Europe. In: Finlay N, McCartan S, Milner N, and Wickham-Jones C (eds) *From Bann Flakes to Bushmills: Papers in Honour of Professor Peter Woodman*. Oxford: Oxbow, pp. 198–210.

Regnell M, Gaillard M-J, Bartholin TS, Karsten P (1995)Reconstruction of environment and history of plant use during the late Mesolithic (Ertebølle culture) at the inland settlement of Bökeberg III, southern Sweden. *Vegetation History and Archaeobotany* 4:67-91.

Regnell M and Sjögren K-G (2006) Vegetational development. In:Sjögren K-G (ed) *Ecology and economy in Stone Age and Bronze Age Scania*. Lund: National Heritage Board, pp. 40-79.

Richter J and Noe-Nygaard N (2003) A late Mesolithic hunting station at Agernæs, Fyn, Denmark: differentiation and specialization in the late Ertebølle-culture, hearalding the introduction of agriculture? *Acta Archaeologia* 74:1-64.

Ritchie KC, Gron KJ, Price TD (2013) Flexibility and diversity in subsistence during the late Mesolithic: faunal evidence from Asnæs Havnemark. *Danish Journal of Archaeology2(1):45-64*.

Rowley-Conwy P (1981) Slash and burn in the temperate European neolithic. In *Farming Practice in British Prehistory*, ed. R. Mercer, 85-96. Edinburgh: University Press.

Rowley-Conwy P (2003) No fixed abode? Nomadism in the Northwest European neolithic. In *Stones and Bones. Formal disposal of the dead in Atlantic Europe during the Mesolithic-Neolithic interface 6000-3000 BC*, ed. G. Burenhult and S. Westergaard, 115-144. Oxford: Archaeopress (British Archaeological Reports, International Series 1201).

Rowley-Conwy P (2004) How the west was lost. A reconsideration of agricultural origins in Britain, Ireland and southern Scandinavia. *Current Anthropology* 45 supplement: S83-113.

Rowley-Conwy P (2013) North of the frontier: early domestic animals in northern Europe. In *The Origins and Spread of Domestic Animals in Southwest Asia and Europe*, ed. S. Colledge, J. Conolly, K. Dobney, K. Manning and S. Shennan, 283-311. Walnut Creek: Left Coast Press.

Rudebeck E (2010) I trästodernas skugga-monumentala möten i Neolitiseringens tid. In: Nilsson B and Rudebeck E (eds) Arkeologiska och Förhistoriska Världar: Fält, Erfarenheter och Stenåldersplatser i Sydvästra Skåne. Malmö: Malmö Museer Arkeologienheten, pp. 85–251.

Segerberg A (1999) *Bälinge Mossar: Kustbor i Uppland under yngre stenåldern*. PhD Thesis, Uppsala University, SE.

Sherratt A (1980) Water, soil and seasonality in early cereal cultivation. *World Archaeology* 11: 313-330.

Skog G and Regnéll J (1995) Precision calendar-year dating of the elm decline in a sphagnum-peat bog in southern Sweden. *Proceedings of the 15<sup>th</sup> International <sup>14</sup>C Conference* 37(2):197-202.

Slotte H (2001) Harvesting of leaf-hay shaped the Swedish landscape. *Landscape Ecology* 16:691-702.

Sørensen, L (2014) From hunter to farmer in northern Europe: migration and adaptation during the Neolithic and Bronze Age. *Acta Archaeologica* 85.

Tauber H (1981) <sup>13</sup>C evidence for dietary habits of prehistoric man in Denmark. *Nature* 292:332-333.

Troels-Smith J (1953) Ertebøllekultur-Bondekultur. *Aarbøger for Nordisk Oldkyndighed og Historie* 1953: 5-62.

Troels-Smith J (1960) Ivy, misteltoe and elm climate indicators-fodder plants. *Danmarks Geologiske Undersøgelse* 4(4): 4-32.

Van der Merwe, Roosevelt AC and Vogel JC (1981) Isotopic evidence for prehistoric subsistence change at Parmana, Venezuela. *Nature* 292:536-538.

Van Klinken GJ, van der Plicht H and Hedges REM (1994) Bone <sup>13</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratios reflect (palaeoclimatic variations. *Geophysical Research Letters* 21(6):445-448.

Van Klinken GJ, Richards MP and Hedges REM (2000) An overview of causes for stable isotopic variations in past European human populations: Environmental, ecophysiological, and cultural effects. In: Ambrose SH and Katzenberg MA (eds) *Biogeochemical Approaches to Paleodietary Analysis*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, pp. 39-63.

van Vuure C (2005) *Retracing the Aurochs: History, Morphology and Ecology of an Extinct Wild Ox.* Sofia-Moscow: Pensoft.

Zvelebil M and Rowley-Conwy P (1984) Transition to farming in northern Europe: A hunter-gatherer perspective. *Norwegian Archaeological Review* 17(2):104-128.

Zvelebil M (1986) Mesolithic prelude and Neolithic revolution. In Zvelebil M (ed) *Hunters in Transition*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 5-15.

# **Figures**

Figure 1: Map of sites from which isotope samples were selected. (Bold site names indicate material analyzed in this study, while grey site names indicate data from the literature).

Figure 2: Bone collagen carbon and nitrogen isotope measurements on Ertebølle and ENI Fauna. Literature data from Craig et al. (2006), Fischer et al. (2007), Noe-Nygaard et al. (2005) and Ritchie et al. (2013). Literature deer and cattle only included from EBK or ENI contexts.

Figure 3: Carbon and nitrogen isotopes. Left, plot of red versus roe deer. Right, plot of deer from Zealand versus Jutland. Linear regression shown.

Figure 4: Deer versus cattle carbon and nitrogen isotopes. Linear regression shown.

# **Tables**

		Lab					
Site	Age	Number	Species	Element	Side	δ13C‰	δ15N%
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284470	Bos sp.	ulnar carpal	sn	-21.20	5.19
Bjørnsholm FHM 2911	EBK/ENI	KJGCol-26	Bos sp.	naviculocuboid	sn	-21.47	5.68
Bjørnsholm FHM 2911	EBK/ENI	KJGCol-28	Bos sp.	1st phalanx	dx/pair	-22.73	4.07
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284469	Bos sp.	1st phalanx	sn/pair	-22.36	4.74
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	KJGCol-36	Bos sp.	astragalus	sn	-21.50	4.37
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284484	Bos sp.	tibia	sn	-21.76	4.21
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284485	Bos sp.	tibia	sn	-21.75	3.69
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284486 UBA-	Bos sp.	tibia	dx	-22.02	3.61
Havnø FHM 4014 Krabbesholm II FHM	ENI	20177	Bos sp.	femur	dx	-22.44	4.49
4383	EBK/ENI	KJGCol-35	Bos sp.	2nd phalanx	sn/pair	-22.12	4.58
Norsminde FHM1734	EBK/ENI	KJGCol-32	Bos sp.	pisiform 2nd and 3rd	sn	-22.40	4.98
Norsminde FHM1734	EBK/ENI	KJGCol-25	Bos sp.	carpal	sn	-23.98	5.63
Ringkloster FHM 1592	EBK	KJGCol-34	Bos sp.	metacarpal	sn	-23.64	4.36
Almhov	ENI	KJGCol-27	Bos taurus	astragalus	sn	-21.82	5.92
Almhov	ENI	KJGCol-29	Bos taurus	astragalus	sn	-21.96	6.15
Almhov	ENI	KJGCol-30	Bos taurus	astragalus	sn	-21.42	5.22
Almhov	ENI	KJGCol-42	Bos taurus	mandible	dx	-21.41	5.58
Havnø FHM 4014	ENI	KJGCol-37	Bos taurus	astragalus	sn	-22.61	4.26

Havnø FHM 4014	ENI	KJGCol-38	Bos taurus	astragalus	sn	-22.58	4.31
Havnø FHM 4014	ENI	KJGCol-39	Bos taurus	astragalus	sn	-22.45	4.73
Havnø FHM 4014	ENI	284475	Bos taurus	1st phalanx	dx/pair	-22.40	4.04
Havnø FHM 4014	ENI	284483	Bos taurus	metacarpal	sn	-22.02	3.90
Norsminde FHM1734	ENI	KJGCol-24	Bos taurus	mandible	dx	-21.38	4.82
Almhov MHM 12875	ENI	KJGCol-18	Capra/Ovis	mandible	dx	-21.50	5.47
Almhov MHM 12875	ENI	KJGCol-21	Capra/Ovis	radius	sn	-22.68	6.24
Almhov MHM 12875	ENI	KJGCol-23	Capra/Ovis	radius	sn	-21.77	7.64
Havnø FHM 4014	ENI	KJGCol-19	Capra/Ovis	radius	sn	-23.06	5.02
Havnø FHM 4014	ENI	KJGCol-20 UBA-	Capra/Ovis	radius	sn	-21.65	4.49
Havnø FHM 4014	ENI	20175	Capra/Ovis Capreolus	tibia	sn	-22.47	5.09
Bjørnsholm FHM 2911	EBK/ENI	KJGCol-3	capreolus Capreolus	metatarsal	sn	-21.64	4.41
Ertebølle FHM 2168	EBK	KJGCol-7	capreolus Capreolus	radius	dx	-23.11	3.87
Ertebølle FHM 2168	EBK	KJGCol-8	capreolus Capreolus	radius	dx	-22.94	4.98
Fårevejle	EBK	268274	capreolus Capreolus	calcaneus	dx	-23.14	4.74
Fårevejle	EBK	268275	capreolus Capreolus	calcaneus	dx	-22.20	4.57
Fårevejle	EBK	268277	capreolus Capreolus	calcaneus	dx	-23.36	5.19
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	268287	capreolus Capreolus	calcaneus	sn	-22.01	4.63
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284454	capreolus Capreolus	metatarsal	dx	-21.45	3.71
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284455	capreolus Capreolus	metacarpal	dx	-22.91	3.49
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284456	capreolus Capreolus	metatarsal	sn	-21.79	4.25
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284457	capreolus Capreolus	metatarsal	dx	-22.12	4.18
Ringkloster FHM 1592	EBK	KJGCol-2	capreolus Capreolus	metacarpal	sn	-24.31	5.04
Ringkloster FHM 1592	EBK	KJGCol-4	capreolus Capreolus	metacarpal	sn	-23.99	5.90
Smakkerup Huse	EBK	268294	capreolus Capreolus	humerus	sn	-23.23	5.05
Smakkerup Huse	EBK	268301	capreolus Capreolus	humerus	sn	-21.83	4.61
Thygeslund FHM 5434	EBK	KJGCol-58	capreolus Capreolus	metacarpal	sn	-22.24	4.87
Visborg FHM 3933	EBK/ENI	KJGCol-5	capreolus Capreolus	calcaneus	dx	-22.65	4.10
Visborg FHM 3933	EBK/ENI	KJGCol-6	capreolus	calcaneus	dx	-22.91	5.30
Ertebølle FHM 2168	EBK	KJGCol-10	Cervus elaphus	femur	sn	-21.46	4.07
Ertebølle FHM 2168	EBK	KJGCol-11	Cervus elaphus	naviculocuboid	dx	-22.09	4.29

Ertebølle FHM 2168	EBK	KJGCol-12	Cervus elaphus	astragalus	sn	-21.73	4.25
Fårevejle	EBK	268278	Cervus elaphus	radius	dx	-22.08	4.34
Fårevejle	EBK	268279	Cervus elaphus	radius	sn	-22.76	4.38
Fårevejle	EBK	268281	Cervus elaphus	radius	sn	-23.21	4.11
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	268288	Cervus elaphus	astragalus	dx	-22.57	3.99
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284458	Cervus elaphus	humerus	dx	-21.55	4.57
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284459	Cervus elaphus	humerus	dx	-21.91	3.51
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284460	Cervus elaphus	radius	dx	-21.83	3.43
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284471	Cervus elaphus	radius	dx	-21.54	4.45
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284472	Cervus elaphus	humerus	dx	-21.80	4.56
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284473	Cervus elaphus	metatarsal	sn	-23.21	4.66
Havnø FHM 4014	EBK/ENI	284474	Cervus elaphus	metatarsal	;	-21.38	3.60
Krabbesholm II FHM							
4383	EBK/ENI	KJGCol-13	Cervus elaphus	metapodial	,	-23.57	5.37
Smakkerup Huse	EBK	268296	Cervus elaphus	humerus	dx	-23.62	5.20
Smakkerup Huse	EBK	268297	Cervus elaphus	humerus	dx	-22.51	4.42
Smakkerup Huse	EBK	268298	Cervus elaphus	humerus	dx	-22.50	4.16
Thygeslund FHM 5434	EBK	KJGCol-59	Cervus elaphus	mandible	sn	-21.81	4.54
Visborg FHM 3933	EBK/ENI	KJGCol-16	Cervus elaphus	metacarpal	sn	-22.20	3.88

Table 1: Sites and isotopic samples from southern Scandinavia

	N	Average δ13C	Max δ13C	Min δ13C	Average δ15N	Max δ15N	Min δ15N	Range δ13C
Bos sp.	13	-22.26	-21.20	-23.98	4.58	5.68	3.61	2.78
Bos taurus	10	-22.01	-21.38	-22.61	4.89	6.15	3.90	1.23
Capra sp./Ovis sp. Capreolus	6	-22.19	-21.50	-23.06	5.66	7.64	4.49	1.56
capreolus	18	-22.66	-21.45	-24.31	4.60	5.90	3.49	2.86
Cervus elaphus	20	-22.27	-21.38	-23.62	4.29	5.37	3.43	2.24

Table 2: The variation within the data







