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#### Abstract

Asymptotically harmonic manifolds are simply connected complete Riemannian manifolds without conjugate points such that all horospheres have the same constant mean curvature $h$. In this article we present results for harmonic functions on rank one asymptotically harmonic manifolds $X$ with mild curvature boundedness conditions. Our main results are (a) the explicit calculation of the Radon-Nykodym derivative of the visibility measures, (b) an explicit integral representation for the solution of the Dirichlet problem at infinity in terms of these visibility measures, and (c) a result on horospherical means of bounded eigenfunctions implying that these eigenfunctions do not admit nontrivial continuous extensions to the geometric compactification $\bar{X}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Manifolds with asymptotically harmonic metrics were first introduced by Ledrappier ( $(\underline{L e d}, ~ T h m .1])$ in the special case of negative curvature in connection with rigidity of measures related to the Dirichlet problem (harmonic measure) and the dynamics of the geodesic flow (Bowen-Margulis measure). One of the equivalent characterisations of asymptotically harmonic metrics there was that all horospheres have constant mean curvature $h \geq 0$. We express this geometric property in terms of Jacobi tensors (see Definion

[^0]1.1 below). Let ( $X, g$ ) be a complete Riemannian manifold without conjugate points and let $\pi: S X \rightarrow X$ be the canonical footpoint projection from the unit tangent bundle. For $v \in S X$ let $c_{v}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ be the unique geodesic given by $c_{v}^{\prime}(0)=v$. Let $S_{v, r}$ and $U_{v, r}$ be the orthogonal Jacobi tensors along $c_{v}$, defined by $S_{v, r}(0)=U_{v, r}(0)=\mathrm{id}$ and $S_{v, r}(r)=0$ and $U_{v, r}(-r)=0$. Note that we have $U_{v, r}(t)=S_{-v, r}(-t)$. The stable and unstable Jacobi tensors $S_{v}$ and $U_{v}$ are then defined as the Jacobi tensors along $c_{v}$ with initial conditions $S_{v}(0)=U_{v}(0)=$ id and $S_{v}^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} S_{v, r}^{\prime}(0)$ and $U_{v}^{\prime}(0)=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} U_{v, r}^{\prime}(0)$. They are related by $U_{v}(t)=S_{-v}(-t)$. For simplicity of notation, we introduce $U(v)=U_{v}^{\prime}(0)$ and $S(v)=S_{v}^{\prime}(0)$. (For more detailed information on Jacobi tensors see, e.g., Kn1.)

Definition 1.1. An asymptotically harmonic manifold ( $X, g$ ) is a complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold without conjugate points such that for all $v \in S X$ we have $\operatorname{tr} U(v)=h$ for a constant $h \geq 0$.

The manifolds considered in this article are rank one asymptotically harmonic manifolds. The notion of rank has been introduced by Ballmann, Brin and Eberlein in $\overline{\mathrm{BBE}}$ for nonpositively curved manifolds as the dimension of the parallel Jacobi fields along geodesics. Since we do not assume nonpositive curvature, the notion of rank has to be understood in the following generalized sense given in [Kn2, Def. 3.1]:
Definition 1.2. Let $(X, g)$ be a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold without conjugate points. For $v \in S X$ let $D(v)=U(v)-S(v)$ and we define

$$
\operatorname{rank}(v)=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} D(v))+1
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{rank}(X)=\min \{\operatorname{rank}(v) \mid v \in S X\} .
$$

In KnPe 2 , we proved equivalence of the following four properties for asymptotically harmonic manifolds $X$ under the mild curvature boundedness condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|R\| \leq R_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad\|\nabla R\| \leq R_{0}^{\prime} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $R_{0}, R_{0}^{\prime}>0$ : (a) $X$ has rank one, (b) $X$ has Anosov geodesic flow, (c) $X$ is Gromov hyperbolic, and (d) $X$ has purely exponential volume growth with growth rate $h_{v o l}=h$. These equivalences were first proved for noncompact harmonic manifolds in Kn2 and for asymptotically harmonic manifolds admitting compact quotients in [Zi1]. Besides negatively curved symmetric spaces, Damek-Ricci spaces provide examples of rank one harmonic and therefore also asymptotically harmonic manifolds, since they all have purely exponential volume growth. As a consequence, all Damek-Ricci spaces are Gromov hyperbolic. (Note that all non-symmetric Damek-Ricci spaces admit zero-curvature.) In this article, we use the above equivalences to study harmonic functions on rank one asymptotically harmonic manifolds $(X, g)$ satisfying (1.1). Let us discuss the results of this paper in more detail.

In Sections 2 and 3, we introduce the geometric boundary $X(\infty)$ via equivalence classes of geodesic rays and the canonical maps $\varphi_{p}: S_{p} X \rightarrow X(\infty)$, $\varphi_{p}(v)=c_{v}(\infty)$. These maps have natural extensions $\bar{\varphi}_{p}$ to the geometric
compactification $\bar{X}=X \cup X(\infty)$, and we show that these extensions are homeomorphisms. The visibility measures $\left\{\mu_{p}\right\}$ on $X(\infty)$ are then defined as follows:

Definition 1.3. Let $\mathcal{M}_{1}(X(\infty))$ denote the space of Borel probability measures on $X(\infty)$. For every $p \in X$, let $\mu_{p} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}(X(\infty))$ be defined by

$$
\int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) d \mu_{p}(\xi)=\frac{1}{\omega_{n}} \int_{S_{p} X} f\left(\varphi_{p}(v)\right) d \theta_{p}(v) \quad \forall f \in C(X(\infty)),
$$

where $n=\operatorname{dim}(X)$ and $\omega_{n}$ is the volume of the $(n-1)$-dimensional standard unit sphere and $d \theta_{p}$ is the volume element of $S_{p} X$ induced by the Riemannian metric. $\mu_{p}$ is called the visibility measure of $(X, g)$ at the point $p$.
Sections 4 and 5 are concerned with the explicit calculation of the RadonNykodym derivative of the visibility measures. To state the result (Theorem 1.4 below), we need Busemann functions. Let $v \in S_{q} X$ and $\xi=c_{v}(\infty) \in$ $X(\infty)$. Then the Busemann function (associated to $v \in S_{q} X$ or to $(q, \xi) \in$ $X \times X(\infty))$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{v}(p)=b_{q, \xi}(p)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} d\left(c_{v}(t), p\right)-t \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.4. Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1). Let $\left(\mu_{p}\right)_{p \in X}$ be the associated family of visibility measures. Then these measures are pairwise absolutely continuous and we have

$$
\frac{d \mu_{p}}{d \mu_{q}}(\xi)=e^{-h b_{q, \xi}(p)} .
$$

An analogous result on the Radon-Nykodym derivative for asymptotically harmonic manifolds in the case of pinched negative curvature was given in [CaSam, Prop. 6.1].
Since our rank one asymptotically harmonic manifolds $(X, g)$ are Gromov hyperbolic and have positive Cheeger constants (see KnPe2, Prop. 5.3]), the general theory of Ancona Anc1, Anc2] implies that the geometric boundary and the Martin boundary agree and that the Dirichlet problem at infinity can be solved. In Section 6 we give an alternative direct proof of this latter fact and give an explicit integral representation for the solution of the Dirichlet problem at infinity in terms of the visibility measures:

Theorem 1.5. Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1). Let $f: X(\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there exists a unique harmonic function $H_{f}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \xi} H_{f}(x)=f(\xi) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $H_{f}$ has the following integral presentation:

$$
H_{f}(x)=\int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) d \mu_{x}(\xi)
$$

where $\left\{\mu_{x}\right\}_{x \in X} \subset \mathcal{M}_{1}(X(\infty))$ are the visibility probability measures.
A related result in the setting of harmonic manifolds can be found in Zimmer [Zi2, Thm. 1]. Moreover, the solution of the Dirichlet problem
at infinity for general nonpositively curved rank one manifolds admitting compact quotients was shown by Ballmann [Ba].

In Section 8 we consider eigenfunctions $\Delta f+\lambda f=0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ on rank one asymptotically harmonic manifolds $X$ satisfying (1.1). We show that if such an eigenfunction $f \in C^{\infty}(X, \mathbb{C})$ has a continuous extension to the boundary $X(\infty)$, then the extension must be necessarily trivial, in contrast to Theorem 1.5 for harmonic functions. The proof is based on taking horospherical means. Since horospheres $\mathcal{H}$ are noncompact, the averages have to be taken via compact exhaustions $\left\{K_{j}\right\}$ with smooth boundaries $\partial K_{j}$. We first observe in Section 8 (see Theorem 8.1) that, for continuous functions $f: \bar{X}=X \cup X(\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and horospheres $\mathcal{H}$ centered at $\xi \in X(\infty)$ with compact exhaustion $\left\{K_{j}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{K_{j}} f(x) d x}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}\right)}=f(\xi) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression (8.1) is called the horospherical mean of $f$ with respect to the exhaustion $\left\{K_{j}\right\}$. In Section 7, we prove that all horospheres in these spaces have polynomial volume growth, which implies that they admit (compact) isoperimetric exhaustions $\left\{K_{j}\right\}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n-2}\left(\partial K_{j}\right)}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main result of Section 8 is that, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$, the horospherical means (with respect to isoperimetric exhaustions) of bounded eigenfunctions are zero.

Theorem 1.6. Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold of dimension $n$ satisfying (1.1) and $h>0$ be the mean curvature of all horospheres. Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be a real number and $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ be a bounded function satisfying $\Delta f+\lambda f=0$ and $\mathcal{H} \subset X$ be a horosphere with isoperimetric exhaustion $\left\{K_{j}\right\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{K_{j}} f(x) d x}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}\right)}=0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result leads to the following above mentioned fact, complementing Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.7. Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1). Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ be an eigenfunction $\Delta f+$ $\lambda f=0$. If $f$ has a continuous extension $F \in C(\bar{X})$ then we have necessarily $\left.F\right|_{X(\infty)} \equiv 0$.
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## 2. Uniform Divergence of geodesics

In this section, we prove that for every distance $d>0$ and any angle $\alpha>0$ there exists a $t_{0}>0$, such that any two unit speed geodesics $c_{1}, c_{2}$ starting at the same point and differing by an angle $\geq \alpha$ will diverge uniformly in
the sense that $d\left(c_{1}(t), c_{2}(t)\right) \geq d$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$. For the proof, we start with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(X, g)$ be a manifold without conjugate points and, for $v \in S X$, let $A_{v}$ be the orthogonal Jacobi tensor along $c_{v}$ satisying $A_{v}(0)=0$ and $A_{v}^{\prime}(0)=\mathrm{id}$. Then we have
(i) $A_{v}(t)=U_{v}(t) \int_{0}^{t}\left(U_{v}^{*} U_{v}\right)^{-1}(u) d u$,
(ii) $\left(U_{v}^{\prime}(0)-S_{v, t}^{\prime}(0)\right)^{-1}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(U_{v}^{*} U_{v}\right)^{-1}(u) d u$.

Proof. Since the endomorphism $U_{v}(u)$ is non-singular and Lagrangian for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$, we conclude from [Kn2, Prop. 2.1] that

$$
A_{v}(t)=U_{v}(t)\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(U_{v}^{*} U_{v}\right)^{-1}(u) d u C_{1}+C_{2}\right)
$$

with suitable constant tensors $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Evaluating and differentiating this identity at $t=0$ yields $C_{2}=0$ and $C_{1}=\mathrm{id}$, finishing the proof of (i). The statement (ii) can be found in [Kn2, Lemma 2.3].

Proposition 2.2. Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1). Then there exist constants $a, \rho>0$ such that

$$
\left\|A_{v}(t) x\right\| \geq a e^{\frac{\rho}{2} t}\|x\|
$$

for all $v \in S X, x \in v^{\perp} \subset T X$ and $t \geq 1$.
Proof. We conclude from [KnPe2, Thm. 1.3] that there exists $\rho>0$ such that $D(v)=U(v)-S(v) \geq \rho \cdot$ id. Using $[\mathrm{KnPe2}$, Prop. 2.5] and the fact that $S_{v}(t)$ is non-singular for $t \geq 0$, we conclude that there exists $a_{2}>0$ such that $\left\|S_{v}^{-1}(t) y\right\| \geq \frac{1}{a_{2}} e^{\frac{\rho}{2} t}\|y\|$ for all $y \in\left(\Phi^{t} v\right)^{\perp}$, where $\Phi^{t}: S X \rightarrow S X$ denotes the geodesic flow. Using $S_{\Phi^{t} w}(u) y_{u}=S_{w}(u+t)\left(S_{w}^{-1}(t) y\right)_{u}$ (where $y_{u}$ is the parallel translation of $y \in\left(\Phi^{t} w\right)^{\perp}$ along $\left.c_{v}\right)$ with $u=-t$ and $-v=\Phi^{t} w$ yields

$$
\left\|U_{v}(t) y\right\|=\left\|S_{-v}(-t) y\right\|=\left\|S_{-\Phi^{t} v}^{-1}(t) y_{t}\right\| \geq \frac{1}{a_{2}} e^{\frac{\rho}{2} t}\|y\| .
$$

Lemma 2.1 yields

$$
\left\|A_{v}(t)\left(U_{v}^{\prime}(0)-S_{v, t}^{\prime}(0)\right) y\right\|=\left\|U_{v}(t) y\right\| \geq \frac{1}{a_{2}} e^{\frac{\rho}{2} t}\|y\|,
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left\|A_{v}(t) x\right\| \geq \frac{1}{a_{2}\left\|U_{v}^{\prime}(0)-S_{v, t}^{\prime}(0)\right\|} e^{\frac{\rho}{2} t}\|x\| \geq{\frac{1}{a_{2}\left(\left\|U_{v}^{\prime}(0)\right\|+\left\|S_{v, t}^{\prime}(0)\right\|\right)}}^{e^{\frac{\rho}{2} t}\|x\| .}
$$

The proposition follows now from $\left\|U_{v}^{\prime}(0)\right\| \leq \sqrt{R_{0}}$ and

$$
\left\|S_{v, t}^{\prime}(0)\right\|=\left\|A_{v}^{\prime}(t) A_{v}^{-1}(t)\right\| \leq \sqrt{R_{0}} \operatorname{coth}\left(\sqrt{R_{0}}\right),
$$

which can be found in $[\mathrm{KnPe2}$, Lem. 2.2]
Using this we derive the uniform divergence of geodesics described above.

Corollary 2.3. Let $c_{v}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ and $c_{w}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be two geodesics with $v, w \in S_{p} X$. Then

$$
d\left(c_{v}(t), c_{w}(t)\right) \geq a(t) \angle(v, w)
$$

where $a:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a function (not depending on $p \in X$ ) with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t)=\infty$.

Proof. Let $c:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ be a geodesic connecting $c_{v}(t)$ with $c_{w}(t)$. Then $c$ is given by

$$
c(s)=\exp _{p} r(s) v(s)
$$

where $v(s) \in S_{p} X$ and $r(s)>0$ for all $0 \leq s \leq 1$, and $v(0)=v, v(1)=w$ and $r(0)=r(1)=t$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) & =D \exp _{p}\left(r\left(s_{0}\right) v\left(s_{0}\right)\right)\left(r^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) v\left(s_{0}\right)+r\left(s_{0}\right) v^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =r^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right) c_{v\left(s_{0}\right)}^{\prime}\left(r\left(s_{0}\right)\right)+A_{v\left(s_{0}\right)}\left(r\left(s_{0}\right)\right)\left(v^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $c_{v\left(s_{0}\right)}^{\prime}\left(r\left(s_{0}\right)\right) \perp A_{v\left(s_{0}\right)}\left(r\left(s_{0}\right)\right)\left(v^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|c^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} & =\left(r^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right)^{2}+\left\|A_{v\left(s_{0}\right)}\left(r\left(s_{0}\right)\right) v^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \geq\left\|A_{v\left(s_{0}\right)}\left(r\left(s_{0}\right)\right) v^{\prime}\left(s_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If there exists $s_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that $r\left(s_{0}\right) \leq \frac{t}{2}$ then using the triangle inequality we have $d\left(c_{v}(t), c_{w}(t)\right) \geq t \geq(t / \pi) \angle(v, w)$. If this is not the case, we obtain for all $t>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(c_{v}(t), c_{w}(t)\right)=\text { length }(c) & \geq \int_{0}^{1}\left\|A_{v(s)}(r(s)) v^{\prime}(s)\right\| d s \\
& \geq a e^{\frac{\rho}{4} t} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|v^{\prime}(s)\right\| d s \\
& \geq a e^{\frac{\rho}{4} t} \angle(v, w)
\end{aligned}
$$

The corollary follows now with the choice

$$
a(t)=\min \left\{\frac{t}{\pi}, a e^{\frac{\rho}{4} t}\right\}
$$

Remark. Note that the proof shows that the function $a(t)$ describing the divergence of geodesics has at least linear growth.

## 3. The geometric compactification

Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1). The geometric boundary $X(\infty)$ is the set of equivalence classes of asymptotic geodesic rays. Two geodesic rays $c_{1}, c_{2}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ are called asymptotic, if there exists $C>0$ with $d\left(c_{1}(t), c_{2}(t)\right) \leq C$ for all $t \geq 0$. The equivalence class of a geodesic ray $c$ is denoted by $c(\infty)$.

Let $p \in X$ and consider the $\operatorname{map} \varphi_{p}: S_{p} X \rightarrow X(\infty)$ with $\varphi_{p}(v)=c_{v}(\infty)$. Uniform divergence of geodesics implies that $\varphi_{p}$ is injective. Our next aim is to prove surjectivity of $\varphi_{p}$. For this, we first prove general results which
will also be useful later on. The first result requires besides no conjugate points only a lower bound on the sectional curvature of $X$.
Proposition 3.1. Let $p, q_{0}, p_{0} \in X$ be three different points such that $1<$ $r=d\left(p, p_{0}\right)=d\left(q_{0}, p_{0}\right)$ and $d\left(p, q_{0}\right)<r-1$. Let $\beta$ be the radial projection (from $p_{0}$ ) of the geodesic connecting $p$ and $q_{0}$ into $S_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)$. Then there is a function $b: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
d_{S_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)}\left(p, q_{0}\right) \leq \operatorname{length}(\beta) \leq\left(\max _{|s| \leq r} b(s)\right) d\left(p, q_{0}\right),
$$

where $d_{S_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)}$ is the intrinsic distance of the sphere $S_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\gamma:\left[0, d\left(p, q_{0}\right)\right] \rightarrow X$ be the geodesic connecting $p$ and $q_{0}$. We first write $\gamma$ and $\beta$ in polar coordinates, i.e.,

$$
\gamma(t)=\exp _{p_{0}}(d(t) v(t)), \quad \beta(t)=\exp _{p_{0}}(r v(t))
$$

with $d(t)=d\left(p_{0}, \gamma(t)\right)>1$ and $v:\left[0, d\left(p, q_{0}\right)\right] \rightarrow S_{p_{0}} X$. Then we have

$$
\gamma^{\prime}(t)=d^{\prime}(t) c_{v(t)}^{\prime}(d(t))+A_{v(t)}(d(t))\left(v^{\prime}(t)\right)
$$

and $\beta^{\prime}(t)=A_{v(t)}(r)\left(v^{\prime}(t)\right)$. Note that the lower bound on sectional curvature yields the existence of a function $b: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that for all $v \in S X$ and $r \geq 1$ we have $\left\|S_{v, r}(t)\right\| \leq b(t)$ (see proof of Lemma 2.16 in (Kn1). Using $A_{v}(r) A_{v}^{-1}(x)=S_{v, x}(x-r)$ we therefore obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\beta^{\prime}(t)\right\| & =\left\|A_{v(t)}(r) v^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq\left\|A_{v(t)}(r) A_{v(t)}^{-1}(d(t))\right\| \cdot\left\|A_{v(t)}(d(t)) v^{\prime}(t)\right\| \\
& =\left\|S_{v(t), d(t)}(d(t)-r)\right\| \cdot\left\|A_{v(t)}(d(t)) v^{\prime}(t)\right\| \\
& \leq b(d(t)-r) \sqrt{\left\|A_{v(t)}(d(t)) v^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2}+\| d^{\prime}(t) c_{v(t)}^{\prime}\left(d(t) \|^{2}\right.} \\
& \leq\left(\max _{|s| \leq r} b(s)\right)\left\|\gamma^{\prime}(t)\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last inequality above follows from $r-d\left(p, q_{0}\right) \leq d(t)=d\left(p_{0}, \gamma(t)\right) \leq$ $r+d\left(p, q_{0}\right)$ and $d\left(p . q_{0}\right) \leq r$.

For the next result, we need to introduce for every $v \in S X$ and $r>0$ the function $b_{v, r}(p)=d\left(c_{v}(r), p\right)-r$ and the Busemann function $b_{v}(p)=$ $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} b_{v, r}(p)$. Since we also use a uniform bound on on the norm of Jacobi tensor $S_{v, r}(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $r \geq 1$ as has been derived in KnPe2, Cor. 2.6] we need the assumption on $X$ made at the beginning of this section.

Corollary 3.2. Let $p, q \in X, r>2 d(p, q)+1, v \in S_{p} X$ and $w=-\operatorname{grad} b_{v, r}(q) \in$ $S_{q} X$. Then there exists a constant $C=C(r)>0$ such that

$$
d\left(c_{v}(t), c_{w}(t)\right) \leq\left(1+2 C e^{-\frac{\rho}{2} t}\right) d(p, q) \quad \text { for all } 0 \leq t \leq r .
$$

Proof. Let $p_{0}=c_{v}(r), q_{0}=c_{w}\left(d\left(p_{0}, q\right)-r\right) \in S_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)$ and $w_{0}=c_{w}^{\prime}\left(d\left(p_{0}, q\right)-\right.$ $r)$. Then we have

$$
d\left(p, q_{0}\right) \leq d(p, q)+d\left(q, q_{0}\right) \leq 2 d(p, q)<r-1 .
$$

Let $\beta:[0,1] \rightarrow S_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)$ be the intrinsic geodesic in $S_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)$ connecting $p$ and $q_{0}$. Let $d_{p_{0}}(x)=d\left(p_{0}, x\right)$ and $N(x)=-\operatorname{grad} d_{p_{0}}(x)$ for $x \neq p_{0}$. Let
$\beta_{t}:[0,1] \rightarrow S_{r-t}\left(p_{0}\right)$ defined by $\beta_{t}(s)=c_{N(\beta(s))}(t)$ for $t \in[0, r)$. Then $\beta_{t}^{\prime}(s)=S_{N(\beta(s)), r}(t)\left(\beta^{\prime}(s)\right)_{t}$, which implies, using [KnPe2, Cor. 2.6],

$$
\left\|\beta_{t}^{\prime}(s)\right\| \leq\left\|S_{N(\beta(s)), r}(t)\right\| \cdot\left\|\beta^{\prime}(s)\right\| \leq a_{2} e^{-\frac{\rho}{2} t}\left\|\beta^{\prime}(s)\right\| .
$$

Consequently,

$$
d\left(c_{v}(t), c_{w_{0}}(t)\right) \leq \operatorname{length}\left(\beta_{t}\right) \leq a_{2} e^{-\frac{\rho}{2} t} d_{S_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)}\left(p, q_{0}\right) \leq C e^{-\frac{\rho}{2} t} d\left(p, q_{0}\right)
$$

with $C=a_{2} \max _{|s| \leq r} b(s)$, using Proposition 3.1. This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(c_{v}(t), c_{w}(t)\right) & \leq d\left(c_{v}(t), c_{w_{0}}(t)\right)+d\left(c_{w_{0}}(t), c_{w}(t)\right) \\
& \leq C e^{-\frac{\rho}{2} t} d\left(p, q_{0}\right)+d\left(q, q_{0}\right) \\
& \leq\left(1+2 C e^{-\frac{\rho}{2} t}\right) d(p, q)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we prove surjectivity of $\varphi_{p}$ : Let $c:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ be a geodesic ray with $w=c^{\prime}(0) \in S_{q} X$. Let $v=-\operatorname{grad} b_{w}(p) \in S_{p} X$. Then $c_{v}$ is asymptotic to $c_{w}$ by Corollary 3.2 with $r=\infty$. Therefore $\varphi_{p}(v)=c(\infty)$ and $\varphi_{p}$ is surjective.

We define $\bar{X}=X \cup X(\infty)$ and introduce for every $p \in X$ the following bijective map $\bar{\varphi}_{p}: \overline{B_{1}(p)} \rightarrow \bar{X}$, where $\overline{B_{1}(p)} \subset T_{p} X$ is the closed ball of radius 1:

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{p}(v)= \begin{cases}\varphi_{p}(v) & \text { if }\|v\|=1 \\ \exp _{p}\left(\frac{1}{1-\|v\|} v\right) & \text { if }\|v\|<1\end{cases}
$$

We define a topology on $\bar{X}$ such that the bijective map $\bar{\varphi}_{p}: \overline{B_{1}(p)} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ is a homeomorphism. Next we show that this topology on $\bar{X}$ does not depend on the reference point $p$. For that we need to show that $\bar{\varphi}_{p, q}=\bar{\varphi}_{q}^{-1} \circ \bar{\varphi}_{p}$ : $\overline{B_{1}(p)} \rightarrow \overline{B_{1}(q)}$ is a homeomorphism.

For the continuity of $\bar{\varphi}_{p, q}$ note first that

$$
\bar{\varphi}_{p, q}(v)= \begin{cases}-\operatorname{grad} b_{v}(q) & \text { if }\|v\|=1 \\ \exp _{q}^{-1}\left(\exp _{p}\left(\frac{1}{1-\|v\|} v\right)\right) & \text { if }\|v\|<1\end{cases}
$$

Let $v_{n} \in \overline{B_{1}(p)}$ such that $v_{n} \rightarrow v \in \overline{B_{1}(p)}$. If $\|v\|<1$, the continuity of $\bar{\varphi}_{p, q}$ at $v$ follows from the continuity of the exponential maps. If $\|v\|=1$, it suffices to consider two cases: in the first case we have $0 \neq\left\|v_{n}\right\|<1$ for all $n$ and $\left\|v_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 1$, and in the second case we have $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=1$ for all $n$. We present the prove of the first case, the second case goes analogously: Note that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp _{q}^{-1}\left(\exp _{p}\left(\frac{1}{1-\left\|v_{n}\right\|} v_{n}\right)\right)= \\
& \quad-\frac{d\left(q, c_{v_{n}}\left(f\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right)\right)\right.}{1+d\left(q, c_{v_{n}}\left(f\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right)\right)\right.} \operatorname{grad} b \frac{v_{n}, f\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right)}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|}(q)=w_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f(x)=\frac{x}{1-x}$. We need to show that $w_{n} \rightarrow-\operatorname{grad} b_{v}(q)$. Choose a convergent subsequence $w_{n_{j}} \in S_{q} X$ with limit $w \in S_{q} X$. Then there exists a constant $a>0$ such that for all sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
d\left(c_{v_{n}}(t), c_{w_{n}}(t)\right) \leq a \quad \text { for all } 0 \leq t \leq f\left(\left\|v_{n}\right\|\right)=r_{n}
$$

by Corollary 3.2. Note that $r_{n} \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that

$$
d\left(c_{v}(t), c_{w}(t)\right) \leq a \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0
$$

i.e., $c_{v}$ and $c_{w}$ are asymptotic geodesic rays. By Corollary 3.2, $c_{v}$ and $c_{-\operatorname{grad}_{v}(q)}$ are also asymptotic. Therefore, by the injectivity of $\varphi_{q}$, we have $w=-\operatorname{grad}_{v}(q)$. This finishes the proof that $\bar{\varphi}_{p, q}$ is a homeomorphism.

This topology on $\bar{X}$ was first introduced for Hadamard manifolds by Eberlein-O'Neill [EON] and is called cone topology. The points in $X(\infty) \subset$ $\bar{X}$ are called points at infinity. Note that a sequence $x_{n} \in X$ converges in the cone topology to a point at infinity if and only if for every $p \in X$ we have $d\left(x_{n}, p\right) \rightarrow \infty$ and for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists $n(\epsilon)$ such that $\angle_{p}\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right)<\epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n(\epsilon)$. We write " $\angle_{p}\left(x_{n}, x_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ " for the latter.

## 4. Gromov hyperbolicity

We start this section by introducing the Gromov product.
Definition 4.1. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $x_{0} \in X$ a reference point. The Gromov product $(x \mid y)_{x_{0}}$ of $x, y \in X$ is defined as

$$
(x \mid y)_{x_{0}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d\left(x, x_{0}\right)+d\left(y, x_{0}\right)-d(x, y)\right)
$$

Note that the Gromov product $(x \mid y)_{x_{0}}$ is non-negative, by the triangle inequality. A metric space $(X, d)$ is called a geodesic space, if any two points $x, y \in X$ can be connected by a geodesic, i.e., if there exists a curve $\sigma_{x y}$ : $[0, d(x, y)] \rightarrow X$ connecting $x$ and $y$, such that $d\left(\sigma_{x y}(s), \sigma_{x y}(t)\right)=|t-s|$ for all $s, t \in[0, d(x, y)]$.

Definition 4.2. A geodesic space $(X, d)$ is called $\delta$-hyperbolic if every geodesic triangle $\Delta$ is $\delta$-thin, i.e., every side of $\Delta$ is contained in the union of the $\delta$-neighborhoods of the other two sides. If a geodesic space $(X, d)$ is $\delta$-hyperbolic for some $\delta \geq 0$, we call $(X, d)$ a Gromov hyperbolic space.

Let us recall the following two general results for Gromov hyperbolic spaces.
Proposition 4.3. (see [CDP, Chapter 1, Prop. 3.6]) Let $(X, d)$ be a $\delta$ hyperbolic space. Then we have for all $x_{0}, x, y, z \in X$ :

$$
(x \mid y)_{x_{0}} \geq \min \left\{(x \mid z)_{x_{0}},(y \mid z)_{x_{0}}\right\}-8 \delta .
$$

Proposition 4.4. (see [CDP, Chapter 3, Lem. 2.7]) Let $(X, d)$ be a $\delta$ hyperbolic space. Then we have for all $x_{0}, x, y \in X$ :

$$
(x \mid y)_{x_{0}} \leq d\left(x_{0}, \sigma_{x y}\right) \leq(x \mid y)_{x_{0}}+32 \delta .
$$

Now assume that $X$ is a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1) and, therefore, a Gromov hyperbolic space, by KnPe2, Thm. 1.5]. We show now that two sequences $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset X$ have the same limiting behavior at infinity in the cone topology if and only if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(x_{n} \mid y_{n}\right)_{p}=\infty .
$$

We note that condition $\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty}\left(x_{n} \mid x_{m}\right)_{p}=\infty$ is used for general Gromov hyperbolic space as a definition for convergence to infinity (see [BS, Section 2.2]).

Theorem 4.5. Let $X$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1). Let $p \in X$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $X$. The following are equivalent.
(a) We have $d\left(x_{n}, p\right), d\left(y_{n}, p\right) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\angle_{p}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(b) $\left(x_{n} \mid y_{n}\right)_{p} \rightarrow \infty$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. $(b) \Rightarrow(a): X$ is $\delta$-hyperbolic for some $\delta \geq 0$. Let $\left(x_{n} \mid y_{n}\right)_{p} \rightarrow \infty$. We know from Proposition 4.4 that $d\left(p, x_{n}\right), d\left(p, y_{n}\right) \geq\left(x_{n} \mid y_{n}\right)_{p}$, which shows that $d\left(p, x_{n}\right), d\left(p, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It remains to show that $\angle_{p}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ 0 . Let $U_{p x_{n}}, U_{p y_{n}}$ be $\delta$-tubes around the geodesic arcs $\sigma_{p x_{n}}$ and $\sigma_{p y_{n}}$. Then the geodesic $\sigma_{x_{n} y_{n}}$ must contain a point $p_{1} \in U_{p x_{n}} \cap U_{p y_{n}}$. We conclude from Proposition 4.4 that

$$
d\left(p_{1}, p\right) \geq d\left(\sigma_{x_{n} y_{n}}, p\right) \geq\left(x_{n} \mid y_{n}\right)_{p}
$$

Let $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ be the shortest curves connecting $p_{1}$ with $\sigma_{p x_{n}}$ and $\sigma_{p y_{n}}$ at the points $\hat{x}_{n}$ and $y_{n}^{\prime}$, see Figure 1] Then $d\left(p_{1}, \hat{x}_{n}\right), d\left(p_{1}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq \delta$, which implies $d\left(\hat{x}_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq 2 \delta$ and

$$
d\left(\hat{x}_{n}, p\right), d\left(y_{n}^{\prime}, p\right) \geq\left(x_{n} \mid y_{n}\right)_{p}-\delta
$$



Figure 1. Illustration of the proof of $(b) \Rightarrow(a)$ in Theorem 4.5
We assume, without loss of generality, that $d\left(\hat{x}_{n}, p\right) \geq d\left(y_{n}^{\prime}, p\right)$. Let $\hat{y}_{n} \in$ $\sigma_{p y_{n}}$ be such that $d\left(p, \hat{y}_{n}\right)=d\left(p, \hat{x}_{n}\right)$. This implies that

$$
d\left(\hat{x}_{n}, p\right)=d\left(\hat{y}_{n}, p\right) \geq\left(x_{n} \mid y_{n}\right)_{p}-\delta
$$

Since

$$
d\left(y_{n}^{\prime}, p\right) \leq d\left(\hat{y}_{n}, p\right)=d\left(\hat{x}_{n}, p\right) \leq d\left(y_{n}^{\prime}, p\right)+d\left(\hat{x}_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq d\left(y_{n}^{\prime}, p\right)+2 \delta
$$

and since $y_{n}^{\prime}, \hat{y}_{n}$ lie on the same geodesic arc $\sigma_{p y_{n}}$, we have $d\left(y_{n}^{\prime}, \hat{y}_{n}\right) \leq 2 \delta$. This implies that

$$
d\left(\hat{y}_{n}, \hat{x}_{n}\right) \leq d\left(y_{n}^{\prime}, \hat{x}_{n}\right)+d\left(\hat{y}_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) \leq 2 \delta+2 \delta=4 \delta
$$

Using Corollary 2.3, we conclude that

$$
4 \delta \geq \operatorname{length}\left(\sigma_{\hat{x}_{n} \hat{y}_{n}}\right) \geq a\left(d\left(\hat{x}_{n}, p\right)\right) \angle_{p}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
$$

Since $d\left(\hat{x}_{n}, p\right) \rightarrow \infty$, we also have $a\left(d\left(\hat{x}_{n}, p\right)\right) \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that $\angle_{p}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.
$(a) \Rightarrow(b)$ : Assume $\angle_{p}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $d\left(x_{n}, p\right), d\left(y_{n}, p\right) \rightarrow \infty$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. For all $R>0$, there exists $n_{0}(R) \geq 0$, such that for all $n \geq n_{0}(R)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(p, x_{n}\right), d\left(p, y_{n}\right) \geq R \quad \text { and } \quad d\left(c_{p x_{n}}(R), c_{p y_{n}}(R)\right) \leq 1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\angle_{p}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that the constant $n_{0}(R)$ does not depend on $p$, but only on the values $d\left(p, x_{n}\right), d\left(p, y_{n}\right)$ and $\angle_{p}\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, since $X$ has a uniform lower curvature bound.

We show now the following: The geodesic arc $\sigma_{x_{n} y_{n}}$ has empty intersection with the open ball $B_{R-\frac{1}{2}}(p)$ for all $n \geq n_{0}(R)$.

If $\sigma_{x_{n} y_{n}} \cap B_{R}(p)=\emptyset$, there is nothing to prove. If $\sigma_{x_{n} y_{n}} \cap B_{R}(p) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a first $t_{0}>0$ and a last $t_{1}>0$ such that

$$
q_{1}=\sigma_{x_{n} y_{n}}\left(t_{0}\right), q_{2}=\sigma_{x_{n} y_{n}}\left(t_{1}\right) \in S_{R}(p)
$$

where $S_{R}(p)$ denotes the sphere of radius $R>0$ around $p$ (see Figure 2). Then we have

$$
d\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=l\left(\sigma_{x_{n} y_{n}}\right)-d\left(x_{n}, q_{1}\right)-d\left(y_{n}, q_{2}\right)
$$



Figure 2. Illustration of the proof of $(a) \Rightarrow(b)$ in Theorem 4.5

Using (4.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
l\left(\sigma_{x_{n} y_{n}}\right) & \leq d\left(x_{n}, \sigma_{p x_{n}}(R)\right)+d\left(\sigma_{p x_{n}}(R), \sigma_{p y_{n}}(R)\right)+d\left(\sigma_{p y_{n}}(R), y_{n}\right) \\
& \leq d\left(x_{n}, \sigma_{p x_{n}}(R)\right)+d\left(y_{n}, \sigma_{p y_{n}}(R)\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \leq & \left(d\left(x_{n}, \sigma_{p x_{n}}(R)\right)-d\left(x_{n}, q_{1}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(d\left(y_{n}, \sigma_{p y_{n}}(R)\right)-d\left(y_{n}, q_{2}\right)\right)+1 . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $d\left(p, x_{n}\right)=R+d\left(\sigma_{p x_{n}}(R), x_{n}\right) \leq d\left(q_{1}, x_{n}\right)+R$ (by the triangle inequality), we obtain $d\left(x_{n}, q_{1}\right)-d\left(x_{n}, \sigma_{p x_{n}}(R)\right) \geq 0$, and similarly $d\left(y_{n}, q_{2}\right)-$ $d\left(y_{n}, \sigma_{p y_{n}}(R)\right) \geq 0$. This, together with (4.2) shows $d\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \leq 1$. But then the geodesic segment of $\sigma_{x_{n} y_{n}}$ between $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ cannot enter the ball $B_{R-\frac{1}{2}}(p)$.

Therefore, we have for all $n \geq n_{0}(R)$,

$$
R-\frac{1}{2} \leq d\left(p, \sigma_{x_{n} y_{n}}\right) \leq\left(x_{n} \mid y_{n}\right)_{p}+32 \delta,
$$

using Proposition 4.4. This shows that

$$
\left(x_{n} \mid y_{n}\right)_{p} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

## 5. Visibility measures and their Radon-Nykodym derivative

Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold of dimension $n$. The boundary $X(\infty) \subset \bar{X}$ is homeomorphic to the sphere $S^{n-1}$ and equipped with the relative topology of the cone topology. Moreover, we have a family of visibility measures $\left\{\mu_{p} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}(X(\infty))\right\}_{p \in X}$, which were introduced in Definition 1.3. We will see that any two visibility measures $\mu_{p}, \mu_{q} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}(X(\infty))$ are absolutely continuous, by calculating their RadonNykodym derivative via a limiting process. Similar calculations were carried out in CaSam, Section 6.1] for asymptotically harmonic manifolds with pinched negative curvature.
Lemma 5.1. For all $p, q \in X$ there exists $t(p, q)>0$ such that for all $t \geq$ $t(p, q)$ and all $v \in S_{q} X$ the geodesic ray $c_{v}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ intersects $S_{t}(p)$ in a unique point $F_{t}(v)$ (see Figure [3). In particular, the map $F_{t}: S_{q} X \rightarrow S_{t}(p)$ is bijective.

Proof. Let $a(t)$ be as in Corollary 2.3. Choose $t_{0}$ such that for all $t \geq t_{0}$ we have $2 d(p, q) \leq a(t)$. Define

$$
t(p, q)=\max \left\{d(p, q)+1, t_{0}\right\} .
$$

In particular, $q$ lies in the ball of radius $t$ around $p$, for all $t \geq t(p, q)$, and hence for all $v \in S_{q} X$ the geodesic ray $c_{v}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ intersects $S_{t}(p)$. Let $t \geq t(p, q)$, and assume that $q^{\prime}=c_{v}\left(t_{1}\right)$ is an intersection point of $c_{v}([0, \infty))$ and $S_{t}(p)$ such that $c_{v}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)$ is either pointing into $B_{t}(p)$ or is tangent to $S_{t}(p)$, i.e.,

$$
\angle\left(c_{v}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right), c_{w}^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq \pi / 2,
$$



Figure 3. Illustration of the map $F_{t}: S_{q} X \rightarrow S_{t}(p)$
where $w \in S_{p} X$ is the unique vector such that $c_{w}(t)=q^{\prime}$. Using the triangle inequality we obtain

$$
t-d(p, q) \leq t_{1} \leq t+d(p, q)
$$

Using Corollary 2.3, we obtain for all $s \geq 0$

$$
d\left(c_{v}\left(t_{1}-s\right), c_{w}(t-s)\right) \geq a(s) \pi / 2
$$

In particular for $s=t$ this yields

$$
a(t) \pi / 2 \leq d\left(c_{v}\left(t_{1}-t\right), p\right) \leq d\left(c_{v}\left(t_{1}-t\right), q\right)+d(q, p) \leq 2 d(p, q) \leq a(t)
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, a second intersection point between the geodesic ray $c_{v}([0, \infty))$ and $S_{t}(p)$ cannot occur.

Proposition 5.2. Let $(X, g)$ be a complete, simply connected noncompact manifold without conjugate points and $p, q \in X$. Consider the map $F_{t}$ : $S_{q} X \rightarrow S_{t}(p)$, where $F_{t}(v)$ is the first intersection point of the geodesic ray $c_{v}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ with $S_{t}(p)$. If $q$ is contained in the ball of radius $t$ about $p$, this map is well defined. Then the Jacobian of $F_{t}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Jac} F_{t}(v)=\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{v}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right)}{\left\langle N_{p}\left(F_{t}(v)\right), N_{q}\left(F_{t}(v)\right)\right\rangle} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{x}(y)=\left(\operatorname{grad} d_{x}\right)(y)$ and $d_{x}(y)=d(x, y)$.
Note that (5.1) agrees with [aSam, (6.3)]. For convenience of the readers, we provide our own proof of this formula.

Proof. Choose a curve $\gamma:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow S_{q} X$ with $\gamma(0)=v \in S_{q} X$. Then

$$
F_{t}(\gamma(s))=\exp _{q}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(\gamma(s))\right) \cdot \gamma(s)\right)
$$

and, using the chain rule and the product rule,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D F_{t}(v)\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)= \\
& \quad D \exp _{q}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right) \cdot v\right)\left(\left\langle N_{q}\left(F_{t}(v)\right), D F_{t}(v) \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle v+d\left(q, F_{\gamma}(v)\right) \cdot \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\gamma^{\prime}(0) \perp v$. We have

$$
D \exp _{q}(t v)(t w)=Y(t)(w)=J(t)
$$

where $Y$ is the Jacobi tensor along $c_{v}$ with $Y(0)=0$ and $Y^{\prime}(0)=\mathrm{id}$, and therefore $J$ is a Jacobi field along $c$ satisfying $J(0)=0$ and $J^{\prime}(0)=w$. Note that $Y$ and $A_{v}$ are related by $A_{v}=\left.Y\right|_{\left(c_{v}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}}$. In particular, we have $D \exp _{q}(t v)(t v)=t c_{v}^{\prime}(t)$. This yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D F_{t}(v)\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) \\
& \quad=\left\langle N_{q}\left(F_{t}(v)\right), D F_{t}(v) \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle c_{v}^{\prime}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right)+A_{v}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right)\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& D F_{t}(v)\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right)=  \tag{5.2}\\
& \quad\left\langle N_{q}\left(F_{t}(v)\right), D F_{t}(v) \gamma^{\prime}(0)\right\rangle N_{q}\left(F_{t}(v)\right)+A_{v}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right)\left(\gamma^{\prime}(0)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we introduce the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{x}: N_{p}(x)^{\perp} & \rightarrow N_{q}(x)^{\perp}, \\
L_{x}(w) & =w-\left\langle w, N_{q}(x)\right\rangle N_{q}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (5.2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{F_{t}(v)} \circ D F_{t}(v)=A_{v}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To finish the proof of the above Proposition, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 5.3. Jac $L_{x}=\left|\left\langle N_{p}(x), N_{q}(x)\right\rangle\right|$.
Proof. Consider

$$
N_{p}(x)^{\perp} \cap N_{q}(x)^{\perp}=\left\{w \in T_{x} X \mid\left\langle w, N_{p}(x)\right\rangle=0 \text { and }\left\langle w, N_{q}(x)\right\rangle=0\right\} .
$$

Then $N_{p}(x)^{\perp} \cap N_{q}(x)^{\perp}$ has co-dimension one in $N_{p}(x)^{\perp}$ and $L_{x}$ is the identity on $N_{p}(x)^{\perp} \cap N_{q}(x)^{\perp}$. Let

$$
w_{0}=N_{q}(x)-\left\langle N_{q}(x), N_{p}(x)\right\rangle N_{p}(x) \in N_{p}(x)^{\perp} .
$$

The vector $w_{0}$ is orthogonal to $N_{p}(x)^{\perp} \cap N_{q}(x)^{\perp}$ since for all $w \in N_{p}(x)^{\perp} \cap$ $N_{q}(x)^{\perp}$ we have $\left\langle w, N_{p}(x)\right\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle w, N_{q}(x)\right\rangle=0$, and therefore

$$
\left\langle w, w_{0}\right\rangle=\langle\underbrace{w, N_{q}(x)}_{=0}\rangle-\left\langle N_{q}(x), N_{p}(x)\right\rangle\langle\underbrace{w, N_{p}(x)}_{=0}\rangle=0 .
$$

Moreover, $L_{x} w_{0}$ is also orthogonal to $N_{p}(x)^{\perp} \cap N_{q}(x)^{\perp}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{x} w_{0} & =w_{0}-\left\langle w_{0}, N_{q}(x)\right\rangle N_{q}(x) \\
& =\left\langle N_{p}(x), N_{q}(x)\right\rangle\left(\left\langle N_{p}(x), N_{q}(x)\right\rangle N_{q}(x)-N_{p}(x)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently $\left\langle w, L_{x} w_{0}\right\rangle=0$ for all $w$ satisfying $\left\langle w, N_{p}(x)\right\rangle=\left\langle w, N_{q}(x)\right\rangle=$ 0 . This shows that

$$
\mathrm{Jac} L_{x}=\frac{\left\|L_{x} w_{0}\right\|}{\left\|w_{0}\right\|}
$$

Since

$$
\left\|L_{x} w_{0}\right\|^{2}=\left\langle N_{p}(x), N_{q}(x)\right\rangle^{2}\left(1-\left\langle N_{p}(x), N_{q}(x)\right\rangle^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left\|w_{0}\right\|^{2}=1-\left\langle N_{p}(x), N_{q}(x)\right\rangle^{2},
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Jac} L_{x} & =\left(\frac{\left\langle N_{p}(x), N_{q}(x)\right\rangle^{2}\left(1-\left\langle N_{p}(x), N_{q}(x)\right\rangle^{2}\right)}{1-\left\langle N_{p}(x), N_{q}(x)\right\rangle^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left|\left\langle N_{p}(x), N_{q}(x)\right\rangle\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields the lemma.
Finally, (5.3) implies that

$$
\operatorname{Jac} F_{t}(v)=\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{v}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Jac} L_{F_{t}}(v)}=\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{v}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right)}{\left\langle N_{p}\left(F_{t}(v)\right), N_{q}\left(F_{t}(v)\right)\right\rangle}
$$

finishing the proof of the proposition.


Figure 4. Illustration of the map $B_{t}: S_{q} X \rightarrow S_{p} X$

Corollary 5.4. Let $(X, g)$ be a complete, simply connected noncompact manifold without conjugate points and $p, q \in X$. Let $B_{t}: S_{q} X \rightarrow S_{p} X, v \mapsto$ $\frac{1}{t} \exp _{p}^{-1} \circ F_{t}(v)$ (see Figure 4). Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Jac} B_{t}(v)=\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{v}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right)}{\operatorname{det} A_{u}(t)} \cdot \frac{1}{\left\langle N_{p}\left(F_{t}(v)\right), N_{q}\left(F_{t}(v)\right)\right\rangle}
$$

where $u=B_{t}(v)$.
Proof. Let $u \in S_{p} X$. Then $D \exp _{p}(t u): u^{\perp} \rightarrow T_{\exp _{p}(t u)} S_{t}(p)$ is given by $D \exp _{p}(t u)(w)=\frac{1}{t} A_{u}(t)(w)$, and therefore with $u=B_{t}(v)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Jac} B_{t}(v) & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A_{u}(t)} \cdot \operatorname{Jac} F_{t}(v) \\
& =\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{v}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right)}{\operatorname{det} A_{u}(t)} \cdot \frac{1}{\left\langle N_{p}\left(F_{t}(v)\right), N_{q}\left(F_{t}(v)\right)\right\rangle}
\end{aligned}
$$

From now on, $(X, g)$ denotes a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1) with $n=\operatorname{dim}(X)$. Let $f \in C(X(\infty))$. We know from Lemma 5.1 that $B_{t}: S_{q} X \rightarrow S_{p} X$ is a bijection, for $t>0$ large enough. Then we have with $f_{1}=f \circ \varphi_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) d \mu_{p}(\xi) & =\frac{1}{\omega_{n}} \int_{S_{p} X} f_{1}(w) d \theta_{p}(w) \\
& =\frac{1}{\omega_{n}} \int_{S_{q} X}\left(f_{1} \circ B_{t}\right)(v)\left(\operatorname{Jac} B_{t}\right)(v) d \theta_{q}(v)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will show that
(i) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} B_{t}=\left(\varphi_{p}\right)^{-1} \circ \varphi_{q}$,
(ii) There exist constants $t_{0}>0$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|\operatorname{Jac} B_{t}(v)\right| \leq C \quad \forall v \in S_{q} X, t \geq t_{0}
$$

(iii) We have, for all $v \in S_{q} X$,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Jac} B_{t}(v)=e^{-h b_{v}(p)}
$$

where $b_{v}$ is the Busemann function introduced in (1.2). Having these facts, we conclude with Lebesgue's dominated convergence that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) d \mu_{p}(\xi) & =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_{n}} \int_{S_{q} X}\left(f_{1} \circ B_{t}\right)(v)\left(\operatorname{Jac} B_{t}\right)(v) d \theta_{q}(v) \\
& =\frac{1}{\omega_{n}} \int_{S_{q} X}\left(f \circ \varphi_{q}\right)(v) e^{-h b_{v}(p)} d \theta_{q}(v) \\
& =\int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) e^{-h b_{q, \xi}(p)} d \mu_{q}(\xi)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $b_{q, \xi}=b_{v}$ with $\xi=c_{v}(\infty)$ and $v \in S_{q} X$. This proves Theorem 1.4 from the Introduction:

Theorem 1.4. Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1). Let $\left(\mu_{p}\right)_{p \in X}$ be the associated family of visibility measures. Then these measures are pairwise absolutely continuous and we have

$$
\frac{d \mu_{p}}{d \mu_{q}}(\xi)=e^{-h b_{q, \xi}(p)}
$$

It remains to prove properties (i), (ii) and (iii) listed above.
Proof of (i): Let $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $s_{n} \geq 0, w_{n}=B_{t_{n}}(v) \in S_{p} X$ such that $y_{n}=\exp _{q}\left(s_{n} v\right)=\exp _{p}\left(t_{n} w_{n}\right)$. We obviously have $s_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ and $y_{n} \rightarrow \varphi_{q}(v)$. Let $w_{n_{j}}$ be a convergent subsequence of $w_{n}=B_{t_{n}}(v)$ with limit $w \in S_{p} X$. Then we have $y_{n_{j}} \rightarrow \varphi_{p}(w)$ and

$$
\varphi_{q}(v)=\varphi_{p}(w)
$$

This shows that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{t_{n}}(v)=\left(\varphi_{p}\right)^{-1} \circ \varphi_{q}(v)$.
For the proof of (ii), we need the following lemma:
Lemma 5.5. For every $\epsilon>0$, there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that we have for all $v \in S_{q} X$

$$
\left|\left\langle N_{p}\left(F_{t}(v)\right), N_{q}\left(F_{t}(v)\right)\right\rangle-1\right|<\epsilon \quad \forall t \geq t_{0}
$$

Proof. This is an easy consequence of Corollary 2.3.

Proof of (ii): We start with the formula (see [Kn2, p. 676])

$$
\operatorname{det} A_{v}(t)=\frac{\operatorname{det} U_{v}(t)}{\operatorname{det}\left(U_{v}^{\prime}(0)-S_{v, t}^{\prime}(0)\right)}=\frac{e^{h t}}{\operatorname{det}\left(U_{v}^{\prime}(0)-S_{v, t}^{\prime}(0)\right)}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{v}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right.}{\operatorname{det} A_{u_{t}}(t)}=\frac{e^{h d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)} \operatorname{det}\left(U_{u_{t}^{\prime}}(0)-S_{u_{t}, t}^{\prime}(0)\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(U_{v}^{\prime}(0)-S_{v, d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)}^{\prime}(0)\right) e^{h t}}
$$

where $u_{t}=B_{t}(v) \in S_{p} X$.
Let $\epsilon>0$ be chosen. Since $\operatorname{det}\left(U_{v}^{\prime}(0)-S_{v, t}^{\prime}(0)\right)$ converges monotonically to a universal constant $A>0$ (see [KnPe2, Theorem 1.3] and use the fact that $X$ is rank one), we conclude with Dini that the convergence is uniformly on compact sets. Therefore, there exists $t_{0} \geq 0$ such that $A \leq \operatorname{det}\left(U_{w}^{\prime}(0)-\right.$ $\left.S_{w, t}^{\prime}(0)\right) \leq A+\epsilon$ for all $w \in S_{p} X \cup S_{q} X$ and $t \geq t_{0}$. Using Lemma 5.5 and increasing $t_{0}>0$, if necesary, we can also assume that

$$
\left\langle N_{p}\left(F_{t}(v)\right), N_{q}\left(F_{t}(v)\right)\right\rangle \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$. Since $d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right) \leq t+d(p, q)$, we conclude from Corollary 5.4 for all $t \geq t_{0}$ and all $v \in S_{q} X$,

$$
\left|\operatorname{Jac} B_{t}(v)\right| \leq 2 \frac{A+\epsilon}{A} e^{h d(p, q)}
$$

Proof of (iii): This is a immediate consequence of Lemma 5.5 and the following Lemma:

Lemma 5.6. Using the notation above we have that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{det} A_{v}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right)}{\operatorname{det} A_{u_{t}}(t)}=e^{-h b_{v}(p)}
$$

where $u_{t}=B_{t}(v)$.
Proof. We recall that

$$
\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{v}\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)\right)}{\operatorname{det} A_{u_{t}}(t)}=e^{h\left(d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)-t\right)} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(U_{u_{t}^{\prime}}(0)-S_{u_{t}, t}^{\prime}(0)\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(U_{v}^{\prime}(0)-S_{v, d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)}^{\prime}(0)\right)}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(U_{u_{t}^{\prime}}(0)-S_{u_{t}, t}^{\prime}(0)\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(U_{v}^{\prime}(0)-S_{v, d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)}^{\prime}(0)\right)} \rightarrow \frac{A}{A}=1
$$

Now the lemma follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)-t & =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} d\left(q, F_{t}(v)\right)-d\left(p, F_{t}(v)\right) \\
& =\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} d\left(q, c_{v}(s)\right)-d\left(p, c_{v}(s)\right) \\
& =\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s-d\left(p, c_{v}(s)\right)=-b_{v}(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark Theorem 1.4 has an analogue for simply connected, noncompact harmonic manifolds ( $X, g$ ) without the rank one condition and replacing the geometric boundary $X(\infty)$ by the Busemann boundary (see KnPel, Theorem 12.6]). There, we have $\operatorname{det} A_{v}(t)=f(t)$ for all $v \in S X$, where $f(t)$ is the volume density function, and $f(t)$ is an exponential polynomial. Moreover, the uniform divergence of geodesics (Corollary (2.3) holds there without the rank one condition. These results are not known for general asymptotically harmonic manifolds.

## 6. Solution of the Dirichlet problem at infinity

Since rank one asymptotically harmonic manifolds $(X, g)$ satisfying (1.1) are Gromov hyperbolic with positive Cheeger constant (see $\mathrm{KnPe2}$ ), general results of Ancona yield that the Martin boundary and the geometric boundary coincide ([Anc2, Théorème 6.2]) and that the Dirichlet problem at infinity has a solution ( $(\mathrm{Anc2}$, Théorème 6.7]). In this section we give an alternative direct proof that the Dirichlet problem at infinity has a solution for these manifolds by providing a concrete integral formula of the solution using the visibility measures. Moreover, this shows that the visibility measures coincide with the harmonic measures on $X(\infty)$.

A crucial step for our result of this section is to show that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \xi} \mu_{x}=\delta_{\xi}$, where $\delta_{\xi}$ is the $\delta$-distribution at $\xi$. This abstract condition will follow from the next proposition. To state it, we introduce for $v_{0} \in S_{p} X$ and $\delta>0$ the cone

$$
C\left(v_{0}, \delta\right)=\left\{c_{v}(t) \mid t \in[0, \infty], \angle\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq \delta\right\} .
$$

Note that the set of all truncated cones $C\left(v_{0}, \delta\right) \cap B_{R}(p)^{c}$ together with all open balls $B_{r}(q)$ define a basis of the cone topology of the geometric compactification $\bar{X}$.

Proposition 6.1. Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1). Let $p \in X$ and $\delta>0$. Then there exists a constant $C_{1}=C_{1}(\delta)>0$ such that for all $v \in S_{p} X$

$$
b_{v}(q) \geq d(p, q)-C_{1} \quad \text { for all } q \in X \backslash C(v, \delta) \text {. }
$$

Proof. Let $p \in X$ and $\delta>0$ be given. Then there exists a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq 2\left(c_{v}(t) \mid q\right)_{p} \leq C_{1} \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in S_{p} X \quad \forall q \in X \backslash C(v, \delta), \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\cdot \mid)_{p}$ is the Gromov product introduced in Definition 4.1. If this were false, then we could find sequences $t_{n} \geq 0, v_{n} \in S_{p} X$ and $q_{n} \in X \backslash C\left(v_{n}, \delta\right)$ such that

$$
\left(c_{v_{n}}\left(t_{n}\right) \mid q_{n}\right)_{p} \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Let $q_{n}=c_{w_{n}}\left(r_{n}\right)$ with $w_{n} \in S_{p} X$ and $r_{n}=d\left(q_{n}, p\right)$. This would mean, by Theorem 4.5, that $d\left(p, q_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\angle_{p}\left(v_{n}, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, which is a contradiction to $q_{n} \in X \backslash C\left(v_{n}, \delta\right)$.
(6.1) means that

$$
d(p, q)-\left(d\left(c_{v}(t), q\right)-t\right) \leq C_{1} \quad \forall t \geq 0 .
$$

Taking the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
d(p, q)-b_{v}(q)=d(p, q)-\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(d\left(c_{v}(t), q\right)-t\right) \leq C_{1},
$$

finishing the proof.
REmARK The statement of the proposition includes the fact that any horoball $\mathcal{H}$, centered at $\xi=c_{v}(\infty) \in X(\infty)$, ends up inside any given cone $C(v, \delta)$, when being translated to a horoball $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ along the stable direction (see the illustration in Figure (5). (Note that the horoballs centered at $\xi$ can be described by $\left\{q \in X \mid b_{v}(q) \leq-C\right\}$, and that these horoballs become smaller and shrink towards the limit point $\xi$, as $C \in \mathbb{R}$ increases to infinity.)


Figure 5. Geometric property necessary for the solution of the Dirichlet problem at infinity

REmARK Proposition 6.1 does not hold if $(X, g)$ is the Euclidean space. In this case, every horoball is a halfspace, which lies never inside a given cone.

Now we state our main result of this section, namely, the solution of the Dirichlet problem at infinity for rank one asymptotically harmonic manifolds satisfying (1.1) via an explicit integral formula involving the visibility measures (see Theorem 1.5 from the Introduction).

Theorem 1.5. Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1). Let $f: X(\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Then there exists a unique harmonic function $H_{f}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow \xi} H_{f}(x)=f(\xi) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $H_{f}$ has the following integral presentation:

$$
H_{f}(x)=\int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) d \mu_{x}(\xi)
$$

where $\left\{\mu_{x}\right\}_{x \in X} \subset \mathcal{M}_{1}(X(\infty))$ are the visibility probability measures.

Proof. (a) We show first that $\int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) d \mu_{x}(\xi)$ is a harmonic function. Let $p \in X$. Then

$$
\Delta_{x} \int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) d \mu_{x}(\xi)=\Delta_{x} \int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) e^{-h b_{p, \xi}(x)} d \mu_{p}(\xi)
$$

Let $K \subset X$ be a compact set. Then $x \mapsto f(\xi) e^{-h b_{p, \xi}(x)}$ is bounded for all $x \in K$ and all $\xi \in X(\infty)$, because of $\left|b_{p, \xi}(x)\right| \leq d(p, x)$. Moreover $\Delta_{x} f(\xi) e^{-h b_{p, \xi}(x)}=0$ and $b_{p, \xi}(\cdot)$ is smooth, because of $\Delta_{x} b_{p, \xi}=h$. Therefore,

$$
\Delta_{x} \int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) d \mu_{x}(\xi)=\int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) \underbrace{\Delta_{x} e^{-h b_{p, \xi}(x)}}_{=0} d \mu_{p}(\xi)=0
$$

(b) Now we prove

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \xi_{0}} \int_{X(\infty)} f(\xi) d \mu_{x}(\xi)=f\left(\xi_{0}\right)
$$

Let $\xi_{0}=c_{v_{0}}(\infty)$ with $v_{0} \in S_{p} X$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $f\left(\xi_{0}\right)=0$ (by subtracting a constant if necessary). Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists $\delta>0$, such that

$$
\left|f\left(c_{v}(\infty)\right)\right| \leq \epsilon \quad \forall v \in S_{p} X \text { with } \angle_{p}\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq \delta
$$

We split the integral representing $H_{f}(x)$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{n}\left|H_{f}(x)\right| \leq\left|\int_{S_{p} X \backslash\left\{v \mid \angle\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq \delta\right\}} f\left(c_{v}(\infty)\right) e^{-h b_{v}(x)} d \theta_{p}(v)\right|+ \\
&\left|\int_{\left\{v \mid \angle\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq \delta\right\}} f\left(c_{v}(\infty)\right) e^{-h b_{v}(x)} d \theta_{p}(v)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, using Proposition 6.1, we obtain for all $x \in C\left(v_{0}, \delta / 2\right)$ and $C_{1}=$ $C_{1}(\delta / 2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{n}\left|H_{f}(x)\right| \leq\|f\|_{\infty} \int_{S_{p} X \backslash\left\{v \mid \angle\left(v_{0}, v\right) \leq \delta\right\}} e^{-h\left(d(p, x)-C_{1}\right)} d \theta_{p}(v)+ \\
& \epsilon \int_{\left\{v \mid \angle\left(v_{o}, v\right) \leq \delta\right\}} e^{-h b_{v}(x)} d \theta_{p}(v) \leq \\
& \|f\|_{\infty} \omega_{n} e^{h C_{1}} e^{-h d(p, x)}+\epsilon \underbrace{\int_{S_{p} X} e^{-h b_{v}(x)} d \theta_{p}(v)}_{=\int_{S_{x} X} d \theta_{x}(v)=\omega_{n}} \leq \\
& \omega_{n}\left(\epsilon+\|f\|_{\infty} e^{h C_{1}} e^{-h d(p, x)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $x_{n}=c_{v_{n}}\left(r_{n}\right)$ with $v_{n} \in S_{p} X$ and $r_{n} \geq 0$ be a sequence converging to $\xi_{0} \in X(\infty)$. Then we have $r_{n}=d\left(p, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\angle_{p}\left(v_{0}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\epsilon>0$ was arbitrary, the above estimate shows that

$$
H_{f}(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for } x \rightarrow \xi_{0}
$$

(c) Uniqueness of the solution follows from the maximum principle.

REmARK The above considerations show that rank one asymptotically harmonic manifolds ( $X, g$ ) with reference point $x_{0} \in X$ satisfying (1.1) admit Poisson kernels of the form $P(x, \xi)=e^{-h b_{x_{0}}, \xi(x)}$.

These Poisson kernels can be used to define a map $\varphi: X \ni x \rightarrow$ $P(x, \xi) d \mu_{x_{0}}(\xi) \in \mathcal{P}(X(\infty))$, where $\mathcal{P}(X(\infty))$ is the space of all probability measures on $\partial X$ which are absolutely continuous to $\mu_{x_{0}} . \mathcal{P}(X(\infty))$ carries a natural Riemannian metric $G$, called the Fisher-Information metric (see [Fr] or [ITSa1] for more details). The following was proved in ItSa1, Prop. 1] for homogeneous Hadamard manifolds of dimension $n$ : if $(X, g)$ admits Poisson kernels of the form $P(x, \xi)=e^{-c b_{x_{0}, \xi}(x)}$ with $c>0$, then the Poisson kernel map $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X(\infty))$ satisfies $\varphi^{*} G=\frac{c^{2}}{n} g$, i.e., that $\varphi$ is a homothety. Examples of such spaces are rank one symmetric spaces of non-compact type and Damek-Ricci spaces. Conversely, the following was shown in [ItSa2, Thm 1.3]: If $(X, g)$ is an $n$-dimensional Hadamard manifold admitting a Poisson kernel map $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X(\infty))$, which is both a homothety with constant $\frac{c^{2}}{n}, c>0$ and minimal, then $(X, g)$ is necessarily asymptotic harmonic with horospheres of mean curvature $c$. These results provide an interesting characterization of asymptotic harmonic manifolds via the Poisson kernel map.

## 7. Polynomial volume growth of horospheres

Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1). Let $W^{s}(v) \subset S X$ be a strong stable manifold through $v \in S X$. Its projection $\mathcal{H}_{v}=\pi W^{s}(v) \subset X$ is a horosphere orthogonal to $v$. Let $p=\pi(v)$. Consider a curve

$$
\beta:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{v}
$$

with length $(\beta) \leq r$. Let $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow W^{s}(v)$ be the lift of $\beta$ in the strong stable manifold and $\beta_{t}=\pi \Phi^{t} \gamma$, where $\Phi^{t}$ is the geodesic flow on $S X$. We conclude from [KnPe2, Corollary 2.6] that

$$
\text { length }\left(\beta_{t}\right) \leq a_{2} r e^{-\frac{\rho}{2} t}
$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Hence length $\left(\beta_{t}\right) \leq 1$ for all

$$
t \geq t_{0}:=\frac{2 \log \left(a_{2} r\right)}{\rho}
$$

Since the curvature of $X$ and the second fundamental form of horospheres are bounded, the Gauss equation implies that the sectional curvatures of horospheres are bounded, as well. Therefore, by the volume comparison theorem, any ball of radius 1 in any horosphere has an intrinsic volume bounded by some constant $A>0$ :

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{H}}\left(B_{1}(q)\right) \leq A \quad \forall \mathcal{H} \text { horospheres } \forall q \in \mathcal{H} .
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{H}_{v}}\left(B_{r}(p)\right) & \leq \operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{H}_{v}}\left(\Phi^{-t_{0}}\left(B_{1}\left(\pi \circ \Phi^{t_{0}}(v)\right)\right)\right) \\
& \leq e^{h t_{0}} \operatorname{vol}_{\mathcal{H}_{\Phi} t_{0}(v)}\left(B_{1}\left(\pi \circ \Phi_{t_{0}}(v)\right)\right) \leq A e^{h t_{0}}=A^{\prime} r^{\frac{2 h}{\rho}},
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 6. Contraction of the geodesic flow on stable horospheres
with $A^{\prime}=A a_{2}^{\frac{2 h}{\rho}}$. This proves that all horospheres have polynomial volume growth in ( $X, g$ ).

## 8. Horospherical means and bounded eigenfunctions

In this section, we are mainly concerned with horospherical means of bounded eigenfunctions on rank one asymptotically harmonic manifolds $X$ satisfying (1.11). Before we consider the special class of eigenfunctions, we first state a general result for all continuous functions on the geometric compactification $\bar{X}$. The underlying space is also more general than just rank one asymptotically harmonic manifolds.

Theorem 8.1. Let $(X, g)$ be a complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold without conjugate points of dimension $n$. Assume that the geometric compactification $\bar{X}=X \cup X(\infty)$ carries a topology such that the maps $\bar{\varphi}_{p}: \overline{B_{1}(p)} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ are homeomorphisms for all $p \in X$ (see Section 图 for details). Moreover, we assume that the following holds for every horosphere $\mathcal{H} \subset X:$
(a) We have $\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{H})=\infty$.
(b) For every ball $B_{r}(p)$ of radius $r>0$ around $p \in X$, we have

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(\mathcal{H} \cap B_{r}(p)\right)<\infty .
$$

(c) The closure of $\mathcal{H}$ in the geometric compactification $\bar{X}$ satisfies

$$
\overline{\mathcal{H}}=\mathcal{H} \cup\{\xi\},
$$

where $\xi \in X(\infty)$ is the center of $\mathcal{H}$.
Then we have for every horosphere $\mathcal{H} \subset X$ centered at $\xi \in X(\infty)$, every compact exhaustion $\left\{K_{j}\right\}$, and every continuous function $f: \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{K_{j}} f(x) d x}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}\right)}=f(\xi) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be centered at $\xi \in X(\infty)$ and $p_{0} \in X$. We show first indirectly that for every open neighbourhood $U \subset \bar{X}$ of $\xi$ there exists $R>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H} \subset B_{R}\left(p_{0}\right) \cup U \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that there exists $x_{n} \in \mathcal{H}$ with $x_{n} \notin U$ and $d\left(p_{0}, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$. Then, after choosing a subsequence if necessary, we have $x_{n} \rightarrow \xi^{\prime} \in X(\infty)$ with $\xi^{\prime} \neq \xi$. But this is ruled out by (c).

Let $\left\{K_{j}\right\}$ be a compact exhaustion and $\epsilon>0$ be given. Then there exists an open neighbourhood $U \subset \bar{X}$ of $\xi$ such that

$$
|f(q)-f(\xi)|<\epsilon \quad \text { for all } q \in U
$$

Let $R>0$ such that (8.2) is satisfied. Let $K_{j, 0}=K_{j} \cap B_{r}\left(p_{0}\right)$ and $K_{j, 1}=K_{j} \backslash K_{j, 0} \subset U$. Then (a) and (b) yield $1 / \operatorname{vol}\left(K_{j}\right) \int_{K_{j, 0}} f \rightarrow 0$ and $\operatorname{vol}\left(K_{j, 1}\right) / \operatorname{vol}\left(K_{j}\right) \rightarrow 1$, which imply

$$
f(\xi)-\epsilon \leq \liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{K_{j}} f(x) d x}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}\right)} \leq \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{K_{j}} f(x) d x}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}\right)} \leq f(\xi)+\epsilon
$$

This shows (8.1), since $\epsilon>0$ was arbitrary.
The following proposition states that Theorem 8.1 is applicable in our setting of rank one asymptotically harmonic manifolds.

Proposition 8.2. Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold of dimension $n$ satisfying (1.1). Then every horosphere $\mathcal{H} \subset X$ satisfies properties (a), (b), and (c) in Theorem 8.1.

Before we present the proof of the proposition, we first introduce some useful notation. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset X$ be a horosphere. Then there exists $p_{0} \in \mathcal{H}$ and $v \in S_{p_{0}} X$ such that $\mathcal{H}=b_{v}^{-1}(0)$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{t}=b_{v}^{-1}(t)$ and $\eta_{t}: X \rightarrow X$ be the flow associated to $\operatorname{grad} b_{v}$. Then $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{0}, \eta_{t}: \mathcal{H}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{t}$ and, for every $A \subset \mathcal{H}_{0}$ and $A(t)=\eta_{t}(A) \subset \mathcal{H}_{t}$ we have (see [PeSa, Prop. 3.1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(A(t))=e^{h t} \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(A) \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) Assume there is a horosphere $\mathcal{H} \subset X$ with $\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{H})<\infty$. Using the above notation associated to $\mathcal{H}$, we see that the horoball

$$
\mathcal{B}=\bigcup_{t \leq 0} \mathcal{H}_{t}=b_{v}^{-1}((-\infty, 0])
$$

must also be of finite volume, since

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{n}(\mathcal{B})=\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{h t} d t \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{H})=\frac{1}{h} \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(\mathcal{H})
$$

But $\mathcal{B}$ contains the balls $B_{r}\left(c_{v}(r)\right) \subset X$ with arbitrarily large radii $r>0$, whose volumes become arbitrarily large because of Proposition 2.2. This is a contradiction.
(b) Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a horosphere and $B_{r}(p) \subset X$ a ball. Let $A=\mathcal{H} \cap B_{r}(p)$ and assume that $\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(A)=\infty$. Let $A_{1}=\bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} A(t)$. Then we also have $\operatorname{vol}_{n}\left(A_{1}\right)=\infty$, by (8.3). But $A_{1} \subset B_{r+1}(p)$, and $B_{r+1}(p)$ has finite volume. This is, again, a contradiction.
(c) Since $\mathcal{H}=b_{v}^{-1}(0)$ is closed in $X$, we only need to show that $\mathcal{H}$ has no other accumuluation points in $X(\infty)$ other than $\xi$. We proceed indirectly.

Assume there exist $x_{n} \in \mathcal{H}$ with $d\left(p, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim x_{n}=\xi^{\prime} \in X(\infty)$ and $\xi^{\prime} \neq \xi$. Then we can find $\delta>0$ such that $\xi^{\prime}=c_{w}(\infty)$ for some $w \in S_{p_{0}} X$ with $\angle(w, v)>\delta$. Using the remark after Proposition 6.1, we know that there exists $s<0$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{s}=\eta_{s}(\mathcal{H}) \subset C(v, \delta)$. Let $x_{n}(s)=\eta_{s}\left(x_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{H}_{s}$. Since $d\left(x_{n}, x_{n}(s)\right)=s$, we still have $x_{n}(s) \rightarrow \xi^{\prime}$ and $x_{n}(s) \in \mathcal{H}_{s} \subset C(v, \delta)$ and, therefore, $\angle(w, v) \leq \delta$, which is a contradiction.

Next we prove the main result of this section for bounded eigenfunctions (see Theorem 1.6 in the Introduction). The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 in KP.

Theorem 1.6. Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold of dimension $n$ satisfying (1.1) and $h>0$ be the mean curvature of all horospheres. Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be a real number and $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ be a bounded function satisfying $\Delta f+\lambda f=0$ and $\mathcal{H} \subset X$ be a horosphere with isoperimetric exhaustion $\left\{K_{j}\right\}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{K_{j}} f(x) d x}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}\right)}=0 . \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

REMARK Since horospheres have polynomial volume growth, the intrinsic balls of suitably chosen increasing radii $r_{j}$ satisfy

$$
\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n-2}\left(\partial B_{\mathcal{H}}\left(r_{j}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(B_{\mathcal{H}}\left(r_{j}\right)\right)} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

A suitable choice of sets $K_{j}$ are regularized spheres, as explained in [KP, p. 665]. But there might be many more increasing sets satisfying this asymptotic isoperimetric property.

Proof. We give an indirect proof. Assume that (8.4) is not satisfied. Then we can assume - by replacing $\left\{K_{j}\right\}$ by a subsequence, if needed - that there exists $c \neq 0$ such that

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{K_{j}} f(x) d x}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}\right)}=c .
$$

Let $\eta_{t}: \mathcal{H}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{t}$ be again the flow defined above after Proposition 8.2, Let $K_{j}(t)=\eta_{t}\left(K_{j}\right) \subset \mathcal{H}_{t}$. Recall that we have

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}(t)\right)=e^{h t} \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}\right) .
$$

Since $X$ has a lower sectional curvature bound, there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{vol}_{n-2}\left(\partial K_{j}(t)\right) \leq e^{C|t|} \operatorname{vol}_{n-2}\left(\partial K_{j}\right) .
$$

This implies that, on every compact interval $I \subset[0, \infty)$, we have

$$
\left\|\frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n-2}\left(\partial K_{j}(\cdot)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}(\cdot)\right)}\right\|_{\infty, I} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } j \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Define

$$
g_{j}(t)=\frac{\int_{K_{j}(t)} f(x) d x}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}(t)\right)} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Since $\left\|g_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\|f\|_{\infty}$, using diagonal arguments, we find a subsequence $g_{j_{k}}$ such that $g_{j_{k}}(t) \rightarrow g(t)$, for all rational $t \in \mathbb{Q}$. Since $|\nabla f|$ is uniformly
bounded by Yau's gradient estimate [Yau, Theorem 3], $f$ is uniformly continuous and therefore, the sequence $g_{j_{k}}$ is equicontinuous. This implies that we have $g_{j_{k}} \rightarrow g$ pointwise to a continuous limit and $g(0)=c \neq 0$.

Next we show that $g$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime \prime}+h g^{\prime}+\lambda g=0, \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the distributional sense. Let $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be a test function. Then we have

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{j}(t)\left(\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-h \psi^{\prime}(t)+\lambda h\right) d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\int_{K_{j}(t)} f(x) d x}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}(t)\right)}\left(\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-h \psi^{\prime}(t)+\lambda \psi\right) d t .
$$

Let $\tilde{f}: \mathcal{H} \times(-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as $\tilde{f}(x, t):=f\left(\eta_{t}(x)\right)$. The tranformation formula yields:

$$
\int_{K_{j}(t)} f(x) d x=\int_{K_{j}} f \circ \eta_{t}(x) \overbrace{\operatorname{Jac} \eta_{t}(x)}^{e^{h t}} d x=e^{h t} \int_{K_{j}} \tilde{f}(x, t) d x .
$$

Therefore, we have $g_{j}(t)=1 / \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}\right) \int_{K_{j}} f\left(\eta_{t} x\right) d x$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{j}^{\prime \prime}(t)+h g_{j}^{\prime}(t)+\lambda g_{j} & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}\right)} \int_{K_{j}} \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} f\left(\eta_{t} x\right)+h \frac{d}{d t} f\left(\eta_{t} x\right)+\lambda f\left(\eta_{t} x\right) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}(t)\right)} \int_{K_{j}(t)} \underbrace{\Delta_{x} f(x)+\lambda f(x)}_{=0}-\Delta_{\mathcal{H}_{t}} f(x) d x \\
& =-\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}(t)\right)} \int_{K_{j}(t)} \Delta_{\mathcal{H}_{t}} f(x) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}(t)\right)} \int_{\partial K_{j}(t)}\left\langle\operatorname{grad}_{\mathcal{H}_{t}} f(x), \nu_{x}\right\rangle d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu_{x}$ denotes the outward unit vector of $\partial K_{j}(t) \subset \mathcal{H}_{t}$. Since supp $\psi \subset \mathbb{R}$ is compact, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{j}(t)\left(\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-h \psi^{\prime}(t)+\lambda \psi(t)\right) d t=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(g_{j}^{\prime \prime}(t)+h g_{j}^{\prime}(t)+\lambda g_{j}(t)\right) \psi(t) d t \\
=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}(t)\right)} \int_{\partial K_{j}(t)}\left\langle\operatorname{grad}_{\mathcal{H}(t)} f(x), \nu_{x}\right\rangle d x \psi(t) d t .
\end{array}
$$

Taking absolute value and using, again, Yau's gradient estimate Yau, Theorem 3], we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_{j}(t)\left(\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-h \psi^{\prime}(t)+\lambda \psi(t)\right) d t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{\operatorname{supp} \psi} \frac{\operatorname{vol}_{n-2}\left(\partial K_{j}(t)\right)}{\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}\left(K_{j}(t)\right)}\left\|\operatorname{grad}_{X} f\right\|_{\infty}\|\psi\|_{\infty} d t \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. By Lebesgue's dominated convergence, and since $\|g\|_{\infty},\left\|g_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leq$ $\|f\|_{\infty}$, we conclude that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)\left(\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)-h \psi^{\prime}(t)+\lambda \psi(t)\right) d t=0
$$

i.e., the continuous function $g$ satisfies (8.5) in the distributional sense. Therefore, $g$ is smooth and satisfies $g^{\prime \prime}+h g^{\prime}+\lambda g=0$ in the classical sense. This implies that $g$ is of the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=c_{1} e^{\left(-\frac{h}{2}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{2}-\lambda}\right) t}+c_{2} e^{\left(-\frac{h}{2}-\sqrt{\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{2}-\lambda}\right) t} \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\lambda \neq(h / 2)^{2}$ and

$$
g(t)=c_{1} e^{-\frac{h}{2} t}+c_{2} t e^{-\frac{h}{2} t}
$$

if $\lambda=(h / 2)^{2}$. It is straightforward to check for $\lambda \neq 0$ that every choice of $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$ leads to an unbounded function $g(t)$. But $g$ must be bounded because of $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq\|f\|_{\infty}$. Therefore we conclude that $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=(0,0)$ in contradiction to $g(0)=c \neq 0$, finishing the indirect proof.

Examples of bounded eigenfunctions. (a) Let $X$ be a rank one symmetric space of non-compact type and $M=X / \Gamma$ be a compact quotient. Then every non-constant $\Delta_{M}$-eigenfunction $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ gives rise to a bounded lift $\widetilde{f} \in C^{\infty}(X)$ which is also a $\Delta_{X}$-eigenfunction to the same eigenvalue. Since $\widetilde{f}$ is non-constant and $\Gamma$-periodic, it does not admit a continuous extension to the compacitification $\bar{X}$.
(b) Let $X^{(p, q)}$ be a Damek-Ricci space with $p, q$ defined as in [Rou. Then $X^{(p, q)}$ is an asymptotically harmonic manifold with $h=p / 2+q$ and there exist radial eigenfunctions $\varphi_{\mu} \in C^{\infty}\left(X^{(p, q)}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\Delta \varphi_{\mu}+\left(\mu^{2}+\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{2}\right) \varphi_{\mu}=0 \quad \text { and } \varphi_{\mu}(e)=1
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $e \in X^{(p, q)}$ denotes the neutral element in the Damek-Ricci space considered as a solvable group. If $0<i \mu<h / 2$, we have (see [Rou, p. 78])

$$
\varphi_{\mu}(r) \sim c(\mu) e^{(i \mu-h / 2) r} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
$$

with suitable constants $c(\mu) \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$. This means that $\varphi_{\mu}$ is a bounded eigenfunction with trivial continuous extension to the compactification $\overline{X^{(p, q)}}$.

Now we are in a position to prove our final result (see Theorem 1.7 in the Introduction) which states that the above examples are the only two possible cases with regards to continuous extensions of bounded eigenfunctions $f$ : either $f$ cannot be extended to $\bar{X}$ or the extension is trivial.

Theorem 1.7. Let $(X, g)$ be a rank one asymptotically harmonic manifold satisfying (1.1). Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ be an eigenfunction $\Delta f+$ $\lambda f=0$. If $f$ has a continuous extension $F \in C(\bar{X})$ then we have necessarily $\left.F\right|_{\partial X} \equiv 0$.

Proof. Assume that $\lambda \neq 0$ and that an eigenfunction $\Delta f+\lambda f=0$ has a continuous extension $F$ on the compactification $\bar{X}$. Then we know from Theorem 8.1 that all horospherical means of $f$ over horospheres centered at $\xi \in X(\infty)$ agree with $F(\xi)$. On the other hand, we conclude from Theorem 1.6 that all horospherical means with isoperimetric exhaustions have to vanish. Moreover, every horosphere in $X$ has polynomial volume growth and, therefore, admits isoperimetric exhaustions. This implies that $\left.F\right|_{\partial X} \equiv 0$.
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