Limits on pair production and multizone Comptonization: the broad-band X/$\gamma$-ray spectrum of XTE J1550–564 revisited
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Abstract
At high luminosities black hole binaries show spectra with a strong disc component accompanied by an equally strong tail where at least some of the electrons are non-thermal. We reanalyse the simultaneous ASCA–RXTE–OSSE data from the 1998 outburst of XTE J1550–564, which span 0.7–1000 keV and remain the best data available of a black hole binary in this state. We reassess the importance of electron–positron pair production using a realistically high value of the source compactness for the first time. The lack of an observable annihilation line together with the observed $\gamma$-ray flux beyond 511 keV constrains the maximum electron Lorentz factor to be $\leq 10$, and the slope of the injected electrons to $\leq 2.5$. We also use the fast (10–50 Hz) variability spectrum to constrain the spatial dependence of the electron heating and acceleration. We find that the spectrum of the fast variability is consistent with being fully thermal, so that the observed non-thermal emission is produced from further out in the flow.
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1 Introduction

The so-called very high state is perhaps the most complex and least understood spectral state of black hole binaries. It is a highly luminous state characterized by a strong blackbody component together with a very strong rather steep tail, typically starting at the peak of the disc component which can make these two components hard to separate from each other. The question of whether the disc in the very high state is truncated or not is a matter of debate. It is also not clear whether the strong signs of non-thermal Compton emission are the result of scattering off one single hybrid electron distribution, or if the thermal and non-thermal electrons come from different spatially separated regions of the flow. A popular idea of the possible configuration is that the thermal electrons are located close to the black hole in a region which may be the remains of the hot inner flow seen in the low/hard state, while the non-thermal electrons are located further out in the flow, perhaps accelerated by magnetic flares above the disc. To distinguish between a single-hybrid distribution and a thermal plus a non-thermal (or to be correct, one with purely non-thermal injection, see discussion in Section 3) is not trivial but is of importance for understanding the heating/acceleration processes involved.

Further, the persistent non-detection of any annihilation lines from Galactic black holes questions the importance of pair production in these sources, and is often used for assuming a strong upper limit on their compactness. While this may be justifiable in less luminous states, it is difficult to motivate in the very high state.

1.1 XTE J1550–564

XTE J1550–564 is a transient low-mass X-ray binary. It was discovered during an outburst in 1998 by the RXTE All-Sky Monitor (ASM; Smith 1998) and the CGRO Burst and Transient Source Experiment (BATSE; Wilson et al. 1998). A few days into the outburst a radio jet was observed (Hannikainen et al. 2001). The companion is a main-sequence star of type G–K, and the mass of the compact object has been estimated to 8.4–11.2 M⊙ (Orosz, Groot & van der Klis 2002), and it is thus considered to be a black hole. The source displays all the canonical spectral states typically associated with black hole binaries. The X-ray spectrum and its evolution has been studied extensively since its discovery.

In this paper, we reanalyse the simultaneous ASCA–RXTE–OSSE data from the 1998 outburst which spans 0.7–1000 keV and remain the best broad-band data of an X-ray binary in the very high state. Previous modelling of the spectrum has not been able to utilize it to the fullest extent due to issues with the ASCA–RXTE cross-calibration and lack of good ionized reflection models. For the
first time, we now fit the entire broad-band 0.7–1000 keV spectrum simultaneously.

We use additional information from the fast (10–50 Hz) variability in the form of frequency resolved spectroscopy. This is a very powerful tool to help breaking the inherent degeneracy of spectral modeling, used e.g. in the pioneering work by Churazov, Gilfanov & Revnivtsev (2001). The technique has however not been used much for this purpose. Recently, Axelsson, Hjalmarsdotter & Done (2013), hereafter AHD13, studied the PCA spectrum of XTE J1550–564 during the rise of the strong flare in 1998 and compared the spectrum of the continuum to that of the fast (10–50 Hz) variability. The difference that was found between the time averaged spectrum and the spectrum of the fast variability strongly supports spatially inhomogeneous Comptonization models where (at least) two electron distributions seem to be present at different distances from the black hole. In this paper, we develop this idea further and also attempt to separate the thermal and non-thermal distributions.

The broad-band data give us a good estimate of the source luminosity and compactness, which is high. This should lead to a non-negligible production of electron–positron pairs. The lack of an annihilation line in the OSSE data allows us to derive limits on pair production in this source that may be applicable to luminous black hole binaries in general.

2 DATA

2.1 PCA data continuum and frequency resolved spectra

We use the data from the plateau phase, approximately two weeks after the initial flare. For the PCA continuum data, we extracted Standard2 spectra for all eight observations between 1998 September 23 and October 6, applying standard selection criteria. As shown in Gierliński & Done (2003), hereafter GD03 (their fig. 2), the position of the source in a PCA colour–colour diagram during this time corresponds to the very high spectral state. (This state was also labelled the extreme very high state by Kubota & Done 2004, hereafter KD04, to separate it from a more disc dominated very high state also displayed by this source.) These were then coadded to create a total PCA spectrum with a total exposure time of 31 ks. A systematic error of 1 per cent was added to each bin. The energy band used for spectral modelling is 3–20 keV. To extract the spectrum of the fast variability, we follow the procedure described in Revnivtsev, Gilfanov & Churazov (1999) and Revnivtsev, Gilfanov & Churazov (2001). We extract a light curve for each available channel for each observation and construct a power-density spectrum (PDS). The relative contribution of each channel was determined by integrating the PDS above 10 Hz and used to construct an energy spectrum of the rapid variability. As with the continuum spectra, results from all observations were then coadded into a single spectrum, and a systematic error of one per cent added to each bin. We choose the frequency range 10–50 Hz in order to avoid contamination from the observed QPO at ≤4 Hz and its harmonic (see further discussion in AHD13 and Axelsson, Done & Hjalmarsdotter 2014).

2.2 HEXTE and OSSE data

We use the HEXTE data, averaged over the same period, from cluster zero only. GD03 found the HEXTE data to be consistent with remaining constant throughout the period.

The OSSE data are from viewing period 729.5, from 1998 September 25 to October 6. We use the same high-level product spectrum as in GD03; extracted for detectors 1, 2, 3 and 4 for the whole viewing period. The OSSE and HEXTE data are in very good agreement in the overlapping region between 50 and ~120 keV above which the HEXTE data start to slightly flatten out. The agreement is, however, still within the HEXTE errors up to 200 keV. The OSSE data show no evidence of a high-energy cutoff up to at least 1000 keV. There is no sign of any annihilation line at 511 keV in the data.

Unfortunately, the low sensitivity of HEXTE and low time resolution with retained spectral resolution of the OSSE data do not allow us to extend the Fourier spectrum to higher energies.

2.3 ASCA data

The ASCA data used here are from a 24 ks pointing on 1998 September 24 and is the same data as used in GD03, extending from 0.7–10 keV. In GD03, the ASCA data was treated separately to avoid previous cross-calibration issues with RXTE. These cross-calibration issues were fixed in HEASOFT 5.2 (and in KD04 this ASCA data were modelled together with some of the PCA and HEXTE pointings included in our coadded spectrum). The data were also used e.g. by Steiner et al. (2011) (see discussion in Section 5.1).

3 SPECTRAL MODEL

3.1 Eqpair

We use the Comptonization code EQPAIR Coppi (1999), also extensively described in Gierliński et al. (1999). The key concept in this model is the compactness, defined as

$$L = \frac{L_{\nu}}{R m_e c^2},$$

where $L$ is the luminosity or power, $R$ the size of the Comptonizing region, $\sigma_T$ the Thomson cross-section and $m_e$ the electron mass.

The hard compactness, $l_h$, is determined by $L_h$, the luminosity or power supplied to the electrons in the Comptonizing region. This power can be supplied either as thermal heating $L_{\text{th}}$, or as energetic electrons (and/or positrons) being injected into the source with a fixed non-thermal energy spectrum $Q(\gamma)$ that can be either monoenergetic or a power law with index $\Gamma_{\min}$ extending from Lorentz factor $\gamma_{\min} \sim 1–2$ to $\gamma_{\max} \sim 3–1000$. The total hard compactness is thus $l_h = l_{h,\text{th}} + l_{h,\text{inj}}$. The ratio $l_{h,\text{th}}/l_h$ gives the relative importance of non-thermal scattering, and if this parameter is >0, we have a hybrid electron distribution with a low-energy Maxwellian and a high-energy power-law part.

The soft compactness, $l_s$, is in turn determined by $L_s$, the luminosity of soft photons available for Compton upscattering and thus cooling of the hot electrons. If electron heating/acceleration dominates over irradiation by soft photons we get a hard spectrum and if irradiation by soft photons dominates the resulting spectrum is soft, and the main parameter of the model determining the overall spectral state is thus the ratio $l_h/l_s$. The absolute value of the soft compactness $l_s$ is also a fit parameter. This parameter not only measures the effectiveness of Compton cooling, compared to Coulomb cooling, but also the optical depth for pair-production in the source. Note that in EQPAIR $l_s$ is not coupled to the normalization. In practice, the fit is therefore insensitive to this parameter (except regarding the strength of the annihilation line, see further discussion in the next section), and it is therefore often kept frozen to some fiducial value in the fitting process. The exact spectral shape for a given amount of seed photons is determined by a combination of the electron temperature and optical depth of the hot flow. The total optical depth
\[ \tau_T \] may in addition to the electron optical depth \( \tau_p \), which is a fit parameter, also include a part from pairs. Together with the electron temperature \( kT_e \), \( \tau_T \) is calculated by the model self consistently. The model does not automatically assume pair balance, but pairs that are injected or created in the plasma annihilate away once they have cooled.

The model assumes a spherical geometry with the photons injected homogeneously throughout a spherical Comptonizing cloud. As input source of soft photons EQPAIR includes a soft photon source modelled as a disc or single-temperature blackbody. Since the seed photon temperature is mainly decided by the maximum temperature disc photons, we use the blackbody option for simplicity. The part of the photons reaching us unscattered is normally given in output by the model without the addition of any extra disc component. We have here however modified EQPAIR for use with a convolution reflection model and removed the blackbody from the output spectrum to avoid having the disc reflected in itself. We therefore model the direct disc emission with a separate disc component using DISKBB. Following KD04, who investigated the temperature–luminosity correlation in spectra based on the same ASCA–PCA–HEXTE data as we use here, we assume that the disc is truncated and using other more sophisticated disc models including relativistic effects thus makes no sense (but see Steiner et al. 2011 for a different view). The maximum temperature of the disc is allowed to differ from that of the input photons in EQPAIR; since in reality, we may see a somewhat cooler part of the disc in direct emission than that providing the seed photons.

3.2 RFXCONV and the iron-line region

Here, as in AHD13, we use the model RFXCONV to model reflection of the hard X-rays off the accretion disc. The model was demonstrated and briefly described in Kohlemainen, Done & Díaz Trigo (2011) and is an updated version of the model first described in Done & Gierliński (2006). It is a convolution model that combines the table models for the reflected spectra of Ross & Fabian (2005) from a constant density ionized disc, with the IREFLECT convolution variant of the PEXRIV Compton reflection code by Magdziarz & Zdziarski (1995). It includes a self-consistently calculated iron line, convolved with any continuum shape. The main parameters are the relative amplitude of the reflected component, Refl, the inclination and the ionization parameter of the reprocessing matter, \( \xi \). For the inclination, we use \( i = 70^\circ \) (Orosz et al. 2002). We allow for relativistic smearing of the reflection features using KDBLUR. We include photoelectric absorption in the form of TBABS. In AHD13, the fits to the PCA data were found to be significantly improved by the addition of a narrow absorption line modelled as GABS at \( \sim 6.8 \) keV. On the other hand, we note that the best models in Steiner et al. (2011) all include a narrow emission line at 6.7 keV. In our fits, here, we thus allow for both an emission and/or an absorption line at energies between 6.4 and 7.1 keV and try out different combinations. We model both as simple Gaussians.

Our initial single-component hybrid Comptonization model is thus of the form \( \text{CONSTANT} \times \text{TBABS} \times \text{GABS} \times \text{DISKBB} \times \text{KDBLUR} \times \text{RFXCONV} \times \text{EQPAIR} \). Compared to the similar hybrid model in GD03, we use a broader energy range including the ASCA data, a better reflection model RFXCONV and different assumptions about the compactness, see below.

4 RESULTS

4.1 Single-component hybrid Comptonization model for the 0.7–1000 keV continuum

Fig. 1 (left-hand panel) shows the best-fitting total model of the form \( \text{CONSTANT} \times \text{TBABS} \times \text{GABS} \times \text{DISKBB} \times \text{KDBLUR} \times \text{RFXCONV} \times \text{EQPAIR} \) to the 0.7–1000 keV continuum data of XTE J1550–564. The parameters are listed in Table 1, left-hand column (model one-component hybrid) and the components of the model are plotted in the right-hand panel of Fig. 1.
The spectrum contains a strong disc component. The best-fitting inner disc temperature of the unscattered disc component is 0.56 keV, in agreement with or slightly higher than in DG03, KD04 and AHD13. We use the disc temperature as input for the seed photon temperature but initially allow them to be different. The best value for the seed temperature however stays the same as that of the inner disc, and we thus set them equal in order to reduce the number of free parameters.

We also see a very strong Comptonized component, typical for the very high state. Our best-fitting value of \( h_0/l_h = 0.94 \) indicates equal power in irradiating photons as in heating of the electrons. The electron distribution is hybrid with \( \sim 50 \) per cent of the power to the electrons supplied as acceleration as opposed to thermal heating. The temperature of the low-end Maxwellian electrons in our best fit is \( kT_e = 6.6 \) keV. The optical depth is \( \tau_T = 3.9 \), and includes a small contribution (\( \tau \sim 0.2 \)) from pairs. We stress that in a soft spectrum with hybrid electrons present the rollover from the low-energy Maxwellian distribution falls in the same energy range, \( 3kT_e \sim 20–30\) keV, as the peak of the reflection bump and is therefore difficult to determine exactly. The exact values of the electron temperature and optical depth may therefore be somewhat degenerate within given errors.

The reflection, \( \text{Ref} l = 0.72 \), is comparable to values found in AHD13 and GD03, and is ionized with best-fitting value \( \log \xi = 2.35 \). We find that our fits are insensitive to the value of the KBBR emissivity index of illumination \( q \), but following Steiner et al. (2011), we adopt \( q = 2 \). The detailed shape of the line is beyond the scope of this paper, which is focused on the continuum. We find however that our values of the inner radius are, not surprisingly, strongly dependent on the exact modelling of the iron-line region.

The unabsorbed bolometric luminosity is \( L_{\text{bol}} = 3.7 \times 10^{38} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) for \( M = 10 M_\odot \) and \( D = 5.3 \) kpc Orosz et al. (2002).

### Table 1. Fit results of three different models for the continuum as described in Sections 5.1 and 5.3. Parameters with subscript ‘var’ represent best-fitting values for the thermal model for the spectrum of the fast variability as described in Section 4.2. Errors for these parameters are from the fit to the variability spectrum only. These parameters were frozen to their best-fitting values, except for the normalization, in the two-component model fits, and the variability spectrum thus makes up the additional Comptonized component in the two-component models.
Initially, we find very large values for the inner radius, $R_{\text{in}} > 200 R_g$. As in AHD13, the inclusion of an absorption line significantly improves the fit. If the line width is allowed free, the fit prefers a broad line which results in the same unphysically large values for the inner radius ($> 200 R_g$). Limiting the line width to $\leq 0.1$ keV (as in AHD13) allows for much smaller inner radii but worsens the fit. Including an emission line allows for a good fit also for small radii. The exact value of the inner radius is strongly correlated with the line energies, without affecting the parameters of the continuum spectrum, and several combinations of line energies and $R_{\text{in}}$ give rise to multiple minima within given errors. The best fit is found for a combination of an absorption and an emission line at 6.88 and 6.78 keV, respectively, and an inner radius of $15 R_g$. The line width was frozen to $\sigma = 0.1$ keV.

### 4.1.1 Compactness and annihilation lines

There is no sign of an annihilation line at 511 keV in the data of XTE J1550–564. The lack of observed annihilation features in GBH data is often used as motivation for constraining $l_s \leq 10$. While this may be justifiable in less luminous sources, like e.g. Cyg X-1 (Gierliński et al. 1997), it is certainly not so in the more luminous sources and/or states. Our modelling gives a source luminosity of $\sim 3.7 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (for $M = 10 M_\odot$ and $D = 5.3$ kpc; Orosz et al. 2002). In the very high state, we expect a fairly large overlapping region of the hot flow and the disc. But a soft compactness of $\sim 10$ would imply a Comptonizing region extending beyond 500 $R_g$ which is not easily reconciled with our current picture of the accretion geometry. Values for the radius of the extension of the hot flow between 10–30 $R_g$, give a range of $l_s \sim 200–700$. Since the modelling is insensitive to this parameter (in all but the size of the annihilation line), we thus keep $l_s$ frozen to the more realistic value of 500. Such a high compactness should lead to copious pair production given that enough high-energy gamma rays are present. Using a realistic value for the compactness based on the luminosity together with good-quality high-energy gamma data, we are thus in a position to constrain the injection spectrum of high-energy electrons, determined by a combination of the power law index of injected electrons $\Gamma_{\text{inj}}$ and their maximum gamma factor $\gamma_{\text{max}}$. The parameter value for the maximum energy of the injected electrons $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ is insensitive to the fitting process and should be kept frozen. To determine allowed combinations of $\Gamma_{\text{inj}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{max}}$, we fix $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ to a range of different values between 3 and 1000 and calculate error ranges for $\Gamma_{\text{inj}}$ for each $\gamma_{\text{max}}$. Fig. 2 shows examples of different combinations of $\Gamma_{\text{inj}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{max}}$, all giving acceptable fits ($\Delta \chi^2 / \nu \leq 1$) to the broad-band data, but with a preference for values around $\gamma_{\text{max}} \sim 10$ and $\Gamma_{\text{inj}}$ between 2.10–2.50 with best-fitting value 2.29.

In addition, we find that the sensitivity of OSSE at energies 511 keV gives a detection limit for the equivalent width EW of an annihilation line of 70 keV (at 3$\sigma$ confidence). Fig. 3 shows best-fitting models for different combinations of $\Gamma_{\text{inj}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ from Fig. 2, all being consistent with the broad-band confidence. All models with $\gamma_{\text{max}} > 10$ however produce an annihilation line with EW $\geq 70$ keV and can thus be ruled out based on the non-detection on basis of the OSSE data alone. We thus keep $\gamma_{\text{max}}$, frozen to 10 (since this parameter is rather insensitive to the fitting process as discussed above).

### 4.2 The spectrum of the fast variability

We now fit the spectrum of the 10–50 Hz variability for the coadded PCA observations. Since the data start only at 3 keV, we keep the disc temperature frozen to the best-fitting value from the continuum fit based on the ASCA data. There is no sign of a blackbody or disc component in the spectrum of the fast variability. This agrees with the findings in AHD13 and confirms the well-known result that the fast variability does not come from the accretion disc in soft states (e.g. Churazov et al. 2001). We thus keep the disc normalization frozen to zero.

Even after removing the disc component, the continuum model still does not give a good fit to the spectrum of the variability. It is clear that the two spectra have a different shape in the overlapping energy region (3–20 keV). The spectrum of the variability is slightly harder with a somewhat higher $l_s/l_s$, meaning that the variable part of the flow sees less soft photons than the overall accretion flow. The optical depth is also higher. This result agrees with AHD13 who showed that the spectrum of the fast variability had a different...
Figure 4. Spectrum of the 50–100 Hz variability in XTE J1550–564. Left-hand panel: the Fourier resolved PCA data and best-fitting (fully thermal) model including residuals. Right-hand panel: the model components are shown as dashed lines for the Comptonized spectrum and dotted lines for its reflection.

shape, always harder than the continuum, in all PCA observations in the rise of the strong flare in 1998.

Since our variability spectrum continues up to 20 keV only, we cannot constrain the contribution of non-thermal Comptonization or get a very good idea of the electron temperature from these data. We then have two obvious choices regarding the non-thermal electron distribution in the spectrum of the fast variability. One would be to freeze the ratio of non-thermal to thermal contribution and the parameters of the injection spectrum to those of the continuum, thus assuming the same non-thermal electron distribution in the variability spectrum. The other would be to freeze $l_{nth}/l_{th}=0$ giving a purely thermal spectrum (as in AHD13, based on PCA data only).

Since this choice does not strongly affect the spectral shape below 20 keV both variants should give a satisfactory ($\chi^2/\nu \sim 1$) fit to the data. We find that a pure thermal model gives a slightly better ($\chi^2/\nu = 0.96$ compared to 1.05) fit and stick to this model for simplicity. We stress that whether the electrons participating in the fast variability are in fact thermal or hybrid can only be determined by higher resolution data (in time and energy) at higher energies. Regardless of this choice, however, the spectrum of the variable component is considerably steeper at high energies than that of the time-averaged, meaning that even if there is non-thermal emission in the spectrum of the variability, it is not large compared to that of the time-averaged spectrum.

In AHD13, it was found that all the variability spectra of the rising phase of the flaring of XTE J1550–564 had little or zero reflection, also this indicating that the variable photons are to a smaller degree intercepted by the disc. In our more detailed fit to the coadded frequency resolved spectrum, we find that reflection, even if low in our best-fitting (thermal) model, is not compatible with zero. Freezing $Refl=0$ only gives an acceptable fit if the absorption line is turned into an emission line at 6.45 keV, indicating the need for at least a fluorescent iron line even in the variability data (regardless of what is assumed regarding the electron distribution). Our best-fitting thermal model to the spectrum of the fast variability has $Refl=0.24$, and requires no absorption line. For such low reflection, the ionization parameter can not be constrained. We therefore assume the same degree of ionization in the reflection of the variability spectrum as for the continuum. The best-fitting model and its components is shown in Fig. 4. The parameters are listed in Table 1 with subscript ‘var’ as part of the two-component models, see next section. (Note that these values and their errors are from the fit to the variability spectrum only. In the two-component model fits, they are kept frozen.)

### 4.3 Two-component Comptonization models

Regardless of the details of the electron distribution and exact strength of reflection, the fact that the spectrum of the fast variability differs from that of the continuum already below 20 keV suggests that there are at least two spatially separated electron distributions responsible for the Comptonized emission of the total spectrum. This means that the flow is inhomogeneous, and the total continuum should be the sum of the variable and non-variable (on short time-scales) part(s). A more physically correct broad-band spectral model should thus contain at least two Comptonization components. This was already briefly discussed in AHD13 although the data used there (only PCA 3–20 keV) did not allow for any exact modelling. In DG03, a two-component model consisting of a hybrid plus an additional thermal component was actually found to give the best fit to the data (their model HYBTH). The extra component in that paper was however ambiguous, and as argued there may have been only something artificial compensating for the not-so-correct reflection model. With the help of the fast variability, we are here able to show that an additional component should in fact be present in the broad-band spectrum.

#### 4.3.1 Two component thermal+hybrid model

We now fit the spectrum with a model consisting of a disc blackbody+ one thermal Comptonization component representing the fast variability in addition to the hybrid component representing the part of the Comptonized spectrum that
4.3.2 Two component model with pure non-thermal injection

In order to try and separate the thermal and non-thermal contributions, we also model the spectrum with \( \rho_{\text{th}}/\rho_b \) fixed to 1.0 for the ‘stable’ hybrid component. This represents full non-thermal injection i.e. that the power supplied in terms of injection of high-energy electrons is the sole energy supply to this part of the spectrum.

This would be expected if this emission is powered by e.g. magnetic flares above the disc. (Note that this will not result in a pure non-thermal spectrum since the low end will always thermalize. Modelling non-thermal injection dominated spectra as pure power laws is thus not fully physically motivated even if such a model may give a good statistical fit, see e.g. model PLTH in GD03 and their discussion). The result is shown in Fig. 6 and best-fitting parameters for this model are listed in Table 1, column 3. In this model, the thermal component matching the variability spectrum is 4.3 times that of the variability spectrum, but the spectrum is still dominated by the hybrid (now with full non-thermal injection) component. The model requires very high strongly ionized reflection and a steep non-thermal injection spectrum, regardless of \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \), but as before, \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \geq 10 \) overestimates the annihilation line and gives a line flux above the detection limit for OSSE. This ‘non-thermal’ model gives a worse fit to the data than the two other models. It would thus seem that the part of the spectrum not variable on short time-scales is probably not powered solely by non-thermal processes, but that there are also important contributions from direct heating.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Reflection and inner radii

We model for the first time the entire broad-band data of XTE J1550–564 including the complex iron-line region. We use the model \textsc{rphxconv} to model reflection and find that the fits are significantly improved by the addition of both an emission and an absorption line, reminiscent of a P-Cygni profile. Observations of similar strong absorption lines have been reported in several high inclination Galactic binary systems and are believed to represent iron K resonance at 6.7 and 7.0 keV from outflowing material (e.g. Ueda et al. 1998; Lee et al. 2002; Ueda et al. 2004; Kubota et al. 2007). A P-Cygni profile from strong wind surrounding a black hole has only been reported for the Cygnus X-3 system, a WR-binary (Vilhu...
We expect the disc to be flat. Strong gravity effects push the inner disc to dominate the reflected emission, so here we do indeed can even flare due to irradiation. None-the-less, we expect though the outer regions have a more constant scale height, and expected to be flat in the radiation pressure dominated inner regions, which is different to the law, with for a source with large height then the emissivity is a broken power values (Miniutti & Fabian 2004) for a source with small height, but compared.

Alternative, for a central source with size scale of a black hole. Alternatively, for a central source with size scale of a black hole. The disc is preferred by the data. This is different to the one-component model, the inner radius is better constrained. We note that our results disagree with those of Steiner et al. (2011), who using the same ASCA data derive an inner radius of a few tens of \( R_g \), but with rather large errors, 10–35 \( R_g \), due to the correlation with the line energies. In the two-component models where the line energies were frozen to the best-fitting values from the one-component model, the inner radius is better constrained. We note that our results disagree with those of Steiner et al. (2011), who using the same ASCA data derive an inner radius of a few tens of \( R_g \). These authors use in their best fits a somewhat different reflection model as well as different assumptions for the underlying continuum. Separately, from the ASCA data, they further derive a small inner radius based on the RXTE data only (≥3 keV). This data is however from observations a few weeks later than ours when the source was in a somewhat softer state and the results should thus not be directly compared.

Both, we and Steiner, use emissivity index \( q = 2 \), as this is preferred by the data. This is different to the \( q = 3 \) emissivity index expected for a lamppost illumination of a flat disc. The disc is expected to be flat in the radiation pressure dominated inner regions, though the outer regions have a more constant scale height, and indeed can even flare due to irradiation. None-the-less, we expect the inner disc to dominate the reflected emission, so here we do expect the disc to be flat. Strong gravity effects push \( q \) to higher values (Miniutti & Fabian 2004) for a source with small height, but for a source with large height then the emissivity is a broken power law, with \( q \sim 0 \) for \( r < h \) and \( q \sim 3 \) for \( r_h \), see e.g. Dovciak et al. (2014). Thus, \( q \sim 2 \) with an inner radius of a few tens of \( R_g \) could indicate that the source is situated at a few tens of \( R_g \) above the black hole. Alternatively, for a central source with size scale of a few tens of \( R_g \), then there can be an overlap region, with the corona extending over the inner disc. Some part of the inner disc reflection is then removed by being Compton scattered into the corona, see e.g. Haardt & Maraschi (1993) and Done & Kubota (2006). This will have the effect of lowering \( q \), but the detailed emissivity profile then depend on the detailed shape and physical properties (especially the luminosity and optical depth as a function of radius) of the corona, and is only approximated by a single power-law illumination index.

5.2 Limits on pair production

Despite being predicted by most models, no annihilation lines are actually observed in the spectra of GBHs (except for the disputed detection in 1E 1740.7–2942; Sunyaev et al. 1991). This can only have three explanations: either no pairs are produced, they do not annihilate or they do annihilate but are hot when they do causing doppler smearing of the line making it non-detectable. For luminous GBHs, the compactness should be high, rather several hundred (see Section 4) than \( \sim 10 \) that is commonly used to avoid the problem of creating strong annihilation lines not seen in the data. Pair creation rates are thus very high. With so many soft photons present, Compton cooling is rapid and the pairs should lose most of their energy before they annihilate, so we do not expect broadening of the line. Since the density of pairs is high, created pairs cannot be accreted or escape from the source before they annihilate. The only reasonable explanation is thus a deficit of high-energy electrons capable of up-scattering photons above the pair production threshold. This would require either a very steep injection spectrum or a low maximum Lorentz factor of the injected electrons, or both. With high quality high-energy data, like that used here, the slope of the steady-state electron distribution can be rather well constrained, and we can determine limits on combinations of \( \Gamma_{\text{inj}} \) and \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \). The non-detection of the annihilation line in this data limits \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \) to \( \leq 10 \) and thus \( \Gamma_{\text{inj}} \).
further to 2.10–2.50 in our best-fitting models (the one-component and the two-component hybrid model). Assuming full non-thermal injection \( (\nu_{\text{inj}}/\nu_{\text{esc}} = 1) \), two-component non-thermal model) requires steeper injection \( \Gamma_{\text{inj}} \sim 3.0 \), but this model gives a worse fit to the data.

Our results suggest that pair production may not be as important in GHBs as previously assumed, at least not in the very high state, and that preferred acceleration mechanisms do not need to produce many electrons above \( \nu_{\text{max}} = 10 \). We note that also Malyshev, Zdziarski & Chernyakova (2013) recently found evidence of either a high compactness or a low \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) in the soft state of Cyg X-1, based on observations by Fermi.

5.3 Multizone Comptonization and geometry of the flow

It is by now widely accepted that the origin of the fast variability in the emission from GBHs in the soft and very high state is not the accretion disc but rather the hot flow or corona. Our results, here and in AHD13, that the frequency resolved spectrum of the 10–50 Hz variability does not contain any disc component confirms this picture. Further, the fast variability is generally assumed to arise in a region very close to the black hole. Our results, here and in AHD13, that the variability spectrum shows less reflection and has a harder slope than the continuum indeed suggest that the variable region intercepts less soft photons and is located far away from the accretion disc. The study of the spectral evolution of the variability spectrum versus the continuum in AHD13 further showed that the spectrum of the variability is less sensitive to changes in the accretion rate (and thus presumably changes in the inner disc radius) than the overall continuum spectrum. Thus, the spectrum of the fast variability and its evolution seem to agree with the origin of the fast variability being a hot flow close to the black hole far away from the disc. The envisaged geometry is shown in Fig. 7. For a physical model for how to create the observed broad-band variability in the very high state, including the QPO arising in a hot flow, see Ingram & Done (2011, 2012).

Since the variability data covers energies up to 20 keV only, the temperature and electron distribution in the variable region cannot be constrained but is consistent with being purely thermal. To confirm or disprove this, we need to look at frequency resolved spectra at higher energies. Such data are at present not available but may be provided by the Hard X-ray Telescope (HXT) aboard the planned ASTRO-H mission. The steeper spectrum of the variable component, however, already shows that most of the observed non-thermal emission is produced not in the innermost variable region, but further out in the flow. It has been suggested that the non-thermal emission in accretion flow of GBHs are the result of high-energy electrons accelerated in magnetic reconnection flares above the disc surface. If this is the case, then the non-thermal emission arises much closer to the disc and should be softer and show stronger signatures of reflection than the variable emission. In our two-component models, the ‘stable’ hybrid component is both softer and has higher reflection than the variability component. We find that this stable component is not likely to be powered by purely non-thermal injection but requires half of the energy to be supplied as direct heating. This is however still compatible with the non-thermal emission being produced by magnetic flares above the (truncated) disc, since there can also be ‘contaminating’ thermal plasma from the outer regions of the flow. In Equator, thermalization is due to Coulomb interactions only. An even more important thermalization process, not included in the code, is synchrotron self-absorption. The importance of this effect for the emission from compact sources was first pointed out by Ghisellini, Guilbert & Svensson (1988) and has been further investigated by e.g. Poutanen & Vurm (2009) and Malzac & Belmont (2009). In the presence of a magnetic field, the electron distribution may indeed appear thermal even if the original acceleration mechanism would have produced a non-thermal distribution. It is also quite possible that the hybrid part of the flow is in fact a combination of several regions, each with a different electron distribution.

We have, here, investigated the very high state. The situation is likely to be different in the hard or the more classical soft state.

In the classical soft state (of this and other sources), variability is usually strongly suppressed. This is consistent with the innermost hot flow collapsing into an accretion disc extending all the way to the innermost stable orbit. The extra component associated with the fast variability is thus not expected to be present in the classical soft state. In the hard state, variability is stronger and the component matching the variability may play a more important role or even dominate the spectrum. In AHD13, it was shown that for the harder of the very high state spectra of XTE J1550, the difference between the variability spectrum and the total continuum, was indeed less than for the softer spectra. Unified spectral and timing studies of Cyg X-1 by Yamada et al. (2013) have also shown the need for two Comptonized components in the hard state, one of which seems to be connected with the fast variability.

6 CONCLUSIONS

Galactic black holes are luminous objects and with data covering most of the soft X-rays from the accretion disc, the soft compactness can be calculated, and it is high >100. The lack of evidence of any annihilation lines in their spectra should thus tell us that perhaps there are less high-energy electrons present in the flow than usually assumed. We have analysed the broad-band 1–1000 keV spectrum of the GBH XTE J1550–564. Using a realistic value for the compactness, we have calculated limits on the high-energy electron distribution and find that the slope of the OSSE data constrains the mean electron energy to be \( \gamma \sim 1 \), requiring either a steep electron spectrum or/and a low maximum electron energy. The lack of an observable annihilation line favours \( \gamma_{\text{max}} \leq 10 \) and \( \Gamma_{\text{inj}} = 2.1–2.5 \).

We also model the frequency resolved spectrum of the 10–50 Hz variability from this source and find that it differs from the…
continuum, not only in that it contains no sign of a disc and has very low reflection. It also has a different shape of the Comptonized part of the spectrum. This means that the Comptonized flow itself is inhomogeneous, and its total spectrum must be made up by at least two components, one variable on short time-scales and one not, where the continuum should be a sum of these. In our interpretation, the fast variability originates in the innermost parts of the accretion flow close to the black hole and far away from the accretion disc. This region is spatially and physically separated from the origin of the rest of the emission, which may in turn be a sum of several smaller regions.

We find that the spectrum of the fast variability is consistent with being fully thermal. This could be confirmed or disproved with access to better quality high-energy data from e.g. the HXT onboard ASTRO-H, but our results already require that the observed non-thermal emission is produced predominantly further out in the flow. However, the time-averaged emission is not consistent with being fully non-thermal, indicating that direct heating of the electrons is also still important further out in the flow.

Finally, we do not observe signs of the disc extending down to the innermost stable orbit in this state of XTE J1550–564. Our results are compatible with the disc being truncated at $\sim 15R_g$.
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