

Contextual effect of wealth on independence: an examination through regional differences in China

Kosuke Takemura^{1*}, Takeshi Hamamura², Yanjun Guan³, Satoko Suzuki⁴

¹Faculty of Economics, Shiga University, Hikone, Japan

²School of Psychology and Speech Pathology, Curtin University, Perth, WA, Australia

³Business School, Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom

⁴Graduate School of Management, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

* **Correspondence:** Kosuke Takemura, Faculty of Economics, Shiga University, 1-1-1 Banba, Hikone, Shiga 522-8522, Japan.

boz.takemura@gmail.com

Keywords: culture, independence, individualism, economic development, multilevel, contextual effect.

Abstract

The current study disentangled two different effects of wealth on psychological tendency toward independence; one is an effect exerted at the individual-level (i.e., effect of being rich) and the other is a contextual effect (i.e., effect of being surrounded by rich individuals). Past research has found a stronger tendency toward independence among people in developed societies. This association has often been explained as a result of a greater amount of choices, and thus more opportunities to express individuality that wealth affords to individuals. In addition to this individual-level process, theories in cultural psychology imply that the wealth-independence link also reflects social processes—living in a rich society, regardless of one's own wealth, promotes independence (contextual effect of wealth on independence). Through a large-scale survey in China, using multilevel analyses, we found that wealth had both the individual-level effect and contextual effect on independence as well as related psychological tendencies (influence orientation and generalized trust), suggesting that individuals are more likely to be independent with greater personal wealth, and when surrounded by wealthy others. Possible processes through which independence is promoted by living in a wealthy area are discussed.

1 Introduction

Across human societies, individuals differ in their relative independence from, and interdependence within, social relationships (Hofstede, 1980; Markus & Kitayama, 1991; see also Henrich et al., 2010). Independent (individualistic) tendency is characterized by individuals seeing themselves as independent from others, which also relates to motivation to influence (rather than adjusting oneself to) others (Morling et al., 2002) and willingness to interact with others outside of one's immediate social circles, and thus generalized trust of others (Allik & Realo, 2004; Hamamura, 2012). These psychological tendencies give rise to behavioral patterns that in turn, collectively maintain social environment and reinforce psychological processes associated with independence (Leung & Cohen, 2011; Markus & Kitayama, 1991; Shweder, 1991; Yamagishi, 2011).

36

37 One of the best established correlates of independent tendency at the societal level is wealth.
 38 Previous cross-cultural research has found that people residing in rich societies are more likely to
 39 show independent tendencies (Georgas et al., 2004; Greenfield et al., 2003; Grossmann & Varnum,
 40 2015; Hofstede, 1980; Inglehart & Baker, 2000). For example, Hofstede (1980) reported a strong
 41 correlation ($r = .82$) between Gross National Product per capita and individualism through a nation-
 42 level correlation analysis. Georgas et al. (2004) found that difference in individualism across
 43 countries was best explained by economic differences among a range of eco-cultural variables, such
 44 as religion and education.

45

46 However, the society-level correlation between wealth and independence found in previous studies
 47 (e.g., Hofstede, 1980) may either reflect an effect of affluent societies promoting independent
 48 tendencies or an effect of affluent individuals tending to be more independent or both of them. Stated
 49 differently, the individual-level effect of wealth may give rise to the society-level pattern that
 50 Hofstede (1980) identified.

51

52 In fact, a number of theories have interpreted the wealth-independence link as reflecting the effects
 53 associated with personal wealth. For example, it has been suggested that wealth promotes
 54 independence because “(a)ffluence implies the ability to ‘do one’s own thing’” (Triandis et al., 1988,
 55 p. 324) or “affluence creates choices and allows people to express their individuality by making
 56 different choices” (Schimmack et al., 2005, p. 21). This type of explanation postulates that personal
 57 wealth facilitates greater independence through its effect in affording more choices to individuals.
 58 Consistent with this theory, some research has demonstrated an association between individual
 59 differences in socioeconomic status and independence (e.g., Grossmann & Varnum, 2010;
 60 Hamamura et al., 2013; Kohn et al., 1990; Kraus & Keltner, 2009; Na et al., 2010; Stephens et al.,
 61 2007).

62

63 On the other hand, influential theories in cultural psychology seem to support the view that wealth
 64 also has a unique effect on independence at the society-level. Theories of cultural psychology have
 65 emphasized roles of social processes, such as shared meaning systems (Kitayama & Uskul, 2011;
 66 Markus & Kitayama, 1991; Snibbe & Markus, 2005), social norms (Gelfand et al., 2011), and shared
 67 social contexts (Kraus et al., 2012), in shaping psychological processes. These social processes may
 68 help explain the effect of wealth on independence beyond its effect operating at the level of
 69 individuals. In other words, these theories predict the presence of a contextual effect, that wealth
 70 exerts an effect on independence at society-level above and beyond its effect at individual-level.
 71 Depending on the relative strength of individual-level and society-level effect, the contextual effect
 72 can take two forms (e.g., Tay et al., 2014). One is the case in which society-level effect is larger than
 73 individual-level effect—societies’ wealth has a stronger effect on people’s independence than
 74 predicted on the basis of their level of affluence. The other is the case in which society-level effect is
 75 smaller than individual-level effect—societies’ wealth has a weaker effect on people’s independence
 76 than predicted on the basis of their affluence. Theories in cultural psychology seem to suggest the
 77 presence of former type of contextual effect. Analytically, a contextual effect can be computed in a

Contextual effect of wealth on independence

multilevel model that simultaneously examines the effect of wealth on independence at the level of individual (within-group effect) and society (between-group effect) and by taking their difference (Raudenbush & Bryk, 2002).

In some areas of research, contextual effects have clearly been established (e.g., Christ et al., 2014; Colleran et al., 2014; Henrich et al., 2005, 2010; Tay et al., 2014). For example, findings in Henrich et al. (2005, 2010) suggested that social norms of anonymous interactions that take place at market place, when shared within community, tend to promote prosocial behavior towards an anonymous other, above and beyond one's own experiences of market exchange. This work demonstrated that the role of a socially shared factor (the norms of anonymous interactions at market) in influencing individual behavior (prosocial behavior towards an anonymous other) beyond the individual-level process (personal experience of market exchange).

In the current study, we predicted a significant individual-level association between wealth and independence, replicating past research (e.g., Grossmann & Varnum, 2010; Hamamura et al., 2013; Kohn et al., 1990; Kraus & Keltner, 2009; Na et al., 2010; Stephens et al., 2007). Additionally, we examined wealth's contextual effect on independent tendency—an effect suggested by cultural psychological theories articulating the role of social processes in shaping psychological processes (Gelfand et al., 2011; Kitayama & Uskul, 2011; Markus & Kitayama, 1991).

We examined the effect of wealth on independent tendency across provinces in China. This is an excellent context for the current research because there are large regional variations within China in regards to the distribution of wealth (Fleisher et al., 2014; Yang, 2002) and also with cultural practices maintained through legal restrictions on inter-provincial migrations. Still, as the provinces are parts of the same single nation, major variables that usually confound cross-country comparisons are naturally ruled out (Varnum et al., 2010).

The units of analysis in the current study were individual participants (Level 1) that are nested within provinces (Level 2). Participants were recruited from all the provinces in mainland China, and they provided information on their annual household income, in addition to responding to scales designed to measure participants' tendency towards independence. One measure of independence was the independent self-construal scale (Singelis, 1994). In addition to this direct measure, we assessed two psychological tendencies conceptually associated with independence. One was orientation toward influencing (rather than adjusting oneself to) surrounding social circumstances. As the independent self is perceived as relatively immutable and consistent entity working within the context of a mutable world (Heine, 2008), individuals with independent self-construal tend to engage in influence rather than adjustment (Morling et al., 2002). The other was generalized trust, or trust toward others who are not closely connected to oneself (Yamagishi & Yamagishi, 1994). Previous studies (Allik & Realo, 2004; Hamamura, 2012) found a positive association between independence and generalized trust, suggesting that taking risk of trusting others outside of a close-knit community may be sustained by viewing oneself as independent from others (but see also a finding of Yuki et al., 2005,

showing that individualists sometimes show strong in-group bias; see Brewer & Chen, 2007, and Brewer & Yuki, 2007, for reviews). We thus explored if generalized trust would show similar correlational patterns as independent self-construal.

We performed multilevel analyses using group-mean centering. Hence, all the predictors at the individual-level were centered around respective provincial means. This method removes all inter-provincial differences and allows examinations of within-province (individual-level) effects and between-province (province-level) effects separately from each other (Enders & Tofighi, 2007). A contextual effect is obtained by taking the difference between these two effects. The positive contextual effect (province-level > individual-level effect) would indicate that wealth at the level of provinces has a stronger effect on people's independence than predicted on the basis of people's affluence.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Participants

Participants were recruited from all the provinces (including directly controlled municipalities as well as autonomous regions) in mainland China by a marketing research firm, Cross Marketing, Inc. Fifty individuals from each province took part in the study, except for Shanghai and Gansu, from which 100 people participated (the larger sample size from these locations is for the purpose of another research project in which the current study was part). The total number of our participants was 1,650, which is comparable with that of a previous study examining regional differences in China (Tahelm et al., 2014, $N = 1,162$). In all the provinces, the same recruiting methods were used (i.e., advertising on the internet, mass media, and face-to-face surveys). The sample sizes at both levels were determined prior to the data collection. To maximize the sample size at level 2 (province-level), which is essential for accurate estimations of the level-2 effects (Maas & Hox, 2005), we collected data from all the provinces in mainland China (i.e., $n = 31$). The number of participants from each province ($n = 50$ for most provinces) was determined based on results of simulations by Maas and Hox (2005) to ensure unbiased estimations in multilevel analyses. Participants' responses were collected through an online survey (Table S1 in the Supplementary Material for sample characteristics).

2.2 Individual-level measures

Participants worked on scales designed to measure independence and the related tendencies. The scales were the self-construal scale (Singelis, 1994), the circumplex scales of interpersonal values (CSIV; Locke, 2000), and the generalized trust scale (Yamagishi & Yamagishi, 1994). All the scales were administered in Chinese. The consensus method was taken to ensure the validity of translations, and at least two independent translators checked the translations (see Table S1 for scale reliability and intra-class correlations of the measurements).

The self-construal scale consisted of 12 items for independent self-construal (e.g., “*Being able to take care of myself is a primary concern for me*”) and 12 items for interdependent self-construal (e.g., “*I will sacrifice my self-interest for the benefit of my group*”). Responses were indicated on 7-point scales, with options ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*).

162

The CSIV assessed influence-adjustment orientation. Participants were instructed to think about interpersonal situations (such as being with close friends, with strangers, at work, and at social gatherings), and indicate, for example, how important to them “*that I have an impact on them*” (influence) and “*that I go along with what they want to do*” (adjustment). Following the procedure used in previous studies (Miyamoto & Wilken, 2010; Tsai et al., 2007), four items were used to measure influence orientation, and five items were used to measure adjustment orientation. Responses were indicated on 5-point scales, with options ranging from 0 (*not important to me*) to 4 (*extremely important to me*).

171

The third measurement, the generalized trust scale, included five items such as, “*Most people are basically honest*.” Responses were indicated on 7-point scales, with options ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*).

175

After completing these psychological measures, participants provided information on their annual household income (open-ended format), which was log-transformed prior to analyses in order to correct the skew. They also indicated their gender, age, and education level. For education level, participants were asked to indicate their latest academic background from the list of options ranging from elementary school to graduate school (Ph.D. level). We used a dummy-coded variable of education (reference category = no college degree) in our analyses.

The institutional review board at Kyoto University (the primary investigator's (KT) home institution at the time of study) did not require review of low risk survey such as ours. As such, we did not seek an institutional approval. All aspects of the study were conducted in accordance with the ethical rules of the American Psychological Association. Participation was voluntary and consent was indicated by survey completion.

187

188 **2.3 Province-level measures**

As an index of province-level wealth, we used provincial means of participants’ annual household income (after log-transformed). We also obtained several province-level variables, and included them as covariates. This included population density (Vandello & Cohen, 1999) and inequality in the distribution of wealth (Fincher et al., 2008). We computed population density from population size (obtained from National Bureau of Statistics of China, 2011) divided by land area (obtained from the website of the State Council of the People’s Republic of China¹ on February 5, 2014). For an index

¹ <http://english.gov.cn/>

of inequality, urban-rural income disparity (ratio of urban disposable income to rural net income, obtained from All China Data Center² on February 6, 2014) was used. In addition, the amount of direct foreign investments into provinces (obtained from All China Data Center on February 6, 2014) was controlled since greater foreign investment may signal greater exposures to Western cultures (Yang, 2002), and that may contribute to independent tendencies of residents. Percentage of in-migrants from other provinces (obtained from Duan et al., 2013) was also included in the models as past research suggested that high residential mobility promotes independent tendencies (Oishi & Kisling, 2009), and wealthy provinces presumably attract more migrants. Also, as four directly controlled municipalities in China (i.e., Beijing, Chongqing, Tianjin, and Shanghai) are generally urbanized, we included a dummy-coded variable to represent a directly controlled municipality. Finally, as an index of education at the province-level, we calculated the percentage of those who obtained college degree or above from our participants' responses. We included this variable as a covariate at the province-level. Descriptive statistics of the province-level variables are shown in Table S2.

3 Results

3.1 Zero-order correlations

As preliminary analyses, we examined zero-order correlations at the individual-level (Table 1) and at the province-level (Table 2). As anticipated, log-transformed annual income (individual-level) was positively associated with independent self-construal ($r = .22$), influence orientation ($r = .26$), and generalized trust ($r = .12$).

Unexpectedly, interdependent self-construal ($r = .12$) and adjustment orientation ($r = .16$) were also positively correlated with annual income, but to somewhat lesser degree when compared to the counterpart subscale (i.e., independent self-construal for interdependent self-construal, and influence orientation for adjustment orientation). The unexpected positive correlations between annual income and the interdependence measures might be caused by response style such as acquiescence response style, which has been found to be strong in collectivistic societies (Schimmack et al., 2005). In fact, the counterpart subscales were positively correlated ($r = .66$ for independent and interdependent self-construals, $r = .63$ for influence and adjustment orientations), though past research suggests that independence and interdependence are two separate constructs (Oyserman et al., 2002). As such, in our main analyses using multilevel modeling, the counterpart subscale was controlled for. For example, independent self-construal was analyzed using the residual term of the regression analysis in which independent self-construal was predicted from interdependent self-construal. Similarly, when we predicted influence, we controlled for adjustment by using the residual from the regression of influence on adjustment.

² <http://chinadataonline.org>

At the province-level (Table 2), provincial mean of logged annual household income was positively associated with independent self-construal ($r = .60$), influence ($r = .62$), and generalized trust ($r = .41$). Unexpectedly, it was also positively associated with adjustment but relatively weakly ($r = .49$). Provincial income was also correlated with several province-level variables (e.g., population density, percentage of in-migrants). As these correlations among the province-level variables might be confounding the correlations between provincial income and the independence-related measures, in the following analyses, we controlled for the effects of these province-level factors as well as the individual-level factors (i.e., age, gender and education level). This is important in order to examine unique effect of wealth on the independent tendencies at the individual-level as well as the province-level using multilevel modeling. Among the province-level covariates, directly controlled municipality, population density, and percentage of in-migrants—three variables presumably relating to the degree of urbanization—were highly correlated with each other (r s = .43 to .67). To avoid multicollinearity in the multilevel modeling, we created a single composite measure of urbanity by averaging them after standardization, and used it as a province-level covariate.

3.2 Multilevel analyses of independence

Multilevel analyses were conducted predicting independence and controlling for the individual- and province-level covariates (Table 3). We found that the within-province effect of annual income on independence was significant and positive. This finding confirm the wealth-independence association at the individual-level. Similarly, within-province effects of annual income were also found for influence orientation and generalized trust. The between-province effects of income were also found to be significantly positive on all the independence-related measures.

Importantly, the contextual effect, obtained by taking the difference of the between-province and within-province effect, was positive in all cases. As illustrated in Figure 1, the regression line for the between-province effect of income on independent self-construal was steeper than the regression lines for the within-province effect of income, and this contextual effect (i.e., the difference between within-province and between-province effects) was positive and significantly different from 0. Similar patterns were found for influence and generalized trust, though the contextual effect on generalized trust was relatively weak and marginally significant (Table 3).

In sum, we found the consistent patterns across all the independence-related tendencies. Annual income had positive associations with independence and related tendencies above and beyond the individual-level. Among participants with the same level of personal wealth, those in rich provinces were more likely to be independent, influence-oriented, and trustful of others, than those in less rich provinces.

3.3 Supplementary analysis: effect of wealth on interdependence

We also examined effects of wealth on the interdependence-related tendencies by similar multilevel analyses (Table 4). As expected, after controlling for various possible confounding factors, the associations between wealth and the interdependent measures, which were partially observed in zero-

order correlation analyses, were no longer found. Annual income was not associated with interdependent self-construal nor adjustment orientation neither at the individual-level nor province-level. The diverging patterns of findings between independence and interdependence-related tendencies are consistent with an observation in the literature that independent and interdependent tendencies are separate dimensions with different correlates (Oyserman et al., 2002).

4 Discussion

Previous studies have found that individuals living in rich societies are inclined toward independence. The purpose of the current study was to separate the individual-level effect and contextual effect of wealth on independence, and to examine whether the wealth-independence link emerges through social processes above and beyond individual processes. We investigated regional differences in independence-related tendencies (independent self-construal, influence orientation, and generalized trust) across 31 provinces in China. The multilevel analyses confirmed the individual-level associations between wealth and independence-related tendencies. It was also revealed that income had contextual effect on independence-related tendencies, indicated by larger effects at the province-level than the individual-level. Thus, even if one is not rich, his or her independent tendency is likely promoted through affluence of surrounding others.

Below, we discuss a question that should be addressed in future studies. Specifically, why does wealth of surrounding others promote one's independence? The first possibility is social transmission of psychological/behavioral tendencies from rich to non-rich individuals. It has been suggested that people tend to learn from prestigious others (e.g., Colleran et al., 2014). Rich (i.e., economically successful) individuals may be regarded as a model of social learning. Consequently, individuals living in rich provinces might have acquired independent tendency through social learning from rich people, who have acquired independent tendency based on their own richness through individual-level processes.

The second possibility is based on the social norms and institutions, markets in particular, that people create as a group. Markets may promote independent tendency by facilitating interactions among strangers (Greenfield et al., 2003; Henrich et al., 2010). At the individual-level, the extent to which an individual is integrated into markets (e.g., obtains resources from markets) may be correlated with the amount of money he or she has, as money affords means to obtain resources through market exchange. Consider a case of labor outsourcing, such as baby-sitting. One could either arrange childcare through their own social network (e.g., asking a friend or a relative) or through market, and rich individuals are more able to rely on the latter. At the society-level, presence of a large number of market-integrated individuals likely indicates the salience of social norms relevant to exchange with strangers, which in turn may promote independent tendency (Yuki & Takemura, 2013). Research supports this rationale. For example, members of herding communities, in comparison to farming communities, interact with strangers more (Uskul & Over, 2014) and have more independent tendency (Witkin & Berry, 1975). Similarly, Leung and Cohen (2011) argue that the presence of

active market is connected to a culturally shared notion that individual worth is inalienable (vs. socially conferred), which is an important aspect of independent culture (Heine, 2005). These findings imply that in provinces where many individuals go to market and use money obtain resources, norms that facilitate independent tendency are collectively created.

The third explanation is the role of choice that wealth affords at individual-level (e.g., Triandis et al., 1988). While originally, this theory might have suggested that it is the amount of choices that an individual *has* that matters, our findings suggest that what matters more is the amount of choices they *see*. Presumably, there are more shops, goods and services in the wealthier provinces, and individuals would perceive a greater range of options and possibilities for goods and services to select from in richer provinces regardless of individuals' own richness. In turn, perceiving a wider range of options and possibilities may motivate individuals to pursue personal success and achievement over interpersonal harmony with close others.

In sum, the current study contributes to the literature by identifying the role of social processes in the wealth-independence link. The current findings, in turn, shed light on the new question: what are the specific social mechanisms underlying the wealth-independence link? Further research on this issue would be instrumental in advancing the understanding of the association between wealth and independent psychological processes.

5 Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study design. Data collection and the data analysis were performed by KT. KT and TH drafted the manuscript, and YG and SS provided critical revisions. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript for submission.

6 Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research and/or authorship of this article: Graduate School of Management, Kyoto University (grant number: not applicable).

7 Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Thomas Talhelm and Dr. Yukiko Uchida for their comments.

8 References

- 346 Allik, J., & Realo, A. (2004). Individualism-collectivism and social capital. *Journal of Cross-*
347 *Cultural Psychology*, 35, 29-49.
- 348 Brewer, M.B., & Chen, Y.-r. (2007). Where (who) are collectives in collectivism? Toward
349 conceptual clarification of individualism and collectivism. *Psychological Review*, 114, 133-
350 151.
- 351 Brewer, M. B., & Yuki, M. (2007). Culture and social identity. In S. Kitayama, & D. Cohen (Eds.),
352 *Handbook of cultural psychology* (pp. 307-322). New York: Guilford.
- 353 Christ, O., Schmid, K., Lolliot, S., Swart, H., Stolle, D., Tausch, N., Al Ramiah, A., Wagner, U.,
354 Vertovec, S., & Hewstone, M. (2014). Contextual effect of positive intergroup contact on
355 outgroup prejudice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
356 *America*, 111, 3996-4000.
- 357 Colleran, H., Jasienska, G., Nenko, I., Galbarczyk, A., & Mace, R. (2014). Community-level
358 education accelerates the cultural evolution of fertility decline. *Proceedings of the Royal*
359 *Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281, 20132732.
- 360 Enders, C. K., & Tofighi, D. (2007). Centering predictor variables in cross-sectional multilevel
361 models: A new look at an old issue. *Psychological Methods*, 12, 121-138.
- 362 Fleisher, B., Li, H., & Zhao, M. Q. (2008). Human capital, economic growth, and regional inequality
363 in China. *Journal of Development Economics*, 92, 215-231.
- 364 Gelfand, M.J., Raver, J.L., Nishii, L., Leslie, L.M., Lun, J., Lim, B.C., Duan, L., Almailach, A., Ang,
365 S., Arnadottir, J., Aycan, Z., Boehnke, K., Boski, P., Cabecinhas, R., Chan, D., Chhokar, J.,
366 D'Amato, A., Ferrer, M., Fischlmayr, I.C., Fischer, R., Fülöp, M., Georgas, J., Kashima, E.S.,
367 Kashima, Y., Kim, K., Lempereur, A., Marquez, P., Othman, R., Overlaet, B.,
368 Panagiotopoulou, P., Peltzer, K., Perez-Florizno, L.R., Ponomarenko, L., Realo, A., Schei, V.,
369 Schmitt, M., Smith, P.B., Soomro, N., Szabo, E., Taveesin, N., Toyama, M., Van de Vliert,
370 E., Vohra, N., Ward, C., & Yamaguchi, S. (2011). Differences between tight and loose
371 cultures: A 33-nation study. *Science*, 332, 1100-1104.
- 372 Georgas, J., van de Vijver, F. J. R., & Berry, J. W. (2004). The ecocultural framework, ecosocial
373 indices, and psychological variables in cross-cultural research. *Journal of Cross-Cultural*
374 *Psychology*, 35, 74-96.
- 375 Greenfield, P. M., Maynard, A. E., & Childs, C. P. (2003). Historical change, cultural learning, and
376 cognitive representation in Zinacantec Maya children. *Cognitive Development*, 18, 455-487.
- 377 Grossmann, I., & Varnum, M.E.W. (2010). Social class, culture, and cognition. *Social Psychological*
378 *and Personality Science*, 2, 181-189.
- 379 Grossmann, I., & Varnum, M. E. W. (2015). Social structure, infectious diseases, disasters,
380 secularism, and cultural change in America. *Psychological Science*, 26, 311-324.
- 381 Hamamura, T. (2012). Are cultures becoming individualistic? A cross-temporal comparison of
382 individualism-collectivism in the U.S. and Japan. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*,
383 16, 3-24.
- 384 Hamamura, T., Xu, Q., & Du, Y. (2013). Culture, social class, and independence-interdependence:
385 The case of Chinese adolescents. *International Journal of Psychology*, 48, 344-351.

- 386 Heine, S. J. (2005). Constructing good selves in Japan and North America. In R. M. Sorrentino, D.
387 Cohen, J. M. Olson, and M. P. Zanna (Eds.), *Culture and social behavior: The tenth Ontario*
388 *Symposium* (pp. 115-143). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- 389 Heine, S. J. (2008). *Cultural psychology*. New York: Norton.
- 390 Henrich, J., Boyd, R., Bowles, S., Gintis, H., Fehr, E., Camerer, C., McElreath, R., Gurven, M., Hill,
391 K., Barr, A., Ensminger, J., Tracer, D., Marlow, F. W., Patton, J. Q., Alvard, M., Gil-White,
392 F., & Henrich, N. S. (2005). 'Economic man' in cross-cultural perspective: Ethnography and
393 experiments from 15 small-scale societies. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 28, 795-855.
- 394 Henrich, J., Ensminger, J., McElreath, R., Barr, A., Barrett, C., Bolyanatz, A., Cardenas, J.C.,
395 Gurven, M., Gwako, E., Henrich, N., Lesorogol, C., Marlowe, F., Tracer, D., & Ziker, J.
396 (2010). Markets, religion, community size, and the evolution of fairness and punishment.
397 *Science*, 327, 1480-1484.
- 398 Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). The weirdest people in the world? *Behavioral*
399 *and Brain Sciences*, 33, 61-83.
- 400 Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*.
401 Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- 402 Hvistendahl, M. (2013). The numbers game. *Science*, 340, 1037-1039.
- 403 Inglehart, R., & Baker, W. E. (2000). Modernization, cultural change, and the persistence of
404 traditional values. *American Sociological Review*, 165, 19-51.
- 405 Kitayama, S., & Uskul, A. K. (2011). Culture, mind, and the brain: current evidence and future
406 directions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 62, 419-449.
- 407 Kohn, M. L., Naoi, A., Schoenbach, C., Schooler, C., & Slomczynski, K. M. (1990). Position in the
408 class structure and psychological functioning in the United States, Japan, and Poland.
409 *American Journal of Sociology*, 95, 964-1008.
- 410 Kraus, M. W., & Keltner, D. (2009). Signs of socioeconomic status: A thin-slicing approach.
411 *Psychological Science*, 20, 99-106.
- 412 Kraus, M. W., Piff, P. K., Mendoza-Denton, R., Rheinschmidt, M. L., & Keltner, D. (2012). Social
413 class, solipsism, and contextualism: How the rich are different from the poor. *Psychological*
414 *Review*, 119, 546-572.
- 415 Leung, A. K.-Y., & Cohen, D. (2011). Within- and between-culture variation: Individual differences
416 and the cultural logics of honor, face, and dignity cultures. *Journal of Personality and Social*
417 *Psychology*, 100, 507-526.
- 418 Locke, K. (2000). Circumplex scales of interpersonal values: Reliability, validity, and applicability to
419 interpersonal problems and personality disorders. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 75, 249-
420 267.
- 421 Maas, C. J. M., & Hox, J. J. (2005). Sufficient sample sizes for multilevel modeling. *Methodology*, 1,
422 86-92.
- 423 Markus, H. R. & Kitayama, S. (1991). Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and
424 motivation. *Psychological Review*, 98, 224-253.

- 425 Morling, B., Kitayama, S., & Miyamoto, Y. (2002). Cultural practices emphasize influence in the
426 United States and adjustment in Japan. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28, 311-
427 323.
- 428 Na, J., Grossmann, I., Varnum, M.E.W., Kitayama, S., Gonzalez, R., & Nisbett, R. (2010). Cultural
429 differences are not always reducible to individual differences. *Proceedings of the National*
430 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107, 6192-6197.
- 431 Oishi, S., & Kisling, J. (2009). The mutual constitution of residential mobility and individualism. In
432 R.S. Wyer, C-y. Chiu, & Y-y. Hong (Eds.), *Understanding culture: Theory, research, and*
433 *application* (pp. 223-238). New York, NY: Psychology Press.
- 434 Oyserman, D., Coon, H. M., & Kemmelmeier, M. (2002). Rethinking individualism and collectivism:
435 Evaluation of theoretical assumptions and meta-analyses. *Psychological Bulletin*, 128, 3-72.
- 436 Raudenbush, S. W., & Bryk, A. S. (2002). *Hierarchical linear models* (2nd ed.). Newbury Park, CA:
437 Sage.
- 438 Schimmack, U., Oishi, S., & Diener, E. (2005). Individualism: A valid and important dimension of
439 cultural differences between nations. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 9, 17-31.
- 440 Shweder, R. (1991). Cultural psychology: What is it? In R. A. Shweder (Ed.), *Thinking through*
441 *cultures: Expeditions in cultural psychology* (pp. 73-110). Cambridge, MA: Harvard
442 University Press.
- 443 Singelis, T. M. (1994). The measurement of independent and interdependent self-construals.
444 *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 20, 580-591.
- 445 Snibbe, A. C., & Markus, H. R. (2005). You can't always get what you want: Educational attainment,
446 agency, and choice. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 88, 703-720.
- 447 Stephens, N. M., Markus, H. R., & Townsend, S. S. M. (2007). Choice as an act of meaning: The
448 case of social class. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 93, 814-830.
- 449 Talhelm, T, Zhang, X., Oishi, S., Shimin, C., Duan, D., Lan, X., et al. (2014). Discovery of large-
450 scale psychological differences within China explained by rice vs. wheat agriculture. *Science*,
451 344, 603-608.
- 452 Tay, L., Morrison, M., & Diener, E. (2014). Living among the affluent: Boon or bane? *Psychological*
453 *Science*, 25, 1235-1241.
- 454 Triandis, H. C. (1995). *Individualism & Collectivism*. Boulder: Westview Press.
- 455 Triandis, H. C., Bontempo, R., Villareal, M. J., Asai, M., & Lucca, N. (1988). Individualism and
456 collectivism: Cross-cultural perspectives on self-ingroup relationships. *Journal of Personality*
457 *and Social Psychology*, 54, 323-338.
- 458 Uskul, A., & Over, H. (2014). Responses to social exclusion in cultural context: Evidence from
459 farming and herding communities. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 106, 752-
460 771.
- 461 Varnum, M. E. W., Grossmann, I., Kitayama, S., & Nisbett, R. E. (2010). The origin of cultural
462 differences in cognition: The social orientation hypothesis. *Current Directions in*
463 *Psychological Science*, 19, 9-13.
- 464 Witkin, H. A., & Berry, J. W. (1975). Psychological differentiation in cross-cultural perspective.
465 *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 1, 5-87.

Contextual effect of wealth on independence

- 466 Xie, Y., & Zhou, X. (2014) Income inequality in today's China. *Proceedings of the National*
467 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111, 6928-6933.
- 468 Yamagishi, T. (2011). Micro-macro dynamics of the cultural construction of reality: A niche
469 construction approach to culture. In M. J. Gelfand, C-y. Chiu & Y-y. Hong (Eds.), *Advances*
470 *in culture and psychology* (Vol.1, pp. 251-308). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 471 Yamagishi, T., & Yamagishi, M. (1994). Trust and commitment in the United States and Japan.
472 *Motivation and Emotion*, 18, 129-166.
- 473 Yang, D. T. (2002). What has caused regional inequality in China? *China Economic Review*, 13, 331-
474 334.
- 475 Yuki, M., Maddux, W.W., Brewer, M.B., & Takemura, K. (2005). Cross-cultural differences in
476 relationship- and group-based trust. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 31, 48-62.
- 477 Yuki, M., & Takemura, K. (2013). Intergroup comparison and intragroup relationships: Group
478 processes in the cultures of individualism and collectivism. In M. Yuki & M. B. Brewer
479 (Eds.), *Frontiers of culture and psychology: Culture and group processes* (pp. 38-65). New
480 York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- 481
- 482
- 483

9 Tables and Figures

Table 1. Zero-order correlations between individual-level variables (Pearson's r)

	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)	7)	8)	9)
1) Annual income (log-transformed)	-.01	.06**	.24***	.22***	.12***	.26***	.16***	.12***
2) Gender (ref = male)		-.16***	.01	-.08**	-.06*	-.08***	-.11***	-.01
3) Age			-.15***	.18***	.10***	.00	-.03***	.13***
4) Education (ref = no college degree)				.05*	.08***	.18***	.13***	.06*
5) Independent self-construal					.66***	.54***	.41***	.42***
6) Interdependent self-construal						.44***	.42***	.50***
7) Influence							.63***	.31***
8) Adjustment								.24***
9) Generalized trust								

*** $p < .001$ ** $p < .01$ * $p < .05$

Contextual effect of wealth on independence

Table 2. Zero-order correlations at province-level (Pearson's r)

	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)	7)	8)	9)	10)	11)	12)
1) Provincial mean of logged annual household income	.45*	.64***	.66***	-.50**	.53**	.39*	.60***	.25	.62***	.49**	.41*
2) Directly controlled municipality		.67***	.43*	-.34 [†]	.16	.44*	.22	.10	.20	.15	-.09
3) Population density (person/km ²)			.64***	-.47**	.38*	.38*	.37*	.17	.33 [†]	.24	.14
4) Percentage of in-migrants				-.40*	.37*	.41*	.39*	.18	.29	.18	.21
5) Ratio of rural net income to urban disposable income					-.49**	-.34 [†]	-.23	-.01	-.18	-.04	-.40*
6) Direct foreign investments (1 million USD)						.23	.17	.10	.20	.16	.23
7) Percentage of person with college degree or above							.29	.39*	.50**	.46**	.41*
8) Independent self-construal								.71***	.81***	.72***	.59***
9) Interdependent self-construal									.72***	.76***	.57***
10) Influence										.90***	.67***
11) Adjustment											.62***
12) Generalized trust											

*** $p < .001$ ** $p < .01$ * $p < .05$ [†] $p < .10$

Table 3. Multilevel analyses of independent self-construal, influence, and generalized trust

	Independent self-construal ¹⁾			Influence ²⁾			Generalized trust		
	Coef.	(SE)	<i>p</i>	Coef.	(SE)	<i>p</i>	Coef.	(SE)	<i>p</i>
Annual income (log-transformed)									
Within-province effect	0.28	(0.04)	< .001	0.31	(0.05)	< .001	0.26	(0.08)	.001
Between-province effect	0.93	(0.22)	< .001	1.18	(0.26)	< .001	1.00	(0.38)	.014
Contextual effect	0.65	(0.22)	.008	0.87	(0.27)	.003	0.74	(0.39)	.066
Individual-level covariates									
Gender (ref = male)	-0.04	(0.03)	.197	-0.03	(0.03)	.381	0.03	(0.05)	.550
Age	0.01	(0.00)	< .001	0.00	(0.00)	.537	0.01	(0.00)	< .001
Education (ref = no college degree)	-0.03	(0.03)	.238	0.11	(0.03)	.001	0.09	(0.05)	.076
Province-level covariates									
Ratio of rural net income to urban disposable income	-0.04	(0.03)	.245	0.00	(0.04)	.930	-0.11	(0.06)	.065
Direct foreign investments (10 thousand USD)	-0.0000001	(0.00)	.066	0.00	(0.00)	.215	0.00	(0.00)	.547
Urbanity ³⁾	0.00	(0.03)	.968	-0.06	(0.03)	.087	-0.12	(0.04)	.009
Percentage of person with college degree or above	-0.33	(0.18)	.087	0.53	(0.22)	.024	0.74	(0.32)	.028
Model fit and summary									
Sample size	1644			1644			1644		
AIC	2580			3071			4501		
BIC	2644			3135			4566		
Log likelihood	-1278			-1523			-2238		

Note. Estimation method: maximum likelihood. All individual-level predictors centered around province-mean. Effects with $p < .10$ appear in bold print.

¹⁾ The residual was used from the regression of independent self-construal on interdependent self-construal. ²⁾ The residual was used from the regression of influence on adjustment. ³⁾ Urbanity is a composite measure of Directly controlled municipality (dummy-coded), Population density, and Percentage of in-migrants (averaged after standardization).

Table 4. Multilevel analyses of interdependent self-construal and adjustment

	Interdependent self-construal ¹⁾			Adjustment ²⁾		
	Coef.	(SE)	<i>p</i>	Coef.	(SE)	<i>p</i>
Annual income (log-transformed)						
Within-province effect	-0.06	(0.04)	.161	0.00	(0.05)	.946
Between-province effect	-0.40	(0.24)	.115	-0.04	(0.23)	.867
Contextual effect	-0.34	(0.25)	.187	-0.04	(0.23)	.880
Individual-level covariates						
Gender (ref = male)	-0.01	(0.03)	.777	-0.09	(0.03)	.002
Age	0.00	(0.00)	.785	0.00	(0.00)	.115
Education (ref = no college degree)	0.08	(0.03)	.009	0.01	(0.03)	.719
Province-level covariates						
Ratio of rural net income to urban disposable income	0.06	(0.04)	.121	0.04	(0.03)	.196
Direct foreign investments (10 thousand USD)	0.00	(0.00)	.220	0.00	(0.00)	.567
Urbanity ³⁾	-0.01	(0.03)	.734	-0.01	(0.03)	.812
Percentage of person with college degree or above	0.59	(0.21)	.008	0.15	(0.19)	.428
Model fit and summary						
Sample size	1644			1644		
AIC	2586			2846		
BIC	2651			2911		
Log likelihood	-1281			-1411		

Note. Estimation method: maximum likelihood. All individual-level predictors centered around province-mean. Effects with $p < .10$ appear in bold print.

¹⁾ The residual was used from the regression of interdependent self-construal on independent self-construal. ²⁾ The residual was used from the regression of adjustment on influence. ³⁾ Urbanity is a composite measure of Directly controlled municipality (dummy-coded), Population density, and Percentage of in-migrants (averaged after standardization).

Figure 1. Results of the multilevel analysis: Independent self-construal as a function of logged annual household income. In the graph, the three solid lines are regression lines for the effect of individual-level income on independent self-construal at three levels of provincial income (average household income at the province-level). The dotted line is regression line for the relation between province-level income and independent self-construal.

