1	An assessment of the footprint and carrying capacity of oil and gas well sites: The implications
2	for limiting hydrocarbon reserves.
3	
4	Clancy, S. A. ^{1*} , Worrall, F. ¹ , Davies, R. J. ² , & Gluyas, J. G. ¹
5	
6	^{1.} Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Science Labs, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK.
7	^{2.} School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle, NE1 7RU, UK.
8	
9	*Corresponding author: sarah.a.clancy@durham.ac.uk
10	
11	Abstract
12	We estimate the likely physical footprint of well pads if shale gas or oil developments were to go
13	forward in Europe and used these estimates to understand their impact upon existing infrastructure
14	(e.g. roads, buildings etc), the carrying capacity of the environment, and how the proportion of
15	extractable resources maybe limited. Using visual imagery, we calculate the average conventional
16	well site footprints to be 10800 m ² in the UK, 44600 m ² in The Netherlands and 3000 m ² in Poland.
17	The average area per well is 541 m ² /well in the UK, 6370 m ² /well in The Netherlands, and 2870
18	m ² /well in Poland. Average access road lengths are 230 m in the UK, 310 m in The Netherlands and
19	250 m in Poland.
20	To assess the carrying capacity of the land surface, well pads of the average footprint, with
21	recommended setbacks, were placed randomly into the licensed blocks covering the Bowland Shale,

UK. The extent to which they interacted or disrupted existing infrastructure was then assessed. For the UK, the direct footprint would have a 33% probability of interacting with immovable infrastructure, but this would rise to 73% if a 152 m setback was used, and 91% for a 609 m setback. The minimum setbacks from a currently producing well in the UK were calculated to be 21 m and 46 m from a nonresidential and residential property respectively, with mean setbacks of 329 m and 447 m, respectively. When the surface and sub-surface footprints were considered, the carrying capacity within the licensed blocks was between 5 and 42%, with a mean of 26%. Using previously predicted technically recoverable reserves of 8.5 x 10^{11} m³ for the Bowland Basin and a recovery factor of 26%, the likely maximum accessible gas reserves would be limited by the surface carrying capacity to 2.21 x 10^{11} m³.

32

33 Key words: Fracking, Shale gas, Setbacks, Infrastructure, Bowland Shale, Well pad.

34

35 **1.0** Introduction

36 The rapid growth of shale gas developments within the United States (US) and the possibility of developments within Europe have raised concerns about the potential environmental impact 37 38 (McGowan, 2014; Bomberg, 2013). Landscape disturbance from shale gas developments is inevitable 39 (Drohan et al., 2012) as numerous wells (10 wells each with multiple laterals) from many well pads 40 are required to intersect the gas bearing formation(s) for the resource to be economically viable 41 (Baranzilli et al., 2015). Land disturbance will vary depending on, amongst other considerations, the 42 number of wells per pad, the well pad size, the well pad density (pads per area), and the specifics of 43 the shale play that is being developed (Baranzelli et al., 2015). Furthermore, the pattern of land 44 ownership, public engagement and development regulations may cause higher or lower densities of 45 well pads.

46 The spatial footprint of shale gas developments consists of the well pad and the area required 47 for access roads. In part, the number of wells on each pad defines the size of the well pad. In recent 48 years the mean and maximum number of wells per site has been increasing, this trend has been 49 attributed to advancements in technology and an understanding that greater consolidation of 50 infrastructure is more efficient and economical (Drohan et al., 2012). In Pennsylvania, 51 Johnson et al. (2010) document a mean of two producing wells per pad, Drohan et al. (2012) reported 52 over 75% of pads to have just one or two wells per pad, whilst Jantz et al. (2014) found a mean of 53 2.45 wells per pad. When including producing and permitted wells there was a higher mean of 4.67 54 wells per pad. Jantz et al. (2014) focused on the more recently developed Bradford County, 55 Pennsylvania, thereby giving a more recent picture of current development patterns and consolidation

of infrastructure. In the UK, Cuadrilla Resource Ltd., herein termed Cuadrilla, who are currently 56 57 investigating potential shale gas production from the Bowland Shale in Lancashire, have stated that 58 they intend to have 10 wells per pad (Regeneris Consulting, 2011). The UK's Institute of Directors 59 (IoD) suggested several potential development scenarios, one of which was based on the development 60 of pads with 10 vertical wells and 40 laterals (four laterals per vertical well – Taylor et al., 2013). The 61 US Inner City Fund (2009) summarised planning information requested by the New York Department 62 of Environmental Conservation from three active Marcellus Shale operators and showed that a multiwell pad with six to eight wells would be between 10000 m² to 23000 m² (1 ha to 2.3 ha), with a 63 typical site being 19000 m² (1.9 ha). The US Inner City Fund has suggested a 'rule-of-thumb', based 64 on discussions with operators: assume an initial single-well pad size of 13000 m^2 (1.3 ha) that 65 increases by approximately 1600 m² (0.16 ha) per well, i.e. according to these guidelines, a six well 66 pad would have a footprint of 21000 m² (2.1 ha) (US Inner City Fund, 2009). In the UK, Cuadrilla is 67 planning to develop 10 wells on a 7000 m² (0.7 ha) well pad (Broderick et al., 2011). However, Taylor 68 et al. (2013) suggest future scenarios with shale gas pads of 20000 m^2 (2 ha). 69

70 It is difficult to review the additional footprint required for well site access roads as many 71 researchers have not distinguished between the area required for general infrastructure (e.g. pipelines 72 and storage ponds etc.) and the area specifically required for roads. However, Jantz et al. (2014) made this distinction and found the mean additional area for access roads to be 12000 m^2 (1.2 ha), with a 73 74 range of 200 m² to 68000 m² (0.02 ha to 6.8 ha). Jiang et al. (2011) recorded a lower average of 5800 m^2 (0.58 ha), with a range of 400 m² to 11100 m² (0.04 ha to 1.11 ha). Access road widths generally 75 76 range from 6 m to 12 m during the drilling and fracturing phase and from 3 m to 6 m during the 77 production phase (NYS DEC, 2015). Calculations show that for every 46 m by 9 m access road, ~400 78 m^2 (0.04 ha) is added to the total well site surface acreage (NYS DEC, 2015). Permit applications for 79 Marcellus horizontal wells prior to 2009 recorded road lengths ranging from 40 m to approximately 80 900 m (NYS DEC, 2015).

81 The physical footprint of the well pads and access roads do not necessarily represent the 82 entire surface area as many regulatory bodies have proposed setbacks from the edge of the physical 83 well pad. Setbacks are defined as the distance that well pads have to be away from existing infrastructure, they are enforced to provide additional protection to water resources, personal and public property, and the health and safety of the public (Eshleman & Elmore, 2013). The UK and several other European countries have no legislative or planning policy requirements on minimum setback distances; they are designated on a site to site basis (Cave, 2015). In the US, restrictions vary from state to state and are often based on local conditions such as population density (Richardson et al., 2013). Of the 20 sites surveyed in Richardson et al. (2013), 65% have building setback restrictions ranging from 30 m to 305 m from the wellbore, with an average of 94 m.

91 Surface footprint should be considered alongside the subsurface footprint. Geology, planning 92 permits and legal requirements, along with the current onshore drilling technology, limits lateral well 93 extent and therefore the well pad spacing (NYS DEC, 2015). Currently maximum lateral length 94 cannot greatly exceed the depth of the well, however as drilling technology evolves this is likely to 95 change (NYS DEC, 2015). In the UK, Broderick et al. (2011) and Hardy, (2014) note that typical 96 horizontal wellbores extend 1 km to 1.5 km laterally, but agree it can be more. The Maryland 97 Department of the Environment indicates that spacing multi-well pads in dense clusters located as far 98 apart as is technically feasible makes maximum use of horizontal drilling technology and could 99 minimise the surface footprint (Eshleman & Elmore, 2013). Composite Energy (cited in Broderick et al., 2011) estimates laterals of 1 to 1.5 pads per 1 km² (100 ha) should be sufficient in a UK setting. 100 101 However, even spacing of well pads is often impossible, as it does not account for geology and above 102 ground constraints, such as existing infrastructure (Broderick et al., 2011).

103 At the time of writing, few shale gas wells have been drilled in Europe. However, the 'big 104 four' plays (the Barnett, the Fayetteville, the Hayneville, and Marcellus Shale) in the US host more 105 than 30000 wells, consequently the literature is based mostly on US experiences (Inman, 2014). With 106 a nascent shale gas industry in the UK and the rest of Europe, resource estimates are beginning to be 107 published (e.g. Andrews, 2013; The Geological Society, 2012). However, accessible resource 108 estimates around the world have not considered the carrying capacity (total area required) of the 109 surface or subsurface footprint and how well site placements are restricted by the current surface 110 environment, e.g. proximity to domestic housing. It will not be possible to drill where these are 111 located without substantial and potentially unacceptable disruption. The limit on accessible surface

112 locations and how this impacts recoverable resources has not been included in any resource 113 evaluation. This study aims to determine the likely physical footprint of well pads if shale gas 114 developments were to go forward in Europe. Using these estimates, we hope to better understand the 115 carrying capacity of the environment and the associated limitations on recoverable resources.

116

117 **2.0** Approach and method

118 To estimate the likely footprint of any shale gas development and the likely restriction this would 119 cause to recoverable resources we considered the likely size of well pads and the size of potential 120 setbacks. Without a shale gas industry currently operating within Europe, information has been drawn 121 from the US and analogues within Europe (conventional wells in the UK, The Netherlands and 122 Poland). The assessment of carrying capacity based on the well pad footprints was applied to the 123 Bowland Shale, UK. The surface area above the Bowland Shale is split into blocks which are 124 generally 100 km² (10000 ha) (Fig. 1). The UK government grants licences for designated blocks and 125 invites exploration companies to bid for the right to explore that block for hydrocarbon resources. At 126 the time of writing, 127 blocks over the Bowland Shale are licenced to various operators (Fig. 1). To 127 assess whether the likely footprint from well pads represents an impact unique to shale gas extraction, 128 comparisons to other types of currently operating comparator industries such as wastewater treatment 129 works and petrol stations has been undertaken.

130

131 **2.1** Footprint of conventional onshore hydrocarbon operations within Europe

The onshore, conventional well pads of the UK, The Netherlands and Poland were selected for study as the data were comprehensive and publicly accessible. Additionally, they represent a range of conventional onshore development styles in countries at varying stages of shale gas exploration. All 2193 wells drilled onshore in the UK were analysed (Oil and Gas Authority (a), 2016).

For The Netherlands, 426 of the 4307 onshore wells have been studied (Geological Survey of the Netherlands, 2016). To ensure an unbiased selection, wells sites were selected using the stratified random sampling technique; they were split into the 12 Dutch provinces and listed in order of spud date (the date drilling of the well began) before a proportional number from each province with varying spud dates was randomly selected. Of Poland's 8076 onshore wells, 802 have been analysed (Polish Geological Survey, 2016). However, due to less readily accessible data, a different selection process was used; the first 802 onshore wells that were listed on the Polish Geological Survey database were selected.

144 The direct well site footprint has been defined as the land required for the borehole, drilling 145 and fracturing equipment, storage facilities and the additional land required for noise and visual 146 barriers, such as hedges. For each country, we used aerial photography and the Google Earth polygon 147 and ruler tool to measure the perimeter and area of each site in order to obtain the direct footprint. The 148 measurements were then divided by the number of wells per pad to calculate the average area required 149 per well. Additional access road measurements were included where possible (existing roads were 150 not). Access roads were defined as purpose built extensions to existing roads which were solely built 151 to allow for well sites access.

The majority of the Google Earth imagery was taken between 2005 and 2015 and was of good quality. Where ambiguity in the well site measurements did arise (due to issues such as photographic resolution, seasonal cover etc.), they were categorised by reliability. A quality classification system was not used for the access road measurements. In cases where identification was ambiguous, measurements were not taken.

157 Strong indication: Very clear indication of well site location, no or little ambiguity in defining well158 site boundaries (Fig. 1a).

Poor indication: Fairly good indication of well site located. One was relatively confident on definingan accurate perimeter.

161 Very poor indication: Some indication of a well site being present at some point, e.g. (1) well shape 162 patches of field discolouration (Fig. 1b); (2) a clear patch of woodland or a pond in dense woodland 163 the same shape and size of a well site (Fig. 1c).

164 No indication: No well site present and no evidence of having been present.

165 To ensure a sufficient number of well sites were measured from Poland and The Netherlands 166 the results were bootstrapped. This random sampling technique allowed us to assess the confidence in 167 the sample number. The bootstrap approach re-samples the current sample and measures how 168 summary statistics vary upon re-sampling as a means of judging the adequacy of the overall sample. 169 By re-sampling 100 measured well sites in groups of 10 we have evaluated the properties of variance 170 and have been able to determine the level of confidence in the sample size.

171

172 2.2 Impact of well sites and setbacks on the land in the UK

173 For the purpose of this analysis, the setbacks defined for the State of Maryland developments were 174 used; a setback of 152 m from the well pad for private wells, and a 609 m setback from upstream 175 public surface water supply intakes and public system wells. These values were deemed suitable as 176 they were vigorously scrutinized before being recommended for use in the State of Maryland. Whilst 177 states such as Pennsylvania went ahead with the exploration and production of the Marcellus Shale, 178 Maryland had an unofficial moratorium on shale gas development and during this time carefully 179 considered whether exploration could go forward safely (Eshleman & Elmore, 2013). After much 180 assessment of neighbouring states, reviews of current unconventional shale gas development 181 regulations and best management practises, visits to well sites and an assessment of the available 182 literature, the setback values suggested were determined to be acceptable (Eshleman & Elmore, 183 2013).

184 To assess the impact of well pads developed on the UK landscape; this study employed a 185 variant of the Buffon's needle approach (Ramaley, 1969). A well pad (as measured above) and its 186 associated setbacks (as taken from State of Maryland developments) were randomly placed into the currently licensed blocks covering the Bowland Shale, and the probability that the direct and indirect 187 188 footprint enclosed or crossed a feature of interest was calculated (Fig. 2). We considered 100 189 randomly placed well pads, based upon the suggested size of the UK industry (Taylor et al., 2013). 190 The license block and the x and y coordinates within that block were randomly generated. The impact 191 on different land types and existing infrastructure were recorded based on their importance as ranked 192 below:

193 - Mild (easily movable): fields, hedgerows and footpaths.

194 - Moderate (movable but with some challenges): woodland and tracks.

195 - Considerable (movable but extremely challenging): roads, railway lines and buildings.

- 196 Immovable (impossible to move): protected ponds, streams and rivers.
- 197 To assess if our sample of 100 well sites was an adequate sample size, a bootstrap analysis198 was performed on the results.
- 199

200 **2.3 Wells per licence block**

To determine the carrying capacity of an area for shale gas development, the number of well pads and associated setbacks it would be possible to place within a licence block without impacting existing infrastructure and without compromising access to the resource was assessed. A licensed block covering the Bowland Shale (Fig. 1) was selected using the uniform random distribution technique, and then the number of well pads that could be placed into that block with the recommended setbacks was calculated. The recommended setback of 152 m from the borehole determines the physical footprint on the land; it generates a total surface footprint of ~92400 m² (9.2 ha).

208 The subsurface footprint together with the surface footprint was included in the assessment of 209 carrying capacity. The former was determined by the lateral extent of the horizontal wells: this study 210 deemed a 500 m lateral a realistic projection for new UK developments, thus generating a subsurface footprint of 1 km² (100 ha). To assess the carrying capacity with respect to the subsurface the number 211 of 1 km² (100 ha) sites that could fit into 20 of the 100 km² (10000 ha) licence blocks without overlap 212 213 or disruption of surface infrastructure was counted. Of these 20 license blocks, 15 were randomly 214 selected using the uniform random distribution technique, whilst five were chosen on the basis that 215 they represented end members of the number of sites that could be located within a licence block. To 216 assess if 20 random sites were sufficient to characterise the population, a bootstrap analysis was 217 performed on all of the results, resampling in groups of five. From these results, a number of shale gas 218 development scenarios were generated based on the physical number of well sites each block can sustain, assuming all 127 currently leased licenced blocks were developed. 219

220

221 2.4 Conventional well setbacks

222 Eshleman and Elmore (2013) recommended setbacks were used. To determine if these were realistic 223 current acceptable setbacks from producing conventional well sites within the UK were measured. 224 Using aerial photographs, the setback distances of 121 producing well sites were measured. 225 Measurements were taken from the borehole to the edge of the nearest building (e.g. house, barn, farm 226 etc.). Where more than one borehole was located on a well site, a central borehole was selected. 227 Where the nearest building was not a house, the setback from the borehole to the nearest house was 228 also measured. Setbacks from the nearest train line, pond, flowing water system (e.g. dyke, stream, 229 river, sea) were also measured. If the setback was greater than 650 m from these additional 230 infrastructures, it was not considered further.

231

232 **2.5** Footprint of currently operating comparator industries in the UK

233 To assess whether the footprints from unconventional well sites represent an impact unique to shale 234 gas extraction, comparisons to other types of currently operating industries was undertaken. Petrol 235 stations being of roughly a similar size are a good comparison to shale gas well sites; both are often 236 located in rural settings; and need to manage hazardous chemicals and hydrocarbons. There were 237 8494 petrol stations in the UK in 2015 (UK Petroleum Industry Association, 2016). We randomly 238 selected and measured the direct physical footprint of 50. Our study excludes those attached to super 239 markets, or with additional shops or car washes attached. All measurements were bootstrapped in 240 groups of ten to ensure the sample size was sufficient and a fair representation of petrol stations 241 overall.

Wastewater treatment works were also compared to shale gas developments: they too manage hazardous waste and chemicals, and are often located in rural settings. Site selection was determined based on data availability from searches carried out online. A search for wastewater treatment works with corresponding Population Equivalent (PE) was completed; those sites that recorded PE had their physical footprint measured. An assessment of 21 sites with PE varying from 1019 to 1.9 million was performed.

249 **3.0** Results

250 **3.1** Footprint of conventional onshore hydrocarbon operations within Europe

251 **3.1.1 UK**

252 Well pad size was compared against spud date, well location, and the company that drilled the well. 253 Visual inspection of the results showed no variation between the different factors, thus we determined 254 that these factors did not influence the overall footprint size and discarded these potential inputs, 255 instead focusing on the independent well site measurements. The status of the 2193 wells analysed in 256 the UK are given in Table 1: 30 were reported as 'void' as their footprints could not be measured; 21 257 were drilled too recently to appear on the available aerial images; 9 were actually located offshore; 258 1280 had no surface indication, leaving 883 wells with sufficient indication for a measurement. The 259 average perimeter and area for the 883 wells measured was 422 m and 10800 m² (1.08 ha), with a range of between 21 m and 914 m for the perimeter and 27 m² (0.0027 ha) and 35400 m² (3.54 ha) for 260 261 the area (Table 2). The abandoned Poxwell 1 well (Dorset) had the smallest footprint, whilst the 262 producing Welton well pad (Lincolnshire) had the largest: at the time of writing 41 conventional wells 263 were located on this site. The average perimeter and area for the 780 wells with a 'strong indication' was 450 m and 11800 m² (1.18 ha) (Table 2). The UK averages 20 wells per site, using the average 264 area calculated for all the wells this generates 541 m^2 /well (0.05 ha/well). The average perimeter and 265 266 area for the 738 access roads measured was 460 m and 1520 m² (0.152 ha), with an average road length of 230 m. The maximum access road length was 2040 m; however, some wells had no 267 268 additional access road.

269

270 **3.1.2 The Netherlands**

Of the 426 wells studied, 218 indicated current or past drilling: 179 recorded a 'strong indication' of well site footprint; 9 a 'poor'; and 30 a 'very poor' (Table 1). The average well pad perimeter and area was calculated at 692 m and 44600 m² (4.46 ha). The average footprint for wells with a 'strong indication' was 808 m and 53800 m² (5.38 ha), whereas for 'poor' and 'very poor' they were 173 m and 2220 m² (0.22 ha) and 152 m and 2630 m² (0.26 ha), respectively. Well sites in The Netherlands average 7 wells per site, giving an average of $6370 \text{ m}^2/\text{well}$ (0.64 ha/well). There were 145 well pads with defined access roads; the average perimeter and area was 620 m and 1950 m² (0.2 ha). The maximum access road length was 1410 m, whilst the average was 310 m.

279

280 **3.1.3 Poland**

281 Well analysis showed 160 of 802 wells indicated the location of the well pad footprint. Of these, 54 282 were recorded as showing a 'strong', 25 a 'poor' and 81 a 'very poor' indication of the well site 283 footprint (Table 1). The average well pad perimeter and area were 176 m and 2960 m² (0.30 ha). The 284 average area and perimeter for wells with a 'strong indication' of the well site footprint was 194 m and 2940 m^2 (0.29 ha) (Table 2). The average footprint with a 'poor indication' was 59 m and 352 m^2 285 (0.04 ha), whereas the average with 'very poor indication' was 205 m and 3770 m² (0.38 ha) (Table 286 2). Poland averages 1.03 wells per site, thus has an average area of 2870 m^2 /well (0.29 ha/well). The 287 288 average access road perimeter and area for the 90 sites measured was 499 m and 1260 m² (0.13 ha). 289 The maximum access road length was 3040 m, whilst the average was 250 m.

290

3.2 Impact of well pads and setbacks on the land in the UK

For the UK, the direct footprint would mean a 33% probability of interacting with immovable infrastructure, rising to 73% with a 152 m setback and 91% with a 609 m setback (Table 3). The bootstrap analysis on the results from the 100 well sites showed that by a sample size of 80 wells, there was no change in the percentage of land impacted, thus the sample size of 100 well sites was appropriate.

297

3.3 Wells per license block

If each well pad had a subsurface footprint of 1 km^2 (100 ha) then one 100 km² (10000 ha) license block could potentially contain 100 well pads, as long as there were no restriction on the placement of the well pads at the surface. However due to streams, rivers and manmade infrastructure this will not be possible. Between 5 and 42 well pads were located in the 20 license blocks tested (Fig. 3) and the average license block could hold 26 well pads. These results highlight that a considerable amount of gas in-place cannot be extracted due to restrictions from infrastructure (Table 4). These results were subject to a bootstrap analysis, showing there was little movement in the average number of wells that could be allocated in each block after 10 blocks, thus our results indicated our sample size was sufficient.

308 Using footprint values determined from conventional well sites the likely direct physical footprint from 26 well pads would be 281000 m^2 (28.1 ha). However, the total footprint from the well 309 site increases substantially to 2.4 km² (240 ha) when the recommended 152 m setback from the 310 311 borehole is considered (Table 5); this would be 2.4% of the total area of the licensed block. The 312 minimum number of well sites a licence block held was five, generating a direct physical footprint from the well pad of 54000 m² (5.4 ha) and a total footprint of 462000 m² (46.2 ha) (Table 5). The 313 block that could accommodate 42 well sites would have a direct footprint of 454000 m^2 (45.4 ha), and 314 a total footprint of 3.88 km² (388 ha) (Table 5). 315

316 Different shale gas development scenarios have been considered based on the physical 317 number of well sites each block can develop, assuming all 127 licenced blocks that are currently 318 leased are developed. The first scenario considers one well site being developed per block, 127 wells would generate a physical direct footprint of 1.37 km² (137 ha) and a total surface footprint of 11.7 319 320 km² (1170 ha) (Table 5). If five were developed in 127 blocks, 635 wells sites would be established generating a direct footprint of 6.86 km² (686 ha) and a total surface footprint of 58.7 km² (5870 ha) 321 322 (Table 5). If the average 26 were developed in each block, a total of 3302 well sites would be developed. This would create a direct footprint of 35.7 km² (3570 ha) and a total surface footprint of 323 305 km² (30500 ha) (Table 5). 324

325

326 **3.4 Conventional well setbacks**

The mean setback for currently producing conventional wells in the UK was 329 m from a building. The minimum setback distance from a building, recorded for the Gainsborough 14 well, was 21 m. Of the 121 well sites examined, 33 had setbacks from buildings that were below the recommended 152 m 330 set by Eshleman and Elmore (2013) (Fig. 4). Many of the producing well sites had a number of 331 boreholes on the pad; the above mean values include all 680 wells located on the 121 well sites. If we 332 give the mean value for just one well per well site, thus 121 well sites, the mean setback from a 333 building is slightly lower at 303 m.

The mean setback from a house for all the wells was recorded at 447 m. The minimum setback from a house was 46 m, this was recorded for the Gainsborough 29 (A1) well. There were nine well sites with setbacks from houses that were less than recommended (Fig. 4). The mean setback from a house when one well per site was considered was 410 m.

There were 14 well sites within 650 m of a train line; four were within the recommended 152 m setback (Fig. 4). The mean and minimum setback distance from a train line for all wells was 238 m and 38 m. There were 51 well sites within 650 m of a pond, eight were below the recommended 152 m setback (Fig. 4). The mean and minimum distance from a pond was 371 m and 107 m. The mean distance from flowing water (dyke, stream, river, sea etc) was 219 m. The minimum distance from a dyke was 26 m. There were 58 well sites within 650 m of flowing water, 28 were below the recommended 152 m setback (Fig. 4).

345

346 **3.5** Footprint of currently operating comparator industries in the UK

There were 8494 petrol stations in the UK in 2015 (UK Petroleum Industry Association, 2016). Based upon the random sample, the average area was 1360 m² (0.14 ha) with a range of 558 m² to 2600 m² (0.06 ha to 0.26 ha). The petrol station bootstrap analysis results indicate that our sample size was sufficient and that the variance was accounted for. Based on the number of petrol stations recorded in 2015 a rough approximation of the total footprint required by petrol stations was calculated at 11.6 km² (1160 ha). This is considerably less than the direct footprint of the available capacity for shale gas development in the current UK licensed blocks.

The 21 measured wastewater treatment works covered a range of PE from 1019 to 1.9 million, the physical footprint of the sites ranged from 2417 m² (0.24 ha, PE=1718) to 1.48 km² (148 ha, PE=1750000). The Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (2002), recorded approximately 9000 wastewater treatment works across the UK; if we assume the range used in this study then the footprint of wastewater treatment works in the UK would be between 54 km² and 89 km^2 (5400 ha and 8900 ha) – less than the direct footprint of shale gas development within the current UK licensed blocks.

361

362 4.0 Discussion

The literature states that an average six well shale gas pad in the US is approximately 21000 m² (2.1 363 364 ha) (US Inner City Fund, 2009). This is slightly higher than UK estimates of 20000 m^2 (2 ha) for a 365 well pad in the production phase (Taylor et al., 2013). These measurements and projections are higher 366 than the average 10800 m^2 (1.08 ha) footprint measured for conventional onshore wells in the UK and the average 3000 m^2 (0.30 ha) site measured in Poland but they are considerably smaller than The 367 Netherlands average of 44600 m^2 (4.46 ha). Area per well shows the UK's conventional oil and gas 368 369 industry to be the most space efficient of the three European countries measured, with an average 370 footprint that is lower than that reported for US shale gas well pads. These differences could be due to 371 a number of factors. Historically, site regulations in the US have been much more relaxed. This is in 372 part due to land ownership rights. Uniquely, out of the countries considered, in the US private 373 individuals own the majority of the subsurface mineral rights. Many owners are willing to lease 374 acreage for exploration and development as there is considerable financial gain (Jacquet, 2012). 375 Equally, the UK is around seven and a half times (Taylor et al., 2013) and Poland three and a half 376 times (The World Bank, 2016) more densely populated than the US, therefore the US is not under the 377 same space restraints as many European countries. The US shale gas industry has developed 378 substantially in areas such as the Eagle Ford, where population densities might be lower than average 379 and have little existing infrastructure to disturb (Tunstall, 2015).

The UK and The Netherlands are both economically well developed and heavily populated, thus one would expect them to have similar laws and comparable well site sizes; however this appears not to be the case. It appears that each country must have slightly different framework objectives with varying planning laws. In addition, although not supported by the literature, it is possible some of the well site footprint in The Netherlands is inclusive of processing infrastructure, whereas the UK and Poland tend to have separate processing facilities offsite. For example, at the time of writing, Third Energy's four producing gas fields beneath the Vale of Pickering supply the offsite North Yorkshire's Knapton Generating Station. It is apparent when measuring sites in The Netherlands that extra attention has been made to protect surrounding areas against noise and visual pollution; this added mitigation technique also adds acreage to the well site footprint.

Access roads recorded within the US are between 40 m and 914 m long, occupying an additional 12000 m² (1.2 ha) of footprint (NYS DEC, 2015; Jantz et al., 2014). This study found access roads for conventional well pads in the UK averaged 230 m, whilst in Poland they averaged 250 m and in the The Netherland's 310 m. As in the UK, standard practise in the US involves connecting the well pads to the nearest existing public road, or if granted permission the nearest private road using the shortest possible distance (Racicot et al., 2014). US access roads are longer than in Europe, which is unsurprising given the lower population density of the US.

The British Geological Survey (BGS) in association with the UK Department of Energy and 397 398 Climate Change (DECC, renamed 'BEIS' in 2016) estimated the resource (gas-in-place) for the Bowland Shale to be between approximately $2.33 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^3$ to $6.46 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^3$, and projected a central 399 estimate of roughly $3.76 \times 10^{13} \text{ m}^3$ (Andrews, 2013). More important is the highly variable technically 400 recoverable reserve, a BGS report for DECC in 2010 estimated shale gas reserves of 1.33 x 10¹¹ m³ in 401 402 the Upper Bowland Shale Basin (Andrews, 2013). The US Energy Information Administration (US EIA) at the Department of Energy estimated the total UK shale gas resource in place at 2.75 x 10^{12} m³ 403 and assumed a 21% recovery factor, resulting in recoverable reserves of 5.66 x 10¹¹ m³ (The 404 Geological Society, 2012). Cuadrilla estimate at least 5.66 x 10^{12} m³ shale gas resource is in place in 405 406 the Bowland Basin, and they propose a conservative recovery factor of 15% would yield a reserve of around 1.27 x 10¹² m³. However, the BGS have since revised these calculations and noted that a 407 recovery factor of 15% would in fact yield a technically recoverable reserve of 8.5 x 10^{11} m³ (The 408 409 Geological Society, 2012). The Geological Society (2012) summaries the three estimates of UK shale reserves at around 2.83 x 10^{11} m³ (England only), 5.66 x 10^{11} m³ (UK) and 8.5 x 10^{11} m³ (Bowland 410 411 Basin only).

412 Estimates of shale gas recoverable reserves have not considered the carrying capacity of the 413 surface and have been governed by the volume of the organic-rich shales and the limitation of the 414 technical recoverable fraction of the gas developed within that shale. However, the premise of this 415 study has been that the recoverable reserve is limited by the carrying capacity of the surface. Taking into consideration Cuadrilla's technically recoverable reserve estimate of 8.5 x 10^{11} m³. the actual 416 accessibility due to infrastructure constraints and the fact that just 26% is likely to be recovered means 417 418 that approximately $2.21 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}^3$ could feasibly be extracted. To produce a more accurate extraction 419 assessment a number of additional considerations need to be included. If setback restrictions were 420 relaxed, additional well sites could be located per block: for example, if 42 wells were the average per 421 block this would mean approximately 42% of the estimated shale gas could be extracted. In this 422 instance, with Cuadrilla's corrected technically recoverable reserve estimate, approximately 3.57 x 10^{11} m^3 of gas could be extracted. 423

424 Setback restrictions within the US can vary considerably. This study used the setbacks 425 recommended for the Marcellus Shale gas developments in Maryland. To determine if they were 426 realistic we measured the setbacks of the currently producing wells in the UK. The study found the 427 average has a setback from buildings of 329 m, with the minimum being 21 m. The Gainsborough 14 428 well has the shortest distance from a building; interestingly the building was built after the well was 429 developed. The average setback from a house was recorded at 447 m. The Gainsborough 29 (A1) well 430 has the shortest setback from a house (46 m); since the well was spudded in 1962 a housing estate has 431 developed around the well. These results show the average is greater than those suggested by 432 Eshleman and Elmore (2013) for developments in Maryland; however there are many cases where the 433 setbacks for conventional wells are smaller than 152 m.

If we assume all 127 licenced blocks currently leased are developed with an average of 26 well pads per block, 3302 could be developed. This would generate a direct footprint of 35.7 km² (3570 ha), and a total surface footprint of 305 km² (30500 ha). The average area of a single petrol station was 1360 m² (0.14 ha), a rough approximation of the total footprint required for the 8494 across the UK was calculated at 11.6 km² (1160 ha), and for wastewater treatment works the total UK footprint was between 54 km² and 89 km² (5300 ha and 8900 ha). The footprint sizes calculated for these industries allow us to conclude that the footprint required for shale gas development is not unique when compared to other industries. However, the development in the UK of petrol stations, or of wastewater treatment works, does not have a regulated setback distance as has been considered here for shale gas development and when setbacks were considered the potential development of a shale gas industry has a far larger footprint. To minimise the footprint required for shale gas developments, sites should be multi-well and located as far apart as technically feasible. This will reduce the area required per well and ensure maximum use of horizontal drilling technology.

447 This study has largely focused on the shale gas industry within Europe but the methodologies 448 applied are transferrable across other industries and different disciplines. The Buffon's needle analysis 449 is a useful method to determine the spacing and the likely carrying capacity of future developments 450 such as housing, retail centres and industrial sites (e.g. wastewater treatment works, recycling 451 centres). With global population set to increase, these developments and additional infrastructure is 452 inevitable, highlighting the need for a systematic approach to where these sites are located with 453 minimum impact. Acknowledging the importance of site location and the need of setbacks in other 454 industries, such as recycling centres, is also of vital importance when developing new sites. In a 455 society that is continuously growing we need to protect specific infrastructure with appropriate 456 setbacks. However, it should be remembered that the carrying capacity is always going to be defined 457 by public consent and in this study we have assumed the importance of surface features and 458 infrastructure, e.g. the immovability of rivers. In a different era such assumption of acceptability may 459 be incorrect.

460

461 **5.0 Conclusion**

This study has developed a Buffon's needle analysis in order to understand the carrying capacity of new infrastructure developments and their impact on existing infrastructure and the environment. Using this analysis, we evaluated the potential impact of the development of a shale gas industry within the UK. We found that there is a 33% probability that a shale gas well pad would directly contact immovable infrastructure, but this increases to 91% when a setback of 609 m is used.

467	In the UK, the average actual setback from conventional onshore well pads is 329 m for any
468	building or 447 m for a house, but can be as low as 21 m and 46 m, respectively. The carrying
469	capacity of the surface is 26% on average but ranges between 5 and 42%. Thus, the likely maximum
470	number of wells and associated setbacks that could be located within a block (typically 10 km by 10
471	km) would be 26. The carrying capacity of the land surface, as predicted by this approach, would limit
472	the technically recoverable gas reserves for the Bowland Basin from the predicted 8.5 x 10^{11} m ³ to
473	only 2.21 x 10^{11} m ³ .
474	
475	Acknowledgements
476	This research was funded by the M4ShaleGas project, a scheme funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020
477	research and innovation program. This research was carried out as part of the ReFINE research consortium led
478	by Newcastle and Durham Universities. ReFINE has been funded by Ineos, Shell, Chevron, Total, GDF Suez,
479	Centrica and NERC.
480	
481	
482	
483	
484	
485	
486	
487	
488	
489	
490	
491	
493	
494	
495	

496 **Figures**

497 Fig. 1 A section of the north of England showing blocks offered under the 14th Onshore
498 Licensing round (Oil and Gas Authority (b), 2016). The cream coloured blocks indicate the
499 127 currently licensed onshore blocks over the Bowland Shale.

Fig. 1a Kirby Misperton 1, 3 and 7 wells, an example of wells with a 'strong indication' of
where the well pad boundaries are located (image extracted from Google Earth Pro, 2016).
There is little ambiguity as to boundaries location. Site location: latitude 54.2003 and
longitude -0.81946.

Fig. 1b Castletown 1 well, an example of a well with a 'very poor indication' of where a well pad was once located (image extracted from Google Earth Pro, 2016). The field discoloration clearly indicates where a well site used to be present. Site location: latitude 53.054 and longitude -2.849.

Fig. 1c Northwood 1 well, an example of a well with a 'very poor indication' of where a well pad was once located (image extracted from Google Earth Pro, 2016). The pond in the woodland is the same size and shape as a well site indicating where a well site once was. Site location: latitude 52.974 and longitude -2.235.

Fig. 2 An example of a random drop site from the Buffon's needle analysis (map extracted from Digimap, 2016). At this locality, we can see that the well pad with a 609 m setback converges with fields, woodland, footpaths, houses, ponds and several major roads.

Fig. 3 A schematic example of how many well pads with the recommended 152 m setback and a 500 m lateral can be located within a currently licensed block (map extracted from Digimap, 2016). In this example, 31 well pads could be located within the 100 km² block without impinging on existing infrastructure.

519 Fig. 4 The distribution of the measured setbacks from the nearest building, house, train line,

520 pond and flowing body of water (e.g. stream, dyke, river, sea) for the 121 sites.

521 Fig. 1





554



Cities towns and other settlements



City or large urban area Small urban area

Well pad with 152 m setback

500 m lateral from borehole





560 **References**

- 561 Andrews, I. J. (2013). The Carboniferous Bowland Shale gas study: geology and resource estimation. British Geological 562 UK. Survey for Department of Energy and Climate Change, London, 563 https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/226874/BGS_DECC_BowlandShal 564 eGasReport MAIN REPORT.pdf (accessed 31 October 2016).
- Baranzelli, C., Vandecasteele, I., Ribeiro Barranco, R., Mari i Rivero, I., Pelletier, N., Batelaan, O., Lavalle, C. (2015).
 Scenarios for shale gas development and their related land use impacts in the Baltic Basin, Northern Poland.
 Energy Policy 84: 80–95.
- Bomberg, E. (2013). The comparative politics of fracking: Networks and framing in the US and Europe. APSA 2013 Annual
 meeting paper: American political sceince association 2013 annual meeting.
 https://www.psa.ac.uk/sites/default/files/1031_552.pdf (accessed 31 October 2016).
- 571 Broderick, J., Anderson, K., Wood, R., Gilbert, P., Sharmina, M., Footitt, A., Glynn, S., Nicholls, F. (2011). Shale gas: an 572 updated assessment of environmental and climate change impacts. A report commissioned by The Co-operative 573 and undertaken by researchers at the Tyndall Centre, University of Manchester. 574 http://www.tyndall.ac.uk/sites/default/files/coop shale gas report update v3.10.pdf (accessed 31 October 2016).
- 575 Cave, S. (2015). Proximity of petroleum exploration wells to dwellings. Research and Information Service Briefing Paper.
 576 Providing research and information services to the Northern Ireland Assembly.
 577 http://www.niassembly.gov.uk/globalassets/documents/enterprise-trade-and-investment/hydraulic-
- 578 <u>fracturing/20150304-assembly-research---petroleum-wells.pdf</u> (accessed 31 October 2016).
- 579Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (2002). Sewage treatment in the UK: UK Iimplementation of the EC580urbanwastewatertreatmentdirective.
- 581 <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/69582/pb6655-uk-sewage-</u>
- 582 <u>treatment-020424.pdf</u> (accessed 31 October 2016).
- 583 Digimap (2016). <u>https://digimap.edina.ac.uk/roam/os</u> (assessed 23rd January 2017).
- Drohan, P. J., Brittingham, M., Bishop, J., Yoder, K. (2012). Early trends in landcover change and forest fragmentation due
 to shale-gas development in Pennsylvania: A potential outcome for the northcentral Appalachians. Environmental
 Management 49: 1061–1075.
- Eshleman, K. N., & Elmore, A. (2013). Recommended best management practices for Marcellus Shale Gas development in
 Maryland. Final report submitted to: Maryland department of environment Baltimore, MD. Febuary 18th, 2013.
- 589 http://www.mde.state.md.us/programs/Land/mining/marcellus/Documents/Eshleman Elmore Final BMP Report
- 590 <u>22113 Red.pdf</u> (accessed 31 October 2016).
- 591 Geological Survey of the Netherlands (2016). <u>http://www.nlog.nl/en/listing-boreholesc</u> (accessed 11th January 2017).

- 592 Google Earth Pro (2016). Google Earth Pro 7.1.5.1557. <u>http://www.google.com/earth/index.html</u> (assessed 23rd January 593 2017).
- 594 Hardy, P. (2014). Chapter 1 Introduction and overview: the role of shale gas in securing our energy future. Fracking: Issues 595 (39). in Environmental Science and Technology Royal Society Chemistry. of 596 http://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/chapterhtml/2014/9781782620556-00001?isbn=978-1-78262-05 31 (accessed 597 October 2016).
- 598 Inman, M. (2014) Natural Gas: The fracking fallacy. Nature 516(7529).
- Jacquet, J. B. (2012). Landowner attitudes toward natural gas and wind farm development in northern Pennsylvania. Energy
 Policy 50: 677–688.
- Jantz, C. A., Kubach, H. K., Ward, J. R., Wiley, S., Heston, D. (2014). Assessing land use changes due to natural gas drilling
 operations in the Marcellus Shale in Bradford County, PA. The Geographical Bulletin 55: 18–35.
- Jiang, M., Griffin, W. M., Hendrickson, C., Jaramillo, P., Vanbriesen, J., Venkatesh, A. (2011). Life cycle greenhouse gas
 emissions of Marcellus shale gas. Environmental Resarch Letters 6: 1-9.
- 505 Johnson, N., Gagnolet, T., Ralls, R., Zimmerman, E., Eichelberger, B., Tracey, C., Kreitler, G., Orndorff, S., Tomlinson, J.,
- 606Bearer, S., Sargent, S. (2010). Pennsylvania energy impacts assessment. Report 1: Marcellus Shale natural gas and607wind. The Nature Conservancy. http://www.nature.org/media/pa/tnc_energy_analysis.pdf (accessed 31 October6082016).
- McGowan, F. (2014). Regulating innovation: European responses to shale gas development. Environmental Politics, 23(1)
 41-58.
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYS DEC) (2015). Chapter 5 Natural Gas Development
 Activities & High-Volume Hydraulic Fracturing. Preliminary Revised Draft: Supplemental generic environmental
- 613 impact statement on the oil and gas solution mining regulation program. Well permit inssurance for horizontal
 614 drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop the Marcellus Shale and other low-permeability gas
 615 reservoirs. http://www.dec.ny.gov/data/dmn/ogprdsgeisfull.pdf (accessed 31 October 2016).
- 616 Oil and Gas Authority (a). (2016). Oil and gas guidance. Oil and gas: onshore maps and GIS shapefiles. 617 https://www.gov.uk/guidance/oil-and-gas-onshore-maps-and-gis-shapefiles (accessed 9th August 2016).
- 618 Oil and Gas Authority (b). (2016). Map showing blocks offerred under 14th onshore licensing round.
 619 <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/485475/14R_Map_v1.pdf</u> (accessed
 620 19 October 2016).
- 621 Polish Geological Survey, (2016). <u>http://otworywiertnicze.pgi.gov.pl/</u> (accessed 8th August 2016).
- Racicot, A., Babin-Roussel, V., Dauphinais, J. F., Joly, J. S., Noel, P., Lavoie, C. (2014). A framework to predict the impacts
 of shale gas infrastructures on the forest fragmentation of an agroforest region. Environmental Management 53:
 1023–1033.
- 625 Ramaley, J. F. (1969). Buffon's noodle problem. The American Mathematical Monthly 76(8): 916–918.

- 626Regeneris Consulting (2011). Economic impact of shale gas exploration & production in Lancashire and the UK: A final627reportbyRegenerisConsulting.http://www.cuadrillaresources.nl/wp-
- 628 <u>content/uploads/2012/02/Full_Report_Economic_Impact_of_Shale_Gas_14_Sept.pdf</u> (accessed 31 October 2016).
- Richardson, N., Gottlieb, M., Krupnick, A., Wiseman, H. (2013). The state of state shale gas regulation: Resources for the
 future. Resource for the future report. <u>http://www.rff.org/files/sharepoint/WorkImages/Download/RFF-Rpt-</u>
 <u>StateofStateRegs Report.pdf</u> (accessed 31 October 2016).
- Taylor, C., Lewis, D., Byles, D. (2013). IoD report: Infrastructure for Business Getting shale gas working.
 http://www.igasplc.com/media/3067/iod-getting-shale-gas-working-main-report.pdf (accessed 31 October 2016).
- 634 The Geological Society (2012). Supplementary memorandum to energy and climate change committee inquiry: the impact of
- 635
 shale gas on energy markets. Unconventional and conventional gas resource and reserve estimates for the UK.

 636
 https://www.geolsoc.org.uk/~/media/shared/documents/policy/Shale%20gas%20Supplementary%20Memo%20fin
- 637 <u>al%20version.pdf?la=en</u> (accessed 31 October 2016).
- The World Bank (2016). Population density (people per sq. km of land area). Food and agriculture organisation and World
 Bank population estimates. <u>http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/EN.POP.DNST?name_desc=true</u> (accessed 15
 September 2016).
- Tunstall, T. (2015). Recent economic and community impact of unconventional oil and gas exploration and production on
 South Texas Counties in the Eagle Ford Shale area. The Journal of Regional Analysis and Policy: 45(1): 82-92.
- 643 UK Petroleum Industry Association (2016). Industry overview. United Kingdom Petroleum Industry Association.
 644 <u>http://www.ukpia.com/industry_information/industry-overview.aspx (accessed 22 September 2016).</u>
- US Inner City Fund (2009). Technical assistance for the draft supplemental generic EIS: Oil, gas and solution mining
 regulatory program. Well permit issuance for horizontal drilling and high-volume hydraulic fracturing to develop
 the Marcellus Shale and other low permeability gas reservoirs. Agreement No. 9679.
 <u>file:///C:/Users/giht59/Downloads/dSEGIS-icf-task-1.pdf</u> (accessed 31 October 2016).