1	Not just for adults! Evaluating the performance of multiple fish passage designs at
2	low-head barriers for the upstream movement of juvenile and adult trout Salmo
3	trutta
4	
5	Final version accepted for publication in <i>Ecological Engineering</i> 2016
6	
7	Michael Forty ^{ab*} , Jack Spees ^b , Martyn C. Lucas ^a
8	^a School of Biological and Biomedical Sciences, Durham University, South Road, DH1
9	3LE, UK
10	^b Ribble Rivers Trust, c/o Hanson Cement, Ribblesdale Works, Clitheroe, Lancashire,
11	BB7 4QF
12	
13	* Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 07786550631
14	

15 E-mail: michael.forty@durham.ac.uk

Abstract

17 Longitudinal connectivity in salmonid streams is vital for juvenile as well as adult fish, yet most upstream passage studies consider only larger adults. Upstream passage of 18 19 juvenile and adult brown trout Salmo trutta at low-head (< 3 m) structures on two River Ribble tributaries (NW England) was investigated using Passive Integrated Transponder 20 (PIT) telemetry during summer-autumn 2013 and 2014. The efficiency of a Servais low-21 cost baffle (LCB) fish pass was evaluated for the first time, along with two pool-weir 22 23 (PW) passes, an embedded rock ramp (ERR) and an open culvert (C), the latter a manmade structure within predicted swim speed, acting as an experimental control. We used 24 25 a combination of naturally migrating trout and displacement experiments. Resident fish 26 were displaced from above to below structures, utilising their homing instinct to instigate their ascent of the structure, with up to 91% of displaced trout attempting to 27 28 pass. Approximately 30% of parr morphotype trout released at their capture locations 29 attempted to pass upstream of structures in both streams. Passage efficiencies of up to 82% for the LCB pass design were similar to the PW (up to 79%) and better than the 30 31 ERR (71%), but below that for C (96% - 100%). Significant differences occurred between fish passes in time to passage, and number of attempts to pass, with all but 32 PW1 having significantly longer time to passage than the control culvert. Median time 33 34 to passage at PW2 decreased almost fifty fold between 2013 and 2014, following modification to equalise step heights at the structure. Logistic regression demonstrated a 35 strong body-length effect on passage success at passes, with 50% probability of 36 37 successful passage (82-132 mm) varying, but not significantly, between passes. We conclude that small trout, including juveniles, can and do exhibit functionally 38

39 significant upstream movement and that greater consideration should be given of their

40 passage needs as well as for large, adult trout.

41

- 42 Keywords: *Salmo trutta*, body size, fish passage, PIT telemetry, low-cost baffle, pool-
- 43 weir, rock ramp, culvert, low-head barrier, delay

44 **1. Introduction**

45 Connectivity is a fundamental element of landscape structure and ecological processes and the longitudinal connectivity dimension is especially important in rivers (Fausch et 46 47 al., 2002; Taylor et al., 1993). The ecological impacts of impoundment by in-stream structures such as dams, weirs and culverts on river systems can be extensive, especially 48 upon fish populations, altering habitat and creating upstream and downstream obstacles 49 to migration and dispersal (Aarestrup & Koed, 2003; Larinier, 2001; Lucas and Baras, 50 51 2001). Loss of free passage due to artificial barriers can lead to habitat fragmentation and limit fish distribution in water courses by reducing access to key habitats such as 52 53 spawning grounds (Fausch et al., 2002; Lucas et al., 2009). River systems are 54 particularly susceptible to fragmentation (Nilsson et al. 2005; Calles & Greenberg, 2009) as one barrier has the potential to isolate large sections of river from one another 55 56 (Jager et al., 2001). Where a structure acts as a total barrier to upstream fish passage it 57 can result in stark changes in community structure due to isolation (Pringle et al., 2000; Thorncraft and Harris, 2000). For fish which rely on migration to reach different habitat 58 patches for life-cycle completion, especially diadromous fishes which may need to 59 traverse large numbers of structures on their migration between the sea and freshwater, 60 fragmentation can lead to extinctions upstream of structures (Lucas & Baras, 2001; 61 62 McDowall, 1992). Loss of longitudinal connectivity can also decrease abundance more widely in the catchment when recruitment is reduced by lack of access to 63 spawning/rearing grounds or due to reduced downstream migration success (Levin & 64 65 Tolimieri, 2001; McDowall, 1992). In contrast to high-head dams (> 15 m; Poff and Hart, 2002), the effects of small 66

67 structures such as low-head dams, weirs and culverts are less well studied (Alexandre

68	and Almeida, 2010; Lucas & Frear, 1997; McLaughlin et al., 2006; Ovidio & Philippart,
69	2002). While small structures are often considered to be passable by strong swimmers
70	and jumpers like salmonids (McLaughlin et al., 2006) they can still bring about
71	migration delays while they are being negotiated (Svendsen et al., 2004), reducing the
72	condition of spawning fish and increasing exposure to predators. Kiffney et al. (2009)
73	showed that access to small streams was particularly important in the rearing of juvenile
74	salmonids, providing important habitat benefits for growth and survival. The presence
75	of these structures, such as culverts, has been reported to have negative effects on the
76	dispersal (Gibson et al., 2005; Park et al., 2008; Warren and Pardew, 2008) and
77	distribution (Pépino et al., 2012) of fish populations with impacts on genetic diversity
78	similar to those of natural waterfalls (Torterotot et al., 2014).
79	In order to mitigate the negative effects of obstacles on upstream migration, fish passage
80	technologies have been developed, such that there is now a wide variety of designs,
81	categorised as either technical (e.g. vertical slot, pool and weir, baffle-type) or nature-
82	like (e.g. by-pass channels and rock ramps) (Clay, 1995; Katopodis and Williams,
83	2012). Evaluation of the performance of fish passage structures has indicated that the
84	degree of success achieved can be very variable and site specific (Kemp, 2012). Even if
85	a high proportion of fish manage to pass using a fishway, negative impacts are often
86	still incurred, including migration delay, with fish attempting to pass structures on
87	multiple occasions (Foulds and Lucas, 2013; Gowans et al., 1999; Haro and Kynard,
88	1997; Hasler et al., 2011; Laine, 1995; Keefer et al., 2004). The ability to understand the
89	effects of migration delay have been limited by our ability to quantify it (Castro-Santos
90	& Haro, 2003). With 25,000 known man-made barriers on UK rivers alone, and an
91	increased ambition to provide free fish passage, it is important to determine the

functionality of fish passage designs (Gough et al., 2012). This is especially true within
tributaries where the impacts of barriers are less well investigated than in main stems of
rivers where fish passage facilities have mainly been constructed (Clay, 1995; Marmulla
& Ingendahl, 1996; Ovidio & Philippart, 2002).

96 Although fish passes have a long history (e.g. Denil, 1909) there remains a paucity of 97 good quality empirical information about the true effectiveness of differing types of pass for different species of migratory fish (Bunt et al., 2012). Many fish pass designs 98 99 originated to accommodate adult salmonids with strong swimming capacities and a 100 persistent desire to pass upstream (Stuart, 1962, 1964). However, there is a range in swimming ability present not only across species, but different life stages also, for 101 102 which passage structures are not always designed to accommodate. Free passage is not 103 only important for adult fishes but can also be vital for juveniles where it is required for 104 them to recover from disturbance events such as displacement by high flows (Ottaway 105 & Clarke, 1981) or pollution incidents (Baras and Lucas, 2001), for the seeking of resources and seasonal shifts in distribution (Baras and Lucas, 2001), or where juvenile 106 107 morphotypes mature (e.g. male precocious salmonid parr) and contribute towards 108 population survival through alternative spawning strategies (Garcia-Vazquez et al., 2001). 109

In order to achieve effective fish passage solutions that allow free migration and assist
in lifecycle completion, better quality information is required as to the performance of
fish pass designs. This study used passive integrated transponder (PIT) technology
(Castro-Santos et al., 1996; Lucas et al., 1999; Calles & Greenberg, 2005; Bunt et al.,
2012; Foulds & Lucas, 2013) to evaluate the performance of three types of low-head
fish pass (pool-weir, rock ramp, low-cost baffle) for the upstream passage of both adult

and juvenile brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) utilising both natural migration and in-nature
displacement experiments. The current study includes the first quantitative evaluation of
the low-cost baffle design of Servais (2006) that is increasingly being used in the UK as
a cheap retrofit fish passage solution for low-head sloping weirs.

120

121 **2. Materials and methods**

122 2.1 Study area

This study was conducted on two streams in the River Ribble catchment (1133 km^2), 123 one of the main river basins in northwest England. The Ribble drains limestone bedrock 124 in its headwaters, running south and then west before flowing in to the Irish Sea. The 125 two study streams were Swanside Beck (~100 m.a.s.l.), a minor tributary (5-12 m wide 126 127 at study site) of the Ribble, and Chipping Brook (~150 m.a.s.l.), a minor tributary (5-10 m wide at study site) of the River Loud (Fig. 1). Both streams have substantial but 128 recovering salmonid populations, including anadromous elements, with typical juvenile 129 trout densities of 7.4 - 63.3 100 m⁻² (Swanside Beck) and $33.0 - 66.8 100 \text{ m}^{-2}$ (Chipping 130 Brook) (M. Forty unpublished data). Both streams have riparian landscapes that 131 predominantly consist of grassland which is subject to dairy and sheep farming. The 132 substratum is predominantly composed of gravel, pebbles and cobbles in both streams. 133 Swanside Beck runs for 6 km with moderate gradient (*ca.* 12.2 m km⁻¹) impeded by 134 three low-head obstacles (< 3 m) (Fig. 1) while Chipping Brook runs for 4.5 km with a 135 higher gradient of ca. 42.6 km m⁻¹ and has six low-head obstacles (Fig. 1). A total of 136 five structures were assessed across the two years, three on Swanside Beck and the first 137 and second on Chipping Brook (Fig. 1). Of these, four were recently constructed fish 138

139 passage structures: two pool-weir (PW1, PW2) traverse fish passes, one embedded rock

- 140 ramp (ERR; large cobbles densely embedded in concrete), and one low-cost baffle
- 141 (LCB) pass (Table 1, Fig. S1). The other structure was an unmodified open (uncovered)
- 142 culvert (C) which was used as a control, contextualising observations at fish passes
- 143 (Cooke & Hinch, 2013), representing a man-made structure which might pose a
- 144 minimal barrier impact being well within expected swimming performance of brown
- trout (Table 1, Fig. S1) (Beamish, 1978; Tudorache et al., 2008).

146 2.2 Passive integrated transponder (PIT) logging stations

147 PIT telemetry was used to measure passage metrics of tagged trout at instream148 structures. Each site was monitored using a logging station comprising either two half-

149 duplex (HDX) readers (Texas Instruments S2000, USA) in a master-slave configuration

150 (Castro-Santos et al., 1996) and data logger (Flinka Fiskar, Sweden), or a Texas

151 Instruments HDX multiple antennae reader and data logger (Oregon RFID, USA).

152 These configurations interrogated each antenna 8 and 6 times per second respectively

via a tuning unit connected to the logger. Two antennae loops (6 mm multi-stranded

154 high quality copper speaker cable, 777 x 0.1 mm strands) were constructed across the

stream channel at the downstream and upstream extents of each structure, interrogating

the full stream width and depth, such that the direction and success of passage by

individuals could be identified. Each loop was tuned to maximum detection range and

158 efficiency. Tests with pole-mounted 12 mm and 23 mm tags were carried out by passing

the tag through the loop at speeds of $1-1.5 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ to simulate burst-swimming fish. In

160 addition, PIT loop detection efficiencies were measured by calculating the proportion of

- tagged fish per array which were detected at the downstream antenna that were also
- 162 detected upstream antenna as well as, where possible, the proportion of fish detected at

the upstream antenna of an array that were also detected at PIT sites further upstream.

Logging stations were powered using two 12 V, 110 Ah deep-cycle leisure batteries, run in parallel, which were changed every 3-4 days to avoid power supply failure. Data was downloaded at each battery change and the antennae loops tested as described above to ensure they were functioning correctly.

Four structures (C, PW1, PW2, LCB) were studied during summer-autumn 2013 using a 168 two-part methodology investigating passage for naturally migrating trout in addition to 169 utilising the homing instinct of individuals through displacement experiments. At each 170 structure, fish (mostly parr and some adult freshwater-resident 'brown' trout) were first 171 captured by electric fishing (pulsed DC Electracatch WFC4, Wolverhampton, UK and 1 172 173 KVA Honda generator), tagged and released where they originated within sections of a 174 reach ca. 10-180 m downstream of the structure (Table 2). These fish were then 175 monitored for between 13 and 21 days (Table 2). Following this, fish were captured in the first 100 m upstream of the structure, tagged and displaced 100 m downstream of 176 the structure invoking a homing response (Armstrong & Herbert, 1997) but not inflated 177 178 attraction values (Cooke & Hinch, 2013). In addition fish were tagged on the same day 179 100-200 m upstream of each structure, but released there and free to move to sites further upstream during migration. During autumn, opportunistic electronic fishing was 180 181 carried out in pools in reaches up to 2 km downstream of the structures, in order to 182 capture upstream-migrating adult freshwater-resident ('brown') and anadromous ('sea') trout. Logging stations ran until mid-December (Table 2) by which time naturally 183 184 upstream-migrating individuals were expected to have completed spawning. 185 PW2 (Chipping Brook) was modified in early summer 2014 to correct an erroneous

186 0.37 m difference between the head drop at the first notch and that at the most upstream

187 notch of the pass to 0.25 m between each pool (Table 1). A new embedded rock ramp (ERR) was also constructed on a flat-faced weir 20 m upstream of PW2 at the same 188 189 time. Both of these structures were investigated in summer 2014 along with repeat 190 studies of the LCB and C on Swanside Beck. All sites monitored in 2014 were subject only to short term displacement experiments conducted using the same format as in 191 192 2013 but with monitoring of the logging stations for the first 15 days following displacement as 73% of displaced fish in 2013 at LCB were detected attempting passage 193 194 within this period (71-88% for fish passes in 2014). As PW2 and ERR were in close proximity, fish were captured above ERR and then displaced 100 m below PW2 using 195 the same displacement group to test both structures. 196 197 PIT stations ran continuously during experiments with the exception of PW1 (13:00 12 Sept 2013 – 18:00 16 Sept 2013) and PW2 (12:00 12 Sept 2013 – 18:30 16 Sept 2013) 198

199 which both experienced equipment failure at the beginning of displacement

200 experiments. PIT antennae were washed out of the control culvert on 21 Oct 2013 and

201 water levels then remained too elevated to re-install loops within reasonable time so

202 monitoring was ceased at that point.

203 2.3 Experimental fish

204 Captured fish were placed in to covered reservoirs providing aeration and circulation by

means of a 12 V submersible aerator pump (1732 L hr^{-1}). Trout were PIT tagged with

one of two tag sizes depending on whether their fork length was between 80 and 130

207 mm (12 x 2.12 mm, 0.1 g) or greater than 130 mm (23 x 3.65 mm, 0.6 g) based upon

- Larsen et al. (2013). Prior to tagging fish were immersed individually in an anaesthetic
- bath of 2-phenoxyethanol (250 μ L L⁻¹) until they reached stage III anaesthesia
- 210 (McFarland, 1959). Fish were then measured (fork length), classed by phenotype and

211 life stage (juveniles with parr marks, not expressing gametes; freshwater-resident 212 'brown' trout without parr marks; anadromous 'sea' trout without parr marks but 213 silvered body) and a PIT tag inserted in to the peritoneal cavity via a 3-5 mm incision 214 on the ventral surface anterior to the pelvic girdle. The incision was left un-sutured as studies have indicated this not to be necessary for small incisions (Bolland et al., 2009; 215 216 Larsen et al., 2013). Tagged fish were placed in a recovery reservoir of aerated fresh water for observation ensuring they were able to maintain equilibrium and were 217 218 responsive to external stimuli before release.

219 2.4 Environmental data

220 Water stage (level) and temperature were recorded on Swanside Beck and Chipping Brook in pool habitat 5 m upstream of the LCB and PW2 structures respectively. These 221 were measured at 15 minute intervals using an automatic logger (HOBO U20-001-01-222 223 Ti; accuracy: 0.05 cm, 0.044 °C from 0° -50 °C) placed in a stilling well affixed securely upright at the bank. Water level was then calibrated using a single point reading of 224 depth at the data logger and hourly mean barometric pressure readings from a weather 225 226 station at Bingley (53.81 N 01.87 W). The engineered structures were surveyed by measuring water depth and velocity in and immediately below each structure at base 227 228 flow. Water velocity was measured using an electromagnetic velocity meter (Valeport, 229 model 801) over 10 seconds, calculating the mean and standard deviation at 0.6 of the depth. At pool-weir type structures measurements were taken across the channel from 1 230 231 m downstream of each notch and at three equally spaced points in three cross sections within each notch. Measures were taken at LCB from 1 m downstream of the structure 232 233 and then in the middle of the break in each set of baffles. In C, measurements were

taken at 0.25 m increments across transects beginning 1 m downstream of the entrance,at the entrance and then at 2 m intervals.

236 2.5 Data analysis

In order to assess performance for fish passage at each structure several metrics were 237 calculated. *Passage efficiency* was defined as the percentage of fish which successfully 238 239 ascended a structure compared to those which were detected at the downstream antenna 240 loop, attempting to ascend. *Proportion of Displaced fish Attempting Passage* (PDAP) was defined as the proportion of fish which attempted to ascend a structure compared of 241 242 those which were displaced below it (note that this is not the same as attraction 243 efficiency in many studies [Cooke & Hinch, 2013], but it is a useful metric of 244 motivation of fish seeking to pass an obstacle to return to their home area). *Time to* passage was measured as the time between first detection at the downstream loop and 245 246 the first detection at the upstream loop of a structure. An additional measure of passage delay impact was calculated, the *number of attempts* made by a fish before successful 247 248 passage, where an attempt is defined as detection at the downstream antenna, not within 5 minutes of a previous detection. Data presented are the first recorded ascents of 249 250 individuals and do not consider repeat ascents from fish which have fallen back downstream. Five fish (2% at PW1 and 1% at PW2 of those detected) included fish pass 251 252 vicinities within their home range and persistently moved between the lower and upper 253 antenna over multiple days. These fish were excluded from analysis. Non-parametric 254 testing was used to compare these passage metrics between structures. 255 The effect of body length on the passage success of trout at structures was tested using 256 binary logistic regression. This provides a useful method for modelling a binary

257	response variable (successfully or unsuccessfully ascending a structure) based on a
258	predictor variable (length) (Bewick et al., 2005; Starrs et al, 2011). Models were
259	constructed using 2013 data and tested for significance against the Null model. A model
260	for 2014 PW2 after modification was constructed for comparison to 2013 PW2. Logistic
261	regression was not fitted where complete separation arose due to 100% passage
262	efficiency (Field, 2005). All analyses were conducted using R version 2.15.2 (R Core
263	Team, 2012).
264	

3. Results

265

266 3.1 Migration and passage efficiency

A total of 845 and 337 trout were PIT tagged in 2013 and 2014 respectively across the

two study streams, with fork lengths ranging from 80 - 450 mm (Table 2). In situ

detection efficiencies of tagged fish averaged 98.5% for upstream antennas and 94.9%

270 for downstream antennas, for all five sites and both years. On Chipping Brook, the

271 proportion of non-displaced parr morphotype trout detected attempting to move

upstream was 29% (n = 137) of which 38% were successful at PW2. On Swanside Beck

the proportion of non-displaced trout of parr morphotype exhibiting upstream

movement was 32% (n = 278) of which 100%, 56% and 65% were successful at C,

LCB and PW1 respectively. Of these, the proportion moving from downstream of C

was 15% (n = 32) to LCB and 13% to PW1. Of those tagged between C and LCB the

proportion moving upstream to PW1 was 10% (n = 108).

278 Of the four structures investigated in 2013, passage efficiency for trout was observed to

be highest at the control C (100%; Table 3) as expected. Within the three fish passes

280	highest overall passage efficiency (from displacement and non-displaced samples
281	combined) was observed at PW1 (76%) followed by the LCB (68%; Table 3). Passage
282	efficiency values for PW1 and PW2 are minimums due to short periods of PIT logger
283	down-time. In 2014 the LCB demonstrated the best passage efficiency (82%, Table 4)
284	of the three fish passes studied, with the control culvert again exhibiting near complete
285	passage efficiency (96%). Of the two cumulative structures in close proximity the most
286	downstream of them, PW2 (79%), recorded a higher passage efficiency than the ERR
287	(71%). The LCB structure was also more efficient in 2014 than 2013 (Table 4) in
288	displacement experiments by 15%.
289	In long-term experiments in 2013 the Proportion of Displaced fish Attempting Passage
290	(PDAP) was highest at the LCB (91%; Table 3), much higher than for the control
291	culvert (51%), characterised by a large, deep pool immediately downstream. Within the
292	15-day displacement experiments PDAP was higher in 2014 for C and the LCB which
293	were studied in both years (Table 2). PDAP was very similar between PW2 (87%) and
294	the LCB (88%) in 2014, with the ERR having a lower value than PW2 immediately
295	downstream of it.

Stage and water temperature data from 2013 (Fig. 2) and 2014 (Fig. 3) are displayed in
relation to cumulative passage success. During fish displacement experiments on
Swanside Beck water level was slightly but significantly higher in 2013 than 2014

(Independent t-test, t = 6.43, df = 2768.1, P < 0.001) and water temperature was *ca*.

300 3° C lower in 2013 than 2014 (*t* = -77.70, df = 4099.78, *P* < 0.001). This was also found

301 to be the case on Chipping Brook during fish displacement experiments for water level

302 (t = -18.88, df = 2484.62, P < 0.001) and temperature (t = -30.57, df = 2901.09, P < 0.001)

303 0.001) between 2013 and 2014.

304 3.1 Delay before successful passage

In the 2013 long-term migration experiments, significant differences were found in time 305 306 to passage between the four structures (Kruskal-Wallis test, H(3) = 54.7, P < 0.001) as well as in the number of attempts (Kruskal-Wallis test, H(3) = 68.5, P < 0.001). Time 307 308 to passage was shortest at control C (median = 0.70 h; Fig. 4) and longest at PW2 (median = 127.22 h). Time to passage at each structure in long-term experiments was 309 significantly different from the control C with the exception of PW1 (Mann-Whitney 310 311 test, U = 774, P < 0.31; Fig. 4). Significant differences were also found in displacement 312 experiments between structures, for time to passage (Kruskal-Wallis test, H(4) = 42.2, P < 0.001; Fig. 5) as well as number of attempts (Kruskal-Wallis test, H(4) = 24.5, P < 1000313 314 0.001). Though C still provided the shortest passage time in 2014 displacement 315 experiments (median₂₀₁₄ = 0.51), longest passage times were experienced at the LCB in 316 2013 (median = 4.01 h) but were notably, though not significantly, shorter in 2014 317 (median = 0.97 h). Time to passage was also significantly shorter at PW2 in 2014 (median = 2.37; U = 2740, P < 0.001) following adjustments in its construction after 318 319 long delays were observed in long-term experiments in 2013 (median = 108.29 h). Of 320 the fish passage structures the ERR provided the least impact both in terms of time to passage and number of attempts (median = 0.66 h and 1 respectively). 321 322 As with time to passage passage, the number of attempts before successful passage was 323 lowest at C (median = 1; Fig. 4) and greatest at PW2 (median = 7). Number of attempts 324 was significantly greater for all structures compared against control C (Fig. 4) in long term experiments, while only the LCB exhibited significantly higher numbers of 325 326 attempts before successful passage in comparison with C in displacement experiments 327 in 2013 (U = 1075, P < 0.02) and 2014 (U = 1050, P < 0.01).

328 **3.2 Fork-length and passage success**

Significant logistic regression models (P < 0.05) were created for three structures (LCB, 329 330 PW1, PW2) based on data from long-term experiments collected in 2013 (Fig. 6, Table 5) and the displacement data from 2014 for PW2 following its alteration. Although the 331 50% probability of passage (P_{50}) did not differ significantly between fish passes, the 332 models suggest that PW1 functioned best for smaller trout, with a P_{50} for a length of 91 333 mm (Fig. 6). Of the other two structures $PW2_{2013}$ ($P_{50} = 132$ mm) performed worse than 334 335 the LCB ($P_{50} = 113$ mm) for smaller fish. All structures had a P_{90} under 250 mm with PW1 ($P_{90} = 199$ mm) showing evidence of better performance than LCB ($P_{90} = 222$ 336 337 mm) and PW2 ($P_{90} = 222$ mm). After alteration, PW2₂₀₁₄ showed an increase in 338 performance for all lengths of fish (Fig. 6, Table 5), outperforming the other structures for smaller fish ($P_{50} = 82 \text{ mm}$, $P_{90} = 192 \text{ mm}$). A significant model could not be 339 340 constructed for the control culvert C due to complete separation arising from 100% 341 passage efficiency and the model for ERR was insignificant.

342

343 4. Discussion

This study provides field evidence of the varying performance of three fish passage designs for upstream passage of both juvenile and adult *Salmo trutta* at low-head barriers and the variation in delay that can be incurred even between similarly designed passes. We provide the first passage efficiency measurements (67-82%) of a low-cost baffle (Servais, 2006) fish pass for trout of a wide range of sizes. This performed quite similarly in terms of passage efficiency to the other fish pass designs tested, even though operating at nearly double the gradient (24% vs 12% in our study; Table 1). 351 Predictive models suggested that passage success was closely related to fork length of 352 individuals with probability of passage reduced for smaller fish. About 30% of tagged 353 parr released at their capture site attempted passage at one or more structures and this, 354 as well as upstream dispersal and homing demonstrates that small (including juvenile), as well as large adult trout need to be considered in terms of effective upstream passage 355 356 provision. Additionally short-term displacement experiments of resident trout combined with PIT telemetry was identified to potentially provide a rapid performance assessment 357 358 tool for determining trout passage at structures and to aid fine-tuning of fish pass 359 modifications.

360 Passage efficiencies observed at fishways in this study were all below the minimum 361 90% target that recommended as a minimum for sustaining and recovery of populations 362 of diadromous and markedly potamodromous species (Lucas and Baras, 2001), but well 363 above the average passage efficiency (48%) recorded in a large meta-analysis by Bunt et al. (2012). Only control C, a 20 m culvert with 4% slope, exceeded the minimum 90% 364 passage efficiency target (96-100% passage efficiency) with water velocities which 365 366 were well within the swimming performance abilities of S. trutta (Beamish, 1978; Tudorache, 2008; Videler, 1993). In addition to this, passage efficiencies were found to 367 be variable between and within design types with differences also observed across 368 369 years. Bunt et al. (2012) reported that passage efficiency varied broadly across a range 370 of fishway types when conducting a meta-analysis of 19 studies of 26 species at instream barriers to migration. Where fish had to pass two structures in close proximity 371 372 at PW2 and then the ERR both passage efficiency and PDAP were lower at the upstream structure, despite shorter passage time and lower number of attempts at the 373 374 ERR. Due to the close proximity of these structures it is hard to discern whether this

375 result is influenced more by the individual design of the structures or whether the
and an energy expended in passing the first structure reduces a fish's motivation to attempt the
second or impacts the likelihood it will pass both structures. Given this, the values for
passage efficiency and PDAP at ERR may be considered conservative values.
Cumulative barriers to migration can potentially have substantial effects on upstream
passage even when individual structures pose what seem to be a negligible impact

381 (McKay et al., 2013).

382 In this study 50% probability of successful upstream passage at fishways was associated 383 with lengths representative of trout in the 1+ age cohort for this catchment (80-132) mm; M. Forty, unpublished). Swimming capacity has been shown to be a factor of body 384 length (Beamish, 1978; Videler, 1993). Tudorache et al. (2008) suggested, based on 385 flume experiments, that maximum flow velocity in culverts for brown trout of fork 386 length 78 ± 2 mm at 15° C should be 0.45 ms⁻¹. High passage success was observed at 387 the control culvert despite having higher base flow velocities at the entrance than this 388 recommendation (0.80 ms^{-1}) . This may be explained by trout having been able to use 389 390 burst swimming to enter the structure and then utilise local flow refugia while moving through the culvert, where the observed mean velocity was lower (0.46 ms^{-1}) . High 391 passage efficiencies have been previously observed in nature-like fishways 392 393 characterised by high maximum water velocities for both weak (Calles & Greenberg, 2007) and strong (Calles & Greenberg, 2005, 2009) swimming species. Successful 394 passage of some individuals at fishways with locally high velocities may be indicative 395 of their strong motivation to pass upstream and their abilities in the wild to outperform 396 397 maximum swimming speeds observed in confined flumes (Haro et al., 2004; Peake & 398 Farrell, 2004). The use of flow refugia may also partially explain the high passage

success observed at LCB and ERR, where sustained swimming at higher velocities
(mean = 1.42 and 1.13 ms⁻¹) is required as these pass types lacked well-defined resting
areas as provided by pool-weir type passes. The short length of these structures (< 10
m) will also contribute to a moderately high passage success of small fish in our studies;
reducing the duration of swimming at these velocities and allowing fish with a greater
range of swimming abilities to pass.

405

406 Creating fish passage structures in small streams so that they are passable by juvenile 407 fish as well as adults would allow for recovery of populations following disturbance 408 events such as high stream flows or pollution incidents, and would also facilitate upstream dispersal. High stream flows can result in the displacement of juvenile 409 salmonids downstream of structures impacting their survival (McMahon & Hartman, 410 411 1989; Ottaway & Clarke, 1981) where they may end up in unsuitable habitats such as 412 deeper pools potentially increasing susceptibility to predation from larger piscivorous fishes, or in juvenile habitat with increased population density due to displacement, 413 414 generating increased intraspecific competition causing density-dependent fitness impacts. Our displacement experiments mimicked such upstream movements. Mature 415 416 parr morphotype salmonid males (precocious parr) have been identified to contribute 417 towards spawning success of populations (Dellefors & Faremo, 1988; Garcia-Vazquez 418 et al., 2001; Hutchings & Jones, 1998). In this study ca. 30% of non-displaced parr 419 morphotype trout were observed to exhibit upstream movement in both study streams in 420 2013 with a number of those tagged below the most downstream site on Swanside Beck 421 observed passing over 3 km upstream through all three structures during the autumn. 422 We hypothesise these individuals were mature male parr.

423 While the site-specific displacement experiments were comparable in terms of the 424 monitoring duration following displacement, there were limitations in resource 425 availability precluding exactly simultaneous experiments and there were also 426 differences between sites in the availability of fish of different sizes for tagging. Improved passage efficiency at C and the LCB in 2014 may have been due to 427 significantly higher stream temperatures (approximately 3°C higher for Swanside Beck 428 and 1°C higher for Chipping Brook) in 2014 compared to 2013 during the displacement 429 430 experiments. Water temperature may influence swimming capacity of fish as it has been found to affect the muscle contraction speed of fish (Beach, 1984; Wardle, 1980). 431 However, brown trout swimming performance was observed to be similar in summer 432 433 $(15^{\circ}C)$ and winter $(5^{\circ}C)$ as long as fish had acclimatised to those temperatures by Day & Butler (2005). Also, Jain & Farrell (2003) demonstrated that rainbow trout 434 (Oncorhynchus mykiss) performed better acclimated at lower temperatures (ca. 5°C) 435 than higher temperatures (ca. 17°C) in a repeated swimming performance experiment. 436 437 Each of the structures studied were designed and constructed across the width of the 438 stream to maximise attraction flow, and as such did not have to compete for attraction flow as would occur for a fish pass at a large dam or main river weir (e.g. Gowans et al., 439 1999). Despite this there was much variation in PDAP between structures during 440 441 displacement experiments, being higher for fish passes (71 - 88%) than for the control 442 culvert (49%) in 2014 (Table 4). The habitat characteristics created in areas downstream of instream structures could be a potential factor in determining the motivation for 443 444 displaced fish to approach and attempt to pass a structure; C had a constrained surfacepositioned entrance above a large, deep scour pool, which may have limited attraction, 445 446 even though the whole of the stream flow entered via C. While plunge pools and dam

tailwaters, supplied with well oxygenated water and an abundance of food can provide 447 propitious habitats for a number of fish species, they may also have the potential to 448 449 reduce motivation for smaller fish to pass through them due to exposure to predation 450 from larger piscivorous fishes that reside in deeper pool habitat (e.g. Schlosser, 1987). The lower PDAP values observed at PW1 and PW2 in 2013 are likely an artefact of 451 452 data loss due to equipment failure in the period directly after displacement in that year. Time to passage was found to be highly variable between structures. Passage times were 453 454 slightly shorter within displacement experiments than long-term experiments, likely because of the shorter duration of monitoring post-displacement biasing towards fish 455 which may have a greater motivation to pass upstream than ones which wait longer 456 457 before attempting. As with improved passage efficiency, the LCB structure also showed 458 improved performance in terms of reduced time to passage in 2014 compared with 459 2013, perhaps due to increased temperature in 2014 experiments. The improvement works on PW2 between 2013 and 2014 appear to have been successful in addressing the 460 461 issues with long delays, suggesting that these were due to conditions caused by the 462 unsuitable head drop at the entrance notch to the structure. In addition to providing a 463 complete barrier for some individuals, delays incurred at instream structures during upstream passage can have detrimental impacts on spawning success and survival where 464 465 the excessive energy expenditure interferes with physiological and behavioural 466 processes (Mesa et al., 2003) and increases the risk of predation (Peake et al., 1997; Rieman et al., 1991). In situations where fish have to pass multiple in-stream structures 467 468 these effects can be compounded, threatening survival of anadromous populations and potentially driving facultatively anadromous species such as Salmo trutta towards 469 470 resident-dominated populations (Baras & Lucas, 2001).

471 While the passage efficiencies of fishways in this study did not attain the minimum 90% 472 target recommended by Lucas and Baras (2001), the study areas were dominated by 473 spawning and nursery habitat, and therefore such high passage efficiencies may be 474 unnecessary to sustain the population and key processes such as dispersal and migration. Further, due to the size-selective effects of the fish passes, larger adults (> 30 475 476 cm), with high fecundity, did have passage efficiencies above 90% for all pass types for which size effects could be modelled. Nevertheless, supporting passage for the full 477 478 range of life stages and sizes within populations may be important; for salmonids the emphasis on upstream pass provision is normally for large adults only, because 479 (especially for females) these have high fecundity and economic value, but this ignores 480 481 the wider functionality of upstream movements in juveniles and small adults. Our results suggest that in tributaries for salmonid spawning, greater consideration should be 482 made towards facilitating naturally occurring upstream migration and dispersal of 483 juvenile morphotype salmonids. 484

This study identifies that field studies are a vital component of evaluating and 485 486 optimising fish pass effectiveness, informing management decisions. This is demonstrated by improvements in passage observed in 2014 following the actions that 487 could be taken after the identification of long delays incurred at Pool-Weir 2 during 488 489 2013 in this study. The number of fish passage structures which have been evaluated in 490 relation to the large number constructed globally is very small (Schmutz et al., 1998). 491 This is particularly true of low-head instream structures present within smaller streams 492 (Alexandre & Almeida, 2010; Ovidio & Philippart, 2002). As demonstrated in this study, there is a wide variation in performance between and within fish pass designs, 493 494 especially in terms of passage efficiency for different life stages and in the delays which

495	can be incurred even between similarly designed structures. This indicates that as much
496	as improved understanding of factors influences the effectiveness of fish passes, detail
497	needs to be paid to the build quality of technical passes.

498

499

500 Acknowledgements

- 501 The authors would like to thank Gareth Jones and Paul Peters of the Ribble Rivers Trust
- and Adam Wheeler for their tireless assistance with data collection. Thanks also go to
- 503 Environment Agency fisheries staff, particularly Mark Rudd, the Met Office for
- 504 providing barometric pressure data used in this study, and land owners for their
- 505 cooperation and access permissions. M. Forty was supported by DEFRA's Catchment
- 506 Restoration Fund.

507 **References**

- Aarestrup, K., Koed, A., 2003. Survival of migrating sea trout (Salmo trutta) and
- 509 Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) smolts negotiating weirs in small Danish rivers. Ecol.
- 510 Freshw. Fish 12, 169-179.
- 511 Alexandre, C. M., Almeida, P. R., 2010. The impact of small physical obstacles on the
- structure of freshwater fish assemblages. River Res. Appl. 26, 977-994.
- 513 Armstrong, J. D., Herbert, N. A., 1997. Homing movements of displaced stream-
- 514 dwelling brown trout. J. Fish Biol., 445-449.
- 515 Baras, E., Lucas, M.C., 2001. Impacts of man's modifications of river hydrology on the
- migration of freshwater fishes: a mechanistic perspective. Ecohydrol. Hydrobiol. 1,291-304.
- 518 Beach, M.H., 1984. Fish pass design-criteria for the design and approval of fish passes
- and other structures to facilitate the passage of migratory fish in rivers. MAFF Fisheries
- 520 Research Technical Report 78, 46 pp.
- 521 Beamish, F.W.H., 1978. Swimming capacity. In Hoar, W.S. and Randall, D.J. (eds.)
- 522 Fish Physiology, Vol. VII, Locomotion, New York: Academic Press, 101-187 pp.
- 523 Bewick, V., Cheek, L., Ball, J., 2005. Statistics review 14: Logistic regression. Crit.
- 524 Care 9, 11-118.
- 525 Bolland, J. D., Cowx, I. G., Lucas, M. C., 2009. Evaluation of VIE and PIT tagging
- 526 methods for juvenile cyprinid fishes. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 25, 381-386.
- 527 Bunt, C.M., Castro-Santos, T. & Haro, A., 2012. Performance of fish passage structures
- at upstream barriers to migration. River Res. Appl. 28, 457-478.

- 529 Calles, E. O. & Greenberg, L. A., 2005. Evaluation of nature-like fishways for re530 establishing connectivity in fragmented salmonid populations in the River Emån. River
 531 Res. Applic. 21, 951-960.
- 532 Calles, E. O. & Greenberg, L. A., 2007. The use of two nature-like fishways by some
- fish species in the Swedish River Emån. Ecol. Fresh. Fish 16, 183-190.
- Calles, O. & Greenberg, L., 2009. Connectivity is a two-way street The need for a
 holistic approach to fish passage problems in regulated rivers. River Res. Applic. 25,
 1268-1286.
- 537 Castro-Santos, T., Haro, A., 2003. Quantifying migratory delay: a new application of
 538 survival analysis methods. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 60, 986-996.
- Castro-Santos, T., Haro, A., Walk, S., 1996. A passive integrated transponder (PIT) tag
 system for monitoring fishways. Fish. Res. 28, 253-261.
- 541 Clay, C.H., 1995. Design of Fishways and Other Fish Facilities, Second edition, Lewis,
 542 Boca Raton.
- 543 Cooke, S.J., Hinch, S.G., 2013. Improving the reliability of fishway attraction and
- 544 passage efficiency estimates to inform fishway engineering, science, and practice. Ecol.
- 545 Eng. 58, 123-132.
- 546 Day, N., Butler, P.J., 2005. The effects of acclimation to reversed seasonal temperatures
- on the swimming performance of adult brown trout *Salmo trutta*. J. Exp. Biol. 208,
- 548 2683-2692.
- 549 Dellefors, C. & Faremo, U., 1988. Early sexual maturation in males of wild sea trout,
- 550 Salmo trutta L., inhibits smoltification. J. Fish Biol. 33, 741-749.

- 551 Denil, G., 1909. Les échelles à poissons et leur application aux barrage de Meuse et
 552 d'Ourthe. Annales des travaux publics de Belgique, Bruxelles, 152 pp.
- Fausch K.D., Torgersen C.E., Baxter C.V. & Hiram L.W., 2002. Landscapes to
 riverscapes: bridging the gap between research and conservation of stream fishes.
 Bioscience 52, 483-498.
- Field, A., 2005. Discovering Statistics Using SPSS, 2nd edition. London: Sage
 Publications, 816 pp.
- Foulds, W.L. & Lucas, M.C., 2013 Extreme inefficiency of two conventional technical
 fishways used by European river lamprey (*Lampetra fluviatilis*). Ecol. Eng. 58, 423433.
- 561 Garcia-Vazquez, E., Moran, P., Martinez, J., Perez, J., de Gaudemar, B., Beall, E., 2001.
- Alternative mating strategies in Atlantic salmon and brown trout. J. Hered. 92, 146-149.
- Gibson, R. J., Haedrich, R. L., Wenerheim, C. M., 2005. Loss of fish habitat as a
- consequence of inappropriately constructed stream crossings. Fisheries 30, 10-17.
- 565 Gough, P., Philipsen, P., Schollema, P.P., Wanningen, H., 2012. From Sea to Source:
- 566 International Guidance for the Restoration of Fish Migration Highways. Regional Water
- 567 Authority Hunze en Aas, AD Veendam, The Netherlands.
- 568 Gowans, A.R.D., Armstrong, J.D., Priede, I.G., 1999. Movements of adult Atlantic
- salmon in relation to a hydroelectric dam and fish ladder. J. Fish Biol. 54, 713-726.
- 570 Haro, A. & Kynard, B., 1997. Video evaluation of passage efficiency of American shad
- and sea lamprey in a modified Ice Harbor fishway. North Am. J. Fish. Manage. 17, 981-
- 572 987.

- 573 Haro, A., Castro-Santos, T., Noreika, J., Odeh, M., 2004. Swimming performance of
- 574 upstream migrant fishes in open-channel flow: a new approach to predicting passage
- through velocity barriers. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 61, 1590-1601.
- 576 Hasler, C. T., Donaldson, M. R., Sunder, R. P., Guimond, E., Patterson, D. A., Mossop,
- 577 B., Hinch, S. G., Cooke, S. J., 2011. Osmoregulatory, metabolic, and nutritional
- 578 condition of summer-run male Chinook salmon in relation to their fate and migratory
- behaviour in a regulated river. Endanger. Species Res. 14, 79-89.
- 580 Hutchings, J.A., Jones, M.E.B., 1998. Life history variation and growth rate thresholds
- for maturity in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 55, 22-47.
- Jager, H.I., Chandler, J.A., Lepla, K.B., Winkle, W.V., 2001. A theoretical study of
- river fragmentation by dams and its effects on white sturgeon populations. Environ.Biol. Fish. 60, 347-361.
- Jain, K.E., Farrell, A.P., 2003. Influence of seasonal temperature on the repeat
- swimming performance of rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. J. Exp. Biol. 206, 3569-3579.
- 588 Katopodis, C., Williams, J.G., 2012. The development of fish passage research in a
 589 historical context. Ecol. Eng. 48, 8-18.
- 590 Keefer, M. L., Peery, C. A., Bjornn, T. C., Jepson, M. A. & Stuehrenberg, L. C. 2004.
- 591 Hydrosystem, dam, and reservoir passage rates of adult Chinook salmon and
- steelhead in the Columbia and Snake rivers. T. Am. Fish. Soc. 133, 1413-1439.
- 593 Kemp, P.S., 2012. Bridging the gap between fish behaviour, performance and
- by hydrodynamics: an ecohydraulics approach to fish passage research. River Res. Appl.
- 595 28, 403-406.

- 596 Kiffney, P.M., Pess, G.R., Anderson, J.H., Faulds, P., Burton, K., Riley, S., 2009.
- 597 Changes in fish communities following recolonization of the Cedar River, WA, USA by
- Pacific salmon after 103 years of local extirpation. River Res. Appl. 25, 438-452.
- Laine, A., 1995. Fish swimming behaviour in Finnish fishways. In: Proceedings of the
- 600 International Symposium on Fishways '95 in Gifu, Japan (Komura, S., ed.), Gifu:
- Organising Committee for International Symposium on Fishways '95 in Gifu, 323-328pp.
- 603 Larinier, M. (2001). Environmental issues, dams and fish migration. In: Dams, fish and
- fisheries: Opportunities, challenges and conflict resolution (Marmulla, G., ed.),
- 605 pp. 45-90. Rome: FAO.
- Larsen, M.H., Thorn, A.N., Skov, C. & Aarestrup, K., 2013. Effects of passive
- 607 integrated transponder tags on survival and growth of juvenile Atlantic salmon Salmo
- 608 *salar*. Anim. Biotelem. **1**:19, 1-7. doi: 10.1186/2050-3385-1-19.
- Levin, P.S., Tolimieri, N., 2001. Differences in the impacts of dams on the dynamics of
- 610 salmonid populations. Anim. Conserv. 4, 291-299.
- Lucas, M. C., Baras, E., 2001. Migration of Freshwater Fishes. Blackwell Science,
 Oxford.
- Lucas, M.C., Bubb, D.H., Jang, M. –H., Ha, K. & Masters, J. E. G., 2009. Availability
- of and access to critical habitats in regulated rivers: effects of low-head barriers on
- 615 threatened lampreys. Freshwater Biol. 54, 621-634.
- Lucas, M. C., Frear, P. A. 1997. Effects of a flow-gauging weir on the migratory
- 617 behaviour of adult barbel, a riverine cyprinid. J. Fish Biol. 50, 382-396.

- Lucas, M. C., Mercer, T., Armstrong, J. D., McGinty, S. & Rycroft, P., 1999. Use of a
- 619 flat-bed passive integrated transponder antenna array to study the migration and
- behaviour of lowland river fishes at a fish pass. Fish. Res. 44, 183-191.
- 621 Marmulla, G., Ingendahl, D., 1996. Preliminary results of a radio telemetry study of
- 622 returning Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) and sea trout (*Salmo trutta trutta* L.) in
- River Sieg, tributary of River Rhine in Germany. In Baras E. & J.C. Philippart (eds.),
- 624 Underwater Biotelemetry, Proceedings of the First Conference and Workshop on Fish
- Telemetry in Europe, University of Liège, Belgium, 109-117.
- 626 McDowall R.M., 1992. Particular problems for the conservation of diadromous fish.
- 627 Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosys. 2, 351-355.
- 628 McFarland, W. N., 1959. A study of the effects of anaesthetics on the behaviour and
- 629 physiology of fishes. Publications of the Institute of Marine Science 6, 22-55.
- 630 McLaughlin R.L., Porto L., Noakes D.L.G., Baylis J.R., Carl L.M., Dodd H.R.,
- 631 Goldstein J.D., Hayes D.B. & Randall R.G., 2006. Effects of low-head barriers on
- 632 stream fishes: taxonomic affiliations and morphological correlates of sensitive species.
- 633 Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 63, 766-779.
- 634 McKay, S.K., Schramski, J.R., Conyngham, J.N., Fischenich, J.C., 2013. Assessing
- upstream fish passage connectivity with network analysis. Ecol. Appl. 23, 1396-1409.
- 636 McMahon, T.E., Hartman, G.F., 1989. Influence of cover complexity and current
- 637 velocity on winter habitat use by juvenile coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*). Can. J.
- 638 Fish. Aquat. Sci. 46, 1551-1557.

- 639 Mesa, M.G., Bayer, J.M., Seelye, J.G., 2003. Swimming performance and physiological
- responses to exhaustive exercise in radio-tagged and untagged Pacific lampreys. T. Am.
- 641 Fish. Soc. 132, 483-492.
- Nilsson, C., Reidy, C.A., Dynesius, M., Revenga, C., 2005. Fragmentation and flow
- regulation of the world's large river systems. Science 308, 405-408.
- 644 Ottaway, E.M., Clarke, A., 1981. A preliminary investigation into the vulnerability of
- 645 young trout (Salmo trutta L.) and Atlantic salmon (S. salar L.) to downstream
- displacement by high water velocities. J. Fish Biol. 19, 135-145.
- 647 Ovidio, M., Philippart, J.C., 2002. The impact of small physical obstacles on upstream
- 648 movements of six species of fish. Hydrobiologia 483, 55-69.
- 649 Park, D., Sullivan, M., Bayne, E., Scrimgeour, G., 2008. Landscape-level stream
- 650 fragmentation caused by hanging culverts along roads in Alberta's boreal forest. Can. J.
- 651 For. Res. 38, 566-575.
- 652 Peake, S.J., Farrell, A.P., 2004. Locomotory behaviour and post-exercise physiology in
- relation to swimming speed, gait transition and metabolism in free-swimming
- smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*). J. Exp. Biol. 207, 1563-1575.
- 655 Peake, S., McKinley, R.S., Scruton, D.A., 1997. Swimming performance of various
- 656 freshwater Newfoundland salmonids relative to habitat selection and fishway design. J.
- 657 Fish Biol. 51, 710-723.
- 658 Pépino, M., Rodríguez, M. A., Magnan, P., 2012. Impacts of highway crossings on
- density of brook charr in streams. J. Appl. Ecol. 49, 395-403.

- Poff, N. L., Hart, D. D., 2002. How dams vary and why it matters for the emerging
 science of dam removal. Bioscience 52, 659-668.
- 662 Pringle C.M., Freeman M.C. & Freeman B.J., 2000 Regional effects of hydrologic
- alterations on riverine macrobiota in the New World: tropical-temperate comparisons.
- 664 Bioscience 50, 807-823.
- 665 R Core Team, 2012. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
- 666 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL
- 667 http://www.R-project.org/.
- 668 Rieman, B.E., Beamesderfer, R.C., Vigg, S., Poe, T.P., 1991. Estimated Loss of
- juvenile salmonids to predation by northern squawfish, walleyes, and smallmouth bass
- in John Day Reservoir, Columbia River. T. Am. Fish. Soc. 120, 448-458.
- 671 Schlosser, I.J., 1987. The role of predation in age- and size-related habitat use by stream
- 672 fishes. Ecology 68, 651-659.
- 673 Schmutz, S., Giefing, C., Wiesner, C., 1998. The efficiency of a nature-like bypass
- 674 channel for pike-perch (*Stizostedion lucioperca*) in the Marchfeldkanal system.
- 675 Hydrobiologia 371-372, 355-360.
- 676 Servais, S.A., 2006. Physical modelling of low-cost modifications to the Crump weir in
- order to improve fish passage: development of favourable swimming conditions
- 678 investigation of the hydrometric effect. PhD Thesis, Cranfield University. Engineering
- 679 Systems Department, Shrivenham, Swindon, UK.

- 680 Starrs, D., Ebner, B. C., Lintermans, M., Fulton, C. J., 2011. Using sprint swimming
- 681 performance to predict upstream passage of the endangered Macquarie perch in a highly
- regulated river. Fish. Manag. Ecol. 18, 360-374.
- 683 Stuart, T.A., 1962. The leaping behaviour of salmon and trout at falls and obstructions.
- 684 Department of Agriculture and Fisheries for Scotland, Freshwater and Salmon Fisheries
- 685 Research. His Majesty's Stationery Office 28. Edinburgh, Scotland.
- 686 Stuart, T.A., 1964. Biological aspects of leaping behaviour in salmon and trout. Ann.
- 687 Appl. Biol. 53, 503-505.
- 688 Svendson, C.J., Koed, A. & Aarestrup, K., 2004. Factors influencing the spawning
- migration of female anadromous brown trout. J. Fish Biol. 67, 1280-1286.
- Taylor P.D., Fahrig L., Henein K. & Merriam G., 1993. Connectivity is a vital element
- of landscape structure. Oikos 68, 571-573.
- 692 Thorncraft, G., Harris, J. H., 2000. Fish passage and fishways in New South Wales: A
- status report. Cooperative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology Technical Report
 1/2000.
- 695 Torterotot, J. B., Perrier, C., Bergeron, N. E., Bernatchez, L., 2014. Influence of forest
- road culverts and waterfalls on the fine-scale distribution of brook trout genetic
- diversity in a boreal watershed. T. Am. Fish. Soc. 143, 1577-1591.
- Tudorache, C., Viaene, P., Blust, R., Vereecken, H., De Boeck, G., 2008. A comparison
- of swimming capacity and energy use in seven European freshwater fish species. Ecol.
- 700 Freshw. Fish 17, 284-291.

- Videler, J., 1993. Fish Swimming. St. Edmundsbury Press, Bury St. Edmonds, Suffolk,
 UK
- Wardle, C.S., 1980. Effects of temperature on the maximum swimming speed of fishes.
- In Ali, M.A. (ed.), Environmental Physiology of Fishes. Plenum Press, New York: pp.
- 705 519-531.
- Warren, M. L. Jr., Pardew, M. G., 1998. Road crossings as barriers to small-stream fish
- 707 movement. T. Am. Fish. Soc. 127, 637-644.

708 Figure legends

Fig. 1. Map of study area with in-stream structures shown as black circles (top andbottom right).

Fig. 2. Mean daily stage (solid-black) and mean daily water temperature (solid-grey)

plotted with the cumulative proportion of successful fish in 2013 on Swanside Beck

713 (left) for Culvert (dashed), Low-Cost Baffle (dotted) and Pool-Weir 1 (dot-dash), and

714 Chipping Brook (right) for Pool-Weir 2 (dashed). Arrows indicate dates of displacement

respectively.

Fig. 3. The cumulative proportion of successful fish in displacement experiments in

717 2014 on Swanside Beck (left) for Culvert (dashed) and Low-Cost Baffle (dotted)

structures and Chipping Brook (right) for Pool-Weir 2 (dashed) and Embedded Rock

Ramp (dotted) fish passes plotted with mean daily stage (solid-black) and mean daily

720 water temperature (solid-grey).

Fig. 4. Boxplots of the passage time (h) and number of attempts before successful

passage for long term experiments in 2013. Boxplots display the median, 1^{st} and 3^{rd}

quartiles and the 95% confidence interval of the median with outliers. Pairs not joined

by the same letter represent where there were significant differences between structures

725 (or years). Delays and attempts were analysed separately as were long term and

displacement experiments (Mann-Whitney *U* test with Bonferroni corrected significance at P < 0.035).

Fig. 5. Boxplots of the passage time (h) and number of attempts before successful

passage for short term displacement experiments (2013 and 2014). Boxplots display the

median, 1^{st} and 3^{rd} quartiles and the 95% confidence interval of the median with

- outliers. Pairs not joined by the same letter represent where there were significant
- differences between structures (or years). Delays and attempts were analysed separately
- as were long term and displacement experiments (Mann-Whitney U test with
- Bonferroni corrected significance at P < 0.035).
- Fig. 6. Logistic regression models for LCB, PW1 and PW2 in 2013 and after alteration
- in 2014 showing predicted probability of passage of an individual based on its length
- and ultimate passage success data collected during study with 95% confidence intervals
- (grey area). All models significant against the Null model at P < 0.05.

739 Figures



Fig. 1. Map of study area with in-stream structures shown as black circles (top andbottom right).



Fig. 2. Mean daily stage (solid-black) and mean daily water temperature (solid-grey) plotted with the cumulative proportion of successful

- 752 fish in 2013 on Swanside Beck (left) for Culvert (dashed), Low-cost baffle (dotted) and Pool-Weir 1 (dot-dash), and Chipping Brook
- 753 (right) for Pool-Weir 2 (dashed). Arrows indicate dates of displacement respectively.



Fig. 3. The cumulative proportion of successful fish in displacement experiments in 2014 on Swanside Beck (left) for Culvert (dashed) and
Low-cost baffle (dotted) structures and Chipping Brook (right) for Pool-Weir 2 (dashed) and Embedded Rock Ramp (dotted) fish passes

758 plotted with mean daily stage (solid-black) and mean daily water temperature (solid-grey).





760Fig. 4. Boxplots of the passage time (h) and number of attempts before successful761passage for long term experiments in 2013. Boxplots display the median, 1^{st} and 3^{rd} 762quartiles and the 95% confidence interval of the median with outliers. Pairs not joined763by the same letter represent where there were significant differences between structures764(or years). Delays and attempts were analysed separately as were long term and765displacement experiments (Mann-Whitney *U* test with Bonferroni corrected significance766at P < 0.035).





Fig. 5. Boxplots of the passage time (h) and number of attempts before successful passage for short term displacement experiments (2013 and 2014). Boxplots display the median, 1st and 3rd quartiles and the 95% confidence interval of the median with outliers. Pairs not joined by the same letter represent where there were significant differences between structures (or years). Delays and attempts were analysed separately as were long term and displacement experiments (Mann-Whitney *U* test with Bonferroni corrected significance at *P* < 0.035).



Fig. 6. Logistic regression models for LCB, PW1 and PW2 in 2013 and after alteration in 2014 showing predicted probability of passage of an individual based on its length and ultimate passage success data collected during study with 95% confidence intervals (grey area). All models significant against the Null model at P < 0.05.

783 Tables

784 **Table 1**

785 Physical characteristics of studied structures.

	([0		Fish passes						
	Culvert (contro	Low-cost baffle	Pool-Weir 1	Pool-Weir 2 (2013)	Pool-Weir 2 (2014)	Embedded Rock Ramp			
Length (m)	20	6.70	8.43	7.20	7.20	4.57			
Width (m)	0.50	7.6 - 9.7	6.20	8.65 - 10.50	8.65 - 10.50	6.80			
Head (m)	0.80	1.60	1.16	0.84	0.84	0.55			
Slope (%)	4%	24%	12%	12%	12%	12%			
Mean base flow velocity at entrance (ms ⁻¹)	0.80	1.85	1.87	1.97	1.91	1.28			
Mean entrance depth at base flow (m)	0.12	0.15	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.30			
Mean velocity in structure (ms ⁻¹)	0.46	1.42	0.45*	0.84*	0.78*	1.13			
Notch width (m)	-	0.35	0.60	0.60	0.60	-			
Baffle height (m)	-	0.20	-	-	-	-			
Pool step height (m) downstream, upstream	-	-	0.25-0.33	0.49, 0.23, 0.12	0.25	-			
Number of pools	-	-	3	3	3	-			
Number of notches	-	16	4	4	4	-			

*based on measures in flow entering pools from notches at transects 0.5 and 1 m away from notch.

787 **Table 2**

Summary of experimental groups of *Salmo trutta* PIT tagged during 2013 and 2014.

Source	Date	Number tagged	Length [mean SD (range), mm]
Swanside Beck			
Culvert downstream	19/08/2013	33	163.9 ± 35.3 (101 - 266)
Culvert upstream	04/09/2013	12	128.1 ± 38.0 (82 - 191)
Culvert displaced	04/09/2013	68	119.7 ± 34.8 (82 - 191)
	24/07/2014	53	152.4 ± 42.4 (80 - 294)
Low-cost baffle downstream	20/08/2013	101	154.5 ± 24.1 (114 - 233)
Low-cost baffle upstream	05/09/2013	49	$133.0 \pm 31.6 \ (80 - 213)$
Low-cost baffle displaced	05/09/2013	118	$148.2 \pm 28.9 \ (83 - 298)$
	30/07/2014	101	154.5 ± 34.1 (112 - 293)
Pool-weir 1 downstream	21/08/2013	93	127.3 ± 21.3 (94-211)
Pool-weir 1 displaced	11/09/2013	72	$130.8 \pm 29.7 \ (80 - 208)$
Swanside Beck supplementary	migrants		
Culvert downstream	19/09/2013	5	222 ± 30.4 (191 - 256)
	28/10/2013	1	425
	06/11/2013	1	450
	12/11/2013	4	329.3 ± 80.7 (220 - 410)
	22/11/2013	1	413
Total Swanside Beck	2013	558	$142.4 \pm 42.6 \ (80 - 450)$
	2014	158	$156 \pm 39.3 (80 - 294)$
Chipping Brook			
Pool-weir 2 downstream	30/08/2013	146	135.0 ± 38.3 (80 - 254)
Pool-weir 2 displaced	12/09/2013	141	159 ± 51.6 (109 - 443)
Pool-weir 2 and Embedded Rock ramp displaced	12/09/2014	179	145 ± 40.6 (102 - 326)
Total Chipping Brook	2013	287	153.4 ± 49.0 (80 - 443)
	2014	179	145.0 + 40.6 (102 - 326)

789 **Table 3**

790 Passage success of *Salmo trutta* during long-term experiments in 2013. Proportion of

791 Displaced fish Attempting Passage (PDAP) values are calculated for trout displaced from

upstream to below the structure only; these fish may be expected to attempt to return home.

	С	LCB	PW1*	PW2*
Number displaced	68	128	73	139
Number attempted				
Displaced	35	117	48	119
Non-displaced	7	78	61	87
Total	42	195	109	206
Passage efficiency (%)				
Displaced	100	74	79	53
Non-displaced	100	63	74	59
Total	100	68	76	55
PDAP (%)	51	91	66	86

*Minimum estimates of attempts, passage efficiency and PDAP due to 4-day (3.4 – 3.8% of total
experiment duration) periods of equipment failure shortly after fish displacement; measures of nondisplaced fish passage efficiency are expected to have been least affected.

796

797 **Table 4**

798 Passage success of *Salmo trutta* within 15 days following displacement below structures in

2013 and 2014 showing passage efficiency and Proportion of Displaced fish Attempting

800 Passage (PDAP).

		2013					2014			
	С	C LCB PW1* PW2*					PW2	ERR		
Number displaced	68	128	73	139	53	101	178	154		
Attempted	25	94	48	52	26	89	154	109		
Succeeded	25	63	38	37	25	73	121	77		
Passage efficiency (%)	100	67	79	71	96	82	79	71		
PDAP (%)	37	73	66	37	49	88	87	71		

*Minimum estimates of attempts, passage efficiency and attraction efficiency due to 4-day (26% of

total experiment duration) periods of equipment failure shortly after fish displacement

803

804

805

Table 5

807 Summary of logistic regression models of length and successful passage based on long-term
808 observations in 2013 and 15-day displacements in 2014.

						Wald	test			
Site	Coefficient	Std. Error	z statistic	P <	χ2	df	P <	Likelihood ratio test	df	P <
LCB ₂₀₁₃	0.02	0.007	2.998	0.003	9	1	0.003	13.672	1	0.0002
P-W 1 ₂₀₁₃	0.02	0.009	2.331	0.02	5.4	1	0.02	7.8	1	0.005
P-W 2 ₂₀₁₃	0.02	0.008	2.977	0.003	8.9	1	0.003	13.669	1	0.0002
P-W 2 ₂₀₁₄	0.02	0.009	2.121	0.03	4.5	1	0.03	6.30	1	0.01