CALCULATION OF EXPLICIT PROBABILITY OF ENTRAINMENT BASED ON INERTIAL ACCELERATION MEASUREMENTS

⁴ Georgios Maniatis ¹, Trevor B. Hoey ², Marwan A. Hassan ³, Joseph Sventek ⁴, Rebecca Hodge ⁵, Tim Drysdale ⁶, Manousos Valyrakis ⁷

5 ABSTRACT

A new method for the approximation of the explicit probability of entrainment for in-6 dividual coarse particles is presented. The method is based on the derivation of inertial 7 acceleration measurements, space-state approximation of the dynamics close to entrainment 8 and the probabilistic approximation of the threshold inertial acceleration that causes incipi-9 ent motion. Results from flume experiments with a custom-made Inertial Measurement Unit 10 enclosed in an idealized spherical enclosure, under varied flow conditions (achieved via slope 11 change) and two different arrangements (saddle and grain-top positions) are presented to 12 demonstrate the application of the method. The analysis supports the modification of the 13 existing flow velocity based entrainment criteria so they respect the particle-frame realisation 14 of forces during incipient motion. 15

¹⁶ Keywords: grain entrainment, inertial sensors, incipient motion

¹School of Geographical and Earth Sciences and School of Computing Sciences, University of Glasgow. UK. E-mail: g.maniatis.1@research.gla.ac.uk

²School of Geographical and Earth Sciences. University of Glasgow. UK

³Department of Geography. University of British Columbia. Canada

⁴Department of Computing and Information Science. University of Oregon. US

⁵Department of Geography. Durham University. UK

⁶Department of Engineering and Innovation. Open University, Milton Keynes. UK

⁷School of Engineering. University of Glasgow. UK

17 INTRODUCTION

Water-related erosion and sedimentation processes interact with the biosphere at a global 18 scale (Walling 2009) and affect many human activities (Dotterweich 2008). One of the most 19 important aspects of sediment transport, that is also one of the most complex and difficult 20 problems in this field, is determination of the dynamics that drive the initiation of sediment 21 movement which reflect the combined effects of fluid turbulence, grain arrangement and 22 local topographic variability (Grass 1970; Buffington and Montgomery 1997; Dey 2014). 23 In spite of the large number of studies since the classic work of Shields (Buffington and 24 Montgomery 1997; Shields 1936), aspects of the problem of sediment entrainment remain 25 unsolved which partly-explains the absence of a widely accepted model for the prediction of 26 sediment transport in streams (Merritt et al. 2003). 27

Although it is generally accepted that sediment entrainment and hence material transport 28 rates increase in a non-linear manner as the flow rate increases, a unique, explicit parameter 29 capable of characterising the threshold conditions for incipient motion does not yet exist 30 (Garcia 2008; Buffington and Montgomery 1997). Furthermore, the variability of sediment 31 transport and the plethora of related parameters (e.g. the wide range of particle sizes, bed 32 surface structure, hiding and exposure, the complex history of the channel bed) lead to 33 bedload transport models and corresponding entrainment criteria that are valid only within 34 specific conditions (Habersack and Kreisler 2013). 35

Accurate modelling of bedload transport processes is complicated by this transport taking 36 place across a range of temporal and spatial scales (from the grain to catchment scale, and 37 from rapid single-grain movements to annual bed displacements). These scale ranges lead to 38 two fundamentally different descriptions of sediment transport: the Eulerian deterministic 39 approach formalized by Shields (Shields 1936), mainly applicable at reach to catchment 40 scales, and the Lagrangian stochastic step-length model introduced by Einstein (Einstein 41 1937), which is by definition relevant to the grain scale. The specification of an appropriate 42 dynamic field for these approaches (Eulerian or Lagrangian) concerns the frame of reference 43

2

for the water-flow generated dynamics: in Shields' model individual particles move under a
time-averaged mean bed shear stress, while in Einstein's model grain movement is consequent
on local turbulent stresses (Papanicolaou et al. 2002). Formal ways to link the two frames
of reference in the context of sediment transport are logical next steps in improving our
understanding of transport processes.

The most widely used criterion for incipient motion is Shields' critical shear stress (τ_c). τ_c is the bed shear stress produced by the water flow (if uniform flow is assumed this is approximated as a channel slope-depth product) that is capable of mobilising each specific sediment size class (which, for grain sizes yielding particle Reynolds' Numbers > 70, is correlated with the median diameter of the sediment).

Since Shields' (1936) work, a series of empirical values have been suggested to account for 54 a range of factors including the relative depth of the flow, grain shape and protrusion (Ashida 55 and Michiue 1971; Fenton and Abbott 1977; Shvidchenko and Pender 2000). In parallel, 56 Shields' criterion has been extensively criticized for its ambiguity and limited applicability 57 (Church et al. 1998; Buffington and Montgomery 1997; Parker et al. 2003; Bunte et al. 2013) 58 and the validity of a single criterion or even the existence of measurable critical threshold 59 conditions have been questioned (Einstein 1950; Lavelle and Mofjeld 1987). Parallel work has 60 associated the effects of bed micro-topography (Kirchner et al. 1990; Buffington et al. 1992; 61 Prancevic and Lamb 2015), the near bed flow turbulence (Nelson et al. 1995; Papanicolaou 62 et al. 2002) and impulsive (Diplas et al. 2008; Valyrakis et al. 2010) or energetic (Valyrakis 63 et al. 2013) flow events on incipient motion. The combined result of these phenomena cannot 64 be accounted for within a deterministic time-averaged mean stress calculation, although such 65 approaches can still yield useful results. 66

The core problem with the inclusion of all the above phenomena in any analysis of entrainment is that each of them is difficult to measure or quantify. Advances in monitoring techniques have improved the accuracy of measurements of grain scale near bed forces (Papanicolaou et al. 1999; Schmeeckle et al. 2007) as well as enabling monitoring of impulse

3

events and their energy potential (Valyrakis et al. 2013). These laboratory measurements reveal great variability of flow dynamics at micro-scale which, combined with the random character of the micro-topography, justifies the treatment of incipient motion as an inherently stochastic processes (comparable to Einstein's description). A summative review of recent studies that define and explore the concept of "pickup probability" or "entrainment probability" as attributed to conditions related to both flow turbulence and sediment arrangement is presented in Marion and Tregnaghi (2013).

Marion and Tregnaghi (2013) show how all the stochastic studies of entrainment reuti-78 lize and extend the conceptual framework introduced by Grass (1970). Grass suggested 79 calculating the probability of entrainment as a joint probability derived from Probability 80 Density Functions (PDF hereafter) of critical shear stresses (connected to the resistance to 81 entrainment of the sediment grains) and of the distribution of hydrodynamic forces (derived 82 from near bed flow velocities). The probability of entrainment (P_E) is calculated as the 83 exceedance of a random near bed velocity (U_f) represented by a cumulative distribution F_{U_f} 84 having a PDF of f_{U_f} , as: 85

86

87

$$P_E = P(U_f > u_f = u_g) = \int_{u_g}^{\infty} f_{U_f}(u) du = 1 - F_{U_f}(u_g)$$
(1)

88

where u_g represents the threshold velocity for grain entrainment. Note that the form of equation (1) is general and also applies to definitions of entrainment in terms of other relevant variables such as shear stress or turbulent kinetic energy.

92

A development of the stochastic description of sediment transport is that the process has been described using a range of mathematical approaches including state-space descriptions (e.g. Markov chains, Tsai and Lai (2014)) and inference techniques in both adaptive neurofuzzy (Valyrakis et al. 2011) and classical Bayesian (Schmelter and Stevens 2012) contexts. These approaches rely on robust calculation of probabilities such as the probability of entrainment, since they can be utilized either as real transition probabilities for the state-space derivations or as training functions and priors for the inference systems.

An aspect of the entrainment problem that has not been extensively investigated is that 100 the existing criteria for sediment entrainment are essentially implicit in the sense that they 101 are based on near particle flow features (e.g. flow turbulence) rather than characteristics of 102 each individual particle and its local arrangement. This problem has often been identified 103 (Cao et al. 2006), but has only recently been formally treated by measuring the dynamics 104 that occur in the inertial frame of the particles close to the threshold of entrainment. It is 105 now technically possible to measure inertial dynamics at scales appropriate for gravel sized 106 sediment, since the miniaturisation of sensing equipment has made the concept of a "smart 107 pebble" (a small, free-moving multi-sensor capable of measuring inertial dynamics such as 108 acceleration and angular velocity) feasible (Akeila et al. 2010; Solc et al. 2012; Frank 2014). 109

Maniatis et al. (2013) have shown how this technology can be optimized for natural fluvial environments, demonstrating the capability of the sensor to capture accurate, representative and robust dynamical information over a broad range of imposed forces. However, interpretation of the inertial data in a theoretical framework for incipient motion (Frank 2014) has so far been restricted to the utilisation of Shields' conceptual model.

Following from these theoretical and technical developments, the contributions of this paper are to provide:

• an evaluation of the mobile sensor presented by Maniatis et al. (2013) in entrainment threshold experiments. These results provide supporting evidence towards the formation of an explicit entrainment criterion that has the potential to be utilised across the range of natural river flow regimes.

• description of the derived time-series with dynamic linear models in order to make space state approximations for a representative underlying entrainment process. This approximation is performed by the application of a simplified Kalman filter.

5

- illustration of attribution of categorical variables to the approximated states, and
 calculation of the probability of entrainment as a function of inertial acceleration
 using logistic regression analysis. This result connects directly the inertial dynamics
 of individual particles to the more relevant probabilistic mathematical context for the
 description of incipient motion.
- finally we introduce a metric to evaluate the performance of the probabilistic criteria
 that are relevant to grain incipient motion: the overlapping coefficient (OVL) (Weitz man 1970). The derivation of the OVL requires the numerical approximation of the
 PDF of the recorded measurements (for pre- and after entrainment conditions) which
 is achieved non-parametrically using Kernel Density Estimates (*KDE*).

134 METHODS

135 Flume experiments

Initial laboratory experiments used a prototype sensor designed specifically for flume 136 deployment. This prototype consists of a wireless mote platform deployed with a 3-axis 137 accelerometer with a measurement range of $\pm 4q$ precisely located at the cutre of mass of the 138 particle. The electronics were enclosed in a spherical enclosure of 111mm diameter and the 139 overall assembly weighed 1.43kg (Maniatis et al. 2013), giving a density of $2383kg.m^{-3}$, which 140 is within the range of natural materials. Higher density can be achieved by adding removable 141 weights to voids designed within the case (Maniatis et al. 2013). The prototype was tested 142 in a series of experiments in the $6m \times 0.6m$ $(L \times W)$ recirculation flume in the Mountain 143 Channel Hydraulics Experimental Laboratory (MCHEL), University of British Columbia. 144 The scope of the experiments was to make a first evaluation of the 3D inertial acceleration 145 measurements from the prototype sensor under varying flow and slope conditions. 146

We constructed an idealized bed of hemispheres (Figure 1) of the same diameter as the the 147 sensor (111mm) using the rapid prototyping technique described in Maniatis et al. (2013). 148 The result was a bed topography with saddle and grain-top positions that approximated 149 the model described in Kirchner et al. (1990). In each experiment, the sensor was placed in 150 a saddle position (position A in Figure 1) and the flow initiated from zero with a steadily 151 increasing rate of $0.014l.s^{-2}$ up to a maximum rate of $6.25l.s^{-1}$ which was reached after 152 446 seconds. Upstream of the bed of hemispheres, large sediment particles generated fully 153 turbulent flows which approximated uniform conditions (equal water depth along the length 154 of the flume) over the full range of discharges used. Flow velocities where monitored using 155 a Vectrino II Acoustic Doppler Profiler (Craig et al. 2011) for the discharges where flow 156 depths were sufficient to permit the placement of the probe to be far enough from above the 157 bed to derive measurements. The experiments were designed to observe the following series 158 of processes: 159

• Entrainment from the saddle position and subsequent deposition in the grain top position (position B in Figure 1). As well as providing information on movement from saddle positions, this step removes bias from the placement of the sensor, since the deposition in the second grain top position can be treated as natural with minor random variations in position and pebble orientation.

165 166 • A second entrainment of the instrumented particle which transports the sensor out of the monitoring area.

At each of four different flume slopes, 0.026, 0.037, 0.044 and 0.057, nine repeat experiments were undertaken giving 36 experiments in total. The inertial acceleration of the sensor was monitored at a frequency of 4Hz.

170 Experimental limitations

Our sensor has idealised physical characteristics compared to a natural coarse particle, and the sensor was only subjected to shallow flows (up to 0.16m) and velocities up to $0.37m.s^{-1}$ (Figure 2), such that, except at the highest flows at the lowest slope (0.026), flow depth was less than sensor diameter. When using the data from these experiments the assumptions and the errors inherent to the sensing process need consideration.

The sensor has been developed for natural environments and the testing of relevant signal transmission and wireless communication technologies was crucial for the evaluation for the prototype. Hence for this prototype a sampling rate of 4Hz was used, the maximum sampling rate at which acceleration data could be reliably transmitted over the underwater radio.

The optimal sampling frequency for entrainment of large grains in turbulent flows is less than the frequency for characterising flow turbulence due to the lower sensitivity of particle movement to micro-turbulence. However, the system must record particle dynamics at sampling rates that reflect the physical meaning of the derived inertial measurements. Although previous experiments with artificial pebbles have used very high sampling frequencies for both intertial (e.g. Šolc et al. (2012)) and turbulence (e.g. Valyrakis et al. (2013)) mea¹⁸⁶ surements, over-sampling involves redundant data storage and may lead to artefacts in the ¹⁸⁷ data. The optimal sampling frequency for particle motion can be defined with reference ¹⁸⁸ to the velocity of the particle. Assuming maximum displacement velocities of the order of ¹⁸⁹ 100 diameters per second, as demonstrated in experiments for particles of smaller diameter ¹⁹⁰ (Ancey et al. 2002), we suggest a target sampling frequency of about > 50Hz.

To enhance the analysis by isolating the impact of the flow, we report the absolute total acceleration after gravity compensation (CA) which is the total acceleration minus the acceleration due to gravity (Equation 2):

$$A = \sqrt{a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2} \quad , \quad CA = A - |g| \tag{2}$$

¹⁹⁵ Where A is the magnitude of total acceleration, a_x , a_y , a_z are the accelerations recorded ¹⁹⁶ along the x, y and z axes respectively, CA is the acceleration norm after gravity compensation ¹⁹⁷ and g is the acceleration norm due to gravity (9.81m.s⁻² or 1g). From this point, the term ¹⁹⁸ absolute acceleration (acceleration norm) refers to the absolute total inertial acceleration ¹⁹⁹ after gravity compensation (CA) as described in Equation 2.

More accurate compensation for gravity is possible, with the monitoring of rotation and the removal of the gravitational effect from the axis parallel to the gravity vector (Nagrath et al. 2008). This form of compensation was not possible using our current sensor which did not contain a gyroscope and magnetometer, but its absence does not affect the calculation of the absolute compensated acceleration value. The addition of a gyroscope would also enable comparison with evidence for directional entrainment from saddle positions (Chin and Chiew 1993).

Another important implication of not measuring angular velocities is the inability to integrate the accelerations in order to derive velocities, which has two aspects. Firstly, although the noise threshold was identified during (manufacturer proposed) calibration and subtracted from the measurement, inaccuracies remain and are highly relevant to the sensor's response to gravity (Woodman 2007). Secondly, in the field of electrical engineering the error accumulation in MEMS based IMUs is one of the most intensively researched problems (Zekavat and Buehrer 2011). It is known that, without a restriction of the degrees of freedom of the motion, the error propagation during integration makes the velocity (and the displacement results after two integrations) unusable. To access the velocity response of the sensor the measurement of angular velocity is necessary and we address this in a subsequent paper.

Finally a combination of restricted sampling frequency and absolute gravity compensation 217 leads to a masking of the pre-entrainment conditions during the statistical treatment of the 218 signal. More specifically entrainments and pre-entrainment motions occur in the same time-219 window when the signals from individual experiments are synchronized (Figure 3). This is 220 an artefact of the data-processing in order to increase the confidence on the magnitude of 221 the recording dynamics. An other type of analysis that includes advanced filtering of the 222 individual signals (see Section 2) of higher frequency is needed to estimate accurately the 223 fluctuation of pre-entrainment dynamics. 224

²²⁵ CA and the fundamental forces in a fluid flow

Gravity compensation is important since, for the inertial frame of the sensor, gravity is fictitious force. After removing the fictitious forces from the accelerometer measurements we are left with the linear coordinate acceleration, which is the acceleration that mobilises the sensor relative to the bed (or the Eulerian frame of the flow if an explicit description is required). As a result the CA represents the magnitude of the resultant force, the (3D-tensor) force generated on the centre of mass of the particle when the force balance is disturbed.

The above can simplify significantly the mathematisation of particle entrainment. Using recent definitions of the force balance on a spherical particle that is exposed to a fluid flow (Bialik et al. 2012), the resultant force is given by:

235

$$\Sigma F = F_D + F_L + F_M + W_{sub} \tag{3}$$

Where F_D and F_L are drag and lift generated forces, respectively, F_M is the added mass 236 force and W_{sub} is the submerged weight of the particle. For a parametrisation of these forces 237 see Bialik et al. 2012. Interestingly, the CA acceleration parameterises directly the left part 238 of Equation 3. $\Sigma F = ma$, where m is the mass of the particle and a is the acceleration 239 tensor applied on the centre of mass of the particle. If an ideal accelerometer (without noise) 240 was placed precisely on the centre of the mass of the exposed particle then we could write 241 CA = |a| since all the forces of are non-fictitious. Unfortunately real accelerometers are 242 not ideal and this is why, in this work, we choose to treat the acceleration measurements 243 statistically. 244

²⁴⁵ Categorisation and summary of Total Acceleration (CA)

246 Pre-entrainment position grouping

The experiments produced two different modes of movement. For the two lowest slopes (0.026 and 0.037) initial entrainment from the saddle position was followed by settling in a grain top position, where the sensor remained until entrained for a second time. On the contrary, for the two higher slopes (0.044 and 0.057), although entrainment from the saddle position was clearly recorded, the sensor did not remain stationary in the grain-top position for sufficient time prior to its second, grain-top, entrainment to allow isolation of pre-entrainment conditions.

For the following analysis entrainments from the saddle position for the low slopes are omitted and data are grouped in two limiting cases:

256

257

259

• high resistance to entrainment (low slope, grain-top position), entrainment from the grain-top position for the lowest two slopes;

258

• low resistance to entrainment (high slope, saddle position), entrainment from the saddle position for the two higher slopes.

This grouping avoids inconsistent comparisons and allows investigation of a wider range of pre-entrainment dynamics. Note that for the lower slopes, entrainment from the saddle position was identified from the data as an orientation change on the acceleration vector (a_x, a_y, a_z) . In the total acceleration signals reported here orientation changes are masked. A representative signal at the entrainment point from an individual experimental run for one slope (0.026) and grain top position is shown in Figure 3a. Note that in this individual signal a pre-entrainment wobbling is also recorded c.10*sec* before the entrainment event.

²⁶⁷ Derivation of aggregated time series for each slope

The acceleration time series from each individual run were synchronised with the corresponding flow rate curve (Figure 3b). Instead of approximating the underlying process for individual runs, the individual acceleration signals for each slope have been aggregated. For a time domain $t_0, ..., t_n$ the acceleration signal is defined by a series of $A_0, ..., A_n$ absolute acceleration values. If k is the number of experiments for one slope the summed acceleration signal is given by the set:

$$A = \{\{A_1, ..., A_k\}_{t_0}, ..., \{A_1, ..., A_k\}_{t_n}\}$$
(4)

The above formulation states the full range of absolute accelerations recorded in each of k repeat experiments (k = 9 in this case) for each time point, hence discharge value (Figure 3c). The analysis of the aggregated signal has two benefits compared to individual signal analysis:

given that our analysis is purely statistical all the approximations are performed with
 a larger input sample of accelerations which increases statistical confidence and thus
 the significance of the results; and,

the resulting individual time series for each slope is more representative of a raw signal
 derived in a natural environment, extending the application range of the presented
 method.

Analysis of Absolute Acceleration (CA) : Statistical Techniques

286 Dynamic Linear Model Filtering

The aim here is to approximate the underlying dynamical process for each slope by analysing the combined acceleration signal. Space-state estimation techniques for time series analysis are commonly used (Box et al. 2013). Here we follow (Zhang and Li 1996) and use a recursive algorithm for space state estimation to enhance numerical stability and the square root version of the Kalman filter (Kalman 1960). We used these algorithms as coded for the R-statistical software by (Petris 2010).

293

The summed time series is approximated with a first order polynomial model of the form:

$$\begin{cases} y_t = \theta_t + \upsilon_t, \ \upsilon_t \sim N(0, V) \\ \theta_t = \theta_{t-1} + \omega_t, \omega_t \sim N(0, \Omega) \end{cases}$$
(5)

295

where y_t is the vector of observed absolute accelerations after gravity compensation (CA). θ_t 296 is a vector which represents the underlying process (the state) of the system. The observation 297 vector is related to the process vector with the addition of Gaussian noise with variance V298 $(\sigma^2 = 0.2)$. Similarly, the process vector, Ω , is defined as its preceding value with additional 299 covariance ($\sigma^2 = 0.3$). The estimation of these variances is based on the calibration of the 300 sensor under zero (non-gravitational) acceleration conditions. The recursive algorithm of 301 Zhang and Li (1996) is then applied to compute the filtering distribution and its variance for 302 each one of the observations. Finally, the variances are used to calculate probability intervals 303 as shown in Figure 3c. 304

Note that the combination of Equation 4 with the linear Kalman filtering of the model of Equation 5 should not be confused with the Ensemble averaging presented in other works (eg. Fathel et al. 2015). Here we only group the derived sychornised accelerations (CA) and this aggregation does not represent an Expected Value or any other function.

309

The Kalman filter only approximates the process given the overall time series and the

relative variances we introduced from calibration. As a result the difference between the individual entrainment of Figure 3a and the approximated entrainment of Figure 3c is explained by the fact that more entrainments happened at a later time in the aggregated data. Characteristically for the slope discussed in Figure 3 (0.026) the individual entrainments occurred in a range between between 20 and 48mg of CA as shown below (Figure 7a).

³¹⁵ Logistic Regression Calculation of the Probability of Entrainment

The probability of entrainment is assessed using the derived signals for each individual 316 run, to which a binary categorical variable was attributed with pre-entrainment and post-317 entrainment states (0 and 1, respectively). This allows the recorded accelerations to be 318 grouped according to grain condition and for calculation of separate probability densities for 319 each condition (Figure 4a). Note that as explained previously at the lower slopes, the mea-320 sured entrainments are from grain-top positions following initial displacement from saddle 321 positions, whereas at higher slopes entrainment was from the saddle positions (Figure 6). 322 The point of entrainment is shown in the derived signals as a sudden drop in the inertial 323 acceleration, followed by high acceleration values due to impacts of the sensor with the flume 324 bed down-stream of the monitoring area (Figure 3c). In two cases of ambiguity (for slope 325 0.57) the time of entrainment was verified from video recordings. 326

To calculate the probability of entrainment we fitted a binomial model using logistic regression (Hosmer et al. 2000) between the binary variable that corresponds to entrainment and the accelerations derived after the space-state filtering of the time-series. As a generalized linear model, logistic regression for binomial data is expressed with the linear predictor function:

332

$$logit = \left(E\left[\frac{Y_i}{n_i} \mid X_i\right]\right) = logit(p_i) = ln\left(\frac{p_i}{1-p_i}\right) = \beta X_i$$
(6)

where Y_i is the dependent binary variable with:

$$Y_i \sim B_{in}(n_i, p_i) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, n \tag{7}$$

 X_i being the vector of predictors and β being the vector of regression coefficients (Kay and Little 1987).

In this case the model was reduced to one predictor variable, equal to the filtered acceler-337 ation values. As an optimisation process to estimate the probabilities p_i and the regression 338 coefficients β , we implemented the Maximum Likelihood Estimation in the R-statistical pack-339 age for the default function qlm (Faraway 2005). The values fitted by the above process are 340 an explicit calculation of the probability of entrainment as a function of the recorded inertial 341 acceleration. The threshold of entrainment is determined by the acceleration corresponding 342 to 0.5 probability as shown in Figure 4b. The determination of the entrainment threshold as 343 a probability of 0.5 is consistent with other applications of probabilistic entrainment criteria 344 that utilize near bed turbulence measurements (Papanicolaou et al. 2002). The acceleration 345 values corresponding to probabilities < 0.5 represent dynamic conditions that act in favour 346 of the resistance of the particle to entrainment, whereas values corresponding to probabilities 347 ≥ 0.5 represent dynamic conditions where the potential for entrainment is enhanced. 348

349 A statistical framework for the evaluation of incipient motion criteria

350 Rationale

334

The probabilistic derivations for incipient motion differ in terms of the physical definition of the conditional probability that defines the threshold of motion. In the initial framework of Grass (1970) the conditional probability is expressed as a function of Shields' shear stress, while in recent derivations the same probability is physically determined by point (eg. Papanicolaou et al. (2002)) or streamwise (eg. Bottacin-Busolin et al. (2008)) flow velocities (Equation 1). Furthermore, here we propose a new physical definition based on the inertial dynamics of the target particle.

358

However, one observation is relevant to all the studies, including this one: the definition

of a non-abrupt threshold implies that the the measured physical instance (shear stress, flow 359 velocity or acceleration) is defined by two PDFs. One of these defines pre-entrainment 360 conditions (mainly representing the resistance to movement of the particle) and one defining 361 the post-entrainment conditions (mainly recording the mobilisation of the particle). This 362 is a representation in an inertial frame of reference of the idea introduced by Grass (1970) 363 in the form of overlapping PDFs, and it implies that the critical point for entrainment lies 364 within the area of overlap of these two distributions. This has been demonstrated in much 365 later work, regardless of the physical definition of this probability that was used in each case. 366

In a probabilistic context, the degree of overlap between the pre- and post-entrainment PDFs defines the domain of the critical point, hence the exactness of the entrainment criterion. A large overlap of the two distributions suggests a large domain for the threshold, and is thus a less well-defined criterion. A smaller overlap shrinks the domain for the threshold and the derived criterion is better defined. As a result any improvement in the definition of incipient motion thresholds can be quantified by the degree of overlap of the pre- and post-entrainment distributions.

A formal measure for the overlap between two PDFs is the Overlapping Coefficient (OVL) initially proposed by Weitzman (1970). OVL has been used since to quantify the degree of overlap for a range of distributions, from theoretical normal distributions (Inman et al. 1989) to empirical density functions (Schmid and Schmidt 2006; Clemons and Bradley 2000) which are directly relevant to the analysis presented in this work. Since the Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) of the PDFs is an important step of the analysis, we discuss this before the definition of OVL.

³⁸¹ A note on the non-parametric estimation of PDFs

The *KDE* is an established technique for the approximation of *PDFs* of random variables, when no hypothesis can be established for the underlying distribution (non-parametric). Full description of this technique is outside of the scope of this work, however it is necessary to introduce it from the point of application for the coherence of the presented analysis. We performed the approximations using the default routines implemented in the Rstatistical software which are based on the Fast Fourier transform of the kernel estimator introduced by (Rosenblatt 1956). The basic algorithm was derived by Silverman (Silverman 1982; Silverman 1986) for Gaussian kernels, which is also the type of kernel we chose for *KDE* in this paper (the default in R-statistical software).

The KDE, like all smoothing techniques, requires the selection of bandwidth. Numerous automatic bandwidth selectors have been devised (see Heidenreich et al. (2013, Sheather et al. (2004)) however they do not all perform equally well (Park and Turlach 1992).

³⁹⁴ To highlight this effect, we use two bandwidth estimators:

- for display purposes and to derive simple inferences about the data (Figure 4) we use
 Silverman's rule of thumb (Silverman 1986) which tends to over-smooth the data 5.
- for more accurate calculations, such as to calculate the OVL coefficient, we use the data-based method proposed in Sheather and Jones (1991), which for the variability in our data gives more representative approximations (Figure 5).
- Both of these methods are options of the default library of the R-statistical software with
 Silverman's rule of thumb being the default method.
- 402 The Overlapping coefficient (OVL)
- 403

404

After the approximation of the *PDFs* the Overlapping Coefficient is calculated as:

$$OVL = \int_{R_n} \min[f_1(x), f_2(x)] dx \tag{8}$$

where $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are two overlapping PDFs and R_n is the n-dimensional space of real numbers.

The OVL coefficient is always in the range [0, 1] and complete overlap between $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ has OVL = 1, while complete distinction gives OVL = 0 (Clemons and Bradley 2000). In the context of evaluating entrainment criteria we are looking for OVL closer to 0 which would suggest smaller overlap between the pre- and post-entrainment PDFs.

More specifically if we accept that the threshold of entrainment exists in the region where pre-and post entrainment distributions overlap, then the exactness (and the significance) of the threshold is related to how different the two distributions are. If the distributions were normal and had the same variance the diffence of the distributions would be approximated by the separation of the means. The OVL coefficient quantifies this difference for empirically approximated distributions.

⁴¹⁷ A smaller OVL means that the entrainment threshold is better defined. More precisely ⁴¹⁸ an X% reduction of the OVL coefficient represents the maximum % reduction of the variance ⁴¹⁹ of the approximated threshold.

420 RESULTS

421 Absolute Inertial Acceleration (CA) thresholds

The methods described above for the acceleration analysis were applied to data for all the slopes. Figure 6 shows the filtered acceleration signals and the fitted probabilities of entrainment: these results are summarised in Table 1.

As slope increases the discharge at which entrainment occurs is reduced (Figure 7). However, inertial accelerations recorded by the sensor show a more complex pattern (Figure 7b). For the lower slopes with final entrainment from grain top positions, there is considerable overlap between accelerations at entrainment with the higher (0.037) slope having the highest accelerations. The steeper slopes, with entrainment from saddle positions, also show considerable overlap but accelerations are significantly lower than for the lower slopes.

As a statistical evaluation for the derived binomial models (Figure 6b), the p-values for the significance of the coefficients of the independent variable (acceleration, Table1) are given. Another relevant metric is the Walden test which is used for the evaluation of single predictor models, but also to evaluate competing models with different numbers of predictors. The p-value of the Walden test for the four fitted probability models was $< 1 \times 10^{-20}$ which

19

increases our confidence regarding the significance of the derived models (Montgomery andRunger 2010).

⁴³⁸ Measured inertial accelerations at the point of entrainment were of the order 50mg (Fig-⁴³⁹ ures 3 and 6). The acceleration of mean velocity as a result of the steady increase in discharge ⁴⁴⁰ through each experiment is four orders of magnitude lower than these inertial accelerations ⁴⁴¹ at c.2x10⁻²mg, justifying the assumption of gradually varied flow that has no direct influence ⁴⁴² on entrainment forces.

443 Comparison of Total Inertial Acceleration *PDFs* with Velocity *PDFs*

Figure 7 shows how all the derived signals are synchronised over the same time domain. For the two lower slopes (0.026 and 0.037) the approximated acceleration thresholds (44 and 51mg, respectively) were projected back to Threshold Discharges (6.15 and $4.3l.s^{-1}$, respectively). The latter were used to separate the recorded flow velocities (Figure 2) to preand post-entrainment distributions the *PDFs* of which were approximated by *KDE* and the bandwidth selection technique of Sheather and Jones (1991). Finally the *OVL* coefficient was calculated for both the velocity and total acceleration *PDFs*

The results in Figure 6 show that for both of the slopes the OVL coefficient for the acceleration PDFs (0.36 for slope = 0.026 and 0.21 for slope = 0.037) is smaller than the OVLs for the velocity PDFs (0.44 for slope = 0.026, and 0.33 for slope = 0.037).

454 DISCUSSION

455 Evaluation of applied techniques

Filters based on a Dynamic Linear Model have many advantages over traditional time 456 series regression analysis as they can be applied without the associated assumptions of sta-457 tionarity. Another important advantage is that the filtered signal corresponds to exactly the 458 same time domain as the unfiltered series (which is not the case when some other techniques, 459 e.g. moving average, are applied). The latter point becomes crucial since the entire time 460 domain along with the space state characterisation of the process make the attribution of 461 categorical variables to each one of these states both feasible and conceptually consistent. 462 Similarly, logistic regression is a versatile technique that can be applied without the strict 463 assumptions of linear regression and becomes very useful when categorical characterisation 464 of states is necessary (e.g. Entrainment-No Entrainment). 465

466 Our results suggest that current technology (inertial-sensors) permits the modification of
 467 equation 1 to a form of:

468

$$P_E = P(A_f > a_f = a_g) = \int_{a_g}^{\infty} f_{A_f}(a) da = 1 - F_{A_f}(a_g)$$
(9)

469

where A_f is a random inertial acceleration variable for an individual pebble, represented by a cumulative distribution F_{A_f} with a probability density function of f_{A_f} , and a_g is the threshold acceleration for grain entrainment as approximated statistically in the current work. This derivation has the potential to enhance the accurate determination of P_E as it utilises the explicit dynamics of the particles being entrained instead of using implicit flow-related metrics.

Here a clarification is necessary; the fact that the above criterion is explicit does not mean
that we treat the entrainment process in a non-stochastic framework. This observation is
highly relevant to the use of the proposed criterion and methods under different hydrody-

namic conditions (eg. uniform vs non-uniform flow). There is a range of hydrodynamics that 479 can produce the same threshold of inertial acceleration (or more specifically the range of in-480 ertial accelerations where entertainment can occur). However, this range of hydrodynamics 481 corresponds to a smaller range of inertial dynamics. This is reflected in the definition of iner-482 tial acceleration (Section 2), and results in the approximated acceleration thresholds varying 483 in a range of only 19mq regardless of the distinctively different force-balance conditions 484 (slopes and initial placement). Consequently data collected under different hydrodynamic 485 regimes will improve the determination of the inertial threshold and connect it with previous 486 results. 487

The technique that we propose for the comparison of this inertial acceleration-based 488 threshold with a flow velocity based prediction (OVL coefficient), suggests that the overlap 489 of pre- and post-entrainment distribution is reduced by c.10%. Moreover, the reduction 490 is greater for the higher slope where the variability of the hydrodynamics is greater as 491 demonstrated from the PDFs of Figure 7. Based on this result, it is possible to form 492 the hypothesis that, for medium - large grain scales, the inertia of the particles exerts a 493 more significant control on their motion than flow generated forces (Bathurst 1985). It 494 also important that other geomorphological characteristics can be described by overlapping 495 distributions of dynamics (eg. Ze'ev and Schumm (1984)), which extends the applicability 496 of the OVL coefficient beyond the detection of incipient motion. 497

As a result, the study of inertial dynamics of the sediments has the potential to improve prediction across the modes of sediment transport. Formalisation of statistical definitions of entrainment can lead to further improvements to the conceptual model introduced by Grass (1970) since new technologies enable dynamical measurements at high frequency and accuracy.

⁵⁰³ Further study of the proposed criterion under varied conditions is required and is likely to ⁵⁰⁴ reveal a range of types of behaviour dependent on the same issues which lead to variability in ⁵⁰⁵ the definition of Shields' criterion (Buffington and Montgomery 1997). However, the range of behaviour may be better constrained as actual forces are directly measured rather than
being inferentially related to measurable parameters such as grain shape and protrusion.
The approach therefore has the potential to lead to a general inertial force -based equivalent
of Shields' diagram which will not be restricted by the assumption of uniform flow (or any
other flow characterisation) and will have broad applicability.

511 Future work

A new prototype sensor (of diameter < 80mm) is under development, instrumented with 512 all the sensors required for full determination of inertial dynamics (accelerometer, gyroscope 513 and a magnetometer which contributes an extra constant reference axis). Reducing the size 514 of the overall unit is crucial for increasing the range of pebble sizes and shapes which we 515 can be tested, either by reducing the diameter of spherical pebbles, or with pebbles with 516 non-unity aspect ratios with one dimension smaller than 111mm (the new sensor will be 517 able to be housed in non-spherical casings, which extends its generality). This sensor will be 518 capable of higher frequency (up to c. 100Hz) sampling allowing pre-entrainment and motion 519 dynamics to be recorded coherently in 3D space. 520

Finally field deployment of the sensor will contribute to a better description of all the stages of sediment transport (Entrainment -Translation- Deposition). Currently the technology permits the construction of robust enclosures that, in terms of physical characteristics, are mainly relevant to the sediment sizes found in upland streams, debris flows and some gravel beaches.

526 CONCLUSION

We provide a new method to approximate the probability of entrainment for individual coarse particles based on inertial acceleration measurements (Maniatis et al. 2013). This became possible after prototyping a purpose specific I.M.U. The key steps of the method are:

- 1. Recording of inertial dynamics at an appropriate high frequency (inertial acceleration): in this initial study, data were recorded at 4Hz for practical reasons and this has been shown to be adequate for laboratory conditions. For field deployment, recording frequencies of over 50Hz are required, although over-sampling should be avoided to ensure both efficiency and reliable interpretation of results.
- 2. Bayesian filtering of the derived signals (Kalman Filter): we conducted 9 replicates
 of each entrainment experiment. Rather than analyse each separately, combining
 these into one synchronised data set allows robust interpretation and specification of
 statistical uncertainty in the results using an appropriate process model (Equation
 5). This model illustrates both the inter-experiment variability and the trends in the
 data (Figures 3 and 6).
- 3. Categorical characterisation of the filtered signals for pre- and post-entrainment conditions: the individual data sets (e.g. Figure 3a) show pre-entrainment vibration
 increasing through time, entrainment, and post-entrainment oscillations. Categorising these data into pre- and post-entrainment accelerations allows the probability of
 entrainment to be considered as a function of increasing flow intensity (approximated
 here by discharge).
- 4. Logistic approximation of the relationship: After analysing inertial accelerations preand post-entrainment, logistic regression provides a way of statistically expressing a gradual increase in the probability of entrainment with increasing accelerations. This also provides confidence intervals (Figures 4 and 6) which clarify the differences in entrainment processes between different grain positions (saddle vs. grain top) and

25

which also show considerable overlaps between data obtained at different slopes but 553 for the same grain positions. These differences suggest that secondary effects, such as 554 the orientation of initial grain movement, may be significant even for spherical grains. 555 5. Calculation of the probability of entrainment as a function of inertial acceleration 556 from the conditional threshold probability $(p_i = 0.5)$: the notion of entrainment as 557 a gradational increase in probability of movement as flow intensity increases is well-558 established (Grass 1970), but has been difficult to quantify for natural conditions. 559 Extension of our approach to natural particle shapes and positions will help to address 560 this data requirement. 561

The results support the implementation of explicit dynamical metrics with reference to the inertial frame of the particle under entrainment. Further research is needed to expand this type of entrainment criterion to a range of particle sizes and dynamical schemes.

565 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors want to thank Shawn Chartrand and Matteo Saletti for assisting the preparation and the execution of the experiments as well the discussions on the meaning of the derived data. Rick Ketler offered valuable technical support during the experimental evaluation (University of British Columbia). The Editor and the Associate editor of the journal, as well as two reviewers, provided feedback on an earlier version that contributed significantly to the manuscript.

572 APPENDIX I. REFERENCES

- Akeila, E., Salcic, Z., and Swain, A. (2010). "Smart pebble for monitoring riverbed sediment
 transport." Sensors Journal, IEEE, 10(11), 1705–1717.
- Ancey, C., Bigillon, F., Frey, P., Lanier, J., and Ducret, R. (2002). "Saltating motion of a bead in a rapid water stream." *Physical review E*, 66(3), 036306.
- Ashida, K. and Michiue, M. (1971). "An investigation of river bed degradation downstream
 of a dam." in Proceedings of 14th Int. Association of Hydraulic Research Congress, Vol. 3,
 Wallingford, U.K., 247–255.
- Bathurst, J. C. (1985). "Flow resistance estimation in mountain rivers." Journal of Hydraulic
 Engineering, 111(4), 625–643.
- Bialik, R., Nikora, V., and Rowiński, P. (2012). "3d lagrangian modelling of saltating particles diffusion in turbulent water flow." Acta Geophysica, 60(6), 1639–1660.
- Bottacin-Busolin, A., Tait, S. J., Marion, A., Chegini, A., and Tregnaghi, M. (2008). "Probabilistic description of grain resistance from simultaneous flow field and grain motion measurements." *Water resources research*, 44(9).
- Box, G. E., Jenkins, G. M., and Reinsel, G. C. (2013). *Time series analysis: forecasting and control.* John Wiley & Sons.
- ⁵⁸⁹ Buffington, J. M., Dietrich, W. E., and Kirchner, J. W. (1992). "Friction angle measurements
- ⁵⁹⁰ on a naturally formed gravel streambed: Implications for critical boundary shear stress." ⁵⁹¹ Water Resources Research, 28(2), 411–425.
- Buffington, J. M. and Montgomery, D. R. (1997). "A systematic analysis of eight decades of
 incipient motion studies, with special reference to gravel-bedded rivers." Water Resources
 Research, 33(8), 1993–2029.
- ⁵⁹⁵ Bunte, K., Abt, S. R., Swingle, K. W., Cenderelli, D. A., and Schneider, J. M. (2013). "Crit⁵⁹⁶ ical shields values in coarse-bedded steep streams." *Water Resources Research*, 49(11),
 ⁵⁹⁷ 7427–7447.
- ⁵⁹⁸ Cao, Z., Pender, G., and Meng, J. (2006). "Explicit formulation of the Shields diagram for

- incipient motion of sediment." Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 132(10), 1097–1099.
- ⁶⁰⁰ Chin, C. and Chiew, Y. (1993). "Effect of bed surface structure on spherical particle stabil⁶⁰¹ ity." Journal of waterway, port, coastal, and ocean engineering, 119(3), 231–242.
- Church, M., Hassan, M. A., and Wolcott, J. F. (1998). "Stabilizing self-organized structures
 in gravel-bed stream channels: Field and experimental observations." Water Resources
 Research, 34(11), 3169–3179.
- ⁶⁰⁵ Clemons, T. E. and Bradley, E. L. (2000). "A nonparametric measure of the overlapping ⁶⁰⁶ coefficient." *Computational statistics & data analysis*, 34(1), 51–61.
- ⁶⁰⁷ Craig, R. G., Loadman, C., Clement, B., Rusello, P. J., and Siegel, E. (2011). "Characteriza-⁶⁰⁸ tion and testing of a new bistatic profiling acoustic doppler velocimeter: The vectrino-ii."
- Current, Waves and Turbulence Measurements (CWTM), 2011 IEEE/OES 10th, IEEE,
 246–252.
- ⁶¹¹ Dey, S. (2014). "Sediment threshold." *Fluvial Hydrodynamics*, Springer, 189–259.
- Diplas, P., Dancey, C. L., Celik, A. O., Valyrakis, M., Greer, K., and Akar, T. (2008). "The
 role of impulse on the initiation of particle movement under turbulent flow conditions." *Science*, 322(5902), 717–720.
- ⁶¹⁵ Dotterweich, M. (2008). "The history of soil erosion and fluvial deposits in small catch-⁶¹⁶ ments of central europe: deciphering the long-term interaction between humans and the ⁶¹⁷ environmenta review." *Geomorphology*, 101(1), 192–208.
- Einstein, H. (1937). "Bedload transport as a probability problem." Sedimentation (reprinted
 in 1972). Water Resources Publications, Colorado, 105–108.
- Einstein, H. A. (1950). The bed-load function for sediment transportation in open channel flows. Number 1026. US Department of Agriculture.
- Faraway, J. J. (2005). Extending the linear model with R: generalized linear, mixed effects and nonparametric regression models. CRC press.
- Fathel, S. L., Furbish, D. J., and Schmeeckle, M. W. (2015). "Experimental evidence of statistical ensemble behavior in bed load sediment transport." *Journal of Geophysical*

28

- Fenton, J. and Abbott, J. (1977). "Initial movement of grains on a stream bed: The effect of
 relative protrusion." *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical* and Engineering Sciences, Vol. 352, The Royal Society, 523–537.
- Frank, D. P. (2014). "Incipient motion within the wave bottom boundary layer." Ph.D.
 thesis, University of New Hampshire, University of New Hampshire.
- Garcia, M. H. (2008). "Sediment transport and morphodynamics." ASCE Manuel No. 110,
 Sedimentation Engineering, 2008, 21–163.
- Grass, A. J. (1970). "Initial instability of fine bed sand." Journal of the hydraulics division,
 96(3), 619–632.
- Habersack, H. and Kreisler, A. (2013). "Sediment transport processes." Dating Torrential
 Processes on Fans and Cones, Springer, 51–73.
- Heidenreich, N.-B., Schindler, A., and Sperlich, S. (2013). "Bandwidth selection for kernel
 density estimation: a review of fully automatic selectors." AStA Advances in Statistical
 Analysis, 97(4), 403–433.
- Hosmer, D. W., Lemeshow, S., and Sturdivant, R. X. (2000). Introduction to the logistic
 regression model. Wiley Online Library.
- Inman, H. F., Bradley, J., and Edwin, L. (1989). "The overlapping coefficient as a measure
 of agreement between probability distributions and point estimation of the overlap of two
- normal densities." Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, 18(10), 3851–3874.
- Kalman, R. E. (1960). "A new approach to linear filtering and prediction problems." Journal
 of Fluids Engineering, 82(1), 35–45.
- Kay, R. and Little, S. (1987). "Transformations of the explanatory variables in the logistic
 regression model for binary data." *Biometrika*, 74(3), 495–501.
- Kirchner, J. W., Dietrich, W. E., Iseya, F., and Ikeda, H. (1990). "The variability of critical
- shear stress, friction angle, and grain protrusion in water-worked sediments." Sedimentology, 37(4), 647–672.

⁶²⁶ Research: Earth Surface, 120(11), 2298–2317.

653	Lavelle, J. W. and Mofjeld, H. O. (1987). "Do critical stresses for incipient motion and
654	erosion really exist?." Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 113(3), 370–385.
655	Maniatis, G., Hoey, T., and Sventek, J. (2013). "Sensor enclosures: Example application and
656	implications for data coherence." Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks, 2(4), 761–779.
657	Marion, A. and Tregnaghi, M. (2013). "A new theoretical framework to model incipient
658	motion of sediment grains and implications for the use of modern experimental techniques."
659	Experimental and Computational Solutions of Hydraulic Problems, Springer, 85–100.
660	Merritt, W. S., Letcher, R. A., and Jakeman, A. J. (2003). "A review of erosion and sediment
661	transport models." Environmental Modelling & Software, 18(8), 761–799.
662	Montgomery, D. C. and Runger, G. C. (2010). Applied statistics and probability for engineers.
663	John Wiley & Sons.
664	Nagrath, I., Nagrath, I., and Gopal, M. (2008). Textbook Of Control Systems Engineering
665	(Vtu). New Age International.
666	Nelson, J. M., Shreve, R. L., McLean, S. R., and Drake, T. G. (1995). "Role of near-bed
667	turbulence structure in bed load transport and bed form mechanics." Water Resources
668	Research, 31(8), 2071-2086.
669	Papanicolaou, A., Diplas, P., Evaggelopoulos, N., and Fotopoulos, S. (2002). "Stochastic
670	incipient motion criterion for spheres under various bed packing conditions." Journal of
671	$Hydraulic \ Engineering, \ 128(4), \ 369-380.$
672	Papanicolaou, A. N., Diplas, P., Balakrishnan, M., and Dancey, C. L. (1999). "Computer
673	vision technique for tracking bed load movement." Journal of Computing in civil engi-
674	neering, 13(2), 71-79.
675	Park, B. and Turlach, B. (1992). "Practical performance of several data driven bandwidth
676	selectors." Report no., Université catholique de Louvain, Center for Operations Research
677	and Econometrics (CORE).
678	Parker, G., Seminara, G., and Solari, L. (2003). "Bed load at low shields stress on arbitrarily
679	sloping beds: Alternative entrainment formulation." Water resources research, $39(7)$.

- Petris, G. (2010). "An R package for dynamic linear models." Journal of Statistical Software,
 36(12), 1–16.
- Prancevic, J. P. and Lamb, M. P. (2015). "Particle friction angles in steep mountain channels." Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 120(2), 242–259.
- Rosenblatt, M. (1956). "Remarks on some nonparametric estimates of a density function."
 The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 27(3), 832–837.
- Schmeeckle, M. W., Nelson, J. M., and Shreve, R. L. (2007). "Forces on stationary particles in
 near-bed turbulent flows." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface (2003–2012)*,
 112(F2).
- Schmelter, M. L. and Stevens, D. K. (2012). "Traditional and bayesian statistical models in
 fluvial sediment transport." *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 139(3), 336–340.
- Schmid, F. and Schmidt, A. (2006). "Nonparametric estimation of the coefficient of overlap ping?theory and empirical application." *Computational statistics & data analysis*, 50(6),
 1583–1596.
- ⁶⁹⁴ Sheather, S. J. et al. (2004). "Density estimation." *Statistical Science*, 19(4), 588–597.
- Sheather, S. J. and Jones, M. C. (1991). "A reliable data-based bandwidth selection method
 for kernel density estimation." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Method ological), 683–690.
- Shields, A. (1936). "Application of similarity principles and turbulence research to bed-load
 movement, translated from german.
- Shvidchenko, A. B. and Pender, G. (2000). "Flume study of the effect of relative depth on the
 incipient motion of coarse uniform sediments." *Water Resources Research*, 36(2), 619–628.
- ⁷⁰² Silverman, B. (1982). "Algorithm as 176: Kernel density estimation using the fast fourier
- transform." Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics), 31(1),
 93–99.
- Silverman, B. W. (1986). Density estimation for statistics and data analysis, Vol. 26. CRC
 press.

- Solc, T., Stefanovska, A., Hoey, T., and Mikoš, M. (2012). "Application of an instrumented
 tracer in an abrasion mill for rock abrasion studies." *Strojniški vestnik-Journal of Mechan- ical Engineering*, 58(4), 263–270.
- Tsai, C. W. and Lai, K.-C. (2014). "Three-state continuous-time markov chain model for mixed-size sediment particle transport." *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 140(9).
- Valyrakis, M., Diplas, P., and Dancey, C. L. (2011). "Prediction of coarse particle movement
 with adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference systems." *Hydrological Processes*, 25(22), 3513–3524.
- Valyrakis, M., Diplas, P., and Dancey, C. L. (2013). "Entrainment of coarse particles in
 turbulent flows: An energy approach." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*,
 118(1), 42–53.
- Valyrakis, M., Diplas, P., Dancey, C. L., Greer, K., and Celik, A. O. (2010). "Role of instantaneous force magnitude and duration on particle entrainment." *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface (2003–2012)*, 115(F2).
- Walling, D. E. (2009). The impact of global change on erosion and sediment transport by
 rivers: current progress and future challenges. Unesco, Paris.
- Weitzman, M. S. (1970). Measures of overlap of income distributions of white and Negro
 families in the United States, Vol. 22. US Bureau of the Census.
- Woodman, O. J. (2007). "An introduction to inertial navigation." University of Cambridge,
 Computer Laboratory, Tech. Rep. UCAMCL-TR-696, 14, 15.
- Ze'ev, B. and Schumm, S. A. (1984). "Gradational thresholds and landform singularity:
 significance for quaternary studies." *Quaternary Research*, 21(3), 267–274.
- Zekavat, R. and Buehrer, R. M. (2011). Handbook of position location: Theory, practice and
 advances, Vol. 27. John Wiley & Sons.
- ⁷³⁰ Zhang, Y. and Li, X. (1996). "Fixed-interval smoothing algorithm based on singular value
- decomposition." Control Applications, 1996., Proceedings of the 1996 IEEE International
- Conference on, IEEE, 916-921.

733 APPENDIX II. NOTATION

The following symbols are used in this paper:

- PDF = Probability Density Function
- KDE = Kernel Density Estimates
- OVL = Overlapping coefficient
 - P_E = probability of Entrainment
 - U_f = random near bed velocities (L/T)
 - F_{U_f} = cumulative distribution of U_f
 - f_{U_f} = Probability density function of F_{U_f}
 - A = Magnitude of total acceleration (mg);
 - g = Acceleration due to gravity (1g)

$$CA \mid$$
 = Absolute acceleration with gravity compensation (mg)

 $a_x, a_y, a_z =$ Accelerations on x, y and z axes respectively (mg);

 y_t = Observation vector (Kalman Filter Definition)

 θ_t = Unobserved vector (Kalman Filter Definition)

 $V, \Omega = Observation and Evolution (process) covariances (Kalman Filter Definition)$

- $p_i = \text{Probabilities}$
- Y_i = Binary variable (Logistic Regression)
- X_i = Vector of predictors (Logistic Regression)
- β = Vector of regression coefficients (Logistic Regression)

$$A_f$$
 = random total inertial acceleration (L/T^2)

 F_{A_f} = cumulative distribution of A_f

 f_{A_f} = probability density function of F_{A_f}

735

736	List of Tables						
737	1	Summary Results	35				

Slope	Threshold	Threshold Discharge	Type of position	Significance of logistic
	acceleration (mg)	$(l.s^{-1})$	pre-entrainment	regression model
				for the calculated probability
0.026	44	6.15	Grain-top	$< 2 \text{ x} 10^{-16}$
0.037	51	4.3	Grain-top	$< 2 \mathrm{x} 10^{-16}$
0.044	32	3.21	Saddle	$< 2 \text{ x} 10^{-16}$
0.057	25	2.2	Saddle	$< 2 \mathrm{x} 10^{-16}$

TABLE 1. Summary Results

738 List of Figures

739	1	Description of the experimental setting	37
740	2	Description of hydraulic conditions	38
741	3	Definition of the underlying entrainment process	39
742	4	Calculation of the probability of entrainment	40
743	5	KDE approximation for inertial acceleration	41
744	6	Summary results	42
745	7	Comparison of velocity and acceleration	43



FIG. 1. Description of the experimental setting

The setting represents the theoretical model described in Kirchner et al. (1990) with two characteristic entrainment positions (saddle (A) and grain-top (B)). The physical differences of the sensor compared to natural sediment are its shape and its density. A sphere was used to enable robust determination of sensor dynamics in the inertial frame (requiring accurate definition of the center of mass and locations of points of contact) during calibration.



FIG. 2. Description of hydraulic conditions

Near bed flow velocities (a), measured c.0.5m upstream of the bed of hemispheres. Figure 2b. shows water depths at the measurement points on the bed of hemispheres in the absence of the sensor particle. This was possible for the lower two slopes (0.026 and 0.037) at a range of discharges. At slope = 0.044 velocities could only be measured for the highest discharge (6.25*l*. s^{-1}) and depths were too low at slope =0.057 for any velocity measurements. In all cases the lower end of the probe was placed at a distance of 15mm from the bed. The calculated Froude number ($F = u/\sqrt{gd}$) was subcritical, in the range 0.57 to 0.71. The low depth:sediment diameter ratios mean that it was not possible to use a uniform flow approximation of the Shields stress (τ) for cross comparison with the inertial accelerations (Shields 1936). These low ratios also account for some variability in the responses of depth and velocity to increasing discharge as larger roughness elements were progressively drowned out.



FIG. 3. Definition of the underlying entrainment process

Figure 3a shows the total absolute acceleration close to the point of entrainment from one run at slope = 0.026. The sampling frequency is 4Hz and the point of entrainment (50mg at t =507sec, blue-dotted line) is shown as a sudden reduction of the acceleration (dislodging) followed by variable smaller accelerations due to subsequent vibrations. The red dot indicates a distinct pre-entrainment vibration c.10sec before the entrainment event for this experiment. Figure 3b shows the corresponding flow increase (steady increase rate of $0.014l.s^{-2}$ up to a maximum rate of $6.25l.s^{-1}$ for all experiments) and the entrainment point from Figure 3a expressed as discharge ($5.17l.s^{-1}$ at t = 507sec, blue line). Figure 3c shows the summary signal derived by the process described in Equations 4 and 5 for all nine replicates with slope = 0.026. The red line is the underlying process as approximated after the application of the Kalman filter (Eq. 5). The grey band shows the process noise which is modelled as a Gaussian distribution with $\sigma^2 = 0.3$.



FIG. 4. Calculation of the probability of entrainment

Figure 4a shows the classification of acceleration according to the pre- and post-entrainment conditions (slope = 0.026). The probability density of the post-entrainment accelerations shows that the grain is subject to greater forces than pre-entrainment which is consistent with the experimental procedure. Figure 4b shows the calculation of the probability of entrainment after the application of the logistic regression model (Equations 5 and 6). The orange-dotted line indicates the acceleration threshold of entrainment (44mg at 0.5 probability). The acceleration value corresponding to 0.5 probability is interpreted as the acceleration where the dynamics start to act in favour of entrainment. The grey band indicates the 95% confidence bands of the logistic regression model.



FIG. 5. KDE approximation for inertial acceleration

Figure 5 shows two KDE approximations for the absolute accelerations recorded after the entrainment point for slope = 0.037 (histogram). The technique proposed by Silverman (1986) is used for display purposes (Figure 4) while for the calculation of the Overlapping coefficient (Figure 7) the PDFs are approximated using the bandwidth selection method proposed in Sheather and Jones (1991).



FIG. 6. Summary results

Figure 6a total inertial acceleration and the thresholds of entrainment (vertical lines). The same thresholds are synchronised with the corresponding flow increase which is the same for all experiments (top diagram). Slope changes are colour coded as in Figure 6b. Figure 6b shows the calculation of the probability of entrainment for all slopes by logistic regression. Grey bands indicate the 95% confidence bands.



FIG. 7. Comparison of velocity and acceleration

Figures 7a and b show pre- and post-entrainment PDFs for two slopes (0.026 and 0.037). Plots on the left side are smoothed PDFs, an the right hand plots show the areas of overlap used to calculate OVL values. The calculation of the OVL coefficient suggests that an incipient motion criterion based on inertial acceleration has the potential two improve prediction as the overlap is reduced at an order of 10% in both cases.