1	Selection to outsmart the germs: The evolution of disease
2	recognition and social cognition
3	
4	Authors: Sharon E. Kessler ^{ab*} , Tyler R. Bonnell ^c , Richard W. Byrne ^d , Colin A. Chapman ^{a,e}
5	
6	Affiliations: ^a Department of Anthropology, McGill University, ^b Department of Anthropology,
7	Durham University, ^c Department of Psychology, University of Lethbridge, ^d School of
8	Psychology and Neuroscience, University of St. Andrews, ^e Wildlife Conservation Society, New
9	York
10	
11	
12	*Corresponding author:
13	Sharon E. Kessler Department of Anthropology
14 15	McGill University
16	855 Sherbrooke West
17	Montreal, Quebec H3A 2T7
18	Canada
19	Sharon.Kessler@mail.mcgill.ca
20	
21	
22	
23	

24 Abstract

The emergence of providing care to diseased conspecifics must have been a turning point 25 during the evolution of hominin sociality. On a population level, such care may have minimized 26 the costs of socially transmitted diseases at a time of increasing social complexity, although 27 individual care-givers would have potentially incurred increased transmission risks while 28 providing care. We propose that care-giving likely originated within kin networks where the 29 costs of providing care may have been balanced by fitness increases obtained through caring for 30 ill kin. We test a novel theory of hominin cognitive evolution in which disease may have selected 31 for the cognitive ability to recognize when a conspecific is infected. Moreover, because diseases 32 may produce symptoms that are likely detectable via the perceptual-cognitive pathways integral 33 to social cognition, we suggest that disease recognition and social cognition may have evolved 34 together. We use agent-based modeling to test 1) under what conditions disease can select for 35 increasing disease recognition and care-giving among kin, 2) whether the strength of selection 36 varies according to the disease's characteristics, 3) whether providing care produces greater 37 selection for cognition than an avoidance strategy, and 4) whether care-giving alters the 38 progression of the disease through the population. We compare the selection created by diseases 39 with different fatality rates (i.e., similar to Ebola, Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever, measles, 40 and scabies) under conditions where agents provide care to kin and under conditions where they 41 avoid infected kin. The greatest selection was produced by the measles-like disease which had 42 lower risks to the care-giver and a prevalence that was low enough that it did not disrupt the 43 population's kin networks. When care-giving and avoidance strategies were compared, we found 44 that care-giving reduced the severity of the disease outbreaks and subsequent population crashes. 45 46 The greatest selection for increased cognitive abilities occurred early in the model runs when the

47	outbreaks and population crashes were most severe. Therefore, we conclude that over the course
48	of human evolution, repeated introductions of novel diseases into naïve populations could have
49	produced sustained selection for increased disease recognition and care-giving behavior, leading
50	to the evolution of increased cognition, social complexity, and, eventually, medical care in
51	humans. Finally, we lay out predictions derived from our disease recognition hypothesis of
52	hominin cognitive evolution that we encourage paleoanthropologists, bioarchaeologists,
53	primatologists, and paleogeneticists to test.
54	
55	Key words: agent-based model, disease transmission, cooperation, hominin evolution, social
56	complexity, kin selection
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
64	
65	

66

67 Introduction

Exposure to disease is a major cost of sociality (McCabe et al. 2015; Nunn and Altizer 2006; 68 Rifkin et al. 2012). Despite this, hominins have evolved extraordinary social complexity 69 70 (Tomasello 2014), including a strikingly social way of mitigating the effects of socially transmitted diseases—we provide care to diseased individuals. Such care hinges on the ability to 71 recognize disease in others. Currently, the cognitive basis of this ability is not well understood. 72 In this paper, we present the novel hypothesis that the ability to recognize disease may have 73 evolved together with social cognition in hominins. 74 A synthesis of paleoanthropological, ethnographic, and host-parasite research suggests that 75 increasing social complexity during the origin of Homo dramatically increased disease risk, i.e., 76 (Harper and Armelagos 2013; McCabe et al. 2015; Rifkin et al. 2012; Sugiyama 2004). Thus, 77 part of the selection for increasing cognitive abilities in *Homo* may have been selection to 78 accurately assess the disease risk presented by interaction partners. We integrate findings from 79 the literature on hominin social structure, hominin disease ecology, disease recognition in 80 nonhuman animals, and human social cognition. Based on these data, we create an agent-based 81 model to examine under what conditions increased cognition and care-giving could have evolved 82 in the hominin lineage. Using our results, we create predictions deriving from our novel disease 83 recognition hypothesis of hominin cognitive evolution that can be tested by paleoanthropologists, 84 paleogenticists, bioarchaeologists, and primatologists. 85

86

87 Broadening social networks between hominin subgroups

Across birds and mammals, larger communities show greater levels of contagious parasites,
environmentally transmitted parasites, and vector-borne parasites (Rifkin et al. 2012). Though

90 network modularity (sub-grouping) may reduce the transmission risks in large communities where many dyads do not interact (Griffin and Nunn 2012), hominin networks appear to have 91 connected spatially distant subgroups, facilitating transmission within a fission-fusion, multi-92 93 level society (Grove et al. 2012; Hill et al. 2011). Hominin community sizes have been reconstructed as having expanded over time, from ~50 94 in apes and small-brained australopiths to 100-120 in late H. erectus and H. heidelbergensis to 95 120-150 in H. neandertalensis and H. sapiens (Aiello and Dunbar 1993; Dunbar 1998; Gamble 96 et al. 2011; Grove et al. 2012; Layton et al. 2012). This is believed to have produced an increase, 97 not only in social network size, but also in complexity (Grove et al. 2012). As hominins 98 dispersed towards northern latitudes and community sizes increased, the home-range 99 requirements for sustaining them would have also increased (Grove et al. 2012). This produced 100 101 communities whose daily nutritional needs were too large to be fulfilled in the amount of space a cohesive group could cover each day (Grove et al. 2012). The result is thought to have been the 102 evolution of a multi-level fission-fusion system in which larger communities subdivide, rather 103 104 than foraging cohesively (Grove et al. 2012). This would have enabled large communities of hominins to forage across greater areas and expand into new habitats, yet still obtain the benefits 105 of a large social network, such as information transfer, social learning, and cooperation (Grove et 106 al. 2012; Layton et al. 2012). Thus, even though mean population density decreased over time as 107 homining dispersed northward, overall community size and social network size likely increased 108 109 (Grove et al. 2012; Layton et al. 2012). Community size estimates for modern hunter-gatherers range from 125 to a few thousand 110 (Layton et al. 2012). The extensiveness of human social networks was documented in a study 111

showing that while chimpanzee males typically only interact with about 20 other males, a

modern male hunter-gather may watch over 300 other men make tools (Hill et al. 2014). The
evolution of such long-distance social networks linking different subgroups (Hill et al. 2014)
may have prevented the reduction in disease risk that might otherwise be expected to have
occurred as hominin density decreased, i.e., (Armelagos et al. 2005). Hominins' extensive,
community-wide social networks would have facilitated widespread pathogen transmission,
including any novel pathogens acquired as hominins spread into new habitats (McCabe et al.
2015).

120

121 Increasing connectedness within groups

Simultaneously with the expansion of networks connecting subgroups, the complexity of 122 networks within the subgroups also likely increased with the evolution of cooperative breeding 123 124 during the origin of Homo. Early Homo fossil assemblages show an increased number of immature relative to mature individuals compared to australopith assemblages (Tobias 2006), 125 suggesting shortened interbirth intervals, increasing energetic demands on reproducing females, 126 127 and a shift towards cooperative breeding (Aiello and Key 2002). Ethnographic work supports this view of humans as cooperative breeders, revealing greatly expanded social networks that 128 include multiple providers (hunting males, post-reproductive females) for females and young 129 (Hawkes 2003; Hill et al. 2009; Hrdy 2009). This contrasts with chimpanzees in which the young 130 are solely dependent upon their mothers (Burkart et al. 2009). Collectively, these studies suggest 131 that as community size increased during the origin of *Homo*, so did the complexity of the social 132 networks linking both greater numbers of individuals and different demographics (e.g., young 133 134 dependents, post-reproductive females, hunting males). The close cooperation, interdependence,

and density of social networks within cooperatively breeding hominin groups would have

136 facilitated the spread of diseases within these groups (McCabe et al. 2015).

137

138 Hominin Disease Ecology

The shift to larger networks linking subgroups within a larger community and greater 139 connectedness within cooperatively breeding groups is believed to have selected for enhanced 140 social cognition (e.g., prosociality, shared-intentionality, theory of mind) which facilitated 141 prolonged, close interactions among individuals and promoted social learning, cooperation, 142 technological advances and cumulative culture (Burkart et al. 2014; Byrne and Bates 2007; 143 Herrmann et al. 2007; Tomasello et al. 2005; van Schaik et al. 2012; Whiten 2000). However, 144 such intense, close proximity interactions would have also facilitated disease transmission 145 146 (McCabe et al. 2015). Recent work in genetics and evolutionary medicine indicates that hominins harbored numerous pathogens before the advent of agriculture and animal 147 domestication (Harper and Armelagos 2013). This includes endoparasitic worms (Hoberg et al. 148 149 2001; Hurtado et al. 2008), lice (Harper and Armelagos 2013), tuberculosis (Stone et al. 2009), typhoid fever (Harper and Armelagos 2013), whooping cough (Harper and Armelagos 2013), 150 and viruses, e.g., herpes viruses, Epstein Barr virus (Harper and Armelagos 2013). Thus, 151 hominins were likely under strong selection to assess the disease status of others. 152 153

154 Disease recognition in animals and humans

Comparative evidence suggests that disease recognition may have been present in early
hominins (citations below). Several species with relatively low social complexity have been
documented to recognize disease, often either avoiding diseased conspecifics or taking advantage

158	of sick and weakened competitors, e.g., social lobsters (Behringer et al. 2006), pipefish
159	(Rosenqvist and Johansson 1995), bullfrog tadpoles (Kiesecker et al. 1999), rodents (Kavaliers et
160	al. 1997), house finches (Bouwman and Hawley 2010; Zylberberg et al. 2012), but see (Nunn
161	2003). While the underlying cognitive processes are not well understood, these studies suggest
162	that recognition is based on diverse symptoms including olfactory/chemical cues (Kavaliers et al.
163	1997; Kiesecker et al. 1999), visual detection of spots (Rosenqvist and Johansson 1995), and
164	behavioral changes including lethargy and feather fluffing (Bouwman and Hawley 2010;
165	Zylberberg et al. 2012). Though the amount of cognitive processing required to detect disease
166	may differ by symptom type, the wide array of cues and recognition in multiple species suggests
167	that some simple form of disease recognition could have been basal in hominins.
168	Infectious pathogens can cause noticeable symptoms that could potentially be detected via
169	the perceptual-cognitive pathways that are integral to social cognition in primates. Subtle
170	differences perceived in conspecific faces (Leopold and Rhodes 2010; Sartori et al. 2011), voices
171	(Belin 2006; Belin et al. 2004), and movement/gait (Loula et al. 2005; Peterman et al. 2014;
172	Sartori et al. 2011) may enable, not only the decoding of conspecifics' identities, emotions, and
173	intentions, but also facilitate the detection of disease. This could include changes in facial
174	coloration and texture due to fever, rashes, or nasal discharge, changes in vocalizations due to
175	coughing, nasal discharge or reduced lung capacity, and changes in movement/gait due to
176	weakness, lethargy, or signs of pain (Chapman et al. 2005; Fink and Matts 2008; Hart 1988).
177	Thus, if the detection of social information and disease involve the same perceptual-cognitive
178	pathways, then disease circulating within hominin populations may have selected for increased
179	

180 Importantly, such disease recognition would *not* require individuals to have an abstract concept of disease. Following the well-accepted definition of cognition as information 181 processing, e.g., seminal book: (Neisser 1967), recent publications: (Byrne and Bates 2007; 182 183 Deaner et al. 2006; Fernandes et al. 2014; Herrmann et al. 2007; Lee 2007; Reader et al. 2011; Woodley et al. 2015), the cognitive aspect would be processing the proximate cues that 184 distinguish healthy individuals from diseased individuals (changes in appearance, behavior, etc.). 185 Selection for such disease recognition would operate at the ultimate level of causation (Sherman 186 1988; Tinbergen 1963), favoring individuals who were able to discriminate who was healthy and 187 who was not. Those who avoided infectious individuals or provided care to ill kin would increase 188 their reproductive fitness. Similarly to how kin recognition can operate without individuals 189 having an abstract concept of kin (Rendall 2004), disease recognition could operate without a 190 191 concept of disease.

192

193 Care-giving among animals and humans

194 The literature contains numerous reports of striking cases of social care given by animals, including dolphins that cooperatively supported a dying conspecific who could no longer swim 195 (Park et al. 2013), an elephant that attempted to lift a collapsed and dying conspecific to her feet 196 (Douglas-Hamilton et al. 2006), primates that groom, stand watch over, and/or chase others away 197 from dying group members (Anderson et al. 2010; Bezerra et al. 2014; Nakamichi et al. 1996), 198 and an otter group that provisioned an elderly female (Davenport 2010). Though very interesting, 199 these reports do not provide evidence of widespread long-term care which would be expected to 200 have a more significant selective influence on a species' evolution. 201

202 Some of the best opportunities for systematically investigating care-giving in animals have come from studies of populations with high prevalences of severe injuries (Beamish and O'Riain 203 2014; Byrne and Stokes 2002; Stokes and Byrne 2006) or congenital disabilities (Turner et al. 204 205 2014). These studies generally suggest that, instead of relying on social care, severely injured or disabled individuals survive by adapting and making adjustments themselves, rather than 206 receiving accommodation or assistance (Beamish and O'Riain 2014; Byrne and Stokes 2002; 207 208 Stokes and Byrne 2006; Turner et al. 2014). The exception to this is social grooming (Dittus and Ratnayeke 1989). Wound cleaning has been shown to be an important mechanism for avoiding 209 infections and it is widespread in animals (Dittus and Ratnayeke 1989; Hart 2011). Thus wound 210 cleaning may have been a basal form of social care in hominins. 211 In addition, evidence from modern foraging, hunting, and horticultural peoples, suggests that 212 provisioning people who are ill or injured is important in reducing the mortality rate (Sugiyama 213 2004). For example, Sugiyama (2004) found that over 50% of individuals reported at least one 214 time in their lives when they were incapacitated and could not forage for at least a month. During 215 216 such times, provisioning was critical to their survival (Sugiyama 2004). Based on this evidence, we expect that hominins could have significantly reduced the mortality arising from disease and 217 infection-related injuries through provisioning (Sugiyama 2004) and wound cleaning (Dittus and 218 219 Ratnayeke 1989). Additionally, food sharing networks of hunting males also served as provisioning networks during times of illness (Gurven et al. 2000; Sugiyama 2004; Sugiyama 220 and Chacon 2000), suggesting that the evolution of social care may have co-evolved with 221 222 cooperative breeding. 223

224 Care-giving in the fossil record

225 Fossil evidence of homining surviving illness, injuries, and disabilities goes back nearly 2 million years to include fossils from *H. erectus*, *H. heidelbergensis*, *H. neandertalensis*, and *H.* 226 sapiens. While the following discussion is not exhaustive, it does illustrate the variety of 227 228 conditions hominins survived, the time depth of the fossil record, and the taxa included. Below we follow, when possible, the taxonomic classifications provided in Grove et al. (2012). In H. 229 erectus this includes: premortem loss of all but one tooth in the 1.77 mya cranium and mandible 230 from Dmanisi (D3444 and D3900 (Lordkipanidze et al. 2005; Lordkipanidze et al. 2006)), 231 possible hypervitaminosis A in the 1.6 mya KNM-ER 1808 (Walker et al. 1982), evidence of a 232 herniated disc in the 1.5-1.6 mya Nariokotome boy KNM-WT 15000 (Grove et al. 2012; 233 Haeusler et al. 2013; Schiess et al. 2014), and a healed cranial lesion caused by trauma or 234 burning in the 0.6 mya Hulu 1 cranuim, also called Nanjing 1 and Tangshan 1 (Shang and 235 236 Trinkaus 2008; Wu et al. 2011). Among *H. heidelbergensis* this includes craniosynostosis and neurocranial deformities in a 0.53 mya immature, cranium 14, who survived for at least 237 approximately 5 years (Gracia et al. 2009), a 0.53 mya adult male pelvis and lumbar spine, SH 238 239 Pelvis 1, showing lesions and degeneration possibly resulting from lumbar kyphotic deformity, spondylolisthesis, and Baastrup disease (Bonmati et al. 2010), and a squamous temporal lesion 240 that shows healing on the 0.35 mya Broken Hill cranium Kabwe 1 (Grove et al. 2012; McBrearty 241 and Brooks 2000; Montgomery et al. 1994). For Neandertals this includes Aubesier 11, dated to 242 at least 0.17 mya, which shows significant tooth loss and alveolar lesions (Lebel and Trinkaus 243 2002; Lebel et al. 2001) and Shanidar 1 dated at 73-40 kya who lost much of his right arm, may 244 have been blind on one side, and suffered from hyperostotic disease (Crubezy and Trinkaus 245 1992; Hublin 2009). H. sapiens individuals that survived severe conditions include: a child, 246 247 Qafzeh 12 dated to approximate 0.095 mya, who showed signs of hydrocephaly and survived

```
248
       until about 3 years old (Tillier et al. 2001), an older child Oafzeh 11, also dated to 0.95 mya, that
       had a healed cranial fracture (Coqueugniot et al. 2014), and an adult female, Dolní Věstonice 3,
249
       dated to approximately 0.027 mya, who sustained a severe injury to her face that might have
250
251
       interfered with eating (Trinkaus et al. 2006: Trinkaus and Jelinek 1997).
          While all of these individuals might have benefited from care, comparative evidence with
252
       nonhuman primates suggests that care is not necessary (DeGusta 2002, 2003; Dettwyler 1991).
253
254
       Studies of wild baboons and great apes show that primates frequently survive even when a hand
       or foot is maimed or severed, e.g., in snares (Beamish and O'Riain 2014; Byrne and Stokes 2002;
255
       Munn 2006; Stokes and Byrne 2006). Though these animals may show changes to their activity
256
       budgets (Beamish and O'Riain 2014), altered locomotion patterns (Munn 2006), and reduced
257
       feeding efficiency (Byrne and Stokes 2002; Stokes and Byrne 2006), survival appears to be high,
258
259
       with some groups having as many as \sim 20\% of their members permanently disabled (Munn
       2006). Extensive tooth loss also appears to be survivable. Apes and other primates have been
260
       observed to survive antemortem tooth loss comparable to that observed in the fossil record
261
262
       (Cuozzo and Sauther 2004; DeGusta 2002). Degusta (2002) provides a review of cases in which
       chimpanzees were observed to survive with tooth loss similar to Aubersier 11 and Cuozzo and
263
       Sauther (2004) reported that tooth loss is common among ring-tailed lemurs, with one individual
264
       surviving with 80% tooth loss. Overall the evidence from the fossil record and animal studies
265
       indicate that while various fossils have clearly survived severe health conditions, it is very
266
       difficult to rule out the possibility that they may have survived without care (DeGusta 2002,
267
       2003; Dettwyler 1991).
268
269
```

270 The modeling approach

271 It is currently not possible to determine when extensive social care evolved in the human lineage, but it is possible to consider how it might have evolved and what conditions might have 272 selected for it. We expect that, because kinship is a fundamental property of primate (including 273 274 human) social networks (Silk 2009), providing care to the diseased may have originated along kin networks. Hamilton's rule of inclusive fitness (Hamilton 1964) predicts that individuals will 275 act altruistically when: (benefit to the recipient)*(relatedness to recipient) > (costs to the altruist). 276 277 Thus, individuals could increase their own reproductive fitness in two ways: 1) by avoiding ill individuals, particularly nonkin, and 2) by providing care to ill kin who, upon recovery, would 278 reproduce. Whether the fitness benefits are greater when individuals avoid ill conspecifics or 279 provide care (thus risking becoming infected) will depend upon the benefits, the degree of 280 relatedness, and the costs. 281

We use agent-based modeling to test a varying intensity of disease scenarios and quantify selection pressures for increased cognition and care-giving. Agent-based models provide powerful, quantitative insights into disease transmission, including predicting the impact of current/future outbreaks and planning intervention/prevention strategies, e.g., influenza (Guo et al. 2015), Ebola (Merler et al. 2015). We take the innovative approach of applying these techniques to reconstruct the potential impact of disease on hominin evolution.

A modeling approach is valuable because, while our knowledge is increasing, i.e.,(Harper and Armelagos 2013), we do not have sufficiently detailed data concerning how/when disease load changed during hominin evolution to be able to test whether the evolution of care-giving cooccurred with increasing cognitive abilities, social complexity and disease risk. Therefore, we use agent-based modeling to examine under which conditions disease could select for increased cognition and care-giving. We hypothesize that 1) disease will produce care-giving among kin and an increase in average population intelligence, that 2) varying disease characteristics will

produce variation in the strength of selection, and that 3) care-giving will produce greater

selection for cognition than an avoidance strategy.

297

298 Material and methods

299 Study design

300 We created two models for comparison. The first (Model 1: Care-giving model) simulates

301 disease transmission in a population of hominins who give care (The ODD description is in

Appendix A at the end of the paper. The code is available in supplementary file 1). In order to

303 more fully explore the model and how care-giving may alter the progression of disease through

the population, we then created a control model (Model 2: Avoidance only) similar to the first

305 except that agents avoid diseased kin and provide no care. (The ODD description is in Appendix

B at the end of the paper. The code is available in supplementary file 2).

307

308 Model 1: Care-giving model

309 *Disease characteristics*

We programmed an SIS model (susceptible – infected – susceptible) in Netlogo 5.0.5 (Railsback and Grimm 2011; Wilensky 1999). We created four hypothetical diseases with case fatality rates modeled after Ebola [2014 outbreak: 70% (Aylward et al. 2014; WHO 2014a), Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever (40% (WHO 2013), CCHF, hereafter), measles (~10% (WHO 2014b)), and a low risk comparison, such as scabies (fatality rate set at 1%, though scabies is generally not fatal (WHO 2015)]. We did not attempt to precisely simulate the natural history of these diseases.

- Rather, these diseases were chosen to represent a range of fatality rates occurring in sociallytransmitted diseases.
- 318
- 319 *Optimizing the disease transmission rates*

Because transmission rates have complex relationships with virulence and host density (e.g., 320 trade-off hypothesis (Alizon et al. 2009)), we screened possible transmission rates to determine 321 what would be optimal for persistence of these diseases in this population. For the Ebola-like, 322 CCHF-like, and measles-like diseases, we ran the model 1000 times in Netlogo's 323 BehaviorSpace, varying the probability of transmission from 10-100% by increments of 10. For 324 the scabies-like disease, we ran the model 1000 times varying the probability of transmission 325 from 1% to 98.5% by increments of 2.5. The inclusion of lower transmission values for the 326 327 scabies-like disease is based on literature showing that less virulent diseases tend propagate slower, e.g., (Alizon et al. 2009; Ewald 1993). Then, for each disease, we selected the runs which 328 had both healthy and diseased individuals after 100 time steps. We averaged the probability of 329 330 transmission across those successful runs to obtain a transmission rate that is optimal for each respective disease: Ebola-like 78%, CCHF-like 33%, measles-like 10%, scabies-like 2%. The 331 higher transmission rates in the diseases with higher fatality rates is consistent with the 332 relationship between virulence and transmission documented in the literature (Alizon et al. 333 2009). 334

335

336 *Determining the probability of recovery after care*

We expect that the earliest forms of social care given by hominins would have beenassistance with hygiene, including keeping wounds, sores, and topical infections clean as in

nonhuman primates (Dittus and Ratnayeke 1989), provisioning those who are too ill to forage
with food and water (Sugiyama 2004), and watching over individuals who may be too ill to
themselves be vigilant against predators (Anderson et al. 2010; Bezerra et al. 2014; Nakamichi et
al. 1996). None of these forms of care requires medical knowledge, yet evidence from nonhuman
primates (Dittus and Ratnayeke 1989) and human foraging groups (Sugiyama 2004) suggests
that they are effective at reducing mortality rates.

It is difficult to estimate how effective each of these care-giving techniques would be for each of our hypothetical diseases. In nature, the more incapacitated the individual is and the longer the recovery takes, the greater the chances that the individual would succumb to dehydration, starvation, or predation unless care is given. Because we did not wish to bias the effectiveness of the care towards the more severe diseases, we set the probability of recovery after care at 0.5 for all diseases. This reflects an equal chance of recovery and failure to recover.

351

352 *The population*

The landscape is a 40 x 40 cell grid that wraps horizontally and vertically. Each cell represents 5 km², making the landscape 200 km². This is within the confidence intervals of the space requirements calculated for a community of *H. erectus*, *H. heidelbergensis*, *H. neandertalensis*, and *H. sapiens* using a gas model in Grove et al. (2012). Table 1 summarizes the group sizes, densities, and space requirements presented in Grove et al. (2012).

358

[Table 1]

The carrying capacity of the landscape is set at 200. Two hundred was chosen because it is large enough to encompass the group sizes predicted for hominins based on cranial capacities, brain volumes, and neocortex ratios of fossil hominins [Table 1, (Aiello and Dunbar 1993;

362	Gamble et al. 2011; Grove et al. 2012)], but is generally smaller than community sizes reported
363	for modern humans, e.g., (Hill et al. 2014; Layton et al. 2012). We set the carrying capacity
364	above the calculated community sizes for hominins, e.g., ~150 or smaller (Aiello and Dunbar
365	1993; Dunbar 1998; Gamble et al. 2011; Grove et al. 2012), to allow for the event that the actual
366	community sizes of the model populations would likely be lower than the carrying capacity.
367	
368	Initialization
369	The program is initialized with 10 agents randomly placed on the landscape. Each agent is
370	randomly assigned an intelligence score (0-1). In the model the intelligence score is the
371	likelihood of an agent correctly identifying the disease status of another agent. We refer to it as
372	intelligence because we expect that the ability to recognize disease is related to a more general
373	ability for efficient information processing, including social information, e.g., (Byrne and Bates
374	2007; Deaner et al. 2006; Fernandes et al. 2014; Herrmann et al. 2007; Lee 2007; Reader et al.
375	2011; Woodley et al. 2015). As the population grows, each offspring's intelligence is drawn
376	from a normal distribution with the parent's intelligence as the mean and a standard deviation of
377	0.15.

378

379 *Population growth and genetic structure*

380 The population grows at each time step of the model when healthy agents reproduce

according to the formula: [(1 - (number of agents / carrying-capacity)) * number of healthy

agents]. Reproduction occurs as xually. Offspring are placed within a radius of 3 of the parent,

383 producing spatial clustering of kin as is consistent with human and nonhuman primate groups

384 (Chapais and Berman 2004; Hatchwell 2010; Hill et al. 2011; Silk 2009).

385 Relatedness is tracked by links between agents with the links containing the relatedness value. Parent-offspring relationships receive relatedness values of 0.5 and offspring inherit the 386 links of the parent but with ¹/₂ the relatedness value. Because offspring inherit the links of the 387 388 parent, sibling relationships are included in the model with a relatedness value 0.25. To prevent the model from becoming too computationally intensive, patrilineal relationships and matrilineal 389 relationships beyond a relatedness of 0.25 were not modeled. This decision is supported by 390 391 findings showing that kin recognition occurs most reliably for close matrilineal kin identified via familiarity, e.g., (Chapais and Berman 2004; Chapais et al. 1997). The population represents a 392 single, kin structured community with multiple matrilines. Space displays the contact structure 393 between agents and random movement simulates mixing within the population. 394

395

396 *Space*

With a carrying capacity of 200 individuals and a landscape of 200 km², our model has a 397 maximum population density of 1 individual / km², which is within the confidence intervals 398 399 calculated for *H. habilis* and *H. erectus* [Table 1, (Grove et al. 2012)]. However, the purpose of our model is not to attempt to reconstruct a particular hominin species or population. We made 400 this decision because the population densities and number of levels of fissioning have been 401 reconstructed to vary dramatically even within species, depending upon the habitat quality and 402 latitude (Atkinson et al. 2008; Grove et al. 2012; Powell et al. 2009). Instead, hominin societies 403 are conceptualized as more generic fission-fusion communities in which subsets of individuals 404 are out of contact with other subsets of individuals (Grove et al. 2012; Layton et al. 2012). This 405 is represented in our model by the restrictions created by the movement, care-giving, and 406 407 infection radii. The care-giving radius (5) and infection radius (5) are equal to reflect that agents

408 who are close enough to give care are also close enough to become infected. Similarly, agents who avoid infectious kin by moving away will also be moving away from potential care-givers 409 should they themselves become infected. These radii of 5 represent 25 km^2 and are in the upper 410 411 range of the distance that modern hunter-gatherers travel from camp when they will return to camp later the same day (Grove et al. 2012; Layton et al. 2012). 412 413 Disease and care-giving 414 After four time steps of the model, 25 agents are randomly infected with one of the diseases. 415 This is approximately 16% of the population and reliably seeded the disease into the population 416 without increasing to 100% prevalence. 417 Healthy agents evaluate the relatedness and disease status of other agents within a radius 418 419 equivalent to 5 grid cells. The infection radius is also set at 5, thus any healthy agent that can provide care, is also close enough to be infected. 420 Kin are accurately recognized and the accuracy of disease recognition is a function of the 421 422 agent's intelligence. A random number between 0-1 is drawn. If the number is below the agent's intelligence value, the disease status is correctly recognized. Otherwise, the agent's disease status 423 is incorrectly recognized (healthy kin are classified as diseased or diseased kin are classified as 424 healthy). These individuals make up the group the agent *perceives* to be its diseased kin 425 (perceived diseased kin). Whether the error is a false positive (healthy kin classified as diseased) 426 or a false negative (diseased kin classified as healthy) is determined by the disease status of the 427 kin agent. Thus, the likelihoods of false positive and false negative errors are functions of disease 428 prevalence. As the proportion of diseased agents increases, false positives decrease and false 429 430 negatives increase.

431 Agents randomly select one of their perceived diseased kin and decide whether to provide care based on a modification of Hamilton's rule, which predicts altruism when: (relatedness 432 between the recipient and altruist)*(benefit to the recipient)>(cost to the altruist) (Hamilton 433 434 1964). We adapted this formula so that agents provide care when: (relatedness between the caregiver and the recipient)*(probability of recovery after care) > (probability of transmission to 435 care-giver)*(probability of infection being fatal). If the inequality is fulfilled (thus care is given) 436 and the recipient was in fact diseased (not just *perceived* to be diseased), a random number 437 between 0 and 1 is generated and if it is below the probability of recovery, the diseased 438 individual recovers. If the random number was above the probability of recovery, the recipient 439 remains diseased. A new random number is drawn for the care-giver and if it is below the 440 probability of transmission to the care-giver, then the care-giver is infected. If the recipient was 441 erroneously categorized as diseased, but is actually healthy (a false positive error), there is no 442 change in the disease statuses of the recipient or the care-giver. It is worth noting that when a 443 false negative error occurs (diseased kin are classified as healthy), the agent that made the error 444 does not incur a cost that is explicitly coded into the model. However, the agent does potentially 445 incur emergent costs through the interactions between agents. This may occur in two ways: a) if 446 that diseased kin agent dies (later in the model run), this reduces the kin network available to 447 give care, simulating a loss of inclusive fitness to the agent that failed to recognize the disease in 448 its kin, and b) the presence of diseased kin in the population increases the risk that others will 449 become infected, including the agent that failed to recognize the disease in its kin. 450 If healthy agents have no perceived diseased kin, they move to a grid cell with no other 451 agents on it within a radius of 8. If no empty cells are available, the agent does nothing. A 452

453 movement radius of 8 represents 40 km². This is the median daily *total* travel distance used by

Grove et al. (2012) to calculate hominin area requirements and it is based on data compiled from
modern hunter-gathers, e.g., (Layton et al. 2012).

456

457 *Avoidance of infectious individuals*

If the randomly selected recipient (from the agent's perceived diseased kin) does not fulfill 458 the inequality for receiving care, the agent moves to a grid cell with no other agents on it within a 459 radius of 8. This can occur due to a low relatedness with the recipient of care, high costs of 460 exposure to the disease, or a low likelihood of recipient recovery. Under these conditions, the 461 agent avoids the diseased individual instead of providing care. Note that nonkin do not receive 462 care, thus if no perceived diseased kin are within the care-giving radius, the agent moves. 463 Because the care-giving radius and the infection radius are set at 5 and this is less than the 464 movement radius (8), agents that do not provide care can move out of the infection radius. The 465 effectiveness of movement as a disease avoidance strategy is based on chance and the density of 466 infected individuals. By chance the healthy agent may move to a grid cell that is outside of the 467 468 infection radius of the diseased agent. However, as the density of infected agents increases, so does the likelihood that the healthy agent will move to a grid cell that is within the infection 469 radius of another diseased agent. This reflects the difficulties of avoiding exposure at when there 470 is a high density of infectious individuals in the population. 471

- 472 If no empty cells are available, the agent does nothing.
- 473

474 Mortality and disease transmission

The model generates a random number for each diseased agent. If the number is below theprobability of fatality, that agent dies. All healthy agents have a probability of becoming infected

from any infected agent within a radius of 5 grid cells, based on the probability of transmission.
Five grid cells represent the upper range of the daily travel radius for modern hunter-gatherers
(25 km²) (Grove et al. 2012; Layton et al. 2012). A random number (0-1) is drawn for each
healthy agent in danger of infection. If the number is below the probability of transmission, the
agent is infected. If an agent is in danger of infection from more than one diseased agent, the
process is repeated for each infectious agent in 5 grid cells.

483

484 Model analysis

We ran the model 2000 times for 100 time steps for each disease. We considered runs to be 485 successfully completed when both the disease and population had persisted (defined as ≥ 1 486 diseased agent and \geq 1 healthy agent at 100 time steps). The first 1000 successfully completed 487 runs were divided into 10 groups of 100. We calculated average population size, average disease 488 prevalence, average percentage of diseased individuals who received care (percent care), and 489 average population intelligence at each time step across the 100 runs. This created an n of 10 490 491 average runs for which we made curves depicting the changes in each of these output variables for the four diseases we considered. We used the 10 averages in the subsequent statistical tests 492 instead of the original 1000 runs to avoid inflating our sample size, and thus the power of our 493 tests (Railsback and Grimm 2011). 494

495

496 *Statistics*

We compared the endpoints of the curves by comparing the output variables (average
population size, average disease prevalence, and average percent care) across the diseases at time
step 100 using one-way ANOVAs (n=10 average runs/disease). We calculated the change in

average population intelligence between the first and 100th time step, tested whether the 500 differences were different from zero using one-sample T-tests, and whether these differences 501 varied across disease types using a one-way ANOVA. We calculated maximum slopes for the 502 503 curves of the average percent care and the average population intelligence using grofit (Kahm et al. 2010) in R 2.13.1 (RCoreTeam 2011) and RStudio 0.98.1062 (RStudio 2014). We tested 504 whether the slopes differed across disease types using a one-way ANOVA. Some violations of 505 506 normality and equal variances existed (Supplementary files 3 and 4). One-way t-tests were bootstrapped with 1000 samples for robusticity to non-normality and 95% bias corrected 507 accelerated confidence intervals were calculated (Field 2013). Though one-way ANOVAs are 508 generally robust to such violations when groups have equal sample sizes, when variances were 509 unequal, we used the Brown-Forsythe F-ratio. Alpha was set at 0.05 and multiple comparisons 510 511 across disease types were Bonferroni corrected when variances were equal and Tamhane T2 corrected when they were unequal. Statistical tests were run in SPSS Statistics 22 or 23 unless 512 otherwise stated. 513

514

515 *Model 2: Control model – Avoidance only*

Following the initial analysis of the care-giving model (Model 1), we programmed a control (Model 2: Avoidance only) to further explore how care-giving may have altered the progression of disease through hominin populations. This model used the same population and diseases, but differed in two ways. First, agents who have perceived diseased kin avoid them instead of providing care. All agents with perceived diseased kin move randomly to an empty grid cell within a radius of 8. Second, if the agent has no perceived diseased kin or there are no empty grid cells within a radius of 8, the agent does not move. This differs from the care-giving model

in which agents with no diseased kin also move to an empty grid cell within 8. (Because agents
that give care do not move, this was necessary in the care-giving model to ensure movement
within the population.) We made this second change to the avoidance model to be conservative
with respect to our expectation that only care-giving will produce intelligence changes. This
second change increased selection on avoidance behavior because in Model 2 (Avoidance only)
the only opportunity agents have to move is when they are avoiding diseased kin.

529

530 *Model analysis and statistics*

We used the same procedure as above to create 10 average runs for each output variable for 531 each disease. We conducted one-sample T-tests to determine whether the difference in average 532 population intelligence between the first and 100th time steps were significantly different from 533 534 zero for the scabies-like, measles-like, CCHF-like, and Ebola-like diseases. We used two sample T-tests to determine whether the population size, prevalence, and intelligence at the 100th time 535 steps differed between models 1 and 2. Some violations of normality and equal variances existed 536 537 (Supplementary files 3 and 4). T-tests were bootstrapped with 1000 samples for robusticity to non-normality and 95% bias corrected accelerated confidence intervals were calculated (Field 538 2013). When Levene's test showed violations of the assumptions of equal variances, we report 539 results calculated without assuming equal variances (Field 2013). Alpha was set at 0.05. 540

541

542 Analysis of the intelligence curves produced by Model 1 (Care-giving)

We analyzed the trajectories of the intelligence curves of the 10 average runs for each
disease using linear mixed-models run in R 3.2.4 (RCoreTeam 2015) using the nlme package.
We use this approach to relate infection prevalence to changes in mean intelligence, while taking

546	into account population size. We test for an interaction between prevalence and population size
547	on changes to mean intelligence by including interaction term in the model: prevalence *
548	population size. As the data are longitudinal (i.e., time series) we allow for autocorrelated errors
549	using an ARMA process, incorporate time as a fixed effect, and use the averaged simulation run
550	as the random effect. We check for issues of multicollinearity using variation inflation factor,
551	and check the residuals of the models for non-normality, heteroscedasticity, and autocorrelation.
552	(model: change in mean intelligence ~ time + prevalence*population size + random intercept).
553	In order to keep the paper focused on the evolution of increasing average population intelligence,
554	we did not conduct this analysis on the Model 2 curves, which showed either no increase or a
555	decrease in average intelligence.
556	
557	Results
558	Model 1: Care-giving model
559	After 100 time steps the four diseases produced significantly different population sizes, disease
560	prevalence, percentages of the diseased who received care, and average population intelligences
561	(Tables 2-3, Fig. 1).
562	
563	[Table 2]
564	[Table 3]
565	[Figure 1]
566	
567	The Ebola-like disease, unlike the other three, produced no care-giving and no change in
568	average population intelligence (Table 4, Fig. 1).

- 569
- 570

[Table 4]

571

572 Both the Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever-like (CCHF-like) and measles-like diseases show initial increases in both care-giving and intelligence followed by a plateau (Fig. 1). The 573 CCHF-like disease produced a care-giving rate of 4.7%, a final intelligence level of 0.62, and a 574 12% net change in intelligence. Of the four diseases, the measles-like disease produced the 575 highest rate of care-giving (6.7%) and the highest average population intelligence (0.71) at the 576 final time step. This was generated by the greatest maximum slopes for care-giving and 577 intelligence changes and the greatest net change in intelligence over time (21%). The scabies-like 578 disease showed a strikingly different pattern. As prevalence steadily increased, because the 579 580 fatality rate was low, care-giving decreased. Infected individuals did not provide care and rarely died, meaning that the number of healthy individuals able to provide care decreased. This 581 produced a negative slope for care-giving, though low increases in average population 582 583 intelligence were still observed (care-giving rate: 1.4%, final average population intelligence: 0.53, net intelligence change: 3%, Tables 2-3). 584

585

586 Model 2: Control model – Avoidance only

The model two results revealed two important findings. First, an avoidance strategy did not result in an increase in average population intelligence (Tables 5 and 6). The net change in intelligence overtime was not significantly different from zero under the scabies-like and measles-like conditions (Table 5). Under the CCHF-like and Ebola-like conditions the average

591 population intelligence decreased significantly (Table 5).

592	[Table 5]
593	[Table 6]
594	Second, a visual inspection of Figures 2-4 shows that the progression of the diseases through
595	the population differed under Model 1 (care-giving) and Model 2 (avoidance only). Descriptive
596	statistics are provided in Supplementary file 5. For the scabies-like and measles-like diseases,
597	when agents gave care the final population sizes were higher and the final prevalences were
598	lower (Fig. 2 & 3, Table 6). A visual inspection of Fig. 3b reveals that when agents give care, the
599	"boom and bust" cycle of disease outbreaks in the population was reduced with prevalence
600	increasing and decreasing less dramatically. For the CCHF-like disease, the final population
601	sizes differed however prevalence did not differ (Table 6). An inspection of Fig. 3c shows that
602	the cycle of outbreaks was very similar in the care-giving and avoidance conditions. For the
603	Ebola-like disease, final population size and final prevalence did not differ in the care-giving and
604	avoidance conditions.
605	[Fig. 2]
606	[Fig. 3]
607	[Fig. 4]
608	
609	Analysis of the intelligence curves produced by Model 1 (care-giving)
610	For each of the scabies-like, measles-like, and CCHF-like diseases, time was negatively
611	related to changes in intelligence (Table 7). Thus, the largest increases occurred early in the run
612	with smaller increases occurring later. In the case of the Ebola-like disease, intelligence did not

613 change, thus there was no relationship between time and changes in mean intelligence.

For the scabies-like disease, VIF scores indicated high collinearity between dependent
variables (VIF scores >100). When we dropped population size from the analysis, VIF scores fell
below 7. In this reduced analysis, changes in intelligence were positively related with prevalence
(Table 7, Fig. 5).

For the measles-like disease, changes in intelligence were positively related with both 618 prevalence and population size with the greatest increases in intelligence occurring at larger 619 620 population sizes and high prevalences (Fig. 6). For the CCHF-like disease, the proportion of the variation explained by the analysis (marginal $R^2 = 0.15$) was reduced compared to the measles-621 like (marginal $R^2 = 0.57$) and scabies-like (marginal $R^2 = 0.47$) diseases. However, similar to the 622 measles-like disease, an interaction effect between prevalence and population size was present, 623 indicating that at low prevalences, changes in intelligence were negatively related to population 624 625 size, but at higher prevalences, they were positively related with population size (Fig. 7). Thus the greatest changes in intelligence occurred at low prevalences and low population sizes or high 626 prevalences and high population sizes. 627

No relationships between time, prevalence or population size were found for the Ebola-like
disease because the Ebola-like disease produced no changes in intelligence (Tables 5 and 7, Fig.
8).

631	[Table 7]
632	[Fig. 5]	
633	[Fig. 6]	
634	[Fig. 7]	
635	[Fig. 8]	
636		

637 **Discussion**

638 General discussion

Our findings suggest that the evolution of care-giving may have created a profound shift 639 640 in how hominins evolved in the presence of their pathogens. The avoidance approach (Model 2) likely represents the basal condition, under which disease either does not select for or against 641 increasing cognitive abilities (high prevalence, low fatality diseases) or selects against it (low 642 prevalence, high fatality diseases). In contrast, under the care-giving condition (Model 1), care-643 giving not only selected for increasing cognitive abilities, but also altered and controlled the 644 progression of some of the diseases throughout the population. We discuss both models and their 645 implications in detail below. 646

647

648 Model 1

Our results from Model 1 suggest that disease circulating among kin can select for care giving among kin and greater cognitive abilities. Furthermore, the diseases produced selection of
 varying strengths, with higher care-giving rates producing greater increases in average
 population intelligence.

The findings are relevant to the evolution of care-giving in hominins as they suggest that not all diseases produce care-giving behavior. The high fatality and transmission rates of the Ebolalike disease, when applied to Hamilton's rule (Hamilton 1964), generated costs that were greater than the benefits of care-giving, even to close relatives, thus, all agents avoided ill kin, rather than providing care. Such diseases are not likely to have facilitated the evolution of care-giving or increased social cognition. The CCHF-like disease had intermediate probabilities of fatality and transmission, leading to care-giving only to close kin (parents and offspring: r=0.5), and not 660 to more distant relatives like grandparents, grandchildren, or siblings (r=0.25) who were avoided 661 when ill. This produced substantial care-giving behavior and selection for increasing intelligence, but the selection was weaker than for the measles-like disease, where care was 662 663 given to both close and more distant relatives. The scabies-like disease, while it produced caregiving for both close and more distant relatives, produced only low rates of care-giving and 664 correspondingly weak selection for increasing intelligence. These effects result from the very 665 low fatality rate of the scabies-like disease; the population size appears to have been regulated 666 largely by the carrying capacity set in the model (i.e., habitat supports 200 individuals) rather 667 than by the disease. Therefore, as disease prevalence increased, there was a lack of healthy 668 individuals who could provide care to their diseased kin, leading to a low rate of care-giving, 669 lower population turnover, and lower increases in average population intelligence. Overall, these 670 671 simulations suggest that diseases that are most likely to have led to the evolution of care-giving in the human lineage were those with low costs to caregivers which persisted at a prevalence low 672 enough not to disrupt the kin networks along which care was provided. Although only healthy 673 674 agents could give care and reproduce in our model, high rates of costly care-giving may not be expected if kin have sublethal diseases that do not reduce their reproductive success. 675 It is noteworthy that for all three diseases that produced care-giving, the final rate of care-676 giving was low, with a maximum of 6.7% of the diseased receiving care under measles-like 677 conditions. Furthermore, a recovery rate of only 50% after care suggests that over the course of 678

hominin evolution even low rates of relatively ineffective care may have been sufficient to select
for increasing intelligence and disease recognition. We expect that the first forms of care-giving
among hominins would have included assistance with hygiene, such as cleaning of wounds and
topical infections (Dittus and Ratnayeke 1989) and provisioning with food and water (Sugiyama

2004). These mechanisms would not have required an understanding of disease processes and
could have piggybacked on basal social grooming behaviors observed in nonhuman primates
(Dittus and Ratnayeke 1989) and communal provisioning behaviors that may have evolved
during the evolution of cooperative breeding (Burkart et al. 2009; Gurven et al. 2000; Hawkes
2003; Hill et al. 2009; Hrdy 2009; Sugiyama 2004; Sugiyama and Chacon 2000).

688

689 *Model 2*

The Model 2 results demonstrate that avoidance alone does not select for greater cognitive 690 abilities. Avoidance produced no net change in average population intelligence in the scabies-691 like and measles-like conditions and a *decrease* in average population intelligence in for the 692 CCHF-like and Ebola-like diseases. The scabies-like and measles-like diseases produced higher 693 694 population sizes and disease prevalences *above* 50%, thus an agent who moves away from infected kin is likely to encounter other infected individuals. This results in a lack of selection for 695 disease recognition and avoidance. In contrast, the CCHF-like and Ebola-like diseases produced 696 697 lower population sizes and prevalences below 50%, thus an agent who avoids infected kin is less likely to encounter other infected agents. This results in selection to isolate oneself. The most 698 efficient way for agents to isolate themselves in a population with a prevalence under 50%, is to 699 700 miscategorize healthy individuals as ill, thus triggering avoidance. Because lower intelligence agents have less accurate disease recognition, this produces selection to *decrease* intelligence. 701 These findings are relevant for species that do not give care. It suggests that avoidance of 702 high prevalence, low fatality diseases is likely to be an ineffective strategy. As a result these 703 diseases do not exert selection for or against cognitive abilities under an avoidance only 704

705	paradigm. In contrast, avoidance is an effective strategy against low prevalence, high fatality
706	diseases producing selection for avoidance behavior and selection against sociality.
707	
708	Implications of care-giving
709	A comparison of the results from Model 1 (care-giving model) with Model 2 (avoidance
710	model) indicates that care-giving alters the progression of the disease through the population. For
711	the scabies-like and measles-like diseases, care-giving resulted in significantly higher population
712	sizes and lower prevalences than an avoidance only strategy. Thus for these diseases, which are
713	the two diseases for which care was given to both close and distant kin (r=0.5 and r=0.25,
714	respectively), care-giving served to control the disease in the population.
715	Two of the diseases, the measles-like and the CCHF-like diseases, show distinct cycles of
716	disease outbreaks and population crashes ("boom and bust" dynamic, Fig. 2-3). The lack of
717	congruence between the relatively constant slope of the intelligence curves (Fig. 4) and the
718	boom-bust oscillations of population size and prevalence, is a reflection of the fact that selection
719	on intelligence is occurring throughout the boom-bust cycle and not intermittently only when
720	specific conditions are met (e.g., a particular population size or prevalence). This dynamic is
721	quantified through the interaction term of the mixed model analysis in which intelligence
722	increases are the result of complex interactions between prevalence and population size. Because
723	the two diseases progress differently through the population, they also exert selection on
724	intelligence in slightly different ways. The measles-like disease produces one oscillation of the
725	boom-bust outbreak cycle of population and prevalence peaks and crashes; the CCHF-like
726	disease produces multiple, more rapid oscillations.

The measles-like disease shows a very pronounced "bust" phase early in the run. Population 727 size is high when the disease is first introduced (Fig. 2B, Model 1 curve). This produces a high 728 rate of care-giving and strong selection for intelligence (left panel, Fig. 6B). As the prevalence 729 730 increases (Fig. 3B, Model 1 curve), low intelligence matrilines recognize diseased kin less accurately, and provide less successful care, causing them to succumb to the disease. This 731 produces a decrease in population size and an increase in average population intelligence (Fig. 732 733 4B, Model 1 curve). At high prevalences, selection for intelligence is maintained regardless of the population size (right panel, Fig. 6B). Intelligence plateaus about half way through the run 734 when the population size rebounds slightly but remains low and prevalence decreases slightly 735 from its earlier peak and remains moderate. With a low population size, intermediate prevalence, 736 and a decreased rate of care-giving (Fig. 1B, measles-like curve), the population maintains the 737 738 higher intelligence, but does not continue to increase it (change in intelligence approaches 0 in 739 left side of middle panel, Fig. 6B). Intelligence plateaus as the boom-bust outbreak oscillations 740 cease.

741 The CCHF-like disease produces a very pronounced boom-bust cycle with several peaks and crashes in population size and prevalence. Selection for increasing intelligence occurs both 742 during low population sizes and low prevalences (left panel, Fig. 7B) and during high population 743 size and high prevalences (right panel, Fig. 7B). When the boom-bust dynamic stops about 744 halfway through the run and the population stabilizes at intermediate population sizes and 745 prevalences, intelligence plateaus (Figs. 2C, 3C, 4C Model 1 curves and middle panel, Fig. 7B). 746 Interestingly, when the population infected with the measles-like disease engages in care-747 giving, it experiences less pronounced oscillations of the "boom and bust" outbreak cycle (Fig. 748 749 3) indicating that care-giving serves to control the spread of the disease through the population.

Because of the higher risks of providing care under the CCHF-like conditions, only close kin (r=0.5) receive care. This lower level of care is less effective at controlling the spread of the disease, perhaps suggesting that a certain threshold must be achieved in order to disrupt the boom-bust outbreak cycle (boom-bust dynamics: (Keeling and Grenfell 1997)). Alternatively, the higher fatality rate and more rapid transmission of the CCHF-like diseases produces faster outbreak cycles, which may make it more difficult for care-giving to disrupt the boom-bust outbreak cycle even though it still selects for increasing cognitive abilities.

For both the measles-like and CCHF-like diseases, the most pronounced outbreaks occur 757 early in the model run, which is also when the greatest increases in intelligence are occurring 758 (Fig. 6A and 7A). In the second half of the run, when the boom-bust dynamic is less pronounced, 759 intelligence plateaus. This suggests that over the course of human evolution, sustained increases 760 761 in intelligence may have occurred through repeated introductions of novel diseases into naïve populations. The greatest selection would have occurred shortly after the introduction when the 762 disease was spreading and care-giving behavior had not yet managed to reduce the size of the 763 764 outbreaks and subsequent population crashes.

765

766 Significance for human evolution

Our model was parameterized based upon group sizes, spatial scales, and population densities derived from the fossil record and modern foraging peoples (Grove et al. 2012; Layton et al. 2012). Our goal was not to recreate a particular hominin population, but to explore the effects of different disease characteristics on the evolution of care-giving and increased cognition in a population with hominin characteristics.

772 We created an SIS model (susceptible-infected-susceptible) where recovered individuals are just as susceptible as those who were never infected. However, for many diseases, recovered 773 individuals are temporarily or permanently immune to re-infection, potentially increasing their 774 775 ability to provide care. We expect that immunity would increase the rate of care-giving. Diseases likely to select for care-giving among kin may be diseases which frequently infect children and 776 then convey lifetime immunity. Under this scenario, adults who survived to reproduce would 777 778 have extensive knowledge of the disease's symptoms, making recognition likely, and the 779 immunity to enable them to provide effective care. Several well-known childhood diseases that follow this pattern (e.g., measles, smallpox) have been dated to the origins of agriculture, animal 780 domestication, and the subsequent population increases (Harper and Armelagos 2013). However, 781 as more genetic studies are conducted, increasing numbers of pathogens are showing pre-782 783 agricultural origins, including some that were previously believed to be post-agricultural (e.g., tapeworms, TB (Harper and Armelagos 2013; Hoberg et al. 2001; Hurtado et al. 2008; Stone et 784 al. 2009). Tapeworms, TB, typhoid fever, whooping cough, and Epstein Barr virus, among 785 786 others, have been shown to predate agriculture (Harper and Armelagos 2013; Hoberg et al. 2001; Hurtado et al. 2008; Stone et al. 2009), suggesting that ancestral hominins harbored significant 787 numbers of infectious diseases. Based on our models, diseases with low risks to care-givers, high 788 789 inclusive fitness pay-offs for care-givers, and prevalences low enough not to disrupt the kin 790 networks along which care could be given would have exerted the strongest selection for increased cognition. Through repeated introductions of novel diseases over millions of years, 791 792 such diseases could have selected for accurate disease recognition, increased care-giving among kin, and produced the social and cognitive origins of human medical care. 793

794

795 A novel hypothesis of human cognitive evolution and future directions

Our novel hypothesis of primate, including human cognitive evolution, is *not* mutually 796 exclusive with the social brain hypothesis (Dunbar 1998). As social species evolved the 797 798 cognitive capacities for social cognition, such as processing information gleaned from faces (Leopold and Rhodes 2010; Sartori et al. 2011), voices (Belin 2006; Belin et al. 2004), and 799 movement patterns (Loula et al. 2005; Peterman et al. 2014; Sartori et al. 2011), they may have 800 also obtained the ability to use this information to recognize disease symptoms. They could 801 detect changes in facial coloration and texture due to fever or rashes, changes in vocalizations 802 due to coughing, nasal discharge or reduced lung capacity, and changes in movement/gait due to 803 weakness, lethargy, or signs of pain (Chapman et al. 2005; Fink and Matts 2008; Hart 1988). The 804 proximate mechanisms are relatively simple in that they do not require individuals to have an 805 806 abstract concept of "disease." Instead, individuals that are able to accurately recognize disease would have increased fitness due to being able to avoid infectious individuals or provide care to 807 kin. Though studies of disease recognition in nonhuman animals are relatively rare, several 808 809 species do appear to recognize the health status of conspecifics, i.e., social lobsters (Behringer et al. 2006), pipefish (Rosenqvist and Johansson 1995), bullfrog tadpoles (Kiesecker et al. 1999), 810 rodents (Kavaliers et al. 1997), house finches (Bouwman and Hawley 2010; Zylberberg et al. 811 2012), but see (Nunn 2003). 812

We predict that as hominin social complexity increased, i.e., group sizes, social network
sizes, frequencies of cooperation and social learning, etc. (Aiello and Dunbar 1993; Burkart et al.
2014; Burkart et al. 2009; Dunbar 1998; Gamble et al. 2011; Grove et al. 2012; Layton et al.
2012; Tomasello 2014), hominins would have substantially increased their risk of disease
transmission, producing heightened selection for disease recognition and care-giving. We make

several predictions that enable paleoanthropologists, archaeologists, primatologists, human
ecologists, geneticists and immunologists to test our novel hypothesis of human cognitive
evolution:

821	1)	Humans and nonhuman primates have very similar disease profiles in that we share many
822		of the same diseases with viral, bacterial, and gastrointestinal parasitic zoonoses
823		occurring from nonhuman primates to humans and vice versa (Chapman et al. 2005;
824		Jones et al. 2008; Lloyd-Smith et al. 2009; Wolfe et al. 2007). However, what has
825		received very little attention is how humans and nonhuman primates may differ in the
826		expression of disease symptoms. Humans, relative to nonhuman primates have much less
827		body hair. Though our nakedness may reduce ectoparasite load (Pagel and Bodmer 2003;
828		Weiss 2007), it also provides a visually unobstructed surface for displaying rashes,
829		lesions, swelling, and inflammation, and bruising. Humans, relative to nonhuman
830		primates, also have white scaleras around their eyes, a signal that has been argued to
831		draw attention to gaze direction (Kobayashi and Kohshima 2001; Tomasello et al. 2007),
832		but also turns a dramatic "bloodshot" red when we are under emotional stress or ill
833		(Provine et al. 2011). <i>Prediction 1:</i> If humans have been selected to solicit care from
834		others, they should display exaggerated signals of ill health, relative to nonhuman
835		primates experiencing the same disease and degree of morbidity/mortality.
836	2)	It is becoming increasingly possible to date the origins of many diseases afflicting
837		humans i.e., (Harper and Armelagos 2013; Stone et al. 2009). As more accurate dates are
838		obtained for more diseases, it will be possible to examine whether hominin populations
839		carried an increased disease load as they increased in social complexity. Social
840		complexity could be operationalized in the fossil record through the brain size – group

841		size relationship (Aiello and Dunbar 1993; Dunbar 1998; Gamble et al. 2011; Grove et al.
842		2012; Layton et al. 2012), through evidence of increased behavioral and technological
843		complexity in the archaeological record (Gowlett et al. 2012; Shultz et al. 2012), or
844		through fossil evidence for the shift to cooperative breeding (Aiello and Key 2002; Shultz
845		et al. 2012). <i>Prediction 1:</i> If larger hominin communities sustained greater disease loads,
846		then periods of rapidly increasing community sizes (operationalized with expanding
847		brain sizes (Aiello and Dunbar 1993; Dunbar 1998; Gamble et al. 2011; Grove et al.
848		2012)) should coincide with the evolution of diseases new to hominins. Prediction 2: If
849		social learning/cooperation lead to increased disease transmission (McCabe et al. 2015),
850		then increasing behavioral/technological complexity in the archaeological record
851		(Gamble et al. 2011; Gowlett et al. 2012; Shultz et al. 2012) should coincide with the
852		evolution of diseases new to hominins. Prediction 3: If cooperatively breeding increased
853		disease transmission, then evidence for cooperative breeding in the fossil record (Aiello
854		and Key 2002; Shultz et al. 2012) should coincide with the evolution of diseases new to
855		hominins, particularly those that afflict children. These predictions are not mutually
856		exclusive. According to the results of our model, we would expect a high proportion of
857		these diseases to present low costs and high fitness payoffs to care-givers and persist at
858		prevalences that are low enough not to disrupt the kin networks along which care is
859		provided. Possibilities include infections that leave survivors immune.
860	3)	An additional avenue for examining the role of disease during the evolution of human
861		social complexity would be through cross-species comparisons of immune investment. If
862		hominins have experienced an unusually high rate of disease exposure, either through
863		their extensive social networks or through providing care to diseased kin, they may have

864	invested heavily in immune defenses. Recent work on introgression between
865	anatomically modern humans (AMH) and neandertals has proposed that one of the major
866	advantages may have been the acquisition of novel immune genes from neandertals as
867	AMH expanded northward into novel environments and encountered novel pathogens
868	(Houldcroft and Underdown 2016). Prior studies indicate that there are cross-species
869	differences in immune investment according to mating system (but not group size or
870	density in primates) (Nunn et al. 2000), the risk of environmentally transmitted parasites
871	and injuries due to predator attacks in anthropoids (Semple et al. 2002), coloniality in
872	birds (Moller et al. 2001), and cooperative breeding in birds (Spottiswoode 2008).
873	Prediction 1: If hominins' increased social complexity required them to invest heavily in
874	immune defenses, the human immune system should show similar adaptations to other
875	species that have extremely large social networks and high interaction rates. Prediction
876	2: If the evolution of cooperative breeding required hominins to invest heavily in immune
877	defenses, then the human immune system should show similar adaptations to other
878	cooperatively breeding species. Prediction 3: If the evolution of providing care to
879	diseased conspecifics required hominins to invest heavily in immune defenses, the human
880	immune system should show adaptations that are either extreme or unusual. (These
881	predictions are not mutually exclusive). While many of the earlier studies were done with
882	white blood cell counts, i.e., (Nunn et al. 2000), the field of ecological immunology is
883	growing rapidly with new techniques being continually developed (Downs et al. 2014;
884	Larsen et al. 2014). This should make it increasingly possible to parse out how different
885	selective forces may have acted on different elements of a species' immune system.

887 Conclusions

888 Our model indicates that disease circulating amongst kin groups can select for care-giving 889 among kin and greater cognitive abilities. Moreover, the characteristics of the diseases can 890 generate different strengths of selection. Diseases with lower costs and higher pay offs produced 891 stronger selection, yielding higher care-giving rates and greater increases in average population 892 intelligence.

893 When a care-giving strategy was compared with an avoidance only strategy, the care-giving strategy controlled the transmission of the disease through the population by reducing the 894 severity of disease outbreaks and population crashes. Because this cycle of outbreaks and 895 population crashes was associated with the most rapid increases in intelligence, we propose that 896 the repeated introduction of novel diseases into naïve populations may have led to sustained 897 898 selection for increasing disease recognition and cognitive abilities throughout human evolution. Moreover, the unique ability of hominins to control the spread of disease through care-giving 899 behaviors may have facilitated increased social complexity, and ultimately lead to the evolution 900 901 of medical care in humans. Finally, we set out predictions derived from our disease recognition hypothesis of hominin cognitive evolution that can be tested by paleoanthropologists, 902 archaeologists, geneticists, and primatologists. 903

904

905 Data accessibility

The ODD descriptions of Model 1 (caregiving) and Model 2 (avoidance only) are found in
Appendices A and B, respectively. The code is available in supplementary files 1 and 2,
respectively. The files containing the code can be opened with standard text editing programs
such as WordPad.

910	
911	Conflict of interests
912	None.
913	
914	Authors' contributions
915	SEK designed the study, programmed the model, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript.
916	TRB and CAC contributed to all stages. RWB contributed to the development of the ideas and
917	manuscript preparation.
918	
919	Acknowledgements
920	This work was funded by the Fonds de Recherche du Québec – Nature et Technologies and the
921	Québec Center for Biodiversity Science. The funders were not involved in the study design, the
922	collection, analysis and interpretation of data, writing of the report or decision to submit it.
923	
924	References
925 926	Aiello LC, Dunbar R (1993) Neocortex size, group size, and the evolution of language. Curr Anthropol 34:184-193
927 928	Aiello LC, Key C (2002) Energetic consequences of being a <i>Homo erectus</i> Female. Am J Hum Biol 14:551- 565
929 930	Alizon S, Hurford A, Mideo N, Van Baalen M (2009) Virulence evolution and the trade-off hypothesis: history, current state of affairs and the future. J Evol Biol 22:245-259
931	Anderson JR, Gillies A, Lock LC (2010) Pan thanatology. Curr Biol 20:R349-R351
932 933	Armelagos GJ, Brown PJ, Turner B (2005) Evolutionary, historical and political economic perspectives on health and disease. Soc Sci Med 61:755-765
934 935	Atkinson QD, Gray RD, Drummond A (2008) mtDNA variation predicts population size in humans and reveals a major southern Asian chapter in human prehistory. Mol Biol Evol 25:468-474

- Aylward B, Barboza P, Bawo L, Bertherat E, Bilivogui P, Blake I, Brennan R, Briand S, Chakauya JM, Chitala
- 937 K, Conteh RM, Cori A, Croisier A, Dangou JM, Diallo B, Donnelly CA, Dye C, Eckmanns T, Ferguson NM,
- 938 Formenty P, Fuhrer C, Fukuda K, Garske T, Gasasira A, Gbanyan S, Graaff P, Heleze E, Jambai A, Jombart
- 939 T, Kasolo F, Kadiobo AM, Keita S, Kertesz D, Kone M, Lane C, Markoff J, Massaquoi M, Mills H, Mulba JM,
- 940 Musa E, Myhre J, Nasidi A, Nilles E, Nouvellet P, Nshimirimana D, Nuttall I, Nyenswah T, Olu O,
- 941 Pendergast S, Perea W, Polonsky J, Riley S, Ronveaux O, Sakoba K, Krishnan RSG, Senga M, Shuaib F, Van
- 942 Kerkhove MD, Vaz R, Kannangarage NW, Yoti Z (2014) Ebola virus disease in West Africa The first 9
- 943 months of the epidemic and forward projections. N Engl J Med 371:1481-1495
- Beamish EK, O'Riain MJ (2014) The effects of permanent injury on the behavior and diet of commensal
 chacma baboons (*Papio ursinus*) in the Cape Peninsula, South Africa. Int J Primatol 35:1004-1020
- 946 Behringer DC, Butler MJ, Shields JD (2006) Avoidance of disease by social lobsters. Nature 441:421-421
- Belin P (2006) Voice processing in human and non-human primates. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci361:2091-2107
- Belin P, Fecteau S, Bedard C (2004) Thinking the voice: neural correlates of voice perception. Trends inCognitive Sciences 8:129-135
- Bezerra BM, Keasey MP, Schiel N, Souto AD (2014) Responses towards a dying adult group member in a
 wild New World monkey. Primates 55:185-188
- 953 Bonmati A, Gomez-Olivencia A, Arsuaga JL, Carretero JM, Gracia A, Martinez I, Lorenzo C, de Castro JMB,
- 254 Carbonell E (2010) Middle Pleistocene lower back and pelvis from an aged human individual from the
- 955 Sima de los Huesos site, Spain. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:18386-18391
- Bouwman KM, Hawley DM (2010) Sickness behaviour acting as an evolutionary trap? Male house finches
 preferentially feed near diseased conspecifics. Biology Letters 6:462-465
- 958 Burkart J, Allon O, Amici F, Fichtel C, Finkenwirth C, Heschl A, Huber L, Isler K, Kosonen ZK, Martins E,
- 959 Meulman EJ, Richiger R, Rueth K, Spillmann B, Wiesendanger S, van Schaik C (2014) The evolutionary
- 960 origin of human hyper-cooperation. Nature Communications 5:4747
- Burkart JM, Hrdy SB, Van Schaik CP (2009) Cooperative breeding and human cognitive evolution. EvolAnthropol 18:175-186
- 963 Byrne RW, Bates LA (2007) Sociality, evolution and cognition. Curr Biol 17:R714-R723
- Byrne RW, Stokes EJ (2002) Effects of manual disability on feeding skills in gorillas and chimpanzees. Int J
 Primatol 23:539-554
- 966 Chapais B, Berman CM (eds) (2004) Kinship and Behavior in Primates. Oxford University Press, New York
- 967 Chapais B, Gauthier C, PrudHomme J, Vasey P (1997) Relatedness threshold for nepotism in Japanese968 macaques. Anim Behav 53:1089-1101
- 969 Chapman C, Gillespie TR, Goldberg TL (2005) Primates and the ecology of their infectious diseases: How
- 970 will anthropogenic change affect host-parasite interactions? Evol Anthropol 14:134-144

- 971 Coqueugniot H, Dutour O, Arensburg B, Duday H, Vandermeersch B, Tillier AM (2014) Earliest cranio-
- 972 encephalic trauma from the Levantine Middle Palaeolithic: 3D reappraisal of the Qafzeh 11 skull,
- 973 consequences of pediatric brain damage on individual life condition and social care. Plos One 9:10
- 974 Crubezy E, Trinkaus E (1992) Shanidar 1: A case of hyperostotic disease (DISH) in the Middle Paleolithic.
 975 Am J Phys Anthropol 89:411-420
- 976 Cuozzo FP, Sauther ML (2004) Tooth loss, survival, and resource use in wild ring-tailed lemurs (Lemur 977 catta): implications for inferring conspecific care in fossil hominids. J Hum Evol 46:623-631
- 978 Davenport LC (2010) Aid to a declining matriarch in the giant otter (*Pteronura brasiliensis*). Plos One 5:6
- Deaner RO, van Schaik C, Johnson V (2006) Do some taxa have better domain-general cognition than
 others? A meta-analysis of nonhuman primate studies. Evolutionary Psychology 4:149-196
- DeGusta D (2002) Comparative skeletal pathology and the case for conspecific care in Middle
 Pleistocene hominids. J Archaeol Sci 29:1435-1438
- 983 DeGusta D (2003) Aubesier 11 is not evidence of Neanderthal conspecific care. J Hum Evol 45:91-94
- Dettwyler KA (1991) Can Paleoanthropology provide evidence for compassion? Am J Phys Anthropol84:375-384
- Dittus WPJ, Ratnayeke SM (1989) Individual and social behavioral-responses to injury in wild Toque
 macaques (*Macaca sinica*). Int J Primatol 10:215-234
- Douglas-Hamilton I, Bhalla S, Wittemyer G, Vollrath F (2006) Behavioural reactions of elephants towards
 a dying and deceased matriarch. Appl Anim Behav Sci 100:87-102
- 990 Downs CJ, Adelman JS, Demas GE (2014) Mechanisms and methods in ecoimmunology: Integrating
- 991 within-organism and between-organism processes. Integrative and Comparative Biology 54:340-352
- 992 Dunbar R (1998) The social brain hypothesis. Evol Anthropol 6:178-190
- 993 Ewald PW (1993) The evolution of virulence. Sci Am 268:86-93
- 994 Fernandes HBF, Woodley MA, te Nijenhuis J (2014) Differences in cognitive abilities among primates are
- 995 concentrated on G: Phenotypic and phylogenetic comparisons with two meta-analytical databases.996 Intelligence 46:311-322
- 997 Field A (2013) Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics, 4th edn. Sage, London
- Fink B, Matts PJ (2008) The effects of skin colour distribution and topography cues on the perception of
 female facial age and health. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 22:493-498
- 1000 Gamble C, Gowlett J, Dunbar R (2011) The social brain and the shape of the palaeolithic. Cambridge1001 Archaeological Journal 21:115-135
- Gowlett J, Gamble C, Dunbar R (2012) Human Evolution and the Archaeology of the Social Brain. CurrAnthropol 53:693-722

- 1004 Gracia A, Arsuaga JL, Martínez I, Lorenzo C, Carretero JM, Bermúdez de Castro JM, Carbonell E (2009)
- 1005 Craniosynostosis in the middle pleistocene human cranium 14 from the Sima de los Huesos, Atapuerca,
- 1006 Spain. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106:6573-6578
- 1007 Griffin R, Nunn C (2012) Community structure and the spread of infectious disease in primate social1008 networks. Evol Ecol 26:779-800
- Grove M, Pearce E, Dunbar RIM (2012) Fission-fusion and the evolution of hominin social systems. J HumEvol 62:191-200
- 1011 Guo DM, Li KC, Peters TR, Snively BM, Poehling KA, Zhou XB (2015) Multi-scale modeling for the 1012 transmission of influenza and the evaluation of interventions toward it. Scientific Reports 5:9
- Gurven M, Allen-Arave W, Hill K, Hurtado M (2000) "It's a Wonderful Life": signaling generosity among
 the Ache of Paraguay. Evolution and Human Behavior 21:263-282
- Haeusler M, Schiess R, Boeni T (2013) Evidence for juvenile disc herniation in a *Homo erectus* boy
 skeleton. Spine 38:E123-E128
- 1017 Hamilton WD (1964) The genetical evolution of social behavior. I and II. J Theor Biol 7:1-52
- Harper KN, Armelagos GJ (2013) Genomics, the origins of agriculture, and our changing microbe-scape:
 Time to revisit some old tales and tell some new ones. Am J Phys Anthropol 152:135-152
- 1020 Hart BL (1988) Biological basis of the behavior of sick animals. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 12:123-137
- Hart BL (2011) Behavioural defences in animals against pathogens and parasites: parallels with the
 pillars of medicine in humans. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 366:3406-3417
- Hatchwell BJ (2010) Cryptic kin selection: Kin structure in vertebrate populations and opportunities for
 kin-directed cooperation. Ethology 116:203-216
- Hawkes K (2003) Grandmothers and the evolution of human longevity. Am J Hum Biol 15:380-400
- Herrmann E, Call J, Hernández-Lloreda MV, Hare B, Tomasello M (2007) Humans have evolved
 specialized skills of social cognition: The cultural intelligence hypothesis. Science 317:1360
- Hill K, Barton M, Hurtado A (2009) The emergence of human uniqueness: Characters underlying
 behavioral modernity. Evol Anthropol 18:187-200
- Hill KR, Walker RS, Bozicevic M, Eder J, Headland T, Hewlett B, Hurtado AM, Marlowe FW, Wiessner P,
 Wood B (2011) Co-residence patterns in hunter-gatherer societies show unique human social structure.
 Science 331:1286-1289
- Hill KR, Wood BM, Baggio J, Hurtado AM, Boyd RT (2014) Hunter-gatherer inter-band interaction rates:
 Implications for cumulative culture. Plos One 9:9
- Hoberg EP, Alkire NL, de Queiroz A, Jones A (2001) Out of Africa: Origins of the *Taenia* tapeworms in
 humans. P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci 268:781-787

- Houldcroft CJ, Underdown SJ (2016) Neanderthal genomics suggests a pleistocene time frame for the
 first epidemiologic transition. Am J Phys Anthropol 160:379-388
- Hrdy SB (2009) Mothers and Others: The Evolutionary Origins of Mutual Understanding. Belknap Pressof Harvard University Press, Cambridge
- Hublin JJ (2009) The prehistory of compassion. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences106:6429-6430
- Hurtado AM, Frey MA, Hurtado I, Hill K, Baker J (2008) The role of helminthes in human evolution
 implications for global health in the 21st century. Society for the Study of Human Biology Series 48:153180
- Jones KE, Patel NG, Levy MA, Storeygard A, Balk D, Gittleman JL, Daszak P (2008) Global trends in
 emerging infectious diseases. Nature 451:990-994
- Kahm M, Hasenbrink G, Lichtenberg-Fraté H, Ludwig J, Kschischo M (2010) grofit: Fitting biological
 growth curves with R. Journal of Statistical Software 33:1-21
- Kavaliers M, Colwell D, Ossenkopp KP, Perrot-Sinal TS (1997) Altered responses to female odors in
 parasitized male mice: Neuromodulatory mechanisms and relations to female choice. Behav Ecol
 Sociobiol 40:373-384
- Keeling MJ, Grenfell BT (1997) Disease extinction and community size: Modeling the persistence ofmeasles. Science 275:65-67
- 1055 Kiesecker JM, Skelly DK, Beard KH, Preisser E (1999) Behavioral reduction of infection risk. Proc Natl
 1056 Acad Sci U S A 96:9165-9168
- Kobayashi H, Kohshima S (2001) Unique morphology of the human eye and its adaptive meaning:
 comparative studies on external morphology of the primate eye. J Hum Evol 40:419-435
- Larsen PA, Campbell CR, Yoder AD (2014) Next-generation approaches to advancing eco immunogenomic research in critically endangered primates. Molecular Ecology Resources 14:1198-1209
- Layton R, O'Hara S, Bilsborough A (2012) Antiquity and social functions of multilevel social organization
 among human hunter-gatherers. Int J Primatol 33:1215-1245
- 1063 Lebel S, Trinkaus E (2002) Middle Pleistocene human remains from the Bau de l'Aubesier. J Hum Evol1064 43:659-685
- 1065 Lebel S, Trinkaus E, Faure M, Fernandez P, Guérin C, Richter D, Mercier N, Valladas H, Wagner GA (2001)
- 1066 Comparative morphology and paleobiology of Middle Pleistocene human remains from the Bau de
- 1067 l'Aubesier, Vaucluse, France. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98:11097-11102
- Lee JJ (2007) A g beyond Homo sapiens? Some hints and suggestions. Intelligence 35:253-265
- 1069 Leopold DA, Rhodes G (2010) A comparative view of face perception. J Comp Psychol 124:233-251

- Lloyd-Smith JO, George D, Pepin KM, Pitzer VE, Pulliam JRC, Dobson AP, Hudson PJ, Grenfell BT (2009)
 Epidemic Dynamics at the Human-Animal Interface. Science 326:1362-1367
- Lordkipanidze D, Vekua A, Ferring R, Rightmire GP, Agustill J, Kiladze G, Mouskhelishvili A, Nioradze M,
 de Leon MSP, Tappen M, Zollikofer CPE (2005) The earliest toothless hominin skull. Nature 434:717-718
- 1074 Lordkipanidze D, Vekua A, Ferring R, Rightmire GP, Zollikofer CPE, De Leon MSP, Agusti J, Kiladze G,
- 1075 Mouskhelishvili A, Nioradze M, Tappen M (2006) A fourth hominin skull from Dmanisi, Georgia.
- 1076 Anatomical Record Part a-Discoveries in Molecular Cellular and Evolutionary Biology 288A:1146-1157
- Loula F, Prasad S, Harber K, Shiffrar M (2005) Recognizing people from their movement. Journal of
 Experimental Psychology-Human Perception and Performance 31:210-220
- McBrearty S, Brooks AS (2000) The revolution that wasn't: A new interpretation of the origin of modern
 human behavior. J Hum Evol 39:453-563
- McCabe CM, Reader SM, Nunn CL (2015) Infectious disease, behavioural flexibility and the evolution of
 culture in primates. P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci 282:9
- 1083 Merler S, Ajelli M, Fumanelli L, Gomes MFC, Piontti APY, Rossi L, Chao DL, Longini IM, Halloran ME,
- Vespignani A (2015) Spatiotemporal spread of the 2014 outbreak of Ebola virus disease in Liberia and
 the effectiveness of non-pharmaceutical interventions: a computational modelling analysis. Lancet
 Infectious Diseases 15:204-211
- Moller AP, Merino S, Brown CR, Robertson RJ (2001) Immune Defense and Host Sociality: A Comparative
 Study of Swallows and Martins. Am Nat 158:136-145
- Montgomery PQ, Williams HOL, Reading N, Stringer CB (1994) An assessment of the temporal bone
 lesions of the Broken Hill cranium. J Archaeol Sci 21:331-337
- 1091 Munn J (2006) Effects of injury on the locomotion of free-living chimpanzees in the Bodongo Forest
- 1092 Reserve, Uganda. In: Newton-Fisher NE, Notman H, Paterson JD, Reynolds V (eds) Primates of Western 1093 Uganda. Springer Science+Business Media, New York, pp 259-280
- Nakamichi M, Koyama N, Jolly A (1996) Maternal responses to dead and dying infants in wild troops of
 ring-tailed lemurs at the Berenty reserve, Madagascar. Int J Primatol 17:505-523
- 1096 Neisser U (1967) Cognitive Psychology, 1st edn. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs
- 1097 Nunn C, Altizer S (2006) Infectious Diseases in Primates: Behavior, Ecology and Evolution. Oxford1098 University Press, New York
- 1099 Nunn CL (2003) Behavioural defenses against sexually transmitted diseases in primates. Anim Behav1100 66:37-48
- 1101 Nunn CL, Gittleman JL, Antonovics J (2000) Promiscuity and the primate immune system. Science1102 290:1168-1170
- 1103 Pagel M, Bodmer W (2003) A naked ape would have fewer parasites. P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci 270:S117-S119

- Park KJ, Sohn H, An YR, Moon DY, Choi SG, An DH (2013) An unusual case of care-giving behavior in wild
 long-beaked common dolphins (*Delphinus capensis*) in the East Sea. Mar Mamm Sci 29:E508-E514
- Peterman JS, Christensen A, Giese MA, Park S (2014) Extraction of social information from gait inschizophrenia. Psychol Med 44:987-996
- Powell A, Shennan S, Thomas MG (2009) Late Pleistocene demography and the appearance of modernhuman behavior. Science 324:1298-1301
- Provine RR, Cabrera MO, Brocato NW, Krosnowski KA (2011) When the Whites of the Eyes are Red: AUniquely Human Cue. Ethology 117:395-399
- 1112 Railsback SF, Grimm V (2011) Agent-Based and Individual-Based Modeling: A Practical Introduction.
 1113 Princeton University Press, Princeton
- 1114 RCoreTeam (2011) R: A language and environment for statistical computing R Foundation for Statistical1115 Computing, Viena, Austria
- 1116 RCoreTeam (2015) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foudnation for Statistical1117 Computing, Vienna, Austria
- Reader SM, Hager Y, Laland KN (2011) The evolution of primate general and cultural intelligence.
 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 366:1017-1027
- 1120 Rendall D (2004) Recognizing kin: mechanisms, media, minds, modules, and muddles. In: Chapais B,
- 1121 Berman CM (eds) Kinship and Behavior in Primates. Oxford University Press, New York, pp 295-316
- 1122 Rifkin JL, Nunn CL, Garamszegi LZ (2012) Do animals living in larger groups experience greater
 1123 parasitism? A meta-analysis. Am Nat 180:70-82
- Rosenqvist G, Johansson K (1995) Male avoidance of parasitized females explained by direct benefits in a
 pipefish. Anim Behav 49:1039-1045
- 1126 RStudio (2014) RStudio: Integrated development environment for R Boston, MA
- Sartori L, Becchio C, Castiello U (2011) Cues to intention: The role of movement information. Cognition119:242-252
- Schiess R, Boeni T, Rühli F, Haeusler M (2014) Revisiting scoliosis in the KNM-WT 15000 *Homo erectus*skeleton. J Hum Evol 67:48-59
- 1131 Semple S, Cowlishaw G, Bennett PM (2002) Immune system evolution among anthropoid primates:
- 1132 parasites, injuries and predators. P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci 269:1031-1037
- Shang H, Trinkaus E (2008) An ectocranial lesion on the Middle Pleistocene human cranium from Hulu
 Cave, Nanjing, China. Am J Phys Anthropol 135:431-437
- 1135 Sherman PW (1988) Levels of analysis. Anim Behav 36:616-619

- Shultz S, Nelson E, Dunbar RIM (2012) Hominin cognitive evolution: identifying patterns and processes in
 the fossil and archaeological record. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 367:2130-2140
- Silk JB (2009) Nepotistic cooperation in non-human primate groups. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci364:3243-3254
- Spottiswoode CN (2008) Cooperative breeding and immunity: a comparative study of PHA response inAfrican birds. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 62:963-974
- 1142 Stokes EJ, Byrne R (2006) Effect of snare injuries on the fig-feeding behavior of chimpanzees of the
- 1143 Bondogo Forest, Uganda: Behavioral adaptations and long-term implications. In: Newton-Fisher NE,
- 1144 Notman H, Paterson JD, Reynolds V (eds) Primates of Western Ugana. Springer Science+Business Media,
 1145 New York, pp 281-297
- Stone AC, Wilbur AK, Buikstra JE, Roberts CA (2009) Tuberculosis and leprosy in perspective. Yearb PhysAnthropol 52:66-94
- 1148 Sugiyama LS (2004) Illness, injury, and disability among Shiwiar forager-horticulturists: Implications of 1149 health-risk buffering for the evolution of human life history. Am J Phys Anthropol 123:371-389
- 1150 Sugiyama LS, Chacon R (2000) Effects of illness and injury on foraging among the Yora and Shiwiar:
- 1151 Pathology risk as adaptive problem. In: Cronk L, Chagnon N, Irons W (eds) Human Behavior and
- 1152 Adaptation: An Anthropological Perspective. Aldine, New York, pp 371-395
- 1153 Tillier AM, Arensburg B, Duday H, Vandermeersch B (2001) Brief communication: An early case of 1154 hydrocephalus: The Middle Paleolithic Qafzeh 12 child (Israel). Am J Phys Anthropol 114:166-170
- 1155 Tinbergen N (1963) On aims and methods of ethology. Z Tierpsychol 20:410-433
- Tobias PV (2006) Longevity, death and encephalisation among Plio-Pleistocene hominins. Int Congr Ser1296:1-15
- 1158 Tomasello M (2014) The ultra-social animal. European Journal of Social Psychology 44:187-194
- Tomasello M, Carpenter M, Call J, Behne T, Moll H (2005) Understanding and sharing intentions: The
 origins of cultural cognition. Behav Brain Sci 28:675-735
- 1161 Tomasello M, Hare B, Lehmann H, Call J (2007) Reliance on head versus eyes in the gaze following of 1162 great apes and human infants: the cooperative eye hypothesis. J Hum Evol 52:314-320
- Trinkaus E, Hillson S, Franciscus RG, Holliday TW (2006) Skeletal and dental paleopathology. In: Trinkaus
 E, Svoboda J (eds) Early Modern Human Evolution in Central Europe: The People of Dolní Věstonice and
 Pavlov. Oxford University Press, New York, pp 419-458
- 1166 Trinkaus E, Jelinek J (1997) Human remains from the Moravian Gravettian: The Dolni Vestonice 31167 postcrania. J Hum Evol 33:33-82
- Turner SE, Fedigan L, Damon Matthews H, Nakamichi M (2014) Social consequences of disability in a
 nonhuman primate. J Hum Evol 68:47-57

- 1170 van Schaik CP, Isler K, Burkart JM (2012) Explaining brain size variation: from social to cultural brain.
- 1171 Trends in Cognitive Sciences 16:277-284
- 1172 Walker A, Zimmerman MR, Leakey REF (1982) A possible care of hypervitaminosis A in *Homo erectus*.
 1173 Nature 296:248-250
- 1174 Weiss RA (2007) Lessons from naked apes and their infections. J Med Primatol 36:172-179
- 1175 Whiten A (2000) Primate culture and social learning. Cognitive Science 24:477-508
- 1176 WHO (2013) Crimean-Congo Haemorrhagic Fever.
- 1177 WHO (2014a) Ebola Virus Disease.
- 1178 WHO (2014b) Measles.
- 1179 WHO (2015) Scabies.
- 1180 Wilensky U (1999) Netlogo. Center for Connected Learning and Computer-Based Modeling,
- 1181 Northwestern University, Evanston, IL
- 1182 Wolfe ND, Dunavan CP, Diamond J (2007) Origins of major human infectious diseases. Nature 447:279-1183 283
- Woodley MA, Fernandes HBF, Hopkins WD (2015) The more g-loaded, the more heritable, evolvable,
 and phenotypically variable: Homology with humans in chimpanzee cognitive abilities. Intelligence
 50:159-163
- 1187 Wu X, Holloway RL, Schepartz LA, Xing S (2011) A new brain endocast of *Homo erectus* from Hulu Cave,
 1188 Nanjing, China. Am J Phys Anthropol 145:452-460
- 1189 Zylberberg M, Klasing KC, Hahn TP (2012) House finches (*Carpodacus mexicanus*) balance investment in 1190 behavioural and immunological defences against pathogens. Biology Letters 9:4
- 1191
- 1192

1194 Tables

1195 Table 1. Summary data calculated from the hominin dataset presented in Appendix Table A1 of Grove et al. (2012). Values and

confidence intervals are medians calculated from the published dataset. To keep our terminology consistent, we refer to
community size where Grove et al. (2012) refers to group size.

		Community Size			Population Density (I/km2)			Area Required (km2)		
Genus	Species	Lower CI	Median	Upper CI	Lower CI	Median	Upper CI	Lower CI	Median	Upper CI
Homo	Early Homo	43.249	56.276	71.402	0.366	0.584	0.802	51.529	92.525	188.043
Homo	habilis	46.8415	60.476	76.2795	0.577	0.822	1.068	43.8705	73.56	132.306
Homo	erectus	66.43	83.158	102.406	0.545	0.785	1.025	70.289	113.994	200.766
Homo	heidelbergensis	70.9845	88.389	108.389	0.3	0.514	0.728	94.736	164.6655	339.368
Homo	neanderthalensis	72.622	90.266	110.5325	0.196	0.407	0.618	116.066	217.395	536.199
Homo	sapiens	78.763	97.292	118.541	0.196	0.407	0.618	127.537	240.876	613.916

1198

Table 2. Means and standard deviations for each disease for the final population size, final disease prevalence, final percent care, final
 average population intelligence, the net intelligence change between time steps 1 and 100 (Intel Change), the maximum slope
 for percent care, and the maximum slope for average population intelligence from Model 1 (Care-giving).

Pop. Size		Preval	ence	Percen	ıt	Intelli	gence	Intel C	hange	Slope Car	e	Slope I1	ntel
		(%)		Care									
Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
184.07	0.77	84.78	0.42	1.37	0.11	0.53	0.01	0.03	< 0.01	-0.00006	0.00003	0.0006	0.00007
133.64	2.02	70.15	0.76	6.74	0.43	0.71	0.01	0.21	0.01	0.00053	0.00006	0.0043	0.00032
120.96	3.47	33.63	1.75	4.73	0.50	0.62	0.01	0.12	0.02	0.00022	0.00005	0.0025	0.00042
157.24	3.25	10.32	0.51			0.50	0.01	0.00	0.01			0.0003	0.00020
	Mean 184.07 133.64 120.96	Mean SD 184.07 0.77 133.64 2.02 120.96 3.47	(%) Mean SD Mean 184.07 0.77 84.78 133.64 2.02 70.15 120.96 3.47 33.63	(%) Mean SD Mean SD 184.07 0.77 84.78 0.42 133.64 2.02 70.15 0.76 120.96 3.47 33.63 1.75	(%) Care Mean SD Mean SD Mean 184.07 0.77 84.78 0.42 1.37 133.64 2.02 70.15 0.76 6.74 120.96 3.47 33.63 1.75 4.73	(%) Care Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD 184.07 0.77 84.78 0.42 1.37 0.11 133.64 2.02 70.15 0.76 6.74 0.43 120.96 3.47 33.63 1.75 4.73 0.50	(%) Care Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD Mean 184.07 0.77 84.78 0.42 1.37 0.11 0.53 133.64 2.02 70.15 0.76 6.74 0.43 0.71 120.96 3.47 33.63 1.75 4.73 0.50 0.62	Mean SD Mean <td>Mean SD Mean SD SD Mean SD S</td> <td>Mean SD Mean SD Mean<td>Mean SD Mean SD <th< td=""><td>Mean SD Mean SD Mean<td>Mean SD Mean SD Mean</td></td></th<></td></td>	Mean SD SD Mean SD S	Mean SD Mean <td>Mean SD Mean SD <th< td=""><td>Mean SD Mean SD Mean<td>Mean SD Mean SD Mean</td></td></th<></td>	Mean SD SD <th< td=""><td>Mean SD Mean SD Mean<td>Mean SD Mean SD Mean</td></td></th<>	Mean SD Mean <td>Mean SD Mean SD Mean</td>	Mean SD Mean

1204

1205

1206 Table 3. One-way ANOVAs showing significant differences across disease types for the final population size, final disease

1207 prevalence, final percent care, final average population intelligence, the net intelligence change between time steps 1 and 100,

1208 the maximum slope for percent care, and the maximum slope for average population intelligence for Model 1 (Care-giving).

All multiple comparisons between disease types were significant, thus only the smallest mean difference and corresponding p-value are shown per test.

1211

Test	F-statistic	Df	Р	Smallest Mean Difference	Р
Final Pop. Size	1131.78 ^{BF}	3, 24.47	< 0.001	$\geq 12.68^{\mathrm{T}}$	<0.001
Final Prevalence	11,275.24 ^{BF}	3, 15.24	< 0.001	$\geq 0.15^{\mathrm{T}}$	< 0.001
Final Percent Care	492.03 ^{BF}	2, 18.61	< 0.001	$\geq 0.02^{\mathrm{T}}$	< 0.001
Final Intelligence	579.51 ^{UC}	3, 36	< 0.001	$\geq 0.03^{\mathrm{B}}$	< 0.001
Intelligence Change	464.463 ^{BF}	3, 23.13	< 0.001	$\geq 0.03^{\mathrm{T}}$	< 0.001
Max. Slope Percent Care	377.10 ^{UC}	2, 27	< 0.001	≥0.0003 ^B	< 0.001
Max. Slope Intelligence	421.732 ^{BF}	3, 21.61	< 0.001	$\geq 0.0002^{\mathrm{T}}$	≤0.03

1212 ^{UC}F-statistic, uncorrected

1213 ^{BF} Brown-Forsythe F-statistic

- 1214 ^BBonferroni correction for multiple comparisons
- 1215 ^TTamhane's T2 test for multiple comparisons

1216

1218 Table 4. One-sample T-tests on the *Model 1 results* showing that the difference in average population intelligence between the first

and 100th time steps were significantly different from zero for the scabies-like, measles-like, CCHF-like diseases, but not for

1220 the Ebola-like disease. Significant p-values are bolded

Test	Т	Df	Р	CI: Lower	CI: Upper
Scabies-like	22.18	9	<0.001	0.028	0.033
Measles-like	44.78	9	<0.001	0.196	0.216
CCHF-like	19.36	9	<0.001	0.111	0.137
Ebola-like	-0.824	9	0.431	-0.010	0.005

1222

1223

1224 Table 5. One-sample T-tests on the <i>Model 2 results</i> showing that the difference in average population intellige	gence between the first
--	-------------------------

- and 100th time steps were significantly different from zero for the CCHF-like and Ebola-like diseases, but not for the scabies-
- 1226 like and measles-like diseases. Significant p-values are bolded.

Test	Τ	Df	Р	CI: Lower	CI: Upper

Measles-like-1.29290.236-0.0250.005CCHF-like-24.00090.001-0.160-0.138Ebola-like-58.93990.001-0.216-0.200	Scabies-like	997	9	0.352	-0.005	0.001	
	Measles-like	-1.292	9	0.236	-0.025	0.005	
Ebola-like -58.939 9 0.001 -0.216 -0.200	CCHF-like	-24.000	9	0.001	-0.160	-0.138	
	Ebola-like	-58.939	9	0.001	-0.216	-0.200	

1229 Table 6. Two-sample T-tests comparing population size, prevalence, and mean intelligence values at the 100th time step for each

disease under Model 1 (care-giving) versus Model 2 (avoidance) conditions. When Levene's test indicated that the variances
are unequal, we report the T values, degrees of freedom (df), p-values, and confidence intervals calculated without assuming
equal variances (Field 2013). Significant p-values are bolded.

Disease	Variable	Т	Df	Р	CI: Lower	CI: Upper
Scabies-like	Pop. Size	43.178	11.011	0.001	28.833	31.344
	Prevalence	-49.675	18	0.001	-0.105	-0.096
	Intelligence	7.786	18	0.001	0.031	0.052
Measles-like	Pop. Size	9.669	18	0.001	9.621	14.569
	Prevalence	-3.000	18	0.016	-0.029	-0.007

	Intelligence	30.699	11.148	0.001	0.205	0.233
CCHF-like	Pop. Size	-3.165	18	0.003	-5.906	-1.296
	Prevalence	0.740	18	0.464	-0.007	0.015
	Intelligence	37.944	18	0.001	0.254	0.282
Ebola-like	Pop. Size	-0.024	14.171	0.982	-3.696	3.923
	Prevalence	0.305	18	0.748	-0.004	0.005
	Intelligence	46.049	18	0.001	0.200	0.218

Table 7. Mixed-model analyses run on the Model 1 (care-giving) results examining the effects of prevalence, population size and the interaction between the two on intelligence changes for each disease. r2m measures how much variation in mean intelligence can be explained by the fixed effects (time+prevalence*population size). β values are standardized regression coefficients. SE is the standard error and df is the degrees of freedom.

Disease	Analysis	r ² m*	Variable	В	SE	df	t	р
Scabies-	Prevalence	0.468	Intercept	-0.002	0.034	888	-0.055	0.956
like*			Time	-1.084	0.086	888	- 12.641	<0.001
			Prevalence	0.460	0.085	888	5.411	<0.001
Measles-	Prevalence	0.565	Intercept	-0.065	0.075	946	-0.871	0.384

like			Time	-0.585	0.076	946	-7.650	< 0.001
			Population Size	0.291	0.063	946	4.590	< 0.001
			Prevalence	0.431	0.046	946	9.276	< 0.001
			Population					
			Size*Prevalence	-0.143	0.021	946	-6.713	< 0.001
CCHF-	Prevalence	0.146	Intercept	0.039	0.050	946	0.785	0.433
like			Time	-0.400	0.051	946	-7.848	< 0.001
			Population Size	0.052	0.051	946	1.014	0.311
			Prevalence	-0.104	0.052	946	-2.023	0.043
			Population					
			Size*Prevalence	0.060	0.020	946	3.023	0.003
Ebola-	Prevalence	0.001	Intercept	0.008	0.039	946	0.218	0.827
like			Time	-0.010	0.039	946	-0.247	0.805
			Population Size	-0.043	0.049	946	-0.873	0.383
			Prevalence	0.002	0.073	946	0.031	0.976
			Population					
			Size*Prevalence	0.013	0.022	946	0.571	0.568

1239 $*r^2c$ values were the same as r^2m . r^2c measures how much variation is explained by the whole model (including the random effect of

simulation run). That the two measures were the same indicates that there were no systematic differences between runs of a given

1241 disease.

1243 Figure legends

1244	Figure 1. Changes over time in disease prevalence (A), percentage of diseased individuals who received care (B), and average
1245	population intelligence (C). For each disease the 10 average runs have been averaged within each time step. The Ebola-like,
1246	CCHF-like, measles-like, and scabies-like diseases are shown in red circles, green squares, black Xs, and blue triangles,
1247	respectively. Approximately every fourth time step is shown. Error bars are +/- two standard deviations. Fig. 1B does not show
1248	the Ebola-like disease because no care was given.
1249	
1250	Figure 2. Changes in population size over time produced by Model 1 (Care-giving) and Model 2 (Avoidance only) in the scabies-like
1251	(A), measles-like (B), CCHF-like (C), and Ebola-like (D) diseases. Models 1 and 2 are shown in red circles and blue triangles,
1252	respectively. Only even numbered time steps are shown. Error bars are +/- two standard deviations.
1253	
1254	Figure 3. Changes in prevalence over time produced by Model 1 (Care-giving) and Model 2 (Avoidance only) in the scabies-like (A),
1255	measles-like (B), CCHF-like (C), and Ebola-like (D) diseases. Models 1 and 2 are shown in red circles and blue triangles,
1256	respectively. Only even numbered time steps are shown. Error bars are +/- two standard deviations.
1257	

1258	Figure 4. Changes in average population intelligence over time produced by Model 1 (Care-giving) and Model 2 (Avoidance only) in
1259	the scabies-like (A), measles-like (B), CCHF-like (C), and Ebola-like (D) diseases. Models 1 and 2 are shown in red circles
1260	and blue triangles, respectively. Only even numbered time steps are shown. Error bars are +/- two standard deviations.
1261	
1262	Figure 5. Graphs showing the results of the analyses exploring the effects of prevalence on the change in intelligence for the scabies-
1263	like disease. Change in intelligence was calculated as the mean intelligence in a given time step minus the mean intelligence in
1264	the previous time step. (A) Change in intelligence is negatively correlated with time and (B) positively correlated with
1265	prevalence (Table 7).
1266	
1267	Figure 6. Graphs showing the results of the analyses exploring the effects of prevalence, population size, and their interactions on the
1268	change in intelligence for the measles-like disease. Change in intelligence was calculated as the mean intelligence in a given
1269	time step minus the mean intelligence in the previous time step. (A) Change in intelligence is negatively correlated with time
1270	(Table 7). (B) Interaction effects between population size and prevalence ("Prev"). Population size is on the X axis with data
1271	points represented by the small black lines. The difference in intelligence is shown on the Y axis. The prevalences shown
1272	represent the range of prevalences experienced by the population (see Figure 1A). The greatest positive selection on
1273	intelligence occurred when prevalence and population size are high. Population size has a large effect when prevalence is low
1274	(left panel of B) and a small effect when prevalence is high (right panel of B).

1276	Figure 7. Graphs showing the results of the analyses exploring the effects of prevalence, population size, and their interactions on the
1277	change in intelligence for the CCHF-like disease. Change in intelligence was calculated as the mean intelligence in a given
1278	time step minus the mean intelligence in the previous time step. (A) Change in intelligence is negatively correlated with time
1279	(Table 7). (B) Interaction effects between population size and prevalence. Population size is on the X axis with data points
1280	represented by the small black lines. The difference in intelligence is shown on the Y axis. The prevalences shown represent
1281	the range of prevalences experienced by the population (see Figure 1A). The greatest increases in average population
1282	intelligence occurred at low population sizes and low prevalences (B, left panel) and at high population sizes and high
1283	prevalences (B, right panel).
1284	
1284 1285	Figure 8. Graphs showing the results of the analyses exploring the effects of prevalence, population size, and their interactions on
	Figure 8. Graphs showing the results of the analyses exploring the effects of prevalence, population size, and their interactions on change in intelligence for the Ebola-like disease. Change in intelligence was calculated as the mean intelligence in a given time
1285	
1285 1286	change in intelligence for the Ebola-like disease. Change in intelligence was calculated as the mean intelligence in a given time
1285 1286 1287	change in intelligence for the Ebola-like disease. Change in intelligence was calculated as the mean intelligence in a given time step minus the mean intelligence in the previous time step. (A) No significant change in intelligence over time. (B) Potential
1285 1286 1287 1288	change in intelligence for the Ebola-like disease. Change in intelligence was calculated as the mean intelligence in a given time step minus the mean intelligence in the previous time step. (A) No significant change in intelligence over time. (B) Potential interaction effects between population size and prevalence. Population size is on the X axis with data points represented by the

1292 Appendix A. ODD Protocol for Selection to Outsmart the Germs in Netlogo (Model 1: Care-giving)

1293

1294	Purpose
------	---------

1295 The purpose of this model is to test 1) under what conditions disease can select for increasing disease recognition and care-giving

- among kin and 2) whether the strength of selection varies according to the disease's characteristics. We compare the selection
- 1297 produced by diseases with fatality rates similar to Ebola, Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever, measles, and scabies.

1298

1299 Entities, state variables and scales

1300 This model consists of three entities: the landscape, agents moving on the landscape, and links between agents. The landscape is a 40

1301 x 40 cell grid that wraps horizontally and vertically. The model space simulates individuals moving and interacting on a landscape.

1302 The grid cells do not have any variables of their own.

- 1304 The following global variables can be user-adjusted via the interface:
- 1305 1) Carrying-capacity: maximum number of agents on the landscape
- 1306 2) **Prob-fatality**: the probability that a diseased agent will die (0-1).
- 1307 3) **Prob-transmission**: the probability that an agent within the transmission radius will become infected (0-1)
- 1308 4) **Prob-recovery:** the probability that an agent will recover from the disease after receiving care (help), coded as 0-1

- 1309 5) **Num-matrilines:** the number of unrelated agents created at set-up.
- 1310 6) **Initial-prevalence:** the number of agents who are randomly infected in the fifth time step
- 1311
- 1312 Agents have the following state variables:
- 1313 1) **Disease?**: a true/false variable determining the agent's disease status
- 1314 2) **Intelligence**: the probability that an agent will correct identify the disease status of another agent (0-1)
- 1315
- 1316 Links represent relatedness between two agents. Links have one variable, r, which represents the matrilineal relatedness between the
- 1317 linked agents. Links representing parent-offspring relationships (r = 0.5) are colored white. Links representing matrilineal
- 1318 siblings/grandparents (r = 0.25) are colored red.
- 1319
- 1320 Simulations last for 100 time steps. Agents reproduce at the beginning of each time step, but because no maximum life span is set, the
- time steps do not translate directly into generations or years.
- 1322
- 1323 Process overview and scheduling
- 1324 Each time step, the following sequences occur:
- 1325 1) The model initializes by setting a list of global tracking variables to 0 or false [see submodel *initialize* for details].

1326	2)	The population repopulates at each time step when healthy agents reproduce [see submodel <i>repopulate</i> for details].
1327	3)	Each agent's links are reduced to only links with an r greater than or equal to 0.25. Links with r=0.5 and r=0.25 are white and
1328		red, respectively.
1329	4)	The model checks whether it is running time step 5. If so, a number of agents equal to the value of <i>initial-prevalence</i> are
1330		randomly infected with the disease. Those agents change their color to be 3 shades darker. If the current time step is not the
1331		fifth, this procedure is skipped.
1332	5)	Agents evaluate the disease status of nearby agents with an accuracy that is based on their intelligence score. Each agent
1333		maintains a list of the other agents it believes to be its' diseased kin [variable: diseased-kin, see submodel assess-neighbors2
1334		for details].
1335	6)	The model updates the values for the global tracking variables: total-turtles and total-disease. (Note: The program language
1336		refers to agents as "turtles," thus the variables "total-turtles" is the total number of agents.)
1337	7)	Healthy agents randomly select an agent they believe to be diseased kin (from variable: diseased-kin) and decide whether or
1338		not to provide care based on a modification of Hamilton's rule of inclusive fitness (Hamilton 1964). See submodel <i>help</i> for
1339		details.
1340	8)	The model updates following global tracking variables: total-helped, total-correct-helped, total-incorrect-helped.
1341	9)	The model generates a random number for each diseased agent. If that number is below the probability of the disease being
1342		fatal, that agent dies.

1343	10) Healthy agents who are near diseased agents become infected according to the probability of transmission [see submodel infect
1344	for details].
1345	11) The model outputs the following values for the current time step: total-turtles, total-diseased, and population average for
1346	intelligence. If the number of time steps is greater than four, the model also outputs, total-correct-helped.
1347	
1348	
1349	Design concepts
1350	Emergence: Over time, because higher intelligence individuals will direct their care-giving more accurately to kin who are actually
1351	diseased, higher intelligence matrilines reproduce faster than lower intelligence matrilines. Higher average population intelligence
1352	emerges.
1353	Adaptive behavior: Agents receive an intelligence value based on that of their parent. They do not adapt over their lifetimes.
1354	Objectives: Agents' objective is to maximize their own fitness by either providing care to or avoiding diseased kin. They decide what
1355	alternative to perform based on a modification of Hamilton's rule of inclusive fitness [see submodel <i>help</i>].
1356	Learning: Agents do not learn from their mistakes.
1357	Prediction: Agents explicitly calculate the potential costs and benefits when deciding whether to give care or avoid ill kin based on
1358	Hamilton's rule [see submodel <i>help</i>].

1359	Sensing: Agents know their own disease status, the disease characteristics (probability of fatality, probability of transmission, and
1360	probability of recovery after care), and their relatedness to all other agents (link variable: r). The accuracy with which they sense the
1361	disease status of their kin is based on their intelligence score (which they do not sense). Agents do not sense when they make
1362	mistakes.
1363	Interaction: Individuals interact directly by infecting and providing care to others. They also interact indirectly because when they
1364	provide care to a sick individual who recovers, they reduce the danger of infection for all other agents within the infection radius of
1365	that individual.
1366	Stochasticity: Disease parameters are represented as likelihoods in order to incorporate the uncertainty of disease transmission and
1367	mortality.
1368	<i>Collectives:</i> Matrilines are collectives of agents deriving from the same matriline (with a relatedness depth of r \geq 0.25). Agents less
1369	related than r=0.25 do not recognize each other as kin and will not provide care to each other.
1370	Observation: For model testing, the following variables are output: total-turtles (total agents), total-diseased, total-correct-helped and
1371	population average for <i>intelligence</i> . The hypotheses stated in the purpose are tested by comparing these variables under diseases with
1372	different characteristics (probability of fatality and probability of transmission).
1373	

1374 Initialization

1375	The program is initialized in set-up with a number of agents equal to num-matrilines. Agents are randomly placed on the grid. Each of						
1376	these agents is randomly assigned an intelligence value ranging from 0 to 1. The carrying capacity of the landscape is set at the value						
1377	of the variable <i>carrying-capacity</i> .						
1378							
1379	Input						
1380	The user does not need to input additional files.						
1381							
1382	Submodels						
1383	Initialize: The model initializes by having a set of global tracking variables set to 0/false [see submodel initialize for details]. In						
1384	addition each agent sets several of its' own tracking variables to zero. These variables are used later to calculate and store values that						
1385	will be output at the end of each time step.						
1386							
1387	Global tracking variables:						
1388	a. Total-turtles: total number of agents on the landscape (referred to as turtles in Netlogo's programming language)						
1389	b. Total-disease: total number of agents who are diseased						
1390	c. Total-helped: total number of agents that have received care in the current time step						
1391	d. Total-correct-helped: total number of agents who received care in the current time step who were in fact diseased						

1393	Agent tracking variables:
1394	a. Helped?: a true/false variable indicating whether the agent has received care in the current time step
1395	b. Correct-helped?: a true/false variable indicating whether the agent has received care when it was diseased in the
1396	current time step
1397	c. Diseased-kin : a set of agents that the current agent believes to be its diseased kin <i>in the current time step</i>
1398	
1399	Repopulate: The population grows at each time step of the model when healthy agents reproduce according to the formula: [(1 -
1400	(number of agents / carrying-capacity)) * number of healthy agents]. Reproduction occurs asexually. Offspring are placed within a
1401	radius of 3 of the parent. Each offspring's intelligence is drawn from a normal distribution with the parent's intelligence as the mean
1402	and a standard deviation of 0.15. Matrilineal relatedness is tracked by links between agents with the links containing the relatedness
1403	value. Parent-offspring relationships receive relatedness values of 0.5 and offspring inherit the links of the parent but with 1/2 the
1404	relatedness value. Patrilineal relatedness is not included in the model.
1405	
1406	Assess-neighbors2: All healthy agents evaluate the relatedness and disease status of other agents within a radius equivalent to 5 grid
1407	cells. Kin are accurately recognized and the accuracy of disease recognition is a function of the agent's intelligence. A random number
1408	between 0-1 is drawn. If the number is below the agent's intelligence value, the disease status is correctly recognized. Otherwise, the

agent's disease status is incorrectly recognized (healthy kin are classified as diseased or diseased kin are classified as healthy). These
individuals make up the group the agent *believes* are its diseased kin (variable: *diseased-kin*).

1411

Help: Healthy agents randomly select an agent they believe to be diseased kin (variable: diseased-kin) and decide whether or not to 1412 provide care based on a modification of Hamilton's rule of inclusive fitness which predicts altruism when the relatedness between the 1413 recipient and altruist * benefit to the recipient > the cost to the altruist (Hamilton 1964). We adapted this formula so that agents 1414 provide care when the relatedness between the care-giver and the recipient * probability of recovery after care > the probability of 1415 transmission to the care-giver * probability of an infection being fatal. If the inequality is fulfilled (thus care is given) and the recipient 1416 was in fact diseased (not just *perceived* to be diseased), a random number between 0 and 1 is generated and if it is below the 1417 probability of recovery, the diseased individual recovers. If the random number was above the probability of recovery, the recipient 1418 remains diseased. A new random number is drawn for the care-giver and if it is below the probability of transmission to the care-giver, 1419 1420 then the care-giver is infected. If the recipient was erroneously categorized as diseased, but is actually healthy, there is no change in the disease statuses of the recipient or the care-giver. If healthy agents have no perceived diseased kin or the randomly selected 1421 recipient does not fulfill the inequality for receiving care, the agent can attempt to avoid the diseased agent by moving to a grid cell 1422 1423 with no other agents on it within a radius of 8. If no empty cells are available, the agent does nothing. 1424

1425	Infect: All healthy agents have a probability of becoming infected from any infected agent within a radius of 5 grid cells, based on the
1426	probability of transmission. A random number between 0 and 1 is drawn for each of the healthy agents in danger of infection. If the
1427	number is below the probability of transmission, the agent is infected. If an agent is in danger of infection from more than one
1428	diseased agent, the process is repeated for each infectious agent in the 5 grid cell radius.
1429	
1430	Model implementation
1431	Note that the model contains the submodel Avoid, but that Avoid is commented out. In the Avoidance Model (Model 2) the submodel
1432	Help is replaced by Avoid.
1433	
1434	The model is implemented in Netlogo 5.0 and can be run using the buttons on the interface or through the BehaviorSpace tool.
1435	
1436	If run through the interface buttons, the model continues beyond 100 time steps.
1437	
1438	If run in BehaviorSpace, enter 1 for the number of runs to be conducted in parallel (BehaviorSpace/Run Options window). This will
1439	prevent data from data from multiple runs being intermixed in the output files.
1440	
1441	References

1442	Hamilton WD (1964) The	e genetical evolution	of social behavior.	I and II. J Theor Biol 7:1-52
------	------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	-------------------------------

1446 Appendix B. ODD Protocol for the Model 2: Control model – Avoidance only

1447

1448	Purpose

- 1449 The purpose of this model is to test 1) whether an avoidance response to diseased conspecifics can select for increasing intelligence
- and 2) whether the strength of selection varies according to the disease's characteristics. We compare the selection produced by
- 1451 diseases with fatality rates similar to Ebola, Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever, measles, and scabies. The data produced by this
- 1452 model will be used for comparison with the data produced by Model 1.
- 1453
- 1454 Entities, state variables and scales
- 1455Same as Model 1
- 1456
- 1457 **Process overview and scheduling**
- 1458 Same as Model 1, except for number 7.
- 1459 7) Healthy agents run the submodel *avoid*. If they have agents they believe to be diseased kin and there are empty patches within
- 1460 a radius of 8, the agent randomly selects and moves to one of those patches. See submodel *avoid* for details.

1461

1462 **Design concepts**

1463	Same as	Model 1	unless	discussed below:	
1405	sume us	mouer	uniess	aiscussed below.	

- 1464 *Emergence:* Agents do not provide care, so unlike model 1, higher intelligence is not expected to emerge.
- 1465 *Objectives:* Agents' objectives are to maximize their own fitness by avoiding diseased kin. [see submodel *avoid*].
- 1466 *Prediction:* Agents do not calculate the potential costs and benefits when deciding whether to avoid ill kin. All kin perceived as ill are
- 1467 avoided. [see submodel *avoid*].
- 1468 Interaction: Individuals interact directly by infecting others. They also interact indirectly because when avoiding ill kin, they occupy
- 1469 an open patch which reduces the number of open patches available for other agents to move to.
- 1470 *Observation:* For model testing, the following variables are output: *total-turtles* (total agents), *total-diseased, total-correct-helped* and
- 1471 population average for *intelligence*. The hypotheses stated in the purpose are tested by comparing these variables across models
- 1472 (model 1 vs. model 2) within each disease.
- 1473
- 1474 Initialization
- 1475 Same as Model 1
- 1476
- 1477 **Input**
- 1478 Same as Model 1
- 1479

1480	Submodels
1481	Same as Model 1 unless described below
1482	
1483	Help: The submodel help does not run in Model 2. It is replaced by the submodel avoid.
1484	Avoid: Healthy agents assess whether they have diseased kin (kin they believe to be diseased). Agents who have none exit the
1485	submodel. Agent who have diseased kin assess whether there are any patches without agents within a radius of 8. If there are, the
1486	agent randomly selects one of those patches and moves to it.
1487	
1488	Model implementation
1489	Same as Model 1
1490	
1491	
1492	References
1493	Hamilton WD (1964) The genetical evolution of social behavior. I and II. J Theor Biol 7:1-52
1494	
1495	