- 1 Structural variability of the Tonga-Kermadec forearc characterised using robustly
- 2 constrained geophysical data

Authors: Funnell M.J.¹, Peirce, C.¹ and Robinson, A.H.¹ 3 4 5 ¹ Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK. 6 7 8 9 Accepted Received in original form 10 Abbreviated title: Tonga-Kermadec forearc structural variability 11 12 Corresponding author: 13 Matthew Funnell 14 Department of Earth Sciences 15 Durham University 16 DH1 3LE 17 Durham 18 United Kingdom 19 Email: matthew.funnell2@durham.ac.uk

20 Structural variability of the Tonga-Kermadec forearc characterised using

21 robustly constrained geophysical data

22 Funnell M.J.¹, Peirce, C.¹ and Robinson, A.H.¹

23 SUMMARY

Subducting bathymetric anomalies enhance erosion of the overriding forearc crust. The deformation associated with this process is superimposed on pre-existing variable crustal and sedimentary structures developed as a subduction system evolves. Recent attempts to determine the effect and timescale of Louisville Ridge seamount subduction on the Tonga-Kermadec forearc have been limited by simplistic models of inherited overriding crustal structure that neglect along-strike variability.

29 Synthesis of new robustly tested seismic velocity and density models with existing datasets from the 30 region, highlight along-strike variations in the structure of the Tonga-Kermadec subducting and overriding plates. 31 As the subducting plate undergoes bend-faulting and hydration throughout the trench-outer rise region, observed oceanic upper- and mid-crustal velocities are reduced by ~ 1.0 km s⁻¹ and upper mantle velocities by ~ 0.5 km s⁻¹. In 32 33 the vicinity of the Louisville Ridge Seamount Chain (LRSC), the trench shallows by 4 km and normal fault throw 34 is reduced by >1 km, suggesting that the subduction of seamounts reduces plate deformation. We find that the extinct Eocene frontal arc, defined by a high velocity (7.0-7.4 km s⁻¹) and density (3.2 g cm⁻³) lower-crustal 35 36 anomaly, increases in thickness by ~ 6 km, from 12 to > 18 km, over 300 km laterally along the Tonga-Kermadec 37 forearc. Coincident variations in bathymetry and free-air gravity anomaly indicate a regional trend of northward-38 increasing crustal thickness that predates LRSC subduction, and highlight the present-day extent of the Eocene arc 39 between 32°S and ~18°S. Within this framework of existing forearc crustal structure, the subduction of seamounts 40 of the LRSC promotes erosion of the overriding crust, forming steep, gravitationally unstable, lower-trench slopes. 41 Trench-slope stability is most likely re-established by the collapse of the mid-trench slope and the trenchward side 42 of the extinct Eocene arc, which, within the framework of forearc characterisation, implies seamount subduction 43 commenced at $\sim 22^{\circ}$ S.

- 44
- 45

Key words: Controlled source seismology; Crustal imaging; Crustal structure; Subduction zone processes.

46 1 INTRODUCTION

Island arc-backarc systems record the characteristics of subduction over time as changes in sedimentary and crustal structures on the overriding plate (Dickinson & Seely 1979; von Huene & Scholl 1991; Reagan *et al.* 2013). Variations in the strength and roughness of the subducting plate are manifest as changes in the rate of frontal and basal subduction erosion (Clift & Vannucchi 2004; von Huene *et al.* 2004), and in the dominant stress regime acting on the overriding plate (Bonnardot *et al.* 2007). Although the trench-proximal regions of erosive margins are typically controlled by the removal of material, new crustal and sedimentary material is also generated and distributed along active volcanic arcs (Clift *et al.* 1994; Clift *et al.* 1998; Watts *et al.* 2012). Forearcs continually evolve in response to these erosive and constructive processes, resulting in significant along-strike variations in sedimentary and crustal structures over the lifespan of the subduction system (Herzer & Exon 1985; Bonnardot *et al.* 2007; Contreras-Reyes *et al.* 2011).

West-dipping subduction initiated along the Tonga-Kermadec trench in the Eocene (Meffre *et al.* 2012; Michibayashi *et al.* 2012). Over the last ~50 Ma, the margin has evolved from a single arc into the complex series of arcs and backarc basins observed in the SW Pacific Ocean today (Fig. 1; Hawkins *et al.* 1984; Bloomer *et al.* 1995). The margin exhibits the most linear trench-arc structure and the highest convergence rates in the global subduction system (von Huene & Scholl 1991; DeMets *et al.* 2010). Subduction of the Louisville Ridge Seamount Chain (LRSC) at ~26°S separates the Tonga trench and forearc in the north from the Kermadec trench and forearc in the south (Lonsdale 1986; Ballance *et al.* 1989).

64 The subduction of large bathymetric anomalies, such as seamounts and aseismic ridges, results in 65 accelerated erosion and complex deformation patterns (Lallemand et al. 1992; von Huene et al. 1997; Dominguez 66 et al. 1998; Zeumann & Hampel 2015). Recent research into the nature and effects of LRSC subduction along the 67 Tonga-Kermadec margin has generated a range of theories regarding the subducted ridge strike and location of 68 collision onset. A westward bend in the strike of the chain, to varying degrees, has been proposed at the current 69 trench-ridge intersection by Timm et al. (2013) and Bassett & Watts (2015), whilst others suggest or assume 70 linearity of the bathymetric trend of the ridge into the subduction zone (e.g. Ruellan et al. 2003; Bonnardot et al. 71 2007; Stratford et al. 2015). Further uncertainty surrounds the onset location of LRSC subduction, which is 72 proposed to be either at the northern end of the subduction zone (e.g. Ruellan et al. 2003; Bonnardot et al. 2007), 73 or to the north of Horizon Deep Bight, ~22.5°S, where the Tonga trench exhibits an ~80 km trench offset (von 74 Huene & Scholl 1991; Lallemand et al. 1992; Wright et al. 2000; Contreras-Reves et al. 2011; Stratford et al. 75 2015). These contrasting theories imply that there are significant gaps in our understanding of how LRSC-76 subduction alters and deforms existing forearc structures.

77 In order to provide better constraint on the variability of existing forearc structures, and the effect LRSC 78 subduction has on them, a comprehensive margin-wide forearc structural model is required. We present new wide-79 angle (WA) and multichannel seismic (MCS) data that image sedimentary, crustal, and mantle structure across the 80 LRSC and Kermadec forearc, perpendicular to seamount chain strike. These data are synthesised with results from 81 previously published geological and geophysical studies (e.g. Crawford *et al.* 2003; Contreras-Reves *et al.* 2011; 82 Meffre et al. 2012; Funnell et al. 2014; Stratford et al. 2015) as well as satellite-derived gravity anomaly data 83 (Sandwell et al. 2014) to: i) constrain variations in the structure and deformation of the Pacific plate crust around 84 the Tonga-Kermadec trench-LRSC intersection; ii) characterise along-strike variations in the inherited (pre-LRSC 85 subduction) Tonga-Kermadec forearc crustal structure; and using these classifications, iii) determine the temporal 86 and spatial extent of forearc deformation caused by LRSC subduction.

87 2 GEOLOGICAL SETTING

88 The Pacific plate subducts to the west along the ~ 2700 km-long, 020° trending, Tonga-Kermadec trench axis (Fig. 1). Evidence of volcanism throughout the Eocene (Bloomer et al. 1995), and as early as ~51 Ma (Meffre 89 90 et al. 2012; Michibayashi et al. 2012), suggests that subduction initiation at this margin was concurrent with that 91 along the Izu-Bonin-Mariana margin (Reagan et al. 2013). At the time of inception, volcanism was focussed along 92 the now extinct Tonga arc, which forms the present-day forearc ridge (Fig. 1). Between 45 and 34-32 Ma, the 93 forearc and arc subsided rapidly from a sub-aerial setting to ~ 3 km below the sea surface, causing the trenchward 94 tilting of sedimentary intervals (Clift & MacLeod 1999). Subsequent to the initial period of volcanism, the Lau-95 Colville Ridge became the active arc and the South Fiji Basin opened until ~17 Ma (Herzer et al. 2011). During 96 this period, seamount subduction is attributed with the arcward tilting of sediments and generating an erosional 97 unconformity, prior to 16.2 Ma, on the Tonga forearc (MacLeod 1994; Clift & MacLeod 1999). At ~5.5 Ma, a 98 shift in tectonic forces caused the opening of the Lau Basin and the Havre Trough (Ruellan et al. 2003), resulting 99 in an apparent ~2 Myr volcanic hiatus and the migration of volcanism to the currently active Tofua and Kermadec 100 arcs (Clift et al. 1994; Bloomer et al. 1995), which are roughly parallel to the trench. Collision of the LRSC with 101 the Tonga-Kermadec subduction system is suggested to have initiated 5-4 Ma or >7 Ma (Ruellan *et al.* 2003 and 102 Timm et al. 2013, respectively), and is observed at ODP site 841 as an increase in the trenchward rotation of the 103 forearc at ~1.5-0 Ma (Fig. 1 – MacLeod 1994; Clift & MacLeod 1999).

104 Stresses associated with Pacific plate subduction along the Tonga-Kermadec margin generate large 105 extensional faults throughout the downgoing oceanic crust and upper mantle (Pelletier & Dupont 1990; Contreras-106 Reves et al. 2011). Similar normal extensional faults observed along other trenches, e.g. Chile and Costa Rica, are 107 proposed to enable hydration of the upper mantle (Ranero et al. 2003; Ivandic et al. 2010; Moscoso & 108 Grevemeyer 2015), facilitating a reduction in the flexural rigidity of the subducting plate (Billen & Gurnis 2005; 109 Arredondo & Billen 2012). The oceanic crust subducting along the Tonga-Kermadec trench formed along the 110 Osbourn spreading centre (Fig. 1) roughly perpendicular to the present-day convergence direction (DeMets et al. 111 2010), prior to ~90 Ma (Billen & Stock 2000; Downey et al. 2007). Shortly after crustal formation, hotspot 112 magmatism generated the bathymetrically prominent LRSC (Lonsdale 1988; Vanderkluysen et al. 2014). The 113 relatively young host-plate age is associated with the highly intrusive nature of the seamount cores and limited 114 crustal thickening observed at the northwestern end of the seamount chain (Contreras-Reyes et al. 2010; Richards 115 et al. 2013). Volcaniclastic sedimentation supplements the <200 m of sediment cover that is typical for the 116 southwestern region of the Pacific plate (Burns & Andrews 1973).

117 The structure of the overriding plate varies significantly with distance from the trench, and along the 118 length of the Tonga-Kermadec margin (Fig. 1). Limited sediment cover (<100 m) and a steeply dipping (10–24°), 119 highly irregular, basement characterises the lower-trench slope (Karig 1970; Ballance *et al.* 1999). Mid-slope 120 basins are observed along the entire length of the subduction system, although they are less prominent directly 121 north of the present-day LRSC-trench intersection point (e.g. Karig 1970; Ballance *et al.* 1999; Funnell *et al.* 122 2014; Stratford *et al.* 2015). Low seismic velocities of 3.5-4.0 km s⁻¹ up to 5 km below seafloor, and pervasive 123 normal faulting observed in cores at ODP site 841 (Clift et al. 1994; Contreras-Reyes et al. 2011; Stratford et al. 124 2014) suggest that the lower- and mid-trench slopes are subject to significant extensional regimes controlled by 125 subduction erosion (von Huene et al. 2004; Sallares & Ranero 2005). Between the mid-trench slope and the active 126 volcanic ridge the extinct Eocene arc, known as the Tonga Ridge, is bathymetrically expressed from Vava'u, the 127 northernmost island in the Tongan island group, to ~28°S (Ballance et al. 1999; Contreras-Reyes et al. 2011). 128 South of ~28°S, the forearc is defined by ~2 km deeper, sediment filled basins (Karig 1970; Funnell *et al.* 2014). 129 The active Tofua and Kermadec arcs lie 170-200 km west of their respective trenches (Ballance et al. 1989), and 130 distribute volcaniclastic material into the surrounding forearc and backarc basins (Karig 1970). Active backarc 131 extension causes the separation of the Tonga and Kermadec arcs from the Lau-Colville backarc, with higher 132 spreading rates and more complex plate structure in the northern Lau Basin (Zellmer & Taylor 2001; Ruellan et al. 133 2003; Conder & Wiens 2011).

There are a number of major along-strike boundaries that delimit major structural and deformational variations. South of 32°S an anomalous increase in trench depth is associated with a westward step in the forearc and deepening of the mid-slope terrace (Karig 1970; Pelletier & Dupont 1990). Ballance *et al.* (1999) noted that these features also coincided with a marked change in backarc basin structure, with steeper basin-bounding faults to the south and the seafloor reaching ~500 m deeper. This feature has been attributed to different mechanisms: the initial point of Hikurangi Plateau subduction (Collot & Davy 1998), increased subduction erosion (Pelletier & Dupont 1990; Ballance *et al.* 1999), and the southern extent of the extinct Tonga Ridge (Bassett *et al.* 2016).

141 Further north, subduction of the LRSC enhances subduction erosion (Ballance et al. 1989), causing the 142 Tonga trench to shift westward by ~80 km (Clift & MacLeod 1999). This process is thought to have formed 143 Horizon Deep Bight, the deepest part of the Tonga trench, and the steepest lower-trench slope, $>10^{\circ}$, immediately 144 north of the present-day seamount subduction region (Fig. 1; Lonsdale 1986; Contreras-Reyes et al. 2011). The active region of LRSC subduction-associated forearc deformation lies roughly arcward of Osbourn seamount, the 145 146 oldest bathymetrically expressed seamount in the chain. The deformation is indicated by a shallowing of the 147 trench axis by ~4 km (Ballance et al. 1989), forearc uplift of 300 m (Stratford et al. 2015), and the presence of a 148 gap in seismicity (Bonnardot et al. 2007).

At ~18°S a much smaller aseismic discontinuity coincides with the northern extent of the bathymetric Tonga Ridge (Crawford *et al.* 2003; Bonnardot *et al.* 2007). Dredged rock ages decrease northwards across the boundary (Meffre *et al.* 2012), and the backarc basin becomes increasingly complex with extension migrating from the Eastern Lau Spreading Centre to the Central Lau Spreading Centre and Fonualei Rift (Zellmer & Taylor 2001; Ruellan *et al.* 2003; Conder & Wiens 2011).

154 3

3 DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING

Profile B (Fig. 2), a coincident MCS and WA seismic line, was acquired during R/V Sonne cruise SO215 (Peirce & Watts 2011). East to west, the profile crosses Pacific crust and Canopus seamount, at ~65° from the palaeo-spreading direction (Billen & Stock 2000), as well as the Kermadec forearc and arc south of the presentday LRSC-trench intersection. Swath bathymetry and gravity data were acquired contemporaneously. Data from
Profiles A and D (Fig. 1), which were also acquired during cruise SO215, are further discussed in this paper
(Funnell *et al.* 2014; Stratford *et al.* 2015).

161 3.1 Swath bathymetry data

A hull mounted SIMRAD EM120 multibeam echosounder acquired depth soundings throughout the transit and shooting phases of cruise SO215. This swath bathymetry dataset was cleaned to remove bad soundings using MB-System (Caress & Chayes 1996), merged with swath data from previous cruises, and gridded at ~50 m node spacings to provide good constraint on the depth of the seabed (Fig. 2). Expendable bathymetricthermographs, deployed at ~100 km intervals, recorded the seismic velocity of the water column to a depth of 1.8 km.

168 *3.2* Seismic data source

169 Contemporaneous acquisition of the WA and MCS data required a 60 s shot interval to prevent water 170 wave wrap-around on the ocean-bottom seismographs (OBSs), whilst still achieving a reasonable MCS fold. The 171 seismic source consisted of a 12 G-gun array, with a combined volume of 5440 in³ (84 l), towed 7.5 m below the 172 sea surface and fired at 3000 psi (210 bar).

173 3.3 MCS data acquisition and processing

MCS reflection data were recorded using a 3 km-long, 240-channel streamer with 12.5 m group interval, that was towed at 10 m depth. At the average ship speed of ~4.5 kn, a shot spacing of ~150 m yielded a maximum theoretical fold of 10. Given the low fold, 25 m super-bins were used for data processing, which increases the average fold of cover from 10 to ~40 and significantly improves the reflectivity of the intra-sediment and basement reflectors. Data were recorded at a 2 ms sampling interval in 59 s trace lengths.

A bulk time-shift of 548 ms corrected for the shot-receiver datum as well as aim point and shot triggering
delays. A Butterworth filter (bandpass: 3-10-100-120 Hz) reduced noise generated by the significant swell
encountered during SO215, increasing the SNR in the usable frequency bandwidth.

Initial processing of the MCS data involved detailed velocity analysis, undertaken at 50 CMP intervals using semblance values as well as constant velocity gathers and stacks to generate a stacking velocity model with 1.25 km lateral resolution. Following the application of normal moveout correction and stacking, a finite difference time-migration operator removed the strong scattered signal, particularly at the seabed in heavily faulted regions. A seabed mute removed signal in the water column for display purposes.

187 *3.4* WA seismic dataset

WA seismic data were recorded by 26 OBSs deployed ~15 km apart along Profile B, with a 30 km gap at the Kermadec trench due to the maximum instrument depth rating of 8 km (Peirce & Watts 2011; Fig. 2a). A hydrophone, vertical geophone, and two horizontal geophone components output analogue signals that were 191 digitised and recorded at 4 or 5 ms sampling intervals on the LC-type and KUM deep-water type instruments 192 respectively.

193 Instrument-seabed coupling and variations in geological structures between source-receiver pairs control 194 the SNR and the characteristics of seismic phases recorded by each instrument. Along Profile B the WA data are 195 divided into three groups according to significant changes in these characteristics: OBSs 01-10 on the Kermadec 196 forearc, 11-15 in the trench, and 16-27 on the Pacific plate.

197 3.4.1 Kermadec forearc OBSs 01-10

Sediment turning P-waves, P_s (2.2-3.5 km s⁻¹), are only observed on the westernmost instruments (01-04) on the Kermadec forearc, suggesting significant sediment accumulations are only located proximal to the Kermadec arc (Fig. 3). Further east, crustal arrivals, P_g (4.0-7.0 km s⁻¹), are observed as the shortest offset refractions (from ~4.5 km), suggesting poor sediment cover on the trench slopes. The SNR of crustal refractions on forearc instruments is highly variable, although, if they are observed, the arrivals are typically found at much greater offsets than those of the Pacific plate (up to 70 km offset). Mantle refractions, P_n (7.5-8.0 km s⁻¹), are less clear and are typically observed between 50-100 km offset.

205 3.4.2 Kermadec trench OBSs 11-15

206 Instruments located in the Kermadec trench display the most complex pattern of arrivals and the lowest 207 SNR (e.g. Fig. 4). Crustal refracted arrivals indicate abnormally low velocities, between 3.5 and ~6.5 km s⁻¹, on 208 the lower slope of the forearc. On the outer trench slope crustal velocities remain closer to those observed by the 209 other Pacific plate OBSs (4.0-7.0 km s⁻¹). Incoming shots to trench-located instruments are observed to typical 210 offsets for a given phase only if they pass through the plate on which the OBS is located. Signal that passes 211 through the subduction interface is significantly attenuated, resulting in the rapid degradation of arrival clarity 212 beyond the trench apex. As such, mantle refractions are rarely observed at this point along the profile and, 213 although some rays appear to be transmitted between the overriding and subducting crustal units, these have a very 214 high noise component.

215 *3.4.3 Pacific plate OBSs 16-27*

OBSs located on the Pacific plate recorded low-velocity (4.0-5.0 km s⁻¹) crustal turning rays as the shortest offset refractions (Fig. 5). No sediment arrivals were observed on the Pacific plate instruments due to the relatively thin sediment cover. Instruments located close to, or on, Canopus seamount display crust and mantle arrivals that are perturbed in time by the highly undulatory seafloor. Mantle turning arrivals are observed between 30 and <190 km offset from the instruments.

221 3.4.4 Pick method and uncertainty assignment

First and secondary arrivals were picked on either the hydrophone or vertical geophone record for each instrument, depending on which component displayed the higher SNR. Pick phases were assigned based on the offset and apparent velocity of the arrivals. Pick uncertainties were calculated independently for each OBS based on instrumentation and location errors, as well as the qualitatively assessed SNR for a given pick. Assigned uncertainty values typically increase with shot-receiver offset, and thus turning depth. Instruments located in and around the trench had particularly high location errors due to the extreme water depth and strong water column current, and a low SNR due to the increased sub-seabed complexity (Table 1).

230 3.5 Gravity data acquisition

Gravity data were acquired port-to-port using a Lacoste & Romberg Air-Sea gravimeter. These data were processed, incorporating Eötvös and drift corrections, and tied to absolute stations to calculate the absolute free-air anomaly (FAA). Crossover analysis gives an average root mean square misfit, and thus dataset uncertainty, of ~10 mGal which, although relatively high, is most likely generated by the high gravity gradients in the region (Peirce & Watts 2011).

236 4 2D MODELLING

237 4.1 Forward velocity modelling

Forward ray tracing was chosen as the primary velocity modelling technique for the OBS dataset (Table 1) because of the extreme lateral heterogeneity expected and typical of subduction zone velocity structure. Profile B OBS locations, shotpoints, and bathymetry data were projected from geographic coordinates into distance along profile (Fig. 6), for modelling using *rayinvr* (Zelt & Ellis 1988; Zelt & Smith 1992).

242 *4.1.1 Model initialisation and modelling method*

The velocity model was initialised with the water column thickness and velocity along the profile, determined from high-resolution swath bathymetry and expendable bathymetric-thermograph data respectively. OBS instrument locations were refined in the model space by relocating instruments laterally and vertically to reduce the misfit between the modelled and observed water wave arrivals. Initial sediment layer velocity and thickness were derived from the first-pass processed stacking velocities and the interpreted basement reflector from the MCS data (Fig. 2b), and draped beneath the model seabed. Below this, a simple velocity gradient was applied down to the bottom of the model.

250 Improvements to the fit between the calculated and observed arrival times were achieved by iteratively 251 changing either the depths of model layer boundaries or the velocities at the top and bottom of that layer, 252 following the method of Zelt & Smith (1992). This approach was first applied to the shallow layers (i.e. the 253 sediment column), improving the fit on a subset of instruments in a rolling window along the length of the model 254 before moving onto deeper layers. Additional boundary nodes and layers were only added to the model when an 255 acceptable fit could not be achieved with the existing model elements. Nodes are typically spaced at 2.5 km 256 intervals for the seabed and sediment column, 5 km for the intracrustal layers, and >10 km at the Moho. In regions 257 where the ray coverage was poor, such as through the sediment column or at the model edges, the model was kept 258 as close to the background structure as possible.

259 *4.1.2 Misfit minimisation and the final velocity model*

Following the achievement of a reasonable qualitative agreement between the modelled and observed datasets, the traveltime root mean square residual, T_{RMS} , and uncertainty-weighted factor, χ^2 , enabled further model improvements to be made within the uncertainty limits of observed data. The T_{RMS} and χ^2 misfits for specific phases in the major regions of the model are presented with the pick uncertainties in Table 1. Areas of the model with higher misfit, such as the trench-proximal region (T_{RMS} =113 ms) and the lower crust of the forearc (T_{RMS} =83 ms) coincide with groups of instruments that exhibit poor ray coverage or image more geologically complex structures.

267 The final velocity model, shown in Fig. 6b, has a total T_{RMS} misfit of ~85 ms, and a $\chi^2 < 2$. The model has 268 nine layers that represent distinct geological units: three crustal layers and a mantle block, for each of the 269 overriding and subducting plates, and a laterally continuous sedimentary layer.

270 4.2 Forward model sensitivity testing

Forward model fit sensitivity was tested by adjusting individual layer thickness, upper and lower boundary velocity (variable velocity gradient), and bulk layer velocity (constant velocity gradient) until a predefined misfit threshold is exceeded. A single threshold value for the entire model was found to allow regions of relative geological simplicity and better fit, such as the Pacific plate, more variability before exceeding the limit and thus appearing less sensitive than areas of the model that fit less well. This result can be misleading, so an improved understanding of model sensitivity was obtained by also testing the three main regions of the model separately, with more realistic thresholds chosen to match the set of instruments represented.

278 The results of the sensitivity tests, shown in Table 2, indicate that intra-crustal regions of both the 279 subducting and overriding plates are sensitive to relatively small changes in layer depth (~0.3 km), upper or lower 280 boundary velocity ($\sim 0.3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$), and bulk layer velocity (0.2 km s^{-1}). Although the forearc sediments are similarly sensitive, the lack of turning arrivals through the Pacific plate sediments gives minimal control on the shallow 281 velocity structure (up to a 0.5 km s⁻¹ shift in upper or lower seismic velocity). Conversely, the Pacific plate region 282 283 of the model is almost twice as sensitive to changes in Moho depth and upper mantle boundary velocity (0.5 km and 0.3 km s⁻¹ respectively) when compared to the forearc (0.7 km and 0.8 km s⁻¹ respectively). Model sensitivity 284 285 in the measurable characteristics of the trench region is found to be roughly half that of the analogous features in 286 the overriding and subducting plates. For the remainder of this paper, significant layer thicknesses and velocities 287 determined from the forward velocity model will be quoted with the relevant layer sensitivity to indicate 288 confidence in the results.

289 4.3 Inversion modelling

We used the inversion method of Zelt & Barton (1998) as an independent modelling procedure to test the reproducibility of long-wavelength velocity structure, the degree of modeller-bias, and resolution of the final bestfit WA model (as in Zelt *et al.* 2003). Model perturbations were limited by a set of velocity constraints to prevent geologically unrealistic alterations, and smoothed to minimise the acquisition geometry influencing the outcome.

The starting model had a 1.5 km-thick region of high velocity gradient, from 1.5-6.0 km s⁻¹, draped 294 295 beneath the seabed representing the sediment column and shallow crust (Fig. 7a). Below this velocities increase, reaching 8.0 km s⁻¹ at 15.0 km depth to represent a constant-depth Moho, although no first-order velocity 296 297 discontinuity is imposed. This starting model, which was chosen because of the variability in crustal thickness 298 along the profile, enabled the greatest modelling freedom within geological reality. We found that the optimal 299 modelling process used two runs of five non-linear inversion iterations, with the inversion cell size reduced 300 between the runs. During run 1, 10 km by 1 km inversion cells improved the larger-scale velocity structure of the model (Fig. 7b). This reduced the misfit to ~100 ms (χ^2 =6.8), with the greatest misfit remaining on the overriding 301 302 plate and in the trench. For run 2, 5 km by 0.5 km inversion cells refined small-scale and shallow structures, most 303 notably in the overriding crust (Fig. 7c), resulting in a further reduction of the misfit to 70 ms (χ^2 =3.1). The final 304 inversion velocity model (Fig. 7c) displays a velocity structure that is broadly similar to the forward model (Fig. 305 7d) and, often noted in inversions, acquisition geometry-related smearing is absent. The Pacific crust and upper 306 mantle are represented by typical oceanic-velocity structure, except for a poorly defined Moho (Fig. 7c). As with 307 the forward model, Canopus seamount exhibits low velocities (<6.0 km s⁻¹) within the volcanic cone. In the 308 overriding plate, the inversion model displays thick regions of low velocity in the shallow crust (<8.0 km-below 309 seabed) and throughout the lower-trench slope crust (170-220 km-offset). Regions with poor ray coverage, such as 310 the lower forearc slope (Fig. 7e), remained poorly fitting following run 2 but are broadly comparable to the 311 forward model and remain within the indicated sensitivity values. The relatively high misfit of these regions is 312 most likely due to model smoothing factors and the inversion cell sizes significantly exceeding the true scale of 313 subsurface velocity variability (e.g. Zelt et al. 2003). Although the forearc Moho is very vertically diffuse, it 314 appears to be ~2 km shallower between 70 and 150 km model offset for the inverse model relative to the forward 315 model. The final forward velocity model remains our 'preferred' velocity model, because it is better constrained 316 by additional data (MCS information and WA-reflected phases), is better resolved, and is more geologically 317 representative. The inversion velocity model indicates the minimum structure required by the first arrival dataset 318 and, thus, highlights the most robust features that are shared by both models (e.g. Zelt et al. 2003).

319 4.4 Inversion model resolution testing

320 Checkerboard testing of the final inversion velocity model, using a 5% velocity perturbation, determined 321 the smallest structures resolvable by the acquisition geometry and model parameterisation (Zelt 1998). Fig. 7f 322 indicates that velocity anomalies larger than 30 km by 3 km should be well resolved throughout regions of the 323 model with >200 rays per forward node (Fig. 7e). In regions with particularly high ray coverage, such as in the 324 mid-crustal region of the Pacific plate, structures as small as 20 km by 2 km may be resolvable (Fig. 7g). Ray 325 coverage and the recovery of the input checkerboard are consistently poorest between 150 and 220 km offset, in 326 the trench region. These results indicate that the internal velocity structure of LRSC seamounts may be 327 recoverable with acquisition geometries similar to that along Profile B. Despite this, the checkerboard tests 328 suggest that the velocity perturbations within the lower-trench slope region of the overriding crust are so poorly 329 resolvable, due to the high pick errors and low ray density, that a velocity anomaly would have to be larger than that expected to be generated by an entire intact seamount to be determinable. To achieve the accurate and robust imaging of such a feature, greater ray densities would be required, either through the addition of more instruments, or the addition of more shots in the region.

333 4.5 MCS reprocessing and fit to WA data

Although of a lower lateral and vertical resolution than the MCS stacking velocity model through the sediment column (1.25 km), the final WA forward velocity model (~2.5 km) should better represent true subsurface velocities. Conversion of the robustly tested and well resolved velocity model from depth to the time domain enabled the restacking and migration of the MCS dataset to produce a more geologically representative seismic section (e.g. Fig. 2d and f).

339 Restacking focused a band of deeper reflectivity beneath the flat-lying segments of the Pacific crust, at 9-340 10 s TWTT, that was previously unseen in the initial stack based on velocity analysis alone (compare Fig. 2e and 341 f). This reflector fits to within 0.5 km of the forward velocity model crust-mantle boundary, suggesting it 342 represents the Moho. The Moho reflector is not observed beneath the forearc due to the high amplitude water 343 column multiple energy. The top-crust reflector fits within 0.1 km of the respective forward model boundary 344 throughout the section except in the vicinity of the thicker upper forearc basin, which is most likely due to the 345 scale of basement variations being below the lateral sensitivity of the forward modelling method. Despite the 346 reduced lateral resolution and slight mismatch in the depth of the top-crust, there is no evident loss of the intra-347 sediment reflector information in the restacked MCS reflection data (Fig. 2d). This therefore provides further 348 confidence that the WA velocity model is a good representation of the velocity structure of the crust along the 349 profile.

350 4.6 Gravity anomaly modelling

The observed gravity anomaly provides an independent test of velocity model uniqueness and gives additional constraint on poorly resolved regions. The final forward velocity model was, therefore, converted into a density model consisting of 2D polygons. The expected free-air gravity anomaly was calculated from these using *grav2d*, based on the algorithm of Talwani *et al.* (1959).

Model edge effects were reduced by extending the density structure for 1000 km beyond the model edges, draped beneath the bathymetry extracted from the GEBCO 30 arc-second dataset (IOC *et al.* 2003). The inclusion of the subducting slab and mantle structure to 100 km depth, based on the extension of the velocity model using expected slab dips from slab1.0 (Hayes *et al.* 2012), removed the initial long-wavelength gravity anomaly mismatch.

A layered crustal block-model, where the average velocity through each layer of the forward velocity model determined the density of its respective block, generated the best-fitting gravity anomaly (Fig. 8). Standard velocity-density relationships were applied for the sediment column (Nafe & Drake 1957) and the subducting Pacific oceanic crust (Carlson & Raskin 1984), with a good fit found for the subducting plate. Continental (Christensen & Mooney 1995) and oceanic crustal velocity-density relationships were tested for the overriding crust and, although both failed to generate the high-amplitude (~200 mGal) forearc anomaly, the oceanic crustal relationship was found to produce the closest fit (~30 mGal). This suggests that the forearc crust may be of a predominantly mafic, rather than felsic, composition. The addition of a high-density anomaly (3.2 g cm⁻³) at the base of the crust, coincident with the region of high velocity between 50 and 150 km model offset, significantly decreased the misfit of the entire model to 12.9 mGal.

370 5 COMBINED MODEL DESCRIPTION AND INTERPRETATION

371 5.1 Background Pacific plate

372 Along Profile B the Pacific plate displays highly variable basement reflector depths (Fig. 2b). Sediment 373 thicknesses typical for the region of 100-200 m are only observed at \sim 410 km model offset and instead reach >1 s TWTT (~1.5 km at 3.0 km s⁻¹) in the downthrown segment of a normal fault at ~415 km offset (Burns & Andrews 374 1973; Funnell et al. 2014). Despite this, typical oceanic crustal velocities are observed at the northeastern end of 375 376 Profile B (Fig. 9b). The oceanic crust is $\sim 6.0 \pm 0.3$ km thick, consisting of an $\sim 1.5 \pm 0.3$ km thick upper- and middle-crust (3.5-6.5 \pm 0.2 km s⁻¹), and a 4.5 \pm 0.3 km thick lower crust that reaches 7.1 \pm 0.3 km s⁻¹ at the Moho. 377 378 Upper mantle velocities are consistently low, $\sim 7.6 \pm 0.3$ km s⁻¹, compared to typical Pacific plate velocities of ~ 8.2 379 \pm 0.3 km s⁻¹ (Fig. 9b; Spudich & Orcutt 1980; White *et al.* 1992). The ~65° orientation of Profile B to the palaeo-380 spreading, or fast seismic wave propagation direction of the Osbourn spreading centre (Fig. 1), may cause these 381 upper mantle seismic velocities to be close to the lower limit of what may be expected from typical azimuthal 382 mantle anisotropy of 4-7%, which is controlled by preferential olivine crystal alignment (Kodaira et al. 2014).

383 5.2 Canopus seamount (LRSC)

384 Sediments in the moat around Canopus seamount reach ~ 1.5 km thick, with reflectors typically dipping 385 away from the seamount. Although the sediment layer thickness was insufficient to observe or model turning rays, the inferred average layer velocity of ~2.5 km s⁻¹, and internal reflectivity, suggests that the thick sediment 386 387 accumulation consists of volcaniclastic basalts and glass associated with seamount emplacement (Koppers et al. 388 2012; Vanderkluysen et al. 2014). Along Profile B, the internal velocity structure of Canopus seamount increases 389 from 3.0 to 5.5 \pm 0.3 km s⁻¹ over the first 5 km below the peak. These relatively low velocities suggest that the 390 shallow seamount structure imaged comprises highly porous extrusive and intrusive basaltic rocks more typical of 391 upper oceanic crust (White et al. 1992; Koppers et al. 2012). Contreras-Reyes et al. (2010) observed velocities of 392 6.4 km s⁻¹ less than 2 km beneath the summit of the seamount immediately southeast of Canopus, and proposed 393 that they represent a highly intrusive mafic core. Although such high internal velocities are not observed on Profile 394 B, their absence could be explained as an artefact of sampling location and limited intrusion width, as Profile B 395 crosses the saddle between the two peaks of Canopus seamount (Fig. 2a). Elevated velocities of \sim 7.4 ± 0.3 km s⁻¹ 396 at the base of the crust beneath the seamount are comparable to the seismic structures imaged at adjacent 397 seamounts (Contreras-Reves et al. 2011; Stratford et al. 2015). These anomalously high velocities, and corresponding slightly thickened crust, extend over 50 km from the seamount summits, suggesting that the LRSC
 seamounts are supported by broad lower-crustal intrusions (Contreras-Reyes *et al.* 2011; Richards *et al.* 2013).

400 5.3 Trench-outer rise region

Between Canopus seamount and the trench, bend-related normal faulting is manifest as <0.1-0.3 km trenchward-dipping reflector offsets that preserve a sub-horizontal seabed (Aubouin 1989; Ranero *et al.* 2003). This deformation pattern is significantly different to the 2.0 km-throw horst and graben structures observed on Profile D that coincide with an increased plate dip of ~5° (Fig. 10a & b; Funnell *et al.* 2014). Coincident with this region of faulting, between 0-55 km perpendicular distance from the trench, upper- and mid-crustal velocities are reduced by ~1.0 km s⁻¹, lower-crustal velocities remain unchanged, and upper mantle velocities decrease by ~0.3 km s⁻¹ to ~7.4 ± 0.3 km s⁻¹.

408 5.4 Lower- and mid-trench slope

409 Large fault blocks and a rough seabed define the lower trench slope (Fig. 2a; Karig 1970). Sediment 410 accumulations are limited to <0.1 s TWTT thick and are, for the most part, absent due to an $\sim 10^{\circ}$ slope. This region exhibits the lowest crustal seismic velocities in the model, increasing from 4.2 ± 0.6 km s⁻¹ beneath the 411 sediments to just over 6.0 \pm 0.6 km s⁻¹ at the base of the ~9 km-thick crust (Fig. 11c). The calculated gravity 412 413 anomaly for the lower-trench slope only fits the observed data when densities derived from the local seismic 414 velocity structure (i.e. kilometre scale) were ignored and, instead, entire forward model layers were converted into 415 density blocks (Fig. 8), indicating that the velocity-density ratio that defines this model region is not typical of 416 oceanic crust. Similar seismic wavespeeds and poor reflectivity are observed by Contreras-Reves et al. (2011) and 417 Sallarès & Ranero (2005) at the Tonga and North Chile margins respectively, and are attributed to the pervasive 418 faulting, disaggregation, and hydration of the overriding crust in response to subduction erosion (e.g. Clift & 419 MacLeod 1998; Clift & Vannucchi 2004).

Further west, between 160-170 km offset, an ~1.0 s TWTT (750 m-high) horst-and-graben structure offsets the lower-trench slope from a poorly imaged mid-slope sedimentary wedge. Sediments thicken to ~1.0 s TWTT and sedimentary reflectors tilt more arcward towards the east of the ~25 km-wide basin. Velocities of >6.0 km s⁻¹ are reached just 4 km below the sediment base, and more typical lower crustal velocities are observed e.g. $6.8-7.2 \pm 0.3$ km s⁻¹ (Holbrook *et al.* 1999; Contreras-Reyes *et al.* 2011).

425 5.5 Upper forearc slope and arc

Along Profile B, the upper forearc slope is defined by an ~50 km-wide ridge and basin in front of the active volcanic arc (Fig. 6a). The sediments beneath the ridge are ~1.0 s TWTT thick and relatively horizontal, although broken up by pervasive small-scale, <0.1 s TWTT throw, normal faults (Fig. 2c & d; Herzer & Exon 1985). In the ~40 km-wide basin, an ~2.0 s TWTT thick sedimentary succession is divided by a high amplitude intra-sediment reflector at ~1.0 s TWTT-below seafloor. This high amplitude reflector pinches out onto the basement of the morphological ridge (Fig. 2d), suggesting that the underlying ridge and basin morphology predates the majority of sedimentation along the margin (Herzer & Exon 1985). Reflectivity of the ridge and basin sediments changes from chaotic to horizontal and planar with increasing distance from the present-day arc, which
suggests that turbiditic flows transport and emplace volcaniclastic material along the forearc (Herzer & Exon
1985; Gillies & Davey 1986).

- In the forward velocity model, the crust beneath the upper-forearc slope is $10-12 \pm 0.7$ km thick and displays a laterally variable seismic velocity structure (Fig. 6b). Beneath the upper forearc basin, upper- and midcrust velocities increase from ~3.0 to 6.2 ± 0.3 km s⁻¹ over 5 km in depth, and reach 6.8 ± 0.3 km s⁻¹ at the base of the crust. Mid-crustal velocities under the morphological ridge are ~2 km shallower than in the basin to the west and increase to ~7.4 ± 0.2 km s⁻¹ just above the Moho. Mantle velocities appear to be consistent beneath the forearc, at ~7.8 ± 0.8 km s⁻¹, although poor ray coverage limits model sensitivity in this region (Table 2).
- 442 Although the inversion model also converged to a solution with high velocity gradients in the shallow 443 crust and a high-velocity lower crust beneath the morphological ridge (Fig. 7c), mantle velocities, >7.8 km s⁻¹, are 444 found ~2 km shallower than in the forward model (Fig. 6b). This is the only significant discrepancy between the 445 forward and inverse velocity models, and coincides with an unusually high FAA, ~150 mGal, which is 446 significantly undercalculated by the density-converted forward velocity model using typical velocity-density 447 relationships (Carlson & Raskin 1984; Christensen & Mooney 1995). Whilst assuming an ~2 km-thinner forearc 448 crust beneath the morphological ridge improves the fit between the observed and calculated datasets, the misfit 449 remains >30 mGal and forward-modelled Moho reflections no longer fit within the assigned uncertainties (Table 450 1). The preferred combined model maintains the crustal thicknesses determined by the forward modelling method, 451 and includes an ~5.0 km-high lower-crustal density anomaly of ~3.2 g cm⁻³ to accurately recreate the forearc FAA, 452 with a misfit of ~13 mGal. The discrepancy in crustal thickness between the forward and inverse velocity models, 453 whilst significant, is within the vertical resolution of the inversion model (Fig. 7e), and most likely results from 454 inversion model smoothing, and the inability to invert Moho-reflected arrivals (P_mP phases – Zelt *et al.* 2003).
- 455 Both of these scenarios require relatively thin crust compared to previous results from the Tonga, Izu-456 Bonin-Mariana, and Aleutian margins, although it is not entirely unusual (Fig. 11b). Kodaira et al. (2010) imaged 457 <10 km thick forearc crust beneath the Bonin Ridge, attributing the thin crust with forearc spreading during 458 subduction initiation. Similar inferences have been made regarding the origin of the Tonga Ridge, which is widely 459 associated with the onset of west-dipping subduction ~51-48 Ma (Herzer et al. 2011; Meffre et al. 2012; 460 Michibayashi et al. 2012). The forearc structural high observed along Profile B is at the southern end of the 461 bathymetrically expressed Tonga Ridge (Karig 1970; Lonsdale 1986; Contreras-Reves et al. 2011; Stratford et al. 462 2015), and most likely also formed during the initial stages of volcanism at the margin. Despite a lack of direct 463 sampling of the lithologies and ages at the southern end of the bathymetrically exposed Tonga Ridge (Clift & 464 MacLeod 1998), evidence from the Profile B MCS section suggests that the ridge-and-basin upper-forearc 465 morphology predates the majority of sedimentation at the margin. As such, we expect the forearc structural high 466 and associated lower-crustal velocity and density anomalies imaged along Profile B to be directly formed by, or 467 developed from, the tholeiitic and boninitic volcanism associated with subduction initiation (Clift et al. 1994; 468 Meffre et al. 2012; Michibayashi et al. 2012; Reagan et al. 2013). To achieve the high density and velocity 469 required by the gravity and WA models, the lower crustal structure most likely comprises high-density pyroxenites

470 that are left as a residual lower-crustal material following initial subduction volcanism (Nakajima & Arima 1998;

471 Meffre *et al.* 2012). We refer to this morphological ridge with high density and seismic velocity, as the extinct472 Eocene frontal arc.

473 6 DISCUSSION

474 6.1 Variations in subducting crust and upper mantle structure

475 Subduction-induced bending of the downgoing Pacific plate generates large-scale normal faults that are 476 observed along the Tonga-Kermadec margin as significant seabed offsets of up to 2 km (Fig. 9a; Crawford et al. 477 2003), and as downthrown sedimentary units in seismic reflection data (Fig. 10a & b; Pelletier & Dupont 1990). 478 Similar bend faulting is observed into the mantle of the Costa Rican margin (Ranero et al. 2003), and pervasively 479 throughout the Chilean trench-outer rise (Contreras-Reyes et al. 2008). Along the Chilean margin, the faults 480 coincide with the reduced seismic velocity of the crust, by 0.4-0.7 km s⁻¹, and upper mantle, by up to 0.5 km s⁻¹ 481 (Moscoso & Grevemeyer 2015), which are proposed to be the physical manifestation of oceanic crust hydration 482 and upper mantle serpentinisation (e.g. offshore Costa Rica and Nicaragua - Ranero et al. 2005 and Ivandic et al. 483 2010, respectively). These pervasive structural and geochemical modifications are attributed with reducing the 484 flexural rigidity of the subducting plate by up to three orders of magnitude over the trench-outer rise region (Billen 485 & Gurnis 2005; Arredondo & Billen 2012). We find that the upper 2 km of the subducting oceanic crust reduces bv ~1 km s⁻¹ in seismic velocity with increasing proximity to the Tonga-Kermadec trench, over 100-170 km 486 487 trench-perpendicular distance, whilst no discernable variations are observed in the lower 4 km (Fig. 9b). The seismic velocity of the uppermost mantle reduces by up to 0.5 km s⁻¹ for profiles that are roughly perpendicular to 488 489 the palaeo-spreading direction of the Osbourn spreading centre. This velocity reduction is comparable to that 490 observed at the Central Chile margin (Moscoso & Grevemeyer 2015), but is at the limit of the resolution for the 491 models presented here (Table 2; Contreras-Reyes et al. 2010; Contreras-Reyes et al. 2011; Stratford et al. 2015), 492 so it is not a conclusive result. We note that this velocity reduction is of a similar magnitude to the decrease 493 observed between the upper mantle velocities sampled by profiles perpendicular (Profile A, B, P02, and PCr - Fig. 494 9) and parallel (Profile P03 - Fig. 9) to the palaeo-spreading direction of the Osbourn spreading centre (Fig. 1) 495 most likely caused by azimuthal mantle anisotropy (Kodaira et al. 2014).

496 Typical bend-related faulting along the Tonga-Kermadec subduction zone is characterised by up to 2 km 497 throw horst-and-graben structures that coincide with a seabed dip of 5°, as shown by Profile D (Fig. 10b – Funnell 498 et al. 2014). In the proximity of the present-day LRSC-trench collision zone, the Tonga-Kermadec trench shallows 499 by ~4 km over 150 km (Pontoise et al. 1986; Funnell et al. 2014), and is coincident with reduced bend-related 500 deformation, as represented by faults observed along Profile B. Faults in this region predominantly form half 501 graben that dip trenchward and exhibit a <0.3 km throw, resulting in the subducting plate remaining sub-502 horizontal with <1° dip (Fig. 10a). This region of decreased deformation extends up to 120 km from the peak of 503 the closest seamount (Fig. 9a), which is significantly broader than the ~50 km-wide bathymetric swell and lower-504 crustal support imaged (Fig. 6b; Ballance et al. 1989; Contreras-Reyes et al. 2010). Although some larger faults

are found on the flanks of Osbourn seamount (Stratford *et al.* 2015; Robinson *et al.* in review), the general reduction in subducting plate dip and fault offset suggests that the presence of the LRSC and its corresponding crustal thickening moderates deformation by providing structural and buoyancy-driven support. This also appears to limit the reduction in subducting plate flexural rigidity in the 120 km around the LRSC, resulting in seamounts that effectively subduct on top of a broad raised ridge that would also contribute to deformation of the overriding plate (e.g. Dominguez *et al.* 1998; Zeumann & Hampel 2015).

511 6.2 Characterising the along-strike variability of the Tonga-Kermadec forearc

512 The 12-13 km thick forearc-arc crust imaged along Profile B is thin compared to global observations of 513 intra-oceanic overriding crust (Fig. 11a & b). Fig. 12 indicates that the thin crust may not be an isolated feature of 514 the Tonga-Kermadec forearc but part of a trend of northward increasing crustal thickness that reaches >18 km 515 over just 300 km distance along strike (Contreras-Reyes et al. 2011). This ~6 km increase is coincident with 516 similar increases in the thickness and width of the high velocity region at the base of the forearc crust, as well as 517 shallowing of the forearc bathymetry. Over the same area, the signature of the FAA (Sandwell et al. 2014) 518 increases in amplitude from ~100 mGal around Profile B to >150 mGal at P02 (Fig. 12e), suggesting that one of 519 the dominant controls on the gravity anomaly along the Tonga-Kermadec forearc is the difference in density 520 between lower crustal material associated with the currently active and extinct frontal arc (Fig. 8).

521 The general trend of northward-increasing crustal thickness, the FAA, and the prominence of the extinct 522 Eocene arc appears to continue north and south of the region imaged by Profiles B and A, and profile P02 (Fig. 523 12a & e). To the north, the Eocene frontal arc shoals significantly to form the Tongan island group (Contreras-524 Reves et al. 2011), and to the south the bathymetric expression disappears beneath the sedimentary basin of the 525 Kermadec forearc whilst the FAA is significantly reduced (Funnell *et al.* 2014). Where sampled, the morphology 526 of the forearc crust indicates that its broad structure predates the majority of sedimentation at the margin (Fig. 2; 527 Herzer & Exon 1985). These observations suggest that the margin-wide trend in forearc crustal thickness is 528 controlled by systematic variations in crustal material generation or erosion following formation, but prior to 529 significant sedimentation and seamount subduction. Such observations fit with the proposed tectonic model of 530 Meffre et al. (2012), which infers the southward propagation of subduction initiation, potentially enabling more 531 volcanic material to be generated in the north where subduction has been sustained for a longer period of time.

532

6.2.1 Southern extent of the extinct Eocene frontal arc

Although the bathymetric expression of the Eocene arc is absent from the Kermadec forearc 50 km south of Profile B, the associated FAA high continues south at a lower amplitude as far as $\sim 31.5^{\circ}$ S (Fig. 12e). Using detrended residual FAA data Bassett *et al.* (2016) show that this termination in gravity signature coincides with the '32°S' tectonic boundary (Ballance *et al.* 1999). This boundary separates a deep sediment-filled basin, a prominent arc, and a typical <3 km-deep backarc basin to the north from a >10 km-deep trench axis and the westward migration of arc volcanism into a deeper, narrower, and more asymmetric Havre Trough (Pelletier & Dupont 1990; Collot & Davy 1998; Ballance *et al.* 1999). Whilst this was initially proposed to result from varying rates of subduction erosion around the boundary (Pelletier & Dupont 1990; Collot & Davy 1998), more recent research has highlighted the need for a southern limit on the extinct Eocene arc to explain its migration during the opening of the south Fiji Basin (Herzer *et al.* 2012). Based on the absent bathymetric expression and southern termination of the FAA high, we support the interpretation of Bassett *et al.* (2016) that the '32°S' boundary represents the present-day southern limit of the extinct Eocene arc along the Tonga-Kermadec forearc (Fig. 12g).

545 6.2.2 Northern extent of the extinct Eocene frontal arc

546 North of Profile P02, the FAA widens and increases in amplitude towards the Tongan islands (Fig. 12e). 547 Just beyond the northern tip of these islands, at $\sim 18^{\circ}$ S, there is an abrupt reduction in the FAA, shown as a highly 548 negative vertical gravity gradient (Fig. 12f), which also coincides with an ~2 km-deep bathymetric channel and the 549 broadening and deepening of the forearc and arc (Fig. 12a). This sharp delineation coincides with a decrease in 550 age of dredged rock samples, from 51-39 Ma to 35-28 Ma (Meffre et al. 2012), an aseismic discontinuity 551 (Bonnardot et al. 2007), and the southern tip of the Fonualei Rift (Zellmer & Taylor 2001; Conder & Wiens 2011). 552 Bonnardot et al. (2007) proposed that the initial collision of the LRSC, ~5-4 Ma (Ruellan et al. 2003), may have 553 generated this feature, known as the Fonualei Discontinuity (Fig. 1). Although seamount subduction is associated 554 with increased subduction erosion and the removal of significant quantities of material from the overriding plate 555 (Dominguez et al. 1998; von Huene et al. 2004; Clift & MacLeod 2012), the Fonualei Discontinuity is sharper and 556 extends further arcward than is typically expected for such deformation. The seamount subduction hypothesis also 557 fails to explain the age variation across the boundary. We propose that the Fonualei Discontinuity divides the 558 northern extent of the extinct Eocene frontal arc from a younger volcanic frontal arc to the north (Fig. 12g). The 559 significant broadening and reduction in forearc depth north of the discontinuity compared to the south, by ~ 1 km 560 (Fig. 12a), may be expected of volcanic arcs at different stages of their evolution (Takahashi et al. 2007; Calvert et 561 al. 2008; Kodaira et al. 2010). Extension along the Fonualei Rift in the Lau Backarc Basin terminates just north of 562 the forearc-dividing discontinuity (Zellmer & Taylor 2001, Conder & Wiens 2011), suggesting that changes in 563 crustal structure not only control forearc deformation, but also backarc stresses and processes as the host structure 564 formed prior to Lau Basin spreading (Pelletier & Louat 1989). The observed lack of seismicity along this 565 discontinuity may be caused by the ability of the forearc crust to accommodate significant stresses due to inherited 566 weaknesses along this boundary.

567 6.3 Implications for seamount subduction along the Tonga-Kermadec trench

568 Subduction of bathymetrically prominent ridges and seamounts is commonly associated with increased 569 rates of crustal erosion (Ballance *et al.* 1989; Dominguez *et al.* 1998; Clift & Vannucchi 2004; Zeumann & 570 Hampel 2015). Although early seamount subduction models suggested that flexure of the overriding crust 571 accommodated seamount subduction over a broad region of the forearc (e.g. Scholz & Small 1997), more recent 572 studies find that seamounts deform the overriding forearc in a series of ring and radial faults proximal to the 573 interplate boundary (Dominguez *et al.* 1998; Wang & Bilek 2011). 574 The ability to determine the exact location of currently subducting seamounts along the Tonga-Kermadec 575 forearc is limited by the morphological complexity of the lower-trench slope (Fig. 9a), and the quality and 576 quantity of data acquired in the region. We find, with the acquisition geometry of Profile B, that the seismic 577 velocity model is only sensitive to changes of >0.5 km s⁻¹ in the lower-trench slope region (Fig. 6; Table 2), and 578 that only features larger than 30 km by 3 km may be reliably resolved through inversion modelling (Fig. 7). Such 579 sensitivities are comparable to the perturbation expected by the presence of an intact subducting seamount 580 (Lonsdale 1988; Contreras-Reves et al. 2010), suggesting current WA datasets and associated modelling 581 techniques may be unable to discriminate between the presence and absence of seamounts in the lower-trench 582 slope of the Tonga-Kermadec subduction system.

583 Despite the current limitations in locating the subducting LRSC beneath the lower-trench slope, the broad-584 scale and historical influence of seamount subduction on Tonga-Kermadec forearc structure can be more clearly 585 determined. The lower-trench slope crust exhibits low seismic velocities (3-6 km s^{-1}) along the subduction system 586 (Fig. 11c; Crawford et al. 2003), suggesting that the region comprises crustal material that has been highly fractured by erosive subduction processes (e.g. von Huene & Ranero 2003; Sallarès & Ranero 2005), although the 587 588 poor resolution here precludes further analysis. Along Profile B, the ~25 km-wide and relatively flat-topped mid-589 slope basin is underlain by typical forearc velocities (4-7 km s⁻¹). Similar basins are observed to the south (e.g. 590 Ballance et al. 1999; Funnell et al. 2014), and north of ~22°S (Wright et al. 2000), but are significantly reduced in 591 the vicinity of recent LRSC subduction (Contreras-Reves et al. 2011; Stratford et al. 2015). Coincident with this 592 mid-slope basin deformation is a change in the distance between the trench and onset of the Tonga Ridge, or 593 upper-trench slope, which increases from >80 km-trench offset along Profile B to <70 km along Profile A and 594 profile P02 (Fig. 12). Together, these observations support the hypothesis that subduction of the LRSC increases 595 the basal and frontal erosion of the lower-trench slope crustal material (Ballance et al. 1989; Contreras-Reves et 596 al. 2011; Stratford et al. 2015). The data also suggest that the mid-slope crust and basins, which are typically 597 preserved by steady-state subduction processes (Wright et al. 2000), are deformed and removed by stresses either 598 directly as seamounts subduct (Wang & Bilek 2011) or as the inner trench slope re-equilibrates gravitationally 599 through extension and collapse (Clift & Vannucchi 2004; Funnell et al. 2014).

600 These erosional and structural recovery processes that define the subduction of seamounts can thus be 601 used, in the context of the characterised variations in forearc structure (Fig. 12g), to track the effects of LRSC-602 subduction along the Tonga-Kermadec subduction system. Immediately north of the present-day LRSC-trench 603 intersection point, the Tonga trench reaches its deepest point (10.8 km; Ballance et al. 1989), and the trench slopes 604 step westward by up to 80 km (Contreras-Reyes et al. 2011). The trench slopes appear to return to a more typical 605 structure around $\sim 23^{\circ}$ S (Stratford *et al.* 2015), although the bathymetric ridge and high FAA representative of the 606 extinct Tonga arc exhibits a significant reduction in depth (0.5 km) and amplitude (50 mGal), whilst the 607 trenchward edge of the ridge steps ~20 km westward, at 22°S (Fig. 12). At 22°S the Tonga forearc also displays 608 parallel normal faults that delimit the northern extent of the region of significant faulting and doming observed by 609 Herzer & Exon (1985), which they attribute to extensional stresses active from ~5-1 Ma based on well-tied 610 seismic data. This suggests that although the Tonga forearc crust thins gradually to the south along the margin 611 (Fig. 12), the pronounced step in forearc structure at this latitude formed as a direct result of seamount subduction-612 related erosion since ~5 Ma (Ruellan et al. 2003; Timm et al. 2013), and the subsequent extensional collapse of 613 the forearc to re-establish trench-slope stability. The apparent lack of pervasive deformation north of 22°S (Herzer 614 & Exon 1985; Fig. 12) supports the proposal of Ballance et al. (1989) that LRSC-subduction initiated near that 615 latitude and has subsequently migrated southward. The presence of a more westerly trend of the subducted 616 segment of the LRSC than is bathymetrically expressed is thus required to enable sufficient time to pass for the 617 migration, from the onset of subduction at 22°S ~5-4 Ma to the present-day collision zone (Ballance et al. 1989; 618 Ruellan et al. 2003). Despite the apparent documentation of seamount subduction at ODP site 841 ~1.5 Ma 619 (MacLeod 1994; Clift & MacLeod 1999), there is significant evidence that LRSC seamounts deform a wider 620 region of the complex and faulted lower-trench slopes than expected by their bathymetric expression. This result 621 makes determining the history of seamount subduction more challenging and only with further drill sites along the 622 forearc for comparison would the exact history of seamount subduction at the Tonga-Kermadec margin be reliably 623 determinable.

624 7 CONCLUSIONS

New WA seismic and density models are synthesised with previously published profiles and satellitederived gravity maps to highlight along-trench structural variability in the Tonga-Kermadec subducting plate and overriding forearc. We use these observations to characterise inherited forearc structure and determine the spatial and temporal extent of LRSC subduction-related deformation of the overriding plate. We find that:

Sampling of the flanks of the LRSC-seamounts fails to image high internal velocities, possibly indicating a
 laterally limited intrusive mafic core. High seismic velocities, >7.2 km s⁻¹, observed at the base of the crust
 over 50 km from Canopus seamount suggests their structural support extends over a wide region.

Subducting oceanic crust and mantle, which is typically faulted and hydrated as it bends towards the trench
resulting in rapid structural weakening, deforms less in the vicinity of the LRSC. Typical bending of the
subducting plate generates full graben with up to 2 km vertical throw and a plate dip of <5°, whereas within
the vicinity of the LRSC swell, the near-horizontal subducting plate is offset by <200 m dominantly
trenchward-dipping normal faults. Shoaling of the trench apex by up to 4 km at the LRSC-trench intersection
shows that, despite the limited bathymetric expression of the seamounts, the chain sits atop an ~250 km-wide
ridge.

639 3. The Kermadec forearc comprises lower- and mid-trench slopes that persistently undergo extension due to
640 frontal and basal erosion as well as associated gravitational collapse. Crustal seismic velocities (3.5-6.0 km s⁻¹)
641 are unusually low in this region. Modelling of more typical densities for oceanic crust suggests that they are
642 highly fractured, faulted, and possibly hydrated.

643 4. The upper forearc slope is dominated by a basin and ridge structure that predates significant sedimentation at 644 the margin. This bathymetric ridge is underlain by high velocities, 7.0-7.4 km s⁻¹, that are best represented by

- high density lower-crust (3.2 g cm⁻³), that most likely formed during subduction initiation along the TongaKermadec margin (~51 Ma).
- 5. The nature and extent of this Eocene arc can be constrained using the broad-scale high FAA that corresponds
 to the high density lower crustal anomaly. The extinct arc extends from 32°S to the Fonualei Discontinuity at
- 649 18°S, with the overriding crustal thickness increasing to the north.
- 6. Subduction of the LRSC along the Tonga-Kermadec trench increases the erosion of material from the
 overriding crust. The lower- and mid-trench slopes are shortened, and the trenchward edge of the Eocene arc
 most likely undergoes extensional collapse to re-equilibrate trench-slope stability.
- Although the trench slopes are heavily deformed and eroded as far north as 23°S, extensional faulting of the
 Tonga forearc crust is observed to 22°S. LRSC-subduction is thus proposed to have begun at 22°S, ~5 Myr
 ago, although the seamount-associated swell may have enabled a broader footprint of deformation.

656 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

657 This research project was funded by the Natural Environmental Research Council (NERC) grant 658 NE/F004273/1 (Peirce & Watts 2011). We are grateful to the two anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful and 659 helpful comments on this paper. We would like to thank all involved in the planning and acquisition of data during 660 research cruise S0215, including the officers and crew of the R/V Sonne, the scientific party, and all seagoing 661 technicians. The NERC Ocean-Bottom Instrumentation Facility (Minshull et al. 2005) and GEOMAR provided 662 the OBSs used in this project, together with their technical support at sea. The MCS data were processed using ClaritasTM, and manipulated for plotting using Seismic Unix. All figures were prepared using the Generic Mapping 663 664 Tools (GMT) package (Wessel & Smith 1998). All data from cruise SO215 are archived at the NERC's British 665 Oceanographic Data Centre, and the final accepted version of this manuscript is available through Durham 666 Research Online (dro.dur.ac.uk).

667 **REFERENCES**

- Arredondo, K.M. & Billen, M.I., 2012. Rapid weakening of subducting plates from trench-parallel estimates
 of flexural rigidity, *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.*, 196–197, 1–13.
- Aubouin, J. 1989. Some aspects of the tectonics of subduction zones, *Tectonophysics*, 160, 1–21.
- Ballance, P.F., Scholl, D.W., Vallier, T.L., Stevenson, A.J., Ryan, H. & Herzer, R.H., 1989. Subduction of a late
 Cretaceous seamount of the Louisville Ridge at the Tonga Trench; A model of normal and accelerated
 tectonic erosion, *Tectonics*, 8(5), 953–962.
- Ballance, P.F., Ablaev, A.G., Pushchin, I.K., Pletnec, S.P., Birylina, M.G., Itaya, T., Follas, H.A. & Gibson, G.W.,
 1999. Morphology and history of the Kermadec-trench-arc-backarc basin-remnant arc system at 30 to
 32°S: geophysical profile, microfossil and K-Ar data, *Mar. Geol.*, 159, 35–62.
- Bassett, D. & Watts, A.B., 2015. Gravity anomalies, crustal structure, and seismicity at subduction zones: 1.
 Seafloor roughness and subducting relief, *Geochem., Geophys. Geosys.*, 16, 1508–1540.
- Bassett, D., Kopp, H., Sutherland, R., Henrys, S., Watts, A.B., Timm, C., Scherwath, M., Grevemeyer, I. & de
 Ronde, C.E.J., 2016. Crustal structure of the Kermadec arc from MANGO seismic refraction profile, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 121, 7514–7546.
- 682 Billen, M.I. & Stock, J.M., 2000. Morphology and origin of the Osbourn Trough, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 481-489.
- Billen, M.I. & Gurnis, M., 2005. Constraints on subducting plate strength within the Kermadec trench, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, 1–18.
- Bloomer, S.H., Taylor, B., MacLeod, C.J., Stern, R.J., Fryer, P., Hawkins, J.W. & Johnson, L., 1995. Early arc
 volcanism and the ophiolite problem: A perspective from drilling in the western Pacific, in *Active Margins and Marginal Basins of the Western Pacific*, Geophysical Monograph 88, 1–30.
- Bonnardot, M.A., Regnier, M., Christova, C., Ruellan, E. & Tric, E., 2007. Seismicity and state of stress within
 the overriding plate of the Tonga-Kermadec subduction zone, *Tectonics*, 26.
- 690 Burns, R.E., & Andrews, J.E., 1973. Site 204, *Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project*.
- 691 Calvert, A.J., Klemperer, S.L., Takahashi, N. & Kerr, B.C., 2008. Three-dimensional crustal structure of the
 692 Mariana island arc from seismic tomography, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, 1–24.
- 693 Caress, D.W. & Chayes, D.N., 1996. Improved processing of Hydrosweep DS multibeam data on the R/V
 694 Maurice Ewing, *Mar. Geophys. Res.*, 18, 631–650.
- 695 Carlson, R.L. & Raskin, G.S., 1984. Density of the ocean crust, *Nature*, 311, 555–558.
- 696 Christensen, N.I. & Mooney, W.D., 1995. Seismic velocity structure and composition of the continental crust:
 697 A global view, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 9761–9788.
- 698 Clift, P.D., Bednarz, U., Boe, R., Rothwell, R.G., Hodkinson, R.A., Ledbetter, J.K., Pratt, C.E. & Soakai, S., 1994.
- 699 Sedimentation on the Tonga forearc related to arc rifting, subduction erosion, and ridge collision: a
- 700 synthesis of results from sites 840 and 841 *in* Proc. Ocean Drill. Program, Sci. results, Leg 135, 843–
- 701 855.

- Clift, P.D. & MacLeod, C.J., 1998. Tectonic controls on sedimentation and diagenesis in the Tonga Trench and
 forearc, southwest Pacific, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 110, 483–496.
- Clift, P.D., MacLeod, C.J., Tappin, D.R., Wright, D.J. & Bloomer, S.H., 1998. Tectonic controls on sedimentation
 in the Tonga Trench and Forearc, SW Pacific, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 110, 483–496.
- Clift, P.D. & MacLeod, C.J., 1999. Slow rates of subduction erosion estimated from subsidence and tilting of
 the Tonga forearc, *Geology*, 27, 411.
- Clift, P.D. & Vannucchi, P., 2004. Controls on tectonic accretion versus erosion in subduction zones:
 Implications for the origin and recycling of the continental crust, *Rev. Geophys.*, *42*.
- Collot, J.-Y. & Davy, B., 1998. Forearc structures and tectonic regimes at the oblique subduction zone
 between the Hikurangi Plateau and the southern Kermadec margin, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(B4), 7107.
- Conder, J.A. & Wiens D.A., 2011. Shallow seismicity and tectonics of the central and northern Lau Basin, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 304, 538–546.
- Contreras-Reyes, E., Grevemeyer, I., Flueh, E.R. & Reichert, C., 2008. Upper lithospheric structure of the
 subduction zone offshore southern Arauco Peninsula, Chile at ~38°S, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, B07303.
- Contreras-Reyes, E., Grevemeyer, I., Watts, A.B., Planert, L., Flueh, E.R. & Peirce, C., 2010. Crustal intrusion
 beneath the Louisville hotspot track, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 289, 323–333.
- Contreras-Reyes, E., Grevemeyer, I., Watts, A.B., Flueh, E.R., Peirce, C., Moeller, S. & Papenberg, C., 2011.
 Deep seismic structure of the Tonga subduction zone: Implications for mantle hydration, tectonic
 erosion, and arc magmatism, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116.
- Crawford, W.C., Hildebrand, J.A., Dorman, L.M., Webb, S.C. & Wiens D.A., 2003. Tonga Ridge and Lau Basin
 crustal structure from seismic refraction data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 2195.
- 723 DeMets, C., Gordon, R.G. & Argus D.F., 2010. Geologically current plate motions, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 181, 1–80.
- Dickinson, W.R. & Seely D.R., 1979. Structure and stratigraphy of forearc regions, *Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull.*,
 63, 2–31.
- Dominguez, S., Lallemand, S.E., Malavieille, J. & von Huene R., 1998. Upper plate deformation associated
 with seamount subduction, *Tectonophysics*, *293*, 207–224.
- Downey, N.J., Stock, J.M., Clayton, R.W. & Cande, S.C., 2007. History of the Cretaceous Osbourn spreading
 center, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, 1-18.
- Funnell, M.J., Peirce, C., Stratford, W.R., Paulatto, M., Watts, A.B. & Grevemeyer, I 2014. Structure and
 deformation of the Kermadec forearc in response to subduction of the Pacific oceanic plate, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 199, 1286–1302.
- Gillies, P.N. & Davey, F.J., 1986. Seismic reflection and refraction studies of the Raukumara forearc basin,
 New Zealand, *New Zeal. J. Geol. Geophys.*, *29*, 391–403.
- Hawkins, J.W., Bloomer, S.H., Evans, C.A. & Melchior, J.T., 1984. Evolution of intra-oceanic arc-trench
 systems, *Tectonophysics*, 102, 175–205.
- Hayes, G.P., Wald, D.J. & Johnson, R.L., 2012. Slab1.0: A three-dimensional model of global subduction zone

738 geometries, J. Geophys. Res., 117, B01302.

- Herzer, R.H. & Exon, N.F., 1985. Structure and Basin Analysis of the Southern Tonga Forear, in *Geology and* offshore resources of Pacific island arcs—Tonga region, Circum-Pacific Council for Energy and Mineral
 Resources Earth Science Series, v. 2, Scholl, D.W., and Vallier, T.L.
- Herzer, R.H., Barker, D.H.N., Roest, W.R. & Mortimer, N., 2011. Oligocene-Miocene spreading history of the
 northern South Fiji Basin and implications for the evolution of the New Zealand plate boundary, *Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosys.*, 12, doi:10.1029/2010GC003291.
- Holbrook, W.S., Lizarralde, D., Bangs, N.L. & Diebold, J., 1999. Structure and composition of the Aleutian
 island arc and implications for continental crustal growth, *Geology*, *27*, 31–34.
- IOC, IHO and BODC, 2003, "Centenary Edition of the GEBCO Digital Atlas", published on CD-ROM on behalf
 of the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission and the International Hydrographic
 Organization as part of the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans; British Oceanographic Data
 Centre, Liverpool.
- Ivandic, M., Grevemeyer, I., Bialas, J. & Petersen, C.J., 2010. Serpentinization in the trench-outer rise region
 offshore of Nicaragua: Constraints from seismic refraction and wide-angle data, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 180,
 1253–1264.
- Karig, D.E., 1970. Ridges and basins of the Tonga-Kermadec Island Arc System, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 75, 239–254.
- Kodaira, S., Noguchi, N., Takahashi, N., Ishizuka, O. & Kaneda, Y., 2010. Evolution from fore-arc oceanic crust
 to island arc crust: A seismic study along the Izu-Bonin fore arc, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 115, 1–20.
- Kodaira, S., Fujie, G., Yamashita, M., Sato, T., Takahashi, T. & Takahashi, N., 2014, Seismological evidence of
 mantle motions at a palaeo-spreading centre, *Nat. Geosci.*, 7, 371-375.
- Koppers, A., Yamazaki, T., Geldmacher, J., Gee, J.S., Pressling, N. & Hoshi, H., 2012. Limited latitudinal mantle
 plume motion for the Louisville hotspot, *Nat. Geosci.*, 5, 911–918.
- Lallemand, S.E., Malavieille, J. & Calassou, S., 1992. Effects of oceanic ridge subduction on accretionary
 wedges: experimental modeling and marine observations, *Tectonics*, 11, 1301–1313.
- Lonsdale, P.F., 1986. A multibeam reconnaissance of the Tonga trench axis and its intersection with the
 Louisville guyot chain, *Mar. Geophys. Res.*, 8, 295–327.
- Lonsdale, P.F., 1988. Geography and history of the Louisville Hotspot Chain in the southwest Pacific, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 93, 3078–3104.
- MacLeod, C.J., 1994. Structure of the outer Tonga forearc at Site 841, *in* Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling
 Program, *Scientific results, Volume 135:* College Station, Texas, Ocean Driling Program, 373-382.
- Meffre, S., Falloon, T.J., Crawford, T.J., Duncan, R.A., Bloomer, S.H., & Wright, D.J., 2012. Basalts erupted along the Tongan fore arc during subduction initiation: Evidence from geochronology of dredged rocks
 from the Tonga fore arc and trench, *Geochem., Geophys. Geosys.*, 13, 1–17.
- Michibayashi, K., Shinkai, Y., Tani, K., Uehera, S., Harigane, Y., Ishii, T. & Bloomer, S.H., 2012. Tonga Trench
 gabbros and peridotites: A suit of temporal and spatial forearc materials, *Eos.*, *91*, 1–3.

- Minshull, T.A., Sinha, M.C. & Peirce, C., 2004. Multi-disciplinary, sub-seabed geophysical imaging a new
 pool of 28 seafloor instruments in use by the United Kingdom Ocean Bottom Instrument Consortium,
 Sea Technol., 46, 27-31.
- Moscoso, E. & Grevemeyer, I., 2015. Bending-related faulting of the incoming oceanic plate and its effect on
 lithospheric hydration and seismicity: A passive and active seismological study offshore Maule, Chile, *J. Geodyn.*, 90, 58–70.
- Nafe, J.E. & Drake, C.L., 1957. Variation with Depth in Shallow and Deep Water Marine Sediments of
 Porosity, and the Velocities of Compressional and Shear Waves, *Geophysics*, 22, 523–552.
- Nakajima, K. & Arima, M., 1998. Melting experiments on hydrous low-K tholeiite: implications for the
 genesis of tonalitic crust in the Izu-Bonin-Mariana arc, *Island Arc*, 7, 359-373.
- Peirce, C. & Watts, A.B., 2011. R/V Sonne SO215 Cruise Report. The Louisville Ridge Tonga Trench
 collision: Implications for subduction zone dynamics.
- Pelletier, B. & Louat, R., 1989. Seismotectonics and present-day relative plate motions in the Tonga-Lau and
 Kermadec-Havre region, *Tectonophysics*, 165, 237–250.
- Pelletier, B. & Dupont, J., 1990. Erosion, accretion, back-arc extension and slab length along the Kermadec
 subduction zone, Southwest Pacific, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 310, 1657–1664.
- Pontoise, B., Pelletier, B., Aubouin, J., Baudry, N., Blanchet, R., Butscher, J., Chotin, P., Diament, M., Dupont, M.,
 Eissen, J., Ferrière, J., Herzer, R., Lapouille, A., Louat, R., d'Ozouville, L., Soakai, S. & Stevenson, A., 1986.
 Subduction of the Louisville ridge along the Tonga trench: preliminary results of SEAPSO campaign
 (Leg V), *C. R. Acad. Sc.*, 10, 911–918.
- Ranero, C.R., Morgan, J.P., McIntosh, K., Reichert, C., 2003. Bending-related faulting and mantle
 serpentinization at the Middle America trench, *Nature*, 425, 367–73.
- Ranero, C.R., Villaseñor, A., Morgan, J.P. & Weinrebe, W., 2005. Relationship between bend-faulting at
 trenches and intermediate-depth seismicity, *Geochem., Geophys. Geosys.*, 6.
- Reagan, M.K., McClelland, W.C., Girard, G., Goff, K.R., Peate, D.W., Ohara, Y. & Stern, R.J., 2013. The geology of
 the southern Mariana fore-arc crust: Implications for the scale of Eocene volcanism in the western
 Pacific, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 380, 41–51.
- Richards, M., Contreras-Reyes, E., Lithgow-bertelloni, C., Ghiorso, M. & Stixrude, L., 2013. Petrological
 interpretation of deep crustal intrusive bodies beneath oceanic hotspot provinces, *Geochem., Geophys. Geosys.*, 14, 604–619.
- Robinson, A.H., Peirce, C. & Funnell, M.J., (*in review*). Crustal structure of the Louisville Ridge an insight
 into the life cycle of seamounts, *Geophys. J. Int.*
- 806 Ruellan, E., Delteil, J., Wright, I. & Matsumoto T., 2003. From rifting to active spreading in the Lau Basin -
- 807 Havre Trough backarc system (SW Pacific): Locking/unlocking induced by seamount chain subduction,
 808 *Geochem., Geophys. Geosys.*, 4.
- 809 Sallarès, V. & Ranero C.R., 2005. Structure and tectonics of the erosional convergent margin off Antofagasta,

- 810 north Chile (23°30′S), J. Geophys. Res. B Solid Earth, 110, 1–19.
- Sandwell, D.T., Muller, R.D., Smith, W.H.F., Garcia, E.S. & Francis R., 2014. New global marine gravity model
 from CryoSat-2 and Jason-1 reveals buried tectonic structure, *Science*, 65, 1–4.
- 813 Scholz, C.H. & Small, C., 1997. The effect of seamount subduction on seismic coupling. *Geology*, 25, 487–490.
- Spudich, P. & Orcutt, J., 1980. A new look at the seismic velocity structure of the oceanic crust, *Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics*, 18, 627-645.
- Stratford, W.R., Peirce, C., Paulatto, M., Funnell, M.J., Watts, A.B., Grevemeyer, I. & Bassett D., 2015. Seismic
 velocity structure and deformation due to the collision of the Louisville Ridge with the TongaKermadec Trench, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 200, 1503–1522.
- Takahashi, N., Kodaira, S., Klemperer, S.L., Tatsumi, Y., Kaneda, Y. & Suyehiro K., 2007. Crustal structure and
 evolution of the Mariana intra-oceanic island arc, *Geology*, 35, 203–206.
- Takahashi, N., Kodaira, S., Tatsumi, Y., Yamashita, M., Sato, T., Kaiho, Y., Miura, S., No, T., Takizawa, K. &
 Kaneda Y., 2009. Structural variations of arc crusts and rifted margins in the southern Izu-Ogasawara
 arc-back arc system, *Geochem., Geophys. Geosys.*, 10.
- Talwani, M., Worzel, J.L. & Landisman M., 1959. Rapid gravity computations for two-dimensional bodies
 with application to the Mendocino submarine fracture zone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 64, 49–59.
- Timm, C., Bassett, D., Graham, I.J., Leybourne, M.I., de Ronde, C.E.J., Woodhead, J., Layton-Matthews, D. &
 Watts, A.B., 2013. Louisville seamount subduction and its implication on mantle flow beneath the
 central Tonga-Kermadec arc, *Nat. Commun.*, 4, 1720.
- Vanderkluysen, L., Mahoney, J.J., Koppers, A.A.P., Beier, C., Regelous, M., Gee, J.S. & Lonsdale, P.F., 2014.
 Louisville Seamount Chain: Petrogenetic processes and geochemical evolution of the mantle source, *Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems*, 15, 1–21.
- von Huene, R. & Scholl, D.W., 1991. Observations at convergent margins concerning sediment subduction,
 subduction erosion, and the growth of continental crust, *Rev. Geophys.*, *29*, 279–316.
- von Huene, R., Corvalán, J., Flueh, E.R., Hinz, K., Korstgard, J., Ranero, C.R. & Weinrebe, W., 1997. Tectonic
 control of the subducting Juan Fernández Ridge on the Andean margin near Valparaiso, Chile, *Tectonics*, 16, 474–488.
- von Huene, R. & Ranero C.R., 2003. Subduction erosion and basal friction along the sediment-starved
 convergent margin off Antofagasta, Chile, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *108*, 2079.
- von Huene, R., Ranero, C.R. & Vannucchi P., 2004. Generic model of subduction erosion, *Geology*, 32, 913–
 916.
- Wang, K. & Bilek, S.L., 2011. Do subducting seamounts generate or stop large earthquakes? *Geology*, 39,
 842 819–822.
- Watts, A.B., Peirce, C., Grevemeyer, I., Paulatto, M., Stratford, W.R., Bassett, D., Hunter, J.A., Kalnins, L.M. & de
 Ronde C.E.J., 2012. Rapid rates of growth and collapse of Monowai submarine volcano in the Kermadec
 Arc, *Nat. Geosci.*, 5, 510–515.

- 846 Wessel, P. & Smith, W., 1998. New, improved version of Generic Mapping Tools released, *Eos.*, *79*, 579.
- White, R.S., Mckenzie, D. & O'Nions R.K., 1992. Oceanic crustal thickness from seismic measurements and
 rare earth element inversions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *97*, 683–715.
- Wright, D.J., Bloomer, S.H., MacLeod, C.J., Taylor, B. & Goodlife, A.M., 2000. Bathymetry of the Tonga Trench
 and Forearc: a map series, *Mar. Geophys. Res.*, 21, 489–511.
- Zellmer, K.E. & Taylor, B., 2001. A three-plate kinematic model for Lau Basin opening, *Geochem., Geophys. Geosys., 2.*
- Zelt, C.A., 1998. Lateral velocity resolution from three-dimensional seismic refraction data, *Geophys. J. Int.*,
 135, 1101–1112.
- Zelt, C.A. & Ellis, R.M., 1988. Practical and efficient ray tracing in two-dimensional media for rapid
 traveltime and amplitude forward modelling, *Can. J. Explor. Geophys.*, 21, 16–31.
- Zelt, C.A. & Smith, R.B., 1992. Seismic traveltime inversion for 2-D crustal velocity structure, *Geophys. J. Int.*,
 108, 16–34.
- Zelt, C.A. & Barton, P.J., 1998. Three-dimensional seismic refraction tomography: A comparison of two
 methods applied to data from the Faeroe Basin, *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 103, 7187–7210.
- Zelt, C.A., Sain, K., Naumenko, J.V. & Sawyer, D.S., 2003. Assessment of crustal velocity models using seismic
 refraction and reflection tomography, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 153, 609-626.
- Zeumann, S. & Hampel, A., 2015. Deformation of erosive and accretive forearcs during subduction of
 migrating and non-migrating aseismic ridges: Results from 3-D finite element models and application
 to the Central American, Peruvian, and Ryukyu margins, *Tectonics*, 34, 1769–1791.

Model region	Phase	Average uncertainty (ms)	Number of picks	T _{RMS} misfit (ms)	χ^2
	P_{g}	37	6,362	45	1.20
Pacific plate	$P_m P$	43	4,643	51	1.25
	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}$	51	15,199	109	1.94
Trench	P_{g}	47	1,748	71	1.73
	P_mP	59	728	83	2.11
	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}$	72	3,291	145	3.79
Forearc	Ps	26	133	21	0.54
	P_{g}	40	6,155	78	2.86
	P_mP	48	2,863	64	1.87
	P_n	48	4,183	98	1.67
	Ps	27	133	21	0.54
Evil av edel	P_{g}	40	14,265	62	1.98
Full model	P_mP	48	8,234	58	1.54
	$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{n}}$	54	22,673	112	2.16
Overall values:	-	-	45,305	86	1.98

866 **TABLE 1**

867

Table 1. Summary of picked and modelled phases. The final model compares over 45,000 modelled arrivals to observed phases, with a combined $\chi^2 < 2$. Phases through different model sections, as well as the final model as a whole, are listed separately to highlight the disparity in data quality and modelling capabilities along the profile.

Lavan	Madalaida	Forearc, OBSs 1-10, $\chi \le 3.5$					
Layer	Model side	Lower ΔZ (km)	Upper V_p (km s ⁻¹)	Lower $V_p (km^{-1})$	Const. V _p grad.		
Sediment	Forearc	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1		
Crust	Forearc	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.1		
Mantle	Forearc	-	0.8	0.3	0.2		
Layer	Model side	Trench, OBSs 11-15, $\chi \le 3.5$					
		Lower ΔZ (km)	Upper V_p (km s ⁻¹)	Lower V_p (km ⁻¹)	Const. V _p grad.		
Sediment	Both	-	-	-	-		
Crust	Forearc	-	0.6	0.7	0.6		
Mantle	Forearc	-	0.2	0.2	0.6		
Crust	Pacific plate	-	0.5	0.3	0.4		
Mantle	Pacific plate	-	0.2	0.1	-		
Layer	Model side	Pacific plate, OBSs 16-27, $\chi \le 2$					
		Lower ΔZ (km)	Upper V_p (km s ⁻¹)	Lower $V_p (km^{-1})$	Const. V _p grad.		
Sediment	Pacific plate	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.2		
Crust	Pacific plate	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2		
Mantle	Pacific plate	-	0.3	0.1	0.1		

871 **TABLE 2**

872

Table 2. Summary of results from independently testing the sensitivity of different final forward model sections. Control tests, in which rays from a subset of instruments were traced through the unedited respective model sections, indicated the contribution of each set to the overall model fit. Crustal layer sensitivities are presented in this table as the average of the three layers, rather than as individual values, for brevity and thus may vary from values quoted in the text. ΔZ and V_p signify change in depth and P-wave seismic velocity, respectively. Note that layer thicknesses were not tested in the trench section because of model complexity.



Fig. 1. Regional bathymetric map of the Tonga-Kermadec subduction system and the northwestern end of the LRSC (IOC *et al.* 2003). All major tectonic features are labelled, and black arrows highlight the extent of the bathymetrically expressed extinct Tonga arc. FD, LRSC, OSC, and HDB (green circle) represent the Fonualei Discontinuity, Louisville Ridge Seamount Chain, Osbourn spreading centre, and Horizon Deep Bight respectively. Labelled black and red lines represent existing geophysical profiles and Profile B (PB), the focus of this study, respectively. White circles indicate locations of numbered ODP and DSDP drill sites (Burns & Andrews 1973; Clift *et al.* 1994).



892 FIGURE 2 CONTINUED





Fig. 2. Overview of geophysical data acquired along Profile B. a) Swath bathymetry map with LC- (purple) and KUM-type (orange) ocean-bottom seismographs marked on Profile B (black line). b) Fully processed MCS section with major region classifications above, and the interpreted base-sediment reflector shown by the red line. c) First-pass velocity model-stacked MCS data over the Kermadec forearc ridge. d) Final WA velocity modelrestacked data over the same region as c). Dark blue dots indicate the forward WA model sediment-crust interface. e) First-pass velocity model stack. f) Final WA velocity model-restacked MCS data highlighting the improved clarity of the Moho reflector and its fit with the Moho of the forward velocity model (light blue dots).

902



Fig. 3. Kermadec forearc. a) Hydrophone data from OBS 02 plotted with a reduction velocity of 8 km s⁻¹, with major phases labelled. b) Picked phases (yellow $-P_s$; orange $-P_g$; green $-P_mP$; brown $-P_n$). Colour bar height indicates pick uncertainty, and black lines represent modelled arrival traveltimes. c) Calculated ray-paths for each picked phase through the final forward model. The location of OBS 02 is indicated by the red inverted triangle.

908



Fig. 4. Kermadec trench. a) Hydrophone data from OBS 12 plotted with a reduction velocity of 8 km s⁻¹, with major phases labelled. b) Picked phases (yellow $-P_s$; orange $-P_g$; green $-P_mP$; brown $-P_n$). Colour bar height indicates pick uncertainty, and black lines represent modelled arrival traveltimes. c) Calculated ray-paths for each picked phase through the final forward model. The location of OBS 12 is indicated by the red inverted triangle.



Fig. 5. Pacific plate a) Hydrophone data from OBS 19 plotted with a reduction velocity of 8 km s⁻¹, with major 916 917 phases labelled. b) Picked phases (yellow $-P_s$; orange $-P_g$; green $-P_mP$; brown $-P_n$). Colour bar height indicates 918 pick uncertainty, and black lines represent modelled arrival traveltimes. c) Calculated ray-paths for each picked 919 phase through the final forward model. The location of OBS 19 is indicated by the red inverted triangle.



Fig. 6. a) Location of OBSs along Profile B. b) Final forward velocity model for Profile B, with layer boundaries
marked in black. c) Traced-ray density through the forward model space. Model misfits are indicated in Table 1,
and the sensitivity of the model fit to boundary perturbations is highlighted in Table 2.





928 Fig. 7. a) Initial inversion model with velocities increasing to 8 km s⁻¹ at 15 km-depth. Velocity contours for a-d 929 are annotated in km s⁻¹. b) Result from the first run of five non-linear inversions on the initial model, using a 10

km by 1 km inversion cell size. c) Final result, following a further five non-linear inversions of the result of the first run, using a 5 km by 0.5 km inversion cell size. The box in the bottom-right hand corner of b) and c) indicates inversion cell sizes for each model space. d) Final forward WA velocity model from Fig. 6, with the same velocities contoured for comparison with parts a-c. e) Final velocity model inversion ray density. f) 30 km by 3 km checkerboard test result, showing good anomaly recovery to ~16 km depth and within 20 km of the model edges. The black grid lines indicate the input checkerboard dimensions. g) 20 km by 2 km checkerboard test result, indicating good recoverability is limited to within the subducting plate and between 50-150 km offset in the

937 overriding crust.



939 940

Fig. 8. a) Observed satellite- and shipboard-derived free air anomalies (FAA) compared to the calculated anomalies from different density models. b) Layered block model, with lower-crustal high-density structure. Values denote assigned block density (expressed in g cm⁻³ for brevity and clarity of annotation). c) Combined geophysical model and structural interpretation along Profile B. The green line in parts b) and c) depicts the slab1.0 model projected along Profile B (Hayes *et al.* 2012).



947

Fig. 9 a) Bathymetric map of the Pacific plate in the vicinity of the LRSC-Tonga trench collision zone. WA
profiles, and the locations of the 1D seismic velocity profiles, presented in b), are indicated by lines and circles,
respectively. Profile names are annotated (Profile A is PA, etc.) b) Velocity profiles through the crust and mantle.
The grey-shaded region represents average seismic velocities for mature Pacific oceanic crust (>25 Ma) from
White *et al.* (1992).



957 Fig. 10. a) and b) MCS data from the trench-proximal Pacific crustal regions of Profiles B and D respectively 958 (Fig. 9a), highlighting the varying nature of normal faulting along the margin. Green dots on the Profile B MCS 959 data indicate the TWTT of the final forward velocity model crust-mantle layer boundary.





Fig. 11. a), b) & c) 1D velocity profiles through the crust and upper mantle of the active arc, frontal inactive arc,
and lower trench slopes respectively. 1D profiles presented are, S-15, from Stratford *et al.* (2015), CR-11, from
Contreras-Reyes *et al.* (2011), C-03, from Crawford *et al.* (2003), and H-99, from Holbrook *et al.* (1999). The IzuBonin-Mariana range and average 1D-seismic velocity profiles are derived from a compilation of published results
from across the margin, including Takahashi *et al.* (2007), Calvert *et al.* (2008), Takahashi *et al.* (2009), Kodaira *et al.* (2010).



Fig. 12. Maps and WA seismic velocity models highlighting variable forearc structure along the Tonga-Kermadec
subduction system. a) Regional bathymetry map, with relevant WA profiles labelled. b), c), and d) Trenchoriented and perpendicular distance offset (arcwards negative) WA seismic profiles presented at the same scale for
comparison. Profile P02 (P02) data are from Contreras-Reyes *et al.* (2011), Profile A (PA) from Stratford *et al.*(2015), and Profile B (PB) from this study. Forearc crust generally thickens from Profile B to P02. e) Regional
FAA. f) Vertical gravity gradient (VGG), which highlights the extent of the extinct Tonga Ridge beneath the
forearc between 18 and 32°S as a highly positive gravity gradient. g) Summary of along-arc changes in forearc

- and arc structure, highlighting major tectonic boundaries and regions of LRSC deformation. Annotated features
- are: the Central and Eastern Lau Spreading Centres (CLSC and ELSC, respectively), the Fonualei Rift (FR), and
- 980 the Fonualei Discontinuity (FD).