



**A novel investigation into migrant and local health-statuses
in the past: a case study from Roman Britain**

| | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Journal: | <i>Bioarchaeology International</i> |
| Manuscript ID | bai-2017-0016.R2 |
| Manuscript Type: | Research Article |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | n/a |
| Complete List of Authors: | Redfern, Rebecca; Museum of London, Centre for Human Bioarchaeology DeWitte, Sharon; University of South Carolina, Anthropology Montgomery, Janet; Durham University, Archaeology Gowland, Rebecca; Durham University, Archaeology |
| Keywords: | Migration, Stable isotope, Disease |
| | |

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Only

1
2
3 **Title:** A novel investigation into migrant and local health-statuses in the past: a case study
4
5 from Roman Britain
6

7
8 **Key words:** mobility, cultural change, disease
9

10
11 **Authors:** Rebecca Redfern^{1*}, Sharon DeWitte², Janet Montgomery³, Rebecca Gowland³
12

13
14 ¹ Centre for Human Bioarchaeology, Museum of London, London, United Kingdom
15

16
17 ² Department of Anthropology, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina,
18
19 United States of America
20

21
22 ³ Department of Archaeology, Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom
23

24
25 * Corresponding author
26

27
28 E-mail: rredfern@museumoflondon.org.uk (RR)
29

30
31 Tel: (+44) 20 7814 5649
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

Migration continues to be a central theme in archaeology, and bioarchaeology has made significant contributions towards understanding the disease and demographic consequences of migration in different periods and places. These studies have been enhanced by stable isotope studies of mobility and diet, which have revealed further complexities.

This study integrates osteological, palaeopathological and stable isotope evidence to investigate the interrelationship between migrant and local population disease frequencies in Roman Britain. Previous analyses have identified migrants from across the Roman Empire, along with increases in the prevalence rates of infectious and metabolic diseases, poor dental health and non-specific indicators of stress. This study aims to explore the extent to which migrants and people born in Britain differed in terms of mortality risk and the frequencies of disease variables. Osteological and dental data from 151 individuals excavated from 24 Romano-British cemetery sites with mobility isotope data were statistically analysed. The results reveal significant differences between migrant and local populations for periosteal new bone formation, rib lesions, residual rickets, and dental health variables. When data were pooled for both sexes, a statistically significant difference in mortality between the two groups was also observed.

Overall, the results of this study suggest that migrants transformed patterns of disease in the Romano-British period, and combined with the changes to settlement patterns and environment, created new disease risks for both groups. The results also show that many of the key bioarchaeological indicators of change following the Roman conquest may actually reveal more about disease and health experienced in the wider Empire.

1
2
3
4
5
6 Migration remains a prominent theme in archaeology, and human skeletal remains have
7
8 proven fundamental to such studies (Baker and Tsuda 2015:3; Burmeister 2000; Hakenbeck
9
10 2008; Murphy and Klaus 2017). Clinical and epidemiological studies have shown that the
11
12 interaction between migrant and local (also known as host) populations can result in profound
13
14 and sometimes devastating impacts in terms of disease frequency (Ahonen et al. 2007;
15
16 Bhopal 2014; Mascie-Taylor and Krzyżanowska 2017). Recent advances in biomolecular
17
18 techniques have revolutionised our understanding of migration in the past (Baker and Tsuda
19
20 2015: 4-5; van Dommelen 2014) (e.g. Stantis et al. 2015). Stable isotope data from teeth have
21
22 provided important information concerning childhood origin, as well as dietary staples, which
23
24 may point to an ‘exotic’ provenance (Eckardt 2010). This study integrates the osteological,
25
26 palaeopathological and stable isotope evidence to examine the differences in disease and
27
28 mortality risk between incomers and the local population during the Roman occupation of
29
30 Britain in AD 43-410.
31
32
33

34
35 The Roman conquest of Britain resulted in a distinct and transformative shift in the
36
37 archaeological record, including new settlement patterns, material culture and funerary rites
38
39 (Mattingly 2006). Migration in Roman military and urban populations has been explored
40
41 extensively using mobility isotope studies by a number of authors (e.g., Eckardt 2010;
42
43 Eckardt et al. 2014), resulting in a substantial published dataset (see also, Martiniano et al.
44
45 2016). Bioarchaeological studies have observed disease differences between pre-Roman (late
46
47 Iron Age) and Roman populations, and also between urban and rural communities (Bonsall
48
49 2013; Redfern and DeWitte 2011a; Redfern et al. 2015; Rohnbogner 2015). Regional and
50
51 national datasets have established that mortality risk increases for males and subadults, with
52
53 increases in the frequency of infectious and metabolic diseases, dental disease and indicators
54
55 of stress post-Conquest (Redfern and DeWitte 2011b; Redfern et al. 2012).
56
57
58

1
2
3 Using Roman Britain as a case-study, our research builds on these studies by
4 investigating the extent to which these patterns of disease (see Temple and Goodman 2014)
5 and mortality risks were created and shaped by people who had travelled within the region or
6 country where they died, and those who came to Britain from abroad. It also examines
7 whether the clinical trend for differences in health patterns, particularly disease frequencies
8 and mortality rates between migrant and non-migrant (or host) populations can be established
9 in an archaeologically derived sample of human remains.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Materials and Methods**

22 **Osteological data**

23
24
25 The sample comprised a total of 24 cemetery sites from Dorset, Hampshire, Yorkshire, and
26 the Greater London area (Figure 1) for which isotope values had been published, and a
27 human bone report or archived data were accessible (Tables 1 and 2); the dataset used in the
28 study is published as a Supplementary Table 1). These sites were predominantly urban and/or
29 military in nature, as many sites, such as York and Gloucester were urban settlements
30 established by the military, who also had a permanent base there (see Wachter 2016). The
31 archaeological and stable isotope evidence revealed that these settlements had been inhabited
32 by both migrants and people whose childhoods were spent in Britain. Ancestry evidence for
33 the Roman period, gleaned from ancient DNA and forensic methods, suggests that, in some
34 instances, British-born people had non-White European heritage (Leach et al. 2009; Redfern
35 et al. 2016, 2017). Only a portion of each of the cemetery sites had been sampled for stable
36 isotope ratios (e.g. Chenery et al. 2011).
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Demographic analyses of these cemeteries revealed a male bias, which is often the
4 case in Roman cemeteries, particularly those with a military connection (Pearce 2011), and
5 this has influenced the sex ratio of the overall sample (Table 3). A total of 151 individuals
6 were included, ranging in age from infancy to older adult. Eighty-one were adult male (≥ 15
7 years old), 47 adult female, 3 adults of unknown sex, and 20 subadults aged between 10
8 months and 15 years old (Table 3, see also Supplementary Table 1). The decision to lower
9 the subadult/adult threshold to 15 years old was undertaken because in a Roman life course
10 perspective, this was the age when girls and some boys were recognised as being socially
11 adult (Harlow and Laurence 2002: 54-78).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Using previously published isotope data, an inventory of context numbers was created
24 and cross-referenced to the human bone reports. The majority of skeletons had been analysed
25 after the introduction of recording standards (Brickley and McKinley 2004; Buikstra and
26 Ubelaker 1994) meaning that, for the most part, the same methods to determine sex, estimate
27 age-at-death and identify diseases had been used. However, we recognise that inter-observer
28 error in observations and differences in recording methods presents an inescapable bias (see,
29 Roberts and Cox 2003: 26-30). For the older reports, individuals were included only when the
30 methods used to record the human remains were described and considered to be adequate.
31 Additionally, the osteological recording had to be sufficiently detailed to establish the
32 presence/absence of bones in order to accurately calculate prevalence rates. Bone
33 completeness and preservation varied greatly between cemetery sites due to a variety of
34 taphonomic factors, including differences in soil types across Britain (UK Soil Observatory
35 2016).
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 A re-evaluation of diagnoses of pathological lesions was undertaken for each
52 individual based on the descriptions of the bone and dental lesions present in the reports. This
53 was deemed necessary because of recent developments in the diagnostic criteria relating to a
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 range of metabolic and infectious diseases. The selected conditions (Table 4) were only
4
5 recorded if the requisite bones or teeth necessary for a diagnosis were present, and were
6
7 scored as present (1) or absent (0). If the requisite bones or teeth were not present or
8
9 unobservable due to taphonomic damage this was scored as a (9); for example, rickets and
10
11 osteomalacia were scored as (9) if long bones were absent due to post-mortem taphonomic
12
13 damage or truncation, as these bones are critical to making a diagnosis (Brickley and Ives
14
15 2008: 97-100, 109-111) (See Supplementary Table 1). Each individual had been previously
16
17 assessed for age and sex, and for age-at-death a mid-point value was produced from the age
18
19 range provided in order to facilitate statistical analyses (See Supplementary Table 1); for
20
21 those individuals with open-ended age estimates of >45 or >60, the values 50.5 and 60,
22
23 respectively, were used.
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **Stable and radiogenic isotope evidence for mobility**

31
32
33 The study's dataset had been published from 1998 onwards, with lead, oxygen and strontium
34
35 isotopes from dental enamel used to explore mobility (Richards and Montgomery 2012).
36
37 These isotope systems represent different environmental parameters to which an individual
38
39 was exposed, and specifically in the Roman period, anthropogenic pollutants (lead), thus
40
41 providing information about childhood residential origins (Evans et al. 2012; Montgomery
42
43 2002). By comparing data from an individual with the values prevailing in the burial
44
45 location, it is possible to reliably assert that the person had migrated to that locale before
46
47 death (Montgomery 2010).
48
49
50

51
52 As the field of stable isotope analysis has witnessed rapid development since the
53
54 1990s, it was imperative that the published values were scrutinised and re-evaluated to
55
56 establish a person's origin. The isotope values were interrogated by the third author who then
57

1
2
3 assigned the individual to one of three groups: regional local (values consistent with the
4 region where the person was buried), British (excludes regional locals, values consistent with
5 the British Isles), and non-British (values not consistent with the British Isles) (Table 2; see
6 also Supplementary Table 1). Individuals were assigned local status if both their strontium
7 and oxygen isotope ratios were consistent with origins in the biosphere overlying the geology
8 of the cemetery according to the strontium isotope tables in Evans et al. (2012) *and* with the
9 2sd oxygen isotope range of humans from either western (Winchester, Gloucester and
10 Poundbury Camp) or eastern (London and Yorkshire) England. If one of these isotope ratios
11 precluded local origins but did not preclude origins in Britain these individuals were deemed
12 to be consistent with origins in Britain. If *either* the strontium or oxygen isotope ratio fell
13 outside the ranges for Britain, *or* the individual had previously been convincingly identified
14 as of non-British origin based on published isotope evidence for exposure to non-British lead
15 (Montgomery et al. 2010; Shaw et al. 2016), or carbon isotope evidence for the consumption
16 of a C₄ diet (Müldner et al. 2011; Eckardt et al. 2015), the individual was deemed to be of
17 non-British origin. This method may return false positives, i.e. some individuals may be
18 assigned local status when they were not, but should not produce false negatives, i.e. it was
19 highly unlikely that individuals were incorrectly assigned non-local status. For some
20 individuals (see Table 2), their borderline/undiagnostic values meant that it was not
21 absolutely clear-cut which group individuals belonged to, and these were identified as
22 'possible' locals (regional or British) or 'possible' non-British.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 **Statistical analyses**

51
52 Differences between the three groups (regional local, British, and non-British) with respect to
53 the frequencies of a range of disease variables (cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis,
54
55
56
57

1
2
3 periosteal new bone formation, rib lesions, enamel hypoplastic defects, periodontal disease,
4 dental caries, and calculus) were assessed using Chi-square analyses. The second author ran
5 the statistical analyses excluding the ‘possible’ isotope groupings for cribra orbitalia, porotic
6 hyperostosis, periosteal new bone formation and rib lesions. These results were found to be
7 similar to those obtained by including ‘possible’ individuals. Therefore, these individuals
8 were included where appropriate in the three groupings for all statistical analyses (e.g. all
9 those scored as “possibly not British” were included in the “not British” group). Residual
10 rickets and tuberculosis were both rarely observed pathologies; thus, we used Fisher's exact
11 tests to assess differences in the presence of these diseases. Chi-square tests were also used to
12 assess differences in the sex distributions between the three groups. These statistical tests are
13 appropriate given that we are assessing the associations among and between categorical
14 variables (most of which are binary, i.e. the sex and disease variables).

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
Differences in survival among the three groups were assessed using Kaplan-Meier survival analysis with a log rank test and using pooled data on age from all three groups. Analysis was performed using SPSS version 23. Kaplan-Meier analyses were performed separately for samples including: individuals of all ages; adults only (≥ 15 years old); female adults; and male adults.

41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The differences in risks of mortality between all paired combinations of groups (regional local vs. British; regional local vs. non-British; British vs. non-British) were assessed by pooling the adult (≥ 15 years old) data to estimate the Gompertz hazard of adult mortality and by modeling group as a covariate affecting the baseline Gompertz hazard. The Gompertz hazard is a two-parameter, parsimonious model of adult mortality: $h(a) = \alpha e^{\beta a}$. For each paired combination of groups, all individuals in one group were assigned a covariate score of 0, and all members of the other group were assigned a score of 1, and the group

1
2
3 covariate was modeled using a proportional hazards specification. Table 6 specifies which
4 group, from each pair, was assigned the covariate value of 1 for analysis. For example, to
5 evaluate differences in mortality between regional locals and non-British people, the regional
6 locals were coded as “0” and the non-British individuals were coded as “1”; in this case, a
7 positive estimate for the parameter representing the effect of the covariate would suggest
8 non-British people were at an increased risk of death compared to regional locals, while a
9 negative estimate would suggest that non-British people were at a decreased risk of death.
10 Hazards models such as this can be applied to relatively small samples, as they smooth
11 random variation in mortality data (Gage 1988). Parameters were estimated using maximum
12 likelihood analysis with the program *mle* (Holman 2005). The fit of the full model with the
13 regional group covariate compared to a reduced model in which the value of the parameter
14 representing the regional covariate is set equal to 0 was assessed using a likelihood ratio test
15 (LRT). The LRT tests the null hypothesis that the regional group was not associated with
16 elevated nor decreased risks of death. The LRT was computed as follows: $LRT = -$
17 $2[\ln(L_{reduced}) - \ln(L_{full})]$, where LRT approximates a χ^2 distribution with $df=1$. Similarly to the
18 survival analyses described above, the hazards model was applied separately to samples
19 including: all adults; adult females, and adult males.

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Though we are wary of relying too heavily on *p*-values to evaluate our findings, given the problems associated with conventional null hypothesis significance testing (see for example, Lang et al. 1998; Rothman 1998; Goodman 1999; Cohen 2011; Trafimow and Marks 2015) and our relatively small sample sizes, we do consider *p*-values equal to or less than 0.05 to suggest a significant difference and values of 0.1 or less to indicate a trend worthy of discussion and potential future study.

Results

The results of the survival and hazards analyses are provided in Tables 5 and 6. Kaplan-Meier survival analyses (Table 5) using a sample that includes subadults (<15 years old) does not reveal significant differences among the three groups. However, using a sample that includes just the adults (males, females, and adults of "indeterminate" sex ≥ 15 years old), reveals a difference in survivorship between those from Britain and people who had migrated to it ($P=0.088$). The estimated mean survival age is lowest for the non-British people, though there is considerable overlap in the 95% confidence intervals for all groups. When adult males and females are assessed separately, the results are not statistically significant. Mean survival age for males is similar across all three groups. For females, regional locals had the highest mean survival age, and non-Britons had the lowest; however, the 95% confidence intervals for all groups overlap considerably.

For the comparison of regional local vs. non-British using a pooled sex sample (regional local = 0, non-British = 1), the positive value of the group covariate indicates higher risks of mortality for non-British people compared to the regional locals ($P=0.03$). A similar pattern was observed when analyses were restricted to females ($P=0.06$), but no differences in mortality among the groups were found for males (Table 6). No significant differences in any of the samples were observed between regional locals and British, or between British and non-British people for disease variables and indicators of stress. Overall, these results suggest that, at least among females, non-British people fared relatively poorly with respect to risk of mortality compared to regional locals (Table 6). We note that the small sample sizes might be influencing these analyses to an unknown degree.

Statistically significant differences in disease variables among the regional locals, British, or non-British people were observed for periosteal new bone formation, rib lesions,

1
2
3 and residual rickets (frequencies are shown in Tables 7 and 8, and the results of statistical
4 analyses are shown in Table 9). For each of these variables, regional locals have the lowest
5 frequencies of palaeopathology. For residual rickets, regional and British individuals have
6 similarly low frequencies. However, for periosteal new bone formation and rib lesions, the
7 British are similar to non-Britons in having relatively high frequencies compared to regional
8 locals. No significant differences in tuberculosis frequencies are observed, though we note
9 that very few people in general had signs of this disease in their skeleton (Table 7 and 8).
10
11 However, it is interesting to note that non-British people were more likely to show evidence
12 for tuberculosis (Table 8).
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Substantial differences among the regional groups are also observed for dental health
24 pathologies (periodontal disease, carious lesions, and dental calculus) (Table 10). In general,
25 Britons had the highest frequencies of all dental health pathologies. Periodontal disease
26 frequencies were lowest among non-Britons, but for both carious lesions and dental calculus,
27 regional locals and non-Britons had similar relatively low frequencies (compared to British
28 locals).
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 As expected, the sex distributions varied across the three groups (Tables 3 and 9, $P=$
38 0.075). The non-British sample contains the highest proportion of females and the British
39 sample has the lowest. As shown in Table 9, the difference in sex distribution between the
40 British and non-British samples is statistically significant ($P = 0.03$).
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Discussion

50
51
52 The most important finding of this analysis is that the disparity between late Iron Age
53 and Romano-British patterns of disease, particularly for periosteal new bone formation, rib
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 lesions and residual rickets appears to be primarily driven by migrants from elsewhere in the
4
5 Empire. This explanation has been one of several alternatives posited within the literature
6
7 (amongst others, Redfern and DeWitte 2011a; Roberts and Cox 2003), and our research has
8
9 demonstrated that this hypothesis should come to the fore in future interpretations. This result
10
11 has implications for how living environments (e.g. urban centres) and the consequences of
12
13 Roman colonisation are interpreted and understood (Gowland and Redfern 2010), and
14
15 prompts us to re-evaluate the conclusion that Roman colonisation saw a general increase in
16
17 disease. The result is not a cause-and-effect outcome of conquest and colonisation; instead,
18
19 these data reflect greater variation in disease states in correlation with the increasingly
20
21 diverse population composition. This is not to say that the urban centres did not negatively
22
23 impact their inhabitants, but rather that these centres altered disease patterns bi-directionally
24
25 between different communities. The results indicate a mixture of time spent in Britain and
26
27 elsewhere in the Empire, with the negative long-term consequences of people's childhood
28
29 environments being 'seen' in Britain, because this is where these migrants died.
30
31
32
33

34 Each new community and settlement created by migrants from within and outside of
35
36 Britain, as well as local indigenous people, would have created heterogeneous disease
37
38 environments, because each person would have had a unique migration experience and
39
40 individual history of disease. The ability for an individual to create changes at the population
41
42 level is frequently seen in migrant health studies; for example, it only takes one person
43
44 carrying an infectious disease for many thousands to become infected (Ahonen et al. 2007;
45
46 Mascie-Taylor and Krzyżanowska 2017; Odone et al. 2015). Such a situation may explain
47
48 why patterns of disease in Roman Britain have been found to substantially differ between
49
50 settlement types, but also between those supposedly of the 'same' type, such as urban
51
52 settlements (Bonsall 2013; Rohnbogner and Lewis 2016; Redfern et al. 2015).
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Differences in disease frequencies between people whose childhoods were spent in
4 Britain versus those who grew up elsewhere may be evidenced by variations in the frequency
5 of non-specific indicators of stress (e.g., enamel hypoplastic defects) (Goodman and Martin
6 2002). Our finding that non-British migrants had the highest rates of enamel hypoplastic
7 defects (though not statistically significant in our analyses), is notable as this is one of the
8 most frequently observed differences between pre- and post-Conquest populations (e.g. Peck
9 2009; Redfern 2007; Roberts and Cox 2003). It is problematic to interpret this disease
10 variable as evidence of the detrimental impact of new settlement types and increased
11 childhood adversity in Britain (Redfern 2007; Redfern and DeWitte 2011a; Redfern et al.
12 2012, 2015; Redfern and Roberts 2005; Rohnbogner 2015). Instead, as Gowland and
13 Redfern (2010; Redfern and Gowland 2011) proposed, enamel hypoplastic defects record
14 childhood insults to health experienced elsewhere in the Empire, compounded by different
15 living environments and childcare practices, the negative impacts of which are seen in the
16 high frequencies of enamel hypoplastic defects reported from other locales in the Empire,
17 especially Italy (amongst others, Caldarini et al. 2006; Henneberg and Henneberg 2005;
18 Paine et al. 2009; Gowland and Garnsey 2010). Crucially, these findings underline the point
19 that the Roman Empire encompassed great diversity in terms of economic development,
20 compounded by stark environmental and sociocultural differences between the Mediterranean
21 heartland, which had large established urban settlements for many hundreds of years
22 compared to the peripheral territories (Garnsey and Saller 1987; Laurence and Berry 1998;
23 Mattingly 2010; Nevett and Perkins 2000; Woolf 1998).

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49 The higher frequency of periosteal new bone formation in migrants compared to
50 regional local and British individuals may reflect a response to being confronted with new
51 environmental conditions. The transformation of Britain's wider and local living
52 environments, food-ways and population under Roman rule may also explain why a high
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 frequency of periosteal new bone formation was observed in British-locals. For example, the
4 increase in rib lesions hints at a higher prevalence of specific infectious disease, exposure to
5 pollution through work, and greater indoor pollution, probably exacerbated by a decreased
6 local air quality due to people living in larger communities than ever before (Roberts et al.
7 1994, 1998; Roberts and Lewis 2002).
8
9
10
11
12

13
14 Each disease variable studied provides its own perspective on migrant health and the
15 impact on the indigenous population through the creation of these new communities and
16 living environments. One of the key changes post-conquest is the decline in dental health for
17 both sexes and all age-groups (Redfern 2007; Roberts and Cox 2003). The marked increase
18 in carious lesions and dental calculus follows a post-Conquest shift in dietary patterns from
19 the over-whelming terrestrial and low sugar food-ways of the late Iron Age communities,
20 which display little intra- or inter-community variation, to the post-Conquest diet with
21 increased levels of marine resources, more sugar (e.g. dried fruits, with honey frequently used
22 as a sweetener) and greater variation between age, sex and status groups, as well as between
23 settlement types (e.g. rural-urban diets Cool 2006) (Bonsall 2014; King 1999a, 1999b, 2001;
24 Locker 2007; Peck 2009; Redfern et al. 2012; van der Veen et al. 2008).
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Another interesting finding is the relationship between migrant status and residual
40 rickets. This metabolic disease is caused by a deficiency of vitamin D, usually sustained
41 because of a lack of exposure to sunlight (Brickley and Ives 2008; Brickley et al. 2010: 78-
42 81). Research has demonstrated that being vitamin D deficient increases the likelihood of
43 developing poor general health, as it negatively impacts on a person's immune system
44 (Brickley et al. 2014; Snoddy et al. 2016). The clinical literature has also associated high
45 lead exposure with the development of rickets in children (Caffey 1938; Gordon and
46 Whitehead 1949), and this period saw an increase in the use of lead (e.g. water-pipes and
47 especially the consumption of bio-available lead compounds), a trend evidenced by a rise in
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 lead levels in human remains (Aufderheide et al. 1992; Budd et al. 2004; Lessler 1983;
4
5 Montgomery et al. 2010; Nriuagu 1983; Retief 2005; Scarborough 1984).
6
7

8 The limb and spinal deformities caused by vitamin D deficiency were known to the
9
10 Romans, being described in two medical texts (e.g. Soranus 1991: Book II) (Rajakumar
11
12 2003). Ancient historians have proposed that even sun-rich cities such as Rome were prime
13
14 centres for the conditions which create rickets, because of dietary insufficiencies produced by
15
16 the population's over-reliance on cereals and cultural practices such as sun avoidance and
17
18 clothing (Gowland and Redfern 2010; Minozzi et al. 2012; Molto 2000; Soliman El-Banna et
19
20 al. 2014).
21
22

23
24 Prior to this study, the presence of rickets in Roman Britain was understood to be
25
26 another indicator of significant post-Conquest change, and the rare cases of this disease
27
28 suggest that cultural buffering may have influenced this result (Gowland and Redfern 2010;
29
30 Redfern and DeWitte 2011a, 2011b; Redfern and Gowland 2011; Roberts and Cox 2003;
31
32 Rohnbogner 2015). However, our results suggest that the increase in prevalence of rickets
33
34 reflects childhoods spent elsewhere in the Empire. For example, the burial of a 14 year old
35
36 girl from Roman London whose isotope results were consistent with a childhood spent in the
37
38 southern Mediterranean, and who had lived in London for at least four years before her death
39
40 (Arthur et al. 2016; Redfern et al. 2016), exhibited mild bowing deformities consistent with
41
42 rickets in younger childhood (Brickley and Ives 2008: 97-100; Brickley et al. 2010; Redfern
43
44 et al. 2017).
45
46

47
48 There is a marked increase in the number of individuals with tuberculosis from the
49
50 late Iron Age (Redfern and DeWitte 2011a; Roberts and Cox 2003: 120), but there was no
51
52 statistical difference between the groups for tuberculosis, although non-British people
53
54 showed a greater prevalence of the disease along with rib lesions (Kelley and Micozzi 1984;
55
56
57

1
2
3 Mariotti et al. 2015; Roberts et al. 1994; Santos and Roberts 2001). There are many reported
4 cases of tuberculosis from across the Roman Empire, including Rome (amongst others, Canci
5 et al. 2006; Hajdu et al. 2012; Hlavenková et al. 2015; Rubini et al. 2014). The Empire
6 produced and enabled multiple causes and pathways of infection: urban settlements, many of
7 which had poor sanitation, with homes densely packed together; long- and short-distance
8 population movement (e.g. trade), including the forced or free migration of vulnerable people
9 (e.g. migrants and the enslaved) to new environments, many of which were experiencing
10 socio-economic stress (i.e. structural violence); and congenital infection due to maternal
11 infection during pregnancy (Eddy 2015; Farmer 2004a, 2004b; Mittal et al. 2014).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 One of the more surprising findings of our study was that female migrants had a
24 higher mortality risk, despite having the biological advantage of an enhanced immune system
25 (Gubbels-Bupp 2015; Jaillon et al. 2017). Earlier work in Dorset, demonstrated that it was
26 adult males rather than females who had a higher mortality risk (Redfern and DeWitte
27 2011b), but this work was unable to distinguish between migrants and locals, as only a few
28 individuals in the region had been analysed for mobility (Richards et al. 1998). We suggest
29 that our new result provides a more nuanced insight into intra-sex differences in health,
30 because only female migrants show a higher prevalence of pathologies. There is increasing
31 evidence for female mobility in the Roman Empire, as part of the military community, as
32 slaves, or due to family circumstances, occupations, and economic activities (e.g. merchants)
33 (Allason-Jones 2005; Allison 2006; Becker 2006; Greene 2012, 2013; Hemelrijk 2015;
34 Kleijwegt 2012; Saller 1998). Within Roman society, females were seen as 'less' than males
35 and their social, economic and political freedoms were curtailed, resulted in embedded
36 structural inequalities (Redfern forthcoming). For many females (free or enslaved) their lives
37 were tied to the domestic sphere (Allason-Jones 2005; Dixon 2001; Evans Grubb 2002;
38 Hemelrijk 2015; Saller 1998), which increased the likelihood of developing diseases
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 associated with pollution (i.e. rib lesions), because of living and working in homes with poor
4 ventilation (Roberts and Lewis 2002). Such a life-style could also limit their access to
5 sunlight, thus increasing their risk of developing vitamin D deficiency (Brickley and Ives
6 2008: 77-82; Brickley et al. 2014), particularly in Britain where during the autumn and winter
7 months, the sunlight is not strong enough for the body to metabolise the necessary quantities
8 of vitamin D to ensure good health (Diffey 2013). This would have been a greater risk for
9 those individuals from the Mediterranean and the near East with darker skin pigmentation
10 (Chandler 2001; Eckardt et al. 2014; Leach et al. 2009). Isotopic data from across the Empire
11 have established that in many locales, including Britain, female diets were less diverse and
12 more cereal-based than adult males (Powell et al. 2014) (see also, Prowse et al. 2004, 2005).
13 Such a diet would additionally increase the risk of females developing an iron deficiency,
14 because of the iron inhibiting chelates found in cereals (Stuart-Macadam and Kent 1992: 83,
15 137).

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 Unfortunately, due to the small sample size and because the majority of these
33 samples have not been precisely dated (either stratigraphically or using radiocarbon), we are
34 unable to test whether there are temporal changes throughout the Roman period in Britain.
35
36 The predominance of cremation burial during the earlier period of Roman occupation also
37 creates a bias towards skeletal samples dating to later Roman Britain. Nor were we able to
38 investigate detailed differences in health between rural and urban settlements due to a current
39 dearth in isotopically-tested samples from rural sites. It is likely that this situation will
40 improve in the near future with an increasing research focus on Roman rural settlements
41 (Smith et al. 2016).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Conclusions**

56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 This analysis is the first bioarchaeological study to unite osteological and isotope data to
4 investigate how migrant and local population influenced each other's patterns of disease. For
5 Roman Britain, the changes in disease frequencies traditionally used as evidence for the
6 transformation in living environment and culture instead relate to childhoods and lives spent
7 outside of the province. Childhoods across the Empire were often compromised by disease,
8 and in some instances by childcare practices.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 Although urban centres must have played an important role in changing patterns of
17 disease in Roman Britain, it was the composition of the people living within these and other
18 settlements across Britain which really produced a transformation in the bioarchaeological
19 record. The unique, heterogeneous life-ways of migrants, fundamentally altered disease
20 transmission and frequencies, changed mortality rates and in conjunction with the
21 introduction of new food-and life-ways, transformed disease patterns from the late Iron Age.
22 The development of new settlements, inhabited by internal migrants and those from overseas,
23 created new environments which posed health challenges for all, despite many growing-up in
24 some of the most urbanised places in Europe. Therefore, many of the key indicators of
25 changing disease frequencies associated with the Roman conquest and colonisation of Britain
26 actually reveal more about the increased heterogeneity of communities in Britain.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **Acknowledgements**

48
49 RR is most grateful to Sally Brooks (MoL), Greg Speed (Northern Archaeological
50 Associates), Malin Holst and Anwen Caffell (York Osteoarchaeology Ltd), Louise Loe,
51 Sharon Clough, Ceri Boston, Angela Boyle and Nicholas Márquez-Grant (current and former
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 staff at Oxford Archaeology), and Simon Mays (Historic England) for all their help in
4
5 sourcing many of the site reports and osteological data used in this study; Heather Bonney
6
7 (NHM) for providing access to the Poundbury Camp and Trentholme Drive populations,
8
9 Verity Anthony (Bath and North East Somerset County Council) for sharing the information
10
11 from Bath, Emma-Jayne Graham (Open University) for her advice about the swaddling of
12
13 infants, and the MoL donors who supported much of the work undertaken on the London
14
15 material. Finally, we are very grateful for the constructive comments provided by anonymous
16
17 reviewers.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **References cited**

- 27
28 Ahonen, E. Q., F. G. Benavides and J. Benach. 2007. Immigrant populations, work and
29 health--a systematic literature review. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 33 (2):96-104.
30 DOI: 10.5271/sjweh.1112.
31
32 Allason-Jones, Lindsay. 2005. *Women in Roman Britain*. 2nd Edition ed. Council for British
33 Archaeology, York.
34
35 Allison, Penelope M. 2006. Mapping for gender. Interpreting artefact distribution inside 1st-
36 and 2nd-century A.D. forts in Roman Germany. *Archaeological Dialogues* 13 (1):1-
37 20. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203806211851>.
38
39 Arthur, Nichola A., Rebecca Gowland and Rebecca C. Redfern. 2016. Coming of age in
40 Roman Britain: osteological evidence for pubertal timing. *American Journal of*
41 *Physical Anthropology* 159:698-713. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.22929.
42
43 Aufderheide, A., G. Rapp Jr, L.E. Wittmers Jr, J.E. Wallgren, R. Macchiarelli, G. Fornaciari,
44 F. Mallengi and R.S. Corruccini. 1992. Lead exposure in Italy: 800BC-700AD.
45 *International Journal of Anthropology* 7 (2):9-15. DOI: 10.1007/BF02444992.
46
47 Baker, Brenda J., and Takeyuki Tsuda. 2015. Introduction: Bridging the past and present in
48 assessing migration. In *Migration and disruption. Toward a unifying theory of*
49 *ancient and contemporary migrations*, edited by Brenda J. Baker and Takeyuki
50 Tsuda. University of Florida Press, Florida. pp. 3-14.
51
52 Barber, Bruno, and David Bowsher, eds. 2000. *The eastern cemetery of Roman London.*
53 *Excavations 1983-1990*. MoLAS Monograph 4. Museum of London, London.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Becker, T. 2006. Women in Roman forts- lack of knowledge or a social claim?
4 *Archaeological Dialogues* 13 (1):36-38. DOI:
5 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1380203806261853>.
6
- 7 Bhopal, Raj S. 2014. *Migration, ethnicity, race and health in multicultural societies*. 2nd
8 edition ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
9
- 10 Blakely, Michael L. 2001. Bioarchaeology of the African Diaspora in the Americas: its
11 origins and scope. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 30:387-422. DOI:
12 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.30.1.387>.
13
- 14 Bonsall, Laura. 2014. A comparison of female and male oral health in skeletal populations
15 from late Roman Britain: Implications for diet. *Archives of Oral Biology* 59
16 (12):1279-1300. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2014.07.019>.
17
- 18
19 Bonsall, Laura. 2013. *Variations in the health status of urban populations in Roman Britain:
20 a comparison of skeletal samples from major and minor towns*. Ph.D. thesis, The
21 University of Edinburgh. Edinburgh.
22
- 23 Booth, Paul, Andrew Simmonds, Angela Boyle, Sharon Clough, Hilary EM Cool and Daniel
24 Poore. (eds), *The late Roman cemetery at Lankhills, Winchester - excavations 2000-
25 2005*. Oxford Archaeology, Oxford.
26
- 27 Brickley, Megan, and Rachel Ives. 2008. *The bioarchaeology of metabolic bone disease*.
28 Academic Press, London.
29
- 30
31 Brickley, Megan, Simon Mays and Rachel Ives. 2010. Evaluation and interpretation of
32 residual rickets deformities in adults. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*
33 20:54-66. DOI: 10.1002/oa.1007.
34
- 35 Brickley, Megan, and Jacqueline McKinley, eds. 2004. *Guidance to the standards for
36 recording human skeletal remains*. Institute of Field Archaeologists, British
37 Association of Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology, Reading.
38
- 39
40 Brickley, Megan B, Tina Moffat and Leila Watamaniuk. 2014. Biocultural perspectives of
41 vitamin D deficiency in the past. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 36:48-59.
42 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2014.08.002>.
43
- 44 Budd, Paul, Janet Montgomery, Jane Evans and Mark Trickett. 2004. Human lead exposure
45 in England from approximately 5500 BP to the 16th century AD. *Science of The Total
46 Environment* 318 (1):45-58. DOI: 10.1016/S0048-9697(03)00357-7.
47
- 48 Buikstra, Jane E, and Douglas H Ubelaker. 1994. *Standards for data collection from human
49 skeletal remains*, Vol. 44. Arkansas Archaeological Survey Research Series. Arkansas
50 Archaeological Survey, Arkansas.
51
- 52 Burmeister, Stefan. 2000. Archaeology and migration. Approaches to an archaeological
53 proof of migration. *Current Anthropology* 41 (4):539-567. DOI: 10.1086/317383
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Caffey, John. 1938. Lead poisoning associated with active rickets: report of a case with
4 absence of lead lines in the skeleton. *American Journal of Diseases of Children* 55
5 (4):798-806. DOI: 10.1001/archpedi.1938.01980100134010
6
- 7 Caldarini, C., M. Caprara, L. Caboni, F. De Angelis, S. Di Giannantonio, S. Minozzi, W.
8 Pantano, P. Preziosi and P. Catalano. 2006. Vivere a Roma in età Imperiale: evidenze
9 antropologiche da recenti scavi nel suburbio. *Medicina nei Secoli* 18 (3):799-814.
10
- 11 Canci, A., L. Nencioni, S. Minozzi, P. Catalano, D. Caramella and G Fornaciari. 2006. A
12 case of healing spinal infection from Classical Rome. *Paleopatologia*:16533.
13
- 14 Chandler, Helen. 2001. *Ancient DNA analysis from two Roman burials (ATT99 sk1, ATT99*
15 *sk2) at Bath*. Cellular Genetics Group, Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of
16 Oxford.
17
- 18
19 Chenery, Carolyn, Hella Eckardt and Gundula Müldner. 2011. Cosmopolitan Catterick?
20 Isotopic evidence for population mobility on Rome's Northern frontier. *Journal of*
21 *Archaeological Science* 38 (7):1525-1536. DOI: 10.1016/j.jas.2011.02.018.
22
- 23 Clough, Sharon, and Angela Boyle. 2010. Inhumations and disarticulated human bone. In *The*
24 *late Roman cemetery at Lankhills, Winchester - excavations 2000-2005*, edited by P.
25 Booth, A. Simmonds, A. Boyle, S. Clough, H.E.M. Cool and D. Poore. Oxford
26 Archaeology Oxford. pp. 339-399.
27
- 28
29 Cohen, H.W. 2011. P Values: Use and Misuse in Medical Literature. *American Journal of*
30 *Hypertension* 24: 18-23.
31
- 32 Cool, Hilary EM. 2006. *Eating and drinking in Roman Britain*. Cambridge University Press,
33 Cambridge.
34
- 35 Diffey, B.L. 2013. Modelling vitamin D status due to oral intake and sun exposure in an adult
36 British population. *British Journal of Nutrition* 110 (3):569-577.
37
- 38 Dixon, Suzanne. 2001. *Reading Roman women: sources, genres and real lives*. Duckworth,
39 Oxford.
40
- 41 Eckardt, Hella, ed. 2010. Roman diasporas: archaeological approaches to mobility and
42 diversity in the Roman Empire. *Journal of Roman Archaeology Supplementary Series*
43 78.
44
- 45
46 Eckardt, Hella, Gundula Müldner and Mary Lewis. 2014. People on the move in Roman
47 Britain. *World Archaeology* 46 (4):1-17. DOI: 10.1080/00438243.2014.931821.
48
- 49 Eckardt Hella, Gundula Müldner and Greg Speed. 2015. The late Roman field army in
50 northern Britain? Mobility, material culture and multi-isotope analysis at Scorton
51 (N.Yorks). *Britannia* 46: 191-223.
52
- 53 Eddy, J.J. 2015. The ancient city of Rome, its empire, and the spread of tuberculosis in
54 Europe. *Tuberculosis* 95 (1):S23-28. DOI: 10.1016/j.tube.2015.02.005.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Evans Grubb, Judith. 2002. *Women and the law in the Roman Empire: a sourcebook on*
4 *marriage, divorce and widowhood*. Routledge, London.
5
- 6 Evans, Jane A., Carolyn A Chenery and Janet Montgomery. 2012. A summary of strontium
7 and oxygen isotope variation in archaeological human tooth enamel excavated from
8 Britain. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry* 27 (5):754-764. DOI:
9 10.1039/C2JA10362A.
10
- 11 Farmer, Paul. 2004a. An anthropology of structural violence. *Current Anthropology* 45
12 (3):305-325. DOI: 10.1086/382250.
13
- 14 2004b. On suffering and structural violence: a view from below. In *Violence in war and*
15 *peace an anthology*, edited by Nancy Scheper-Hughes and Paul Bourgois. Blackwell
16 Publishing, Oxford. pp. 281-289.
17
- 18 Gage, T.B. 1988. Mathematical hazard models of mortality: an alternative to model life
19 tables. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 76:429-441. DOI:
20 10.1002/ajpa.1330760403
21
- 22 Garnsey, Peter, and Richard Saller. 1987. *The Roman Empire: economy, society and culture*.
23 University of California Press, California.
24
- 25 Goodman, Alan H., and Debra L. Martin. 2002. Reconstructing health profiles from skeletal
26 remains. In *The backbone of history: health and nutrition in the Western hemisphere*,
27 edited by Richard H. Steckel and Jerome C. Rose. Cambridge University Press,
28 Cambridge. pp. 11-60.
29
- 30 Goodman, S.N. 1999. Toward evidence-based medical statistics 1: The P Value Fallacy.
31 *Annals of Internal Medicine* 130: 995-1004.
32
- 33 Gordon, I., and T.P. Whitehead. 1949. Lead poisoning in an infant from lead nipple-shields
34 association with rickets. *The Lancet* 254 (6580):647-650. DOI:
35 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(49\)91280-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(49)91280-5).
36
- 37 Gowland, Rebecca L. and Peter Garnsey. 2010. Skeletal evidence for health nutritional status
38 and malaria in Rome and the empire. *Journal of Roman Archaeology Supplementary*
39 *Series* 76: 131-156.
40
- 41 Gowland, Rebecca L., and Rebecca C. Redfern. 2010. Childhood health in the Roman World:
42 perspectives from the centre and margin of the Empire. *Childhood in the Past: An*
43 *International Journal* (3):15-42. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/cip.2010.3.1.15>.
44
- 45 Greene, Elizabeth. 2012. Sulpicia Lepidina and Elizabeth Custer: a cross-cultural analogy for
46 the social roles of women on a military frontier. In *TRAC 2011. Proceedings of the*
47 *Twenty First Theoretical Roman Archaeology Conference, Newcastle 2011*, edited by
48 M. Duggan, F. McIntosh and D. Rohl. Oxbow Books, Oxford. pp. 105-114.
49
- 50 2013. Female networks in the military communities of the Roman West: a view from the
51 Vindolanda tablets. In *Women and the Roman City in the Latin West*, edited by Emily
52 A. Hemelrijk and Greg Woolf. Brill, Amsterdam. pp. 369-390.
53

- 1
2
3
4 Gubbels-Bupp, Melanie, R. 2015. Sex, the aging immune system, and chronic disease. *Cell*
5 *Immunology* 294.2:102-110.
6
- 7 Hajdu, T., E. Fóthi, I. Kővári, M. Merczi, A. Molnár, G. Maász, P. Avar, A. Marcsik and L.
8 Márk. 2012. Bone tuberculosis in Roman period Pannonia (western Hungary).
9 *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 107 (8):1048-1053. DOI:
10 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762012000800014>.
11
- 12 Hakenbeck, Susanne. 2008. Migration in archaeology: are we nearly there yet?
13 *Archaeological Review from Cambridge* 23 (2):9-26.
14
- 15 Harlow, Mary, and Ray Laurence. 2002. *Growing up and growing old in ancient Rome: a life*
16 *course approach*. Routledge, London.
17
- 18 Hemelrijk, Emily A. 2015. *Hidden lives, public personae: women and civic life in the Roman*
19 *West*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
20
- 21 Henneberg, Maciej, and Renata J. Henneberg. 2005. Human skeletal material from Pompeii:
22 a unique source of information about ancient life. *Automata* 1:23-37. DOI:
23 <http://hdl.handle.net/2440/37252>.
24
- 25 Hillson, Simon. 2005. *Dental anthropology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
26
- 27 Hlavenková, L., M.D. Teasdale, O. Gábor, G. Nagy, R. Beňuš, A. Marcsik, R. Pinhasi and T.
28 Hajdu. 2015. Childhood bone tuberculosis from Roman Pécs, Hungary. *Homo* 66
29 (1):27-37. DOI: 10.1016/j.jchb.2014.10.001.
30
- 31 Humphrey, Louise T., and Tania King. 2000. Childhood stress: a lifetime legacy.
32 *Anthropologie* 38(1): 33-49.
33
- 34 Holman, D.J. 2005. A programming language for building likelihood models. Version 2.1.
35 Seattle, WA.
36
- 37 Jaillon, Sebastien, Kevin Berthenet and Cecilia Garlanda. 2017. Sexual dimorphism in innate
38 immunity. *Clinical Reviews in Allergy & Immunology* DOI: 10.1007/s12016-017-
39 8648-x.
40
- 41 Kelley, M.A. and M.C. Micozzi. 1984. Rib lesions in chronic pulmonary tuberculosis.
42 *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 65:381-386. DOI:
43 [10.1002/ajpa.1330650407](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajpa.1330650407).
44
- 45 King, Andrew. 1999a. Diet in the Roman world: a regional inter-site comparison of the
46 mammal bones. *Journal of Roman Archaeology* 12:168-202. DOI:
47 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1047759400017979>.
48
- 49 1999b. Animals and the Roman Army: evidence of animal bones. *Journal of Roman*
50 *Archaeology Supplementary Series* 34:139-150.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 2001. The Romanization of diet in the western Empire: comparative archaeozoological
4 studies. In *Italy and the West: comparative issues in Romanization*, edited by Simon
5 Keay and Nicola Terrenato. Oxbow Books, Oxford. pp. 210-223.
6
7 Kleijwegt, Marc. 2012. Deciphering freedwomen in the Roman Empire. In *Free at last! The*
8 *impact of freed slaves on the Roman Empire*, edited by Sinclair Bell and Teresa
9 Ramsby. Bloomsbury, London. pp. 110-129.
10
11 Lang, J.M., K.J. Rothman and C.I. Cann. 1998. That confounded P-value. *Epidemiology* 9:7-
12 8.
13
14 Laurence, Ray, and Joanne Berry. 1998. *Cultural identity in the Roman empire*. Routledge,
15 London.
16
17 Leach, Stephanie, Mary Lewis, Carolyn Chenery, Hella Eckardt and Gundula Müldner. 2009.
18 Migration and diversity in Roman Britain: a multidisciplinary approach to immigrants
19 in Roman York, England. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 140:546-561
20 DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.21104.
21
22
23 Lessler, M.A. 1983. *Lead and lead poisoning from antiquity to modern times*. John Wiley-
24 Liss Ltd., New York.
25
26 Lewis, Mary E. 2011. Tuberculosis in the non-adults from Romano-British Poundbury Camp,
27 Dorset, England. *International Journal of Paleopathology* 1 (1):12-23. DOI:
28 <https://doi.org/10.1177/10454411000110030601>.
29
30
31 Locker, Alison. 2007. In piscibus diversis: the bone evidence for fish consumption in Roman
32 Britain. *Britannia* XXXVIII:141-180. DOI:
33 <https://doi.org/10.3815/000000007784016520> 000000007784016520.
34
35 Mariotti, Valentina, Micol Zuppello, Maria Elena Pedrosi, Matteo Bettuzzi, Rosa Brancaccio,
36 Eva Peccenini, Maria Pia Morigi and Maria Giovanna Belcastro. 2015. Skeletal
37 evidence of tuberculosis in a modern identified human skeletal collection (Certosa
38 cemetery, Bologna, Italy). *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 157:389-401.
39 DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.22727.
40
41
42 Martiniano, R., A. Caffell, M. Holst, K. Hunter-Mann, J. Montgomery, G. Muldner, R.L.
43 McLaughlin, M.D. Teasdale, W. van Rheezen, J.H. Veldink, L.H. van der Berg, O.
44 Hardiman, M. Carroll, S. Roskams, J. Oxley, C. Morgan, M.G. Thomas, I. Barnes, C.
45 McDonnell, M.J. Collins and D.G. Bradley. 2016. Genomic signals of migration and
46 continuity in Britain before the Anglo-Saxons. *Nature Communications* 7 (10326):1-
47 8. DOI: doi: 10.1038/ncomms10326.
48
49 Mattingly, David. 2006. *An imperial possession: Britain in the Roman Empire*. Penguin
50 Books Ltd, London.
51
52
53 2010. *Imperialism, power, and identity: experiencing the Roman Empire*. Princeton
54 University Press, New Jersey.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Mascie-Taylor, C.G.N., and M. Krzyżanowska. 2017. Biological aspects of human migration
4 and mobility. *Annals of Human Biology*. DOI: 10.1080/03014460.2017.1313448.
5
- 6 Minozzi, S., P. Catalano, C. Caldarini and G. Fornaciari. 2012. Palaeopathology of human
7 remains from the Roman Imperial Age. *Pathobiology* 79 (5):268-283. DOI:
8 10.1159/000338097.
9
- 10 Mittal, H., S. Das and M.M.A Faridi. 2014. Management of newborn infant born to mother
11 suffering from tuberculosis: current recommendations and gaps in knowledge. *The*
12 *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 140 (1):32-39. DOI: PMC4181157.
13
- 14 Molto, El. 2000. Humerus varus deformity in Roman period burials from Kellis 2, Dakhleh,
15 Egypt. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 113:103-109. DOI:
16 10.1002/1096-8644(200009)113:1<103::AID-AJPA9>3.0.CO;2-A.
17
- 18
19 Montgomery, Janet. 2002. *Lead and Strontium Isotope Compositions of Human Dental*
20 *Tissues as an Indicator of Ancient Exposure and Population Dynamics*. Ph.D. thesis,
21 University of Bradford. Bradford.
22
- 23
24 2010. Passports from the past: investigating human dispersals using strontium isotope
25 analysis of tooth enamel. *Annals of Human Biology* 37 (3):325-346. DOI:
26 10.3109/03014461003649297.
27
- 28 Montgomery, Janet, Jane A. Evans, Simon R. Chenery, Vanessa Pashley and Kristina
29 Killgrove. 2010. 'Gleaming, white and deadly': the use of lead to track human
30 exposure and geographic origins in the Roman period in Britain. *Journal of Roman*
31 *Archaeology Supplementary Series* 76:199-126.
32
- 33
34 Montgomery, Janet, Christopher Knüsel and Katie Tucker. 2011. Identifying the origins of
35 the decapitated male skeletons from 3 Driffield Terrace, York, through the isotope
36 analysis: reflections on the cosmopolitan nature of Roman York in the time of
37 Caracalla. In *The bioarchaeology of the human head: decapitation, decoration and*
38 *deformation*, edited by Michelle Bonogofsky. Florida: University Press of Florida.
39 pp. 141-178.
40
- 41 Müldner, Gundula, Carolyn, Chenery and Hella Eckardt. 2011. The 'Headless Romans':
42 multi-isotope investigations of an usual burial ground from Roman Britain. *Journal*
43 *of Archaeological Science* 38: 280-290.
44 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2010.09.003>.
45
- 46
47 Murphy, Melissa S., and Hagen D. Klaus, eds. 2017. *Colonized bodies, worlds transformed:*
48 *toward a global bioarchaeology of contact and colonialism*. University of Florida
49 Press, Florida.
50
- 51
52 Nevelt, Lisa, and Phil Perkins. 2000. Urbanism and urbanization in the Roman world. In
53 *Experiencing Rome. Culture, identity and power in the Roman Empire*, edited by
54 Janet Huskinson. Routledge, London. pp. 213-244.
55
- 56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Odone, A., T. Tillmann, A. Sandgren, G. Williams, B. Rechel, D. Ingleby, T. Noori, P.
4 Mladovsky and M. McKee. 2015. Tuberculosis among migrant populations in the
5 European Union and the European Economic Area *European Journal of Public*
6 *Health* 25 (3):506-512. DOI: 10.1093/eurpub/cku208.
- 7 Paine, R.R., R. Vargiu, C. Signoretti and A. Coppa. 2009. A health assessment for Imperial
8 Roman burials recovered from the necropolis of San Donato and Bivio CH, Urbino,
9 Italy. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences* 87:193-210.
- 10
11
12 Pearce, John. 2011. Representations and realities: cemeteries as evidence for women in
13 Roman Britain. *Medicina nei Secoli* 23 (1):227-254.
- 14
15 Peck, Joshua J. 2009. *The biological impact of culture contact: a bioarchaeological study of*
16 *Roman colonialism in Britain*. Ph.D. thesis, Ohio State University. Ohio.
- 17
18 Powell, Lindsay A., Rebecca C. Redfern and Andrew R. Millard. 2014. Infant feeding
19 practices in Roman London: the isotopic evidence. *Journal of Roman Archaeology*
20 *Supplementary Series* 96: 89-110.
- 21
22 Prowse, Tracy, Henry P. Schwarcz, Shelley Saunders, Roberto Macchiarelli and Luca
23 Bondioli. 2004. Isotopic paleodiet studies of skeletons from the Imperial Roman-age
24 cemetery of Isola Sacra, Rome, Italy. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 31 (3):259-
25 272. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2003.08.008>.
- 26
27
28 2005. Isotopic evidence for age-related variation in diet from Isola Sacra, Italy. *American*
29 *Journal of Physical Anthropology* 128:2-13. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.20094.
- 30
31 Rajakumar, K. 2003. Vitamin D, cod-liver oil, sunlight and rickets: a historical perspective.
32 *Pediatrics* 112 (2):e132.
- 33
34 Redfern, Rebecca C. 2007. The influence of culture upon childhood: an osteological study of
35 Iron Age and Romano-British Dorset. *Journal of Roman Archaeology Supplementary*
36 *Series* 65: 171-194.
- 37
38 forthcoming. Gendered violence in late Iron Age and Roman Britain. In *The Cambridge*
39 *World History of Violence*, edited by Linda Fibiger, Philip G. Dwyer and Joy
40 Damousi. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. pp. unknown at present.
- 41
42
43 Redfern, Rebecca C., and Sharon N. DeWitte. 2011a. A new approach to the study of
44 Romanization in Britain: a regional perspective of cultural change in late Iron Age
45 and Roman Dorset using the Siler and Gompertz-Makeham models of mortality.
46 *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 144:269-285. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.21400.
- 47
48 2011b. Status and health in Roman Dorset: the effect of status on risk of mortality in post-
49 Conquest populations. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 146:197-208.
50 DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.21563.
- 51
52
53 Redfern, Rebecca C., Sharon N. DeWitte, John Pearce, Christine Hamlin and Kirsten Egging
54 Dinwiddy. 2015. Urban-rural differences in Roman Dorset, England: a
55 bioarchaeological perspective on Roman settlements *American Journal of Physical*
56 *Anthropology* 157:107-120. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.22693.
- 57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 Redfern, Rebecca C. and Rebecca L. Gowland. 2011. A bioarchaeological perspective on the
5 pre-adult stages of the life course: implications for the care and health of children in
6 the Roman Empire. In *Families in the Roman and Late Antique Roman World*, edited
7 by Mary L. Harlow and Lena L. Lovén. Continuum International Publishing Group,
8 London. pp. 111-140.
9
- 10 Redfern, Rebecca C., Darren R. Gröcke, Andrew R. Millard, Victoria Ridgeway, Lucie
11 Johnson and Joseph T. Hefner. 2016. Going south of the river: a multidisciplinary
12 analysis of ancestry, mobility and diet in a population from Roman Southwark,
13 London. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 74:11-22. DOI:
14 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2016.07.016>.
15
- 16 Redfern, Rebecca C., Christine Hamlin and Andrew Millard. 2012. A regional investigation
17 of subadult dietary patterns and health in late Iron Age and Roman Dorset, England.
18 *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39:1249-1259. DOI:
19 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2011.12.023>.
20
- 21 Redfern, Rebecca C., Michael Marshall, Katherine Eaton and Hendrik Poinar. 2017. 'Written
22 in Bone': new discoveries about the lives of Roman Londoners *Britannia* 48: 253-277.
23
- 24 Redfern, Rebecca C. and Charlotte A. Roberts. 2005. Health in Romano-British urban
25 communities: reflections from the cemeteries. In *Fertile ground: papers in honour of*
26 *Susan Limbrey*, edited by David N. Smith, Megan B Brickley and Wendy Smith.
27 Oxbow Books, Oxford. pp. 115-129.
28
- 29 Retief, F.P. 2005. Lead poisoning in ancient Rome. *Acta Theologica Supplementum* 7:147-
30 164.
31
- 32 Richards, Michael P, Robert E M Hedges, Theya I Molleson and Joseph C Vogel. 1998.
33 Stable isotope analysis reveals variations in human diet at the Poundbury Camp
34 Cemetery site. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 25:1247-1252. DOI:
35 <https://doi.org/10.1006/jasc.1998.0307>.
36
- 37 Richards, Michael, and Janet Montgomery. 2012. Isotope analysis and palaeopathology: a
38 short review and future developments. In *The global history of paleopathology:*
39 *pioneers and prospects*, edited by Jane E. Buikstra and Charlotte A. Roberts. Oxford
40 University Press, Oxford. pp. 718-731.
41
- 42 Rivera F, and Marta Mirazón Lahr. 2017. New evidence suggesting a dissociated etiology for
43 cribra orbitalia and porotic hyperostosis. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*
44 164: 76-96.
45
- 46 Roberts, C.A., A. Boylston, L. Buckley, A. T. Chamberlain and E.M. Murphy. 1998. Rib
47 lesions and tuberculosis: the palaeopathological evidence. *Tubercle and Lung Disease*
48 79 (1):55-60. DOI: 10.1054/tuld.1998.0005.
49
- 50 Roberts, Charlotte A., and Jane E. Buikstra. 2008. *The bioarchaeology of tuberculosis. A*
51 *global view on a reemerging disease*. University Press of Florida Florida.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Roberts, Charlotte A., and Margaret Cox. 2003. *Health and disease in Britain: from*
4 *prehistory to the present day*. Sutton Publishing Ltd, Stroud.
5
- 6 Roberts, Charlotte A., and Mary E. Lewis. 2002. Ecology and infectious disease in Britain
7 from prehistory to the present: the case of respiratory infection. In *Ecological aspects*
8 *of past human settlements in Europe*, edited by P. Bennike, E. Bodzsar and C.
9 Susanne. Eotvos University Press, Budapest. pp. 179-192.
10
- 11 Roberts, Charlotte A., David Lucy and Keith Manchester. 1994. Inflammatory lesions of ribs:
12 an analysis of the Terry Collection. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*
13 95:169-182. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.1330950205.
14
- 15 Rohnbogner, Anna. 2015. *Exploring concepts of Romanisation and its impact on child health*
16 *in late Roman Britain*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Reading. Reading.
17
- 18 Rohnbogner, Anna, and Mary Lewis. 2016. Dental caries as a measure of diet, health, and
19 difference in non-adults from urban and rural Roman Britain. *Dental Anthropology*
20 29(1): 16-31.
21
- 22 Rothman, K.J. 1999. Writing for epidemiology. *Epidemiology* 9: 333-337.
23
- 24 Rubini, M., P. Zaio and C. Roberts. 2014. Tuberculosis and leprosy in Italy: new skeletal
25 evidence. *Homo* 65 (1):13-32. DOI: 10.1016/j.jchb.2013.07.006.
26
- 27 Saller, Richard P. 1998. Symbols of gender and status hierarchies in the Roman household. In
28 *Women and slaves in Greco-Roman culture: differential equations*, edited by Sandra
29 R. Joshel and Sheila Murnaghan. Routledge, London. pp. 85-91.
30
- 31 Sandgren, A., M.S., Schepisis, G. Sotgiu, E. Huitric, G.B. Migliori, D. Manissero, M.J. van
32 der Werf and E. Girardi. 2014. Tuberculosis transmission between foreign- and
33 native-born populations in the EU/EEA: a systematic review. *The European*
34 *Respiratory Journal* 43 (4): 1159-1171. DOI: 10.1183/09031936.00117213.
35
- 36 Santos, Ana Luísa, and Charlotte A Roberts. 2001. A picture of tuberculosis in young
37 Portuguese people in the early 20th century: a multidisciplinary study of the skeletal
38 and historical evidence. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 115:38-49. DOI:
39 10.1183/09031936.00117213.
40
- 41 Scarborough, J. 1984. The myth of lead poisoning among the Romans: an essay review.
42 *Journal of the History of Medicine and Allied Sciences* 39 (4):469-475.
43
- 44 Shaw, Heidi, Janet Montgomery, Rebecca Redfern, Rebecca Gowland and Jane Evans. 2016.
45 Identifying migrants in Roman London using lead and strontium stable isotopes.
46 *Journal of Archaeological Science* 66:57-68. DOI:
47 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2015.12.001>.
48
- 49 Simmonds, Andrew, Nicholas Marqu ez-Grant and Louise Loe. 2008. *Life and death in a*
50 *Roman city: excavations of a Roman cemetery with a mass grave at 120-122 London*
51 *Road, Gloucester*. Oxford: Oxford Archaeology Monograph No 6.
52

- 1
2
3 Smith, Alexander., Martyn Allen, Tom Brindle and Michael Fulford. 2016. The rural
4 settlement of Roman Britain. *Britannia Monograph volume 29*. London: The Roman
5 Society.
6
- 7 Soliman El-Banna, R.A.E., A.M. Sarry El-Din, F. Ahmed Eid and W.Y. Mohamed Ali. 2014.
8 The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in Ancient Egyptian population from Baharia
9 Oasis, the Greco-Roman period. *The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine* 55:251-
10 256.
11
- 12 Soranus. 1991. *Gynecology*. edited by Owsei Temkin. The Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore.
13
- 14 Stantis, C., R.L. Kinaston, M.P. Richards, J.M. Davidson and H.R. Buckley. 2015. Assessing
15 human diet and movement in the Tongan maritime chiefdom using isotopic analyses.
16 *PLoS One* 10 (3):e 0123156. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0123156.
17
- 18 Stuart-Macadam, Patricia, and Susan Kent, eds. 1992. *Diet, demography and disease.*
19 *Changing perspectives on anemia*. Aldine de Gruyter, New York.
20
- 21 Temple, Daniel H. and Alan H. Goodman. 2014. Bioarchaeology has a ‘health’ problem:
22 conceptualizing ‘stress’ and ‘health’ in bioarchaeological research. *American Journal*
23 *of Physical Anthropology* 155: 186-191.
24
- 25 Trafimow, David and Michael Marks. 2015. Editorial. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*
26 37: 1-2.
27
- 28 UK Soil Observatory. 2016. Soils of England and Wales.
29 <http://www.ukso.org/SoilsofEnglandandWales/home.html>. Accessed 31st October
30 2016.
31
- 32 van der Veen, M., A. Livarda and A. Hill. 2008. New plant foods in Roman Britain: dispersal
33 and social access. *Environmental Archaeology* 13 (1):11-36. DOI:
34 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/174963108X279193>.
35
- 36 van Dommelen, P. 2014. Moving on: archaeological perspectives on mobility and migration.
37 *World Archaeology* 46 (4):477-483. DOI: 10.1080/00438243.2014.933359.
38
- 39 Wachter, John. 2016. *The towns of Roman Britain*. Abingdon: Routledge.
40
- 41 Walker, Philip, Rhonda R Bathhurst, Rebecca Richman, Thor Gjerdrum and Valerie A
42 Andrushko. 2009. The causes of porotic hyperostosis and cribra orbitalia: a
43 reappraisal of the iron-deficiency-anemia hypothesis. *American Journal of Physical*
44 *Anthropology* 139: 109-125. DOI: 10.1002/ajpa.21031.
45
- 46 Weston, Darlene. 2008. Investigating the specificity of periosteal reactions in pathology
47 museum specimens. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 137:48-59. DOI:
48 10.1002/ajpa.20839.
49
- 50 2012. Non-specific infection in palaeopathology: interpreting periosteal reactions. In
51 *Companion to Paleopathology*, edited by Anne L Grauer. Wiley-Blackwell, New
52 York. pp. 492-512.
53

1
2
3
4 Woolf, Greg. 1998. *Becoming Roman: the origins of provincial civilization in Gaul*.
5 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 **Figure captions**
26

27
28 Figure 1. Map showing the location of the towns selected for analysis in Roman Britain.
29 Each site report listed in Table 1 has a detailed location map. Drawn by J. Davis from a base-
30 map made available at http://www.d-maps.com/carte.php?num_car=5585&lang=en
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. List of sites used in the study

| City/ County | Site name | Sample size | Reference |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------|--|
| Dorset | Poundbury Camp, Dorchester | 3 | Richards et al. (1998) |
| Gloucestershire | London Road, Gloucester | 13 | Simmonds et al. (2008) |
| Hampshire | Winchester | 40 | Booth et al. (2010); Eckardt et al. (2009) |
| Lancashire | Hollow Banks, Scorton | 10 | Eckardt et al. (2015) |
| London | 1-4 Giltspur Street | 2 | Shaw et al. (2016) |
| | 49-55 Mansell Street | 3 | Shaw et al. (2016) |
| | 65-73 Mansell Street | 1 | Shaw et al. (2016) |
| | 60 London Wall | 2 | Shaw et al. (2016) |
| | Broadgate | 1 | Shaw et al. (2016) |
| | Cotts House | 1 | Shaw et al. (2016) |
| | Great Dover Street | 2 | Shaw et al. (2016) |
| | Harper Road | 1 | Shaw et al. (2016) |
| | Hooper Street | 4 | Shaw et al. (2016) |
| | Lant Street | 19 | Redfern et al. (2016) |
| Yorkshire | Spitalfields Market | 5 | Bell, pers comm; Montgomery et al. (2010) |
| | St Bartholomew's Hospital | 1 | Shaw et al. (2016) |
| | Bainesse Farm, Catterick | 20 | Chenery et al. (2011) |
| | Dere Street, Catterick | 2 | Chenery et al. (2011) |
| | Honeypot Road, Catterick | 2 | Chenery et al. (2011) |
| | Trentholme Drive, York | 9 | Leach et al. (2009) |
| | 3 Driffield Terrace, York | 4 | |
| | 6 Driffield Terrace, York | 18 | Leach et al. (2009); Montgomery et al. (2011) Leach et al. (2009) |

Table 2. Stable isotope data organised by location, site and individual (data from sources listed in Table 1)

| County | Site name and modern city/town | Context (or grave) number | Sex | Local status (9 = no data, 1 = regional local, 1.5 = possible regional local, 2 = British, 3 = inconsistent with Britain, 3.5 = possible inconsistent with Britain) | Regional local (1 = local to region, 2 = British and non- local) | British (1 = local to region or Britain, 2 = non- British) |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|--|--|---|
| Dorset | Poundbury Camp, Dorchester | 235 | Female | 3.5 | 2 | 2 |
| | | 862 | Female | 3.5 | 2 | 2 |
| | | 1255 | Subadult | 3.5 | 2 | 2 |
| Gloucestershire | London Road, Gloucester | 1103 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | 1127 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | 1131 | Female | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| | | 1181 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | 1216 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| | | 1238 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| | | 1328 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | 1364 | Female | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| | | 1518 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| | | 1539 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | 1541 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| | | 1544 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| | | | | | | | |
|----|-----------|------------|------|----------|-----|---|---|
| 1 | | | 1553 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Hampshire | Winchester | 12 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | | | 84 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | | | 118 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | | | 119 | Female | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 7 | | | 212 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | | | 271 | Female | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 9 | | | 281 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 10 | | | 435 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | | | 489 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 12 | | | 566 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 13 | | | 661 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | | | 683 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 15 | | | 776 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 16 | | | 806 | Female | 1.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | | | 812 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | | | 861 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 19 | | | 862 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | | | 874 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | | | 926 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | | | 932 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | | | 1026 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | | | 1084 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | | | 1091 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | | | 1094 | Female | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 27 | | | 1114 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | | | 1119 | Male | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 29 | | | 1133 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | | | 1134 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | | | 1197 | Female | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 32 | | | 1207 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 33 | | | 1227 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 34 | | | 1244 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 35 | | | 1271 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 36 | | | 1277 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |

For Review Only

45
46
47

| | | | | | | | |
|----|------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------|---|---|---|
| 1 | | | 1289 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | | | 1517 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | | | 1697 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | | | 1761 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | | | 1870 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | | | 1894 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 7 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Lancashire | Hollow Banks, Scorton | 502 (grave 1) | Unknown | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 10 | | | 511 (grave 13) | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | | | 523 (grave 2) | Male | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 12 | | | 529 (grave 5) | Unknown | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 13 | | | 535 (grave 6) | Male | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 14 | | | 541 (grave 10) | Unknown | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 15 | | | 565 (grave 11) | Female | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 16 | | | 594 (grave 12) | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | | | 600 (grave 7) | Male | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | |
| 21 | London | 1-4 Giltspur Street | 599 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | | | 709 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | | 49-55 Mansell Street | 163 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | | | 390 | Female | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 25 | | | 724 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | 65-73 Mansell Street | 37 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | | 60 London Wall | 695.5 | Male | 9 | | |
| 29 | | | 803.6 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | | Broadgate | 400 | Subadult | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 31 | | Cotts House | 30 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 32 | | Great Dover Street | 150 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 33 | | Great Dover Street | 325 | Female | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 34 | | Harper Road | 311 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 35 | | Hooper Street | 518 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 36 | | | 652 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 37 | | | 1407 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 38 | | | 1673 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 39 | | Lant Street | 13 | Female | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 40 | | | 27 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 41 | | | | | | | |
| 42 | | | | | | | |
| 43 | | | | | | | |
| 44 | | | | | | | |
| 45 | | | | | | | |
| 46 | | | | | | | |
| 47 | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|----|---------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----|---|---|
| 1 | | 58 | Female | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | | 103 | Subadult | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | | 128 | Male | 9 | | |
| 4 | | 154 | Male | 3.5 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | | 157 | Female | 3.5 | 2 | 2 |
| 6 | | 208 | Female | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 7 | | 253 | Female | 9 | | |
| 8 | | 321 | Male | 3.5 | 2 | 2 |
| 9 | | 339 | Subadult | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 10 | | 358 | Male | 9 | | |
| 11 | | 369 | Subadult | 9 | | |
| 12 | | 379 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 13 | | 385 | Subadult | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 14 | | 407 | Male | 9 | | |
| 15 | | 434 | Female | 3.5 | 2 | 2 |
| 16 | | 440 | Male | 9 | | |
| 17 | | 465 | Male | 3.5 | 2 | 2 |
| 18 | | 1988 | Female | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 19 | | 15903 | Female | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 20 | | 23873 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | | 34209 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | | 34245 | Male | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 23 | Spitalfields Market | 182 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | | 255 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | | 277 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 26 | | 324 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 27 | | 422 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | | 475 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | | 632 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | | 678 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | | 679 | Subadult | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 32 | | 709 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 33 | | 746 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 34 | | 756 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 35 | | 801 | Female | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 36 | Yorkshire | Bainesse Farm, Catterick | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|----------|---|---|---|
| 1 | | 812 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Catterick Bridge, Catterick | 37 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | | 77 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | | 136 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | | 166 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | | 389 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | | 484 | Subadult | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | Dere Street, Catterick | P IV 9 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | Honeypot Road, Catterick | 941 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | | 942 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | Trentholme Drive, York | 4 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | | 153 | Female | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | | 157 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | | 173 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | | 411 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 18 | | 466 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | | 513 | Female | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 20 | | 608 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 21 | | 708 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | 3 Driffield Terrace, York | 16 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 25 | | 37 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | 6 Driffield Terrace, York | 1 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | | 2 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 29 | | 4 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | | 6 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | | 7 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 32 | | 8 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 33 | | 9 | Male | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 34 | | 12 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 35 | | 14 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 36 | | 15 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 37 | | 17 | Male | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 38 | | 18 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 39 | | 19 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 40 | | 20 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 41 | | 21 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 42 | | | | | | |
| 43 | | | | | | |
| 44 | | | | | | |
| 45 | | | | | | |
| 46 | | | | | | |
| 47 | | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|----|------|---|---|---|
| 1 | 22 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 23 | Male | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 24 | Male | 3 | 2 | 2 |

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

For Review Only

Table 3: Sample sizes for each group. The 'regional local' sample includes 'possible' regional locals, and the 'non-British' sample includes those with values that are possibly inconsistent with Britain.

| | Adult Male | Adult Female | Adult Indeterminate Sex | Subadult | Total |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Regional Local | 42 (50.6%) | 27 (32.53%) | 0 (0%) | 14 (16.87%) | 83 |
| British | 29 (74.36%) | 9 (23.08%) | 0 (0%) | 1 (2.56%) | 39 |
| Non-British | 10 (34.48%) | 11 (37.93%) | 3 (10.34%) | 5 (17.24%) | 29 |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Table 4: The categories of diseases included in the study.

| Category | Diseases | Reference |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Indicators of Stress | Cribra orbitalia, porotic hyperostosis, enamel hypoplastic defects and periosteal new bone formation | Goodman and Martin (2002); Hillson (2005); Humphrey and King (2000); King et al. (2005); Stuart-Macadam and Kent (1992); Rivera and Mirazón Lahr (2017); Walker et al. (2009); Weston (2008) |
| Metabolic diseases | Scurvy, osteomalacia, rickets and residual rickets | Brickley and Ives (2008); Brickley et al. (2010, 2014) |
| Specific infectious disease | Tuberculosis | Lewis (2011); Mariotti et al. (2015); Roberts and Buikstra (2008); Sandgren et al. (2014) |
| Non-specific infectious disease | Rib lesions | Kelley and Micozzi (1984); Roberts et al. (1994, 1998); Weston (2008, 2012) |
| Dental health | Periodontal disease, carious lesions, dental calculus | Hillson (2005) |

Table 5: Kaplan-Meier survival analysis results.

| Samples | | Mean survival time | 95% CI | Mantel-Cox <i>p</i> -value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| All ages | Regional local (n = 67) | 30.58 | 26.77 - 34.40 | 0.25 |
| | British (n = 31) | 34.40 | 30.17 - 38.63 | |
| | Non-British (n = 28) | 29.23 | 24.89 - 33.57 | |
| Adults (includes "indeterminate") | Regional local (n = 53) | 36.93 | 33.93 - 39.92 | 0.088 |
| | British (n = 30) | 35.1 | 30.96 - 39.24 | |
| | Non-British (n = 25) | 31.46 | 27.42 - 35.49 | |
| Males | Regional local (n = 33) | 36.23 | 32.47 - 39.98 | 0.925 |
| | British (n = 24) | 35.23 | 30.78 - 39.68 | |
| | Non-British (n = 9) | 35.39 | 28.07 - 42.71 | |
| Females | Regional local (n = 20) | 38.08 | 33.03 - 43.12 | 0.435 |
| | British (n = 6) | 34.58 | 23.06 - 46.11 | |
| | Non-British (n = 11) | 32.36 | 27.22 - 37.51 | |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Table 6: Maximum likelihood estimates (with standard error in parentheses) of the effect of the covariate (individuals in the group indicated with an * in the first column were assigned a covariate score of 1) on the Gompertz model and the results of the likelihood ratio tests.

| | Samples | Covariate Effect | -2LLR | <i>p</i> |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Pooled sexes | Regional local (n = 53) vs. British* (n = 30) | 0.105 (0.21) | 0.21 | 0.65 |
| | Regional local (n = 53) vs. non-British* (n = 25) | 0.55 (0.25) | 4.57 | 0.03 |
| | British (n = 30) vs. non-British* (n = 25) | 0.41 (0.28) | 2.10 | 0.15 |
| Males | Regional local (n = 33) vs. British* (n = 24) | 0.066 (0.25) | 0.06 | 0.81 |
| | Regional local (n = 33) vs. non-British* (n = 9) | 0.11 (0.41) | 0.08 | 0.78 |
| | Britain local (n = 24) vs. non-British* (n = 9) | 0.033 (0.39) | 0.007 | 0.93 |
| Females | Regional local (n = 20) vs. British* (n = 6) | 0.097 (0.39) | 0.043 | 0.84 |
| | Regional local (n = 20) vs. non-British* (n = 11) | 0.79 (0.41) | 3.52 | 0.06 |
| | British (n = 6) vs. non-British* (n = 11) | 0.64 (0.83) | 1.31 | 0.25 |

Table 7: Disease and dental health frequencies by stable isotope grouping. % = Percentage of regional samples with or without a disease/lesion.

| | Cribra orbitalia | | Porotic hyperostosis | | Periosteal new bone formation | | Rib lesions | | Enamel hypoplastic defects | |
|-----------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| | Absent | Present | Absent | Present | Absent | Present | Absent | Present | Absent | Present |
| Regional Local | 18 (48.6%) | 19 (51.4%) | 61 (84.7%) | 11 (15.3%) | 55 (71.4%) | 22 (28.6%) | 67 (95.7%) | 3 (4.3%) | 54 (68.4%) | 25 (31.6%) |
| British | 15 (57.7%) | 11 (42.3%) | 30 (85.7%) | 5 (14.3%) | 19 (51.4%) | 18 (48.6%) | 24 (72.7%) | 9 (27.3%) | 26 (68.4%) | 12 (31.6%) |
| Non-British | 9 (60%) | 6 (40%) | 22 (81.5%) | 5 (18.5%) | 15 (51.7%) | 14 (48.3%) | 22 (75.9%) | 7 (24.1%) | 16 (55.2%) | 13 (44.8%) |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Table 8: Specific diseases by stable isotope grouping

| | Residual Rickets | | Tuberculosis | |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Absent | Present | Absent | Present |
| Regional Local | 74 (98.7%) | 1 (1.3%) | 80 (98.8%) | 1 (1.2%) |
| British | 34 (97.1%) | 1 (2.9%) | 39 (100%) | 0 (0%) |
| Non-British | 25 (86.2%) | 4 (13.8%) | 27 (96.4%) | 1 (3.6%) |

For Review Only

Table 9: Results of comparisons of diseases and sex distributions. Unless otherwise indicated, p-values for Chi-square tests are shown. The p-values for Fisher's exact tests (for residual rickets and tuberculosis, both of which were rarely observed) are indicated by an asterisk *.

| Variable | Comparison | p-value |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Cribra orbitalia | Regional × British × non-British | 0.67 |
| Porotic hyperostosis | Regional × British × non-British | 0.89 |
| Periosteal new bone formation | Regional × British × non-British | 0.05 |
| Rib lesions | Regional × British × non-British | 0.002 |
| Enamel hypoplastic defects | Regional × British × non-British | 0.41 |
| | Regional/British × non-British | 0.18 |
| Residual rickets | Regional × British × non-British | 0.017* |
| Tuberculosis | Regional × non-British | 0.45* |
| Periodontal disease | Regional × British × non-British | 0.028 |
| | Regional × British | 0.28 |
| | Regional × non-British | 0.05 |
| | British × non-British | 0.01 |
| Cariious lesions | Regional × British × non-British | 0.096 |
| | Regional × British | 0.04 |
| Dental calculus | Regional × British × non-British | 0.187 |
| | Regional × British | 0.08 |
| Sex | Regional × British × non-British | 0.075 |
| | Regional × British | 0.1 |
| | Regional × non-British | 0.28 |
| | British × non-British | 0.03 |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

Table 10 : Dental health frequencies given by stable isotope groupings

| | Periodontal disease | | Carious lesions | | Dental calculus | |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| | Absent | Present | Absent | Present | Absent | Present |
| Regional | 15 | 42 | 43 | 36 | 27 | 52 |
| Local | (26.3%) | (73.7%) | (54.5%) | (45.6%) | (34.2%) | (65.8%) |
| British | 5 | 26 | 13 | 25 | 7 | 31 |
| | (16.1%) | (83.9%) | (34.2%) | (65.8%) | (18.4%) | (81.6%) |
| Non-British | 12 | 13 | 16 | 13 | 10 | 19 |
| | (48%) | (52%) | (55.2%) | (44.8%) | (34.5%) | (65.5%) |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

For Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Map of Britain showing the location of the sites used in the study. Drawn by J. Davis based on <http://d-maps.com> ©Museum of London

189x234mm (300 x 300 DPI)

| City/ County | Site name | Context (or grave) number |
|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Dorset | Poundbury Camp, Dorchester | 235 |
| | | 862 |
| | | 1255 |
| Gloucestershire | London Road, Gloucester | 1103 |
| | | 1127 |
| | | 1131 |
| | | 1181 |
| | | 1216 |
| | | 1238 |
| | | 1328 |
| | | 1364 |
| | | 1518 |
| | | 1539 |
| | | 1541 |
| | | 1544 |
| | | 1553 |
| Hampshire | Winchester | 12 |
| | | 84 |
| | | 118 |
| | | 119 |
| | | 212 |
| | | 271 |
| | | 281 |
| | | 435 |
| | | 489 |
| | | 566 |
| | | 661 |
| | | 683 |
| | | 776 |
| | | 806 |
| | | 812 |
| | | 861 |
| | | 862 |
| | | 874 |
| | | 926 |
| | | 932 |
| | | 1026 |
| | | 1084 |
| | | 1091 |
| | | 1094 |
| | | 1114 |
| | | 1119 |
| | | 1133 |

| | | | |
|----|------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | 1134 |
| 3 | | | 1197 |
| 4 | | | 1207 |
| 5 | | | 1227 |
| 6 | | | 1244 |
| 7 | | | 1271 |
| 8 | | | 1277 |
| 9 | | | 1289 |
| 10 | | | 1517 |
| 11 | | | 1697 |
| 12 | | | 1761 |
| 13 | | | 1870 |
| 14 | | | 1894 |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | Lancashire | Hollow Banks, Scorton | 502 (grave 1) |
| 19 | | | 511 (grave 13) |
| 20 | | | 523 (grave 2) |
| 21 | | | 529 (grave 5) |
| 22 | | | 535 (grave 6) |
| 23 | | | 541 (grave 10) |
| 24 | | | 565 (grave 11) |
| 25 | | | 594 (grave 12) |
| 26 | | | 600 (grave 7) |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| 29 | London | 1-4 Giltspur Street | 599 |
| 30 | | 1-4 Giltspur Street | 709 |
| 31 | | 49-55 Mansell Street | 163 |
| 32 | | 49-55 Mansell Street | 390 |
| 33 | | 49-55 Mansell Street | 724 |
| 34 | | 60 London Wall | 695.5 |
| 35 | | 60 London Wall | 803.6 |
| 36 | | 65-73 Mansell Street | 37 |
| 37 | | Broadgate | 400 |
| 38 | | Cotts House | 30 |
| 39 | | Great Dover Street | 150 |
| 40 | | Great Dover Street | 325 |
| 41 | | Harper Road | 311 |
| 42 | | Hooper Street | 518 |
| 43 | | Hooper Street | 652 |
| 44 | | Hooper Street | 1407 |
| 45 | | Hooper Street | 1673 |
| 46 | | Lant Street | 13 |
| 47 | | Lant Street | 27 |
| 48 | | Lant Street | 58 |
| 49 | | Lant Street | 103 |
| 50 | | Lant Street | 128 |
| 51 | | Lant Street | 154 |
| 52 | | Lant Street | 157 |
| 53 | | | |
| 54 | | | |
| 55 | | | |
| 56 | | | |
| 57 | | | |
| 58 | | | |
| 59 | | | |
| 60 | | | |

| | | | |
|----|-----------|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | Lant Street | 208 |
| 3 | | Lant Street | 253 |
| 4 | | Lant Street | 321 |
| 5 | | Lant Street | 339 |
| 6 | | Lant Street | 358 |
| 7 | | Lant Street | 369 |
| 8 | | Lant Street | 379 |
| 9 | | Lant Street | 385 |
| 10 | | Lant Street | 407 |
| 11 | | Lant Street | 434 |
| 12 | | Lant Street | 440 |
| 13 | | Lant Street | 465 |
| 14 | | Lant Street | 1988 |
| 15 | | Spitalfields Market | 15903 |
| 16 | | Spitalfields Market | 23873 |
| 17 | | Spitalfields Market | 34209 |
| 18 | | Spitalfields Market | 34245 |
| 19 | | Spitalfields Market | 182 |
| 20 | | Spitalfields Market | |
| 21 | | St Bartholomew's Hospital | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | Yorkshire | Bainesse Farm, Catterick | 255 |
| 25 | | | 277 |
| 26 | | | 324 |
| 27 | | | 422 |
| 28 | | | 475 |
| 29 | | | 632 |
| 30 | | | 678 |
| 31 | | | 679 |
| 32 | | | 709 |
| 33 | | | 746 |
| 34 | | | 756 |
| 35 | | | 801 |
| 36 | | | 812 |
| 37 | | | 37 |
| 38 | | Catterick Bridge, Catterick | 77 |
| 39 | | | 136 |
| 40 | | | 166 |
| 41 | | | 389 |
| 42 | | | 484 |
| 43 | | | P IV 9 |
| 44 | | Dere Street, Catterick | 941 |
| 45 | | Honeypot Road, Catterick | 942 |
| 46 | | | 4 |
| 47 | | | 153 |
| 48 | | | 157 |
| 49 | Yorkshire | Trentholme Drive, York | 173 |
| 50 | | | 411 |
| 51 | | | 466 |
| 52 | | | 513 |
| 53 | | | |
| 54 | | | |
| 55 | | | |
| 56 | | | |
| 57 | | | |
| 58 | | | |
| 59 | | | |
| 60 | | | |

| | | |
|----|---------------------------|-----|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | 608 |
| 3 | | 708 |
| 4 | 3 Driffield Terrace, York | 16 |
| 5 | | 37 |
| 6 | 6 Driffield Terrace, York | 1 |
| 7 | | 2 |
| 8 | | 4 |
| 9 | | 4 |
| 10 | | 6 |
| 11 | | 7 |
| 12 | | 8 |
| 13 | | 9 |
| 14 | | 12 |
| 15 | | 14 |
| 16 | | 15 |
| 17 | | 17 |
| 18 | | 17 |
| 19 | | 18 |
| 20 | | 19 |
| 21 | | 20 |
| 22 | | 21 |
| 23 | | 22 |
| 24 | | 23 |
| 25 | | 23 |
| 26 | | 24 |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| 29 | | |
| 30 | | |
| 31 | | |
| 32 | | |
| 33 | | |
| 34 | | |
| 35 | | |
| 36 | | |
| 37 | | |
| 38 | | |
| 39 | | |
| 40 | | |
| 41 | | |
| 42 | | |
| 43 | | |
| 44 | | |
| 45 | | |
| 46 | | |
| 47 | | |
| 48 | | |
| 49 | | |
| 50 | | |
| 51 | | |
| 52 | | |
| 53 | | |
| 54 | | |
| 55 | | |
| 56 | | |
| 57 | | |
| 58 | | |
| 59 | | |
| 60 | | |

For Review Only

| | Sex | Age midpoint | Cribra orbitalia |
|----|---|--------------|------------------|
| | (F: female; M: Male; S: subadult; U: unknown) | | |
| 5 | F | 40.5 | 0 |
| 6 | F | 40.5 | 9 |
| 7 | S | 10 | 1 |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | F | 30.5 | 9 |
| 11 | M | 21.5 | 0 |
| 12 | F | 21.5 | 9 |
| 13 | F | 30.5 | 0 |
| 14 | M | 40.5 | 1 |
| 15 | M | 40.5 | 9 |
| 16 | M | 21.5 | 1 |
| 17 | F | 21.5 | 0 |
| 18 | M | 30.5 | 0 |
| 19 | F | 30.5 | 1 |
| 20 | M | 21.5 | 1 |
| 21 | M | 30.5 | 0 |
| 22 | M | 30.5 | 0 |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | M | 50.5 | 0 |
| 27 | F | adult | 1 |
| 28 | S | 0.9 | 9 |
| 29 | F | 30.5 | 9 |
| 30 | F | 60 | 9 |
| 31 | F | 30.5 | 9 |
| 32 | M | 50.5 | 9 |
| 33 | F | 50.5 | 9 |
| 34 | M | 50.5 | 9 |
| 35 | M | 30.5 | 9 |
| 36 | F | 50.5 | 9 |
| 37 | M | 50.5 | 0 |
| 38 | M | adult | 0 |
| 39 | F | 60 | 9 |
| 40 | M | 50.5 | 9 |
| 41 | M | 60 | 9 |
| 42 | M | 40.5 | 9 |
| 43 | S | 9 | 9 |
| 44 | S | 13 | 9 |
| 45 | M | 21.5 | 0 |
| 46 | S | 5.5 | 1 |
| 47 | F | 30.5 | 9 |
| 48 | F | 21.5 | 9 |
| 49 | F | adult | 1 |
| 50 | F | 30.5 | 9 |
| 51 | M | 50.5 | 9 |
| 52 | S | 6.5 | 9 |

| | | | |
|----|------|-------|---|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | F | 40.5 | 9 |
| 3 | F | 60 | 9 |
| 4 | F | adult | 9 |
| 5 | F | 40.5 | 9 |
| 6 | S | 13 | 1 |
| 7 | M | 50.5 | 9 |
| 8 | M | 40.5 | 9 |
| 9 | M | 40.5 | 1 |
| 10 | M | 40.5 | 1 |
| 11 | M | 60 | 9 |
| 12 | M | 40.5 | 9 |
| 13 | S | 6 | 9 |
| 14 | S | 9.5 | 9 |
| 15 | M | 21.5 | 9 |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | U | 21 | 9 |
| 19 | M | 30 | 9 |
| 20 | Male | 30 | 9 |
| 21 | U | 30 | 9 |
| 22 | M | 30 | 0 |
| 23 | U | 30 | 9 |
| 24 | F | 21 | 9 |
| 25 | M | 30 | 9 |
| 26 | M | 30 | 0 |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| 29 | F | 40.5 | 1 |
| 30 | F | 40.5 | 9 |
| 31 | F | adult | 0 |
| 32 | F | 40.5 | 0 |
| 33 | M | 50.5 | 1 |
| 34 | M | 40.5 | 1 |
| 35 | M | 30.5 | 1 |
| 36 | M | 50.5 | 9 |
| 37 | S | 8 | 1 |
| 38 | M | 21.5 | 1 |
| 39 | S | 7 | 9 |
| 40 | F | 21.5 | 9 |
| 41 | F | x | 1 |
| 42 | F | 40.5 | 1 |
| 43 | M | adult | 0 |
| 44 | F | adult | 9 |
| 45 | F | adult | 1 |
| 46 | F | 32 | 0 |
| 47 | M | 40.5 | 0 |
| 48 | F | 32 | 1 |
| 49 | S | 16 | 1 |
| 50 | M | 37 | 1 |
| 51 | M | 22 | 1 |
| 52 | F | 27 | 0 |
| 53 | | | |
| 54 | | | |
| 55 | | | |
| 56 | | | |
| 57 | | | |
| 58 | | | |
| 59 | | | |
| 60 | | | |

| | | | |
|----|---|-------|---|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | F | 42 | 9 |
| 3 | F | 37 | 1 |
| 4 | M | 42 | 0 |
| 5 | S | 15 | 9 |
| 6 | M | Adult | 0 |
| 7 | S | 15 | 0 |
| 8 | M | Adult | 0 |
| 9 | S | 14 | 9 |
| 10 | M | 27 | 9 |
| 11 | F | 40.5 | 0 |
| 12 | M | 37 | 1 |
| 13 | M | 42 | 1 |
| 14 | F | 40.5 | 9 |
| 15 | F | 21.5 | 0 |
| 16 | S | 5 | 9 |
| 17 | M | 30.5 | 0 |
| 18 | M | 50.5 | 1 |
| 19 | F | 21.5 | 9 |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | F | 37 | 9 |
| 24 | M | 40 | 9 |
| 25 | M | 22.5 | 9 |
| 26 | F | 50.5 | 9 |
| 27 | M | 22.5 | 9 |
| 28 | S | 5 | 9 |
| 29 | M | 42.5 | 9 |
| 30 | S | 13.5 | 9 |
| 31 | M | 45 | 9 |
| 32 | M | 50.5 | 9 |
| 33 | F | 27.5 | 9 |
| 34 | F | adult | 9 |
| 35 | S | 5 | 9 |
| 36 | M | Adult | 9 |
| 37 | F | 27.5 | 9 |
| 38 | M | 22.5 | 1 |
| 39 | M | 25 | 9 |
| 40 | S | 4.5 | 9 |
| 41 | S | 2.25 | 9 |
| 42 | M | 40 | 9 |
| 43 | M | 22.5 | 0 |
| 44 | M | adult | 0 |
| 45 | M | Adult | 1 |
| 46 | F | Adult | 1 |
| 47 | M | Adult | 0 |
| 48 | M | Adult | 1 |
| 49 | M | Adult | 1 |
| 50 | M | Adult | 1 |
| 51 | M | Adult | 1 |
| 52 | M | Adult | 1 |
| 53 | M | Adult | 1 |
| 54 | M | Adult | 1 |
| 55 | F | Adult | 1 |
| 56 | | | |
| 57 | | | |
| 58 | | | |
| 59 | | | |
| 60 | | | |

| | | | |
|----|---|-------|---|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | M | Adult | 0 |
| 3 | M | Adult | 1 |
| 4 | M | 40.5 | 0 |
| 5 | M | 30.5 | 0 |
| 6 | M | x | 9 |
| 7 | M | 40.5 | 0 |
| 8 | M | 40.5 | 1 |
| 9 | M | 30.5 | 0 |
| 10 | M | 30.5 | 0 |
| 11 | M | 40.5 | 0 |
| 12 | M | 40.5 | 0 |
| 13 | M | Adult | 0 |
| 14 | M | Adult | 0 |
| 15 | M | 30.5 | 1 |
| 16 | M | 21.5 | 0 |
| 17 | M | 40.5 | 0 |
| 18 | M | 19 | 1 |
| 19 | M | 30.5 | 0 |
| 20 | M | 30.5 | 0 |
| 21 | M | 40.5 | 1 |
| 22 | M | 30.5 | 1 |
| 23 | M | 21.5 | 0 |
| 24 | M | 21.5 | 0 |
| 25 | M | 21.5 | 0 |
| 26 | M | 21.5 | 0 |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| 29 | | | |
| 30 | | | |
| 31 | | | |
| 32 | | | |
| 33 | | | |
| 34 | | | |
| 35 | | | |
| 36 | | | |
| 37 | | | |
| 38 | | | |
| 39 | | | |
| 40 | | | |
| 41 | | | |
| 42 | | | |
| 43 | | | |
| 44 | | | |
| 45 | | | |
| 46 | | | |
| 47 | | | |
| 48 | | | |
| 49 | | | |
| 50 | | | |
| 51 | | | |
| 52 | | | |
| 53 | | | |
| 54 | | | |
| 55 | | | |
| 56 | | | |
| 57 | | | |
| 58 | | | |
| 59 | | | |
| 60 | | | |

For Review Only

Porotic hyperostosis Periosteal new bone formation Rib lesions Scurvy Osteomalacia

| | Porotic hyperostosis | Periosteal new bone formation | Rib lesions | Scurvy | Osteomalacia |
|----|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------|--------------|
| 5 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | | | | | |
| 29 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 36 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 53 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 56 | | | | | |
| 57 | | | | | |
| 58 | | | | | |
| 59 | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 50 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 51 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 52 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 53 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 54 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 55 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 |
| 56 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 |
| 57 | | | | | |
| 58 | | | | | |
| 59 | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | |
| 31 | | | | | |
| 32 | | | | | |
| 33 | | | | | |
| 34 | | | | | |
| 35 | | | | | |
| 36 | | | | | |
| 37 | | | | | |
| 38 | | | | | |
| 39 | | | | | |
| 40 | | | | | |
| 41 | | | | | |
| 42 | | | | | |
| 43 | | | | | |
| 44 | | | | | |
| 45 | | | | | |
| 46 | | | | | |
| 47 | | | | | |
| 48 | | | | | |
| 49 | | | | | |
| 50 | | | | | |
| 51 | | | | | |
| 52 | | | | | |
| 53 | | | | | |
| 54 | | | | | |
| 55 | | | | | |
| 56 | | | | | |
| 57 | | | | | |
| 58 | | | | | |
| 59 | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | | |

For Review Only

| | Rickets | Residual rickets | Tuberculosis | Periodontal disease | Cariou lesions | Calculus |
|----|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | |
| 16 | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 28 | | | | | | | |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 34 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 35 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 37 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 40 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 48 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 49 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 50 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 52 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 53 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 54 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 55 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 56 | | | | | | | |
| 57 | | | | | | | |
| 58 | | | | | | | |
| 59 | | | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | | | | | | |
| 2 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 14 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 49 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 50 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 51 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 52 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 53 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 54 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 55 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 56 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 57 | | | | | | |
| 58 | | | | | | |
| 59 | | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 3 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 6 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 27 | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | | |
| 31 | | | | | | | |
| 32 | | | | | | | |
| 33 | | | | | | | |
| 34 | | | | | | | |
| 35 | | | | | | | |
| 36 | | | | | | | |
| 37 | | | | | | | |
| 38 | | | | | | | |
| 39 | | | | | | | |
| 40 | | | | | | | |
| 41 | | | | | | | |
| 42 | | | | | | | |
| 43 | | | | | | | |
| 44 | | | | | | | |
| 45 | | | | | | | |
| 46 | | | | | | | |
| 47 | | | | | | | |
| 48 | | | | | | | |
| 49 | | | | | | | |
| 50 | | | | | | | |
| 51 | | | | | | | |
| 52 | | | | | | | |
| 53 | | | | | | | |
| 54 | | | | | | | |
| 55 | | | | | | | |
| 56 | | | | | | | |
| 57 | | | | | | | |
| 58 | | | | | | | |
| 59 | | | | | | | |
| 60 | | | | | | | |

For Review Only

Enamel hypoplastic defects

| | |
|----|---|
| 5 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 |
| 17 | 0 |
| 18 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 |
| 20 | 1 |
| 21 | 1 |
| 22 | 1 |
| 23 | 1 |
| 24 | 1 |
| 25 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 |
| 27 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 |
| 29 | 0 |
| 30 | 0 |
| 31 | 1 |
| 32 | 0 |
| 33 | 0 |
| 34 | 0 |
| 35 | 0 |
| 36 | 0 |
| 37 | 0 |
| 38 | 0 |
| 39 | 0 |
| 40 | 0 |
| 41 | 0 |
| 42 | 1 |
| 43 | 0 |
| 44 | 1 |
| 45 | 0 |
| 46 | 0 |
| 47 | 0 |
| 48 | 0 |
| 49 | 0 |
| 50 | 0 |
| 51 | 0 |
| 52 | 0 |
| 53 | 0 |
| 54 | 0 |
| 55 | 0 |
| 56 | 0 |

For Review Only

| | |
|----|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 |
| 16 | 0 |
| 17 | |
| 18 | 1 |
| 19 | 1 |
| 20 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 |
| 22 | 1 |
| 23 | 1 |
| 24 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 |
| 27 | 0 |
| 28 | |
| 29 | 1 |
| 30 | 1 |
| 31 | 1 |
| 32 | 0 |
| 33 | 1 |
| 34 | 1 |
| 35 | 0 |
| 36 | 0 |
| 37 | 0 |
| 38 | 0 |
| 39 | 1 |
| 40 | 1 |
| 41 | 1 |
| 42 | 0 |
| 43 | 1 |
| 44 | 1 |
| 45 | 1 |
| 46 | 1 |
| 47 | 1 |
| 48 | 0 |
| 49 | 0 |
| 50 | 1 |
| 51 | 1 |
| 52 | 0 |
| 53 | 1 |
| 54 | 1 |
| 55 | 1 |
| 56 | 1 |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |
| 60 | |

For Review Only

| | |
|----|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 |
| 5 | 0 |
| 6 | 1 |
| 7 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 |
| 10 | 1 |
| 11 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 |
| 14 | 1 |
| 15 | 0 |
| 16 | 1 |
| 17 | 9 |
| 18 | 0 |
| 19 | 0 |
| 20 | 0 |
| 21 | 1 |
| 22 | |
| 23 | 0 |
| 24 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 |
| 27 | 0 |
| 28 | 0 |
| 29 | 9 |
| 30 | 0 |
| 31 | 9 |
| 32 | 0 |
| 33 | 0 |
| 34 | 0 |
| 35 | 0 |
| 36 | 0 |
| 37 | 9 |
| 38 | 0 |
| 39 | 0 |
| 40 | 0 |
| 41 | 0 |
| 42 | 0 |
| 43 | 0 |
| 44 | 0 |
| 45 | 0 |
| 46 | 0 |
| 47 | 0 |
| 48 | 0 |
| 49 | 0 |
| 50 | 1 |
| 51 | 1 |
| 52 | 1 |
| 53 | 1 |
| 54 | 0 |
| 55 | 0 |
| 56 | 1 |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |
| 60 | |

For Review Only

| | |
|----|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 |
| 6 | 9 |
| 7 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 |
| 9 | 1 |
| 10 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 |
| 12 | 1 |
| 13 | 1 |
| 14 | 1 |
| 15 | 0 |
| 16 | 1 |
| 17 | 1 |
| 18 | 1 |
| 19 | 1 |
| 20 | 1 |
| 21 | 1 |
| 22 | 1 |
| 23 | 1 |
| 24 | 0 |
| 25 | 0 |
| 26 | 0 |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| 29 | |
| 30 | |
| 31 | |
| 32 | |
| 33 | |
| 34 | |
| 35 | |
| 36 | |
| 37 | |
| 38 | |
| 39 | |
| 40 | |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |
| 43 | |
| 44 | |
| 45 | |
| 46 | |
| 47 | |
| 48 | |
| 49 | |
| 50 | |
| 51 | |
| 52 | |
| 53 | |
| 54 | |
| 55 | |
| 56 | |
| 57 | |
| 58 | |
| 59 | |
| 60 | |

For Review Only