1 The Innes Field, Block 30/24, UK North Sea

3

⁴ ¹Durham Energy Institute, Durham University, Durham, County Durham, DH1 3LE, UK

5 Correspondence j.g.gluyas@durham.ac.uk

6 ²Ark Resources Ltd., 6 Eghams Close, Beaconsfield, Bucks. HP9 1XN

7 Abstract

8 The abandoned Innes Field was wholly within Block 30/24 on the western 9 margin of the Central Trough in the UK sector of the North Sea. Hamilton 10 Brothers Oil Company operated the exploration and production license and 11 Innes was the third commercially viable oil discovery in the block after Argyll 12 and Duncan. Discovery of the field was made in 1983 with well 30/24-24. Three 13 appraisal wells were drilled one of which was successful. Oil was found in the 14 Lower Permian Rotliegend sandstones sealed by Zechstein dolomites and Upper 15 Jurassic shale.

16

17 The discovery well and the successful appraisal well were used for production.

18 Export of the light, gas rich crude was via a 15km pipeline to the Argyll hub.

19 Innes Field was produced via pressure decline with no secondary recovery

20 attempted. The field was abandoned in 1992 having produced 5.8 mmbbl of oil

and possibly 9.8 bcf of gas. At most the water cut was a few percent.

22

23 The field was reexamined between 2001 and 2003 by the Tuscan Energy/Acorn

24 Oil and Gas partnership with a view to tying the field back to the newly

redeveloped Argyll (Ardmore) Field but marginal economics and financial
constraints for the two start-up companies prevented any further activity on
Innes. Enquest currently owns the license and the company has redeveloped
Argyll, as Alma, for a second time. There are presently no plans to drill again on
the Innes Field.

30 Introduction

31 Hamilton Brothers Oil Company (HOC) was one of the most successful

32 companies to operate in the North Sea and adjacent areas during its first quarter

century of exploration and production. By the time HOC was acquired by BHP in

34 March 1991, the company had made 13 significant discoveries (OGA, 2016) most

of which were in production, with the remaining few producing within a few

36 years of the BHP acquisition. The Innes Field was the third oil discovery on a

37 license which covered all of Block 30/24 and part of Block 30/25a.

38

39 The first discovery was well 30/24-2 drilled in 1971. It became the Argyll Field, 40 although this discovery was nearly missed (Figure 1). Well 30/24-1 had been 41 drilled on the same structure and only when the well abandonment was 42 complete was the first well recognized to be an oil discovery. Oil was flowed 43 from Permian Zechstein carbonates and several intervals in what was thought at the time to be Permian Rotliegend sandstones. Only later was this shown to be a 44 45 combination of Permian Rotliegend and older Devonian red beds. Later wells on 46 Argyll flowed oil from Jurassic (Fulmar) sandstones and oil was proven though 47 not flowed from the Cretaceous Chalk. The second discovery on Block 30/24 was made with 30/24-15 (named Duncan, 1981) a few kilometers west of Argyll. 48

It found oil in the Upper Jurassic Fulmar sandstones but both the Zechstein and
Rotliegend intervals were dry. Innes (1983) with a Rotliegend reservoir was the
third discovery and the fourth and final discovery in the license was made by
well 30/25a-4 (1988). It tested at 600 bopd also from a Rotliegend sandstone.
This final discovery was not developed and never listed as significant.

54 History of Exploration and Appraisal

55 The Innes Field was discovered in 1983 by well 30/24-24 (Figure 2). It is

56 located about 13 km north-west of Argyll. A total of four wells were drilled on

- 57 Innes of which two (30/24-24 and 30/24-32) found oil and two were classified
- as water saturated (30/24-27 and 30/24-35). In fact neither well was truly dry;
- 59 30/24-27 had a residual oil column in the Rotliegend and oil shows were
- 60 recorded from the Chalk of 30/24-35. Indeed, the Jurassic Fulmar sandstone
- 61 above Innes also had oil shows. An additional well (30/24-36) was drilled on a
- 62 separate structure, about 2 km north-east of Innes, however this was also water
- 63 saturated at the Rotliegend level with a short oil column in the Fulmar

64 sandstone. Innes was produced through two subsea wells (30/24-24 and

- 65 30/24–32) tied back to Argyll.
- 66

67 The discovery well, 30/24-24, tested dry oil from Rotliegend sandstones at

68 12,260 – 12,400 ftMD. Later that year (October 1983) the appraisal well

69 30/24-27 was drilled about 1.5 km east of the discovery well. Well 30/24-27

- 70 found almost 300 ft of Rotliegend sandstones, however the entire section was
- respectively water saturated. As noted above, the core from 30/24-27 is oil

stained throughout and shows a dull yellow fluorescence on the original uv corephotographs. This was not reported at the time.

74

75 The oil-stained core from 30/24-27 well contrasts with that from discovery well 76 30/24-24 that shows no obvious oil staining and at most weak whitish 77 fluorescence. The contrasts in oil staining and uv fluorescence between wells 24 78 and 27 is taken to indicate that the Innes trap has changed geometry through 79 geological time and has had two oil charges. The area penetrated by well 27 80 received an oil charge first, consisting of yellow-fluorescing medium gravity oil. 81 This oil was lost leaving the reservoir stained. A second charge of light, pale 82 white-fluorescing oil then migrated into the area penetrated by well 24 and it is 83 this oil which now forms the Innes Field. That the current oil charge in Innes and 84 the earlier accumulation, inferred from the staining of the core in well 27, are in 85 different places indicates that the Innes trap has rotated down to the north. This 86 is consistent with northward thickening of the Tertiary section into the evolving 87 Central Graben. The question remains as to what happened to the oil that once 88 occupied the Innes area. Given that both Argyll/Ardmore and Duncan are updip 89 of Innes then it is quite possible these fields received the spilling oil from a 90 proto-Innes Field.

91

92 The base of the Rotliegend sandstone in well 30/24-24 was just above the top of
93 the Rotliegend sandstones in well 30/24-27. The RFT data from the two wells
94 was used to estimate an OWC at 12,385 ftSS.

96 In June 1984 an extended well test was carried out on 30/24-24. This EWT 97 showed some depletion, however it was decided to proceed with developing 98 Innes. By this time the oil in place within Innes had been calculated by HOC 99 (unpublished, cessation of production document, 1991) to be 24.2 mmbbl using 100 a combination of gross rock volume calculated using maps created from 2D 101 seismic data together with the reservoir property and oil-water contact 102 information detailed below. This oil in place figure is higher than reported by 103 Robson (1991) of 19 mmbbl. The origin of the difference between the published 104 and cessation of production figures for STOIIP is not known. The 3D seismic 105 survey acquired over part of the Argyll Field and the northern part of Block 106 30/24 in 1990 was not interpreted over the known fields including Innes. The 107 aim of the survey was to be able to demonstrate to the licensing authority that 108 there was no remaining exploration prospectivity on the block so that the Argyll, 109 Duncan and Innes fields could be abandoned. The most recent calculation of oil 110 in place was by Tuscan and Acorn in 2003 and was based upon the reprocessed 111 (TU03) 3D seismic dataset (P90 19.7, P50 26.6, P10 34.6 mmbbl).

112 Regional Context

Block 30/24 lies at the southern end and on the western margin of the North
Sea's Central Trough. It differs from the core of the Central Trough insofar as the
Mesozoic section is significantly thinned; the Triassic is thin or absent, the
Jurassic only represented by the Upper Jurassic (sandstones and shales) and this
too may be absent as may be the Lower Cretaceous and a depositionally thin
Upper Cretaceous Chalk. Most of the thermal subsidence occurred in the

119 Tertiary which may comprise 3000+ meters of mostly mudstone (Tang et al,120 2018).

121

The area of Block 30/24 along with contiguous blocks to the north west and
south east essentially occupies what was the rift shoulder for the Central Trough.
The main reservoirs are Palaeozoic in age with subordinate Mesozoic age
reservoirs (Table 1).

126

127 The seal horizons in the area are not easily defined. For the two largest fields, 128 Auk and Argyll, the seals are composite comprising Triassic mudstones, tight 129 Chalk and possibly Tertiary mudstones (Trewin et al, 2003; Gluyas et al, 2005). 130 Parts of both fields are also fault sealed. Both Auk and Argyll also contain oil 131 saturated intervals within the Chalk. F-Block fields (Fergus, Flora, Fife and 132 Angus) also rely upon sealing at the base (upper) Cretaceous by tight Chalk 133 (Shepherd et al, 2003; Hayward et al, 2003). However, the Chalk above both 134 Flora and Fife is oil bearing at the Tor interval from which oil has been flowed to 135 surface albeit at modest rates (Megson and Hardman, 2001). Innes has a 136 combined seal of Kupferschiefer (4ft thick mudstone) and Zechstein mudstones 137 and carbonates (60-116 ft thick). This contrasts with the Zechstein interval 138 being a highly productive reservoir in both Auk and Argyll where it is karstified, 139 brecciated and fractures.

140

The Upper Jurassic Kimmeridge Clay Formation in the adjacent Central Graben is
mature for oil over much of the area immediately east of the rift shoulder, with a
few deeper pockets mature for gas (Evans et al, 2003). The occurrence of yellow

144 fluorescing, dark oil stain in the sandstones of 30/24-27 and white fluorescence

but an absence of oil stain in the sandstones of Innes discovery well 30/24-24 is

146 taken as evidence for two phases for oil migration.

147

The chronostratigraphy of both reservoir and overburden at Innes is shown inFigure 3.

150 Database

151 Robson (1991) reports that over 3000 km of 2D seismic data were available 152 across Block 30/24 by the time that the discovery well was drilled on Innes 153 (30/24-24). In particular, surveys shot in 1980, 1981 and 1982 were used to 154 define the prospect 14 km NW of Argyll which ultimately became Innes. It was 155 not until 1991 that HOC shot a 3D seismic survey across 30/24 (HB91, Figure 4). Incredibly, HOC and the company that acquired them, BHP, never drilled a well 156 157 on the basis of that survey. Indeed, the survey only partially covered the Argyll 158 and Duncan fields although it did cover the whole of Innes. The survey was 159 processed and interpreted from which a number of prospects and lead were 160 identified. Several of these were close to Innes (Figure 5). However, it is clear 161 from reading the internal HOC documentation which was gained by Tuscan and 162 Acorn when these companies acquired the 30/24 license, the purpose of the 3D 163 seismic acquisition programme was not to define and drill wells but rather to be 164 able to demonstrate to the licensing authorities that there were no commercially 165 viable exploration targets. The seismic data were essentially acquired to enable 166 cessation of production from Argyll, Duncan and Innes. That plan succeeded.

168 Ultimately, the HB91 3D seismic survey was merged with an Agip survey (AG93,

169 Figure 4) and reprocessed in 2003 to become TU03. It was this reprocessed data

together with the five local wells, 30/24-24, 27, 32, 35 and 36 that became the

171 database for the Tuscan/Acorn re-evaluation of Innes in 2003.

172

173 All wells in the Innes area (30/24-24, 27, 32, 35 and 36) were drilled between 174 1983 and 1986 using water-based mud and the wore-line logs suites collected typical of their time and included gamma ray logs (the natural gamma ray tool 175 176 was used in 30/24-27 only), litho density log, borehole compensated sonic and compensated neutron log for lithology and porosity. The dual induction 177 178 laterolog was used for resistivity measurements and repeat formation tester for 179 pressure data. All five wells were cored while in the discovery well, 30/24-24 180 the high-density dipmeter tool was also used. The cores were sampled for 181 porosity and permeability but no special core analysis data (SCAL) have been 182 found for Innes.

183 **Trap**

184 The Innes Field comprises a single tilted fault block. Faults close the structure to 185 the west and south while dip closure occurs to the north and east. The overall 186 geometry of the pool is kite shaped with a long axis of about 2.5 km and shorter 187 axis of 1.5 km. The geometry of the mapped trap did not change appreciably 188 between mapping the structure with 2D seismic data and the revised map 189 following acquisition of 3D seismic data (Figure 2). However, there are fewer 190 faults on maps generated using the 3D data compared with those created by 191 Hamilton geoscientists using the 2D seismic data. We have not found

192 documentation from the Hamilton era to explain the high density of faults 193 mapped from the 2D data. Whilst the NW-SE faults were confirmed by the 3D 194 and were able to be mapped in more detail, it became clear that the NW-SE faults 195 that compartmentalised the structure were not so apparent in the 3D seismic 196 interpretation (and that the formation pressure data in well -32 drilled after 197 start of production from well -24 confirmed that there was good pressure 198 communication between the 2 well locations); and that the fault blocks 2-3 SE of 199 well -24 on the 2D map, which were not considered part of the field in reality 200 probably are, so adding to the STOOIP originally calculated by HOC. It is also 201 clear from the 3D seismic data that a another undrilled near identical structure 202 occurs in the fault block immediately south of Innes (Figure 2).

203 **Reservoir and Petrophysics**

204 The reservoir in Innes is entirely within the Lower Permian Rotliegend Auk 205 Formation sandstones (Heward et al, 2003). Four facies associations are present within the Auk Formation reservoirs of the wells and fields of Block 30/24: 206 207 aeolian slipface sandstones, aeolian wind-ripple sandstones, water-lain 208 Weissliegend sandstones and other water-lain conglomerates, breccias and 209 sandstones (Figure 6). Five reservoir zones were defined in Innes (Heward et al 210 op cit) and they consist of different proportions of these facies which infill 211 topography and onlap the Argyll High. Fewer zones and an appreciably thinner 212 sequence occurs on the Argyll High itself while at Innes the Rotliegend sandstone 213 thickness is between 68m and 91m. At Innes (wells 24 and 32) the reservoir 214 section comprises more or less equal thirds of water lain sandstones at the base 215 overlain by dune slip-face sandstones that are in-turn overlain by the

resedimented Weissliegend Sandstones. Short intervals of wind-ripple
sandstones occur interbedded with the dune slip-face sandstones (Heward et al,
2003). The reservoir section at 30/24-27 differs somewhat. The Weissliegend
and basal water lain sandstones are thin and the aeolian sandstones are
dominated by wind ripple deposits (Figure 7). The distribution and character of
the facies reflect periods of sediment supply, subsidence and fluctuating climatic
conditions towards the margin of the Northern Permian Basin..

The Auk Formation as a whole forms a high quality reservoir at depths of

225 3000–4000 m. The best intervals, with Darcy permeabilities, consist of coarse-

226 grained Weissliegend sandstones and due slipface sandstones (Figure 8). The

227 porosity and permeability of both water leg and oil leg sandstones are

228 comparable and there is little mineral cement in the sandstones despite a

reservoir temperature of 145°C. Heward et al (op cit) suggested that the absence

of significant cementation resulted from the fact that significant burial of the

area occurred only since the beginning of the Tertiary.

232

233 Oil in place

The oldest oil in place figure we have found for Innes is 19 mmbbl published by

Robson (1991) and presumably pre-dating a figure of 24.2 mmbbl that was

recorded in the end of year (1991) cessation of production report by HOC and

237 submitted to the licensing authority. Tuscan and Acorn remapped the Innes Field

using the TU03, 3D seismic dataset. The P90 oil in place was calculated to be

239 19.7, P50 26.6 and P10 34.6 mmbbl.

241 **Production History and Reserves**

242

243 In January 1985, after the Deep Sea Pioneer (DSP) was installed on Argyll, the 244 original production vessel from Argyll, the Trans World 58 (TW58) was moved 245 to well 30/24-24 and production started. The oil was processed on the TW58 246 and stabilized crude oil was exported to the Argyll base manifold and hence to 247 the mooring buoy and shuttle tanker. Well 30/24-32, located about 1 km north-248 west of well 30/24-24, was tied into the production facilities in November 1985. 249 The summed peak production from the two was around 10,000 stb/d but both 250 wells declined rapidly and the average production for the two wells combined 251 (including downtime) was never more than 6000 stb/d (Figure 9). 252

In January 1986 well 30/24-35 was drilled to develop the south-east part of the structure. However this well found the top Rotliegend sandstones at 12,442 ft sub-sea, almost 300 ft deeper than prognosed, and the entire Permian section was water saturated. Well 30/24-35 was plugged and abandoned.

257

The rapid decline in production rates, coupled with the relatively high operating costs of using the TW58 floating production facility, meant that field life would be very limited. HOC carried out a feasibility study into changing the production system from a dedicated floating production facility (FPF) to a subsea satellite tied back to a remote FPF. The objective was to remove the TW58 and produce Innes directly to the DSP. However several issues / problems (eg. flow instability, wax,

hydrates, corrosion) were anticipated and while technical solutions existed theproject economics would not stand the additional costs.

266

267 HOC decided to run a field trial producing Innes to the DSP, by-passing the 268 separation facilities on the TW58. The trial was carried out from 17^{th} Aug – 26^{th} 269 September 1986. The Innes wells were successfully flowed to the DSP both 270 independently and commingled. This subsea tie-back achieved 80% of the production rate obtained using the TW58. The subsea flowline introduced a 271 272 differential pressure of 200 – 400 psi. A few problems due to gas hydrates and 273 cold fluids in the DSP process plant were identified, however these issues were 274 manageable. HOC concluded that Innes could be permanently tied back to the DSP. 275

HOC installed a new subsea manifold at well 30/24-24 and ran subsea control
umbilicals from the DSP to the Innes wells. The TW58 was demobilized on 31st
Dec 1986 and the tie-back project was completed on 9th Jan 1987, just 15 weeks
after the end of the field trial.

280

281 In December 1990 a severe storm shut in the field. In early 1991 HOC were unable 282 to resume flow from either of the production wells because the downhole safety 283 valves were closed and they were unable to reset them. Workovers on both wells 284 were carried out however they were unsuccessful. A record of why the wells were 285 worked over when the flow rates prior to the storm had been so low (well 32 more 286 or less ceased production and well 24 producing about 100 stb/d) has not been 287 found. It could be that HOC were more interested in the solution gas form Innes 288 for effecting gas lift on Argyll Field wells.

289

Innes contains light oil (45° API) with a high GOR (1700 scf/stb). Saturation
pressure is around 4100 psia. The initial reservoir pressure was 6589 psia at
12,322 ft tvdss; this is around 1000 psi over-pressured.

293

294 The Innes Field was produced via primary pressure depletion (exsolution gas 295 drive) with minor aquifer support from two wells (30/24-24 and 30/24-32). 296 Both wells showed similar production trends (Figure 9): a rapid decline in 297 production rate over the first 1 - 2 years followed by a more gradual decline in the rate for the remaining 2 – 4 years. Very little water was produced by either well – 298 299 the final water cut for well 30/24-32 was less than 10% and for well 30/24-24 it 300 was only about 2%. Both gas and oil were exported to Argyll by pipeline and the 301 gas from Innes used for gas lift on Argyll Field wells.

302 The observed field gas-oil ratio (GOR) was quite variable (1300 – 2300 scf/stb)

303 but did not show a consistent increasing trend (Figure 9). It appears that the

reservoir pressure fell below the saturation pressure in 1986 – 87 (Table 2). The

305 GOR did not appear to rise over the final 3 – 4 years of production. This may be

306 due to inaccuracies in the GOR measurements, the PVT properties or the

307 formation of a secondary gas cap.

308

309 The reservoir pressure data indicates some support after the initial rapid

310 pressure decline (Fig. 10).

The RFT data from all the Innes wells is shown in Figure 11. Wells 30/24-32 and 30/24-35 were drilled after the start of field production. Well 30/24-32 is in good communication with production well 30/24-24, whereas 30/24-35 has limited communication. The differential pressures within the Rotliegend sandstone interval seen in both these RFTs indicate that significant baffles (sabkha mudstones) to vertical flow are present and that principle production was from the uppermost Weisliegend sandstones (Heward et al, 2003).

319

321

320 It is interesting to note that well 30/24-27 is quite close to well 30/24-35 where

the RFT showed poor communication with well 30/24-24. It is possible that the

two wells (24 & 27) that were used to define the OWC from RFT data may have a
barrier between them and may be in slightly different pressure regimes. It is

324 possible that the OWC of 12,385 ft sub-sea is not correct. A deeper OWC would

325 certainly help explain the lack of water production.

326

327 It seems anomalous that 5.8 mmstb were produced from Innes with only minor
328 amounts of water and no increase in the GOR. The production history data and /
329 or the reservoir description may not be correct. It is quite possible that the
330 STOOIP is greater than the 24 mmstb calculated by HOC and closer to the P10
331 case of 34.4 mmbbl calculated by Tuscan and Acorn.

332

Further production from Innes is very dependent upon either natural pressurerecharge or providing pressure support. Given the extensive nature of the

so i recharge of providing pressure support. diven the extensive nature of the

Rotliegend sandstones reservoir (Heward et al, 2003) it is quite likely that

336 significant natural pressure recharge has occurred. Neither of the production

337 wells were located at the crest of the structure. It is difficult to estimate future 338 potential, however with a couple of wells and the right production system a 339 further 5 – 10 mmstb, perhaps more, may now be recoverable. Experience 340 gained from the redevelopment of Argyll as Ardmore demonstrated that 341 moderate to high angle wells with modern completions including electro-342 submersible pumps could deliver well with significantly higher production rates 343 and sustainable production than was the case with wells drilled in the 1979s and 344 1980s (Gluyas, et al, 2018).

345

346 References

Evans, D., Graham, C., Armour, A. and Bathurst, P. (2003) The Millennium Atlas:

348 Petroleum Geology of the Central and Northern North Sea, Geological Society349 London

350

351 Gluyas, J.G., Tang, L. and Jones, S.J. (2018) Argyll Field: the first oil field to be

developed on the UK Continental Shelf, Geological Society, London, Special

353 Publications, 465, 11 April 2018, <u>https://doi.org/10.1144/SP465.3</u>

354

355 Gluyas, J.G., Mair, B., Schofield, P., Arkley, P. and McRae, D. (2005) Ardmore Field:

356 rebirth of the first offshore field UKCS. In Petroleum Geology: North-West Europe

and Global Perspectives, Proceedings of the Sixth Petroleum Geology Conference

358 (eds. A.G. Dore and B.A. Vining) Geological Society, London, 367-388

Hayward, R.D., Martin, C.A.L., Harrison, D. van Dort, G., Guthrie, S. and Padget, B.

360 (2003) The Flora Field, Block 31/26a, 31/26c, UK North Sea, *In*: Gluyas, J.G. and

361	Hichens, H.M. (eds.) United Kingdom Oil and Gas Fields: Millennium
362	Commemorative Volume. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, 20 , 549-556
363	
364	Heward, A.P., Schofield, P. and Gluyas, J.G. (2003) The Rotliegend reservoir in
365	Block 30/24, UK Central North Sea: including the Argyll (renamed Ardmore) and
366	Innes fields, Petroleum Geoscience, 9, 2003, pp. 295–307
367	
368	Megson, J. and Hardman, R. (2001) Exploration for and development of
369	hydrocarbons in the Chalk of the North Sea: a low permeability system,
370	Petroleum Geoscience 7, 3-12
371	
372	OGA (2016) Significant discoveries in date order, UK Department of Energy and
373	Climate Change, <u>https://www.ogauthority.co.uk/media/3003/significant_discoveries_august_2016.pdf</u>
374	accessed April 2016
375	
376	Robson, D. (1991) The Argyll, Duncan and Innes fields, Blocks 30/24 and
377	30/25a, UK North Sea. In: Abbotts, I.L. (ed.) United Kingdom Oil and Gas
378	Fields: 25 Years Commemorative Volume. Geological Society, London,
379	Memoirs, 14 , 219–225.
380	
381	Shepherd, M., MacGregor, A., Bush, K. and Wakefield, J. (2003) The Fife, and
382	Fergus Fields, Block 31/26a, UK North Sea, <i>In</i> : Gluyas, J.G. and Hichens, H.M.
383	(eds.) United Kingdom Oil and Gas Fields: Millennium Commemorative Volume.
384	Geological Society, London, Memoirs, 20 , 537-548
385	

- Tang, L., Gluyas, J.G. and Jones, S.J. (2018) Porosity preservation due to grain
- 387 coating illite/smectite: evidence from Buchan Formation (Upper Devonian) of
- 388 the Ardmore Field, UK North Sea, Proc Geol Assoc., DOI information:
- 389 10.1016/j.pgeola.2018.03.001
- 390
- 391 Trewin, N.H., Fryberger, S.S. & Kreutz, H. 2003. The Auk field revisited, Block
- 392 30/16, UK North Sea. In: Gluyas, J.G. & Hichens, H.M. (eds) United Kingdom Oil
- 393 and Gas Fields: Commemorative Millennium Volume. Geological Society, London,
- 394 Memoir, 20, 484–496.
- 395 Field Summary Table
- 396
- 397 See separate file
- 398
- 399 Table 1 Discoveries and Fields in the area around the Innes Field
- 400

Field name	Block	Date of	Reservoir	Reservoir	Fluid
		discovery	age		type
Auk	30/16	February	Permian	Zechstein,	Oil
		1971		Rotliegend	
Auk North	30/16	September	Permian	Rotliegend	Oil
(beyond drilling		2007			
radius of Auk					
platform)					
Innes	30/24	April 1983	Permian	Rotliegend	Oil

Duncan (Galia)	30/24	January	Jurassic	Fulmar	Oil
		1981			
Argyll	30/24,	August	Cretaceou	Chalk	Oil
(Ardmore,	30/25	1971	s, Jurassic,	(untested),	
Alma)			Permian,	Fulmar,	
			Devonian	Zechstein,	
				Rotliegend	
				Buchan	
30/25-4	30/25	October	Permian,	Rotliegend	Oil
discovery		1988	Devonian	Buchan	
Iris discovery	30/29	March	Jurassic	Fulmar	
		1980			
Angus	31/26,	March	Jurassic	Fulmar	Oil
	30/26	1983			
Flora	31/26	August	Cretaceou	Chalk (tested,	Oil
		1997	S,	unproduced),	
				Flora	
			Carbonife		
			rous		
Fife	31/26,	April 1991	Cretaceou	Chalk (tested,	Oil
	31/27,		S,	unproduced),	
	39/1,			Fulmar	
	39/2		Jurassic		

Fergus	39/2	October	Jurassic	Fulmar	Oil
		1994			

401

402 Table 2 Innes Field pressure data

403

Date	Well	Event	Reservoir pressure	Cumulative oil	
			(psia at 12,322 ftSS)	production	
				(mmstb)	
Mar 1983	24	RFT	6,589	0	
Jun 1984	24	Test	6,547	0.028	
Apr 1985	24	Survey	6,067	0.320	
Aug 1985	32	RFT	5,323	0.881	
Sept 1985	32	Test	5,185	0.985	
May 1986	24	Survey	4,052	2.275	
Aug 1986	24	Survey	3,662	2.853	
Sept 1986	24	Survey	3,615	2.900	
*Feb 1991	32	Survey	**2,500	5.754	
* estimated date, ** gauge not at perforations					

404

405

406 Figure captions

407

408 Figure 1 Regional location map for the Innes (Block 30/24) and surrounding

409 fields. The main Upper Jurassic basin domains are also shown.

411	Figure 2 Innes Field maps: A. 1991 map based upon interpretation of 2D seismic
412	data (Robson, 1991) and B. 2003 map based upon interpretation of 3D seismic
413	data. Maps are to the same scale. Map B. also shows adjacent South Innes
414	prospect as mapped in 2003. The mapped surface is Top Rotliegend Sandstone.
415	
416	Figure 3 Chronostratigraphic chart for Block 30/24 and adjacent areas.
417	
418	Figure 4 Area covered by the first 3D seismic surveys over Block 30/24 (HB91 =
419	Hamilton 'Argyll' 3D seismic survey 1991; AG93 = Agip 'Iris' 3D seismic survey
420	1993). The two volumes were merged and reprocessed in 2003 to become
421	TU03.
422	
423	Figure 5 Prospects and leads map taken from HOC Technical Committee Meeting
424	notes of 1 st December 1997.
425	
426	Figure 6 Auk Formation facies associations at Innes: a. aeolian facies
427	associations, vertical well 30/24-27, dips are approximately depositional.
428	Steeper dips in dune, slip-face, grain flow sandstones are overlain and underlain
429	by more gently dipping mm-thick, wind ripple, laminated apron and dry
430	interdune sandstones, BS = bounding surface. b. water-lain Weissliegend facies,
431	two thin, wind-ripple, laminated aeolian sandstones (arrowed) within massive,
432	coarse to medium grained Weissliegend sandstones (core from deviated well
433	$30/24-32$ at 27°). c. matrix supported breccia, containing angular clasts of
434	fossiliferous Middle Devonian dolomites (Kyle Group). Photographs reproduced
435	from Heward et al, 2003.

- 437 Figure 7 West to east log panel across the Innes Field showing the character and
- 438 distribution of the Rotiegend deposits. The five reservoir zones are best
- 439 developed in 3024-27 and 32 (from Heward et al, 2003).
- 440
- 441 Figure 8 Auk Formation reservoir properties, Innes Field and adjacent wells
- 442 (30/24-24, 27, 32, 35) and wells (from Heward et al, 2003).
- 443
- 444 Figure 9 Innes Field production and GOR profiles.
- 445
- 446 Figure 10 Innes pressure history as a function of (A) date and (B) cumulative oil
- 447 production (pressures reported at field datum 12,322 ft TVDSS). Field start-up
- 448 was January 1985.
- 449
- 450 Figure 11 Comparative RFT data from the Innes Field and surrounding wells.
- 451 Well 30/24-7 is an exploration well located midway between Argyll and Innes.
- 452

(Parameter)	(Data and suggested Units)	(Author's explanatory comments)
Trap		
Туре	Tilted fault block	
Depth to crest	12,000 (ft TVDSS)	
Hydrocarbon contacts	12,385 (ft TVDSS)	OWC inferred from RFT
		data
Maximum oil column	385 (ft)	Absence of water
thickness		production indicates
		OWC may be deeper
Maximum gas column	Not applicable (ft)	
thickness		
Main Pay Zone		
Formation	Auk Formation	Rotliegend sandstone
Age	Lower Permian	
Depositional setting	Terrestrial – alluvial fan at	
	base, overlain by erg and erg	
	margin, water reworked	
	uppermost interval.	
Gross/net thickness	max thickness 300ft	The Rotliegend
		sandstones infill
		topography; thickness
		range 151-300ft
	16.00/ (5.200/)	(Heward et al, 2003)
Average porosity (range)	10.8% (3-29%)	
Average net:gross ratio	10.52	
Cutoff for het reservoir	10 mD	As used by Hamilton
Average permeability (range)	Arithmetic 421 mD, geometric 63 mD (0.1)	
	7 000mD)	
Average hydrocarbon	54%	
saturation		
Productivity index range		
Hydrocarbons		
Oil gravity	45 (°API)	
Oil properties		Slight waxing tendency
Bubble point (oil)	4100 psig	Saturation pressure
Dew point (condensate)		-
Gas/Oil Ratio or	Approx.1700 scf/bbl	
Condensate/Gas Ratio	2.02	
Formation Volume Factor	2.03	
Gas gravity	n/a	
Gas Expansion Factor	n/a	
Formation Water	11 0	
Salinity	80.000 (ppm NaCl equiv.)	
Resistivity	0.024 ohm-m at 300F	
Pressure gradient - water	0.45 nsi ft ⁻¹	
Pasamoin Conditions	0. 1 5 psi n	
Reservoir Conditions		

Temperature	146 (°C)	295°F
Initial pressure	6589 (psia at 12,322 ft TVDSS)	
Hydrocarbon pressure gradient - oil	(psi/ft)	
Hydrocarbon pressure gradient - gas	(psi/ft)	
Field Size		
Area	2.25 (km ²)	2004 evaluation based on 3D seismic
Gross Rock Volume	99,136 (ac-ft)	
STOOIP	24.2 (mmbbl)	
Associated GIP	Not calculated (bcf)	
Non-associated GIP	Not calculated (bcf)	
Drive mechanism (primary, secondary)	Primary pressure depletion	Some natural pressure support noted, likely aquifer inflow
Recovery to date - oil	5.8 (mmbbl)	
Recovery to date - gas	9.8 (bcf)	The produced gas contained 18-25ppm H ₂ S
Expected ultimate recovery factor/volume - oil	24 (%)/ 5.8 (mmbbl)	
Expected ultimate recovery factor/volume - gas	(%)/(bcf)	
Production		
Start-up date	1985	
Number of Exploration/Appraisal Wells	1/4	
Number of Production Wells	2	Exploration well and one production well used for production
Number of Injection Wells	0	
Development scheme	Sub-sea tie back to Argyll Field	
Plateau rates – oil/gas	6000 bopd 10.8 mmcfgd	Plateau production lasted about 6 months
Planned abandonment	October 1992	Production ceased on Innes in 1990 following a storm. It was never restarted



Figure 2 Innes maps



30/23 30/24 1 km 12800 Innes OWC 12390 ft TVD SS 36 12800 12800 South Innes 13000 (prospect) Э





Innes Figure 5 Prospectivity



Innes Figure 6 facies



a. 30/24-27

b. 30/24-32

c. 30/24-32

Innes Figure 7 reservoir correlation



Fig. 7. West to east log panel across the Innes Field showing the character and distribution of Rotliegend deposits. The five reservoir zones are most clearly evident in wells 30/24-27 and -32 that are extensively cored. The base of the Rotliegend does not appear to have been penetrated in well 30/24-32 and may occur in the interval above what is clearly *in situ* Devonian Kyle Group carbonates at the base of 30/24-24. Key to wireline logs as Figure 6

Innes Figure 8 Reservoir quality



Innes Figure 9 Production data



Fig. 10 - Inness Pressure History



Innes Figure 11 RFT data



● 7 well ◆ 24 well ▲ 27 well ■ 32 well × 35 well + 36 well