

Research Article

Ranges of B, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb, Sr, Tl, and Zn Concentrations and Isotope Ratios in Environmental Matrices from an Urban Area

Nicola Pallavicini (),^{1,2} Emma Engström,^{1,2} Douglas C. Baxter,² Björn Öhlander,¹ Johan Ingri,¹ Scott Hawley,³ Catherine Hirst,⁴ Katerina Rodushkina,⁵ and Ilia Rodushkin (),^{1,2}

¹Division of Geosciences and Environmental Engineering, Luleå University of Technology, S-971 87 Luleå, Sweden
 ²ALS Laboratory Group, ALS Scandinavia AB, Aurorum 10, S-977 75 Luleå, Sweden
 ³Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Durham, UK
 ⁴Department of Geosciences, Natural History Museum, Stockholm, Sweden

⁵Department of Chemistry, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

Correspondence should be addressed to Nicola Pallavicini; nicola.pallavicini@alsglobal.com

Received 2 June 2018; Accepted 18 November 2018; Published 16 December 2018

Academic Editor: Pedro D. Vaz

Copyright © 2018 Nicola Pallavicini et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Isotopic information may provide powerful insight into the elemental cycling processes which occur in natural compartments. Further implementation of isotopic techniques in natural sciences requires a better understanding of the range of elemental and isotopic compositional variability in environmental matrices. This study assesses the local-scale concentration and isotopic composition variability of nine elements: boron (B), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), lead (Pb), strontium (Sr), thallium (Tl), and zinc (Zn) in lysimetric waters, mushrooms, litter, needles, leaves, and lichens. Sequential extractions were also performed on soil samples from 6 depth profiles providing more detailed information on the variability of elemental concentrations and isotope ratios between the elemental pools present in soil. For most of the sample types studied the range of isotopic variability between samples spans almost the entire ranges reported in the literature for natural samples. These results represent a starting point for discussing the role of natural variability in isotopic studies (for example, as a limiting factor in the use of isotopic mixing models) and a baseline for future in-depth studies examining the controls on isotope fraction in natural systems.

1. Introduction

The development of isotope-based techniques in environmental science has grown exponentially in the last few decades [1–6]. Recent studies in the field are increasingly adopting multielemental approaches to determine sample provenance [7–9], fingerprint pollution sources [10–14] and identify biochemical processes [15, 16]. Ultimately, the usefulness of all such single and multielemental isotope methods in biological and environmental sciences is limited by the uncertainties of interpreting the isotope ratio data [17].

Historically, analytical (im)precision was a significant barrier to unambiguous data interpretation for stable [18, 19] and even radiogenic isotopic systems [20]. The advent and continued development of multiple collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (MC-ICP-MS) has greatly improved analytic precision attainable. Nowadays, the ability to unambiguously interpret highquality MC-ICP-MS data is increasingly limited by a lack of data on the isotopic variability of environmental samples, i.e., is the identified difference in isotopic compositions between two samples within a range to be expected for the sample type given natural variability or not?

The severity of the issue was highlighted in a previous study whereof the total concentrations and isotope ratios of a number of elements in leaves, needles, and mushrooms from northern Sweden were determined [2]. Even after removing some known sources of variation such as sampling height (for leaves and needles) and sampling period, very broad ranges in isotopic compositions of many elements were found across samples collected over a confined geographic area. The variability was attributed to a combination of differences in accumulation pathways, soil type, subsoil geology, proximity to local contamination sources, sampling period, and weather preceding sampling occasion [21-23]. Given such a large number of confounding factors may contribute to the isotopic composition of environments samples, it was concluded that while foliage samples may provide highly spatially and temporally resolved snapshots of elemental and isotopic interactions, a better understanding of the individual variables within the system is needed to understand the observed isotopic variability in bioindicators.

Therefore, the aim of this work is to build on the previous work [2] and extend a comprehensive dataset of concentrations and isotopic compositions to nine elements in a variety of environmental samples (topsoil, lysimetric waters, mushrooms, litter, wood ash, needles, leaves, and lichens). As the fate and behaviour of an element in soil is dependent on the physical/chemical form of that element in soils, i.e., dissolved, exchangeable, included in the mineral lattice, or insoluble [24], distinguishing the subpools is an important complement to bulk concentration and isotope ratio measurements. The sequential extraction procedure (SEP) used by this study allowed the determination of 6 functionally defined elemental pools from 6 soil profiles from urban and suburban locations.

The elements measured in this study are currently broadly used across many environmental fields (disciplines) to study a wide range of processes. Several of the elements (Zn, Fe, Cu, and B) are essential for plant growth and can be important cofactors in other biological processes. Moreover, the elements included in the study can be of environmental concern (Cd, Pb, Cr, Cu, Zn, and Tl) and/or are commonly used tracers in provenance studies (Sr, B, and Pb). Overall, both radiogenic (Sr and Pb) and stable isotopic systems (B, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Sr, Tl, and Zn) are included and a single multielement/multi-isotopic analytical procedure [2] can be used to measure them all.

The local-scale variability in isotopic composition was compared with the published assessments of global isotopic variability. For elements like Tl, where there is limited published data on the isotopic compositions of environmental samples, the information thus obtained aids gaining knowledge needed for better understanding of Tl systematics. For other elements, such as Fe, the information offers a framework for considering the relationship between carefully controlled fractionation experiments and real-world samples. In order to illustrate the significance of such variability assessments, a case study is presented using multiisotope data for two landfills contaminated by tailings from Fe production, Fe and Cu slag, and fly ash.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site. All samples in this project were collected in and around the city of Luleå, a medium-sized town situated in northern Sweden in the province of Norrbotten (Figure 1). The original village of Luleå dates back to 1649 [25] and has more recently become industrialized including a major steelworks. Samples collected within approximately 5 km direct distance from local steelworks and harbours will, for the remainder of this study, be referred to as "city" samples. Samples from a broader area, approximately 10 km from the major local industries, are referred to as "suburb" samples. The suburban locality was relatively recently a seabed (the study area, as well as entire northern part of the Nordic region is rising due to postglacial up-lift almost 9 mm a year, due to isostatic glacial readjustment [26]) and has been involved in the urbanization process only from the late 1980s.

The local soil consists mainly of clay and silt loam overlying 1.9 Ga granitic bedrock with minor metasedimentary constituents. The suburban location is specifically characterized by a rather homogeneous sandy and well sorted soil with little variations in grain size. The sampling locations in the urban (city) area are till soils characterized by coarse and heterogeneous grain sizes which were formed at the time the land emerged from a sea some 400 years ago.

2.2. Sample Collection and Preparation. The sampling procedures for bioindicator organisms (mushrooms, n > 60; one pooled litter sample; leaves, n > 200; needles, n > 40; lichens, n > 30) are reported in Pallavicini et al. [21] and in Rodushkin et al. [2]. Wood ash (originated mainly from local birch wood) was collected from several dedicated recreational fireplaces in Luleå vicinity and pooled into a single sample. Individual soil samples were collected at the end of July 2015 following the same scheme (n > 150, approximately 10 g of soil from upper, predominantly organic, 2-3 cm layer sampled by acid-washed plastic spoon). To supplement the individual soils, samples six soil profiles, two from the city centre and four from the suburban area hereafter referred to as "city" and "suburb" respectively, were also collected. The profiles were collected using a vertical soil core sampler to a maximum sampling depth of 60 cm (consistent with typical rooting depth for tree species sampled [27]. Once collected, each soil core was divided into four equal 15 cm horizontal subsamples and stored in 50 mL polypropylene screw-capped tubes. The soil subsamples does not directly correspond to different soil horizons. In order to compliment the soil samples, pore-water solutions (approximately 250 mL) were collected from nine locations in the town using drain-gauge passive-capillary lysimeters (n =15) installed at 20 cm depth. Two heavily contaminated soil samples (landfills) from the same region were also added to this study.

Details on sample preparation procedure including chemicals and reagents used, sample digestion and sequential extraction procedure (SEP), analyte/matrix separation, element concentration, and isotopic analyses are fully



FIGURE 1: Map of the sampling locations. Filled circles represent the sampling point where biological samples have been collected (leaves, needles, and mushrooms); filled stars represent the locations where soil samples have been collected.

reported in the ESI. The SEP followed a method involving six extractions [28] and has been summarized in Table 1.

2.3. Analytic Performance. Information on operating parameters and measurement conditions for MC-ICP-MS can be found in Table 2 (see ESI for more detailed description). All stable isotopic systems are reported in standard delta (δ) notation while radiogenic systems are reported as ratios in accordance with standard practices. Stable isotopic differences between samples are reported as

capital delta (Δ) notation denoting the difference between two δ values.

All solutions were analyzed in duplicate. Signal intensities were transferred to commercially available spreadsheet software for further off-line calculations, including blank, isobaric interference(s) as well as instrumental mass bias corrections. The latter was corrected by the revised exponential correction model [29] using the internal standard (for all isotopic systems but B), and the corrected ratios or δ -values were calculated against bracketing standard solutions. Results from the two

TABLE 1: Sequential extraction scheme.

Code	Extracted phase	Solution	Volume (mL)
F1	Exchangeable	Distilled water	40
F2	Carbonates	0.11 M·CH ₃ COOH (acetic acid)	40
F3	Reducible	0.1 M·NH ₂ OH·HCl (hydroxylamine hydrochloride)	40
F4	Oxidizable	8.8 $M \cdot H_2O_2$ (hydrogen peroxide)	10 + 10
		1 M·CH ₃ COONH ₄ (ammonium acetate) pH 2	50
F5	Residual I	Aqua regia	20
F6	Residual II	HF	10

measurements were used to calculate mean ratios or δ -values and in-run repeatability for each sample.

For the stable isotopic systems, many of the individual isotopes were measured as possible (Table 2) to validate the mass dependent nature of the measurements, but for easy of reporting, only a single δ value will be used consistently for each system (Table 2).

The analytical results for reference standards (QC Loam Soil (VIK) (soil powder) (Eurofins A/S Denmark, Vallensbæk Strand, Denmark), GBW 07410 (soil) (National Research Centre of Geoanalysis, Beijing, China), and IRMM ERM-CC141 (soil powder)) along with typical repeatability and intermediate precision figures for soils, mushrooms, and leaves are reported in Table 3. All reference standards were processed and analyzed in parallel with the samples. It should be noted that results for GBW 07410 and ERM-CC141 are calculated using concentrations and isotope ratios for individual sequential extraction fractions and therefore more uncertain. A full list of certified materials used to assess performance of the method for bioindicators is available in the ESI.

Though mass balances for both concentrations (%-recovery of sum of extracts) and stable isotope ratios (comparison of measured and calculated bulk sample delta values) for all soil samples subject to SEP may aid to accuracy evaluation, this would require thorough homogenization (e.g., by fine grinding) of soils before taking subsample for bulk analyses with accompanying risk of introducing contamination and affecting distribution between phases.

2.3.1. Precision. The intermediate precision for concentration data, defined as the reproducibility of an analytic technique from digestion to measurements on multiple occasions by different analysts, for the reference standards BCR-146R and CRM052 is <7% for most elements (Table 3). For isotopic ratios, attainable precision varies significantly between isotope systems and matrices studied. It should be stressed that intermediate precision is about two times greater than repeatability (Table 3) due to varying element concentrations, slight variations in column yield, efficiency of matrix separation and contamination during column chemistry, instrumental optimization, etc. Intermediate precision, defined in this manner, is therefore considered to provide a valid assessment of the overall method uncertainty or the analytical "resolution" of the procedure. For example, due in large part to low absolute B concentrations, B isotope ratio measurements in mushrooms have a mean uncertainty of approximately 5‰ if performed on different occasions, and natural variations in isotopic composition below this level cannot be discerned.

2.3.2. Accuracy. Elemental concentrations in the aqua regia digests were within the 90%–110% range of the certified values for the CRMs except for Sr in CRM052 (Table 3). Reanalysis of the digests by an alternative technique (ICP-optical emission spectrometry) confirmed the double-focusing sector field ICP-MS (ICP-SFMS) results and the reason for our high reported Sr concentrations remains unclear. The overall accuracy of the SEP was calculated by summing the elemental concentrations in all the fractions in GBW 07410 and ERM-CC141. The majority of elements were within 10% of the certified values, but Cr concentrations samples are around 80% of the certified values.

The reference materials utilized in this study are not certified for isotopic composition, and, to the authors' knowledge, there is no other published isotope data for the measured CRMs. Therefore, the accuracy of the presented isotope ratio data cannot be directly evaluated. Isotopic information for CRMs presented in Table 3 can aid future interlaboratory calibrations of new reference standards for such matrices.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Element Concentrations and Isotope Ratios in Environmental Samples. Isotopic composition and concentration data for each element studied is presented in Tables 4–12. The tables are formatted to show the soil profile information for all six SEP fractions in the city and suburb locations (with the reported concentrations representing an average of the individual profiles). For some analytes, only pooled isotopic ratio data is available (F1 + F2 + F3 and F4 + F5 + F6) due to there being insufficient concentrations in the single extractions to make the isotopic measurements. Concentration and isotopic ratio data for the top soils, lysimetric waters, mushrooms, needles, leaves, lichens, and litter sample are reported, for comparison, below the profile data.

Discussion in this section will start with an examination of multielement patterns which help identify major processes affecting all the samples. The multielement patterns will be followed by a section focused on element-specific patterns including relative differences between the city and suburban samples and relative differences a function of soil depth. Comparing the data between the city and the suburb locations (and between upper and lower soil layers) gives an indication of the isotopic and elemental signature of anthropogenic activity. Depth-related differences in the isotope ratios of labile SEP fractions within the soil profiles might reaffirm a potential role of gradual soil thawing during spring-early summer in the availability of specific element pools with variable isotopic composition to plant root system, as suggested in previous studies [2, 30, 31]. It is important to point out that differences in soil characteristics/

	Reported ratios	$\delta^{11}\mathrm{B}$	$\delta^{114} { m Cd}$	$\delta^{56} \mathrm{Cu}$ $\delta^{66} \mathrm{Zn}$	\delta ⁵³ Cr	$\delta^{56}{ m Fe}$	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²⁰⁷ Pb; ²⁰⁸ Pb/ ²⁰⁷ Pb δ^{205} TI	⁸⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr ury gas flow:	otic settings:
ushkin et al. [2].	"ô-zero" reference standards	NIST SRM 951a boric acid	NIST SRM 3108 Cd solution Lot 130116	NIST SRM 976 Cu standard solution IRMM-3702 Zn solution	NBS SRM 979 Cr standard	IRMM-014 Fe metal	NIST SRM 981 common Pb Tl: 1000 mg·L ⁻¹ elemental standard. Ultra scientific, North Kingstown, R1. USA: Lot 100709	Sr carbonate NIST SRM 987 (NBS-987) Sr carbonate as flow: 15 L·min ⁻¹ . Auxilić d sional stability. Zoon or	d signal stability. Zoom of
n Rođ	H4	I	¹¹⁷ Sn	I	1	I	I	olant ge	ivity an
ed fror	H3	I	¹¹⁶ Cd	uZ_{02}		⁶² Ni	²⁰⁸ Pb		n sensit
modifi	H2	¹¹ B	¹¹⁴ Cd	uZ ⁶⁸		⁶¹ Ni	²⁰⁷ Pb	⁸⁸ Sr 00–1450	naximur m: left.
Table	ution H1	I	¹¹² Cd	uZ ⁶⁷	⁵⁶ Fe	iN ⁰⁹	²⁰⁶ Pb	⁸⁷ Sr ⁸⁷ Rb ower: 14	obtain m r rotatic
nents ^b .	onfigura C	I	111 Cd	uZ ⁹⁹		Ι	²⁰⁵ TI	⁸⁶ Sr ⁸⁶ Kr s. ^b RF po	daily to (Amplifie
easurer	Cup cc L1	I	¹¹⁰ Cd	65 Cu	⁵⁴ Cr ⁵⁴ Fe	⁵⁷ Fe	²⁰⁴ Pb	⁸⁵ Rb itandard	djusted (s: 3−5. <i>i</i>
ratio m	L2	$^{10}\mathrm{B}$	¹⁰⁹ Ag	⁶⁴ Zn (⁶⁴ Ni)	⁵³ Cr	⁵⁶ Fe	²⁰³ TI	⁸⁴ Sr ⁸⁴ Kr nternal s	ettings: a egration
sotope	L3	I	¹⁰⁸ Cd ¹⁰⁸ Pd	63Cu	⁵² Cr	I	²⁰² Hg	⁸³ Kr l as the in	n lens se er of inte
is for is	L4	I	¹⁰⁷ Ag	I		⁵⁴ Fe ⁵⁴ Cr		⁸² Kr mly used	nin . lo Numbe
ment condition	Dynamic mode configuration				Main Sub configuration			Ag which were o	bex) 0.01–0.02 L·n cles per block: 5.
and measure	Sample uptake rate (mL·min ⁻¹)	0.40-0.50	0.04-0.06	0.20-0.25	0.05-0.25	0.20-0.25	0.04-0.06	0.20-0.25 xcept for Ni and Ar	2, Aridus and AF Number of cyc
g parameters	Integration time (s)	0.524	0.524	0.262	1.262	0.262	0.524	0.262 ond element, e	nal gas flow (N ₂ rr of blocks: 9.
AS operating	Resolution mode	Low	Low	Medium	High	Medium	Low	Low ard for the sec	nin ⁻¹ . Additior ution. Numbe
TABLE 2: MC-ICP-N	Configuration of introduction system	Pumped micromist nebulizer, minicyclonic spray chamber, X-type skimmer cone	Aridus/Apex desolvating systems, self-aspirating microconcentric PFA nebulizer, X-type skimmer cone	Pumped micromist nebulizer, double spray chamber, H-type skimmer cone	Pumped micromist nebulizer, double spray chamber, H-type skimmer cone/Apex desolvating systems, self-aspirating microconcentric PFA nebulizer, X-type skimmer cone	Pumped micromist nebulizer, double spray chamber, H-type skimmer cone	Aridus/Apex desolvating systems, self-aspirating microconcentric PFA nebulizer, X-type skimmer cone	Pumped micromist nebulizer, double spray chamber, H-type skimmer cone is used as the internal stands amble oss flow 0.9–1.751.m	ample gas flow: 0.9–1.25 L·m. 4 to obtain maximum resolu
	Elements ^a	В	Cd	Zn/Cu	Cr/Ni	Fe/Ni	Pb/T1	Sr ^a One element 1 4.1 min ⁻¹ S	1.4.L min . adjusted daily

Journal of Spectroscopy

	JRM052	$nnd \pm 2\sigma$ n = 6	21 ± 1.09	-01 ± 0.09	14 ± 0.07	84 ± 0.14	80 ± 0.07	78 ± 0.0004	50 ± 0.0004	14 ± 0.00004	$.41 \pm 0.09$	$.18 \pm 0.05$	total	ied \pm 95% CI Recovery, %	NA NA	35 ± 0.05 89	86 ± 8 77	4.4 ± 1.4 100	NA NA	41 ± 4 103	NA NA	NA NA	57 ± 4 105		ii), $n = 20$	2.4	0.116	0.107	0.073	0.059	0.0024	0.0016	0 000 0	0.059	0.000
	0	Fo	2.7	-0-	0.1	0.8	0.6	1.207	2.465	0.7091	-0-	-0-	ERM-CC141,	Found, µg·g ⁻¹ Certifi	16	0.31 0.3	66	14.4 14	24800	42.1	68	0.52	60	ermediate precision, 2σ	16 Leaves, $n = 24$ So	1.2	0.072	NA	0.086	0.081	0.0058	0.0041	0 0002	0.122	1110
IUI CIMMS.	BCR-146R	Found $\pm 2\sigma$ (n = 8)	-3.40 ± 1.53	0.11 ± 0.09	0.04 ± 0.09	0.22 ± 0.06	0.18 ± 0.05	1.1496 ± 0.0002	2.4288 ± 0.0003	0.70805 ± 0.0000	-0.50 ± 0.04	-0.12 ± 0.05		Recovery, %	NA	112	97	105	NA	107	NA	NA	104	Int	Mushrooms, $n =$	5.4	0.041	NA	0.097	0.086	0.0040	0.0028	0.0018	0.112	1110
nupe muummanu			δ11B. ‰	δ114Cd. ‰	δ53Cr. ‰	865Cu. ‰	δ56Fe. ‰	206Pb/207Pb	208Pb/207Pb	87Sr/86Sr	δ205 Tl. ‰	δ66Zn. ‱	, Aqua regia	Certified ± 95% CI	NA	0.25 ± 0.04	31 ± 4	12.4 ± 0.9	NA	32.2 ± 1.4	NA	NA	50 ± 4		Soil, $n = 10$	1.6	0.074	0.078	0.052	0.034	0.0021	0.0011	0 0002	0.031	1000
	Aqua regia	Certified \pm 95% CI ($\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$)	NA	256 ± 6	332 ± 5	130 ± 3	4280 ± 208	108 ± 3		113 ± 11	71.6 ± 2.7	516 ± 12	ERM-CC141	Found, $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$		0.28	30	13	19800	34.4	12	0,14	52	Repeatability, 2σ	Leaves, $n = 12$	0.7	0.032	NA	0.078	0.056	0.0053	0.0034	0 0001	0 106	00TI0
IABLE J. OC	CRM052, <i>A</i>	Found $\pm \sigma$, $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$ (n = 6)	177 ± 11	263 ± 9	346 ± 19	130 ± 7	4610 ± 320	115 ± 11		243 ± 13	75.5 ± 6.2	512 ± 34		Recovery, %	110	136	86	102	100	102	93	106	104		Mushrooms, $n = 8$	3.2	0.022	NA	0.074	0.077	0.0037	0.0022	0 0014	0.089	10000
	Aqua regia	Certified \pm 95% CI ($\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$)	NA	18.4 ± 0.4	174 ± 7	831 ± 16	NA	583 ± 17		NA	NA	3040 ± 60	07410	Certified ± 95% CI	$38.3 \pm 4, 8$	0.086 ± 0.015	$66 \pm 4, 5$	$23.2 \pm 2, 2$	32200	$29.2 \pm 3, 2$	188 ± 9	$0.62 \pm 0, 16$	$69.1 \pm 2, 7$	ERM-CC141	Found	4.5	-0.11	0.06	0.28	0.06	2.4431	1.1913	0 7291	-0.67	~~~
	BCR-146R,	Found $\pm \sigma$, μ g·g ⁻¹ ($n = 8$)	17.3 ± 1.6	17.7 ± 0.4	175 ± 10	798 ± 25	13600 ± 800	548 ± 43		1070 ± 99	0.469 ± 0.033	3050 ± 170	GBW	Found, $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$	42.1	0.117	57	23.7	32200	29.9	175	0.66	71.7	GBW 07410	Found	-6.0	-0.07	0.11	0.34	0.16	2.4452	1.1781	0 712 0	-0.53	· · · · ·
		Element	В	Cd	Cr	Cu	Fe	Pb		Sr	Π	Zn	1	Element	В	Cd	Cr	Cu	Fe	Pb	Sr	Π	Zn			$\delta^{11}B$, %0	δ ¹¹⁴ Cd, ‰	δ ⁵³ Cr, ‰	δ ⁶⁵ Cu, ‰	δ^{56} Fe, ‰	²⁰⁷ Pb/	²⁰⁶ Pb/	⁸⁷ Sr / ⁸⁶ Sr	S ²⁰⁵ T1 %	U 11, /W

TABLE 3: Concentrations and isotope information for CRMs.

6

					1		1			1				
Soil profiles		Cor	ncentrat	tion, μg·	g^{-1} DW	,				(δ ¹¹ B, ‰			
Fractions	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total
City $(n = 2)$														
0–15 cm	0.57	0.24	0.48	1.05	1.71	3.87	7.91	2	-9	-17	-12	-12	-7	-9
16-30 cm	0.21	0.16	0.15	0.35	0.62	2.88	4.38	-3	-11	-16	-13	-10	-19	-16
31–45 cm	0.04	0.11	0.04	0.09	0.38	1.90	2.56	-9	-11	-10	-15	-16	-24	-22
46-60 cm	0.04	0.15	0.02	0.06	0.34	1.86	2.47	-4	-6	-7	-15	-12	-23	-21
Suburb $(n = 4)$														
0–15 cm	0.16	0.06	0.10	0.17	0.83	5.15	6.47	-25	-24	-17	-2	-17	-14	-14
16-30 cm	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.60	5.01	5.94	-7	-13	-8	-11	-15	-2	-4
31–45 cm	0.06	0.11	0.04	0.07	0.80	5.10	6.17	-10	-4	-2	10	-6	-4	-4
46-60 cm	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.05	0.58	4.75	5.56	-6	-2	-5	12	-14	$^{-8}$	-9
	(Concentrat	ion, μg	g^{-1} DW	T				δ	¹¹ B %				
		$(\mu g \cdot L^{-1})$	for wa	ters)					U	D, 700	, 			
	Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.			Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.	Range	
Top soils	8	7	7	2	53			-7	-8	7	-17	12	29	
Lysimetric waters	10	7	12	5	54			4	4	3	-2	7	9	
Mushroom	1.3	0.6	1.5	0.2	6.8			-8	-10	13	-24	3	27	
Litter	21	NA	NA	NA	NA			8	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Wood ash	290	NA	NA	NA	NA			7	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Needles	14	13	6	6	31			17	19	9	-2	38	40	
Leaves	21	18	13	7	76			10	10	9	-7	34	41	
Lichens	2.7	2.4	1.1	1.6	6.6			32	37	9	13	40	27	

TABLE 4: Boron concentrations and isotopic composition in environmental samples from Luleå.

TABLE 5: Cadmium concentrations and isotopic composition in environmental samples from Luleå.

Soil profiles		Со	ncentra	tion, µg	g^{-1} DW	T				δ^1	¹⁴ Cd, %	0		
Fractions	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total
City $(n = 2)$														
0–15 cm	0.006	0.016	0.427	0.149	0.122	0.036	0.756	0.08	0.04	0.08	-0.19	-0.19	—	-0.02
16-30 cm	0.003	0.012	0.137	0.056	0.049	0.032	0.289	0.17	0.40	0.09	-0.69	-0.31	—	-0.10
31–45 cm	0.001	0.012	0.019	0.015	0.016	0.019	0.081	0.25	0.26	-0.02	-0.91	-0.24	—	-0.15
46-60 cm	0.001	0.016	0.005	0.010	0.015	0.017	0.065	0.26	0.33	-0.06	0.00	-0.91	—	-0.09
Suburb $(n = 4)$														
0–15 cm	0.002	0.010	0.027	0.010	0.013	0.012	0.074	0.23	—	_		_	—	
16-30 cm	0.001	0.027	0.012	0.008	0.009	0.011	0.069	_	_	_	_	_	_	
31–45 cm	0.001	0.026	0.008	0.005	0.010	0.010	0.061	_	_	_	_	_	_	
46-60 cm	0.001	0.025	0.003	0.006	0.009	0.012	0.056	0.19	_					
	(Concentrat	tion, μg .	g^{-1} DW	r				[ع	14Cd 0/				
		$(\mu g \cdot L^{-1})$	for wa	ters)					0	Cu, 70	0			
	Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.			Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.	Range	
Top soils	0.66	0.51	0.32	0.13	2.40			0.08	0.09	0.14	-0.32	0.42	0.74	
Lysimetric waters	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.22			0.22	0.19	0.07	0.14	0.34	0.20	
Mushroom	1.6	1.2	1.4	0.03	5.0			0.15	0.23	0.28	-0.71	0.52	1.23	
Litter	0.30	NA	NA	NA	NA			0.17	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Wood ash	3.3	NA	NA	NA	NA			-0.33	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Needles	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.16			0.09	0.10	0.26	-0.52	0.56	1.08	
Leaves	0.33	0.29	0.16	0.06	0.83			0.46	0.48	0.29	-0.60	1.31	1.91	
Lichens	0.13	0.12	0.03	0.09	0.18			0.08	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.18	0.13	

morphology at each location may play an important role in the differences observed. The final area of discussion will highlight a case study involving environmental pollution.

3.1.1. Multielement Patterns

(1) Batch-Type Leach Water and Lysimeritc Waters. The batch-type water leaches (fraction F1) and lysimetric waters

have similar elemental concentrations, with the exception of Cu, Pb, and Zn, (assuming an average water to soil mass ratio of 1:10 for the F1 fraction). The concentrations of the latter analytes are several orders of magnitude lower in lysimetric waters than in the F1 fraction. Most of the isotopic compositions of the elements follow a similar pattern. Isotopic ratios of radiogenic elements (Pb and Sr) in the F1 fractions from upper soil layers overlap the compositions of lysimetric waters. The differences in the isotopic

						-	-			-				
Soil profiles		Con	centrati	ion, μg∙g	g^{-1} DW					δ	⁵³ Cr, ‰			
Fractions	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total
City $(n = 2)$														
0–15 cm	0.09	0.18	0.14	7.5	7.7	9.5	25	-0.16	-0.11	0.38	0.04	0.04	0.11	0.04
16-30 cm	0.09	0.10	0.12	4.3	11	11	27	-0.13	-0.12	0.37	0.02	0.03	0.09	0.03
31–45 cm	0.09	0.03	0.06	2.8	11	8.8	23	0.05	0.10	0.21	0.04	0.02	0.11	0.04
46-60 cm	0.04	0.04	0.05	1.7	15	9.2	26	0.01	0.12	0.28	0.11	0.01	0.12	0.04
$Suburb^a (n = 4)$														
0–15 cm	0.08	0.13	0.13	2.4	8.5	11	22	0.22	—	—	0.11	_	—	0.11
16-30 cm	0.09	0.06	0.13	2.0	5.8	10	18	_	—	—	_	_	—	_
31–45 cm	0.08	0.04	0.14	2.0	8.6	10	21	_	—	—	_	_	—	_
46-60 cm	0.10	0.02	0.16	1.9	7.8	9.0	19	0.16	_	_	0.12	_	_	0.12
	(Concentrat	ion, μg	g^{-1} DW	7				8 ⁵³ Cr 0/					
		$(\mu g \cdot L^{-1})$	for wa	ters)					0 CI, 700)				
	Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.			Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.	Range	
Top soils	21	18	16	4	68			0.10	0.07	0.143	-0.16	0.41	0.57	
Lysimetric waters	4.3	4.4	0.4	3.9	5.1			0.26	0.26	0.01	0.25	0.27	0.02	
Mushroom	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.01	0.63			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Litter	1.8	NA	NA	NA	NA			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Wood ash	70	NA	NA	NA	NA			0.12	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Needles	0.23	0.21	0.19	0.05	0.97			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Leaves	0.26	0.22	0.15	0.10	0.95			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Lichens	2.2	1.6	1.1	1.5	4.2			0.36	0.36	0.11	0.21	0.57	0.36	

TABLE 6: Chromium concentrations and isotopic composition in environmental samples from Luleå.

^aValues reported as empty entries are due to pooling of several fractions. The isotopic values reported for the outmost top and bottom layers for F1 and F4 correspond to averaged values of respectively soil profiles F1+F2+F3 and F4+F5+F6 fractions.

TABLE 7: Copper concentrations and isotopic composition in environmental samples from Luleå.

Soil profiles		Con	centrat	ion, μg·	g^{-1} DW	r				ξ	⁶⁵ Cu, %	0		
Fractions	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total
City $(n = 2)$														
0–15 cm	0.33	0.58	0.08	26	11	1.2	39	0.10	0.05	0.38	0.23	0.29	0.06	0.26
16-30 cm	0.18	0.21	0.06	9.4	5.7	0.46	16	0.28	0.30	0.11	0.40	0.22	-0.23	0.31
31–45 cm	0.16	0.11	0.03	3.1	3.5	0.31	7.2	0.15	0.30	0.92	0.16	0.25	0.51	0.26
46-60 cm	0.14	0.15	0.05	2.0	3.9	0.34	6.6	0.27	0.41	1.04	0.68	0.22	-0.47	0.33
Suburb $(n = 4)$														
0–15 cm	0.13	0.50	0.06	2.1	3.0	0.58	6.4	0.35	—	—	-0.14	—	—	-0.06
16-30 cm	0.12	0.17	0.06	1.9	2.1	0.37	4.8	_	—	—	_	—	—	
31–45 cm	0.09	0.07	0.07	1.5	2.6	0.58	4.9	_	—	—	_	—	—	
46-60 cm	0.08	0.05	0.06	1.2	2.0	0.39	3.8	0.06	—	_	-0.11	_	—	-0.10
	(Concentrat	ion, µg∙	g^{-1} DW	7				S	⁶⁵ Cu 0	4-			
		$(\mu g \cdot L^{-1})$	for wa	ters)					0	Cu, 7	00			
	Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.			Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.	Range	
Top soils	15	11	11	3	84			0.06	0.01	0.21	-0.51	0.51	1.02	
Lysimetric waters	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.4	1.1			0.76	0.72	0.17	0.64	0.99	0.35	
Mushroom	44	39	25	10	120				-0.56	0.74	-2.4	0.62	3.02	
Litter	7	NA	NA	NA	NA			-0.08	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Wood ash	180	NA	NA	NA	NA			-1.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Needles	3.2	3.0	0.9	2.1	5.2			-1.09	-1.15	0.58	-2.0	0.05	2.08	
Leaves	7.1	5.3	3.4	3	17			-0.35	-0.33	0.35	-1.34	0.83	2.15	
Lichens	4.6	4.5	1.0	3.1	7.1			0.05	0.05	0.20	-0.28	0.30	0.58	

compositions of B, Cd, and Fe between these two matrices are also very minor. However, lysimetric waters were found to be preferentially enriched in the heavy isotopes of Cr, Cu, and Zn relative to the batch-type leach waters. soil particles. In natural systems, weakly sorbed elements such as Cu and Pb likely undergo reversible sorption and their retention is strongly depended on meteoric percolation dynamics.

The overall isotopic and elemental pattern is most readily explained as the product of exchange between rainwater and At least for Cu and Zn, this portioning/sorption process induces stable isotope fractionation [32].

Journal of Spectroscopy

Leaves

Lichens

200

250

160

210

150

110

61

150

1500

500

	IIIDEE (10110 uii	a 100top.	e eomp	oonnon n					Iu		
Soil profiles		Со	ncentra	tion, μg	g^{-1} DW	Τ				δ	⁵⁶ Fe, ‰			
Fractions	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total
$City \ (n=2)$														
0–15 cm	26	110	420	2700	16000	6800	26000	0.21	0.21	-2.0	0.33	0.22	0.17	0.19
16–30 cm	48	31	290	2100	14000	6000	22000	0.10	0.24	-1.8	0.36	0.20	0.16	0.18
31–45 cm	75	15	200	740	12000	5300	18000	0.07	-0.67	-1.3	0.88	0.18	0.15	0.17
46–60 cm	39	17	160	330	14000	6000	21000	0.07	-1.4	-1.1	0.75	0.19	0.11	0.16
Suburb (n = 4)														
0–15 cm	45	66	560	530	7000	6100	14000	-0.87	_	_	0.09	_	_	0.04
16–30 cm	73	33	620	780	5800	5100	13000	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
31–45 cm	54	10	630	550	7400	5500	14000	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
46–60 cm	54	7	580	460	7000	5400	13000	-0.49	—	—	0.20	—		0.16
	(Concentrat	tion, μg	g^{-1} DV	V				s ⁵	6 _{E0} 0/				
		$(\mu g \cdot L^{-1})$	for wa	ters)					0	ге, 700				
	Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.			Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.	Range	
Top soils	19000	17000	9000	4100	72000			0.07	0.10	0.13	-0.33	0.45	0.78	
Lysimetric waters	5200	5400	900	3600	5900			0.19	0.15	0.43	-0.20	0.91	1.11	
Mushroom	130	40	330	17	2100			-0.48	-0.47	0.35	-1.16	0.26	1.42	
Litter	1180	NA	NA	NA	NA			0.07	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Wood ash	3500	NA	NA	NA	NA			0.67	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Needles	140	110	110	33	440			-0.41	-0.35	0.41	-1.4	0.05	1.45	

TABLE 8: Iron concentrations and isotopic composition in environmental samples from Luleå.

TABLE 9: Lead concentrations and isotopic composition in environmental samples from Luleå.

-0.29

-0.09

-0.26

-0.09

0.20

0.04

-0.84

-0.14

0.09

-0.02

0.93

0.12

Soil profiles		Conce	entrati	on, μg·	g ⁻¹ DV	N				²⁰⁶ Pb/	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁸ Pł	o/ ²⁰⁷ Pb		
Fractions	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total
City $(n = 2)$														
0–15 cm	0.31	0.02	3.2	52	30	5.9	91	1.14/2.37	1.16/2.41	1.15/2.39	1.15/2.42	1.17/2.43	1.10/2.34	1.15/2.41
16-30 cm	0.17	0.02	0.75	9.4	18	6.6	35	1.17/2.43	1.20/2.43	1.16/2.43	1.17/2.43	1.20/2.44	1.09/2.33	1.17/2.41
31–45 cm	0.08	0.02	0.27	1.3	7.7	4.3	14	1.28/2.50	1.23/2.46	1.22/2.49	1.28/2.51	1.35/2.49	1.13/2.34	1.27/2.44
46-60 cm	0.02	0.03	0.08	0.15	4.3	4.9	9.4	1.42/2.61	1.35/2.54	1.42/2.60	1.42/2.58	1.54/2.62	1.13/2.34	1.32/2.47
Suburb														
(n = 4)														
0–15 cm	0.04	0.04	0.54	1.2	5.9	5.9	14	1.32/2.57	1.35/2.58	1.29/2.54	1.32/2.56	1.38/2.55	1.08/2.32	1.24/2.44
16-30 cm	0.04	0.08	0.34	0.26	4.6	5.5	11	1.30/2.55	1.25/2.53	1.26/2.48	1.30/2.51	1.37/2.55	1.08/2.32	1.22/2.43
31–45 cm	0.04	0.08	0.19	0.52	4.2	6.8	12	1.33/2.56	1.23/2.50	1.29/2.52	1.38/2.64	1.42/2.58	1.08/2.32	1.21/2.42
46-60 cm	0.02	0.07	0.07	0.05	3.2	7.4	11	1.44/2.64	1.22/2.50	1.46/2.61	1.54/2.69	1.55/2.64	1.08/2.32	1.21/2.41
	Conce	ntration,	$\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$	DW ($\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$				2	⁰⁶ DL/ ²⁰⁷ DL	²⁰⁸ pb/ ²⁰⁷ p	h		
		for	waters	;)						FU/ FU/	ΓU/ Γ	U		
	Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.			Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.	Range	
Top soils	18	16	21	5	470			1.35/2.49	1.34/2.50	0.15/0.01	1.05/2.30	1.77/2.61	0.72/0.31	
Lysimetric waters	0.50	0.40	0.40	0.03	1.1			1.17/2.45	1.17/2.44	0.03/0.02	1.10/2.42	1.20/2.47	0.10/0.05	
Mushroom	0.17	0.11	0.14	0.01	0.51			1.18/2.45	1.17/2.45	0.04/0.03	1.10/2.41	1.30/2.56	0.20/0.15	
Wood ash	6.4	NA	NA	NA	NA			1.15/2.40	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Litter	2.3	NA	NA	NA	NA			1.16/2.42	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Needles	0.15	0.12	0.11	0.02	0.54			1.18/2.42	1.18/2.42	0.03/0.03	1.11/2.34	1.26/2.47	0.15/0.21	
Leaves	0.29	0.21	0.29	0.04	2.1			1.18/2.44	1.18/2.43	0.03/0.02	1.11/2.39	1.25/2.49	0.14/0.10	
Lichens	1.9	1.8	0.4	1.3	2.6			1.14/2.38	1.14/2.38	0.01/0.01	1.14/2.37	1.15/2.40	0.01/0.03	

(2) Biologic Samples. Comparing the compositions of the biologic samples, i.e., lichen, mushrooms, and vascular plant tissue, from the same location can help differentiate biochemical variability from geochemical variability. Lichens do not contain roots, and therefore, their composition is expected to provide information on aerial (precipitation, sea aerosols, and long range pollution) sources of elements [33]. Unlike lichens, fruit bodies of mushrooms and vascular plant tissue are much less affected by direct contribution from airborne sources, both because of very short duration of exposure and significantly lower surface area/volume ratio.

For many elements (Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn), lichens display a significantly narrower range of isotopic variability

TABLE 10: Strontium	concentrations an	d isotopic	composition	in environmental	samples from	Luleå.
					· · · · · · ·	

Soil profiles		Con	centrati	ion, μg·	g^{-1} DW					8	⁵⁷ Sr/ ⁸⁶ Sr			
Fractions	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total
City $(n = 2)$														
0–15 cm	0.5	2.3	11	5.4	6.4	141	167	0.730	0.734	0.734	0.733	0.732	0.727	0.727
16-30 cm	0.3	1.7	5.1	3.0	6.0	138	154	0.727	0.734	0.733	0.735	0.733	0.731	0.732
31–45 cm	0.1	1.2	1.0	0.70	7.0	161	171	0.724	0.734	0.728	0.726	0.735	0.727	0.727
46-60 cm	0.1	1.2	0.60	0.50	7.7	170	180	0.727	0.743	0.724	0.727	0.747	0.727	0.728
Suburb $(n = 4)$														
0–15 cm	0.3	0.6	1.2	0.62	6.5	146	146	0.727	_	_	0.732	_	_	0.732
16-30 cm	0.2	1.1	0.69	0.42	4.8	148	148		_	_		_	_	
31–45 cm	0.1	1.5	0.41	0.33	6.0	179	179		_	_		_	_	
46-60 cm	0.1	1.4	0.32	0.31	5.1	188	188	0.729	—	—	0.733			0.733
	(Concentrat	ion, µg∙	g^{-1} DW	T					87 Sr/86 St	r			
		$(\mu g \cdot L^{-1})$	for wat	ters)						51/ 51	L			
	Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.			Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.	Range	
Top soils	25	23	15	3	150			0.731	0.731	0.004	0.723	0.739	0.016	
Lysimetric waters	12	10	2	8	12			0.733	0.733	0.001	0.732	0.733	0.010	
Mushroom	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	1.8			0.729	0.727	0.006	0.721	0.750	0.039	
Litter	28	NA	NA	NA	NA			0.731	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Wood ash	950	NA	NA	NA	NA			0.732	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Needles	18	15	16	2	63			0.734	0.733	0.007	0.724	0.754	0.030	
Leaves	34	31	16	6	120			0.730	0.730	0.005	0.721	0.742	0.024	
Lichens	8.3	7.4	3.9	2.5	16			0.733	0.731	0.006	0.725	0.742	0.017	

TABLE 11: Thallium concentrations and isotopic composition in environmental samples from Luleå.

Soil profiles		Con	centrati	on, µg∙g	⁻¹ DW					δ^2	²⁰⁵ Tl, %)		
Fractions	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total
City $(n = 2)$														
0–15 cm	0.004	0.002	0.024	0.046	0.058	0.32	0.45	0.36	0.10	-0.02	-0.32	-0.45	-0.47	-0.42
16-30 cm	0.001	0.001	0.009	0.024	0.070	0.40	0.50	0.36	0.12	0.00	-0.39	-0.40	-0.49	-0.46
31–45 cm	0.0003	0.0007	0.002	0.006	0.051	0.30	0.36	0.20	-0.10	-0.21	-0.28	-0.27	-0.54	-0.50
46-60 cm	0.0002	0.0006	0.002	0.004	0.064	0.31	0.39	0.18	-0.07	-0.26	-0.38	-0.28	-0.57	-0.52
Suburb $(n = 4)$														
0–15 cm	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.007	0.081	0.48	0.57	-0.08	_	_	-0.52	_	_	-0.51
16-30 cm	0.001	0.0008	0.003	0.005	0.054	0.50	0.56		_	_		_	_	_
31–45 cm	0.0007	0.0007	0.002	0.004	0.074	0.53	0.62	_	—	—	_	—	—	—
46-60 cm	0.0005	0.0006	0.002	0.003	0.056	0.55	0.61	-0.12	_	_	-0.62	_	_	-0.62
	(Concentrat	ion, μg .	g^{-1} DW						8 ²⁰⁵ т1 о	60			
		$(\mu g \cdot L^{-1})$	for wat	ers)						J 11, /	00			
	Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.			Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.	Range	
Top soils	0.26	0.20	0.26	0.03	3.2			-0.61	-0.61	0.17	-0.95	-0.20	0.70	
Lysimetric waters	0.04	0.04	0.024	0.002	0.07			-0.14	-0.17	0.07	-0.22	-0.05	0.17	
Mushroom	0.015	0.009	0.016	0.002	0.081			-0.18	-0.28	0.30	-0.62	0.42	1.04	
Litter	0.030	NA	NA	NA	NA			-0.41	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Wood ash	0.230	NA	NA	NA	NA			-0.27	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Needles	0.010	0.010	0.020	0.001	0.090			-0.31	-0.38	0.21	-0.63	0.16	0.89	
Leaves	0.006	0.004	0.007	0.001	0.043			-0.30	-0.39	0.16	-0.42	-0.09	0.33	
Lichens	0.023	0.009	0.024	0.004	0.060			-0.53	-0.54	0.10	-0.72	-0.41	0.31	

than mushrooms, leaves, and needles. This is probably a reflection of the isotopically homogenous nature of Aeolian inputs combined with limited biologic fractionation of stable isotopes within lichen [16, 34, 35]. Lichens have significantly higher concentrations of Cr, Pb, and Tl and lower concentrations of B and Sr relative to leaves and needles. This indicates that atmospheric pollution is a significant source for heavy metals in the region relative to potential geologic sources. The mushrooms are not only accumulating Cd, Cu, and Zn relative to the water-leachable element fraction of soils, but, with the exception of Cd, the isotopic composition of these metals also differs between the mushrooms and lysimeric waters and/or the local soil. The most likely explanation of this isotopic fractionation is the active uptake of heavy metals by siderophilic root exudates. Lithophilic and chalcophilic elements (B, Cd, Pb, Sr, and Tl) do not appear to

Journal of Spectroscopy

						1	1			1				
Soil profiles	Concentration, $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$ DW							δ^{66} Zn, ‰						
Fractions	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	Total
$City \ (n=2)$														
0–15 cm	7.2	230	180	96	38	13	560	-0.13	-0.07	0.01	0.02	-0.24	0.30	-0.04
16-30 cm	17	100	260	120	45	14	560	-0.17	-0.17	-0.14	-0.11	-0.31	0.32	-0.14
31-45 cm	5.4	49	35	18	23	11	140	0.01	-0.07	-0.09	-0.06	-0.01	0.34	-0.01
46-60 cm	4.9	35	12	7.4	22	11	91	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.30	0.37	0.15
Suburb $(n = 4)$														
0–15 cm	7.9	75	27	5.6	15	12	140	-0.23	_	_	0.11	_	_	-0.09
16-30 cm	10	49	24	5.5	11	11	110	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
31–45 cm	7.6	110	13	3.4	14	10	160	—	—	—	—	—	—	_
46-60 cm	10	28	14	3.6	15	10	84	-0.13	_	_	0.10	_	_	0.03
	Concentration, $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$ DW							5667 0/						
	$(\mu g \cdot L^{-1} \text{ for waters})$							0 Z11, 700						
	Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.			Mean	Median	σ	Min.	Max.	Range	
Top soils	93	83	72	28	640			0.18	0.16	0.20	-0.47	0.69	1.16	
Lysimetric waters	5.0	4.6	3.2	1.3	11			0.15	0.14	0.13	-0.05	0.30	0.35	
Mushroom	120	110	50	40	260			0.58	0.55	0.36	-0.16	1.25	1.41	
Litter	220	NA	NA	NA	NA			0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Wood ash	6200	NA	NA	NA	NA			-0.45	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Needles	51	50	19	22	96			-0.09	-0.08	0.30	-0.96	0.67	1.64	
Leaves	220	180	140	11	800			-0.13	-0.11	0.21	-0.94	0.24	1.18	
Lichens	57	60	8	36	70			0.04	0.07	0.06	-0.07	0.11	0.17	

TABLE 12: Zinc concentrations and isotopic composition in environmental samples from Luleå.

TABLE 13: Concentration and isotopic data for two contaminated soils (landfill A and landfill B) and waste samples from local industries (Fe, Cu slag, and fly ash).

	Cd, $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$	δ^{114} Cd	Cr, $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$	δ^{53} Cr	Cu, $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$	δ^{63} Cu	Fe, %	δ^{56} Fe	Zn, $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$	δ^{66} Zn	Pb, $\mu g \cdot g^{-1}$	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²⁰⁷ Pb
Landfill A	1.5	0.0	450	0.2	2700	-1.0	15	0.4	1100	1.4	40	1.32
Landfill B	0.6	-1.5	2600	0.1	170	-1.4	10	1.0	180	-0.2	170	1.17
Fe slag	0.2	0.1	4100	0.1	100	0.7	12	0.9	150	-0.7	250	1.16
Cu slag	11	-0.2	650	0.1	16000	0.1	35	0.4	12000	0.0	200	1.17
Fly ash	1.1	1.7	50	0.2	25	0.3	2.7	0.2	50	0.6	15	1.21

be significantly fractionated by mushrooms. The mushrooms' ability to accumulate Cd without inducing isotopic fraction may indicate an alternative biochemical mechanism for element uptake in mushrooms.

It is difficult to identify any clear patterns in the leaf and needle data (Tables 4–12). This may be a result of the far greater biochemical complexities of higher plants causing a much wider spread of interspecies and intertree isotopic variability. The litter layer was sampled to study potential isotopic shift related to nutrient reabsorption back into tree during late stages of senescence [36]. However, preliminary analysis of Fe and Pb concentration data demonstrate that the litter had become significantly contaminated by soil particles. The isotope signature of leaves in late stage of development therefore overlapped with the isotopic composition of the top soils.

3.1.2. Single-Element Patterns

(1) Boron. Total B concentrations in the soil profile decrease with depth in the city samples, but not in the suburban samples (Table 4). Between 80% and 95% of the total B

content was associated with the refractory phases (F5-F6) consistent with mineral bound B being the main source of B in the soils [37]. Secondary mineral formation is associated with the preferential incorporation of ¹⁰B into the secondary minerals [38] resulting in a heavier residual B composition in solution. This process appears to be the best explanation of the composition of the lysimetric waters in the present study ($\delta^{11}B_{water-soil} \approx +11\%$). The B isotopic composition of the upper soil layers, probably reflecting input from vegetation with plant biomass having distinctly heavier values ($\delta^{11}B_{leaves/needles-soil} \approx +20\%$).

There is a notable trend towards heavier B isotopic signatures in the direction soil < plants < lichens with soil consistently characterized by negative δ -values and plant materials always having positive δ^{11} B. Boron is not thought to be strongly fractionated by biological activity [39]; thus, this trend can, at least partially, also be explained by source mixing. The isotopic composition of the lichens (mean value of +32% for δ^{11} B) will be affected by aerial marine aerosols with δ^{11} B of about +40% [40], and the influence from this source could also explain the isotopic composition of leaves and needles in coastal regions. The total span of δ^{11} B values

for our samples vary by around 64‰ (Table 4), corresponding to almost half of the published span of δ^{11} B values [41]. More interestingly, the results for our bioindicator samples (mushrooms, needles, leaves, and lichens) show similar variability to coffee beans collected from vastly different geographic areas [8, 42] (Table 4). Overall, the B isotope systems appear to have characteristics which favor its use as an abiotic tracer of source mixing.

(2) Cadmium. The soil in the city locations contained significantly more Cd than the suburban location (Table 5). Such concentration difference is more pronounced in the surface soil layers compared to deeper profiles. For example, the upper most layers of the city soil profiles contain, on average, about 10 times the concentration found in the deepest inorganic layer. The major part of Cd is present in relatively mobile phases as about 70% of the total soil Cd was found in fractions (F1-F2-F3-F4). The reducible fraction (F3) contains especially high concentrations of Cd. This pattern suggests an anthropogenic source of Cd in the urban soil samples, but Cd isotopes do not appear a reliable means of identifying the pollution source.

Published variations of δ^{114} Cd values in rock and mineral samples do not exceed 1‰, excluding the extreme fractionation values published for extraterrestrial material [43]. This relatively narrow fractionation span (corresponding to 0.25‰ per mass unit) is small compared to light elements such as Li and B, reflecting less relative mass difference between Cd isotopes. The mass balanced soil Cd pool has an isotopic composition equal within measurement uncertainty to δ^{114} Cd = 0‰ and the fractions with highest Cd concentrations do not have a distinct isotope signature. Cadmium isotopes do however appear to be influenced by biological activity.

There are limited published data on the Cd isotope ratios of nongeologic material, while geologic matrixes display a narrow range of isotope compositions. The range in δ^{114} Cd values found in the leaves from the studied area (almost 2‰, Table 5) by far exceeds the variability of the geologic samples and the soil and lysimetric waters in this study. Thus, significant Cd fractionation occurs during Cd uptake or incorporation into the leaves. Biologically mediated fractionation appears to be the best explanation of the heavier Cd isotopic composition in bioindicators [2, 21]. It has been proposed [44] that carrier-mediated transport plays a major role in the root uptake process for Zn, and such process, also defined high-affinity transport, has been associated to a preferential translocation of heavier isotopes. Preferential incorporation of the heavy isotopes could explain also the observed shift between soil and leave isotope signatures (average δ^{114} Cd_{soil-leaves} \approx -0.4%), as confirmed in recent studies [45], as well as the negative δ^{114} Cd for the residual/immobile Cd pool in the soils.

(3) *Chromium.* There are minor differences in soil Cr concentrations between the sample locations (Table 6). Top soils have slightly lower Cr contents, which is probably due to dilution by Cr-poor organic material (offal). The mobile

fractions contain only a minor share of the total Cr pool irrespective of location or depth; on average, 83–88% of the total Cr is associated with residual phases. Cr isotopic compositions are very homogenous with practically all ratios in soils being the same within the uncertainty of the method (Table 3).

The Cr content of mushrooms, needles, and leaves was too low for accurate isotopic ratio measurements. δ^{53} Cr values increased from soil-to-soil solution to lichens. Wet deposition could be the explanation for the heavy signature found for δ^{53} Cr in lichens [46]. There is a positive correlation between Cr concentrations and increasing proximity to chromite processing steelworks and a negative correlation between δ^{53} Cr values and increasing proximity to the steelworks implicating the smelter as the primary airborne Cr contributor in the area.

(4) Copper. Highest concentrations of Cu were found in the upper soil horizons from the city location and concentrations decrease with depth (Table 7). Similarly to Cd soil distribution, Cu is significantly less stratified in the suburb soil than in the soil from the city. However, Cu is bound in soils in a different pool than Cd. Most of the Cu in top soil resides in the oxidizable fraction (F4), generally associated with organic matter. The smallest Cu pool was found within the F3 fraction in all depths and at all sample locations. Hence, Cu is not associated with Mn and Fe-oxides in the soil profiles.

The Cu isotopic ratios show no notable variations with soil depth. The Cu in the city soils has a heavy isotopic signature, while the suburban soil has a δ^{65} Cu value of approximately -0.1‰. This is consistent with previously reported findings for soil systems affected by Cu from an anthropogenic source [47], affirming that Cu isotopes can be used an indicator of anthropogenic pollution.

The biological samples have lighter Cu isotopic composition relative to the soil and lysimetric waters (Table 7). A shift towards lighter δ^{65} Cu in vegetation is consistent with the previous reports of Cu fractionation in plants (e.g., [48]), and a similar general pattern was reported for other nutrients [21, 49]. However, the fractionation may not be a sole result of a biomediated reaction. While reduction in the rhizosphere by organic exudates is associated with the fractionation of the bioavailable pools of Fe and Cu towards lighter values, a biotic reduction has the same effect at least for Fe [50].

(5) Iron. The total concentrations of Fe in city soil samples are approximately twice than those found in the suburbs (Table 8). However, unlike Cu and Cd, Fe concentrations do not change significantly with depth, and the majority of Fe was bound on the F5 and F6 fractions thus associated with primary bedrock mineral phases. Fe is one of the least mobile elements during chemical weathering creating the potential for soil iron concentrations to increase with increasing weathering intensity. This is consistent with the elevated Fe concentrations in the city soil probably reflecting a higher degree of chemical weathering, caused by a longer exposure, than the suburban soils. The iron isotopic composition of the city soil with the average δ^{56} Fe value = 0.16‰ is within the range of values reported for granite reference materials (0.13 ± 0.12‰, [51]).

Clear iron isotopic variability within soil fractions is consistent with chemical weathering being the dominant driver of iron cycling in the soil. Iron isotopic composition of the F3 and F4 fractions resemble findings of previous studies [52, 53] attributing such variations to kinetic fractionation as a result of chemical weathering. The composition of leaching solutions used here for F1 and F2 soil fractions are different from those used in separation schemes designed specifically for Fe [54], which makes it difficult to compare the patterns. However, as mentioned in the previous section, redox processes may strongly fractionate iron (δ^{56} Fe_{II-III} = -3∞ [55]). It is possible that the Fe isotopic fractionation between the F1 and F2 fractions in the upper 30 cm and lower 30 cm reflects a soil redox change with the lower (deeper?) soils being enriched in Fe²⁺.

(6) Lead. Lead concentrations display the classic pattern expected for anthropogenic pollutant in soils. In deeper soil layers (depths >30 cm), Pb concentrations are constant at both locations. In the city location, there is a significant increase in Pb concentrations in the upper soil layers while Pb concentrations are constant at the surburb location. The excess Pb in the upper most city soil also has a significantly different Pb isotope composition than the geologic Pb in the region.

The isotopic composition of the Pb pool in the suburban samples is within the range of agricultural soils reported for northern Sweden [56]. The upper soil horizons from the city soil contain Pb with a significantly less radiogenic value. Back calculating the isotopic composition of this excess Pb (assuming end-member mixing) provides a value near that found in modern lichen 206 Pb/ 207 Pb = 1.14 and 208 Pb/ 207 Pb = 2.38 (Table 9) [57]. All the bioindicators studied here have a significantly less radiogenic isotopic composition than the presumed natural Pb baseline in deep soil horizons.

The absence of increased Pb in the suburban samples suggests that pollution is more local than what might be expected based on other locations in northern Sweden [58], and it might be explained by the relatively short time interval since the area emerged from the sea. The existence of various local pollution sources also appears to be evident in the wide spread of Pb isotopic ratios found in birch leaves and pine needles around Luleå.

Moving away from using Pb as a pollution tracer, the selective extractions also highlight that the influence preferential mineral weathering can have on the isotopic compositions of Pb pools within soils. The residual fraction F6 (HF digestion) of the SEP used in the present study contains a uniform Pb concentration at all locations and depths with significantly less radiogenic Pb isotopic composition than in other fractions (Table 9). Similarly, in a study of sediments from 31 lakes in Sweden [59], lower ²⁰⁶Pb/²⁰⁷Pb ratios were found in samples prepared using HF digestion compared to those treated using HNO₃ + HClO₄ (10:1 v/v). This was attributed to the Pb contribution from feldspars, which are more resistant to chemical weathering

than most other minerals. In the present study, potassium feldspar is the main Pb carrier in the silicate soil fractions [60]. The majority of radiogenic Pb, formed in silicates by ²³⁸U, ²³⁵U, or ²³²Th decay, might be efficiently leached in the first five SEP fractions. This leaves the final HF fractionation with a less radiogenic isotope composition reflecting those for the time of mineral formation approximately 2 Ga years ago.

(7) Strontium. Total Sr concentrations display little variability across the dataset (Table 10). Top soils have slightly lower Sr concentrations, as for Cr most likely reflecting dilution effects by organic matter. The majority of Sr is found in the residual fractions (F5-F6), suggesting most of the element is bound in refractory mineral phases within the soil.

Mean ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios in all environmental matrices from Luleå fall into a relatively narrow range (0.729–0.734) with little differences in isotopic composition between soil and bioindicators. Thus, unlike B, Sr in needles, leaves, and lichens appears to be of a terrestrial rather than marine origin; seawater aerosols have less radiogenic Sr isotope ratios [61]. To a significant degree, the homogeneity of the ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios is expected given the composition of the local bedrock [60, 62].

Strontium isotopic ratios, primary variations in radiogenic ⁸⁷Sr abundance, have been extensively used for authentication and provenance studies [4]. Waters, crops, livestock, a variety of food products and ultimately man are known to reflect the Sr isotopic ratios typical for the geological setting in which they occur [63]. Strontium isotopic systematics has been also used, in combination with other systems, to trace anthropogenic sources in the environment [10, 11, 64].

(8) Thallium. Total Tl concentrations in soils from city and suburban locations vary over a very limited range of approximately $0.4-0.6 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ at all depths (Table 11). Thallium predominantly resides in the residual fractions (98% of Tl recovered in leachates F5-F6) most likely in the crystalline structure of silicate minerals such as potassium feldspar and quartz [65]. Concentration of the remaining Tl fractions, associated with reducible and oxidizable phases, is significantly higher in top soil from the city than that in the suburb. Our findings reaffirm previously reported Tl speciation data for rhizospheric soils [66].

There is very limited data on Tl isotopes in environmental samples if compared to other more "traditionally" studied isotopic systems. Tl isotopic ratio data from contaminated soils have been reported in literature with a δ^{205} Tl value of $\approx -0.3\%$ relative to the natural background, with average Tl concentrations of 0.75 μ g·g⁻¹ [67]. The same study reported a lighter Tl signature with increasing soil depth in both the extractable and the residual fractions which is similar to our findings (Table 11).

F1 and F2 soil fractions have positive δ^{205} Tl values. This heavier signature is then can be traced in significantly higher δ^{205} Tl found in lysimetric waters, which in turn may contribute to the heavier Tl ratios found in mushrooms, needles, and leaves (Table 11). (9) Zinc. Zinc concentrations follow a similar pattern as for Pb. The top soil layers from the city are significantly enriched in Zn while deeper soil horizons are characterized by more uniform concentrations (Table 12). The majority of Zn was found in the first four fractions (80–90% leached in F1–F4), suggesting that source of anthropogenic Zn, like Pb, contains the element in a readily mobile form. Isotopic composition patterns for Zn and Pb show little similarities.

The deep soil layers (46–60 cm) have Zn isotopic compositions consistent with that of the local bedrock (–0.05– 1.5‰; converted from the "Lyon" JMC 3–0749 L standard) [47]. The soil horizons with the highest Zn concentrations seem to be slightly enriched in the light isotopes of Zn, but the trend is not overly convincing. Previous studies reported that exogenous dust deposition does cause measurable shift in soils Zn isotopic composition [68] which otherwise is relatively constant [30] for less contaminated soils. This suggests that the source of anthropogenic Zn has a Zn isotope composition very close to the local bedrock. Previously, Zn fractionation in soil horizons was attributed to sorption onto the Fe oxyhydroxides [47].

The mean δ^{66} Zn of top soils and lysimetric waters is approximately +0.2‰, while a significantly higher value of +0.6‰ was found in mushrooms indicating preferential uptake of heavier isotopes. The mechanism(s) of uptake of heavy metal ions by fungi can be via either an active or passive [69], and the prevailing heavier Zn signature in mushrooms compared to the soil pool suggests an active uptake strategy. On the contrary, Zn in needles and leaves is isotopically lighter most likely as a result of fractionation processes during Zn translocation through the shoot [70], favoring lighter isotopes.

3.2. Landfills and Industrial Wastes. Pollution source tracing using isotopic data can be challenging for a number of reasons:

- (i) The composition of potential sources is seldom represented by isotopically well-defined end members
- (ii) The isotopic signature of potential sources may overlap natural isotopic variability
- (iii) Even where end-member compositions are distinct and well-constrained, there might be a large number of potential sources
- (iv) For stable isotopes, numerous postdeposition fractionating processes may alter the original ratios (see, e.g., [4])

In order to demonstrate the severity of these complicating factors, concentration and isotopic data for waste samples from local industries (Cu slag, Fe slag, and fly ash) and two heavily contaminated soils (landfills) were obtained (Table 13). These waste samples are very likely to be a major contributor to the landfills, and the goal of the exercise was to attempt identification of the presence of the industrial waste materials in the landfill using concentration patterns and isotopic fingerprints. Both landfills are high in Cd with Cd concentrations at least 10 times higher than those found in the soil from the suburb location. The Cd in landfill A is relatively unfractionated which may point towards Cu slag as the most likely source of the element as Cd found in Cu slag has an isotopic composition undistinguishable from the mean for top soils. Fly ash, on the contrary, is significantly enriched in heavier Cd isotopes. Copper slag is also significantly enriched in Cu, Zn, and Fe relative to the other pollution sources so these elements would be expected to be enriched in landfill A if Cu slag was indeed the source of Cd. This fits very well with the concentrations measurements of landfill A (Table 13). This raises the question of why the Zn and Cu isotopic compositions of landfill A are nothing like the Zn and Cu isotopic compositions of Cu slag.

Based on the overall elemental concentrations of Zn and Cu in landfill A, it is hard to imagine that source mixing can account for the isotopic offset between the slag and the landfill. This is because it would take an enormous quantity of these elements from a highly fractionated source to attain the isotope composition of the landfill if there is really slag present in the landfill. Alternatively, post depositional processes might significantly alter the isotopic composition of landfill, though this contradicts by the observed lack of fractionation of Fe isotopes in landfill A. The Fe isotope compositions of landfill A and Cu slag are identical, and most processes which heavily fractionate Cu and Zn isotopes would also be expected to fractionate Fe isotopes.

The picture is not clarified by the evaluation of landfill B. Landfill B has a number of characteristics pointing towards Fe slag being the primary source of contamination with fly ash being a second significant source of contaminants. The Fe, Zn, Cu, Cr, and Cd concentrations and Cr, Fe, and Zn isotope compositions of landfill B are consistent with Fe slag mixed with some fly ash. At the same time, the Cu and Cd isotopic composition of landfill B requires an alternative explanation. It is possible that Cu isotopes are just not a reliable tracer of pollution sources in these landfills, but it is harder to account for Cr and Zn isotopes. The systems appear reliable in one of the landfills but not in the other.

Overall this case study highlights that pollution source mixing can be challenging, but the difficulty is proportional to the number of elements and isotopes measured. We cannot readily account for the behaviour of all the systems we measured, but a weight-of-evidence approach allows for the potential sources of pollution identified with some certainty. That is while any number of factors may complicate source tracing, they do not render the process total ineffective.

4. Conclusions

It is now technically feasible to rapidly analyze not only the trace metal composition of a broad range of the environmental samples but also the isotope compositions of all the metals. Expanding the number of elements utilized in isotope tracing provides a powerful way to decipher sources and fate of environmental exposure by adding degrees of freedom to the process. However, it also becomes increasingly complex to interpret large multielement data set. All the samples in this study were collected from spatially limited area, but a number of the analytes still displayed a significant range of concentration and isotopic values. As expected, the different elements also appear to be responding to different drivers such that for any given question, measuring more elements does not result in better/clearer answers. This highlights the need to simultaneously advance our understanding of single-element systems while progressing the technical capabilities required to measure more elements in more matrices. Natural variability, which often far exceeds the intermediate precision of the analytical method, needs to be considered when interpreting results of environmental studies.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Disclosure

This manuscript is based on Dr. Nicola Pallavicini's doctoral thesis entitled "Method development for isotope analysis of trace and Ultra-trace elements in environmental matrices".

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Supplementary Materials

The supplementary material attached serves as a detailed and technical explanation of the analytical work behind the data provided in the manuscript. (*Supplementary Materials*)

References

- T. Arnold, T. Markovic, G. J. D. Kirk et al., "Iron and zinc isotope fractionation during uptake and translocation in rice (*Oryza sativa*) grown in oxic and anoxic soils," *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, vol. 347, no. 7-8, pp. 397–404, 2015.
- [2] I. Rodushkin, N. Pallavicini, E. Engström et al., "Assessment of the natural variability of B, Cd, Cu, Fe, Pb, Sr, Tl and Zn concentrations and isotopic compositions in leaves, needles and mushrooms using single sample digestion and twocolumn matrix separation," *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 220–233, 2016.
- [3] A. E. Shiel, D. Weis, and K. J. Orians, "Evaluation of zinc, cadmium and lead isotope fractionation during smelting and refining," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 408, no. 11, pp. 2357–2368, 2010.
- [4] J. G. Wiederhold, "Metal stable isotope signatures as tracers in environmental geochemistry," *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 2606–2624, 2015.
- [5] D. F. Araújo, G. R. Boaventura, W. Machado et al., "Tracing of anthropogenic zinc sources in coastal environments using stable isotope composition," *Chemical Geology*, vol. 449, pp. 226–235, 2017.
- [6] C. Kusonwiriyawong, M. Bigalke, F. Abgottspon et al., "Isotopic variation of dissolved and colloidal iron and copper

- [7] Z. Liu, Z. Liu, X. Zhang, P. Xue, and H. Zhang, "RY10-4 suppressed metastasis of MDA-MB-231 by stabilizing ECM and E-cadherin," *Biomedicine and Pharmacotherapy*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 439–445, 2014.
- [8] F. Serra, C. G. Guillou, F. Reniero et al., "Determination of the geographical origin of green coffee by principal component analysis of carbon, nitrogen and boron stable isotope ratios," *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, vol. 19, no. 15, pp. 2111–2115, 2005.
- [9] M. V. Baroni, N. S. Podio, R. G. Badini et al., "Linking soil, water, and honey composition to assess the geographical origin of argentinean honey by multielemental and isotopic analyses," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 63, no. 18, pp. 4638–4645, 2015.
- [10] C. Hissler, P. Stille, A. Krein et al., "Identifying the origins of local atmospheric deposition in the steel industry basin of Luxembourg using the chemical and isotopic composition of the lichen *Xanthoria parietina*," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 405, no. 1–3, pp. 338–344, 2008.
- [11] M. L. Geagea, P. Stille, F. Gauthier-Lafaye, and M. Millet, "Tracing of industrial aerosol sources in an urban environment using Pb, Sr, and Nd isotopes," *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 692–698, 2008.
- [12] C. Cloquet, J. Carignan, G. Libourel, T. Sterckeman, and E. Perdrix, "Tracing source pollution in soils using cadmium and lead isotopes," *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 40, no. 8, pp. 2525–2530, 2006.
- [13] H. Šillerová, V. Chrastný, M. Vítková et al., "Stable isotope tracing of Ni and Cu pollution in North-East Norway: potentials and drawbacks," *Environmental Pollution*, vol. 228, pp. 149–157, 2017.
- [14] D. Widory, G. Vautour, and A. Poirier, "Atmospheric dispersion of trace metals between two smelters: an approach coupling lead, strontium and osmium isotopes from bioindicators," *Ecological Indicators*, vol. 84, pp. 497–506, 2018.
- [15] T.-H.-B. Deng, C. Cloquet, Y.-T. Tang et al., "Nickel and zinc isotope fractionation in hyperaccumulating and nonaccumulating plants," *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 48, no. 20, pp. 11926–11933, 2014.
- [16] D. Jouvin, D. J. Weiss, T. F. M. Mason et al., "Stable isotopes of Cu and Zn in higher plants: evidence for Cu reduction at the root surface and two conceptual models for isotopic fractionation processes," *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 2652–2660, 2012.
- [17] V. Chrastný, E. Čadková, A. Vaněk, L. Teper, J. Cabala, and M. Komárek, "Cadmium isotope fractionation within the soil profile complicates source identification in relation to Pb-Zn mining and smelting processes," *Chemical Geology*, vol. 405, pp. 1–9, 2015.
- [18] C. Cloquet, O. Rouxel, J. Carignan, and G. Libourel, "Natural cadmium isotopic variations in eight geological reference materials (NIST SRM 2711, BCR 176, GSS-1, GXR-1, GXR-2, GSD-12, nod-P-1, nod-A-1) and anthropogenic samples, measured by MC-ICP-MS," *Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 95–106, 2005.
- [19] C. N. Maréchal, P. Télouk, and F. Albarède, "Precise analysis of copper and zinc isotopic compositions by plasma-source mass spectrometry," *Chemical Geology*, vol. 156, no. 1–4, pp. 251–273, 1999.
- [20] R. Gwiazda, D. Woolard, and D. Smith, "Improved lead isotope ratio measurements in environmental and biological samples with a double focussing magnetic sector inductively

- [21] N. Pallavicini, E. Engström, D. C. Baxter, B. Öhlander, J. Ingri, and I. Rodushkin, "Cadmium isotope ratio measurements in environmental matrices by MC-ICP-MS," *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 1570–1584, 2014.
- [22] K. Tarricone, G. Wagner, and R. Klein, "Toward standardization of sample collection and preservation for the quality of results in biomonitoring with trees—a critical review," *Ecological Indicators*, vol. 57, pp. 341–359, 2015.
- [23] B. Markert, "Multi-element analysis in ecosystems: basic conditions for representative sampling of plant materials," *Fresenius' Zeitschrift für Analytische Chemie*, vol. 335, no. 6, pp. 562–565, 1989.
- [24] A. Bielicka-Giełdoń, E. Ryłko, and K. Żamojć, "Distribution, bioavailability and fractionation of metallic elements in allotment garden soils using the BCR sequential extraction procedure," *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, vol. 22, pp. 1013–1021, 2013.
- [25] C. Lundberg and L. Peterson, "Land use history of central Lulea," Applied GIS, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 1–25, 2005.
- [26] M. Poutanen, "Land uplift at Kvarken archipelago and high coast UNESCO world heritage area," *Holger Steffen*, vol. 16, p. 16179, 2014.
- [27] O. Mauer and E. Palátová, "The role of root system in silver birch (Betula pendula Roth) dieback in the air-polluted area of Krušné hory Mts," *Stand*, vol. 2003, pp. 191–199, 2003.
- [28] G. Rauret, J. F. López-Sánchez, A. Sahuquillo et al., "Improvement of the BCR three step sequential extraction procedure prior to the certification of new sediment and soil reference materials," *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 57–61, 1999.
- [29] D. C. Baxter, I. Rodushkin, E. Engström, and D. Malinovsky, "Revised exponential model for mass bias correction using an internal standard for isotope abundance ratio measurements by multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry," *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 427–430, 2006.
- [30] J. Viers, A. S. Prokushkin, O. S. Pokrovsky et al., "Zn isotope fractionation in a pristine larch forest on permafrostdominated soils in Central Siberia," *Geochemical Transactions*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2015.
- [31] E. Engström, I. Rodushkin, J. Ingri et al., "Temporal isotopic variations of dissolved silicon in a pristine boreal river," *Chemical Geology*, vol. 271, no. 3-4, pp. 142–152, 2010.
- [32] L. S. Balistrieri, D. M. Borrok, R. B. Wanty, and W. I. Ridley, "Fractionation of Cu and Zn isotopes during adsorption onto amorphous Fe(III) oxyhydroxide: experimental mixing of acid rock drainage and ambient river water," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, vol. 72, no. 2, pp. 311–328, 2008.
- [33] M. E. Conti and G. Cecchetti, "Biological monitoring: lichens as bioindicators of air pollution assessment—a review," *Environmental Pollution*, vol. 114, no. 3, pp. 471–492, 2001.
- [34] M. Kiczka, J. G. Wiederhold, S. M. Kraemer, B. Bourdon, and R. Kretzschmar, "Iron isotope fractionation during Fe uptake and translocation in alpine plants," *Environmental Science and Technology*, vol. 44, no. 16, pp. 6144– 6150, 2010.
- [35] D. Houben, P. Sonnet, G. Tricot, N. Mattielli, E. Couder, and S. Opfergelt, "Impact of root-induced mobilization of zinc on stable Zn isotope variation in the soil-plant system,"

Environmental Science and Technology, vol. 48, no. 14, pp. 7866–7873, 2014.

- [36] I. J. Wright and M. Westoby, "Nutrient concentration, resorption and lifespan: leaf traits of Australian sclerophyll species," *Functional Ecology*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 10–19, 2003.
- [37] C. B. Tabelin, A. Hashimoto, T. Igarashi, and T. Yoneda, "Leaching of boron, arsenic and selenium from sedimentary rocks: II. pH dependence, speciation and mechanisms of release," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 473-474, pp. 244–253, 2014.
- [38] P. Louvat, J. Hartman, T. Hosono et al., Behaviour of Boron Isotopes in the Streams and Springs of Aso Caldera, Kyushu, Japan, Goldschmidt Abstracts, Lyon, France, 2014.
- [39] M. Rosner, W. Pritzkow, S. Voerkelius, and J. Vogl, Boron Isotopes—A New Tracer for the Origin and Authenticity of Food, Federal Institute for Materials Research and Testing, Berlin, Germany, 2009.
- [40] G. L. Foster, P. A. E. Pogge Von Strandmann, and J. W. B. Rae, "Boron and magnesium isotopic composition of seawater," *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 1–10, 2010.
- [41] J. Xiao, Y. k. Xiao, Z. d. Jin, M. y. He, and C. q. Liu, "Boron isotope variations and its geochemical application in nature," *Australian Journal of Earth Sciences*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 431– 447, 2013.
- [42] M. E. Wieser, S. S. Iyer, H. R. Krouse, and M. I. Cantagallo, "Variations in the boron isotope composition of Coffea arabica beans," *Applied Geochemistry*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 317–322, 2001.
- [43] M. Rehkämper, F. Wombacher, T. J. Horner, and Z. Xue, "Natural and anthropogenic Cd isotope variations," in *Handbook of Environmental Isotope Geochemistry*, M. Baskaran, Ed., pp. 125–154, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2012.
- [44] D. J. Weiss, T. F. D. Mason, F. J. Zhao, G. J. D. Kirk, B. J. Coles, and M. S. A. Horstwood, "Isotopic discrimination of zinc in higher plants," *New Phytologist*, vol. 165, no. 3, pp. 703–710, 2005.
- [45] M. Imseng, M. Wiggenhauser, A. Keller et al., "Fate of Cd in agricultural soils: a stable isotope approach to anthropogenic impact, soil formation, and soil-plant cycling," *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 1919–1928, 2018.
- [46] S. Pontér, N. Pallavicini, E. Engström, D. C. Baxter, and I. Rodushkin, "Chromium isotope ratio measurements in environmental matrices by MC-ICP-MS," *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 1464–1471, 2016.
- [47] Z. Fekiacova, S. Cornu, and S. Pichat, "Tracing contamination sources in soils with Cu and Zn isotopic ratios," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 517, pp. 96–105, 2015.
- [48] C. Weinstein, F. Moynier, K. Wang et al., "Isotopic fractionation of Cu in plants," *Chemical Geology*, vol. 286, no. 3-4, pp. 266–271, 2011.
- [49] T. D. Bullen, "Stable isotopes of transition and post-transition metals as tracers in environmental studies," in *Handbook of Environmental Isotope Geochemistry*, M. Baskaran, Ed., pp. 177–203, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2012.
- [50] J. E. Roe, A. D. Anbar, and J. Barling, "Nonbiological fractionation of Fe isotopes: evidence of an equilibrium isotope effect," *Chemical Geology*, vol. 195, no. 1–4, pp. 69–85, 2003.
- [51] J. B. Chapman, D. J. Weiss, Y. Shan, and M. Lemburger, "Iron isotope fractionation during leaching of granite and basalt by

hydrochloric and oxalic acids," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, vol. 73, no. 5, pp. 1312–1324, 2009.

- [52] M. Kiczka, J. G. Wiederhold, J. Frommer et al., "Iron speciation and isotope fractionation during silicate weathering and soil formation in an alpine glacier forefield chronosequence," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, vol. 75, no. 19, pp. 5559–5573, 2011.
- [53] J. G. Wiederhold, S. M. Kraemer, N. Teutsch, P. M. Borer, A. N. Halliday, and R. Kretzschmar, "Iron isotope fractionation during proton-promoted, ligand-controlled, and reductive dissolution of goethite," *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 3787–3793, 2006.
- [54] S. W. Poulton and D. E. Canfield, "Development of a sequential extraction procedure for iron: implications for iron partitioning in continentally derived particulates," *Chemical Geology*, vol. 214, no. 3-4, pp. 209–221, 2005.
- [55] L. Wu, B. L. Beard, E. E. Roden, and C. M. Johnson, "Stable iron isotope fractionation between aqueous Fe(II) and hydrous ferric oxide," *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 1847–1852, 2011.
- [56] C. Reimann, B. Flem, K. Fabian et al., "Lead and lead isotopes in agricultural soils of Europe—the continental perspective," *Applied Geochemistry*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 532–542, 2012.
- [57] R. Bindler, I. Renberg, M. Brännvall, O. Emteryd, and F. El-Daoushy, "A whole-basin study of sediment accumulation using stable lead isotopes and flyash particles in an acidified lake, Sweden," *Limnology and Oceanography*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 178–188, 2001.
- [58] J. Klaminder, R. Bindler, O. Emteryd, and I. Renberg, "Uptake and recycling of lead by boreal forest plants: quantitative estimates from a site in northern Sweden," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, vol. 69, no. 10, pp. 2485–2496, 2005.
- [59] I. Renberg, R. Bindler, and O. Emteryd, "Stable lead isotopes and lake sediments—a useful combination for the study of atmospheric lead pollution history," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 292, no. 1-2, pp. 45–54, 2002.
- [60] C. Mellqvist, B. Ohlander, T. Skiold, and A. Wikstrom, "The Archaean–Proterozoic Palaeoboundary in the Luleå area, northern Sweden: field and isotope geochemical evidence for a sharp terrane boundary," *Precambrian Research*, vol. 96, no. 3-4, pp. 225–243, 1999.
- [61] I. Rodushkin, T. Bergman, G. Douglas, E. Engström, D. Sörlin, and D. C. Baxter, "Authentication of Kalix (N.E. Sweden) vendace caviar using inductively coupled plasmabased analytical techniques: evaluation of different approaches," *Analytica Chimica Acta*, vol. 583, no. 2, pp. 310–318, 2007.
- [62] F. Åberg and F. E. Wickman, "Variations of 87Sr/86Sr in water from streams discharging into the Bothnian Bay, Baltic Sea," *Hydrology Research*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 33–42, 1987.
- [63] B. Song, J. Ryu, H. S. Shin, and K. Lee, "Determination of the source of bioavailable Sr using 87Sr/86Sr tracers: a case study of hot pepper and rice," *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, vol. 62, no. 38, pp. 9232–9238, 2014.
- [64] M. L. Geagea, P. Stille, F. Gauthier-Lafaye, T. Perrone, and D. Aubert, "Baseline determination of the atmospheric Pb, Sr and Nd isotopic compositions in the Rhine valley, Vosges mountains (France) and the Central Swiss Alps," *Applied Geochemistry*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 1703–1714, 2008.
- [65] A. Vaněk, T. Grygar, V. Chrastný, V. Tejnecký, P. Drahota, and M. Komárek, "Assessment of the BCR sequential

extraction procedure for thallium fractionation using synthetic mineral mixtures," *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, vol. 176, no. 1–3, pp. 913–918, 2010.

- [66] Y. Jia, T. Xiao, G. Zhou, and Z. Ning, "Thallium at the interface of soil and green cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. capitata L.): soil-plant transfer and influencing factors," *Science of the Total Environment*, vol. 450-451, pp. 140–147, 2013.
- [67] M. Kersten, T. Xiao, K. Kreissig, A. Brett, B. J. Coles, and M. Rehkämper, "Tracing anthropogenic thallium in soil using stable isotope compositions," *Environmental Science & Technology*, vol. 48, no. 16, pp. 9030–9036, 2014.
- [68] M. Bigalke, S. Weyer, J. Kobza, and W. Wilcke, "Stable Cu and Zn isotope ratios as tracers of sources and transport of Cu and Zn in contaminated soil," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, vol. 74, no. 23, pp. 6801–6813, 2010.
- [69] A. Kapoor, T. Viraraghavan, and D. R. Cullimore, "Removal of heavy metals using the fungus Aspergillus niger," Bioresource Technology, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 95–104, 1999.
- [70] T. Fujii, F. Moynier, J. Blichert-Toft, and F. Albarède, "Density functional theory estimation of isotope fractionation of Fe, Ni, Cu, and Zn among species relevant to geochemical and biological environments," *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, vol. 140, pp. 553–576, 2014.





Journal of Analytical Methods in Chemistry



The Scientific World Journal











Bioinorganic Chemistry and Applications



Submit your manuscripts at www.hindawi.com



International Journal of Medicinal Chemistry





Advances in Tribology



International Journal of Analytical Chemistry



Journal of

Spectroscopy



BioMed Research International



Nanotechnology



International Journal of Spectroscopy





International Journal of Electrochemistry



Biochemistry Research International