- 1 Sniff and tell: the feasibility of using bio-detection dogs as a mobile
- 2 diagnostic intervention for asymptomatic malaria in sub-Saharan
- 3 Africa.
- 4
- Ben Kasstan^{1*}, Kate Hampshire¹, Claire Guest², James G. Logan³, Margaret
 Pinder^{4,5}, Kate Williams², Umberto D'Alessandro^{3,5}, Steve W. Lindsay^{3,4}
- 7
- 8 ¹ Department of Anthropology, Durham University, Durham
- 9 ²*Medical Detection Dogs,* Milton Keynes
- ³Department of Disease Control and ARCTEC, London School of Hygiene and Tropical
- 11 Medicine
- 12 ⁴ Department of Biosciences, Durham University
- 13 ⁵Medical Research Council Unit The Gambia
- 14 *Corresponding author now at Department of Anthropology, University of Sussex,
- 15 Brighton BN1 9SJ. Email: b.kasstan@sussex.ac.uk

17 Keywords: Bio-detection dogs; malaria; The Gambia

1 Abstract

2

3 Bio-detection dogs (BDDs) are used in some high-income countries as a diagnostic 4 intervention, yet little is known about their potential in low/middle-income countries 5 with limited diagnostic resources. This exploratory study investigated the 6 opportunities and implications of deploying BDDs as a mobile diagnostic intervention 7 to identify people with asymptomatic malaria, particularly at ports of entry, as an 8 important step to malaria elimination in a population. A qualitative study design 9 consisting of participant observation, five focus group discussions and informal 10 conversations was employed in The Gambia (April-May 2017). A disciplined German 11 shepherd companion dog (not trained as a BDD) was introduced to research 12 participants and their perceptions recorded. Field-notes and discussions were 13 transcribed, translated and analysed thematically. Most research participants viewed 14 positively the possibility of using BDDs to detect malaria, with the major advantage 15 of being non-invasive. Some concerns, however, were raised regarding safety and 16 efficacy, as well as cultural issues around the place of dogs within human society. 17 The Gambia is a rabies-endemic country, and unfamiliar dogs are not usually 18 approached, with implications for how research participants perceived BDDs. 19 Understanding such concerns and working with local people to address such issues 20 must be part of any successful strategy to deploy BDDs in new settings. BDDs 21 represent a potentially non-invasive diagnostic tool for the detection of 22 asymptomatic or chronic malaria infections, particularly in areas with very low 23 parasite rates. However, it is important to understand local concerns and work 24 closely with communities to address those concerns. Wider deployment of BDDs will 25 also require careful planning and sustained financial support.

1 Introduction

3	Bio-detection dogs (BDDs) are increasingly being deployed in high-income countries
4	(HICs) as an efficient, reliable and mobile diagnostic intervention to detect volatile
5	biomarkers contained in samples of human breath, skin and urine that are produced
6	by particular diseases and health conditions. Recent trials have demonstrated that
7	appropriately-trained dogs have the capacity to identify cancers of the lung, breast,
8	bladder and prostate (Cornu et al., 2010; Ehmann et al., 2012; McCulloch, Turner &
9	Broffman, 2012; Medical Detection Dogs n.d.; Willis et al., 2004; Taverna et al.,
10	2014). Medical alert assistance dogs are also used on a one-to-one basis to provide
11	advance warning of epileptic seizures and, for people living with type I diabetes, the
12	onset of hypoglycaemia (Rooney, Morant & Guest, 2013). Very little is known,
13	however, about the prospects for using BDDs in the Global South, where a lack of
14	available, affordable and effective diagnostic technologies represents a major global
15	health challenge (Petti <i>et al.,</i> 2006; Okeke, 2006).
16	
17	Malaria has been an exception to this diagnostic gap: the roll-out of Rapid Diagnostic
18	Testing (RDTs) and Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) kits have been
19	major global health success stories, offering the possibility of effective diagnosis and
20	treatment even in remote rural areas without laboratory facilities (Cook et al., 2015;
21	World Health Organization, 2011). RDTs and LAMPs, however, are both invasive tests
22	that require blood sampling, and are typically used for individuals suffering
23	symptoms and actively seeking treatment. Asymptomatic individuals are unlikely to
24	come forward for invasive testing, particularly in contexts where blood sampling may

1 be met with suspicion and resistance due to fears of 'blood theft' and 'blo	1	be met with suspicion and	l resistance dι	ue to fears of	'blood theft'	and 'blood
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---	---------------------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------	------------

depletion' (Geissler & Pool, 2006; O'Neill *et al.*, 2016; Fairhead, Leach & Small, 2006).

4	This is problematic for two reasons. First, parasitic infections of any density can pose
5	serious health risks, particularly for infants and children in resource-poor settings,
6	including morbidity, co-morbidity, mortality, and infection transmission (Chen et al.,
7	2016). Second, the elimination of malaria requires that asymptomatic individuals,
8	who constitute the 'human reservoir of infection' (Mwesigwa et al., 2015) are
9	promptly identified and treated. BDDs may, therefore, offer a non-invasive
10	opportunity to accurately screen for parasitaemia (in community settings and/or
11	border crossings) by detecting malaria-specific volatiles among asymptomatic
12	carriers (cf. Berna <i>et al.,</i> 2015).
13	
14	This article draws on data from qualitative research conducted in The Gambia during
15	a proof-of-concept study to ascertain the ability of BDDs to identify asymptomatic
16	malaria infections in children (Durham University News, 2016). Our premise is that
17	the deployment of laboratory-designed interventions in the field requires an
18	appreciation of the social and cultural contexts of deployment. As such, this
19	exploratory study investigates human-canine relations in the Gambia as a basis for
20	assessing the feasibility of future BDD deployment.
21	
22	Materials and methods

23

24 Study site

1	This research was conducted in collaboration with the Medical Research Council Unit
2	in The Gambia at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (MRCG). The
3	Gambia is a small low-income country in West Africa with an ethnically diverse,
4	Muslim majority, population. The research sites included rural villages in the Upper
5	River Region (URR) and urban settlements in the West Coast Region (WCR), (Figure
6	1). The qualitative research presented here forms part of a larger proof-of-concept
7	study, to ascertain whether trained BDDs could detect volatiles from biological
8	samples of malaria-infected children. The goal of the qualitative research component
9	was to explore how Gambians might perceive the use of dogs as a diagnostic
10	technology.
11	
12	Malaria
12 13	Malaria At the end of the malaria transmission season in November 2016, the prevalence of
13	At the end of the malaria transmission season in November 2016, the prevalence of
13 14	At the end of the malaria transmission season in November 2016, the prevalence of asymptomatic malaria infection in 5-13 year old school children in the study area
13 14 15	At the end of the malaria transmission season in November 2016, the prevalence of asymptomatic malaria infection in 5-13 year old school children in the study area was 7.9% (46/585) as determined by microscopy. In rural Gambia clinical episodes of
13 14 15 16	At the end of the malaria transmission season in November 2016, the prevalence of asymptomatic malaria infection in 5-13 year old school children in the study area was 7.9% (46/585) as determined by microscopy. In rural Gambia clinical episodes of malaria are diagnosed using antigen-detecting rapid diagnostic tests or stained blood
13 14 15 16 17	At the end of the malaria transmission season in November 2016, the prevalence of asymptomatic malaria infection in 5-13 year old school children in the study area was 7.9% (46/585) as determined by microscopy. In rural Gambia clinical episodes of malaria are diagnosed using antigen-detecting rapid diagnostic tests or stained blood slides read using microscopes, both methods require a finger-prick sample of blood
 13 14 15 16 17 18 	At the end of the malaria transmission season in November 2016, the prevalence of asymptomatic malaria infection in 5-13 year old school children in the study area was 7.9% (46/585) as determined by microscopy. In rural Gambia clinical episodes of malaria are diagnosed using antigen-detecting rapid diagnostic tests or stained blood slides read using microscopes, both methods require a finger-prick sample of blood to be taken. Both RDTs and microscopy are sensitive methods for detecting clinical
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 	At the end of the malaria transmission season in November 2016, the prevalence of asymptomatic malaria infection in 5-13 year old school children in the study area was 7.9% (46/585) as determined by microscopy. In rural Gambia clinical episodes of malaria are diagnosed using antigen-detecting rapid diagnostic tests or stained blood slides read using microscopes, both methods require a finger-prick sample of blood to be taken. Both RDTs and microscopy are sensitive methods for detecting clinical malaria where parasite densities are high (2000 or 5000 parasites/µL), they are less
 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 	At the end of the malaria transmission season in November 2016, the prevalence of asymptomatic malaria infection in 5-13 year old school children in the study area was 7.9% (46/585) as determined by microscopy. In rural Gambia clinical episodes of malaria are diagnosed using antigen-detecting rapid diagnostic tests or stained blood slides read using microscopes, both methods require a finger-prick sample of blood to be taken. Both RDTs and microscopy are sensitive methods for detecting clinical malaria where parasite densities are high (2000 or 5000 parasites/µL), they are less sensitive at low parasite densities (World Health Organization 2015; Satoguina <i>et al.</i> ,

2 Qualitative research

3	The qualitative research was conducted in April-May 2017. Initial ethnographic
4	observations of human-dog interactions in public spaces were conducted, alongside
5	a series of informal conversations on human-canine relations in local mosques,
6	churches, pharmacies, health facilities, schools and local neighbourhoods (in both
7	rural and urban sites). These informed the design of a semi-structured focus group
8	discussion (FGD) guide to obtain more detailed information on attitudes towards the
9	possibility of using BDDs for malaria diagnosis.
10	
11	Five FGDs were then conducted in three rural villages (URR), with 18 female and 17
12	males participants (all over 18 years of age) from the three dominant ethnic
13	backgrounds (Mandinka, Fula, and Serahuli); all but one were single-gender groups.
14	Participants were recruited by MRCG field-workers in discussion with local alkalos
15	(village heads). One limitation of this study is, therefore, that participants were not
16	necessarily fully representative of other villagers, particularly those from minority
17	ethnic backgrounds. As an exploratory study, however, this approach enabled us to
18	quickly garner a reasonable spectrum of perspectives.
19	
20	The focus groups proceeded as follows. After project sensitisation,
21	participants were asked to discuss their experiences of, and attitudes towards, dogs
22	in general before focussing more specifically on BDDs. The concept of BDDs was then

- 23 raised by presenting a series of photographs showing working dogs in action, with
- 24 the specific breeds (Springer Spaniels and Labradors) used by the UK-based

collaborating charity Medical Detection Dogs. A well-behaved adult German
shepherd 'companion-dog' was introduced in three of the five focus groups in order
to elicit post-exposure perceptions. The dog was dressed with a branded red coat
worn by working BDDs in the UK, and walked using a harness and lead at all times.

5

6 The companion dog was also introduced to residents of three extended-7 family compounds, and to staff and pupils in a rural school serving primary and 8 secondary-aged students. On one occasion the dog was led (by a handler) down a 9 stationary line of research participants, mimicking the use of police dogs to identify 10 criminal suspects, in order to observe people's reactions and provide a focus for 11 further discussions. The current protocol of Medical Detection Dogs is identification 12 using biological samples ('sample method'), but trialling a 'line-up method' was 13 important to generate perceptions of BDDs as a *mobile* diagnostic technique. It is 14 important to note that this German Shepherd companion-dog was the most 15 appropriate substitute for a 'foreign' BDD at our disposal in The Gambia; all study 16 participants were made aware that the dog was not a trained BDD.

17

All FGDs were convened by the lead author and were audio-recorded. MRCG Fieldworkers facilitated the discussions in Mandinka, Serahuli and Fula, providing real-time English translations. Other MRC staff checked the quality and consistency of translations. Detailed observational field notes were kept, alongside information from informal interviews and discussions. Analysis proceeded on the basis of Grounded Theory (Corbin & Strauss, 1990), whereby theoretical insights emerge from the data rather than being pre-imposed. All transcripts and field-notes were

- read and re-read closely by two of the authors, to identify patterns and key themes
 for coding (performed manually in Word).

4	Informed consent was obtained verbally both from settlement leaders and
5	individually from all study participants, in line with the Association of Social
6	Anthropologists (2011) Ethical Guidelines. MRCG fieldworkers presented the project
7	orally in the relevant languages (Mandinka, Fula, and Serahuli), ensuring that
8	prospective research participants understood the purpose of the research, the
9	procedures involved, and their right to withdraw at any point. The study was
10	approved by the Gambian Government/MRC Unit Joint Ethics Committee on the $16^{ ext{th}}$
11	May 2017 (SCC1479v2) and by the Department of Biosciences Ethics Committee at
12	Durham University.
13	
14	[Figure 1]
	[80.0 -]
15	Results
15	
15 16	Results
15 16 17	Results Canine-human relations in The Gambia
15 16 17 18	Results Canine-human relations in The Gambia Free-roaming dogs are ubiquitous across The Gambia. So-called 'modern village
15 16 17 18 19	Results Canine-human relations in The Gambia Free-roaming dogs are ubiquitous across The Gambia. So-called 'modern village dogs' (Coppinger & Coppinger, 2001; Boyko <i>et al.</i> , 2009) – brown, short-haired, of
15 16 17 18 19 20	Results Canine-human relations in The Gambia Free-roaming dogs are ubiquitous across The Gambia. So-called 'modern village dogs' (Coppinger & Coppinger, 2001; Boyko <i>et al.</i> , 2009) – brown, short-haired, of small-medium build – can be seen wandering dusty roads and paths, perched
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Results Canine-human relations in The Gambia Free-roaming dogs are ubiquitous across The Gambia. So-called 'modern village dogs' (Coppinger & Coppinger, 2001; Boyko <i>et al.</i> , 2009) – brown, short-haired, of small-medium build – can be seen wandering dusty roads and paths, perched outside market stalls, and panting underneath mango trees to escape the midday

1	and urban areas were widely considered to be a nuisance. Focus group participants
2	noted their unpredictability and potential to bite, prey on livestock, and even to
3	exhume recently-buried bodies from cemeteries. Owned dogs could also bite, and
4	some interviewees in the urban sites mentioned the high-profile case of the (then)
5	President-Elect Adama Barrow's son being mauled to death by family-owned dogs in
6	January 2017 (The Point, 2017; BBC News 2017). For these reasons there was a
7	general (pre-exposure) consensus among research participants that they would not
8	approach a stray dog and would not invite an unfamiliar dog to sniff them because, a
9	consensus was, 'the likelihood of a bite is there.'
10	
11	Introducing a mock-up BDD
12	Large audiences gathered to observe the mock-up BDD — an unfamiliar
13	companion-dog being walked on a leash by foreigners — during our FGDs and
14	compound visits. It is extremely rare to see a dog being walked on a leash, or
15	otherwise constrained, in The Gambia. However, compound-owned dogs (used
16	generally for security) are considered to be under control despite being free-
17	roaming. The use of a leash and harness to manage the working dog therefore raised
18	suspicions of some residents, who interpreted the dog as being uncontrolled: likely
19	to bite if not firmly held by the handler and thus a threat to safety.
20	Despite initial wariness, however, most study participants found the actual
21	dog much less intimidating than they had expected. One Serahuli woman, for
22	example, summed up the feelings of others in her focus group when she said:
23 24 25	Since the dog has been here with us it hasn't done anything and they are comfortable. For me seeing that, I have confidence that the dog will not do anything to me. I can get close to the dog with no problem.

2 It was important for many not to get *too close*, however, as this paper goes
3 on to discuss.

5	The German Shepherd Dog was an unfamiliar and 'foreign' breed for study
6	participants. This initially caused some scepticism; for example, one Serahuli man
7	(pre-exposure) asserted, 'I would not want to be sniffed by any of these dogs because
8	these are not the type of dogs we usually see here. They are only in the West.' When
9	introduced to the 'foreign' dog, however, there was a palpable shift in perception. As
10	one Fula women put it, 'I will not refuse that [being sniffed], because our own dogs
11	are different from this one because of training — our dogs are not trained.'
12	
13	Dogs and malaria diagnostics: perceptions of safety and efficacy
14	RDTs are the principal method for malaria diagnosis in the study sites. Most study
15	participants believed RDTs to be a largely safe and effective diagnostic method but
16	there were widespread concerns – and sometimes fears – about their invasive
17	nature and the pain associated with using a blood lancet. One Mandinka mother, for
18	instance, said, 'I am very scared of [finger] pricking: when my child is being pricked, I
19	hold him close to my body because I feel it for the child.' Another (Serahuli) woman
20	recalled how, 'We were being pricked for blood samples, I did accept it but I was
21	uncomfortable with the amount of blood being taken for the test. I could see the
22	blood coming from the fingertip and that was not something I was comfortable with.'
23	In the light of this, most participants welcomed the possibility of a non-invasive
24	diagnostic test. In the words of one Mandinka woman, 'If there is a dog that can sniff

- and know your problem or there is a needle that can prick you, which one are you
 going to pick? I'm going to pick the dog!'
- 3

4	In all five focus groups, however, concerns were expressed about safety and
5	efficacy. Most prominent among these were anxieties about being bitten,
6	particularly in a context where rabies remains endemic. One Fula male elder, for
7	example, had serious reservations; his child had recently died shortly after being
8	mauled by a dog and contracting 'mad dog disease' (suspected rabies). This, and
9	similar accounts, led some to suggest the use of canine muzzles. Others did not
10	object in principle but did not like the idea of a dog being inside (a health centre, for
11	example); in The Gambia, dogs always stay outdoors. Some focus group participants
12	also queried the <i>reliability</i> of BDDs compared with the more familiar RDTs, which
13	were associated with health professionals and 'modern' clinics. Dogs and their
14	handlers did not share this same professional status. Overall, participants wanted
15	reassurance over both safety and capability, summed up eloquently by this Bambara
16	mother:
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I would not trust the dog sniffing the child unless I was assured that the dog would not do anything but sniff. If that assurance and guarantee is given to me, then I can allow the dog to sniff my child. Based on that trust, that guarantee, and the fact that you have given me a strong word that the dog will not do anything but sniff and not bite — then I would accept.
24	
25	Socio-cultural considerations
26	In addition to questions about efficacy and physical safety, social and religious

27 concerns about BDDs were also widely raised. Interpretations of Islamic teachings

1	pertaining to impurity (Arabic, najasa) were often mobilised as an instruction for
2	Muslims not to keep dogs, and some Muslim study participants considered it haram
3	(forbidden) to touch a dog. Of particular concern was saliva: several participants
4	explained that, according to Islamic teaching, cooking pots and utensils needed to be
5	washed seven times if sniffed or licked by a dog. Such concerns were not exclusive to
6	the Muslim majority population. A Christian priest declared that The Gambia (in
7	general) was 'not a dog-loving community to the extreme that you have in the West.'
8	Although many Christians keep dogs for security and are Biblically-mandated to care
9	for animals, he contrasted dog ownership in The Gambia with the 'lovey-dovey
10	relationship that you have in England.'
11	
12	In practice, however, there was considerable ambivalence and negotiation,
	In practice, however, there was considerable ambivalence and negotiation, with practical concerns often overriding religious ones. In rural areas, for example,
12	
12 13	with practical concerns often overriding religious ones. In rural areas, for example,
12 13 14	with practical concerns often overriding religious ones. In rural areas, for example, many Muslim participants kept dogs for guarding family compounds and hunting.
12 13 14 15	with practical concerns often overriding religious ones. In rural areas, for example, many Muslim participants kept dogs for guarding family compounds and hunting. Likewise, among the rising middle classes, dogs are seen as an effective (and perhaps
12 13 14 15 16	with practical concerns often overriding religious ones. In rural areas, for example, many Muslim participants kept dogs for guarding family compounds and hunting. Likewise, among the rising middle classes, dogs are seen as an effective (and perhaps more reliable) alternative to hiring a night watchman. Interestingly, a Mandinka
12 13 14 15 16 17	with practical concerns often overriding religious ones. In rural areas, for example, many Muslim participants kept dogs for guarding family compounds and hunting. Likewise, among the rising middle classes, dogs are seen as an effective (and perhaps more reliable) alternative to hiring a night watchman. Interestingly, a Mandinka <i>Imam</i> (religious authority) in the WCR maintained that, while dog <i>saliva</i> was <i>haram</i> ,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	with practical concerns often overriding religious ones. In rural areas, for example, many Muslim participants kept dogs for guarding family compounds and hunting. Likewise, among the rising middle classes, dogs are seen as an effective (and perhaps more reliable) alternative to hiring a night watchman. Interestingly, a Mandinka <i>Imam</i> (religious authority) in the WCR maintained that, while dog <i>saliva</i> was <i>haram</i> , being <i>sniffed</i> by a BDD would not violate pre-prayer ablutions. In fact, he strongly
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	with practical concerns often overriding religious ones. In rural areas, for example, many Muslim participants kept dogs for guarding family compounds and hunting. Likewise, among the rising middle classes, dogs are seen as an effective (and perhaps more reliable) alternative to hiring a night watchman. Interestingly, a Mandinka <i>Imam</i> (religious authority) in the WCR maintained that, while dog <i>saliva</i> was <i>haram</i> , being <i>sniffed</i> by a BDD would not violate pre-prayer ablutions. In fact, he strongly supported their potential use for protecting people's health. A Serahuli <i>Imam</i> (WCR)

23 Discussion

The findings presented in this paper give cause for optimism that BDDs could be an acceptable diagnostic technology even in populations in the Global South that are not normally regarded as 'dog friendly.' Despite some concerns, most study participants (men and women, from a range of religious and ethnic backgrounds) were favourably disposed to their potential use, at least in principle. Crucially, BDDs offered the possibility of a non-invasive malaria test, less painful than current diagnostic technologies.

8

9 These findings also underline the importance of understanding and 10 addressing local concerns, many of which are rooted in very real and reasonable 11 apprehensions, for example, about the risk of biting in a rabies-endemic country. 12 Several focus-group participants proactively suggested possible solutions or 13 mitigations, such as the ideas of equipping dogs with muzzles (although this would 14 need careful trialling to ensure that the ability to detect volatiles would not be 15 impaired). Religious injunctions also featured prominently among the concerns of 16 Muslim participants in particular, but in practice there was substantial flexibility in 17 interpretation, and many people took a pragmatic view of how to manage 18 interactions with dogs without compromising their religious integrity. The *Imam* who 19 distinguished 'sniffing' from the (forbidden) contact with saliva provides an excellent 20 example of this. Context was also shown to be important in this study: what kind of 21 dog and *where* (inside/outside) both mattered to different participants.

22

These specific findings may not be generalisable beyond the immediatecontext of The Gambia. They do, however, underline, the wider importance of

1	working with local people to understand and address their concerns before
2	deploying a novel technology. In the case of BDDs, it is important to understand the
3	wider context of canine-human relationships, and how these might be inflected by
4	factors such as the appearance of the dog and handler, the location, the proximity
5	and the most appropriate method (sample/line-up). The reaction of <i>Imams</i> , who
6	took pragmatic views in the interests of protecting health, also underscores the
7	value of working with local religious and other community leaders whose
8	endorsement and input into accompanying awareness-raising initiatives can be
9	crucial.
10	
11	
12	Conclusion
13	This study has provided a useful insight into a potentially important global health
14	innovation: the use of BDDs as a mobile diagnostic method in LMICs, particularly at
15	ports of entry in malaria-free countries. Specifically, it signposts issues likely to arise
16	when BDDs are applied in the very different social landscapes of the Global South
17	compared to current use in HIC settings, and highlights the importance of working
18	with local communities and opinion leaders to identify and address their concerns.
19	
20	As an exploratory study, our work has significant limitations: it was carried out over a
21	relatively short time period (six weeks) among a non-representative population in
22	pre-selected settlements in The Gambia. Research conducted over a larger
23	geographical area, over a longer period of time, with a greater diversity of
24	participants, might have identified other issues and concerns. It is also important to

1	recognise that social acceptability is only one of many hurdles that must be
2	addressed for BDDs to be used at scale as diagnostic tools in the Global South. Even
3	in high-income countries, their use remains limited, at least partly because of the
4	substantial time and financial costs of breeding, training and looking after BDDs over
5	the long-term.

- 6
- 7 Nonetheless, this study and the accompanying proof-of-concept work highlights
- 8 the potential for using BDDs for diagnostic screening in LMIC settings. While the
- 9 focus of this study has been specifically on malaria, the implications of possible BDD
- 10 deployment are far-reaching in a continent where a chronic lack of diagnostic
- 11 technology represents a major impediment to improving healthcare, particularly in
- 12 the context of rising burdens of cancer and other non-communicable diseases
- 13 (Livingstone, 2012; Stefan, 2015; Jedy-Agba et al., 2016). If that potential is to be
- 14 realised, it is crucial that clinical/scientific research and development go hand-in-
- 15 hand with social research to ensure that interventions are appropriately designed, in
- 16 consultation with the intended beneficiaries.

17 **Disclosure statements**

18

19 Ethics approval and consent to participate

- 20 The study was approved by the Gambian Government/MRC Unit Joint Ethics
- 21 Committee on the 16th May 2017 (SCC1479v2) and by the Department of Biosciences
- 22 Ethics Committee at Durham University.
- 23

24 **Consent for publication**

- 25 MRCG fieldworkers obtained informed consent (including consent for publication) in
- 26 the relevant languages (Mandinka, Fula, and Serahuli) of participants.
- 27

28 **Competing interests**

- 29 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
- 30
- 31 Funding

1 The study was funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1150969).

3 4	References
5 6	Association of Social Anthropologists of the UK and the Commonwealth (2011) Ethical guidelines for good research practice.
7 8 9	https://www.theasa.org/downloads/ASA%20ethics%20guidelines%202011.pdf [Accessed 26 July 2018]
9 10	BBC News (2017) Gambia dog that killed President Barrow's son put down, 2
11	February.
12	http://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-38843251,
13	[Accessed 30 April 2017].
14	
15	Berna AZ, McCarthy JS, Wang RX, Saliba KJ, Bravo FG, Cassells J, Padovan B and
16	Trowell SC (2015) Analysis of breath specimens for biomarkers of plasmodium
17	falciparum infection. Journal of Infectious Diseases 212, 1120–1128.
18	
19	Boyko AR, Boyko RH, Boyko CM, Parker HG, Castelhano M, Corey L, Degenhardt
20	JD, Auton A, Hedimbi M, Kityo R, Ostrander EA, Schoenebeck J, Todhunter
21	RJ, Jones P and Bustamante CD (2009) Complex population structure in African
22	village dogs and its implications for inferring dog domestication history. Proceedings
23	of the National Academy of Sciences 106 , 13903–13908.
24	
25	Chen I, Clarke SE, Gosling R, Hamainza B, Killeen G, Magill A, O'Meara W, Price RN
26	and Riley EM (2016) Asymptomatic malaria: A chronic and debilitating infection that
27 28	should be treated. <i>PLOS Medicine</i> 13 (1), e1001942.
28 29	Cook J, Aydin-Schmidt B, González IJ, Bell D, Edlund E, Nassor MH, Msellem, M, Ali
30	A, Abass AK, Mårtensson A and Björkman A (2015) Loop-mediated isothermal
31	amplification (LAMP) for point-of-care detection of asymptomatic low-density
32	malaria parasite carriers in Zanzibar. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 14 (43).
33	doi.org/10.1186/s12936-015-0573-y
34	aonong/10.1100/012000 010 00/0 y
35	Coppinger R and Coppinger L (2001) Dogs: A startling new understanding of canine
36	origin, behaviour & evolution. Scribner, New York.
37	
38	Corbin JM and Strauss A (1990) Grounded theory research: Procedures, canons, and
39	evaluative criteria. <i>Qualitative Sociology</i> 13 (1), 3–21.
40	
41	Cornu JN, Cancel-Tassin G, Ondet V, Girardet C and Cussenot O (2010) Olfactory
42	detection of prostate cancer by dogs sniffing urine: A step forward in early diagnosis.
43	European Urology 59 , 197–201.
44	

1 Durham University News (2016) Could dogs be trained to detect malaria? 2 https://www.dur.ac.uk/biosciences/newsandevents/?itemno=28148. 3 [accessed 30 April 2017]. 4 5 Ehmann R, Boedeker E, Friedrich U, Sagert J, Dippon J, Friedel G and Walles T 6 (2012) Canine scent detection in the diagnosis of lung cancer: Revisiting a puzzling 7 phenomenon. European Respiratory Journal 39, 669–676. 8 9 Fairhead J, Leach M and Small M (2006) Where techno-science meets poverty: 10 Medical research and the economy of blood in The Gambia, West Africa. Social 11 *Science & Medicine* **63**, 1109–1120. 12 13 Geissler PW and Pool R (2006) Popular concerns about medical research project in 14 sub-Saharan Africa – a critical voice in debates about medical research ethics. 15 Tropical Medicine and International Health 2, 975–982. 16 17 Jedy-Agba E, McCormack V, Adebamowo C and Dos-Santos-Silva I (2016) Stage at 18 diagnosis of breast cancer in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review and meta-19 analysis. Lancet Global Health 4, e923–e935. 20 21 Koita OA, Doumbo OK, Ouattara A, Tall LK, Konare A, Diakite M, Diallo M, Sagara I, 22 Masinde GL, Doumbo SN, Dolo A, Tounkara A, Traoré I and Krogstad DJ (2012) 23 False-Negative Rapid Diagnostic Tests for Malaria and Deletion of the Histidine-Rich 24 Repeat Region of the hrp2 Gene. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and 25 Hygiene 86(2), 194-8. 26 27 Kumar N, Pande V, Bhatt RM, Shah NK, Mishra N, Srivastava B, Valecha N, and 28 Anvikar AR (2013) Genetic deletion of HRP2 and HRP3 in Indian Plasmodium 29 falciparum population and false negative malaria rapid diagnostic test. Acta Tropica 30 **125**(1), 119-21. 31 **Livingstone J** (2012) Improvising medicine: An African oncology ward in an emerging 32 cancer epidemic. Duke University Press, Durham. 33 34 McCulloch M, Turner K and Broffman M (2012) Lung cancer detection by canine 35 scent: will there be a lab in the lab? European Respiratory Journal **39**, 511–512. 36 37 **Medical Detection Dogs** (no date) Cancer and bio-detection dogs. 38 https://www.medicaldetectiondogs.org.uk 39 [accessed 30 April 2017] 40 41 Mwesigwa J, Okebe J, Affara M, Di Tanna GL, Nwakanma D, Janha O, Opondo K, 42 Grietens KP, Achan J and d'Alessandro U (2015) On-going malaria transmission in 43 The Gambia despite high coverage of control interventions: A nationwide cross-44 sectional survey. Malaria Journal 14, 1-9. 45 46 Okeke IN (2006) Diagnostic insufficiency in Africa. Clinical Infectious Diseases 42, 47 1501-1503.

1	
2	O'Neill S, Dierickx S, Okebe J, Dabira E, Gryseels C, d'Alessandro U and Grietens KP
3	(2016) The importance of blood is infinite: Conceptions of blood as life force,
4	rumours and fear of trial participation in a Fulani village in rural Gambia. PLoS ONE
5	11 , e0160464.
6	
7	Petti CA, Polage CR, Quinn TC, Ronald AR and Sande MA (2006) Laboratory
8	medicine in Africa: A barrier to effective health care. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> 42,
9	377–382.
10	
11	Rooney NJ, Morant S and Guest C (2013) Investigation into the value of trained
12	glycaemia alert dogs to clients with type I diabetes. <i>PLoS One</i> 8 (8), e69921.
13	
14	Satoguina J, Walther B, Drakeley C, Nwakanma D, Oriero EC, Correa S, Corran P,
15	Conway DJ and Walther M (2009) Comparison of surveillance methods applied to a
16	situation of low malaria prevalence at rural sites in the Gambia and Guinea Bissau.
17	Malaria Journal 8 (274), e274.
18	
19	Stefan DC (2015) Cancer care in Africa: An overview of resources. Journal of Global
20	Oncology 1 , 30–6.
21	
22	Taverna G, Tidu L, Grizzi F, Torri V, Mandressi A, Sardella P, La Torre G, Cocciolone
23	G, Sevesso M, Giusti G, Hurle R, Santoro A and Graziotti P (2014) Olfactory System
24	of Highly Trained Dogs Detects Prostate Cancer in Urine Samples. Journal of Urology
25	193 , 1382–1387.
26	
27	The Point (2017) President-elect Barrow's son dies from dog bite, 17 January.
28	http://thepoint.gm/africa/gambia/article/president-elect-barrows-son-dies-from-
29	dog-bite.
30	[Accessed 30 April 2017].
31	
32	Willis CM, Church SM, Guest CM, Cook WA, McCarthy N, Bransbury AJ, Church MRT
33	and Church JCT (2004) Olfactory detection of human bladder cancer by dogs: proof
34	of principle study. British Medical Journal 329 (712).
35	doi.org/10.1136/bmj.329.7468.712
36	
37	World Health Organization (2011) Universal access to malaria diagnostic testing: An
38	operational manual. Geneva.
39	http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44657/9789241502092_eng.pdf;js
40	essionid=E07DB28A7543179753DD9190F2778772?sequence=1
41	[Accessed 26 July 2018]
42	
43	World Health Organization (2015) Malaria rapid diagnostic test performance.
44 45	Results of WHO product testing of malaria RDTs: round 6 (2014-2015). Geneva.
45 46	http://www.who.int/malaria/areas/diagnosis/rapid-diagnostic-tests/product-
46	testing-round6/en/
47	[Accessed 3 December 2018]