1	Th	ne feasibility of vitrifying a sandstone enclosure in the		
2	British Iron Age			
3				
4	Fabian B. Wadsworth ¹ , David E. Damby ¹ , Rebecca L. Hearne ^{2,3} , Jennifer S. Le Blond ^{4,5} ,			
5	Jérémie Vasseur ¹ , Jens Najorka ⁵ , Kai-Uwe Hess ¹ , Donald B. Dingwell ¹			
6				
7	1	Earth and Environmental Sciences, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Theresienstr. 41, 80333		
8		Munich, Germany.		
9	2	University of Leicester Archaeological Services, School of Archaeology and Ancient History,		
10		University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK.		
11 12	3	Department of Archaeology, University of Sheffield, Northgate House, West Street, Sheffield, S1 4ET, UK.		
13	4	Department of Earth Sciences and Engineering, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, UK.		
14	5	Department of Mineralogy, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London, SW7 5BD, UK.		
15				
16		Keywords: vitrified forts; glass; quartz transition; cristobalite; Wincobank		
17				

18 Iron Age structures with evidence for having been subjected to high temperatures have 19 been identified throughout Europe. The thermal conditions that must have yielded such evidence of alteration remain enigmatic, especially for the case of high-silica, quartz-rich 20 building materials such as sandstones. Here, we conduct an experimental investigation of 21 thermal treatment using the Wincobank Iron Age hill fort site in Sheffield, South 22 Yorkshire (U.K.) as a test case. We have selected samples of the unaltered protolithic 23 sandstone from which the fort was constructed as starting material as well as material 24 from the vitrified wall core. An experimental suite of thermally treated protolith samples 25 has been analysed using a combined approach involving X-ray diffraction and thermal 26 27 analysis (simultaneous differential scanning calorimetry with thermogravimetric analysis). Comparison between our experimental products and the variably vitrified 28 samples found in the wall of the Wincobank hill fort help to constrain firing temperatures 29 and timescales. For mineralogical markers, we employ the high-temperature conversion 30 31 of quartz to cristobalite and the melting of feldspar to compare the relative abundance of 32 these phases before and after thermal treatment. We find that the Iron Age wall samples have mineralogical abundances most consistent with a minimum firing temperature range 33 <1100-1250 °C and a firing timescale of >10 hours. These first quantitative constraints for 34 a fort constructed of sandstone are consistent with those found for forts constructed of 35 36 granitic material. Finally, we explore the reasons for thermal disequilibrium during firing and invoke this mechanism to explain the differential vitrification found at some Iron Age 37 stone-built enclosures. 38

39 **1. Introduction**

Vitrified forts are anthropogenic archaeological stone-built structures which contain a glass, or devitrified product of a glass, as a phase in the stonework which is surmised to have been produced through *in situ* exposure to high temperatures. Such forts have been identified throughout Europe (e.g. Youngblood, et al., 1978, Kresten, 2004) and are abundant in Scotland (MacKie, 1969, MacKie, 1976, Nisbet, 1974, Friend, et al., 2008). Despite a large sample of sites, ambiguity remains concerning the vitrification mechanism, temperatures, kinetics and motives (e.g. Mackie, 1976).

Opinion appears to have converged on a consensus that vitrification is largely an intentional 47 rather than accidental consequence of high temperature treatment of the stonework enclosing 48 49 the forts (e.g. Youngblood, et al., 1978, Nisbet, 1974, Brothwell, et al., 1974). The specific prehistoric intentions for vitrification however are not constrained. Hearne (2015) summarises 50 the arguments for intentional vitrification motives in 3 categories: (1) strengthening of the walls 51 52 (Nisbet, 1974, Brothwell, et al., 1974); (2) intentional destructive attack (Cotton, 1954, Small and Cottam, 1972); or (3) a hitherto poorly understood ritual or cultural practice (Bowden and 53 McOmish, 1987). Experimental work constraining the conditions required for vitrification may 54 provide useful insights for deciding the relative likelihood of these three potential mechanisms 55 (e.g. Youngblood et al., 1978). The enigmatic nature of these Iron Age vitrified structures 56 makes them a topic ripe for ongoing study. 57

58 The temperatures required for vitrification have been constrained for granitic (sensu lato) 59 building materials to a range with a lower boundary defined by the solidus (~925 °C for dry granites; Youngblood et al., 1978). As there is no direct evidence that complete melting is 60 ubiquitously achieved in any vitrified fort, the upper boundary is likely to be below the liquid us 61 62 (i.e. <1250 °C for dry granites; Youngblood et al., 1978). Often defined is an upper temperature at which the authors propose that the melt fraction - preserved as glass containing quench 63 crystals produced upon cooling - was in equilibrium with the crystal assemblage (Youngblood, 64 et al., 1978, Friend, et al., 2007). If the solidus and liquidus are to represent absolute bounds for 65 66 the vitrification process and if no material-independent general temperature range applies, then 67 the very quartz-rich systems of sandstone-made forts remain substantially less well-constrained than is the case for "granitic" forts. 68

Firing of experimentally constructed walls has yielded large-scale confirmation that vitrification is possible in a reconstructed setting using the timber-frame construction thought to be prevalent in the Iron Age (Childe and Thorneycroft, 1938, Ralston, 1986). Similarly, sample-scale experimental work has provided additional constraints on the temperature and timescales required for vitrification (Hearne, 2015; Friend et al., 2008; Friend et al., 2007) although these latter experiments have never been scaled to the conditions likely exhibited in large experimental firings.

Here we use the sandstone building material used in the construction of the Wincobank hill fort site (Sheffield, South Yorkshire, U.K.; Hearne, 2015) to provide a sample-scale experimental suite covering a large temperature (600-1400 °C) and time (0-20 hours) window. We compare the thermal and mineralogical results with those from a suite of variably vitrified wall samples 80 at the same fort in order to constrain the range of conditions required to produce the Iron Age 81 examples. Finally, we illustrate an example of the importance of scale when considering the 82 distribution of heat, and therefore vitrification potential, in a sandstone wall. In all of this we 83 build on the work by Hearne (2015) who was the first to employ this experimental approach 84 with Wincobank hill site material.

86 2. Materials and methods

87

88 2.1 Sample selection

Samples were exclusively collected from Wincobank hill fort site (dated to BCE 530-470; 89 Beswick, 1987; Beswick, 1985), which is built from the locally-sourced sandstone (hereafter 90 91 referred to as the protolith). Samples were selected to represent (1) material that had undergone no thermal alteration and thus can be considered to be the raw protolith material from which 92 the Wincobank enclosure(s) were formed and (2) material from the thermally altered parts of 93 the walls (hereafter referred to as the vitrified samples). The protolith material is ubiquitous in 94 the earthwork construction and outcrops locally as blocks of massive orange sandstone which 95 exhibits minor laminations or small-scale bedding as their only distinguishing textural feature. 96 The vitrified samples found in the site wall differ from the protolith to a variable extent via 97 textural features including red discolouration, black discolouration with a glassy lustre, local 98 vesiculation and fluidal textures (Fig. 1; Hearne, 2015). The specific sampling locations were 99 from various locations on the south side of the enclosure but the poor surface exposure 100 precluded systematic sampling from external to internal edge of the wall itself and we did not 101 undertake excavation. The vitrified samples Wall 1-4 are chosen to represent the qualitative 102 103 range of vitrification seen at the site.

104

105 2.2 X-ray powder diffraction (XRD)

In order to discern whether the difference in phases resulting from thermal treatment mimicked 106 that observed between protolith and vitrified samples, the mineralogy of the protolith, the 107 vitrified material and the experimental samples was determined by powder X-ray diffraction 108 109 (XRD) at the Natural History Museum in London. Samples were ground in an agate mortar and \sim 30 mg loaded into 6.9 mm diameter, 1 mm depth circular well mounts. XRD data were 110 collected using an Enraf-Nonius PDS120 diffractometer with an INEL 120° curved position 111 sensitive detector (PSD). We used a combination of primary monochromator (Ge 111) and slit 112 system to select only Cu $K\alpha_1$ radiation and define the incident beam size. Tube operating 113 conditions were 40 kV and 35 mA. The angle between the incident beam and the sample surface 114 was maintained at 4.0° with the sample spinning to improve count statistics. For the detailed 115 investigation of phyllosilicates, an aliquot of each sample was prepared as an oriented mount 116 on a glass slide. This technique encourages preferred orientation of clay particles parallel to the 117 glass slide surface and enhances the intensity of basal reflections [001]. A suspension of soil 118 was prepared, sonicated for 1 minute and 0.5 ml pipetted onto a clean glass slide and left to dry 119 in air. For this part of the analysis we used a PANalytical X'Pert-PRO diffractometer (240 mm 120 radius) with a step size of $0.02^{\circ} 2\theta$, a total count time of 90 minutes over a scan range of 2-80° 121 2θ . The PDF-2 database from ICDD (International Centre for Diffraction Data, 122 http://www.icdd.com) was used to perform the phase identification in the diffraction patterns. 123

124 The relative proportions of quartz and cristobalite were evaluated using the areal intensities of 125 their highest intensity peaks. A linear baseline was subtracted from the peak associated with quartz at $26.6^{\circ} 2\theta$ and the peak associated with cristobalite at $21.8^{\circ} 2\theta$. The overlap of a minor feldspar peak with the primary cristobalite peak at ~ $22.0^{\circ} 2\theta$ was ignored for relative phase quantification since feldspar tended to melt prior to cristobalite crystallisation in our experimental samples (e.g. see Fig. 5) and no wall sample contained both phases.

130

131 2.3 Thermal analysis

132 Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetry (TG) measurements were made 133 using a Netzsch® 404 C Pegasus and a Netzsch® 449 C Jupiter, respectively. Samples of 134 protolith and vitrified samples from the Wincobank site were powdered to a particle size 135 distribution with a dominant fraction at 90-125 μ m but not further sieved to avoid segregation 136 of phases. 30-40 mg of each were loaded into platinum crucibles with lids. A static air 137 atmosphere was used in all experiments as tests have revealed that the effect of using a more 138 reducing argon atmosphere on the results was negligible within analytical error.

Protolith samples were exposed to two DSC heating cycles. The first cycle was designed to 139 expose the sample to a particular temperature (600-1400 °C peak temperature) for a pre-140 determined duration (0, 10 or 20 hours); the second cycle was to assess the thermal properties 141 142 imparted to the sample during the first cycle. During both the first and second heating cycles, samples were initially allowed to thermally equilibrate at 100 °C for 20 minutes. During the 143 first cycle, all samples were heated to the experimental temperature at 25 °C.min⁻¹ and held for 144 a dwell time of 0-20 hours before cooling at 25 °C.min⁻¹ to ambient temperature. During the 145 second heating cycle samples were heated to 1400 °C at 25 °C.min⁻¹. A sampling rate of 40 Hz 146 was used to ensure high resolution. The S-type thermocouples in both the DSC and TG 147 instruments were calibrated to ± 1.5 °C. Baseline measurements were made on the same empty 148 crucibles under the same experimental conditions and were subtracted from the sample curves. 149

Endothermic heat flow peaks interpreted to represent the α - β quartz transition (575-577 °C) 150 were integrated between 550 and 600 °C using a linear regression as a baseline. The integrated 151 152 value from the second heating cycle is calculated relative to that from the first cycle. Thermally altered samples from the Wincobank site were also measured in this way and their integrated 153 peaks are obtained relative to an average value for the protolith on the first heating cycle (i.e. 154 the unaltered transition). The area under the peak associated with the α - β transition in quartz is 155 156 proportional to the amount of quartz, such that relative changes prior to and following high 157 temperature alteration are quantitative. The absolute peak position of the α - β transition in quartz is weakly dependent on the heating rate. By always using heating and cooling rates of 25 K.min⁻ 158 ¹ in this study, the relative changes in peak area are always comparable. This means that the 159 160 peak temperatures for this transition quoted here (Supplementary Fig. 1), are not to be compared with other studies where different heating rates were applied. No heating rate correction was 161 performed. 162

165 The protolith and sample *Wall 4* were milled to <63 µm particle size in acetone, dried, ignited 166 and mixed with spectromelt A12 (66 % di-lithium tetraborate, 34 % lithium metaborate) flux at 167 a sample to flux ratio of 1:9. Mixtures were prepared as fused glass tablets prior to analysis. 168 The XRF measurements were obtained using a Philips MagiX Pro WDX-XRFS at LMU, 169 Munich.

170

172 **Results**

173

174 2.5 The protolith

175 The protolith is a brown-orange fine-grained sandstone composed dominantly of quartz with 176 minor components of feldspar and kaolinite and muscovite (Fig. 2). Additional trace 177 components not resolved by XRD in this study (<<1 wt %) may include rutile, chrome spinel 178 and zircon (Hallsworth and Chisholm, 2000). On heating, the protolith shows an endothermic 179 peak in heat flow at ~576 °C diagnostic of the α - β transition in quartz, consistent with the 180 dominantly quartz mineralogy. Note that the absolute position of the transition is heating-rate 181 dependent (Section 2.3). The bulk chemistry is quoted in Table 1.

182

183 2.6 Samples from the wall at the Wincobank hill site

Variably altered and vitrified samples from the Wincobank hill site are texturally distinct from 184 the protolith. They exhibit (1) red discolouration, (2) black and glassy lustre or (3) textural 185 186 overprinting by local vesicularity in the areas of prodigious glassy texture. The mineralogy of the vitrified samples consists of quartz and either feldspar or cristobalite with possible? trace 187 amounts of sekaninaite. Sample Wall 1 contains only quartz and feldspar, similar to the 188 protolith, and is discoloured to a deep orange, while samples Wall 2, Wall 3 and Wall 4 are 189 discoloured to dark brown or black with glassy lustre and contain only quartz and cristobalite, 190 191 unlike the protolith (Fig. 3). The 3 samples that contain cristobalite also show a noisy endothermic peak in heat flow at ~269 °C consistent with the α - β transition of cristobalite. 192 followed by a second endothermic heat-flow peak at ~576 °C indicative of the α - β transition of 193 quartz. The sample that does not contain cristobalite (*Wall 1*) only shows the α - β transition of 194 195 quartz (also at ~576 °C). Sample Wall 4 has a high background value in the XRD spectrum relative to the background of the protolith. Wall 4 additionally exhibits minor bulk chemical 196 differences from the protolith (Table 1). 197

198

199 2.7 The protolith on experimental thermal treatment

Subjected to 2 linear heating and cooling cycles to and from 1400 °C at 25 °C.min⁻¹, the 200 protolith undergoes mass loss events on the first heating cycle. Between ~400 and ~1000 $^{\circ}$ C ~2 201 % (in Fig. 4 relative mass is displayed as a fraction) of the initial sample mass is lost, and the 202 203 peak mass loss rate occurs at ~580 °C. There is no detectable mass change on subsequent heating and cooling cycles (Fig. 4). These data are broadly consistent with the loss-on-ignition 204 value for the protolith of 2.84 wt% (to 1300 °C) and the lower value for vitrified sample Wall 205 4 of 0.51 wt% (to 1400 °C), measured during sample preparation for XRF analysis (Table 1). 206 The mass-loss behaviour is exceptionally repeatable to within ~ 0.4 % variation between sample 207 208 runs.

209 The α - β transition of quartz is reversible (Fig. 5) and is measured to occur at 575-577 °C for 210 the heating rate and conditions tested over both first and second heating cycles for all samples 211 (*n*=38; Supplementary Fig. 1). The peak height of the endotherm associated with the α - β 212 transition in quartz is lower with respect to a linear baseline on the second heating cycle when 213 the first heating cycle exceeded ~1000-1050 °C.

At ~1020 °C, an exothermic heat-flow peak is consistently produced (Fig. 5). This exotherm is not reversible, such that if 1020 °C is exceeded on the first heating cycle, this event will not appear on the second heating cycle. However, if the first heating cycle peak-temperature is <1020 °C then this exotherm will appear on the second heating cycle above 1020 °C irrespective of any isothermal dwell at peak temperature (Fig. 5C & 5D).

219 Where the heating program did not exceed ~1000-1050 °C the mineralogy of the experimental samples is almost identical to that of the protolith. However, if the sample is taken above this 220 temperature range, then the peaks in the XRD pattern of the experimental samples differ from 221 222 those of the protolith. Namely, the quartz peaks at 20.8 and 26.6° 2θ are less intense, the complex feldspar peak at ~28° 2θ is diminished or absent and the primary cristobalite peak 223 appears at 21.8° 2θ (Fig. 6). The absolute experimental peak temperatures after which feldspar 224 is no longer detectable and at which cristobalite appears are dependent on the isothermal dwell 225 time at peak temperature. For example, when no isothermal dwell is applied (0 hours; Fig. 6A), 226 feldspar is detectable in the patterns up to peak temperatures of ~1200 °C and cristobalite is not 227 228 detectable until peak temperatures of ~1300 °C. However, if an isothermal dwell is applied at the peak temperature before cooling and subsequent measurement (10 or 20 hours; Fig. 6B & 229 6C), then feldspar is seen to be removed by ~1000 °C and cristobalite is already detectable at 230 ~1200 °C. This time-dependence of reactions involving the removal or appearance of phases in 231 our analysis is further quantified by the integration procedure described in Section 2 and 232 233 illustrated in Fig. 7. The feldspar peak is too complex for direct integration (it consists of a peak triplet), but the clean peaks of quartz and cristobalite yield peak areas that can be taken relative 234 235 to those in the protolith (Fig. 2). Such analysis is consistent with all other findings such that changes in mineralogy occur at peak temperatures >1000-1050 °C and are time- and 236 temperature-dependent. 237

The low-angle background intensities in the XRD patterns are qualitatively higher relative to the background in the protolith for treated samples that exceeded ~ 1200 °C.

240 **3.** Model constraints of vitrification feasibility

241

3.1 The effect of grain-size on vitrification feasibility

Rocks exposed to externally applied temperatures will develop an internal thermal gradient and take a finite time to reach thermal equilibrium. The time required for thermal equilibrium is strongly dependent on particle size. When applying our results performed on fine-grained powders of sandstone to the boulders found at Wincobank site, we can consider this effect using a 1D numerical solution to the heat equation for the evolution of a temperature profile inside a material as a function of particle size and time.

In spherical coordinates, the evolution of temperature T as a function of radial spatial position r and time t without dissipation is given by recasting Fick's second law

251

252
$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^2 D \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} \right)$$

253

where T is the absolute temperature and D is the thermal diffusivity of the material. The 254 temperature dependence of the thermal diffusivity in quartz or in sandstone is poorly 255 constrained and so, for illustrative purposes, we choose a constant diffusivity for the conditions 256 constrained in this work (T=1250°C) such that $D=10^{-7}$ m².s⁻¹. This agrees well with an 257 extrapolation of the experimental constraint on the thermal diffusivity of quartz to temperatures 258 in this region of interest (Kanamori, et al., 1968) and with estimates for sandstones from a 259 similar location in the U.K. (Wilson and Luheshi, 1987). We take initial and boundary 260 conditions where T_i is the instantaneously applied external temperature and T_0 is the initial 261 temperature internal to the particle of radius R so we have 262

263

264
$$\begin{cases} T = T_0, \text{ for } r < R, \text{ at } t = 0\\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} = 0, \text{ for } t > 0, \text{ at } r = 0\\ T = T_i, \text{ for } t > 0, \text{ at } r = R \end{cases}$$

265

We solve Eq. 1 numerically using a finite difference method for which the absolute spatial step size is 5 % of the particle radius and the time-step is derived from the CFL condition (see Supplementary Information for details). This yields a dimensional result for the temporal evolution of the distribution of temperature in a particle of a given size. Furthermore, by taking a sandstone matrix density ρ equivalent to quartz of 2650 kg.m⁻³, a thermal conductivity *k* of the matrix at elevated temperature of 2.0 W.m.K⁻¹ and heat capacity C_p of 2000 J.kg⁻¹.K⁻¹

(0.1)

272 (Vosteen and Schellschmidt, 2003), we can employ a scaling of the thermal diffusivity 273 associated with porosity ϕ (Connor, et al., 1997)

274

275
$$D = \frac{k}{\rho C_p \left(1 - \phi\right) + \rho^s C_p^s \phi}$$

276

277 where the superscript g denotes the values for the pore fluid rather than the matrix. We take air as the pore fluid and $\phi = 0.0.3$ typical for sandstones at varying degrees of diagenesis (e.g. 278 Hallsworth & Chisholm, 2000). This equips us with a tool for assessing the thermal gradient in 279 porous sandstone particles. By setting a threshold at which we consider the particle in thermal 280 281 equilibrium as when the temperature at r=0 reaches 1 % of T_i , we can show that the time required for equilibrium scales with the particle size and is dependent on the porosity (Fig. 8). 282 Further solutions varying the temperature outside the particle between 900 and 1300 °C produce 283 very similar results. 284

4. Discussion

287

286

4.1 Determination of temperatures and timescales required for vitrification

289

290 4.1.1 Evidence from mass loss

In our experimental suite, the most significant mass loss event occurs at 500-700 °C. None of 291 the wall samples measured showed any mass loss event within the analytical error. This agrees 292 with the loss-on-ignition value of 0.51 wt% for the vitrified sample Wall 4, which is 293 significantly less than that for the protolith (2.84 wt%; Table 1). Therefore, the wall samples all 294 295 likely reached temperatures at least greater than the temperature at which we record the peak mass loss rate ~580 °C (Fig. 4). This further suggests that there has been negligible hydration 296 of the wall samples since the Iron Age. The bulk composition of the most vitrified sample from 297 the Wincobank site wall (Wall 4) and that of the protolith are similar and so we do not invoke 298 299 mass loss as a mechanism for significant volatilisation of any particular chemical constituent (Table 1). The mass loss is most likely controlled by the decomposition of the kaolinite and 300 301 muscovite components, which are not present after the initial heating cycle or in the vitrified samples. 302

303

304 4.1.2 Evidence from cristobalite

Cristobalite is present in samples extracted directly from the vitrified portions of the Wincobank 305 306 site wall (Fig. 3). We experimentally verify that in our SiO₂-rich, quartz dominated system, cristobalite can readily form at temperatures >1200 °C in times <10 hours or at temperatures 307 >1000 °C in times >10 hours. This is seen as the 21.8° 2θ peak in the XRD patterns of our post-308 experimental samples (Fig. 6) and in the DSC data for the second heating (Fig. 5), evidenced 309 by the α - β cristobalite transition at ~170-270 °C (e.g. Damby, et al., 2014). The consistent 310 dependence of cristobalite content on temperature and on time in our experimental samples 311 (Fig. 7) shows that the kinetics in this crystallisation process are as critical as the absolute peak 312 temperature up to the first 10 hours. This implies that equilibrium conditions are met at times 313 greater than 10 hours. 314

Cristobalite was also detected in samples from the fort wall of Sainte Suzanne in France by Smith and Vernioles (1997) using Raman spectroscopy. For these authors, the presence of cristobalite was indicative of vitrification temperatures up to or in excess of the thermodynamically stable formation temperature for cristobalite of 1470 °C. While they admit that cristobalite has been shown to exist in a metastable form below this stability field, they conclude that these high temperatures have potential implications for the thermal technology available to Iron Age fort-builders or attackers.

We propose that the exothermic event in our heat flow data at ~1050 °C (Fig. 5) is consistent with the transition between β -quartz and β -cristobalite, which is known to be possible in

systems that are not able to form the intermediate β -tridymite (Heaney, 1994). In such systems, 324 β -cristobalite can readily form at metastable temperatures from β -quartz and this explains the 325 326 consistent evidence for cristobalite in our experimental samples. On these grounds we do not 327 hold with Smith and Vernioles (1997) that the mere presence of cristobalite can be correlated with temperatures of formation at mineral stability without more sophisticated consideration 328 and dispute their claim that vitrification necessarily reached 1470 °C. This assertion is further 329 supported by previous work showing metastable formation of cristobalite in experimental (e.g. 330 Chao and Lu, 2002) and natural (e.g. Horwell, et al., 2013) systems. Further, Stevens et al. 331 (1997) show that the (pure) quartz to cristobalite transformation below 1400 °C is promoted by 332 a mineraliser, suggesting the breakdown of feldspar (section 4.1.3) and/or phyllosilicates 333 (section 4.1.1) may facilitate cristobalite formation in our samples; however, this is not further 334 335 investigated.

Using this experimental constraint, we can approach an interpretation of the presence of cristobalite in the samples from the vitrified wall at the Wincobank site. Three of the four wall samples analysed here contain cristobalite. Therefore, we propose that these 3 samples reached approximate temperatures of ≥ 1050 °C. The XRD patterns show that, relative to the protolith, cristobalite does indeed incrementally increase as the experimental temperature exceeds 1100 °C (Fig. 7). Furthermore, the inclusion of an isothermal dwell (10 or 20 hours) results in an increase in the relative abundance of cristobalite stabilised and preserved on cooling.

343 Using the cristobalite peak in the XRD patterns for the Wincobank wall samples relative to the same position in the protolith pattern and comparing this relative measure of abundance with 344 the experimental findings, we suggest that the 3 cristobalite-containing Wincobank wall 345 samples (Wall 2, Wall 3 and Wall 4) are most consistent with a window between ~1100 °C 346 (Wall 2) to temperatures higher than we used in our experiments (e.g. ~1450 °C; Wall 4) for 0 347 hours or between 1050 °C (Wall 2) to 1225 °C (Wall 4) for >10 hours. We suggest that the 348 remaining wall sample, which does not contain cristobalite (Wall 1), did not reach this critical 349 temperature (1050 °C) at any time. In turn, this suggests that the peak temperature reached in 350 the wall is spatially variable. 351

352

353 4.1.3 Evidence from feldspar

Feldspar is the second most abundant phase in the protolith and is only present in sample Wall 354 *1* from the Wincobank site wall. Using a similar method of relative abundance quantification 355 to that used for cristobalite or quartz (Fig. 7) has not been possible because feldspar exhibits a 356 complex peak in XRD patterns and no obvious thermal transition manifest in heat flow data. 357 358 However, qualitatively, we can see that the peak associated with feldspar in the XRD patterns from our post-experimental samples is no longer discernible if the protolith was heated to 1300 359 °C for 0 hours or ~1100 °C for >10 hours. The peak height is broadly a negative function of 360 361 temperature, suggesting qualitatively that the feldspar is being progressively removed during heating. This would additionally suggest that the sample Wall 1 did not exceed the window 362 above which feldspar is depleted, but samples Wall 2, Wall 3 and Wall 4 did indeed exceed 363

364 1100-1300 °C. This is highly consistent with the constraint from cristobalite, as discussed 365 above.

- 366
- 367 4.1.4 Evidence from quartz

The relative abundance of quartz, derived by comparing the integrated quartz peaks in the XRD 368 patterns of the post-experimental samples with that for the protolith, yields a depletion of quartz 369 as temperature is increased. As with cristobalite, this is only apparent in the data for samples 370 that experienced >1100 °C. Similarly, this process appears to be time dependent such that after 371 372 0 hours at peak temperature the relative abundance of quartz remaining in the sample is greater than after >10 hours at peak temperature (Fig. 7). The data acquired by integrating the 373 endothermic peak in the heat flow data produced over the α - β transition in quartz on the second 374 heating cycle of the protolith and taking this relative to the same transition seen on the first 375 heating cycle, yields remarkably good agreement with the XRD-derived values (Fig. 7A). 376

Using the same method of comparing the Wincobank wall sample data relative to the protolith with that from the experimental suite yields comparable relative quartz abundances (Fig. 7). By this analysis we find that *Wall 2* is consistent with a minimum firing temperature of 1200 °C for >10 hours, *Wall 3* is consistent with ~1250 °C for >10 hours and *Wall 4* is outside of the measured range. *Wall 1* gives an apparent minimum 10 hour firing temperature of 1050-1100 °C, however, due to the absence of cristobalite in this sample, we suggest that it did not, in fact, reach 1020 °C for any time (section **4**.1.3) and was fired to a lower temperature.

384

385 4.1.5 Evidence from glass

386 An endothermic DSC peak attributable to a glass transition appears on second heating in the heat flow data for samples for which feldspar had been completely depleted and one might 387 expect a melt phase to have formed. For samples heated to 1250 °C on the first heating cycle, 388 the extrapolated onset of this glass transition occurs at ~1010 °C and 980 °C for a 0 and 10 hour 389 isotherm, respectively (Fig. 5). The glass transition estimated for such a composition (using the 390 model of Giordano et al., 2008) for a heating rate of 25 °C.min⁻¹ is ~984 and ~1004 °C if we 391 use the composition of Wall 4 or the protolith (Table 1) as inputs respectively (see 392 393 Supplementary Information). Although this is an extrapolation of the viscosity model it is nevertheless consistent with the onset of the endothermic signal observed in the vitrified 394 samples in the range of 950-1000 °C. If this glass transition assignment is correct then the 395 physical deformation and flow of these glassy layers would be restricted to temperatures above 396 397 this value. Glass transition temperatures for very high silica compositions of the kind used here are notoriously difficult to detect. 398

A qualitative increase in the baseline of the XRD pattern between ~10-40 deg 2theta on the XRD patterns (figure?), interpreted as evidence for an amorphous phase due to the lack of longrange atomic order, suggests the production of a glass phase from a supercooled melt that is quenched in the samples. An increasing melt content as samples approach the liquidus from the solidus is expected and, coupled with the conversion of quartz to cristobalite, provide a clearconceptual model for the evolution of the protolith as it undergoes thermal alteration.

405

406 4.2 The temperature-time window for vitrification of the Wincobank hill fort wall

Constraints of vitrification temperatures have, to date, been for broadly granitic composition 407 building materials used in many vitrified hill fort walls, for which a dry solidus and liquid us 408 temperature ranges between 925 °C and 1000-1250 °C, respectively (e.g. Youngblood et al., 409 1987; Brothwell, 1984; Friend et al., 2008). These have been used as bracketing values such 410 that, in order to produce any melt capable of vitrification on cooling, the rock has to be heated 411 above the solidus, and to preserve primary crystal phases observed, the rock cannot exceed the 412 liquidus. However, this is complicated by the experimental evidence for quench crystallisation 413 (Hearne, 2015; Friend et al., 2008). Additional complications involve the effect of redox state 414 (Youngblood et al., 1978) and hydrous minerals on the solidus and liquidus; for example Friend 415 et al. (2007) invoke biotite as a candidate for a relatively low-temperature melting scenario 416 (~850 °C) in the rocks from a psammite protolith. One anomalous study proposes exceptionally 417 high temperatures of vitrification based on the preservation of cristobalite (Smith and Vernioles, 418 1997) which we discuss above (section 4.1.2). Other than this exception, the temperature ranges 419 proposed in the literature to date are reasonably consistent regardless of lithology and have been 420 demonstrated to be feasible in large-scale (Ralston, 1987; Childe & Thorneycroft, 1932) and 421 422 sample-scale experimentation (Hearne, 2015; Friend et al., 2008).

The kinetics of melting have been routinely overlooked. Many temperature estimates are based on thermodynamic models that were usually compiled from equilibrium experiments. Kinetic processes are alluded to by Youngblood et al. (1978), who states that there is a "time…necessary to melt the rocks." Kinetics are explicitly explored by Hearne (2015) who investigates the difference between relatively long and short experiments over the range 850-1325 °C. We find that for our samples the kinetic effect is only relevant at heating times <10 hours such that equilibrium is approached at these times.

In the above sections we have shown that the measured abundances of quartz and cristobalite 430 can be consistent with a range of temperatures for different times of high temperature treatment. 431 If we consider the upper temperature limits - which are if those temperatures were achieved 432 433 only for very short times - to be higher than were generally attainable with Iron Age technology, then we could argue that the wall was heated to a lower temperature for a comparatively longer 434 time. Therefore, in synthesis, we find it most useful to provide the lowermost limits from our 435 experimental constraints that would consistently explain all data. This approach yields minima 436 437 in the range <1020 °C (*Wall 1*) and 1250 °C (*Wall 3*; >10 hours). The differences between the estimates attained for each wall sample is a testament to the differential heating often observed 438 in vitrified fort walls (e.g. Hearne, 2015; Friend et al., 2007). We consider the dominant factor 439 440 affecting this differential vitrification would be heat transfer through the wall, which is highly block-size dependent (Fig. 7). Heat transfer would be facilitated if a timber-frame were 441 providing heat internal to the wall, which is often thought to have been the case at vitrified fort 442 sites (e.g. Ralston, 1986). If a vitrified fort were to show a thoroughly vitrified wall, then it 443

Journal of Archaeological Science

would follow that the firing timescale were at least equivalent to the heat transfer timescale.
This is certainly the case on the block-scale where it is often the periphery of blocks which are
vitrified while the inside is not (Fig. 1) or where the small grains interstitial to the larger blocks
in the wall are thoroughly vitrified while the large blocks are intact.

448

450 **5.** Conclusions

451

Here we constrain the temperature and timescales of vitrification at the Wincobank site using 452 the sandstone protolith from which the fort walls are constructed as an experimental starting 453 material. We use XRD and thermal analysis (DSC and TG) to constrain the mineralogical 454 changes that occur on heating of the protolith to 600-1400 °C. We compare these data to 455 vitrified wall samples found in-situ at the same Wincobank site, finding that cristobalite is 456 present in vitrified samples and not in the protolith and feldspar has been removed. We find 457 self-consistency in our temperature and timescale estimates derived from the evolution of 458 cristobalite, quartz, feldspar, glass and sample mass, providing minimum estimates of <1020-459 1250 °C for >10 hours. Finally, we highlight that vitrification at this site was highly variable 460 and explore the reasons for variable thermal treatment using a 1D numerical solution to the heat 461 equation. 462

464 Acknowledgments

465

We thank Michael Heap for a thorough and constructive review, Donjá Aβbichler and Nick
Marsh who measured the bulk compositions by XRF and to Roger Doonan for providing
samples from the University of Sheffield. We acknowledge funding provided by the European
Union's seventh program for research, technological development and demonstration under
grant agreement VUELCO (282759) and the European Research Council for funding the
Advanced Investigator Grant EVOKES (247076).

472

473 **References Cited**

474

482

485

488

496

- Beswick, P., 1985. Wincobank hillfort drainage scheme: Archaeological report. Transactions of the
 Hunter Archaeological Society 13, 29-33.
- 478 Beswick, P., 1987. Wincobank hillfort: Radiocarbon dates. Prehistory Research Section Bulletin of
 479 the Yorkshire Archaeological Society 24, 6.
 480
- 481 Bowden, M., McOmish. D. 1987. The required barrier. Scottish Archaeological Review 4, 76-84.
- Brothwell, D., Bishop, A., Woolley, A., 1974. Vitrified forts in Scotland: a problem in interpretation
 and primitive technology. Journal of Archaeological Science 1, 101-107.
- 486 Chao, C.-H., Lu, H.-Y., 2002. β-Cristobalite stabilization in (Na2O+Al2O3)-added silica.
 487 Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A 33, 2703-2711.
- 489 Childe, V.G., Thorneycroft, W., 1938. The experimental production of the phenomena distinctive of vitrified forts.
 491
- 492 Connor, C., Lichtner, P., Conway, F., Hill, B., Ovsyannikov, A., Federchenko, I., Doubik, Y., Shapar,
 493 V., Taran, Y.A., 1997. Cooling of an igneous dike 20 yr after intrusion. Geology 25, 711-714.
- 494495 Cotton, M., 1954. British camps with timber-laced ramparts. Archaeological Journal 111, 26-105.
- 497 Damby, D.E., Llewellin, E.W., Horwell, C.J., Williamson, B.J., Najorka, J., Cressey, G., Carpenter,
 498 M., 2014. The α-β phase transition in volcanic cristobalite. Journal of applied crystallography 47,
 499 1205-1215.
 500
- Friend, C., Dye, J., Fowler, M., 2007. New field and geochemical evidence from vitrified forts in
 South Morar and Moidart, NW Scotland: further insight into melting and the process of vitrification.
 Journal of Archaeological Science 34, 1685-1701.
- Friend, C., Charnley, N., Clyne, H., Dye, J., 2008. Experimentally produced glass compared with that
 occurring at The Torr, NW Scotland, UK: vitrification through biotite melting. Journal of
 Archaeological Science 35, 3130-3143.
- Hallsworth, C., Chisholm, J., 2000. Stratigraphic evolution of provenance characteristics in
 Westphalian sandstones of the Yorkshire Coalfield. Proceedings of the Yorkshire Geological
 and Polytechnic Society, Geological Society of London, pp. 43-72.

512 513 514 515 516 517	 Heaney, P.J., 1994. Structure and chemistry of the low-pressure silica polymorphs. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry 29, 1-40. Hearne, R.L., 2015. Vitrification at Iron Age Hillforts in Northern England: A Multidisciplinary Investigation. Prehistoric Yorkshire 52, 111-124.
518 519 520 521	Horwell, C.J., Williamson, B.J., Llewellin, E.W., Damby, D.E., Le Blond, J.S., 2013. The nature and formation of cristobalite at the Soufrière Hills volcano, Montserrat: implications for the petrology and stability of silicic lava domes. Bull Volcanol 75, 1-19.
522 523 524 525	Kanamori, H., Fujii, N., Mizutani, H., 1968. Thermal diffusivity measurement of rock-forming minerals from 300° to 1100° K. Journal of Geophysical Research 73, 595-605.
526 527 528	Kresten, P., 2004. The Vitrified forts of Europe: saga, archaeology and geology. Applied Mineralogy: Developments in Science and Technology, Sao Paolo, 355-357.
529 530 531	MacKie, E., 1969. Timber-laced and vitrified walls in Iron Age forts: causes of vitrification. Glasgow Archaeological Journal, 69-71.
532 533 534	MacKie, E.W., 1976. The vitrified forts of Scotland, Hillforts, Later Prehistoric Earthworks in Britain and Ireland. Academic Press, London, 205-235.
535 536	Nisbet, H.C., 1974. A geological approach to vitrified forts. Sci. Archaeol 12, 3-12.
537 538 539	Ralston, I., 1986. The Yorkshire Television vitrified wall experiment at East Tullos, City of Aberdeen District. Proceedings of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland, National Museum of Antiquities of Scotland, pp. 17-40.
540 541 542	Small, A., Cottam, M., 1972. Craig Phaidrig. University of Dundee, Department of Geography, Occasional papers 1.
543 544 545 546 547	Smith, D.C., Vernioles, J.D., 1997. The Temperature of Fusion of a Celtic Vitrified Fort: a Feasibility Study of the Application of the Raman Microprobe to the Non-Destructive Characterization of Unprepared Archaeological Objects. Journal of Raman spectroscopy 28, 195-197.
547 548 549	Stevens, S., Hand, R., Sharp, J., 1997. Temperature dependence of the cristobalite α - β inversion. Journal of thermal analysis 49, 1409-1415.
550 551 552 553 554	Vosteen, HD., Schellschmidt, R., 2003. Influence of temperature on thermal conductivity, thermal capacity and thermal diffusivity for different types of rock. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C 28, 499-509.
555 556	Wilson, N., Luheshi, M., 1987. Thermal aspects of the East Midlands aquifer system. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 34, 157-169.
558 559 560 561	Youngblood, E., Fredriksson, B., Kraut, F., Fredriksson, K., 1978. Celtic vitrified forts: implications of a chemical-petrological study of glasses and source rocks. Journal of Archaeological Science 5, 99-121.
562	

Table 1	Bulk chemical composition (wt.%)		
Oxide	Protolith	Wall 4	
SiO ₂	83.05	83.53	
Al_2O_3	7.82	9.79	
Fe_2O_3	2.38	2.01	
MnO	0.04	0.02	
MgO	0.40	0.63	
CaO	0.06	0.14	
Na ₂ O	0.92	1.12	
K_2O	1.28	1.57	
TiO_2	0.92	0.67	
P_2O_5	0.07	0.08	
LOI	2.84	0.51	
Total	99.78	100.08	

measured by powder X-ray fluorescence

564

566 Figure 1 (black and white)

A photograph of a vitrified sample from the wall at the Wincobank site, Yorkshire, U.K.
(reproduced with permission from Hearne, 2015). The scale bar at the base of the sample is
divided into centimetres.



571 Figure 2 (black & white)

572 Detail of the diffraction pattern from the unaltered wall material (the experimental protolith) 573 showing that it is dominantly composed of quartz (Qz) and feldspar (Fsp) with muscovite 574 (Musc.) and kaolinite (Kaol.) components (*see text*) diffracting at low angles 2θ .



577 Figure 3 (black and white)

578 Details of the X-ray diffraction patterns for the 4 variably altered wall samples analysed here 579 showing that they are composed of variable amounts of quartz (Qz) with trace amounts of 580 feldspar (Fsp) in sample *Wall 1* and varying amounts of cristobalite (Cr) in samples *Wall 2*, 581 *Wall 3* and *Wall 4*. Trace sekaninaite (Sk) appears to be present in samples *Wall 2* and *Wall 3*.



582

583

584

586 Figure 4 (black and white)

(A) Changes in the experimental protolith sample mass relative to the initial mass of the protolith during 2 heating cycles at 25 °C.min⁻¹ to 1300 °C and no isothermal time at high temperature. The curves are a single representative measurement and the deviation among runs (n=12) was <2 %. (B) The peak mass loss rate occurs at ~580 °C and total mass lost ~0.02 %. The second heating and both cooling cycles are thermally stable and produce repeatable mass signals.



594

596 Figure 5 (colour)

The heat flow response on a 2-cycle heating program at 25 °C.min⁻¹ with (A) no isothermal time at high temperature or (B) with a 10 hour isothermal time at peak temperature. *Inset*: the emergence of a peak at 218 °C for the sample heated to 1300 °C attributed to the α - β transition of cristobalite (peak labelled "1"). $T_{g,onset}$ refers to the onset of the glass transition. (C) The heat flow response on the first heating cycle to high temperature for which each curve terminates at the respective peak temperature. (D) The thermal response on a second heating cycle where the colours indicate the peak temperature reached on the first heating cycle in panel C.



604

606 Figure 6 (black and white)

607 Stacked X-ray diffraction patterns for thermally treated protolith samples (Fig. 1 for pristine 608 XRD pattern) produced after heating at 25 $^{\circ}$ C.min⁻¹ to a peak temperature and held for (A) 0

hours, (B) 10 hours and (C) 20 hours before cooling to ambient conditions.



610

612 Figure 7 (black and white)

The relative abundance of (A) quartz and (B) cristobalite in the experimentally treated samples 613 as a function of peak temperature and normalised to the abundance in the unaltered protolith 614 sample. (A) Quartz data were acquired using both the calorimetric heat flow data and the X-ray 615 diffraction pattern. Using the heat flow data, the endothermic peak at ~576 °C for the second 616 heating cycle was integrated over the range 560-600 °C and normalised to the same integrated 617 peak from the equivalent first heating cycle on the same sample. For the X-ray diffraction data, 618 the baseline-subtracted peak at $26.6^{\circ} 2\theta$ was integrated and also normalised to the protolith 619 value. (B) The baseline-subtracted peak at $21.2^{\circ} 2\theta$ in the XRD pattern was integrated and 620 normalised to the value in the protolith pattern. In both cases, a deviation from a value of 1 621 (dashed lines) indicates changes due to the thermal treatment. 622



625 Figure 8 (black and white)

The results of the numerical solution to the 1D heat equation cast in spherical coordinates (Eq. 1) with the effect of sample gas volume fraction considered up to 0.3 (Eq. 3) and with a threshold for thermal equilibrium defined as when the particle centre is within 1% of the applied external temperature. These results show that the size of the block in the wall strongly controls how thoroughly the temperature, and thus the vitrification potential, is distributed internally.



Supplementary information

633

632

- Fabian B. Wadsworth¹, David E. Damby¹, Rebecca L. Hearne^{2,3}, Jennifer S. Le Blond^{4,5},
 Jérémie Vasseur¹, Jens Najorka⁵, Kai-Uwe Hess¹, Donald B. Dingwell¹
- 636

637 Supplementary figure 1



638

639 The thermal position of the peak in the α to β transition in quartz as a function of the 640 experimental conditions used. Note that the position is within 1 °C of the analytical error on 641 temperature determination and is therefore considered to be stable and independent of the 642 thermal treatment conditions. And note that the absolute position is heating rate dependent, but 643 what is critical is that for the conditions used, it is repeatable and not affected by heat treatment.

644

646 Courant-Freidrichs-Lewy (CFL) stability condition for the thermal model

For a stable numerical solution to the heat equation (Eq. 1), we must define the spatial and temporal step sizes in our finite difference scheme. As stated in the model description, we use a spatial step Δr that is 5 % of the radius *R* of the particle in question, so that for *R*=1 m we would take a spatial step of 5 cm. In this example, we can use the CFL stability condition as follows

 $\frac{2D\Delta t}{\Delta r^2} \le \frac{1}{2}$

653

to define the appropriate time step Δt we should use. In the example of a 1 m radius particle, and the diffusivity *D* discussed in the model description, we would therefore take Δt =5.26 hours in order to ensure stability.

657

659 Glass transition estimation

660 Using the viscosity model of Giordano et al. (2008) we can input the bulk composition of the 661 protolith or *Wall 4* (Table 1) and predict the temperature dependence of viscosity for? a melt of 662 that composition. This is possible as the model outputs *A*, *B* and *C* parameters used in a Vogel-663 Fulcher-Tammann equation. Coupling this with a model for the prediction of the viscosity of a 664 melt at the glass transition T_g as a function of cooling rate *q* permits us to arrange for the thermal 665 position of T_g as a function of cooling rate:

666

$$T_g = C + \frac{B}{k - \log_{10}|q| - A}$$

668

667

669 where *k* is the so-called melt shift factor. Here we use an accepted value of k=10 and parameters 670 *A*, *B* and *C* from the Giordano et al. (2008) model (Supplementary Table) to find T_g at our 671 experimental cooling rates of 25 K.min⁻¹.

672

673

Supplementary Table

	Wall 4	Protolith
А	-4.55	-4.55
В	12655.1	13052
С	350.1	341.7

674



677

The DSC signal associated with heating the vitrified samples at 25 K.min⁻¹ to 1400 °C. From bottom to top the curves are *Wall 1*, *Wall 2*, *Wall 3* and *Wall 4*. In sample *Wall 4* an arrow labelled "1" refers to the α-β transition of cristobalite, the peak for which occurs at 238.2 °C.

681