Tetrahedron Letters 59 (2018) 2644-2646

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

A direct route for the preparation of Fmoc/O^tBu protected iodotyrosine

Andrew M. Steer, Hannah L. Bolt, William D.G. Brittain, Steven L. Cobb*

Department of Chemistry, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 April 2018 Revised 18 May 2018 Accepted 22 May 2018 Available online 23 May 2018

Keywords: Amino acid Iodotyrosine Protecting group Bi-aryl Suzuki-Miyaura

Introduction

Halogenated aromatic amino acids represent an important class of building blocks which have been utilised for a myriad of applications. Iodo-, bromo- and chloro-aromatic amino acids have been exploited in cross-coupling reactions (e.g. Negishi,^{1,2} Suzuki-Miyaura^{3–5} and Sonogashira⁶ cross-couplings) to afford a wide range of unnatural amino acids. The halide functionality has also been used to introduce fluorine tags into biomolecules^{7,8} and as key components in natural product synthesis.^{9,10}

lodotyrosines have been widely utilised in natural product synthesis¹¹⁻¹³ and the formation of unnatural bi-aryl amino acids.^{14,15} Butyloxycarbonyl (Boc) protected iodotyrosines **1** and **2** have previously been synthesised and used to incorporate boronic acid moieties into peptides (Fig. 1).^{12,16} Carboxybenzyl (Cbz) protected iodotyrosines **3** have also been previously accessed.^{17,18} While these can be used in solution phase synthesis the aforementioned combination of protecting groups are not compatible with fluorenylmethyloxycarbonyl (Fmoc) based solid phase peptide (SPPS) synthesis. For example, **1** and **2** could only be incorporated at the *N*-terminus of an Fmoc SPPS-synthesised peptide sequence and in the case of **2** additional steps would be required to remove the methyl ether after peptide cleavage from the solid support.

The ideal building block for Fmoc SPPS would be Fmoc- and O^t -Bu-protected iodotyrosine **4**, but to the best of our knowledge a synthesis of this amino acid has not yet been reported. Given this we wished to develop a method to access orthogonally protected iodotyrosine (**4**).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2018.05.061

ABSTRACT

Herein the synthesis of an Fmoc/O⁶Bu orthogonally protected iodotyrosine derivative is reported. This has been achieved *via* a simple two-step process in an overall 58% yield from commercially available Fmoc-Tyr(⁶Bu)-OH. The Fmoc/O⁶Bu orthogonally protected iodotyrosine was also shown to be amenable to Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling to deliver a novel bi-aryl tyrosine derivative.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

> Fig. 1. Previously reported *N*-protected iodotyrosines 1–3 and the Fmoc iodotyrosine target of this work, compound 4.

ΩR

3

Results and discussion

To access our desired target **4** we initially applied the iodination conditions previously reported by González and co-workers.^{19,20} Applying their bis(pyridine)iodinium tetrafluoroborate (IPy₂BF₄) mediated reaction conditions to Fmoc-Tyr(^tBu)-OH **5** did lead to

0040-4039/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).







O^tBu

4

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: s.l.cobb@durham.ac.uk (S.L. Cobb).

iodination, but with concomitant removal of the ^tBu group to yield compound **6** in 66% yield (Scheme 1).

The conditions employed by González and co-workers utilised CH_2Cl_2 :TFA (10:1) as the reaction solvent and thus the observed loss of the 'Bu ether was not surprising. In order to combat this, less acidic reaction conditions were sought. This led us to explore the combination of iodine and silver sulfate in methanol which had been previously reported to deliver a range of iodotyrosines in excellent yields but not the desired target **4**.²¹

We found that the reaction of Fmoc-Tyr(t Bu)-OH **5** with iodine (1.2 equiv.) and Ag₂SO₄ (1.2 equiv.) proceeded to give a mixture of the methyl ester of the desired compound **8** in 38% isolated yield and an unwanted t Bu ether deprotected compound **7** in 23% yield. Using 2D NMR techniques on compounds **7** and **8** it was confirmed that iodination had occurred at the 3 position of the aromatic ring. This was corroborated through the attainment of a crystal structure of compound **7** (Fig. 2). The formation of **8** had shown that iod-



Scheme 1. i) lodoination following previously reported conditions by González and co-workers. (Conditions a: **5** (1.0 equiv.), IPy_2BF_4 (1.5 equiv.), CH_2Cl_2 :TFA (10:1), 15 min, rt) and ii) lodination using silver sulfate in methanol (Conditions b: **5** (1.0 equiv.), I_2 (1.2 equiv.), Ag_2SO_4 (1.2 equiv.), MeOH, 1.5 h, rt).

Table 1

Optimisation of the reaction conditions.



Fig. 2. Crystal structure of compound **7** used to confirm that iodination had occurred at the 3 position of the aromatic ring.

ination without the loss of the acid sensitive ^tBu protecting group was possible.

Hydrolysis of the methyl ester **8** to the desired free carboxylic acid **4** was readily achieved through standard lithium hydroxide mediated conditions in 92% yield (Scheme 2). It is also worth noting that methyl ester protection would be required in solution phase Suzuki-Miyaura cross-couplings due to reaction compatibility issues with free carboxylic acids.



Scheme 2. Hydrolysis of methyl ester **8** to the free carboxylic acid **4**. Conditions: **8** (1 equiv.), 0.1 M LiOH (2 equiv.), THF:H₂O (1:1), stir 0 °C 30 min. Stir 30 min at 0 °C upon addition of a further equivalent of 0.1 M LiOH.



Entry	Reaction Conditions	Temp (°C)	Time (h)	Solvent	7 (%) ^b	8 (%) ^b
1	200 mg scale (5) (1 equiv.), Ag ₂ SO ₄ (1.2 equiv.), I ₂ (1.2 equiv.)	rt	1.5	MeOH	23	38
2	200 mg scale (5) (1 equiv.), Ag ₂ SO ₄ (1.2 equiv.), I ₂ (1.2 equiv.)	50	2	MeOH	34	63
3	200 mg scale (5) (1 equiv.), Ag ₂ SO ₄ (0.5 equiv.), I ₂ (1.2 equiv.)	rt	1.5	MeOH	29	26
4	200 mg scale (5) (1 equiv.), Ag ₂ SO ₄ (1.2 equiv.), I ₂ (1.2 equiv.)	rt	1.5	MeCN	-	-
5 ^a	200 mg scale (5) (1 equiv.), Ag ₂ SO ₄ (1.2 equiv.), I ₂ (1.2 equiv.)	50	2	MeCN	-	-
6	200 mg scale (5) (1 equiv.), Ag ₂ CO ₃ (1.2 equiv.), I ₂ (1.2 equiv.)	rt	1.5	MeOH	-	-
7	200 mg scale (5) (1 equiv.), AgNO ₃ (1.2 equiv.), I ₂ (1.2 equiv.)	rt	1.5	MeOH	51	-

^a Reaction was conducted under an inert atmosphere.

^b Isolated yield.

Reaction optimization was then conducted in the hope that the product ratio could be improved further to favour compound 8. Increasing the temperature of the reaction to 50 °C increased the yield of 8 to 63% (Table 1, entry 2). The use of substoichiometic silver was also probed. Reducing the amount of silver sulfate to 0.5 equiv. led to a decrease in the yield of compound 8 (26%) with an overall drop in yield across the reaction (Table 1, entry 3). It was hoped that it would also be possible to suppress methyl ester formation to directly give target 4. Unfortunately when the reaction solvent was changed to acetonitrile, no reaction was observed and the starting material was recovered (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). Finally the identity of the silver salt was altered. Silver carbonate led to no reaction (Table 1, entry 6). Silver nitrate did cause the reaction to proceed but only the undesired compound 7 was isolated in 51% yield (Table 1, entry 7). With this it was concluded that the optimal conditions were silver sulfate (1.2 equiv.) in methanol at 50 °C.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we wished to see if compound **8** could undergo Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling. Previous studies by Hutton and Skaff have demonstrated that iodotyrosines with OBn and OMe protected phenol oxygens are amenable to Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling.²¹ To confirm that a O^tBu protecting group is also compatible in this type of reaction, **8** was reacted with phenylboronic acid using tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium as the catalyst (5 mol%) in the presence of sodium carbonate. The reaction proceeded to give the desired bi-aryl species **9** in 40% yield (Scheme 3). This was a pleasing result considering the steric bulk of the *ortho* ^tBu ether.



Scheme 3. Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling of iodotyrosine **4** to give bi-aryl compound **9**. Conditions: **4** (1 equiv.), phenylboronic acid (2 equiv.), Na₂CO₃ (2 M, 2 equiv.), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5 mol%), THF:toluene (1:1), 80 °C, 19 h.

Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated that an Fmoc SPPS compatible iodotyrosine with an intact ^tBu ether group can be synthesized *via* the iodination of Fmoc-Tyr-(^tBu)-OH **5** under silver-mediated conditions. Following reaction optimisation an isolated 63% yield of compound **8** was obtained. Hydrolysis of this material occurred in 92% yield to give the desired target compound **4**. Therefore, an overall 58% yield of iodotyrosine **4** was obtained in two steps from the commercially available Fmoc-Tyr(^tBu)-OH **5**. In addition **4** was shown to be amenable to Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling to give a novel bi-aryl amino acid **9** in 40% yield. We envisage that both the modified amino acids **4** and **8** will be useful building blocks in the synthesis of peptide natural products.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Science Research Council (HLB [Grant Number EP/L504762/1]) and the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (WDGB [Grant Number BB/P003656/1]). Dr. Juan A. Aguilar is thanked for NMR spectroscopy support. Dr. Dmitry S. Yufit is thanked for help in the collection of X-ray crystal data and solving of the reported crystal structure.

A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2018.05.061.

References

- 1. Rilatt I, Caggiano L, Jackson RFW. Synlett. 2005;2005:2701.
- 2. Brittain WDG, Cobb SL. Org Biomol Chem. 2018;16:10.
- 3. Ferreira PMT, Monteiro LS, Queiroz M-JRP, Pereira G. Amino Acids. 2009;36:429.
- 4. Sabat M, Johnson CR. Org Lett. 2000;2:1089.
- 5. Willemse T, Van Imp K, Goss RJM, et al. ChemCatChem. 2015;7:2055.
- 6. Corr MJ, Sharma SV, Pubill-Ulldemolins C, et al. Chem Sci. 2017;8:2039.
- 7. Qiu X-L, Qing F-L. Eur J Org Chem. 2011;2011:3261.
- 8. March TL, Johnston MR, Duggan PJ, Gardiner J. Chem Biodivers. 2012;9:2410.
- 9. Suzuki R, Yanuma H, Hayashi T, Yamada H, Usuki T. Tetrahedron. 1851;2015:71.
- 10. Ogawa K, Hayashi T, Lin YY, Usuki T. Tetrahedron. 2017;73:3838.
- 11. Lachia M, Moody CJ. Nat Prod Rep. 2008;25:227.
- 12. Boisnard S, Carbonnelle A-C, Zhu J. Org Lett. 2001;3:2061.
- Bois-Choussy M, Cristau P, Zhu J. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2003;42:4238.
 Mendive-Tapia L, Preciado S, García J, Ramón R, Kielland N, Albericio F, Lavilla R. 2015, vol. 6, p. 7160.
- 15. Prieto M, Mayor S, Lloyd-Williams P, Giralt E. J Org Chem. 2009;74:9202.
- Afonso A, Cussó O, Feliu L, Planas M. Eur J Org Chem. 2012;2012:6204.
- Alonso A, Cusso O, Fend L, Planas M. Eur J Org Chem. 2012;2012:02
 Palmer FN, Lach F, Poriel C, et al. Org Biomol Chem. 2005;3:3805.
- Painter FN, Lach F, Pohel C, et al. Org Biomol Chem. 2005;3
 Moschitto MJ, Lewis CA. Eur J Org Chem. 2016;2016:4773.
- Espuna G, Arsequell G, Valencia G, Barluenga J, Perez M, Gonzalez JM. Chem Commun. 2000;1307.
- 20. Espuña G, Arsequell G, Valencia G, et al. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2004;43:325.
- 21. Hutton CA, Skaff O. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003;44:4895.