PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY 2 SPRINGER

Received: September 12, 2019 Accepted: October 19, 2019 PUBLISHED: November 5, 2019

Spectrum of a supersymmetric color superconductor

Antón F. Faedo,^a David Mateos,^{a,b} Christiana Pantelidou^c and Javier Tarrío^d

^aDepartament de Física Quántica i Astrofísica and Institut de Ciències del Cosmos (ICC), Universitat de Barcelona,

Martí i Franquès 1, ES-08028, Barcelona, Spain

- b Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA),
- Passeig Lluís Companys 23, ES-08010, Barcelona, Spain
- c^c Centre for Particle Theory and Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, U.K.
- d Department of Physics and Helsinki Institute of Physics, P.O.Box 64, FIN-00014, University of Helsinki, Finland E-mail: anton.faedo@gmail.com, dmateos@fqa.ub.edu, christiana.pantelidou@durham.ac.uk, javier.tarrio@helsinki.fi

ABSTRACT: We have recently shown that the ground state of $\mathcal{N} = 4$, SU(N_c) super Yang-Mills coupled to $N_f \ll N_c$ flavors, in the presence of non-zero isospin and R-symmetry charges, is a supersymmetric, superfluid, color superconductor. The holographic description consists of N_f D7-brane probes in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ with electric and instantonic fields on their worldvolume. These correspond to fundamental strings and D3-branes dissolved on the D7-branes, respectively. Here we use this description to determine the spectrum of mesonic excitations. As expected for a charged superfluid we find non-relativistic, massless Goldstone modes. We also find extra ungapped modes that are not associated to the breaking of any global symmetries but to the supersymmetric nature of the ground state. If the quark mass is much smaller than the scale of spontaneous symmetry breaking a pseudo-Goldstone boson is also present. We highlight some new features that appear only for $N_f > 2$. We show that, in the generic case of unequal R-symmetry charges, the dissolved strings and D3-branes blow up into a D5-brane supertube stretched between the D7-branes.

Keywords: AdS-CFT Correspondence, Gauge-gravity correspondence, Phase Diagram of QCD

ArXiv ePrint: [1909.00227](https://arxiv.org/abs/1909.00227)

Contents

1 Introduction

The holographic duality [\[1\]](#page-45-0) makes the systematic study of a class of strongly coupled Quantum Field theories (QFT) possible (see e.g. [\[2–](#page-45-1)[4\]](#page-45-2) for some reviews). This framework, also referred to as AdS/CFT or gauge/gravity correspondence, arose in string theory by considering two complementary descriptions of the same system: a quantum gauge theory and a theory of supergravity. The gravitational description of the system provides a geometric interpretation of the gauge theory dynamics by means of the so-called holographic dictionary, a prescription to translate between the QFT and gravity realisations $[5, 6]$ $[5, 6]$. The limit in which the gravitational side of the duality reduces to its classical limit — which we will consider in this work— takes the rank of the gauge group and the 't Hooft coupling to be very large, $N_c \to \infty$ and $\lambda \to \infty$.

In this paper we study a model at finite isospin density and vanishing temperature and baryon number, whose ground state simultaneously exhibits two of the properties that are believed to be present in some phases of QCD (see [\[7\]](#page-45-5) for a review): spontaneous breaking of global symmetries and a Higgsing of the gauge symmetry, usually referred to as color superconductivity. Unlike our setup, in Nature systems that carry a large isospin density also carry a large (in fact, larger) baryon density, for example neutron stars. Another difference with realistic scenarios is that our ground state is $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric. Nevertheless, we expect that our work can provide an interesting laboratory to understand the physics of cold quark matter.

For example, we can develop a first-principle understanding of strongly coupled matter in a regime where results from lattice field theory can be obtained and compared to. Lattice field theory encounters a serious limitation when considering configurations at finite baryon density, due to the infamous fermion sign problem [\[8\]](#page-45-6), but this difficulty is not present if instead of baryon density one considers a finite isospin density [\[9\]](#page-45-7). Independently, supersymmetry can facilitate a precise comparison between the strong-coupling limit described by holography and the weak-coupling regime accessible to perturbative field theory methods.

Incidentally, to the best of our knowledge our system is the first example of a supersymmetric color superconductor at finite density. Previous work on color superconductors in the non-supersymmetric holographic context includes [\[10–](#page-45-8)[13\]](#page-45-9), and non-supersymmetric color superconducting ground states in supersymmetric theories have been considered in e.g. [\[14](#page-45-10)[–16\]](#page-45-11).

The model we consider is very simple yet extremely rich: $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory with $SU(N_c)$ gauge symmetry probed by $N_f \ll N_c$, $\mathcal{N}=2$ hypermultiplets transforming in the fundamental representation of the gauge group. Although this theory differs from Quantum Chromodynamics in many aspects, many insights about the behavior of strongly coupled quark matter were obtained by studying the holographic dual of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory in deconfined phases, be it static properties or far-from-equilibrium dynamics (see e.g. [\[17\]](#page-45-12) and references therein). For this reason, we use QCD nomenclature and refer to the adjoint degrees of freedom in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ as gluons, or colors, and to the degrees of freedom in the fundamental representations as quarks, or flavors, despite the fact that these degrees of freedom come in supermultiplets. We emphasise that at this point we do not aim at providing a model for real-world QCD but a theoretical laboratory in which first-principle calculations may lead to interesting insights [\[18\]](#page-46-0).

The dual gravitational description consists of a set of N_f probe D7-branes (or flavor branes) in the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ geometry sourced by a stack of N_c coincident D3-branes (or color branes) [\[19\]](#page-46-1). The Higgsing of the gauge group is described by an instantonic configuration of the gauge field living on the worldvolume of the flavor branes [\[20](#page-46-2)[–22\]](#page-46-3).

At zero density and $N_f > 1$ this setup has a free parameter, the size of the instanton Λ, corresponding holographically to the scale of the spontaneous symmetry breaking. The presence of the instanton corresponds to D3-brane charge dissolved in the worldvolume of the D7-branes, which breaks the $SU(N_c)$ gauge group. The freedom to choose Λ gives rise to a moduli of equivalent ground states, a Higgs branch parameterised by the vacuum expectation value of a scalar operator bilinear in the flavor hypermultiplets. For $N_f = 1$ there is no Higgs branch since the instanton collapses to zero size. This can be alleviated in the presence of a $U(1)$ baryonic density [\[23\]](#page-46-4), described by a radially-directed electric field on the worldvolume of the D7-brane $[24]$: in the probe approximation the fields living on the flavor-brane feel an effective metric that hides the singularities of the solution behind a horizon, effectively regularising the solution.

We work with $N_f \geq 2$ and introduce a non-Abelian (isospin) finite density. This was first considered, in a non-supersymmetric context, in [\[25–](#page-46-6)[27\]](#page-46-7) for the D3/D7 setup and in [\[28,](#page-46-8) [29\]](#page-46-9) for the Sakai-Sugimoto model. For the solution to be supersymmetric the quarks are necessarily massive, with mass M_a . We restrict our study to the critical case in which the isospin chemical potential has the same magnitude as the quark mass, $\mu_I = M_q$. It is possible to define an Abelian U(1) symmetry under which the instanton is charged, such that one would expect the solution to collapse to zero size. The stabilization mechanism that allows the supersymmetric ground state to exist is a finite angular momentum in the compact directions of the D7-branes. The balance between the force due to the angular momentum and the tendency of the charged instanton to collapse determine uniquely the value of its size Λ . This size is therefore not a modulus in our case.

The ground state of our system breaks spontaneously various global symmetries, such that Goldstone modes are present in the spectrum. On top of this, the presence of a finite chemical potential breaks explicitly the Lorentz group, so we expect the dispersion relations of the Goldstone modes to be non-relativistic. Indeed, we find that at low values of the momentum there are modes with a dispersion relation

$$
\omega = \pm \frac{1}{2\mu_{\rm I}} k^2 \ . \tag{1.1}
$$

When the relation $M_{q} \ll \Lambda$ is satisfied there is a light excitation, a pseudo-Goldstone mode, with mass gap given by

$$
\omega_{\rm gap} = 2M_{\rm q} \tag{1.2}
$$

This pseudo-Goldstone mode appears in the presence of the explicit symmetry-breaking scale M_{q} . The approximate symmetry that is being broken is the scale invariance of the $AdS_5\times S^5$ background. This becomes an exact symmetry when $M_q = 0$, which is then broken spontaneously by Λ . In that case the theory is Lorentz-invariant and one finds the relativistic dispersion relation $\omega = \pm k$ for the associated Goldstone boson.

In addition to the above we find an additional set of ungapped modes that are not Goldstone modes. Their presence is due to the supersymmetric nature of the ground state, which implies the existence of exact moduli that are not associated to any broken global symmetries.

The remaining excitations of our system have a finite life-time. These are characterised by quasifrequencies with a finite imaginary part lying in the lower complex-frequency plane (as necessary for stability). The complex quasifrequencies approach the real frequency axis when $\Lambda \ll M_q$, and the imaginary parts vanish exactly when $\Lambda = 0$. In that case there is no spontaneous symmetry breaking, and one recovers the mesonic spectrum of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SYM coupled to fundamental matter at finite isospin density [\[30,](#page-46-10) [31\]](#page-46-11).

This paper is organised as follows. In section [2](#page-4-0) we introduce a simple model capturing the string theory dynamics in supersymmetric configurations. The solution to this model with flavor group $SU(2)$ was given in [\[32\]](#page-46-12) and is thoroughly reviewed here, with an emphasis on the description of the spontaneous breaking of the local and global symmetries. In section [3](#page-15-0) we proceed to study fluctuations around the supersymmetric solution. We pay special attention to the description of the low-energy excitations of the system. In most of the paper we focus on the case $N_f = 2$. In section [4](#page-24-0) we discuss the generalisation to $N_f > 2$. In section [5](#page-28-0) we show that, under generic circumstances, the strings and the D3branes dissolved inside the D7-branes are "blown up" by the angular momentum to a D5 brane supertube . All other configurations can thus be viewed as collapsed supertubes. In section 6 we present a summary of the physical implications of our results. We complement the main text with several appendices to which we defer technical material.

2 Setup

2.1 Model

The holographic dual of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SU(N_c) SYM theory in four dimensions is type IIB supergravity on the near-horizon geometry of a stack of N_c coincident D3-branes, which source an $AdS_5 \times S^5$ geometry with metric

$$
ds^{2} = H^{-1/2} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + H^{1/2} \left(\delta_{ij} dy^{i} dy^{j} + \delta_{\alpha\beta} dz^{\alpha} dz^{\beta} \right), \qquad (2.1)
$$

where x^{μ} (with $\mu = 0, \cdots, 3$) are the four gauge theory directions, and y^{i} (with $i = 1, \cdots, 4$) and z^{α} (with $\alpha = 1, 2$) are Cartesian coordinates transverse to the D3-branes. Anticipating the setup we split the six transverse coordinates as $\mathbb{R}^6 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. The function H is a harmonic function in the six-dimensional space transverse to the D3-branes

$$
H = \frac{L^4}{\left(\delta_{ij} y^i y^j + \delta_{\alpha\beta} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta}\right)^2},\tag{2.2}
$$

with L the radius of AdS_5 and S^5 , related to the string length ℓ_s and the 't Hooft coupling λ through

$$
L^4 = (2\pi \ell_s^2)^2 \frac{\lambda}{2\pi^2} \ . \tag{2.3}
$$

In IIB supergravity the geometry [\(2.1\)](#page-4-2) is supported by a Ramond-Ramond (RR) four-form potential and a constant dilaton

$$
C_4 = H^{-1} \mathrm{d}x^{1,3}, \qquad e^{\Phi} = 1, \tag{2.4}
$$

	$\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$		\mathbb{R}^4 z^1 z^2		$SO(6)_R$		
D ₃		\bullet . 	\sim \sim	\sim \sim \sim \sim \sim	SO(4)	SO(2)	
D7					$SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$		$U(1)_I \times U(1)_b$
D ₇							

Table 1. Diagram of the intersections and the explicit pattern of symmetry breaking. In our solution the two D7-branes bend in opposite directions in the $z¹$ direction, breaking SO(2).

with $dx^{1,3}$ the volume form of the Minkowski spacetime. The RR four-form potential determines the type IIB self-dual five-form as

$$
F_5 = (1 + \star)dC_4, \qquad (2.5)
$$

where \star is the Hodge-star operator in ten dimensions. The flux of the RR five-form through the $S⁵$ gives the number of D3-branes

$$
\frac{1}{(2\pi\ell_s)^4} \int_{S^5} F_5 = N_c \ . \tag{2.6}
$$

The $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory does not contain any degrees of freedom in the fundamental representation of the gauge group, but these can be included by adding N_f D7-branes on the gravity side, oriented along the x^{μ} - and y^i -directions, as indicated in table [1.](#page-5-0) On the gauge theory side the new degrees of freedom are N_f hypermultiplets in the fundamental representation, to which we will loosely refer as flavor or quarks despite the fact that they include both fermions and scalars. Coupling these fields to the original $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory explicitly breaks supersymmetry down to $\mathcal{N} = 2$. We will therefore refer to the resulting theory simply as "the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory".

The inclusion of the quarks in the model also breaks the R-symmetry group explicitly as $SO(6)_{R} \to SO(4) \times SO(2)$. On the gravity side the breaking is due to the splitting of \mathbb{R}^{6} induced by the orientation of the D7-branes. The remaining $SO(4)$ and $SO(2)$ factors act as rotations in the y^i - and z^{α} -directions, respectively. For our purposes it will be convenient to view the rotation group acting on the y^i -directions as $SO(4) = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$, where $SU(2)_R$ is the R-charge symmetry group of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory and $SU(2)_L$ is a global symmetry that does not act on the $\mathcal{N}=2$ supercharges. On the gravity side this is made explicit by writing the metric in the y^i -space in spherical coordinates as

$$
\delta_{ij} \mathrm{d}y^i \mathrm{d}y^j = \mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2 \,\delta_{ab} \,\omega^a \omega^b \,, \tag{2.7}
$$

with ω^a ($a = 1, 2, 3$) the left-invariant one-forms on S³ satisfying

$$
d\omega^a + \epsilon^{abc}\omega^b \wedge \omega^c = 0.
$$
 (2.8)

As indicated by their name, the ω^a are invariant under $SU(2)_L$, and they transform as a triplet under $SU(2)_R$.

In the regime $N_f \ll N_c$ the flavor degrees of freedom can be treated as probes of the color-dominated dynamics. On the supergravity side this means that the backreaction of the D7-branes on the geometry (2.1) can be ignored [\[19\]](#page-46-1). The embedding of the D7-branes will be specified by their positions in the z^{α} -plane. If the branes are all coincident and located at the origin of the plane then the $SO(2)$ symmetry is preserved. In addition, the flavor symmetry of the gauge theory, which is realised as the non-Abelian gauge symmetry on the worldvolume of the D7-branes, is

$$
U(N_f) = SU(N_f) \times U(1)_b. \tag{2.9}
$$

The Abelian factor on the right-hand side can be thought of as the symmetry associated to baryon charge, which will always be unbroken in this paper. Except in section [4,](#page-24-0) in the rest of the paper we will set the number of flavors to

$$
N_{\rm f}=2\,. \tag{2.10}
$$

In generic cases, including those of interest here, the branes will have non-trivial profiles in the z^{α} -plane. Under these circumstances $SO(2)$ is broken to at most a discrete subgroup, and the flavor symmetry is broken to an Abelian subgroup:

$$
SU(2)_f \to U(1)_I. \tag{2.11}
$$

We will refer to the charge under $U(1)_I$ as isospin charge. Recall that on the D7-branes the symmetries in [\(2.11\)](#page-6-1) are gauge symmetries. In the case under consideration of two D7-branes that bend in a generic way in the z^{α} -plane, each D7-brane carries a U(1) gauge symmetry on its worldvolume. The surviving $U(1)$ _b and $U(1)$ _I symmetries are then simply the diagonal and the off-diagonal combinations of the two $U(1)$'s on the branes, respectively.

2.2 Action

The action describing the dynamics of $N_f = 2$ D7-branes embedded in AdS₅ \times S⁵ is given by two pieces,

$$
S_{\text{D7s}} = S_{\text{DBI}} + S_{\text{WZ}} , \qquad (2.12)
$$

where the non-Abelian Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) and Wess-Zumino actions (WZ) adapted to our system read [\[33,](#page-46-13) [34\]](#page-46-14)

$$
S_{\text{DBI}} = -T_7 \int d^4x \, d^4y \, e^{-\Phi} \operatorname{Str} \left[\sqrt{-\det \left(g_{MN} + \mathcal{P}[H]^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{\alpha\beta} D_{(M} Z^{\alpha} D_{N)} Z^{\beta} + F_{MN} \right)} V(Z) \right],
$$

\n
$$
S_{\text{WZ}} = \frac{T_7}{2} \int \operatorname{Str} \left(C_4 \wedge F \wedge F \right).
$$
\n(2.13)

 T_7 is the tension of a D7-brane and, unless otherwise indicated, in this paper we set $2\pi l_s^2 = 1$, so all quantities are effectively dimensionless. The indices $M, N = 0, \cdots, 7$ are worldvolume indices on the D7-branes and collectively denote the x^{μ} and y^{i} directions. The non-Abelian gauge field A takes values in the Lie algebra of $SU(2)_f$. Thus, suppressing spacetime indices, we can write $A = A_{\hat{a}} \sigma^{\hat{a}}$, with $\sigma^{\hat{a}}$ ($\hat{a} = 1, 2, 3$) the Pauli matrices. Note that in this paper the component of the gauge field along the generator of the baryonic $U(1)$ _b symmetry is assumed to vanish. In other words, we will work at non-zero isospin density but zero baryon density. A enters the action through the field strength

$$
F = dA + i A \wedge A \tag{2.14}
$$

and the covariant derivatives

$$
DZ^{\alpha} = dZ^{\alpha} + i [A, Z^{\alpha}]
$$
\n(2.15)

for the scalars Z^{α} . These are also $SU(2)_{f}$ -valued, $Z^{\alpha} = Z_{\hat{a}}^{\alpha} \sigma^{\hat{a}}$, and describe the (in general non-commuting) positions of the branes in the z^{α} -directions. The non-Abelian nature of the action leads to the presence of the potential term [\[34\]](#page-46-14)

$$
V(Z) = \sqrt{1 - \mathcal{P}[H] [Z^1, Z^2]^2} . \tag{2.16}
$$

The symmetrised-trace over the flavor group, Str, allows one to treat the non-Abelian structures as effectively commuting in the action [\[33,](#page-46-13) [34\]](#page-46-14). The metric g_{MN} in the action is given by

$$
ds^{2} = \mathcal{P}[H]^{-1/2} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + \mathcal{P}[H]^{1/2} \delta_{ij} dy^{i} dy^{j}, \qquad (2.17)
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{P}[H] = \frac{L^4}{\left(\delta_{ij}\,y^i y^j + \delta_{\alpha\beta}\,Z^{\alpha} Z^{\beta}\right)^2} \tag{2.18}
$$

the pull-back of the harmonic function (2.2) to the worldvolume.

As emphasised by the authors of [\[33,](#page-46-13) [34\]](#page-46-14) themselves, the action [\(2.13\)](#page-6-2) is known to be incomplete beyond $\mathcal{O}(\ell_s^4)$. However, it seems to capture the exact physics for supersymmetric configurations [\[35,](#page-46-15) [36\]](#page-46-16). Moreover, for such configurations the equations of motion obtained from (2.13) reduce to those obtained from its lowest-order approximation, namely from the super-Yang-Mills-Higgs-like (SYMH) action

$$
S = -\frac{T_7}{2} \int \operatorname{Str}\left(F \wedge *F - \mathcal{P}[H]^{-1} dx^{1,3} \wedge F \wedge F\right)
$$

$$
-\frac{T_7}{2} \int \operatorname{Str}\left(\mathcal{P}[H]^{1/2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} DZ^{\alpha} \wedge *DZ^{\beta} - \mathcal{P}[H] \left[Z^1, Z^2\right]^2 * 1\right),
$$
 (2.19)

where $*$ is the eight-dimensional Hodge-star dual with respect to the metric (2.17) and the potential for the Z^{α} scalars comes from expanding [\(2.16\)](#page-7-2). In appendix [A](#page-31-0) we prove that, indeed, the equations of motion that follow from (2.13) and (2.19) coincide with one another when evaluated on the type of supersymmetric configurations that we will consider. We will therefore work with (2.19) instead of (2.13) .

2.3 Ansatz

We will seek solutions that are stationary and homogeneous along the gauge theory spatial directions, thus effectively reducing the dynamics to 4+1 dimensions in the $\{t, y^i\}$ directions. Moreover, we will be interested in configurations that are a direct import of the five-dimensional dyonic instanton of SYMH theory in flat space [\[37\]](#page-46-17). As such, only one of the two scalar fields will be excited

$$
Z^1 \equiv Z(y), \qquad Z^2 = 0. \tag{2.20}
$$

This explicitly breaks the $SO(2)$ symmetry of the action (2.19) associated to rotating the Z^{α} into one another. For configurations obeying [\(2.20\)](#page-8-0) the potential for the Z^{α} scalars in [\(2.19\)](#page-7-3) vanishes identically. Furthermore, as in [37], we will take

$$
A_t(y) = Z(y), \qquad F_{ij}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} F^{kl}(y).
$$
 (2.21)

The first condition in [\(2.21\)](#page-8-1) ensures that the non-Abelian electric field equals the covariant derivative of the scalar

$$
E_i = D_i Z. \t\t(2.22)
$$

The second equation is the usual self-duality condition of the magnetic part of the field strength, which gives rise to instantonic configurations. The first condition together with Gauss' law, $DE = 0$, implies that the scalar field obeys a covariant Laplace equation in the background of an instanton in \mathbb{R}^4 :

$$
\delta^{ij} D_i D_j Z(y) = 0. \tag{2.23}
$$

Solutions of these equations preserve $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry. This is the key property behind the exact nature of these configurations. In the particular case $Z = 0$, refs. [\[20\]](#page-46-2) and [\[38\]](#page-47-0) showed that corrections at leading order and to all orders in ℓ_s , respectively, vanish identically. Ref. [\[39\]](#page-47-1) showed that configurations with possibly non-zero Z are solutions of the action (2.13) in flat space. In appendix [A](#page-31-0) we show that these remain solutions of both (2.13) and (2.19) even in the case in which the background is $AdS_5 \times S^5$.

In most of this paper we will focus on solutions that preserve the $SU(2)_L$ global symmetry. In this case all the angular dependence is captured by the left-invariant one-forms ω^a introduced in [\(2.7\)](#page-5-1). Under these circumstances the set of solutions that we will be interested in may be described with the following ansatz for the different fields:

$$
Z = \phi(r) \sigma^3, \qquad A = a_t(r) dt \otimes \sigma^3 + a(r) \delta_{a\hat{a}} w^a \otimes \sigma^{\hat{a}}.
$$
 (2.24)

Note that, in expressions like the one above, we will distinguish between indices $a = 1, 2, 3$ that are acted upon by $SU(2)_R$ and indices $\hat{a} = 1, 2, 3$ that are acted upon by $SU(2)_f$. Splitting the field strength into purely electric and purely magnetic components,

$$
F = dt \wedge E + F_{\text{mag}}\,,\tag{2.25}
$$

this ansatz leads to the following result:

$$
E = -\phi' \, \mathrm{d}r \otimes \sigma^3 + 2 \, \phi \, a \, \delta_{a \, \hat{a}} \, \epsilon^{ab3} \, \omega^b \otimes \sigma^{\hat{a}} \,,
$$

\n
$$
F_{\text{mag}} = a' \delta_{a \, \hat{a}} \, \mathrm{d}r \wedge \omega^a \otimes \sigma^{\hat{a}} - a \left(1 + a\right) \delta_{a \, \hat{a}} \, \epsilon^{abc} \, \omega^b \wedge \omega^c \otimes \sigma^{\hat{a}} \,,
$$
\n
$$
(2.26)
$$

where \prime denotes differentiation with respect to r .

We have aligned the scalar field, and by virtue of (2.21) also the time component of the gauge field, with the third generator of $SU(2)_f$. As anticipated above, solutions with non-zero $\phi(r)$ will break this symmetry explicitly as described in [\(2.11\)](#page-6-1). The authors of [\[37\]](#page-46-17) used the preserved $U(1)_I$ to define the electric charge of the instanton as

$$
Q = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{M_{\rm q}} \int_{S^3} r^3 \, \text{tr}\left(ZE_r\right) \propto \Lambda^2 M_{\rm q} \,,\tag{2.27}
$$

where the integral is taken over the three-sphere of radius r in eq. [\(2.7\)](#page-5-1) and Λ and M_q are constants of integration to be introduced shortly.

The second term in A, proportional to $a(r)$, gives rise to the purely magnetic part of the field strength. In fact, a non-trivial $a(r)$ has two important consequences. First, it implies that the D7-branes carry an instanton number given by

$$
k = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \text{tr} F_{\text{mag}} \wedge F_{\text{mag}} = -\left[3a^2 + 2a^3\right]_{r=0}^{r=\infty}.
$$
 (2.28)

In turn, this results in the partial breaking of the color symmetry in the gauge theory. Second, a non-trivial $a(r)$ locks the triplet of $SU(2)_R$ one-forms ω^a to the triplet of $SU(2)_f$ generators $\sigma^{\hat{a}}$, thus also breaking some global symmetries. Both of these breakings will be explained in detail in section [2.6.](#page-13-1)

Note that the expressions (2.27) and (2.28) are appropriate when we view the above construction as a dyonic instanton in flat $\mathbb{R}^{1,4}$. However, we will see below that these same expressions arise in the context of the eight-dimensional theory on the D7-branes in a curved $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background.

2.4 Solution

The BPS equations (2.21) for our ansatz (2.24) become

$$
a_t = \phi, \qquad \phi'' + \frac{3}{r}\phi' - \frac{8a^2}{r^2}\phi = 0, \qquad a' + \frac{2}{r}a(1+a) = 0,
$$
 (2.29)

whose solution of interest to us is

$$
a_t(r) = \phi(r) = M_q \frac{r^2}{r^2 + \Lambda^2},
$$
\n(2.30a)

$$
a(r) = -\frac{\Lambda^2}{r^2 + \Lambda^2},
$$
\n(2.30b)

with M_q and Λ constants of integration. This solution has instanton number $k = 1$.

The physical interpretation of the solution is as follows. The fact that $Z(r)$ is proportional to σ^3 , which is diagonal with entries ± 1 , means that the branes bend in opposite directions along the z^1 -axis with otherwise identical profiles, as shown in figure [1.](#page-10-0) The gauge theory operator dual to the scalar field has $\Delta = 3$ and takes the schematic form [\[19\]](#page-46-1)

$$
Z = \phi \sigma^3 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{O} \sim \overline{\Psi} \sigma^3 \Psi \sim \overline{u}u - \overline{d}d, \tag{2.31}
$$

where $\Psi = (u, d)^T$ and u, d are the fermionic fields corresponding to the two quark flavors. In this expression we omitted squark contributions that can be found in [\[24\]](#page-46-5). Notice that

Figure 1. Embedding profile of the D7-branes in the z^1 -direction for $\Lambda = M_q$ (dashed, red curve) and $\Lambda = M_{q}/10$ (continuous, blue curve). We have extended the range of the radial coordinate to negative values to represent a section of the solid of revolution in the y^i directions.

the σ^3 appearing in [\(2.31\)](#page-9-3) and [\(2.35\)](#page-10-1) corresponds to the SU(2)_f symmetry, not SU(2)_R. An analogous expression holds with σ^3 replaced by the identity matrix. In view of this, the asymptotic behavior at large r

$$
\phi(r) \simeq M_{\rm q} - \frac{M_{\rm q} \Lambda^2}{r^2} + \cdots \tag{2.32}
$$

has two immediate consequences. First, the constant M_q corresponds to the quark mass [\[19\]](#page-46-1). To be precise, the quark mass is a complex number and we see that the masses of the u and d quarks are equal in magnitude but have opposite phases. Second, the corresponding quark condensates take the form

$$
\langle \overline{\Psi} \sigma^3 \Psi \rangle = \langle \overline{u}u - \overline{d}d \rangle \propto -M_q \Lambda^2, \qquad (2.33a)
$$

$$
\langle \overline{\Psi}\Psi \rangle = \langle \overline{u}u + \overline{d}d \rangle = 0, \qquad (2.33b)
$$

or, equivalently:

$$
\langle \overline{u}u \rangle = -\langle \overline{d}d \rangle \propto -M_{q}\Lambda^{2}.
$$
 (2.34)

The operator dual to the time component of the gauge field has $\Delta = 3$ and reads schematically [\[24\]](#page-46-5)

$$
a_t = \phi \,\sigma^3 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad J_t \sim \Psi^\dagger \sigma^3 \Psi \sim n_{\rm u} - n_{\rm d} \,. \tag{2.35}
$$

We have again omitted squark contributions that can be found in $[24]$, and an analogous formula holds with σ^3 replaced by the identity matrix. Therefore the asymptotic behavior at large r

$$
a_t(r) \simeq M_\mathrm{q} - \frac{M_\mathrm{q}\Lambda^2}{r^2} + \cdots \tag{2.36}
$$

implies that the isospin chemical potential and the isospin, the u and the d charge densities are given by [\[24,](#page-46-5) [40\]](#page-47-2)

$$
\mu_{\rm I} = M_{\rm q} \,,\tag{2.37a}
$$

$$
n_{\rm I} = n_{\rm u} = -n_{\rm d} \propto -M_{\rm q} \Lambda^2. \tag{2.37b}
$$

Finally, the operators dual to the magnetic components of the gauge field along the $S³$ have $\Delta = 2$ and are given by [\[30\]](#page-46-10)

$$
A_a = a(r) \, \delta_{a\,\hat{a}} \sigma^{\hat{a}} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_a = \psi^{\dagger} \sigma^a \psi \,, \tag{2.38}
$$

where ψ is the scalar superpartner of Ψ and contains the squark components. Note that, unlike in (2.31) and (2.35) , in (2.38) the Pauli matrix on the right-hand side acts on the $SU(2)_R$ quantum numbers, not on the $SU(2)_f$ ones. It follows that the generic asymptotic behavior of the $a(r)$ field takes the form

$$
a \simeq -\frac{v}{r^2} + \frac{s}{r^2} \log[r] + \cdots, \qquad (2.39)
$$

where s and v are the non-normalisable and the normalisable modes, dual to the source and the vacuum expectation of \mathcal{O}_a , respectively. In our case we see from (2.30) that the source vanishes and the VEV is given by the size of the instanton:

$$
v \sim \Lambda^2. \tag{2.40}
$$

We will show below that this is consistent with the fact that the non-trivial $a(r)$ in our solutions breaks the color symmetry of the gauge theory spontaneously but not explicitly. If $M_q = \mu_I = 0$ this is familiar from the fact that in this case the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory possesses a Higgs branch of vacua and Λ is an exact modulus. In contrast, we will now see that in our case Λ is fixed by other charges.

We begin by noting that the presence of crossed electric and magnetic fields in the solution generates an angular momentum density. Inspection of the Poynting vector produced by these fields shows that the angular momentum density is aligned with the Cartan $U(1)_L$ subgroup of the $SU(2)$ _L preserved by our ansatz [\[41\]](#page-47-3). In particular, this means that the angular momentum skew-symmetric two-form is self-dual with two identical skew-eigenvalues

$$
J \equiv J_1 = J_2. \tag{2.41}
$$

In our description the angular momentum arises as the conserved charge associated to the isometries of the $S^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ and n_1 and n_2 are the corresponding angular momenta in two orthogonal planes of \mathbb{R}^4 . From the viewpoint of the dual gauge theory, this corresponds to equal R-charge densities n_1 and n_2 along two of the three U(1) factors in the Cartan subalgebra of the SO(6) R-symmetry of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM [\[42](#page-47-4)[–44\]](#page-47-5)

$$
n_{\rm R} \equiv n_1 = n_2 \,,\tag{2.42}
$$

with

$$
n_{\rm R} \propto J \,. \tag{2.43}
$$

In order to compute these charges, let ξ be the Killing vector associated to the U(1)_L encoded in the left-invariant form ω_3 . Then the current $J_N = \xi^M T_{MN}$ is covariantly conserved, with T_{MN} the energy-momentum tensor generated by the fields on the flavor branes. Integrating over the spatial volume gives the conserved angular momentum

$$
J = \int_{\Sigma} n^N J_N \, d\Sigma \,, \tag{2.44}
$$

with $n^N = H^{1/4} \delta_t^N$ the unit normal to the constant-time slices Σ , whose volume element we denote $d\Sigma$. A calculation then shows that the only non-vanishing component is

$$
J = \int_{\Sigma} n^t T_{t3} \xi^3 d\Sigma \propto \int H^{1/4} \operatorname{tr} F_t^M F_{M3} d\Sigma \propto \int_0^\infty r^3 \left[a' \phi' + \frac{8}{r^2} a^2 \phi (1 + a) \right] dr \propto M_q \Lambda^2.
$$
\n(2.45)

The third term in this expression makes it clear that the angular momentum is an integral of crossed electric and magnetic fields, as expected from the Poynting vector. We have omitted factors of the D7-brane tension for simplicity, as well as an overall (infinite) threevolume factor along the gauge theory directions. In other words, the result [\(2.45\)](#page-12-0) yields the angular momentum density per unit three-volume along the spatial \vec{x} -directions in [\(2.1\)](#page-4-2). The crucial point is that in (2.45) all the factors of H, the harmonic function (2.2) , cancel out exactly, so the result essentially reduces to its flat space counterpart. This property is a typical manifestation of the underlying supersymmetry preserved by the ground state of the system.

The analysis above shows that, up to normalisation, all charge densities in the system are comparable since they all scale as

$$
n_{\rm I} \sim n_{\rm R} \sim J \sim Q \sim M_{\rm q} \Lambda^2 \sim \mu_{\rm I} \Lambda^2. \tag{2.46}
$$

Moreover, we see that the size of the instanton is not a free parameter but is actually given by

$$
\Lambda^2 \propto \frac{n_{\rm R}}{\mu_{\rm I}}.\tag{2.47}
$$

This can be understood in simple mechanical terms [\[41\]](#page-47-3): the non-vanishing angular momentum $J \sim n_R$ prevents the instanton from collapsing to zero size despite the fact that the non-Abelian gauge symmetry on the D7-branes is broken to an abelian subgroup as described in [\(2.11\)](#page-6-1). Alternatively, the stability of the solution can be understood [\[37\]](#page-46-17) from the dyonic nature of the instanton, namely from the fact that it is precisely the breaking of the gauge symmetry to an Abelian subgroup that allows for the definition of the electric charge of the instanton via the projection [\(2.27\)](#page-9-1).

To close this circle of ideas it is interesting to compute the total energy of the D7 branes, since this will bring about a covariant expression for the electric charge [\(2.27\)](#page-9-1). In this case we contract the stress tensor with the timelike killing vector in the geometry $\xi = \partial_t$ to obtain

$$
\mathcal{E} = \int_{\Sigma} n^t T_{tt} \xi^t d\Sigma \propto \int d^4 y \, \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{4} \delta^{ij} \delta^{kl} F_{ik} F_{jl} + \delta^{ij} E_i E_j \right) , \qquad (2.48)
$$

where again we have omitted an overall three-volume factor and, crucially, all factors of H have cancelled out. Using self-duality of the solution, the first term is proportional to the instanton charge [\(2.28\)](#page-9-2). The second term is the energy stored in the electric field. Using [\(2.22\)](#page-8-3) and integrating by parts this evaluates to

$$
\int d^4y \operatorname{tr} \delta^{ij} E_i E_j \propto \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{S^3} r^3 \operatorname{tr} (ZE_r) \propto M_\mathrm{q}^2 \Lambda^2 = M_\mathrm{q} Q. \tag{2.49}
$$

Thus the energy can be written exclusively in terms of conserved charges as

$$
\mathcal{E} \sim k + M_{\rm q} Q \,, \tag{2.50}
$$

showing that it saturates a BPS bound [\[37\]](#page-46-17).

2.5 Infrared limit

In this limit $r \to 0$ the fields approach the values

$$
a_t = \phi \to 0, \qquad a \to -1. \tag{2.51}
$$

Under these circumstances the metric on the D7-branes approaches $AdS_5 \times S^5$ with the same radius as in the UV and the non-Abelian field strength tends to zero. This configuration describes a fixed point and is actually a solution by itself, i.e. it can be detached from the RG flow that ends on it. The fact that the IR radius is the same as in the UV would seem to suggest that the physics near the IR fixed point is the same near its UV counterpart. However, this is not the case because the IR value $a = -1$ is physically inequivalent to the UV value $a = 0$, since the two configurations differ by a large gauge transformation [\[31\]](#page-46-11). This can be seen by considering fluctuations of the different fields around the $a = -1$ solution. At quadratic order, the masses of these fluctuations reveal that the time-component of the gauge field, a_t , and the scalar, Z, are dual to $\Delta = 5$ operators [\[38\]](#page-47-0), whereas the field a is dual to a $\Delta = 6$ operator. These dimensions imply that the generic behavior of fluctuations around the IR fixed point is

$$
\delta a_t = \delta \phi(r) \simeq v_5 r^{-4} + s_5 r^2, \qquad \delta a(r) \simeq v_6 r^{-6} + s_6 r^2, \tag{2.52}
$$

where s_{Δ} and v_{Δ} stand for the source and VEV of the operator with dimension Δ . The fall-off in the IR of the fields in our solution takes the form

$$
a_t(r) = \phi(r) \simeq 0 + \frac{M_a}{\Lambda^2} r^2, \qquad a(r) = -1 + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} r^2. \tag{2.53}
$$

Comparing with [\(2.52\)](#page-13-2) we see that the VEVs vanish along our RG flow, which therefore describes a deformation of the IR fixed point by irrelevant operators.

2.6 Spontaneous symmetry breaking

In section [2.1](#page-4-1) we discussed the explicit breaking of symmetries, first by coupling the original $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory to dynamical quarks, and then by the non-zero mass of these quarks in the resulting $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory. In this section we will discuss the spontaneous breaking of some of the remaining symmetries by the presence of the instanton field.

Figure 2. Effective number of D3-branes on the D7-branes within a sphere of radius r — see [\(2.56\)](#page-14-0) — for $\Lambda/M_a = \{1/10, 1, 10\}$ (solid blue, dashed red and dotted green curves, respectively).

Let us first consider the color symmetry of the gauge theory. This is broken spontaneously as

$$
SU(N_c + 1) \to SU(N_c) \times U(1) \tag{2.54}
$$

due to the dissolution of a unit of D3-brane charge inside the D7-branes. Intuitively, one can understand this from the fact that the dissolved D3-brane has been separated from the rest, hence breaking or Higgsing the color gauge group. This breaking is spontaneous because the instanton field is normalisable. In other words, the dissolution of the D3-branes on the D7-branes is not forced by an external force for the instanton field but is induced by the other charges in the system. This is apparent from (2.47) , which shows that the instanton size is not an independent quantity but is fixed by the other charges. The fact that the instanton field carries D3-charge follows simply from the coupling

$$
\int C_4 \wedge \text{tr}(F \wedge F) \tag{2.55}
$$

on the worldvolume of the D7-branes, which shows that the instanton density couples to C_4 in the same way that D3-branes do. As a consequence of the extended nature of this density, the effective number of D3-branes in our solution contained within a sphere of radius r on the D7-branes is given by — cf. eq. (2.28) :

$$
N_c(r) = N_c - \left[3a^2 + 2a^3\right]_0^r = N_c + 1 - 3a(r)^2 - 2a(r)^3.
$$
 (2.56)

The quantity $N_c(r) - N_c$ is plotted in figure [2.](#page-14-1)

The instanton field also breaks spontaneously part of the global symmetries as

$$
SU(2)_{R} \times U(1)_{I} \to U(1)_{D}, \qquad (2.57)
$$

where the group on the right-hand side is the diagonal $U(1)$ in the Cartan subalgebra of the left-hand side. This breaking can be seen geometrically in two steps. First, in the presence

of the isospin electric field, the magnetic components that are turned on by the instanton generate a self-dual angular momentum in \mathbb{R}^4 given by [\(2.45\)](#page-12-0). Generically, a self-dual twoform in \mathbb{R}^4 is invariant only under the $SU(2)_{L} \times U(1)_{R}$ subgroup of $SO(4)$. In other words, it breaks $SU(2)_{R} \rightarrow U(1)_{R}$. In our case this manifests itself in the fact that the Poynting vector distinguishes between the ω^3 and the $\omega^{1,2}$ forms that enter the ansatz [\(2.24\)](#page-8-2), thus breaking $SU(2)_R$ to the $U(1)_R$ subgroup that rotates $\omega^{1,2}$ into one another. Second, the further breaking

$$
\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{R}} \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{I}} \to \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{D}} \tag{2.58}
$$

implied by [\(2.57\)](#page-14-2) is due to the fact that only simultaneous rotations of ω^a and $\sigma^{\hat{a}}$ leave the last term in [\(2.24\)](#page-8-2) invariant. This is similar to the breaking $\rm SU(2)^{}_R \times SU(2)^{}_f \rightarrow SU(2)^{}_D$ that takes place on the Higgs branch in the absence of the isospin electric field (see e.g. [\[31\]](#page-46-11)). Intuitively, it is simply due to the fact that an instanton configuration in \mathbb{R}^4 is only invariant under simultaneous $\mathrm{SU(2)}_R$ rotations in space and $\mathrm{SU(2)}_f$ large gauge transformations that act on the orientation modes of the instanton. This is a well known property that is crucial for the correct counting of the number of instanton zero modes.

3 Spectrum

In this section we will investigate the spectrum of mesonic excitations around the ground state that we have described above. To do so we will consider linear fluctuations around the solution. For simplicity, we will assume that their dynamics is governed by the SYMH action (2.19) instead of the action (2.13) . Although this may affect some quantitative details of the spectrum, we expect that the qualitative properties will be the same, in particular those related to Goldstone modes.

In principle, fluctuations can depend on all the coordinates of the D7-branes, $\{t, \vec{x}, r, \theta, \phi, \psi\}$, with $\{\theta, \phi, \psi\}$ the angles of the S^3 . However, instead of working with generic dependence on these angles, we will restrict the analysis to the angular dependence encoded in the left-invariant one-forms ω^a that we used in the ansatz for the back-ground [\(2.24\)](#page-8-2). This amounts to a restriction to the $SU(2)_L$ -invariant sector of the spectrum. In particular, this means that the fluctuations that we will consider will keep the centre of the instanton at the origin of AdS_5 , only allowing for fluctuations of its size Λ . In section [3.4](#page-22-0) we will comment on how to relax this restriction.

Including the fluctuations, we consider the following form for the scalar fields

$$
Z_1 = \phi(r)\,\sigma^3 + \zeta_{\hat{a}}(t,\vec{x},r)\,\sigma^{\hat{a}}, \qquad Z_2 = \beta_{\hat{a}}(t,\vec{x},r)\,\sigma^{\hat{a}}, \tag{3.1}
$$

and for the gauge field

$$
A = a_t(r)dt \otimes \sigma^3 + a(r)\delta_{a\hat{a}}w^a \otimes \sigma^{\hat{a}}
$$

+
$$
\left[\alpha_{\mu\hat{a}}(t,\vec{x},r) dx^{\mu} + \alpha_{r\hat{a}}(t,\vec{x},r) dr + \alpha_{a\hat{a}}(t,\vec{x},r) w^a\right] \otimes \sigma^{\hat{a}}.
$$
 (3.2)

Note that we have focused on fluctuations inside $SU(2)$ _f and have omitted those along the U(1)_b subgroup of U(2)_f, which would be proportional to σ^0 . From now on we fix the

	$\alpha_{\mu 1} \pm i \alpha_{\mu 2} \quad \alpha_{\pm} \quad \hat{\alpha}_{\pm} \quad \alpha_{31} \pm i \alpha_{32} \quad \alpha_{13} \pm i \alpha_{23} \quad \beta_1 \pm i \beta_2 \quad \zeta_1 \pm i \zeta_2 \quad \alpha_{\mu 3}$					
	一土					
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{R}}$						
gauge	$\lambda_{1,2}$		1.2	.12	1.2	

Table 2. Charges (divided by two) of the fluctuations under the relevant Abelian global symmetries.

holographic gauge

$$
\alpha_{r\hat{a}} = 0. \tag{3.3}
$$

We also work in momentum space and use the $SO(3)$ rotations along the gauge theory spatial directions to align the momentum k with one of these coordinates, which we denote simply as x. The fluctuations split into seven different channels according to their behavior with respect to large gauge transformations and to the global symmetry group $SO(2)\times U(1)_D$, where $SO(2)$ is the little group with respect to the momentum. The charges of the different fluctuations (divided by 2 to simplify the notation) under the $U(1)_I$ and $U(1)_R$ groups are given in table [2,](#page-16-0) where we have defined

$$
\alpha_{\pm} = \alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22} \pm i(\alpha_{12} - \alpha_{21}), \qquad \widehat{\alpha}_{\pm} = \alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22} \pm i(\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{21}). \tag{3.4}
$$

Residual gauge transformations that preserve the condition [\(3.3\)](#page-16-1) take the form

$$
A \to A + d\lambda + [A, \lambda], \quad Z^{\alpha} \to Z^{\alpha} + [Z^{\alpha}, \lambda]
$$
 (3.5)

with

$$
\lambda = \lambda_{\hat{a}}(t, \vec{x}) \,\sigma^{\hat{a}} \tag{3.6}
$$

Note that these are large gauge transformations because the gauge parameter λ does not approach the identity at the boundary, since it is r -independent. Explicitly, the action of these transformations on the background fields reads

$$
d\lambda_{\hat{a}} = (-i \omega dt + i k dx) e^{-i\omega t + ikx} \lambda_{\hat{a}},
$$

\n
$$
[A_{\text{b.g.}}, \lambda]_{\hat{a}} = 2 \left(-a_t(r) \delta_{a\hat{a}} \delta_{b\hat{b}} \epsilon^{ab3} dt + a(r) \delta_{c\hat{c}} \delta_{\hat{a}\hat{d}} \epsilon^{\hat{d}\hat{c}\hat{b}} \omega^c \right) e^{-i\omega t + ikx} \lambda_{\hat{b}},
$$
\n
$$
[Z_{\text{b.g.}}^1, \lambda]_{\hat{a}} = -2 \phi(r) \delta_{a\hat{a}} \delta_{b\hat{b}} \epsilon^{ab3} e^{-i\omega t + ikx} \lambda_{\hat{b}}.
$$
\n(3.7)

These expressions show that some combinations of fluctuations can be generated by acting on the background solution with a large gauge transformation. This is summarised in the last row of table [2.](#page-16-0) For example, the entries with a λ_3 mean that if $\lambda_3 \neq 0$ then acting on the background (2.24) with the gauge transformation (3.5) generates a new background with non-zero terms of the form parameterised by α_{\pm} and $\alpha_{\mu 3}$ in [\(3.2\)](#page-15-1).

The SO(2) scalars α_{33} , β_3 and ζ_3 are not included in the table because they are neutral under both $U(1)_I$ and $U(1)_R$ and they cannot be generated from the background by a residual gauge transformation.

A general and detailed discussion of all the different channels, including the asymptotic behavior of the fields and our numerical procedure to solve their equations of motion, is given in appendix [C.](#page-39-0) In contrast, in the next sections we will focus on some particularly interesting sectors.

3.1 Goldstone modes

The spontaneous breaking of the global symmetries [\(2.57\)](#page-14-2) suggests that the spectrum should contain ungapped, Goldstone modes. The channels where we expect them to arise can be identified by considering the action of the broken generators on the ground state that breaks them. For infinitesimal transformations this action should generate a family of inequivalent ground states with the same energy that differ from the original one by a small perturbation. At zero energy and zero momentum, this perturbation is normalisable and corresponds precisely to the ungapped mode.

In our case, the group $SU(2)_R \times U(1)_I$ acts as

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega^3 \end{pmatrix} \to \mathbf{R}_1(\vartheta) \cdot \mathbf{R}_2(\varphi) \cdot \mathbf{R}_3(\psi) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \\ \omega^3 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^1 \\ \sigma^2 \\ \sigma^3 \end{pmatrix} \to \mathbf{R}_3(\delta) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^1 \\ \sigma^2 \\ \sigma^3 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.8}
$$

In this expression the angles ϑ , φ , ψ and δ can depend on the spacetime coordinates t, x, r and R_i is a 3×3 rotation matrix around the *i*-th axis. For example, $R_3(\psi)$ rotates ω^1 and ω^2 into one another. The solution [\(2.24\)](#page-8-2) is invariant under the subset of these transformations that obeys

$$
\varphi = \vartheta = 0, \qquad \delta - \psi = 0, \tag{3.9}
$$

which corresponds precisely to the preserved $U(1)_D$ symmetry. Consider thus a transformation of the form [\(3.8\)](#page-17-1) that does not obey this condition. In the zero-momentum limit, the action of this transformation on the solution [\(2.24\)](#page-8-2) generates a new, inequivalent ground state. A calculation shows that the new solution is of the form $(3.1)-(3.2)$ $(3.1)-(3.2)$ where the only non-zero extra components are the following skew-symmetric components in the $\alpha_{a\hat{a}}$ matrix:

$$
\alpha_{12} - \alpha_{21} = 2(\delta - \psi) a(r), \qquad (3.10a)
$$

$$
\alpha_{13} - \alpha_{31} = -2\varphi a(r), \qquad \alpha_{23} - \alpha_{32} = -2\vartheta a(r). \tag{3.10b}
$$

Comparing $(3.10a)$ with $(C.7)$ and $(3.10b)$ with $(C.13)$ we see that this transformation generates an $\alpha_{a\hat{a}}$ matrix equivalent to that generated by large gauge transformations provided we identify

$$
\delta - \psi = 2\lambda_3, \qquad \varphi = 2\lambda_2, \qquad \vartheta = -2\lambda_1. \tag{3.11}
$$

This is no surprise because the last term in (2.24) (but not the others) is actually invariant under the full $SU(2)_D$ subgroup of $SU(2)_R \times SU(2)_f$, which means that an $SU(2)_R$ rotation is equivalent to an $SU(2)_f$ large gauge transformation.

The modes of the form $(3.10a)$ and $(3.10b)$ appear in the channels number 4 and 6 in appendix [C,](#page-39-0) respectively. This suggests that the ungapped modes associated to the breaking [\(2.11\)](#page-6-1) should appear in these channels. Our numerical analysis, explained in detail in appendix [C,](#page-39-0) confirms this. In each channel we find a gapless, non-dissipative

quasi-normal mode. In other words, a mode with strictly real frequency that vanishes at zero-momentum. The dispersion relation at low momentum is given by

$$
\omega = \pm \frac{1}{2\mu_{\rm I}} k^2 \,, \tag{3.12}
$$

showing that these modes are also non-relativistic. It is interesting that the low-momentum behavior is independent of the 't Hooft coupling and of the dimensionless ratio Λ/M_{q} . At larger momenta the dispersion relation is modified and approaches the form

$$
\omega = \omega_0 \left(\lambda, \frac{\Lambda}{M_q} \right) + k \,, \tag{3.13}
$$

as illustrated in figure [3.](#page-19-0) We emphasise that these dispersion relations are the same for the Goldstone modes in both channels. Our numerical analysis has not allowed us to establish whether the Goldstone mode in channel 6 is a single mode or actually corresponds to two exactly degenerate modes. However, eq. (3.10) shows that the transformations parametrised by φ and ϑ generate different solutions. This strongly suggests that the mode we find in channel 6 is indeed doubly degenerate, in which case the number of Goldstone modes with a non-relativistic dispersion relation, also known as type II Goldstone modes, would be $n_{\text{II}} = 3$.

Let us now place our results on the general context of theorems about the existence of ungapped modes, following a similar discussion in [\[45\]](#page-47-6). We first recall that Goldstone's theorem only implies that the number of ungapped modes is equal to the number of broken generators, N_{BG} , in the presence of Poincaré symmetry. In this case the ungapped modes are called type I modes because they must have a relativistic dispersion relation at low momentum, $\omega \sim k$, and we have that

$$
n_{\rm I} = N_{\rm BG} \,. \tag{3.14}
$$

If Lorentz invariance is broken, for example because of a non-zero charge density, then some modes may have a type II dispersion relation, meaning that $\omega \sim k^2$ at low momentum. In this case the number of modes and the number of broken generators obeys the Chadha and Nielsen inequality [\[46\]](#page-47-7)

$$
n_{\rm I} + 2 n_{\rm II} \ge N_{\rm BG} \,. \tag{3.15}
$$

The number of type I and type II Goldstone bosons can be further constrained. If the broken symmetry generators obey

$$
\langle [Q_a, Q_b] \rangle = B_{ab} \,, \tag{3.16}
$$

then the number of Goldstone bosons should satisfy [\[47](#page-47-8)[–49\]](#page-47-9)

$$
n_{\rm I} + n_{\rm II} = N_{\rm BG} - \frac{1}{2} {\rm rank}(B) \,. \tag{3.17}
$$

In our case the number of broken generators needs some discussion. If we were to view all the generators in [\(2.57\)](#page-14-2) on an equal footing then the broken generators would be τ^1 , τ^2

Figure 3. Dispersion relation of the Goldstone bosons for $\Lambda/M_q = 1/10$ (solid, blue curve), $\Lambda/M_{\rm q} = 1$ (dashed, red curve), and $\Lambda/M_{\rm q} = 10$ (dotted, green curve) at small (top) and large (bottom) momenta. The thin black line corresponds to the low-momentum behavior [\(3.12\)](#page-18-0) and we have set $L = 1$ in this integration.

and $\tau^3 - \sigma^3$, with τ^n the generators of $SU(2)_R$. Therefore we would have $N_{BG} = 3$ and the antisymmetric matrix B would have rank 2 with entries

$$
B_{12} \sim J, \qquad B_{13} = B_{23} = 0. \tag{3.18}
$$

It would then follow that (3.15) would be satisfied whereas (3.17) would not. However, in our case the symmetries $SU(2)_R$ do not have an associated conserved current in the dual gauge theory, which is an assumption in the theorems quoted above. The reason is that, on the gravity side, the gauge fields associated to the $SU(2)_R$ symmetries are offdiagonal components of the metric along time and one of the $S³$ angular directions. In our probe approximation these fields are non-dynamical since we ignore the backreaction of the branes on the spacetime metric. In the dual gauge theory this statement translates into the fact that there is no conserved current that implements the $SU(2)_R$ symmetry, which is therefore better regarded as an "outer automorphism" of the operator algebra of the gauge theory. From this viewpoint there are no broken generators, the matrix B trivially vanishes and (3.15) is satisfied but (3.17) is not.

3.2 Pseudo-Goldtstone modes at $M_{\rm q} \ll \Lambda$

The physics in our system is controlled by the ratio of the two dimensionful scales and by the 't Hooft coupling

$$
\overline{\Lambda} \equiv \frac{\Lambda}{M_{\rm q}} = \frac{\Lambda}{\mu_{\rm I}} \ , \qquad \lambda = \frac{L^4}{2\pi^2} \ . \tag{3.19}
$$

In the limit $M_q = \mu_1 \rightarrow 0$ with fixed Λ the size of the instanton becomes an exact modulus, so we expect the presence of an ungapped excitation in the system associated to the possibility of shifting

$$
\Lambda \to \Lambda + \delta \Lambda \tag{3.20}
$$

with no associated energy cost. Indeed, if we set $M_q = \mu_I = 0$ then there is a simple solution to the fluctuation equations of motion of section [C.2](#page-42-1) given by

$$
\alpha_{33} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_{\pm} = \delta\Lambda \,\partial_{\Lambda}a(r) \,, \quad \zeta_3 = \alpha_{t3} = \alpha_{x3} = 0 \,, \quad \omega^2 = k^2 \,, \tag{3.21}
$$

where $\delta\Lambda$ is an arbitrary integration constant and $\partial_{\Lambda}a(r)$ is the derivative of the solution $(2.30b)$ with respect to the parameter Λ , which automatically produces a normalisable mode. Furthermore, the equations of motion force the dispersion relation

$$
\omega^2 = k^2, \tag{3.22}
$$

corresponding to a relativistic Goldstone mode associated to the spontaneous breaking of scale invariance by a non-zero Λ . Turning on a non-zero quark mass and chemical potential such that $M_q = \mu_I \ll \Lambda$ introduces a small amount of explicit breaking of scale invariance, so in this case we expect the Goldstone mode above to become a pseudo-Golsdstone mode with a small mass proportional to $M_q = \mu_I$. To verify this we perform a numerical integration of the equations of motion at zero spatial momentum and scan the real frequency axis as a function of M_q/Λ . From the numerical results we conclude that there exists a mode whose frequency in the limit $M_q \ll \Lambda$ is given by

$$
\omega_{\text{pseudo}} \simeq 2M_{\text{q}}\,. \tag{3.23}
$$

This behavior can be seen in figure [4](#page-21-1) for low values of M_q . The figure also shows that the gap ceases to be linear in M_q as the quark mass is increased and thus the scales of explicit and spontaneous symmetry breaking become comparable to one another. Within our numerical precision the frequency remains purely real for all values of $M_{\rm g}/\Lambda$, meaning that this mode is absolutely stable.

It is interesting to note that, under certain circumstances, non-relativistic Goldstone modes may be accompanied by massive modes whose mass is proportional to the scale of Lorentz-symmetry breaking [\[50,](#page-47-10) [51\]](#page-47-11). In our case the relationship would be

$$
\omega = q \mu_{\rm I},\tag{3.24}
$$

with q the charge of the fluctuations under the isospin group. Since $M_q = \mu_I$ and in our conventions $q = 2$, this value coincides with (3.23) . It is therefore tempting to interpret

Figure 4. Mass of the pseudo-Goldstone mode. The thin, black line corresponds to the relation $\omega_{\text{pseudo}} = 2M_q.$

the pseudo-Goldstone mode [\(3.23\)](#page-20-1) as the partner of the Goldstone modes of the previous section. However, there seems to be no possible match in terms of the number of modes. Although it is difficult to establish numerically whether or not the pseudo-Goldstone mode is degenerate, the $M_q = \mu_I = 0$ result [\(3.21\)](#page-20-2) suggests that there is only one of these modes, in contrast to the three Goldstone modes of the previous section.

3.3 Massive quasiparticles at $\Lambda \ll M_{\rm q}$

As illustrated in figure [1,](#page-10-0) in the limit $\overline{\Lambda} \ll 1$ the embedding of the branes approaches that of two parallel branes connected only at the origin by a very thin throat. Therefore one may expect that the spectrum should approach that of ref. [\[30\]](#page-46-10), at least for the $U(1)$ -neutral fields β_3 , ζ_3 , α_{33} , $\alpha_{\mu 3}$, and $\alpha_{13} \pm i \alpha_{23}$ (see table [2\)](#page-16-0). The spectrum of [\[30\]](#page-46-10) is

$$
\omega^2 - k^2 = \frac{4M_\text{q}^2}{L^4}(n+1)(n+2) = 8\pi^2 \frac{M_\text{q}^2}{\lambda}(n+1)(n+2),\tag{3.25}
$$

where n is the radial quantum number. Note that these frequencies are purely real since in the limit $\overline{\Lambda} = 0$ there is no possible absorption of these modes by the horizon at leading order in the large- N_c , large- λ expansion. If instead Λ is very small but non-zero we expect that each of these modes will develop a small, negative imaginary part since now the branes reach the Poincaré horizon along a thin throat. In other words, for small but finite $\overline{\Lambda}$ the normal modes of [\[30\]](#page-46-10) become quasi-normal modes located in the complex lower half-plane near the real axis. This manifests itself in high and narrow peaks in the spectral function of the corresponding dual operator. This is illustrated in figure [5](#page-22-1) for the case of the operator dual to the β_3 scalar. As one increases $\overline{\Lambda}$ the peaks cease to exist. The numerical procedure used to produce figure 5 is explained in section $C.1$.

Fluctuations charged under $U(1)_I$ have a different spectrum because they couple to the isospin chemical potential. Comparing for example eq. $(C.6)$ to eq. $(C.4)$ it is easy to see that, in the limit $\overline{\Lambda} = 0$, these fluctuations have normalisable modes at frequencies given by

$$
(\omega \pm 2\mu_{\rm I})^2 - k^2 = 4M_{\rm q}^2 + 8\pi^2 \frac{M_{\rm q}^2}{\lambda} (n+1)(n+2) \,, \tag{3.26}
$$

Figure 5. Spectral function (with arbitrary normalisation) of the gauge theory operator dual to the β_3 scalar for $\overline{\Lambda} = 1$ (dashed, red curve) and $\overline{\Lambda} = 1/10$ (solid, blue curve).

i.e. the frequencies are shifted due to the presence of the finite isospin chemical potential, with the factor of 2 given by the isospin charge of the fluctuations. Note that in the limit $\Lambda = 0$ one can turn on an isospin chemical potential while keeping the isospin charge to zero (and this configuration will be dominant for $\mu_I < M_q$). Under these circumstances the chemical potential does not affect the embedding profile of the branes but it breaks the mass degeneracy of isospin multiplets [\[25,](#page-46-6) [26,](#page-46-18) [29\]](#page-46-9). In the case of triplets one mode is unaffected, as in (3.25) , one mode increases in mass, as in (3.26) with the minus sign, and one mode decreases in mass, as in [\(3.26\)](#page-21-3) with the plus sign. Note that the latter mode would be massless if we ignored the last term on the right-hand side in [\(3.26\)](#page-21-3). This would be the result for the energy of a macroscopic string stretched between the branes, along the lines of $[52]$. As in $[53]$, the correction to this result given by the last term in (3.26) comes from the second-quantized dynamics associated to the endpoints of the string inherent in the D7-brane worldvolume action, in which these endpoints are described by fields instead of point-particles.

3.4 Additional ungapped modes

The massless modes associated to the broken $SU(2)_R$ symmetries can be thought of as the well known orientation zero modes of the instanton. An instanton in flat space has additional zero modes associated to the choice of the instanton centre. We will now show that these give rise to ungapped modes in our system. This may be surprising at first sight given the fact that the background geometry (2.2) is not invariant under translations along the y^i -directions due to the preferred origin chosen by the harmonic function (2.2) . Nevertheless, the exact cancellations due to supersymmetry guarantee that an instanton centred at any point in the y^i -directions is a solution. In other words, supersymmetry implies the existence of additional ungapped modes despite the fact that these are not Goldstone modes.

The general instanton solution with an arbitrary centre breaks $SU(2)$ _L symmetry, so it is convenient to abandon the use of left-invariant forms in favor of the anti self-dual 't

Hooft symbols, $\bar{\eta}_{ij}^{\hat{a}}$. These matrices connect the symmetries of \mathbb{R}^4 with those of $SU(2)_f$. We give here the form of the matrices of interest and list their properties in appendix [B:](#page-35-0)

$$
\overline{\eta}_{ij}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\overline{\eta}_{ij}^{1} \pm i \, \overline{\eta}_{ij}^{2} \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mp i & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \mp i \\ \pm i & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \pm i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{\eta}_{ij}^{H} = \overline{\eta}_{ij}^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \quad (3.27)
$$

The ansatz (2.24) for the internal part of the gauge potential reads in this language

$$
A_{\text{mag}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{\eta}_{ij}^+ \otimes E_{-\alpha} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{\eta}_{ij}^- \otimes E_{+\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{\eta}_{ij}^H \otimes H_{\alpha}\right) dy^i \partial_j \log \frac{1}{1 + a(y)}.
$$
 (3.28)

In this expression we have written the $SU(2)$ _f generators in terms of ladder operators, $E_{\pm\alpha}$, and H_{α} (the Cartan subalgebra):

$$
E_{\pm\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma^1 \pm i \sigma^2 \right) , \qquad H_{\alpha} = \sigma^3 , \qquad (3.29)
$$

The subindex α is redundant for an SU(2) algebra but it will be important when analysing higher-rank algebras later. The self-duality condition in eq. (2.21) implies

$$
\[1 + a(y)\]\delta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j\frac{1}{1 + a(y)} = 0\;.\tag{3.30}
$$

On the other hand, we can perform an $SU(2)$ rotation to align the embedding along the direction of the Cartan. It is then a direct calculation to proof that, once a solution to [\(3.30\)](#page-23-0) is found, the embedding and electric field are given by

$$
A_t = Z = M_q \left(1 + a(y) \right) H_\alpha \tag{3.31}
$$

Eq. $(2.30b)$ is a solution to (3.30) with spherical symmetry. A more general solution is given by

$$
\frac{1}{1+a(y)} = 1 + \sum_{A=1}^{k} \frac{\Lambda_A^2}{\delta_{ij}(y - y_A)^i (y - y_A)^j},
$$
\n(3.32)

corresponding to a sum of k instantons with sizes Λ_A and centred at $\vec{y} = \vec{y}_A$. The total instanton charge is k and the different conserved charges such as n_{R} , n_{I} , J , Q , \mathcal{E} , etc. take the same form as in previous sections with the identification

$$
\Lambda^2 = \sum_{A=1}^k \Lambda_A^2. \tag{3.33}
$$

The solution with a single instanton takes the form

$$
\frac{1}{1 + a(y)} = 1 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{(\vec{y} - \vec{y}_0)^2},\tag{3.34}
$$

and setting $\vec{y}_0 = 0$ we recover [\(2.30b\)](#page-9-5). As in [\(3.21\)](#page-20-2), expanding to linear order in \vec{y}_0 around $\vec{y}_0 = 0$ produces a normalisable change in the different fields that may be viewed as a fluctuation around the solution $(2.30b)$. These fluctuations break $SU(2)_L$ and are therefore not captured by $(3.1)-(3.2)$ $(3.1)-(3.2)$. Nevertheless, they give rise to massless modes, since the energy density is independent of the value of \vec{y}_0 . From the physical viewpoint, the freedom to place the instanton centres at arbitrary points reflects the no-force condition between the D3 branes in the D3-D7 system when supersymmetry is preserved. On the gauge theory side the positions of the centres correspond to expectation values of higher-dimension operators that parameterise the Higgs branch and are bilinear in the fundamental fields, in analogy with the case of the Coulomb branch of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM discussed in [\[54\]](#page-47-14).

Note that only the combination (3.33) is fixed by the conserved charges of the solution. Therefore, for multi-instanton solutions, combinations of the instanton sizes Λ_A giving rise to the same total Λ^2 in [\(3.33\)](#page-23-1) also generate additional massless modes.

4 Higher-rank flavor groups $(N_f > 2)$

In this section we will generalise our previous construction to the case in which the number of flavors is $N_f > 2$. The strategy is to write the generators of a semisimple Lie algebra in canonical form in terms of Cartans and ladder operators in order to sequentially solve for the self-dual part of the field strength and, once this solution is obtained, for the embedding profile. The $SU(2)_f$ instanton presented in previous sections can be used as a building block to find solutions for higher-rank gauge groups, in particular for $SU(N_f)$. In this subsection we restrict the discussion to $SU(3)$ _f since this case already illustrates all the new aspects while remaining concrete enough. We will nevertheless use a notation appropriate to make contact with the generic case, which is discussed in appendix [B.](#page-35-0) One of these new features is the existence of new embeddings of the flavor branes.

The starting point are the Gell-Mann matrices, which can be combined in three groups of ladder operators

$$
E_{\pm\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_1 \pm i \lambda_2 \right) , \qquad E_{\pm\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_6 \pm i \lambda_7 \right) , \qquad E_{\pm\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_4 \pm i \lambda_5 \right) , \tag{4.1}
$$

together with the Cartan generators

$$
H_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_3, \qquad H_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_8,
$$
\n(4.2)

which, by definition, form an Abelian subalgebra. Here $\hat{\alpha} = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ refers to the three roots of $SU(3)$

$$
\alpha = \left(\sqrt{2}, 0\right), \qquad \beta = \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\right), \qquad \gamma = \alpha + \beta = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\right). \tag{4.3}
$$

Note that each root is a two-vector. We will collectively denote the components of these three vectors as $\hat{\alpha}_i$ with $i = 1, 2$. Similarly, we will collectively denote the Cartans [\(4.2\)](#page-24-1) as $H_{\hat{i}}$. The ladder operators are eigenvectors of $[H_{\hat{i}}, \cdot]$ with

$$
[H_{\hat{i}}, E_{\pm \hat{\alpha}}] = \pm \hat{\alpha}_{\hat{i}} E_{\pm \hat{\alpha}}.
$$
\n(4.4)

It is convenient to define the following linear combinations of the Cartan generators

$$
H_{\hat{\alpha}} \equiv [E_{+\hat{\alpha}}, E_{-\hat{\alpha}}] = \hat{\alpha}_i H_i \tag{4.5}
$$

because then each triplet $\{E_{\pm\hat{\alpha}}, H_{\hat{\alpha}}\}$ associated to each root vector spans an SU(2) subalgebra (in which $H_{\hat{\alpha}}$ is not necessarily canonically normalised). Each of these subalgebras can then be used to construct an $SU(2)_f$ instanton embedded inside $SU(3)_f$.

With this material in place we focus on solutions that can be obtained via the 't Hooft ansatz. Without loss of generality we choose to align the magnetic part of the gauge potential along the first root, α . This can always be achieved with an SU(3)_f rotation. We therefore write the magnetic part of the gauge potential as in [\(3.28\)](#page-23-2), so the self-duality condition of the $SU(2)_f$ instanton leads again to eq. (3.30) for the function $a(y)$. Since we have fixed the orientation of the instanton we are no longer free to choose the orientation of the scalar field Z . Therefore, in the general solution Z should be a linear combination of all the real generators of $SU(3)$ _f which cannot be rotated away while keeping the orientation of the instanton fixed. These are

$$
H_{\alpha}, \qquad H_{\beta}, \qquad E_{+\beta} + E_{-\beta}, \qquad E_{+\gamma} + E_{-\gamma} \,. \tag{4.6}
$$

We have not included $H_{\gamma} = H_{\alpha} + H_{\beta}$ because this is not linearly independent (see ap-pendix [B\)](#page-35-0), and we have not included $E_{+\alpha} + E_{-\alpha}$ because this can be rotated away while keeping the instanton orientation invariant. A direct embedding in $SU(3)$ _f of the $SU(2)$ _f solution of previous sections would yield

$$
A_t = Z = M_\alpha \left[1 + a(y) \right] H_\alpha, \tag{4.7}
$$

where we have relabelled M_{q} as M_{α} . Now, inside SU(3)_f, we have two qualitatively distinct possibilities to augment this solution. We can either add terms proportional to the second Cartan generator, or we can add terms proportional to the real combinations of the ladder operators. The result of adding a linear combination of these possibilities is the linear combination of the results for each individual possibility, so we will consider them separately.

For the first option, the boundary conditions at the boundary and the regularity requirement at the origin lead to the solution (see appendix [B\)](#page-35-0)

$$
A_t = Z = \left(M_\alpha \left[1 + a(y)\right] + \frac{M_\beta}{2}\right) H_\alpha + M_\beta H_\beta, \qquad (4.8)
$$

where M_β is now a second possible mass term. Since the scalar field is still diagonal, the geometric interpretation follows straightforwardly from the three entries of the Z matrix:

$$
Z_{\pm} = \frac{M_{\beta}}{2} \pm M_{\alpha} \left[1 + a(y) \right], \qquad Z_{3} = -M_{\beta}. \tag{4.9}
$$

These determine the embedding profiles of the three D7-branes in the z^1 -direction. For a single instanton centred at the origin of AdS_5 the radial dependence is given by eq. (2.30) and the profiles are shown in figure [6.](#page-26-0) If the embedding is purely along H_{α} , that is, if

Figure 6. Brane embeddings of the form (4.8) for different values of M_β . In all the plots we have fixed $M_{\alpha} = 1$.

 $M_{\beta} = 0$ as in the direct import of the SU(2)_f solution [\(4.7\)](#page-25-1), then two of the branes have the same embedding as in previous sections and the third one describes exactly massless quarks. A non-zero value of M_β produces a relative displacement of the branes while keeping their centre of mass at $z^1 = 0$, since the $SU(3)_f$ matrices are traceless. In particular, for non-zero M_{β} the branes no longer reach the origin of AdS at $r = 0$, as seen in the figure. Moreover, for intermediate values of M_β the brane profiles can cross at non-zero r, meaning that the hierarchy of running quark masses in the IR may be inverted with respect to that in the UV. For sufficiently large values of M_β this inversion disappears. The non-compact part of the induced metric on the D7-branes is always AdS_5 in the UV. If $M_\beta = 0$ then $Z_\pm \propto r^2$ near $r = 0$, as in previous sections. In this case the IR geometry is again AdS_5 with the same radius as in the UV, as in section [2.5.](#page-13-0) If instead $M_{\beta} \neq 0$ then the IR metric is flat space, as for the usual massive embedding in the absence of instanton and electric field.

For the second possibility it suffices to consider adding a single combination of the form $E_{+\beta} + E_{-\beta}$. In this case the regular solution is

$$
A_t = Z = M_\alpha \left[1 + a(y) \right] H_\alpha + M_\beta \sqrt{1 + a(y)} \left(E_{+\beta} + E_{-\beta} \right) . \tag{4.10}
$$

These embeddings are not diagonal in this basis, but this is simply due to the fact that we fixed the instanton orientation. Having found the solution (4.10) we could simply apply an $SU(3)$ _f rotation to bring Z to a diagonal form at the cost, of course, of having an instanton no longer contained in the SU(2) algebra generated by $\{E_{\pm\hat{\alpha}}, H_{\hat{\alpha}}\}$. From the viewpoint of the D7-branes this rotation is simply a gauge transformation. The result would be a

Figure 7. Brane embeddings of the form (4.10) for different values of M_{α} . In all the plots we have fixed $M_\beta = 1$.

diagonal Z with entries equal to its eigenvalues

$$
Z_{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{1+a}}{2} \Big[M_{\alpha} \sqrt{1+a} \pm \sqrt{M_{\alpha}^2 (1+a) + 4M_{\beta}^2} \Big], \qquad Z_3 = M_{\alpha} (1+a) \,. \tag{4.11}
$$

These are plotted in figure [7](#page-27-0) for the instanton in eq. [\(2.30\)](#page-9-4) and, as above, they can be interpreted as the positions of the branes. Note that there is no ambiguity in this interpretation because we are only allowing one transverse scalar $Z¹$ to be excited. Generically, in the presence of two scalars Z^1, Z^2 it would not be possible to diagonalise both of them simultaneously. As in the previous case, there is a running mass hierarchy inversion in some range of M_β/M_α , but the branes always reach the origin in the deep IR. Note that, if M_β is not zero, then the leading behavior of Z_\pm near the origin is

$$
Z_{\pm} \sim M_{\beta} \sqrt{1+a} \sim r \,, \tag{4.12}
$$

and exactly the same for the corresponding components of $A_t = Z$. As in previous cases, the electric field contribution to the energy density, tr $\delta^{ij} E_i E_j$, vanishes at $r = 0$ and its total contribution is given by (2.49) . The behavior (4.12) is the same as in [\[55\]](#page-47-15) except for the fact that the supersymmetric limit $A_t = Z$ can not be achieved in the context of [\[55\]](#page-47-15) due to the absence of the instanton. As before, the induced metric is $AdS_5 \times S^3$ both in the UV and IR, but the softening in the vanishing of Z , as dictated by (4.12) , produces a renormalization in the radius of the sphere along the RG flow

$$
\frac{L_{\rm UV}^2}{L_{\rm IR}^2} = 1 + \frac{M_\beta^2}{\Lambda^2} \,. \tag{4.13}
$$

5 Supertubes

In the presence of angular momentum, a collection of D0-branes and fundamental strings is known to "blow up" into a configuration known as a D2-brane supertube $[56]$, namely a tubular D2-brane with the same charges as the original system. The supergravity description of two-charge supertubes was found in [\[57\]](#page-47-17) and the generalisation to three charges was presented in [\[58](#page-48-0)[–62\]](#page-48-1). The cross-section of the tube is completely arbitrary and contractible, hence there is no net D2-brane charge. Such a tubular D2-brane can be suspended between D4-branes. The entire configuration preserves supersymmetry and appears on the $U(2)$ non-Abelian theory on the worldvolume of the D4-branes as a dyonic instanton configuration [\[63\]](#page-48-2). T-dualising four times we conclude that, in the presence of angular momentum, our system of D3-branes and fundamental strings should blow up into a D5-brane supertube suspended between the D7-branes. The defining feature of this configuration should be that the D7-branes meet each other at a curve in \mathbb{R}^4 . Moreover, for these configurations the angular momentum is not necessarily self-dual. The reason that we have not encountered these features in the configurations of previous sections is that we did not consider the most general dyonic instanton solution. Here we will import the results of [\[63\]](#page-48-2) for the $k = 2$ case to illustrate the fact that, in the general solution, the D7-branes indeed meet at a curve. The solutions of previous sections can then be obtained as a limit in which the cross-section of the supertube collapses to a set of isolated points. Our goal is not to review the details of [\[63\]](#page-48-2), to which we refer the interested reader, but merely to illustrate the main points.

The starting point in $[63]$ is the most general solution to (3.30) , the so-called Jackiw-Nohl-Rebbi instanton [\[64\]](#page-48-3):

$$
\frac{1}{1+a} = \sum_{A=0}^{k} \frac{\Lambda_A^2}{\delta_{ij}(y - y_A)^i (y - y_A)^j} . \tag{5.1}
$$

The difference between this solution and (3.32) is that there is no "1" on the right-hand side and that there are $k + 1$ "centres" despite the fact that the instanton number is k. Thus compared to [\(3.32\)](#page-23-3), naively this solution depends on one new scale and on four new centre coordinates. However, for $k = 1, 2$ there are additional gauge equivalences that reduce the number of these new parameters to 2 and 4, respectively $[64]$. Solutions of the 't Hooft type can be recovered in two different ways:

- for generic k one can obtain [\(3.32\)](#page-23-3) by taking the limit $\Lambda_0 \to \infty$ with $|y_0|^2/\Lambda_0^2 = 1$,
- for $k = 1$ both solutions [\(3.32\)](#page-23-3) and [\(5.1\)](#page-28-1) are related by a gauge transformation in such a way that the parameters in both solutions are related through

$$
y_c = \frac{\Lambda_1^2 y_0 + \Lambda_0^2 y_1}{\Lambda_0^2 + \Lambda_1^2}, \qquad \Lambda_c^2 = \Lambda_0^2 \Lambda_1^2 \frac{|y_1 - y_0|^2}{(\Lambda_0^2 + \Lambda_1^2)^2},
$$
(5.2)

where the parameters in [\(3.32\)](#page-23-3) are on the left-hand side and are denoted with a subindex "c".

The absence of a "1" on the right-hand side of (5.1) changes the asymptotics of the gauge potential and makes finding a regular solution for the scalar field a difficult task. The procedure to follow is described in detail in $[63, 65]$ $[63, 65]$ and we refer the reader to those references for details on the construction. The final result is that such solutions exist, but the field $Z = Z_{\hat{a}} \sigma^{\hat{a}}$ is not necessarily aligned in $SU(2)_{f}$ with its asymptotic value $M_{q} \sigma^{3}$. Moreover, if $k \geq 2$ then generically the zeros of Z lie on a curve instead of on a set of isolated points. An example is given in section 4.2 of [\[63\]](#page-48-2) for $k = 2$, whose main result we now review.

For simplicity consider the three centres to lie within the 34-plane of \mathbb{R}^4 :

$$
y_0 = (0, 0, 0, -\Lambda_0), \quad y_1 = \left(0, 0, \Lambda_{\Lambda_2}^{\Lambda_1}, 0\right), \quad y_2 = \left(0, 0, -\Lambda_{\Lambda_1}^{\Lambda_2}, 0\right).
$$
 (5.3)

For $\Lambda_0 = 0$ we effectively recover the $k = 1$ case, which describes an instanton centred at $y_c = 0$ with size $\Lambda_c = \Lambda$, as has been considered throughout this paper. In the opposite limit, $\Lambda_0 \to \infty$, one recovers the two instanton solution of (3.32) with centres at y_1 and y_2 . Thus, in these two limits the zeros of the scalar field correspond to isolated points. For any other value of Λ_0 it can be shown that the zeros lie on the curve in \mathbb{R}^4 determined by the solution to the equation [\[63\]](#page-48-2)

$$
\sum_{A=0}^{2} \left[\Lambda_A^4 |y - y_{A+1}|^2 |y - y_{A+2}|^2 + 2\Lambda_{A+1}^2 \Lambda_{A+2}^2 |y - y_A|^2 (y - y_{A+1}) \cdot (y - y_{A+2}) \right. \\ \left. + \mathcal{P}' |y - y_A|^2 (y - y_{A+1}) \overline{\eta}^3 (y - y_{A+2}) \right] = 0 \, . \tag{5.4}
$$

In this expression the index is understood to be cyclic, i.e. $y_3 = y_0$ and $y_4 = y_1$ and similarly for the Λ_A 's, $\overline{\eta}^3$ is the anti-self-dual 't Hooft matrix [\(3.27\)](#page-23-4), the constant \mathcal{P}' is given by

$$
\mathcal{P}' = 4 \frac{y_0 \eta^3 y_1 + y_1 \eta^3 y_2 + y_2 \eta^3 y_0}{\Lambda_0^{-2} \Lambda_1^{-2} |y_0 - y_1|^2 + \Lambda_1^{-2} \Lambda_2^{-2} |y_1 - y_2|^2 + \Lambda_2^{-2} \Lambda_0^{-2} |y_2 - y_0|^2},
$$
(5.5)

and η^3 is the self-dual 't Hooft matrix that can be obtained from $\bar{\eta}^3$ by flipping the signs of the last row and of the last column. By symmetry the zeroes lie in the $y^1 = y^2 = 0$ plane inside \mathbb{R}^4 , so [\(5.4\)](#page-29-1) is one equation for the two non-zero components of y in the 34-plane. The solution is generically a curve in this plane. In figure [8](#page-30-0) we show several examples where we set $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = 1$ for simplicity and we vary Λ_0 . As can be seen in the figure, the single-instanton solution considered in this paper corresponds to a collapsed supertube.

6 Conclusions

We have considered a configuration of two D7-branes in the background created by a stack of N_c coincident D3-branes, holographically dual to probing $d = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$, SU(N_c) super Yang-Mills with a fundamental $SU(2)_{f}$ -doublet, $\mathcal{N}=2$ hypermultiplet at finite isospin and R-charge densities. On a technical note, our model requires dealing with the non-Abelian version of the Dirac-Born-Infeld action, which is known to be an incomplete account of the dynamics of flavor with a global $U(N_f)$ symmetry group [\[33,](#page-46-13) [34\]](#page-46-14). However, as we

Figure 8. Cross-section of the supertube at $y^1 = y^2 = 0$ for different values of Λ_0 . For $\Lambda_0 = 0$ the supertube collapses to the $k = 1$ instanton configuration centred at the origin (denoted by a black square) that we have studied in detail in this paper. For $0 < \Lambda_0 \approx 2.285$ the zeros of the scalar field lie on a simply connected, non-self-intersecting curve (a deformed ellipse). This is illustrated by the values $\Lambda_0 = 1/10$ (thin, red, continuous curve), $\Lambda_0 = 1/2$ (orange, dotted curve) and $\Lambda_0 = 1$ (yellow, dashed curve). At $\Lambda_0 \approx 2.285$ (thick, green, continuous curve) this curve pinches off. For $2.285 \leq \Lambda_0 < \infty$ the zeros of the scalar field lie on two disconnected curves, as illustrated by the values $\Lambda_0 = 3$ (blue, dashed curve), $\Lambda_0 = 8$ (purple, dotted curve), and $\Lambda_0 = 20$ (thin, magenta, continuous curve). For $\Lambda_0 \to \infty$ these two curves collapse to two points denoted by the black circles on the y^3 axis.

have argued, the physics of supersymmetric configurations can be captured exactly by a Yang-Mills-Higgs action [\[35,](#page-46-15) [36\]](#page-46-16).

The ground state preserves $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry and can be used to construct generalisations to $N_f \ll N_c$ D7-branes. This solution corresponds holographically to a state on the field theory presenting spontaneous breaking of the (non-Abelian) global and local symmetries. The broken global symmetries are linked to superfluidity in the system. The breaking of the local symmetries is encoded in the dissolution of D3-brane charge on the D7-branes' worldvolume, with the associated energy scale Λ , determined by the asymptotic charges of the system.

The solution we have presented is regular everywhere. In the IR the induced metric on the D7-branes is $AdS_5 \times S^3$, with active irrelevant deformations due to the non-trivial configuration of the instanton there. Although not obvious from the AdS_5 factor in the metric, Lorentz symmetry is broken by the presence of non-trivial sources of Lorentzbreaking operators. This can be seen also from the presence of non-relativistic massless excitations in the spectrum. Backreaction of the D7-branes could be incorporated along the lines of [\[66\]](#page-48-5). In that reference we considered a configuration with an instanton but no isospin density, and studied the backreaction on the metric. In [\[66\]](#page-48-5) Lorentz invariance is maintained but the presence of the D7-brane sources changes the metric at all energy scales. Furthermore, the breaking of the $SU(N_c)$ group is seen explicitly in the running of the F_5 flux, as explained in section [2.6.](#page-13-1)

We have found a set of massive quasiparticle excitations in the $M_{q} \ll \Lambda$ regime of parameters, whose lifetimes decrease when $M_q \sim \Lambda$. On top of these there is a set of massless excitations with non-relativistic dispersion relations, the Goldstone modes asso-

ciated to the breaking of the global symmetries, as well as light particles with a mass gap proportional to the explicit scale M_q whenever $M_q \ll \Lambda$. Interestingly, there is also a set of ungapped modes that are not associated to the breaking of any global symmetries but to exact moduli implied by supersymmetry.

In the presence of angular momentum, it is well known that Dp-branes and fundamental strings get "blown up" into a $D(p+2)$ -supertube [\[56\]](#page-47-16). Importing the results from [\[63\]](#page-48-2) we have shown that this is realised in our setup. As in [\[63\]](#page-48-2) we have focused on the case of instanton number $k = 2$ but more general results are possible. In the $k = 2$ case we have shown that if the R-charges [\(2.42\)](#page-11-1) are not equal, $n_1 \neq n_2$, then the fundamental strings and the D3-branes dissolved inside the D7-branes get blown into a D5-brane supertube with non-self dual angular momentum suspended between the D7-branes. From the viewpoint of the D7-branes this manifests itself in the fact that they meet each other at a curve instead of at isolated points. If the charges are fine-tuned to be equal, so that the angular momentum becomes self-dual, then the cross-section of the supertube collapses to a set of isolated points.

In the future we expect to combine the results and ideas presented in [\[32\]](#page-46-12) and in the present paper with our previous constructions of backreacted solutions of the charged D3/D7 system $[67, 68]$ $[67, 68]$ in order to find the holographic description of a color superconductor at non-zero baryon density. It could also be of interest to combine the present configurations with the finite temperature setups of $[69, 70]$ $[69, 70]$ to study the phase transition between the superconducting and the normal phases.

Acknowledgments

We thank Andrea Amoretti and Carlos Hoyos for discussions. AF and DM are supported by grants FPA2016-76005-C2-1-P, FPA2016-76005-C2-2-P, 2014-SGR-104, 2014-SGR-1474, SGR-2017-754 and MDM-2014-0369. CP is supported by the STFC Consolidated Grant ST/P000371/1. JT is supported by the European Research Council, grant no. 725369.

A Proof of the BPS equations for the dyonic instanton

In this appendix we demonstrate that the dyonic instanton of [\[37\]](#page-46-17) solves the equations of motion coming from the non-Abelian Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action of [\[33,](#page-46-13) [34\]](#page-46-14), not only in flat space [\[39\]](#page-47-1), but also in the background of a stack of N_c D_p-branes (in the main text we set $p = 3$). Consider the action of a set of $N_f D(p + 4)$ -branes, whose pull-back metric induced by the color Dp-branes' geometry is

$$
ds^{2} = H^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + H^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\delta_{ij} dy^{i} dy^{j} + \delta_{\alpha\beta} dz^{\alpha} dz^{\beta} \right) , \qquad (A.1)
$$

where $\mu = 0, \dots, p$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, 5 - p$. The warp factor is an harmonic function in \mathbb{R}^{9-p}

$$
H = L^{\frac{7-p}{2}} \left(\delta_{ij} y^i y^j + \delta_{\alpha\beta} z^{\alpha} z^{\beta} \right)^{\frac{p-7}{2}} . \tag{A.2}
$$

This is supported by a non-trivial $(p+1)$ -form together with a dilaton:

$$
C_{p+1} = q H^{-\frac{p+1}{4}} dx^{1,p}, \qquad e^{\Phi} = H^{\frac{3-p}{4}}, \tag{A.3}
$$

with $q^2 = 1$ a sign distinguishing branes from anti-branes. The stack of flavor branes is extended in the x-directions as well as the y ones describing \mathbb{R}^4 . The 5 - p remaining flat directions, parameterised by z , are transverse to both kinds of branes. There is also a $U(N_f)$ gauge field A, with field strength F, living in this set of branes.

The non-Abelian DBI action in [\[33,](#page-46-13) [34\]](#page-46-14) is rather complicated, so we present it already adapted to our system. First, by $SO(5-p)$ symmetry we align the transverse scalars in the $Z^{\alpha \neq 1} = 0$ direction and denote the remaining one $Z^1 = Z$. In this case the scalar potential in [\(2.13\)](#page-6-2) is trivial and, in the background of the color branes, the kinetic action reduces to

$$
S_{\rm DBI} = -T_{p+4} \int d^{p+1}x d^4y \, e^{-\Phi} \, \text{Str} \sqrt{-\det \left(g_{MN} + \mathcal{P}[H]^{\frac{1}{2}} D_{(M} Z D_{N)} Z + F_{MN} \right)}, \quad \text{(A.4)}
$$

where M and N are curved indices in the $(p + 5)$ directions of the flavor branes. In the following we name the matrix inside the determinant Γ_{MN} . Additionally, the coupling to the background form is dictated by the Wess-Zumino (WZ) action

$$
S_{\rm WZ} = -s \frac{T_{p+4}}{2} \int \operatorname{Str} (C_{p+1} \wedge F \wedge F) \ . \tag{A.5}
$$

Here, $s^2 = 1$ is another sign to differentiate the coupling of branes and anti-branes. The induced metric on the branes, g_{MN} , and the dilaton, Φ , depend on the scalar Z describing the embedding through the warp factor

$$
\mathcal{P}[H] = L^{\frac{7-p}{2}} \left(\delta_{ij} \, y^i y^j + Z \cdot Z \right)^{\frac{p-7}{2}}, \tag{A.6}
$$

and from now on we denote this pullback simply as H . The symbol D represents a gaugecovariant derivative so that both DZ and F are $U(N_f)$ valued. Crucially, the symmetrised trace allows to treat them, as well as Z appearing in the functional dependence of the background, as commuting under it $[34]$ ^{[1](#page-32-0)}. Notice that some of the matrix identities used in the following are verified only for commuting coefficients, i.e., are valid only under the symmetrised trace.

To get the equations of motion we have to vary the total action with respect to the gauge fields and scalar. The variation of the DBI part is

$$
\delta S_{\rm DBI} = -T_{p+4} \int d^{p+1}x d^4y \operatorname{Str} \left[\sqrt{-\det \Gamma} \left(\delta \left(e^{-\Phi} \right) + \frac{1}{2} e^{-\Phi} \left(\Gamma^{-1} \right)^{MN} \delta \Gamma_{NM} \right) \right] \ . \tag{A.7}
$$

In order to compute this we need to invert the matrix Γ . Notice that once we have performed the variation we are allowed to use the BPS equations to prove that it vanishes, which facilitates the inversion of the matrix. The configuration we want to study is characterised by

$$
F_{\mu\nu} = 0
$$
, $F_{\mu i} = E_i(y) \delta^t_\mu$, $F_{ij} = F_{ij}(y)$, $Z = Z(y)$, (A.8)

¹On the contrary, Z and A themselves, as well as their fluctuations, are considered non-commuting.

so that none of the fields depends on the coordinates $\{t, \vec{x}\}\text{, and from the gauge components}$ along those directions we are exciting just the temporal one $A_t(y)$. Moreover, for the solution to preserve supersymmetry we impose

$$
F_{ij} = \frac{\sigma}{2} \epsilon_{ijkl} F^{kl}, \qquad \sigma^2 = 1, \qquad (A.9)
$$

which is (anti)self-duality in the four-dimensional space along the flavor branes but transverse to the color ones. Notice that this equation is conformally invariant, so it is equivalent to impose (anti)self-duality in the flat space with flat metric $\delta_{ij} dy^i dy^j$. This condition has to be supplemented with

$$
A_t = \tau Z, \qquad \tau^2 = 1 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad D_\mu Z = 0, \qquad D_i Z = \tau F_{it} = -\tau E_i \,. \tag{A.10}
$$

With this ansatz the inversion of Γ gives the non-vanishing components

$$
\left(\Gamma^{-1}\right)^{x^m x^n} = g^{x^m x^n}, \qquad (m, n = 1, \cdots, p)
$$
\n
$$
\left(\Gamma^{-1}\right)^{tt} = g^{tt} - HE_i E_j \left([g + F]^{-1}\right)^{ij}, \qquad \left(\Gamma^{-1}\right)^{ij} = \left([g + F]^{-1}\right)^{ij}, \qquad (A.11)
$$
\n
$$
\left(\Gamma^{-1}\right)^{it} = H^{\frac{1}{2}} \left([g + F]^{-1}\right)^{ij} E_j, \qquad \left(\Gamma^{-1}\right)^{t} = -H^{\frac{1}{2}} E_i \left([g + F]^{-1}\right)^{ij},
$$

To invert the 4×4 matrix $(g_{ij} + F_{ij})$, we use that for any antisymmetric matrix it is verified

$$
F^{ik_1} \epsilon_{jk_1k_2k_3} F^{k_2k_3} = \frac{1}{4} \delta^i{}_j \epsilon_{k_1k_2k_3k_4} F^{k_2k_2} F^{k_3k_4} \ . \tag{A.12}
$$

In conjunction with this, (anti)self-duality implies

$$
F^{ik}F_{kj} = \frac{1}{4}\delta^i{}_j F_{kl}F^{lk}\,,\tag{A.13}
$$

that is, up to a normalisation F is its own inverse. Thus, the matrix we are looking for reads:

$$
(g + F)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}F^{ij}F_{ji}\right)}\left(g - F\right) \tag{A.14}
$$

Finally, the determinant of the matrix Γ greatly simplifies

$$
-\det \Gamma = -\det g_{\mu\nu} \det \left(g_{ij} + H^{\frac{1}{2}} D_{(i} Z D_{j)} Z + F_{ij} - F_{i\mu} g^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu j} \right)
$$

= $H^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} \det (g_{ij} + F_{ij}) = H^{\frac{3-p}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} F^{ij} F_{ji} \right)^2,$ (A.15)

where the first step is just the determinant in blocks, the second step is a cancelation between the terms containing D_iZ and those with F_{it} due to the configuration [\(A.10\)](#page-33-0), and the last one follows from [\(A.14\)](#page-33-1) noticing that $\det(g + F) = \det(g - F)$.

The WZ piece gives simply

$$
\delta S_{\rm WZ} = T_{p+4} \frac{q s}{8} \delta \left[\int d^{p+1}x d^4y \, \text{Str} \left(\epsilon_{ijkl} F^{ij} F^{kl} \right) \right]
$$

= $T_{p+4} \frac{q s \sigma}{2} \int d^{p+1}x d^4y \, \text{Str} \left(H^{\frac{1}{2}} F^{ij} \delta \left(H^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_{ij} \right) \right)$ (A.16)
= $T_{p+4} \frac{q s \sigma}{4} \int d^{p+1}x d^4y \, \text{tr} \left(H^{-1} F_{ij} F^{ji} \delta H + 2 F^{ij} \delta F_{ij} \right) ,$

with the first equality obtained by substituting the value of the background form, eq. $(A.3)$, while in the second we have used (anti)self-duality of the field strength.

Variation with respect to the scalar. The scalar Z appears in two kinds of terms, those with DZ and those with $Z \cdot Z$, coming from the warp factor. The variation with respect to the warp factor has several contributions. First, from the variation of the dilaton (first term in eq. $(A.7)$), which using the value for the determinant obtained in $(A.15)$ is

$$
-T_{p+4} \int d^{p+1}x d^4y \,\delta\left(e^{-\Phi}\right) \operatorname{Str}\left[\sqrt{-\det\Gamma}\right] = -T_{p+4}\frac{p-3}{4} \int d^{p+1}x d^4y \, H^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}F^{ij}F_{ji}\right) \delta H .
$$
 (A.17)

Second, from the variation of the metric contained in $\delta \Gamma_{MN}$ (second term in eq. [\(A.7\)](#page-32-2)) which within our ansatz turns out to be

$$
-T_{p+4}\int d^{p+1}x d^4y e^{-\Phi} Str \left[\sqrt{-\det\Gamma}\frac{1}{2} \left(\Gamma^{-1}\right)^{MN} \delta g_{NM}\right]
$$

= $T_{p+4}\int d^{p+1}x d^4y H^{-1} tr \left(\frac{p+1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{4}F^{ij}F_{ji}\right)+\frac{1}{4}H^{\frac{1}{2}}E_iE^i-1\right) \delta H$. (A.18)

If we combine these two with the term coming from the variation of the WZ, eq. [\(A.16\)](#page-33-3), we see that fixing the arbitrary signs as

$$
q \, s \, \sigma = 1 \tag{A.19}
$$

makes the variation with respect to the warp factor vanish, apart from the term proportional to $E_i E^i$. This is however canceled by a term coming from the variation of the kinetic term of the scalar

$$
-T_{p+4}\int d^{p+1}x d^4y \operatorname{Str}\left[\sqrt{-\det\Gamma}\frac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^{-1}\right)^{(MN)}\left(\delta\left(H^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)D_MZD_NZ+2H^{\frac{1}{2}}D_MZD_N\delta Z\right)\right]
$$

=-T_{p+4}\int d^{p+1}x d^4y \operatorname{Str}\left[\frac{1}{4}H^{-\frac{1}{2}}D^iZD_iZ\delta H+\delta^{ij}D_iZD_j\delta Z-\tau HF^{ij}D_iZD_jZ\left(D_t\delta Z\right)\right]. (A.20)

The first term cancels with the $E_i E^i$ term in eq. [\(A.18\)](#page-34-0) upon using the BPS condition $(A.10)$, as promised. The last term vanishes because of symmetry, since as we mentioned F and DZ commute under the symmetrised trace. Thus, after integration by parts we are left with the equation for the scalar:

$$
\delta^{ij} D_i D_j Z = 0, \qquad (A.21)
$$

which is the Laplace equation on the flat space $\delta_{ij} dy^i dy^j$ but containing the gauge connection.

Variation with respect to the gauge field. The kinetic term of the scalar also contains a variation of the gauge field, that in our ansatz reduces to

$$
-T_{p+4}\int d^{p+1}x d^4y H^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{Str}\left(\sqrt{-\det\Gamma}\left(\Gamma^{-1}\right)^{(MN)}D_M Z\left[\delta A_N, Z\right]\right)
$$

=\n
$$
-T_{p+4}\int d^{p+1}x d^4y H^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{Str}\left(\delta A_i\left[Z, D^i Z\right]\right),
$$
\n(A.22)

where we have discarded an additional piece for the same symmetry reasons as before. Finally, we have the contributions coming from the variation of the kinetic term of the gauge field, that read

$$
T_{p+4} \int d^{p+1}x d^4y \operatorname{Str} \left[\sqrt{-\det \Gamma} \left(\Gamma^{-1} \right)^{MN} D_{[M} \delta A_{N]} \right]
$$

= $T_{p+4} \int d^{p+1}x d^4y \operatorname{Str} \left(\delta A_j D_i F^{ij} - \tau \delta A_t \delta^{ij} D_i D_j Z + \tau H^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta A_i D_t D^i Z \right)$, (A.23)

where we have integrated by parts in the last step. Variation with respect to the temporal component gives again the equation of motion of the scalar $(A.21)$, while the magnetic components must solve

$$
D_i F^{ij} + \tau H^{\frac{1}{2}} D_t D^j Z - H^{\frac{1}{2}} [Z, D^j Z] = D_i F^{ij} = 0, \qquad (A.24)
$$

where we have used that for an adjoint field $D_t = \partial_t + [A_t, \cdot] = \partial_t + \tau [Z, \cdot]$. This equation is trivially verified for (anti)self-dual configurations due to the Bianchi identity. For the same reason, the last term in the variation of the WZ action, eq. $(A.16)$, also vanishes.

In summary, the variation of the non-Abelian action of [\[34\]](#page-46-14) vanishes for configurations of the form $(A.8)$ if the self-duality equation $(A.9)$, together with $(A.21)$ for the scalar and the identification $(A.10)$, are verified. Notice that we do not need to specify any direction in gauge space for Z nor A_t as long as they are collinear. The proof is valid both for self and anti self-dual configurations and arbitrary rank N_f .

B The SU(2) instanton as a lego for higher-rank gauge groups

In this section, we give the solution to $(A.9)$ and $(A.21)$ corresponding to the dyonic instanton of [\[37\]](#page-46-17). The equations can be solved sequentially, since (anti)self-duality only involves the magnetic components of the gauge field, while DZ requires knowledge of the gauge potential. We give the solution for arbitrary gauge group and this requires rewriting the associated algebra in canonical form.

Consider g, a semi-simple Lie algebra of finite dimension.^{[2](#page-35-1)} A Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a maximal Abelian subalgebra such that all its elements are diagonalisable in the adjoint representation. Its dimension is the rank r of $\mathfrak g$, with $r = n - 1$ for $\mathfrak{su}(n)$.

Let us choose a basis H_i , $i = 1, \ldots, r$ of \mathfrak{h} , and let these generators be Hermitian for convenience. Since by definition they form an Abelian subalgebra we have

$$
[H_i, H_j] = 0 \tag{B.1}
$$

This can be completed to a basis for the algebra by finding the eigenvectors of $\mathrm{ad}H_i = [H_i, \cdot]$ that are linearly independent from the H_i , that is, a set of elements E_α of $\mathfrak g$ verifying

$$
[H_{\hat{i}}, E_{\alpha}] = \alpha_{\hat{i}} E_{\alpha} . \tag{B.2}
$$

²One could extend the results to simple algebras, but we do not need it for our purposes since the Abelian ideal, corresponding to the baryonic chemical potential, vanishes in our solutions.

Notice that not all α_i can vanish simultaneously, since we assume $E_{\alpha} \notin \mathfrak{h}$. The real eigenvalues $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ are called roots, have no multiplicity and there are $d-r$ of them in a given d-dimensional Lie algebra. Moreover, if α is a root then $-\alpha$ is also a root with generator $E_{-\alpha} = E_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$, so roots always come in pairs. Indeed, it can be shown that

$$
[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}] = \alpha_i H_i \equiv H_{\alpha}, \qquad (B.3)
$$

where we have normalised so that the non-vanishing components of the Killing form are

$$
\text{tr}\left(H_i H_j\right) = \delta_{\hat{i}\hat{j}}, \qquad \text{tr}\left(E_\alpha E_{-\alpha}\right) = 1. \tag{B.4}
$$

Finally, the commutator between generators associated to different roots is

$$
[E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}] = N_{\alpha,\beta} E_{\alpha+\beta} \tag{B.5}
$$

where $N_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a constant that vanishes if $\alpha + \beta$ is not a root. We will give the explicit expression and properties of these constants when needed. √

In the simplest case of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, whose rank is $r = 1$, the only roots are $\alpha =$ 2 together with its opposite. The generators $E_{\pm\alpha}$ are the usual raising and lowering operators, familiar from the treatment of spin. These, together with the unique Cartan, are constructed from the Pauli matrices as

$$
E_{\pm\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sigma^1 \pm i \sigma^2 \right) , \qquad H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma^3 . \qquad (B.6)
$$

For larger algebras, there is an $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ subalgebra $\{E_{\pm\alpha}, H_{\alpha}\}\$ associated to each non-zero pair of root vectors $\pm \alpha$. Thus, we can use any of these subalgebras to construct the basic SU(2) instanton.

In the following we consider only the solutions obtained from 't Hooft's ansatz, adapted to this language. Let us define the antisymmetric tensors

$$
\overline{\eta}_{ij}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\overline{\eta}_{ij}^{1} \pm i \,\overline{\eta}_{ij}^{2} \right) , \qquad \overline{\eta}_{ij}^{H} = \overline{\eta}_{ij}^{3} , \qquad (B.7)
$$

constructed from the usual anti self-dual 't Hooft tensors $\bar{\eta}^a$, $a = 1, 2, 3$. Inherited from their properties, we have that

$$
\overline{\eta}_{ik}^H \overline{\eta}_{jk}^H = \delta_{ij}, \qquad \overline{\eta}_{ik}^{\pm} \overline{\eta}_{jk}^{\pm} = 0, \n\overline{\eta}_{ik}^{\pm} \overline{\eta}_{jk}^H = \pm i \, \overline{\eta}_{ij}^{\pm}, \qquad \overline{\eta}_{ik}^{\pm} \overline{\eta}_{jk}^{\pm} = \delta_{ij} \mp i \, \overline{\eta}_{ij}^H, \n\overline{\eta}_{ij}^{\pm} \overline{\eta}_{kl}^H - \overline{\eta}_{ij}^H \overline{\eta}_{kl}^{\pm} = \pm i \left(\delta_{ik} \overline{\eta}_{jl}^{\pm} + \delta_{jl} \overline{\eta}_{ik}^{\pm} - \delta_{il} \overline{\eta}_{jk}^{\pm} - \delta_{jk} \overline{\eta}_{il}^{\pm} \right), \n\overline{\eta}_{ij}^{\pm} \overline{\eta}_{kl}^{\pm} - \overline{\eta}_{ij}^{\pm} \overline{\eta}_{kl}^{\pm} = -i \left(\delta_{ik} \overline{\eta}_{jl}^H + \delta_{jl} \overline{\eta}_{ik}^H - \delta_{il} \overline{\eta}_{jk}^H - \delta_{jk} \overline{\eta}_{il}^H \right), \n\epsilon_{ijk}^s \overline{\eta}_{kl}^{\pm, H} = \delta_{il} \overline{\eta}_{jk}^{\pm, H} - \delta_{jl} \overline{\eta}_{ik}^{\pm, H} + \delta_{kl} \overline{\eta}_{ij}^{\pm, H}.
$$
\n(B.8)

Using these tensors, we take the following ansatz for the gauge potential

$$
A_i = \left(\frac{1}{|\alpha|}\overline{\eta}_{ij}^+ \otimes E_{-\alpha} + \frac{1}{|\alpha|}\overline{\eta}_{ij}^- \otimes E_{+\alpha} + \frac{1}{|\alpha|^2}\overline{\eta}_{ij}^H \otimes H_{\alpha}\right) \partial_j \log \varphi, \tag{B.9}
$$

with $|\alpha|^2 = \alpha \cdot \alpha = \alpha_i \alpha_i$. Then, using the properties for the $\overline{\eta}$ listed in [\(B.8\)](#page-36-0), it can be seen that the field strength inherited from this gauge potential is self-dual whenever φ solves

$$
\frac{\delta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j\varphi}{\varphi} = 0.
$$
\n(B.10)

A similar set of self-dual tensors provides an anti self-dual field strength given the same condition on φ .

Once we have an (anti)self-dual field strength, we need to solve [\(A.21\)](#page-34-1) in its background for the embedding. Remember that Z can be pointing in any direction in gauge space. There are two distinct possibilities (and linear combinations thereof): we can place the embedding in the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ subalgebra of the instanton or in a different one, corresponding to a different root. In the first case we can use $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ rotations to align the embedding in the direction of the Cartan, so we take the ansatz

$$
Z = f(\varphi) H_{\alpha} . \tag{B.11}
$$

Again, exploiting the properties of the $\overline{\eta}$ tensors it can be shown that the equation for the scalar is solved if

$$
\varphi^2 f'' = 2f \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad f(\varphi) = \frac{M_1}{\varphi} + M_2 \varphi^2 \ . \tag{B.12}
$$

with ' denoting derivative with respect to φ and M_1 and M_2 a pair of (real) integration constants. On the other hand, we could take the embedding along the raising and lowering operators corresponding to a different root, that is,

$$
Z = f_{+}(\varphi) E_{+\beta} + f_{-}(\varphi) E_{-\beta} . \tag{B.13}
$$

In our conventions the embedding has to be hermitean, forcing $f^*_{+} = f_{-}$. Similar manipulations imply that the equation for the $E_{+\beta}$ component is solved as long as

$$
\varphi^2 f''_+ = \frac{f_+}{|\alpha|^2} \left(N_{\alpha,\beta} N_{-\alpha,\alpha+\beta} + N_{-\alpha,\beta} N_{\alpha,-\alpha+\beta} + \frac{(\alpha \cdot \beta)^2}{|\alpha|^2} \right) . \tag{B.14}
$$

Crucially, this equation can be solved for any Lie algebra, given any pair of roots α and β . It can be easily proven that the real coefficients $N_{\alpha,\beta}$ verify the following relations

$$
N_{\alpha\beta} = -N_{\beta,\alpha} = -N_{-\alpha,-\beta},\tag{B.15}
$$

and, from the Jacobi identity applied to the generators associated to three roots satisfying $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$, that

$$
N_{\alpha,\beta} = N_{\beta,-\alpha-\beta} = N_{-\alpha-\beta,\alpha} . \tag{B.16}
$$

As a consequence of these

$$
N_{-\alpha,\alpha+\beta} = N_{\alpha,\beta} . \tag{B.17}
$$

On the other hand, the Jacobi identity applied to $E_{+\alpha}$, $E_{-\alpha}$ and $E_{+\beta}$ forces the relation

$$
\alpha \cdot \beta = N_{-\alpha,\beta} N_{\alpha,\beta-\alpha} + N_{\beta,\alpha} N_{-\alpha,\alpha+\beta} = N_{-\alpha,\beta}^2 - N_{\alpha,\beta}^2 \tag{B.18}
$$

Now, let $p \leq 0$ be the smallest integer such that $\alpha + p\beta$ is a root and let $q \geq 0$ be the largest integer such that $\alpha + q\beta$ is a root. One of the most basic properties of Lie algebras is that these integers verify

$$
2\frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{|\alpha|^2} = -(p+q) \tag{B.19}
$$

Moreover, the coefficients in the commutator $(B.5)$ are given in terms of them as

$$
N_{\alpha,\beta}^2 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - p) q |\alpha|^2, \qquad (B.20)
$$

which vanishes only if $q = 0$, that is, if $\alpha + \beta$ is not a root. Using all these results, [\(B.14\)](#page-37-0) is solved by

$$
f_{+} = M_{1} \varphi^{\frac{p-q}{2}} + M_{2} \varphi^{\frac{q-p+2}{2}}.
$$
 (B.21)

Notice that this is invariant under $q \to -p$ and $p \to -q$ simultaneously, which corresponds to changing β into $-\beta$. This means that $f_-\$ has a solution of the same form, while hermiticity selects the integration constants so that

$$
f_{-} = M_{1}^{*} \varphi^{\frac{p-q}{2}} + M_{2}^{*} \varphi^{\frac{q-p+2}{2}} . \tag{B.22}
$$

Finally we can align the embedding with the Cartan associated to a different root β . However it is easy to see that this produces a vanishing solution unless $\alpha \cdot \beta = 0$. More generally, we can combine this embedding with $(B.11)$, that is, we can take

$$
Z = f_{\alpha}(\varphi) H_{\alpha} + f_{\beta}(\varphi) H_{\beta} . \tag{B.23}
$$

Then, the functions have to satisfy

$$
f''_{\beta} = 0 \Rightarrow f_{\beta} = M_1 + M_2 \varphi,
$$

$$
\varphi^2 f''_{\alpha} = 2 \left(f_{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{|\alpha|^2} f_{\beta} \right) \Rightarrow f_{\alpha} = \frac{M_3}{\varphi} + M_4 \varphi^2 + \frac{p+q}{2} f_{\beta},
$$
 (B.24)

where we see that $f_{\alpha} = 0$ is not consistent with non-trivial f_{β} unless $\alpha \cdot \beta = 0$, as mentioned above.

We have thus reduced the problem to compute the possible values for p and q for a given Lie algebra. Coming back to the system of branes, we know that the gauge symmetry associated to the flavor branes is $U(N_f)$. Since we are not exciting the $U(1)_B \subset U(N_f)$, we can apply the results above to the algebra $\mathfrak{su}(N_f)$, whose roots system is well known. Indeed, for any rank, all the roots have the same length, $|\alpha|^2 = 2$, and the only possible non-trivial values for the integers are

$$
p = 0
$$
, $q = 1$ or $p = -1$, $q = 0$. (B.25)

These give the same embedding, since they are related by the symmetry discussed above.

The single instanton solution corresponds to the function

$$
\varphi = \frac{r^2 + \Lambda^2}{r^2}, \qquad r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 y_i^2, \tag{B.26}
$$

so the possible regular embeddings are

$$
Z_{\alpha} = M_{\rm q} \frac{r^2}{r^2 + \Lambda^2} H_{\alpha} , \qquad Z_{\beta} = \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 + \Lambda^2}} \left(M_{\rm q} E_{+\beta} + M_{\rm q}^* E_{-\beta} \right) . \tag{B.27}
$$

C Fluctuation channels

In this appendix we provide the equations of motion for the fluctuations present in our model, classified according to their transformation under the global symmetry group $SO(2)\times U(1)$ _D and local symmetry properties. Later we will give more details about the behavior of the fields and the numerical procedure we implemented for the two channels we have focused on in the main text.

1. $SO(2)$ vectors, charged and uncharged under $U(1)_I$. These are two channels consisting of two exact copies of the same fluctuation given by the fields $\alpha_{\mu\hat{a}}$ and $\alpha_{z\hat{a}}$. Focusing on the former we can write the following combinations charged under $U(1)_I$

$$
\alpha_{y\pm} = \alpha_{y1} \pm i\alpha_{y2},\tag{C.1}
$$

with equations of motion

$$
\alpha''_{y\pm} + \frac{3}{r} \alpha'_{y\pm} - \left(\frac{8 a^2}{r^2} + \frac{L^4 \left(k^2 - (\omega \pm 2a_t)^2 + 4\phi^2 \right)}{\left(r^2 + \phi^2 \right)^2} \right) \alpha_{y\pm} = 0 \ . \tag{C.2}
$$

The fluctuation α_{v3} is neutral under the U(1) factors and its equation of motion reads

$$
\alpha_{y3}'' + \frac{3}{r} \alpha_{y3}' - \left(\frac{8 a^2}{r^2} + \frac{L^4 \left(k^2 - \omega^2 \right)}{\left(r^2 + \phi^2 \right)^2} \right) \alpha_{y3} = 0 \ . \tag{C.3}
$$

2. **Neutral SO(2) scalar**. This channel comprises only the β_3 fluctuation, which is a scalar under $SO(2)$ and a singlet under both $U(1)$ groups. The equation of motion is

$$
\beta_3'' + \frac{3}{r}\beta_3' - \left(\frac{8a^2}{r^2} + \frac{L^4\left(k^2 - \omega^2\right)}{\left(r^2 + \phi^2\right)^2}\right)\beta_3 = 0.
$$
 (C.4)

3. $SO(2)$ scalars charged under $U(1)_I$ and independent of gauge transformations. In this channel we encounter the fluctuations β_1 and β_2 , which are rotated onto each other by action of the group $U(1)_I$. The fluctuations are better expressed with the combinations

$$
\beta_{\pm} = \beta_1 \pm i \beta_2 \tag{C.5}
$$

in terms of which

$$
\beta''_{\pm} + \frac{3}{r}\beta'_{\pm} - \left(\frac{8a^2}{r^2} + \frac{L^4\left(k^2 - (\omega \pm 2a_t)^2 + 4\phi^2\right)}{\left(r^2 + \phi^2\right)^2}\right)\beta_{\pm} = 0
$$
 (C.6)

4. SO(2) scalars neutral under the diagonal of $U(1)_R \times U(1)_I$ and even. This channel contains the fluctuations that do not change under action of the diagonal of $U(1)_R \times U(1)_I$ and carry none or one index of each one. The gauge transformation given by λ_3 in [\(3.7\)](#page-16-3) acts in this channel as

$$
\alpha_{t3} = -i\omega\lambda_3, \quad \alpha_{x3} = ik\lambda_3, \quad \alpha_{12} - \alpha_{21} = 4 a(r)\lambda_3. \tag{C.7}
$$

Obvious perturbations belonging to this channel are then α_{t3} , α_{x3} , and $\alpha_{12} - \alpha_{21}$. The latter combination is not neutral under $U(1)_R$ or $U(1)_I$ individually, but only to the simultaneous acting of both. A second combination of $\alpha_{a\hat{a}}$ fluctuations that is also invariant under the diagonal of $U(1)_R \times U(1)_I$ is $\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22}$, and in fact it is convenient to define

$$
\alpha_{\pm} = \alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22} \pm i (\alpha_{12} - \alpha_{21}) \tag{C.8}
$$

It is not hard to convince oneself that, since $\alpha_{11} + \alpha_{22}$ and α_{t3} are fluctuations of fields present in the background, this channel also captures the fluctuations α_{33} and ζ_3 , which are neutral under U(1)_R and U(1)_I separately and, therefore, also under its diagonal combination. Finally, the radial component α_{r3} also belongs to this channel, and keeping it to zero provides a first-order constraint.

$$
\alpha''_{i3} + \frac{3}{r}\alpha'_{i3} - L^4 \frac{k(k\alpha_{t3} + \omega \alpha_{x3})}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} - \frac{8a^2}{r^2}\alpha_{t3} - \frac{a}{r^2}(4a_t(\alpha_+ + \alpha_-) + \omega(\alpha_+ - \alpha_-)) = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\alpha''_{x3} + \frac{3}{r}\alpha'_{x3} + L^4 \frac{\omega(k\alpha_{t3} + \omega \alpha_{x3})}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} - \frac{8a^2}{r^2}\alpha_{x3} + \frac{a}{r^2}k(\alpha_+ - \alpha_-) = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\zeta''_3 + \frac{3}{r}\zeta'_3 - L^4 \frac{(k^2 - \omega^2)}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2}\zeta_3 - \frac{8a^2}{r^2}\zeta_3 - \frac{4a\phi}{r^2}(\alpha_+ + \alpha_-) = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\alpha''_{33} + \log'[r(r^2 + \phi^2)^2]] \alpha'_{33} - \left(L^4 \frac{(k^2 - \omega^2)}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} + \frac{8a^2}{r^2} - \frac{4}{r^2}\frac{r^2 - \phi^2 + 2r\phi\phi'}{r^2 + \phi^2}\right)\alpha_{33}
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{2a}{r^2} \frac{2a(r^2 + \phi^2) - 2r\phi\phi' + r^2 + 3\phi^2}{r^2 + \phi^2}(\alpha_+ + \alpha_-) = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\alpha''_\pm + \log'[r(r^2 + \phi^2)^2]] \alpha'_\pm - L^4 \frac{k^2 - (\omega \pm 2a_t)^2 + 4\phi^2}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2}\alpha_{\pm} - \frac{12a^2}{r^2}\alpha_{\pm} - \frac{4a^2}{r^2}\alpha_{\mp} + \frac{4}{r^2} \frac{r^2 - \phi^2 + 2r(1 + a)\phi\phi'}{r^2 + \phi^2}\alpha_{\pm} + \frac{4L^4(a_t^2 - \phi^2)}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \mp \frac{16L^4a\phi}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2}\zeta
$$

and the constraint

$$
L^4 r^3 (\omega \alpha'_{t3} + k \alpha'_{x3}) + 2a(1+a)(r^2 + \phi^2)^2 (\alpha_+ - \alpha_-) + a r (r^2 + \phi^2)^2 (\alpha'_+ - \alpha'_-) = 0.
$$
 (C.10)

5. SO(2) scalars charged under the diagonal of $U(1)_R \times U(1)_I$ and even. These two fluctuations carry two indices, one for each $U(1)$ group, and under the diagonal product they are charged. As usual, they are better expressed as the combinations

$$
\hat{\alpha}_{\pm} = \alpha_{11} - \alpha_{22} \pm i \left(\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{21} \right) , \qquad (C.11)
$$

in terms of which the equations of motion read

$$
\hat{\alpha}_{\pm}'' + \partial_r \log \left[r(r^2 + \phi^2)^2 \right] \hat{\alpha}_{\pm}' - L^4 \frac{k^2 - (\omega \pm 2a_t)^2 + 4\phi^2}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \hat{\alpha}_{\pm} + \frac{4}{r^2} \frac{r^2 - \phi^2 + 2r\phi\phi'}{r^2 + \phi^2} \hat{\alpha}_{\pm}
$$

$$
- \frac{4a}{r^2} \frac{2r\phi\phi' - r^2 - 3\phi^2}{r^2 + \phi^2} \hat{\alpha}_{\pm} = 0,
$$
\n(C.12)

6. SO(2) scalars neutral under the diagonal of $U(1)_R \times U(1)_I$ and odd. The fluctuations encountered in this channel are α_{t1} , α_{t2} , α_{x1} , α_{x2} , α_{13} , α_{23} , α_{31} , α_{32} , ζ_1 and ζ_2 . The radial components α_{r1} and α_{r2} also belong here, and keeping them to zero provide two first-order constraints. Notice that gauge transformation given by λ_1 and λ_2 in [\(3.7\)](#page-16-3) act in this channel as

$$
\alpha_{t1} = -i\omega\lambda_1 + 2 a_t(r)\lambda_2, \quad \alpha_{x1} = ik\lambda_1, \quad \alpha_{23} - \alpha_{32} = 4 a(r)\lambda_1, \quad \zeta_2 = -2 \phi(r)\lambda_1, \n\alpha_{t2} = -i\omega\lambda_2 - 2 a_t(r)\lambda_1, \quad \alpha_{x2} = ik\lambda_2, \quad \alpha_{13} - \alpha_{31} = -4 a(r)\lambda_2, \quad \zeta_1 = 2 \phi(r)\lambda_2.
$$
\n(C.13)

The set of second-order equations of motion reads

$$
\alpha_{tI}'' + \frac{3}{\rho} \alpha_{tI}' - \frac{4L^4 \phi^2}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \alpha_{tI} - L^4 \frac{k(k\alpha_{tI} + \omega \alpha_{xI})}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} - \frac{8a^2}{r^2} \alpha_{tI} - \frac{2L^4 ika_t}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \varepsilon_I^J \alpha_{xJ}
$$

+
$$
\frac{4L^4 \phi a_t}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \zeta_I + \frac{2i\omega a_t}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \varepsilon_I^J \zeta_J + \frac{4L^4 a_t a_t}{r^2} (\alpha_{I3} + \alpha_{3I})
$$

-
$$
\frac{2i\omega a}{r^2} \varepsilon_I^J (\alpha_{I3} - \alpha_{3J}) = 0,
$$

$$
\alpha_{xI}'' + \frac{3}{r} \alpha_{xI}' + L^4 \frac{\omega(k\alpha_{tI} + \omega \alpha_{xI})}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} - \frac{8a^2}{r^2} \alpha_{xI} + \frac{4L^4 i\omega a_t}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \varepsilon_I^J \alpha_{xJ}
$$

+
$$
\frac{4L^4 (a_t^2 - \phi^2)}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \alpha_{tI} + \frac{2L^4 ika_t}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \varepsilon_I^J \alpha_{tJ} - \frac{2L^4 ika_t}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \varepsilon_I^J \zeta_J
$$

+
$$
\frac{2ika}{r^2} \varepsilon_I^J (\alpha_{I3} - \alpha_{3J}) = 0,
$$

$$
\zeta_I'' + \frac{3}{\rho} \zeta_I - \frac{8a^2}{r^2} \zeta_I - L^4 \frac{k^2 - \omega^2 - 4a_t^2}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \zeta_I^J \alpha_{tJ} - \frac{4L^4 i\omega a_t}{(r^2 + \phi^2)^2} \varepsilon_I^J \zeta_J + \frac{4a\phi}{r^2} (\alpha_{I3} + \alpha_{3I})
$$

-
$$
\frac{4L^4 \phi a_t}{(r^2 + \phi
$$

where $I, J = 1, 2$ and the antisymmetric matrix has entries $\varepsilon_1^2 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_2^1 = -1$.

The constraints are

$$
L^4 \left(r^3 a_t (\alpha'_{tI} - \zeta'_I) - r^3 (a'_t \alpha_{tI} - \phi' \zeta_I) + i \frac{r^3}{2} \varepsilon_I^J (\omega \alpha'_{tJ} + k \alpha'_{xJ}) \right) + 2a(1+a)(r^2 + \phi^2)^2 (\alpha_{I3} - \alpha_{3I}) + a r (r^2 + \phi^2)^2 (\alpha'_{I3} - \alpha'_{rI}) = 0.
$$
 (C.15)

C.1 Neutral SO(2) scalar

This channel is given by a single, decoupling fluctuation β_3 , with equation of motion given in eq. [\(C.4\)](#page-39-3). In the instantonless limit, $a = 0$ and $\phi = M_q$, the equation reduces to the one in [\[30\]](#page-46-10), which implies a discrete spectrum of regular, normalisable solutions

$$
\omega^2 - k^2 = \frac{M_q^2}{L^4} 4(n+1)(n+2) \qquad \text{(with } n \ge 0) \,. \tag{C.16}
$$

For simplicity we write the remaining expressions in this subsection in terms of the dimensionless variables

$$
(\omega, k) = \frac{M_{\rm q}}{L^2} (\overline{\omega}, \overline{k}), \qquad r = M_{\rm q} \overline{r}, \qquad \Lambda = M_{\rm q} \overline{\Lambda} . \tag{C.17}
$$

From the equation of motion we obtain the UV and IR asymptotics

$$
\overline{\beta}_3 = \overline{s} + \frac{\overline{v}}{\overline{r}^2} + \frac{1}{2} (\overline{\omega}^2 - \overline{k}^2) \overline{s} \frac{\log[\overline{r}]}{\overline{r}^2} - \frac{3}{64} (\overline{\omega}^2 - \overline{k}^2)^2 \frac{\overline{s}}{\overline{r}^4} - \frac{(\overline{\omega}^2 - \overline{k}^2)^2}{16} \overline{s} \frac{\log[\overline{r}]}{\overline{r}^4} + \mathcal{O}(\overline{r}^{-6} \log[\overline{r}])
$$
\n
$$
\overline{\beta}_3 = e^{-\frac{\sqrt{\overline{k}^2 - \overline{\omega}^2}}{\overline{r}} \frac{\beta_3^{(0)}}{\overline{r}^{1/2}} \left(1 + i \frac{35\overline{\Lambda}^4 + 8(\overline{\omega}^2 - \overline{k}^2)}{8\overline{\Lambda}^4 \sqrt{\overline{\omega}^2 - \overline{k}^2}} \overline{r} + \mathcal{O}(\overline{r}^2) \right),
$$
\n(C.18)

respectively, and where $\beta_3 = M_q \beta_3$. We have already imposed an ingoing wave at the Poincaré horizon (see for example [\[71\]](#page-48-10)).

The spectral function is built by shooting from the IR with an arbitrary normalisation $\beta_3^{(0)}$ (0), and reading the UV parameters $\overline{v} = \overline{v}(\overline{\Lambda}, \overline{\omega}^2 - \overline{k}^2)$ and $\overline{s} = \overline{s}(\overline{\Lambda}, \overline{\omega}^2 - \overline{k}^2)$. The spectral function is given, up to a constant, by

$$
\chi \propto \text{Im} \frac{\overline{v}(\overline{\Lambda}, \overline{\omega}^2 - \overline{k}^2)}{\overline{s}(\overline{\Lambda}, \overline{\omega}^2 - \overline{k}^2)} \,. \tag{C.19}
$$

C.2 SO(2) scalars neutral under the diagonal of $U(1)_R \times U(1)_I$ and even

This section describes how to calculate the quasi-normal modes in the channel coupling the following fluctuations: α_{t3} , α_{x3} , α_{+} , α_{-} , α_{33} and ζ_3 , subjected to a first-order constraint. There are 6 fluctuations coupled in this channel, and each of these has an associated second order differential equation and there is one first-order constraint arising from the holographic gauge, so in total one expects 11 independent solutions. Of these, five are ingoing waves at the Poincaré horizon and five to be outgoing waves. The eleventh solution is a pure gauge solution to the equations of motion given by $(C.7)$. This arises since we are not working with gauge invariant combinations, which introduces a redundancy that we have to deal with as indicated below.

The UV asymptotics of the fields are

$$
\zeta_3 = s_3 + \frac{v_3}{r^2} + \cdots,
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_I = s_I + \frac{v_I}{r^2} + \cdots
$$
 (with $I = \{t3, x3\}$),
\n
$$
\alpha_J = \frac{s_I}{r^2} \log[r] + \frac{v_J}{r^2} + \cdots
$$
 (with $J = \{+, -, 33\}$), (C.20)

where

$$
v_{x3} = -\frac{\omega}{k} v_{t3} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{2kL^4} (s_+ - s_-) \tag{C.21}
$$

Near the Poincaré horizon the ingoing-wave boundary conditions implies

$$
\zeta_3 = e^{-L^2 \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - \omega^2}}{r}} r^{-1/2} \left(\zeta_3^{(0)} + \cdots \right),
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_{t3} = e^{-L^2 \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - \omega^2}}{r}} r^{-1/2} \left(\alpha_{t3}^{(0)} + \cdots \right),
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_{x3} = e^{-L^2 \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - \omega^2}}{r}} r^{-1/2} \left(-\frac{\omega}{k} \alpha_{t3}^{(0)} + \cdots \right),
$$

\n
$$
\alpha_J = e^{-L^2 \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - \omega^2}}{r}} r^{-3/2} \left(\alpha_J^{(0)} + \cdots \right) \qquad \text{(with } J = \{+, -, 33\}),
$$
\n(C.22)

where the five (0) -superscripted symbols are the free coefficients that parameterise any IR-regular solution.

To find the quasi-normal modes we follow [\[72,](#page-48-11) [73\]](#page-48-12): we fix Λ and k to some values (we are using the rescaled variables of eq. $(C.17)$) and we study the dependence on the complex frequency ω . We build five independent ingoing wave solutions by shooting from the IR with

$$
\{\alpha_{t3}^{(0)}, \alpha_{+}^{(0)}, \alpha_{-}^{(0)}, \alpha_{33}^{(0)}, \zeta_{3}^{(0)}\} = \begin{cases} \{1, 1, 1, 1, 1\} \\ \{1, -1, 1, 1, 1\} \\ \{1, 1, -1, 1, 1\} \\ \{1, 1, 1, -1, 1\} \\ \{1, 1, 1, -1\} \end{cases}
$$
(C.23)

Once the numeric solutions are obtained we can read the six source terms, s_X , in [\(C.20\)](#page-43-0). The coefficients s_3 , s_{t3} and s_{x3} are easy to get from the constant value the numeric solution tends to. To obtain the s_j , given the presence of the logarithm, it is better to focus instead on

$$
r^2 (r \alpha'_J + 2\alpha_J) \simeq s_J + \cdots \tag{C.24}
$$

This allows to construct five 6-tuples, denoted here as $S_{1,\dots,5}$, of asymptotic values for the sources. To determine the quasi-normal modes we want to find the values of the complex frequency such that a linear combinations of the $S_{1,\dots,5}$ 6-tuples produces source-less ingoing solutions. Actually, since we are working with the gauge-dependent variables, we want that a linear combination of the $S_{1,\cdots,5}$ be proportional to the corresponding 6-tuple for the pure gauge solution $(C.7)$. This means

$$
\det \begin{pmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \\ S_4 \\ S_5 \\ S_{\text{gauge}} \end{pmatrix} = 0 . \tag{C.25}
$$

Notice that these are two conditions (real and imaginary part of the determinant) for two variables (real and imaginary part of the frequency). Once we find a quasi-normal mode we can track how its position changes when k or Λ are varied.

C.3 SO(2) scalars neutral under the diagonal of $U(1)_R \times U(1)_I$ and odd

This section describes how to calculate the quasi-normal modes in the channel coupling the following fluctuations: α_{t1} , α_{t2} , α_{x1} , α_{x2} , α_{13} , α_{23} , α_{31} , α_{32} , ζ_1 and ζ_2 , subjected to two first-order constraints. Actually, it is a straightforward generalisation of the previous case, but in this case there are eight ingoing-wave solutions, eight outcoming-wave ones and two pure gauge solutions given by [\(C.13\)](#page-41-0)

The UV asymptotics of the fields are

$$
\zeta_H = s_H + \frac{v_H}{r^2} + \cdots \qquad \text{(with } H = \{1, 2\}),
$$
\n
$$
\alpha_I = s_I + \frac{v_I}{r^2} + \cdots \qquad \text{(with } I = \{t1, x1, t2, x2\}),
$$
\n
$$
\alpha_J = \frac{s_I}{r^2} \log[r] + \frac{v_J}{r^2} + \cdots \qquad \text{(with } J = \{13, 23, 31, 32\}),
$$
\n(C.26)

where

$$
v_{x1} = -\frac{\omega}{k}v_{t1} + \frac{i}{k} \left[2M_q \left(v_2 - v_{t2} \right) + 2M_q \Lambda^2 \left(s_2 - s_{t2} \right) - \frac{\Lambda^2}{L^4} \left(s_{23} - s_{32} \right) \right],
$$

\n
$$
v_{x2} = -\frac{\omega}{k}v_{t2} - \frac{i}{k} \left[2M_q \left(v_1 - v_{t1} \right) + 2M_q \Lambda^2 \left(s_1 - s_{t1} \right) - \frac{\Lambda^2}{L^4} \left(s_{13} - s_{31} \right) \right].
$$
\n(C.27)

Near the Poincaré horizon the ingoing-wave boundary condition implies

$$
\zeta_H = e^{-L^2 \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - \omega^2}}{r}} r^{-1/2} \left(\zeta_H^{(0)} + \cdots \right) \qquad \text{(with } H = \{1, 2\}),
$$
\n
$$
\alpha_{tH} = e^{-L^2 \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - \omega^2}}{r}} r^{-1/2} \left(\alpha_{tH}^{(0)} + \cdots \right) \qquad \text{(with } H = \{1, 2\}),
$$
\n
$$
\alpha_{xH} = e^{-L^2 \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - \omega^2}}{r}} r^{-1/2} \left(-\frac{\omega}{k} \alpha_{tH}^{(0)} + \cdots \right) \qquad \text{(with } H = \{1, 2\}),
$$
\n
$$
\alpha_J = e^{-L^2 \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - \omega^2}}{r}} r^{-3/2} \left(\alpha_J^{(0)} + \cdots \right) \qquad \text{(with } J = \{13, 23, 31, 32\}),
$$
\n(6.28)

where the eight (0) -superscripted symbols are the free coefficients that parameterise any IR-regular solution. Quasi-normal modes are obtained exactly as before.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(CC-BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] J.M. Maldacena, The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, [Int. J.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961) [Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026654312961) 38 (1999) 1113 [[hep-th/9711200](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9711200)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9711200)].
- [2] J. Erdmenger, N. Evans, I. Kirsch and E. Threlfall, Mesons in Gauge/Gravity Duals — A Review, [Eur. Phys. J.](https://doi.org/10.1140/epja/i2007-10540-1) A 35 (2008) 81 [[arXiv:0711.4467](https://arxiv.org/abs/0711.4467)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0711.4467)].
- [3] A.V. Ramallo, *Introduction to the AdS/CFT correspondence*, *[Springer Proc. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12238-0_10)* **161** [\(2015\) 411](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12238-0_10) [[arXiv:1310.4319](https://arxiv.org/abs/1310.4319)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1310.4319)].
- [4] J. Erdmenger, *Introduction to Gauge/Gravity Duality*, [PoS\(TASI2017\)001](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.305.0001) [[arXiv:1807.09872](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.09872)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1807.09872)].
- [5] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(98)00377-3) **B 428** (1998) 105 [[hep-th/9802109](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9802109)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9802109)].
- [6] E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter space and holography, [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.1998.v2.n2.a2) 2 (1998) 253 [[hep-th/9802150](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9802150)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9802150)].
- [7] M.G. Alford, A. Schmitt, K. Rajagopal and T. Schäfer, *Color superconductivity in dense* quark matter, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.80.1455) 80 (2008) 1455 [[arXiv:0709.4635](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.4635)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0709.4635)].
- [8] P. de Forcrand, Simulating QCD at finite density, [PoS\(LAT2009\)010](https://doi.org/10.22323/1.091.0010) [[arXiv:1005.0539](https://arxiv.org/abs/1005.0539)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1005.0539)].
- [9] D.T. Son and M.A. Stephanov, *QCD at finite isospin density, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.592)* 86 (2001) 592 [[hep-ph/0005225](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0005225)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0005225)].
- [10] H.-Y. Chen, K. Hashimoto and S. Matsuura, Towards a Holographic Model of Color-Flavor Locking Phase, JHEP 02 [\(2010\) 104](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2010)104) $\text{arXiv:}0909.1296$ [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0909.1296)].
- [11] P. Basu, F. Nogueira, M. Rozali, J.B. Stang and M. Van Raamsdonk, Towards A Holographic Model of Color Superconductivity, New J. Phys. 13 [\(2011\) 055001](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/13/5/055001) [[arXiv:1101.4042](https://arxiv.org/abs/1101.4042)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1101.4042)].
- [12] M. Rozali, D. Smyth and E. Sorkin, Holographic Higgs Phases, JHEP 08 [\(2012\) 118](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2012)118) [[arXiv:1202.5271](https://arxiv.org/abs/1202.5271)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1202.5271)].
- [13] K. Bitaghsir Fadafan, J. Cruz Rojas and N. Evans, Holographic description of color superconductivity, Phys. Rev. D 98 [\(2018\) 066010](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.066010) $arXiv:1803.03107$ [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1803.03107)].
- [14] R. Harnik, D.T. Larson and H. Murayama, Supersymmetric color superconductivity, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/03/049) 03 [\(2004\) 049](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2004/03/049) [[hep-ph/0309224](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0309224)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-ph/0309224)].
- [15] M. Arai and N. Okada, *Color superconductivity in* $N = 2$ supersymmetric gauge theories, Phys. Rev. **D 74** [\(2006\) 045004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.74.045004) [[hep-th/0512234](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0512234)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0512234)].
- [16] B.S. Rajput and S. Kumar, Color superconductivity in supersymmetric gauge theories, [Int. J.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-010-0643-4) [Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-010-0643-4) **50** (2011) 1342 [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Int.J.Theor.Phys.,50,1342%22)].
- [17] J. Casalderrey-Solana, H. Liu, D. Mateos, K. Rajagopal and U.A. Wiedemann, Gauge/String Duality, Hot QCD and Heavy Ion Collisions, $arXiv:1101.0618$ [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1101.0618)].
- [18] D. Mateos, Gauge/string duality applied to heavy ion collisions: Limitations, insights and prospects, J. Phys. **G 38** [\(2011\) 124030](https://doi.org/10.1088/0954-3899/38/12/124030) [[arXiv:1106.3295](https://arxiv.org/abs/1106.3295)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1106.3295)].
- [19] A. Karch and E. Katz, Adding flavor to AdS/CFT, JHEP 06 [\(2002\) 043](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/06/043) [[hep-th/0205236](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0205236)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0205236)].
- [20] Z. Guralnik, S. Kovacs and B. Kulik, *Holography and the Higgs branch of* $N = 2$ *SYM* theories, JHEP 03 [\(2005\) 063](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/03/063) [[hep-th/0405127](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0405127)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0405127)].
- [21] Z. Guralnik, Strong coupling dynamics of the Higgs branch: Rolling a Higgs by collapsing an instanton, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.09.018) **B 732** (2006) 46 [[hep-th/0412074](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0412074)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0412074)].
- [22] Z. Guralnik, S. Kovacs and B. Kulik, AdS/CFT duality and the Higgs branch of $N = 2$ SYM, [Fortsch. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.200510207) 53 (2005) 480 [[hep-th/0501154](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0501154)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0501154)].
- [23] M. Ammon, K. Jensen, K.-Y. Kim, J.N. Laia and A. O'Bannon, Moduli Spaces of Cold Holographic Matter, JHEP 11 [\(2012\) 055](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2012)055) [[arXiv:1208.3197](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3197)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1208.3197)].
- [24] S. Kobayashi, D. Mateos, S. Matsuura, R.C. Myers and R.M. Thomson, Holographic phase transitions at finite baryon density, JHEP $\overline{02}$ [\(2007\) 016](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/02/016) [[hep-th/0611099](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0611099)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0611099)].
- [25] J. Erdmenger, M. Kaminski and F. Rust, Isospin diffusion in thermal AdS/CFT with flavor, Phys. Rev. **D 76** [\(2007\) 046001](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.76.046001) [[arXiv:0704.1290](https://arxiv.org/abs/0704.1290)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0704.1290)].
- [26] J. Erdmenger, M. Kaminski and F. Rust, Holographic vector mesons from spectral functions at finite baryon or isospin density, Phys. Rev. D 77 [\(2008\) 046005](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.046005) [[arXiv:0710.0334](https://arxiv.org/abs/0710.0334)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0710.0334)].
- [27] J. Erdmenger, M. Kaminski, P. Kerner and F. Rust, Finite baryon and isospin chemical potential in AdS/CFT with flavor, JHEP 11 [\(2008\) 031](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/11/031) $arXiv:0807.2663$ [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0807.2663)].
- [28] A. Parnachev, Holographic QCD with Isospin Chemical Potential, JHEP 02 [\(2008\) 062](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/062) [[arXiv:0708.3170](https://arxiv.org/abs/0708.3170)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0708.3170)].
- [29] O. Aharony, K. Peeters, J. Sonnenschein and M. Zamaklar, Rho meson condensation at finite isospin chemical potential in a holographic model for QCD , JHEP 02 [\(2008\) 071](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/02/071) [[arXiv:0709.3948](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.3948)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0709.3948)].
- [30] M. Kruczenski, D. Mateos, R.C. Myers and D.J. Winters, Meson spectroscopy in AdS/CFT with flavor, JHEP 07 [\(2003\) 049](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/07/049) [[hep-th/0304032](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0304032)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0304032)].
- [31] J. Erdmenger, J. Grosse and Z. Guralnik, Spectral flow on the Higgs branch and AdS/CFT duality, JHEP 06 [\(2005\) 052](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2005/06/052) [[hep-th/0502224](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0502224)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0502224)].
- [32] A.F. Faedo, D. Mateos, C. Pantelidou and J. Tarrío, A Supersymmetric Color Superconductor from Holography, JHEP 05 [\(2019\) 106](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2019)106) [[arXiv:1807.09712](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.09712)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1807.09712)].
- [33] A.A. Tseytlin, On nonAbelian generalization of Born-Infeld action in string theory, [Nucl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00354-4) Phys. **B 501** [\(1997\) 41](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00354-4) [[hep-th/9701125](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9701125)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9701125)].
- [34] R.C. Myers, *Dielectric branes, JHEP* 12 [\(1999\) 022](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1999/12/022) [[hep-th/9910053](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9910053)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9910053)].
- [35] A. Hashimoto, The Shape of branes pulled by strings, Phys. Rev. D 57 [\(1998\) 6441](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.57.6441) [[hep-th/9711097](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9711097)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9711097)].
- [36] D. Bak, J.-H. Lee and H. Min, Dynamics of BPS states in the Dirac-Born-Infeld theory, Phys. Rev. D 59 [\(1999\) 045011](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.045011) [[hep-th/9806149](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9806149)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9806149)].
- [37] N.D. Lambert and D. Tong, *Dyonic instantons in five-dimensional gauge theories*, *[Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00894-1)* Lett. **B** 462 [\(1999\) 89](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00894-1) $[hept-th/9907014]$ $[INSPIRE]$ $[INSPIRE]$ $[INSPIRE]$.
- [38] D. Arean, A.V. Ramallo and D. Rodriguez-Gomez, *Holographic flavor on the Higgs branch*, JHEP 05 [\(2007\) 044](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/05/044) [[hep-th/0703094](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0703094)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0703094)].
- [39] M. Zamaklar, *Geometry of the nonAbelian DBI dyonic instanton, [Phys. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)01164-3)* **B 493** (2000) [411](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0370-2693(00)01164-3) [[hep-th/0006090](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0006090)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0006090)].
- [40] R. Apreda, J. Erdmenger, N. Evans and Z. Guralnik, Strong coupling effective Higgs potential and a first order thermal phase transition from AdS/CFT duality, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.126002) D 71 [\(2005\) 126002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.126002) [[hep-th/0504151](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0504151)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0504151)].
- [41] E. Eyras, P.K. Townsend and M. Zamaklar, The Heterotic dyonic instanton, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/05/046) 05 [\(2001\) 046](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/05/046) [[hep-th/0012016](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0012016)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0012016)].
- [42] S.S. Gubser, Thermodynamics of spinning D3-branes, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00194-7) B 551 (1999) 667 [[hep-th/9810225](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9810225)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9810225)].
- [43] A. Chamblin, R. Emparan, C.V. Johnson and R.C. Myers, Charged AdS black holes and catastrophic holography, Phys. Rev. \bf{D} 60 [\(1999\) 064018](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.60.064018) [[hep-th/9902170](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9902170)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9902170)].
- [44] M. Cvetič and S.S. Gubser, *Phases of R charged black holes, spinning branes and strongly* coupled gauge theories, JHEP 04 [\(1999\) 024](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/1999/04/024) [[hep-th/9902195](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9902195)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9902195)].
- [45] I. Amado, D. Arean, A. Jimenez-Alba, K. Landsteiner, L. Melgar and I.S. Landea, Holographic Type II Goldstone bosons, JHEP 07 [\(2013\) 108](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2013)108) [[arXiv:1302.5641](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.5641)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1302.5641)].
- [46] H.B. Nielsen and S. Chadha, On How to Count Goldstone Bosons, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(76)90025-0) B 105 (1976) [445](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(76)90025-0) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Nucl.Phys.,B105,445%22)].
- [47] H. Watanabe and T. Brauner, On the number of Nambu-Goldstone bosons and its relation to charge densities, Phys. Rev. D 84 [\(2011\) 125013](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.125013) [[arXiv:1109.6327](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.6327)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1109.6327)].
- [48] H. Watanabe and H. Murayama, Unified Description of Nambu-Goldstone Bosons without Lorentz Invariance, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.251602) 108 (2012) 251602 [[arXiv:1203.0609](https://arxiv.org/abs/1203.0609)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1203.0609)].
- [49] H. Watanabe and H. Murayama, Redundancies in Nambu-Goldstone Bosons, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.181601) Lett. 110 [\(2013\) 181601](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.181601) [[arXiv:1302.4800](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.4800)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1302.4800)].
- [50] A. Nicolis and F. Piazza, Implications of Relativity on Nonrelativistic Goldstone Theorems: Gapped Excitations at Finite Charge Density, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.011602) 110 (2013) 011602 [[arXiv:1204.1570](https://arxiv.org/abs/1204.1570)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1204.1570)].
- [51] A. Kapustin, Remarks on nonrelativistic Goldstone bosons, [arXiv:1207.0457](https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.0457) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1207.0457)].
- [52] J. Erdmenger, N. Evans and J. Grosse, Heavy-light mesons from the AdS/CFT $correspondence, JHEP$ 01 [\(2007\) 098](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/01/098) [[hep-th/0605241](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0605241)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0605241)].
- [53] J. Erdmenger, K. Ghoroku and I. Kirsch, Holographic heavy-light mesons from non-Abelian DBI, JHEP 09 [\(2007\) 111](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/111) [[arXiv:0706.3978](https://arxiv.org/abs/0706.3978)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0706.3978)].
- [54] I.R. Klebanov and E. Witten, AdS/CFT correspondence and symmetry breaking, [Nucl. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00387-9) B 556 [\(1999\) 89](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(99)00387-9) [[hep-th/9905104](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9905104)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/9905104)].
- [55] A. Karch and A. O'Bannon, Holographic thermodynamics at finite baryon density: Some exact results, JHEP 11 [\(2007\) 074](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/11/074) [[arXiv:0709.0570](https://arxiv.org/abs/0709.0570)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0709.0570)].
- [56] D. Mateos and P.K. Townsend, Supertubes, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.011602) 87 (2001) 011602 [[hep-th/0103030](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0103030)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0103030)].
- [57] R. Emparan, D. Mateos and P.K. Townsend, Supergravity supertubes, JHEP 07 [\(2001\) 011](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2001/07/011) [[hep-th/0106012](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0106012)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0106012)].
- [58] H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos and H.S. Reall, A Supersymmetric black ring, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.211302) Lett. 93 [\(2004\) 211302](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.93.211302) [[hep-th/0407065](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0407065)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0407065)].
- [59] H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos and H.S. Reall, Supersymmetric black rings and three-charge supertubes, Phys. Rev. D 71 [\(2005\) 024033](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.024033) [[hep-th/0408120](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0408120)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0408120)].
- [60] I. Bena and N.P. Warner, One ring to rule them all . . . and in the darkness bind them?, [Adv.](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.2005.v9.n5.a1) [Theor. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.4310/ATMP.2005.v9.n5.a1) 9 (2005) 667 [[hep-th/0408106](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0408106)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0408106)].
- [61] J.P. Gauntlett and J.B. Gutowski, Concentric black rings, Phys. Rev. D 71 [\(2005\) 025013](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.025013) [[hep-th/0408010](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0408010)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0408010)].
- [62] J.P. Gauntlett and J.B. Gutowski, General concentric black rings, [Phys. Rev.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.045002) D 71 (2005) [045002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.71.045002) [[hep-th/0408122](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0408122)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0408122)].
- [63] S. Kim and K.-M. Lee, Dyonic instanton as supertube between D-4 branes, JHEP 09 [\(2003\)](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/09/035) [035](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/09/035) [[hep-th/0307048](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0307048)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0307048)].
- [64] R. Jackiw, C. Nohl and C. Rebbi, Conformal Properties of Pseudoparticle Configurations, Phys. Rev. **D 15** [\(1977\) 1642](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.15.1642) [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+J+%22Phys.Rev.,D15,1642%22)].
- [65] M.-Y. Choi, K.K. Kim, C. Lee and K.-M. Lee, Higgs structures of dyonic instantons, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/097) 04 [\(2008\) 097](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/04/097) [[arXiv:0712.0735](https://arxiv.org/abs/0712.0735)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0712.0735)].
- [66] A.F. Faedo, D. Mateos, C. Pantelidou and J. Tarrio, Unquenched flavor on the Higgs branch, JHEP 11 [\(2016\) 021](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2016)021) [[arXiv:1607.07773](https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.07773)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1607.07773)].
- [67] F. Bigazzi, A.L. Cotrone, J. Mas, D. Mayerson and J. Tarrio, D3-D7 quark-gluon Plasmas at Finite Baryon Density, JHEP 04 [\(2011\) 060](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP04(2011)060) [[arXiv:1101.3560](https://arxiv.org/abs/1101.3560)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1101.3560)].
- [68] A.F. Faedo, D. Mateos, C. Pantelidou and J. Tarrio, Towards a Holographic Quark Matter Crystal, JHEP 10 [\(2017\) 139](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2017)139) [Erratum ibid. 1907 (2019) 058] [[arXiv:1707.06989](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.06989)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1707.06989)].
- [69] F. Bigazzi, A.L. Cotrone, J. Mas, A. Paredes, A.V. Ramallo and J. Tarrio, D3-D7 quark-gluon Plasmas, JHEP 11 [\(2009\) 117](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/11/117) [[arXiv:0909.2865](https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.2865)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0909.2865)].
- [70] A.F. Faedo, D. Mateos, C. Pantelidou and J. Tarrio, *Holography with a Landau pole, [JHEP](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2017)047)* 02 [\(2017\) 047](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2017)047) [[arXiv:1611.05808](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.05808)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1611.05808)].
- [71] D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, Minkowski space correlators in AdS/CFT correspondence: Recipe and applications, JHEP 09 [\(2002\) 042](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2002/09/042) [[hep-th/0205051](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0205051)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+hep-th/0205051)].
- [72] I. Amado, M. Kaminski and K. Landsteiner, Hydrodynamics of Holographic Superconductors, JHEP 05 [\(2009\) 021](https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2009/05/021) [[arXiv:0903.2209](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.2209)] [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0903.2209)].
- [73] M. Kaminski, K. Landsteiner, J. Mas, J.P. Shock and J. Tarrio, Holographic Operator Mixing and Quasinormal Modes on the Brane, JHEP 02 [\(2010\) 021](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP02(2010)021) [$arXiv:0911.3610$ [IN[SPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:0911.3610)].