1	Bacterial sensors define intracellular free energies for correct enzyme metalation		
2			
3	Deenah Osman <sup>1,2,†</sup> , Maria Alessandra Martini <sup>1,†</sup> , Andrew W. Foster <sup>1,2,†</sup> , Junjun Chen <sup>3</sup> , Andrew J. P.		
4	Scott <sup>1</sup> , Richard J. Morton <sup>4</sup> , Jonathan W. Steed <sup>2</sup> , Elena Lurie-Luke <sup>1</sup> , Thomas G. Huggins <sup>3</sup> , Andrew D.		
5	Lawrence <sup>5</sup> , Evelyne Deery <sup>5</sup> , Martin J. Warren <sup>5</sup> , Peter T. Chivers <sup>1,2</sup> , Nigel J. Robinson <sup>1,2</sup> *		
6			
7	<sup>1</sup> Department of Biosciences, Durham University, Durham, UK. <sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, Durham		
8	University, Durham, UK. <sup>3</sup> Procter and Gamble, Mason Business Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA.		
9	<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics, Physics and Electrical Engineering, Northumbria University,		
10	Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK. 5School of Biosciences, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent, UK.		
11			
12	<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.		
13			
14	*Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to N.J.R. (email:		
15	nigel.robinson@durham.ac.uk), or P.T.C. (e-mail: peter.chivers@durham.ac.uk).		

16 There is a challenge for metalloenzymes to acquire their correct metals because some inorganic elements form more stable complexes with proteins than do others. These preferences can be 17 overcome provided some metals are more available than others. However, while the total 18 amount of cellular metal can be readily measured, the available levels of each metal have been 19 20 more difficult to define. Metal-sensing transcriptional regulators are tuned to the intracellular availabilities of their cognate ions. Here we have determined the standard free energy for metal 21 22 complex formation to which each sensor, in a set of bacterial metal sensors, is attuned: The less 23 competitive the metal, the less favorable the free energy and hence greater availability to which 24 the cognate allosteric mechanism is tuned. Comparing these free energies with values derived 25 from the metal affinities of a metalloprotein reveals the mechanism of correct metalation 26 exemplified here by a cobalt-chelatase for vitamin B<sub>12</sub>.

Metalloenzymes catalyse approximately half of the reactions of life<sup>1-4</sup>. However, because proteins are 27 flexible they select metals imperfectly and have a common order of affinities with, for example, 28 copper and Zn(II) forming tighter complexes than Mn(II)<sup>1-4</sup>. This raises a question about how cells 29 simultaneously metalate proteins that require tight-binding metals and those that require weaker-30 31 binding ones. A solution is for cells to maintain more competitive metals at lower availabilities than less competitive ones<sup>2</sup>. Under these conditions subtle differences in metal affinities between proteins 32 should enable them to acquire different metals, but what are the vital metal availabilities and how can 33 34 they be measured?

35 Bacterial DNA-binding, metal-sensing transcriptional regulators control the expression of genes encoding proteins of metal homeostasis including transport proteins that import metals which 36 are deficient or export those in excess<sup>5-7</sup>. Sensitivity is tuned to a buffered, available, intracellular 37 38 metal concentration, such that when sensitivity is adjusted a sensor ceases to detect any change in metal levels<sup>8</sup>. The metal affinities of sensors ( $K_1$ , Fig. 1a), have previously been used as first 39 40 approximations of their metal-sensitivities, and such values suggest that Cu(I) and Zn(II) are indeed held to lower availabilities than Mn(II)<sup>5,6,9</sup>. Furthermore, diverse types of estimate of intracellular 41 42 metal concentrations from eclectic organisms support a view that the cytosol buffers metals that form more stable complexes to lower concentrations than those that form weaker complexes<sup>8</sup>. For example, 43 44 fluorescent probes also indicate that cytosolic Cu(I) and Zn(II) are at much lower available concentrations than Mn(II)<sup>10</sup>. By further developing an approach that accounts for allostery as well as 45 46  $K_1$ , which was used to determine the metal-sensitivities and -specificities of Co(II) and Zn(II) sensors in Salmonella Typhimurium (hereafter Salmonella)<sup>11</sup>, the purpose of this work was to measure the 47 48 sensitivities of a complete set of metal sensors in order to define metal availabilities inside a cell and, by so doing, to understand the mechanism of protein metalation. 49

50 In the course of this work seven sensors were further characterised in *Salmonella*. For each 51 sensor, the objective was to calculate change in DNA binding (or activation of the two activators) as a 52 function of available intracellular metal concentration. To do this, a complete set of parameters have 53 been measured and combined. At each buffered metal concentration a fraction of each DNA target 54 will be bound to its cognate sensor ( $\theta_D$ ). For the two activators the proportion bound solely to

55 metalated-sensor is the relevant parameter ( $\theta_{\rm DM}$ ), since only the metal bound forms of these activators distort the respective promoters to enable the recruitment of RNA polymerase (Fig. 1b)<sup>12</sup>. Since 56 metal- and DNA-binding are allosterically coupled, it should be possible to calculate these fractions 57  $(\theta_{\rm D} \text{ or } \theta_{\rm DM})$  if the number of sensor molecules per cell (P), the number of promoter targets per cell 58 59 (D), the affinity of each sensor for its cognate metal  $(K_1)$ , the affinity for DNA of metal-free and of metal-bound sensor ( $K_3$  and  $K_4$  respectively on Fig. 1a) are all known<sup>11</sup>. Importantly, metal transfer 60 61 between the sensors and exchangeable intracellular binding sites (metal buffer), can occur by 62 associative ligand exchange ( $K_6$  to  $K_9$  on Fig. 1a), even when the intracellular milieu buffers a metal to a concentration equating to less than one hydrated ion per cell volume ( $<2 \times 10^{-9}$  M for 63 Salmonella). Ligand exchange reactions can be rapid, enabling thermodynamic equilibrium to be 64 65 approached without (slow) metal release to the hydrated state. Here, we have obtained the above 66 thermodynamic values for the set of Salmonella metal sensors. All of these parameters were then 67 combined, taking into account any change in sensor abundance with exposure to metal, in order to calculate  $\theta_D$  or  $\theta_{DM}$  as a function of metal concentration and hence the tuning of each sensor. 68 69 The purpose of this work was to understand the mechanism by which proteins acquire the 70 correct metal. The cobalt chelatase for vitamin B<sub>12</sub> biosynthesis was used as an exemplar and its 71 affinities for metals determined. In isolation, these affinities suggested that the chelatase should

become mis-metalated with copper. But, when values for the chelatase were compared to the buffered

73 intracellular metal availabilities to which the sensors were attuned, the mechanism of correct

74 metalation with cobalt was revealed.

75

### 76 **RESULTS**

# 77 The metals detected by *Salmonella* sensors.

There is experimental evidence that six DNA-binding proteins regulate gene expression in a metaldependent fashion in *Salmonella*<sup>11,13,14</sup>: These DNA-binding proteins include two metal-dependent
transcriptional activators (the copper efflux regulator CueR and the zinc transcriptional regulator
ZntR<sup>15</sup>), a metal-dependent de-repressor (the resistance to cobalt and nickel regulator RcnR<sup>16,17</sup>), and
metal-dependent co-repressors (the manganese transport regulator MntR<sup>18</sup>, the ferric uptake regulator

Fur and the zinc uptake regulator Zur<sup>19-21</sup>). One additional metal-dependent co-repressor (the nickel-83 responsive regulator NikR) can also be predicted from homology and was therefore included in this 84 study (Supplementary Fig. 1)<sup>22</sup>. Consensus nucleotide-binding sequences have been identified in the 85 promoters of genes regulated by each sensor (Supplementary Fig. 1b,c). The cognate metals detected 86 87 by each Salmonella sensor were first authenticated by measuring the expression of their target genes by quantitative PCR (qPCR; Fig. 1c) and end-point reverse transcriptase PCR after prolonged (4 to 16 88 89 h) exposure of cultures to metal concentrations that inhibit growth by  $\leq 15\%$  (Supplementary Fig. 2). 90 Transcripts under the control of activators, CueR and ZntR, increased in abundance in response to 91 Cu(I) and Zn(II) respectively, those controlled by de-repressor RcnR, increased in response to Co(II) plus Ni(II), while those controlled by co-repressors MntR, Fur, Zur plus NikR, decreased in 92 93 abundance in response to Mn(II), Fe(II), Zn(II) and Ni(II) respectively (Fig. 1c and Supplementary 94 Fig. 2d-g).

95

### 96 Affinities of sensors that complete a set of values.

Metal and DNA affinities have recently been measured for RcnR and Zur<sup>11</sup>, and a Cu(I) affinity was 97 98 previously determined for CueR<sup>23</sup>. To enable unknown affinities to be measured, six Salmonella 99 sensors were over-expressed and purified to homogeneity (Fig. 1d), including Zur for additional 100 measurements of non-specific DNA binding and the effect of salt on DNA binding affinity. One 101 monomer-equivalent of Ni(II) (Fig. 2a), two monomer-equivalents of Fe(II) (Fig. 2b), and two 102 monomer-equivalents of Mn(II) (Fig. 2c), co-migrated with NikR, Fur and MntR, respectively, during 103 gel-filtration chromatography. Upon titration of NikR (10.6 µM) with Ni(II), a Ni(II)-NikR 104 absorbance feature at 302 nm increased linearly and saturated at ~ 10  $\mu$ M Ni(II), again indicating a stoichiometry of 1:1 Ni(II):NikR (Fig. 2d,e). Competition between NikR and EGTA for Ni(II) 105 enabled a Ni(II) affinity to be calculated (Fig. 2f, Table 1). Upon titration of Fur (10.3 µM) with 106 Fe(II), fluorescence decreased linearly and saturated at ~ 20 µM Fe(II) consistent with a stoichiometry 107 of 2:1 Fe(II):Fur (Fig. 2g-h). Competition between Fur and nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) for Fe(II) 108 enabled a Fe(II) affinity to be determined (Fig. 2i, Table 1). The affinity of MntR for Mn(II) was 109 110 determined by competition with the fluorescent probe mag-fura-2 (Fig. 2j), and a Mn(II)-affinity (6.1

111  $(\pm 0.4) \times 10^{-6}$  M) for mag-fura-2 was separately established by direct titration (Fig. 2k). These data 112 showed that Mn(II) has the weakest affinity for its cognate sensor, relative to other metals and cognate 113 sensors (Table 1).

Binding of five sensors to DNA was monitored by fluorescence anisotropy with

hexachlorofluorescein-labelled promoter fragments at limiting concentrations (Supplementary Fig. 6).

116 The numbers of multimers (dimer or tetramer) that formed the tightest DNA complexes were also

analysed with high (>0.1 µM) concentrations of DNA (Supplementary Fig. 7). DNA-binding

affinities, *K*<sup>3</sup> and *K*<sup>4</sup>, were then determined (Table 1). Metalated co-repressors (NikR, Fur and MntR)

119 (Supplementary Fig. 6f-h), formed tighter DNA complexes than their metal-free forms

120 (Supplementary Fig. 6a-c), confirming their mechanism.

121

# 122 Metals change the abundance of two sensors.

123 Some sensors are auto-regulatory and this variable has not previously been taken into account in determinations of metal sensitivity. The copy number (active multimers) per cell of each of the seven 124 sensors was next determined by quantitative multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mass spectrometry 125 126 using cells cultured in the presence and absence of elevated concentrations of cognate metal,  $P_1$  and 127  $P_0$  respectively (Fig. 3a,b and Supplementary Fig. 8). The data showed that the abundances of the Fe(II) and Co(II) sensors changed significantly in response to metal. In low iron, cells contained 128 approximately ten times more Fur dimers than any other sensor, consistent with its large regulon, and 129 130 this number more than doubled in elevated iron (Fig. 3b). Similarly, RcnR increased by four-fold in elevated Co(II). 131

We recently developed a method to simultaneously solve the equilibria in Fig. 1a and calculate  $\theta_D$  or  $\theta_{DM}$ , as shown in Fig. 1b, at different intracellular buffered Co(II) and Zn(II) concentrations, but this did not account for change in sensor abundance with metal<sup>11</sup>. For co-repressor Fur this method was used to calculate promoter occupancy ( $\theta_D$ ) at different concentrations of Fe(II) in cells with fixed sensor abundances  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ , and at protein abundance intervals of 10% (Fig. 3c). These data show that occupancy of some Fur-sites require *de novo* synthesis of Fur in elevated iron (~15%: The difference between the maximum values with  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  on Fig. 3c). If the affinity of *Salmonella*  139 Fe(II)-Fur for its DNA sites shows some variation, then the weaker sites will only become occupied as the amount of Fur increases in iron, contributing towards a graded response to iron at different Fur-140 regulated promoters<sup>24</sup>. By relating change in sensor abundance to change in promoter occupancy (Fig. 141 3c inset), further equations were derived to incorporate metal-dependent changes in sensor abundance 142 143 (Supplementary Note 2, Supplementary Dataset). Applying these equations revealed hysteresis modulating sensitivity to Co(II) and Fe(II) (Fig. 3c,d). De-repression by Co(II)-RcnR of its own 144 145 promoter leads to more RcnR being produced: In turn, increased RcnR suppresses the magnitude of 146 de-repression and so the response calculated with the metal-dependent change of RcnR abundance  $P_{\rm T}$ 147 is attenuated at lower [Co(II)] relative to a model with  $P_0$  alone (Fig. 3d).

148

# 149 Low buffered cytosolic metal relative to metal cell<sup>-1</sup>.

150 By applying the equations in Supplementary Note 2, via the spreadsheet in Supplementary Dataset, 151 the response of every sensor ( $\theta_{\rm D}$  or  $\theta_{\rm DM}$ ) to intracellular buffered concentrations of their cognate metal  $(K_5)$  was next calculated from the  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  values in Table 1,  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  values in Supplementary 152 Fig. 8b, and number of DNA targets in Supplementary Table 1 (Fig. 4a). The analyses assume that the 153 154 total amount of buffer and metal are sufficiently high that binding of metal to the sensor has no 155 significant effect on the buffered pool of metal. Simulations using solely metal affinity  $K_1$  matched 156 sensitivity for only two of the sensors and the remainder differed by approximately an order of magnitude, some higher and others lower (Supplementary Fig. 10). Although these differences 157 158 appeared relatively small on scales spanning eighteen log units, they were sufficient to influence subsequent predictions of metal specificities of the cobalt chelatase CbiK. Protein DNA affinities 159 follow a double log dependence on salt concentration<sup>25,26</sup>, as shown for Zur and NikR (Supplementary 160 Fig. 11). At 500 mM K<sup>+</sup> plus Na<sup>+</sup> (400 mM plus 100 mM respectively), metal sensitivities of most 161 sensors move closer to predictions obtained using solely  $K_1$  (Supplementary Fig. 12). The 162 experimental conditions used here, 300 mM K<sup>+</sup> plus Na<sup>+</sup>, were chosen to reflect standard internal ion 163 concentrations. Non-halophilic bacteria such as Salmonella and E. coli maintain a relatively constant 164 intracellular K<sup>+</sup> concentration within the range 200 to 500 mM<sup>27,28</sup>, with 240 mM K<sup>+</sup> used here, and in 165

standard M9 medium (containing 42 mM Na<sup>+</sup>) internal Na<sup>+</sup> is in the region of 50 mM<sup>27</sup>, with 60 mM
Na<sup>+</sup> used here.

Does a consideration of sensor-binding to non-specific DNA alter predicted metal 168 sensitivities? The amount of competing non-specific DNA per *E. coli* cell is estimated to be  $10^{-4}$  M 169 170 base pairs, with the remainder occluded for example by other DNA binding proteins<sup>29</sup>. Non-specific binding to the *nixA* promoter was analysed for Zn(II)-Zur and apo-Zur, then estimated for the other 171 172 sensors, allowing cubic equations that account for competition from non-specific DNA, to be solved 173 (Supplementary Fig. 13). However, the effect of including non-specific DNA binding on metalsensitivity was negligible (Supplementary Fig. 13c). MntR was estimated to have the tightest non-174 175 specific DNA affinity (due to its relatively tight  $K_3$ ) which was confirmed experimentally

176 (Supplementary Fig. 13d).

177 Available metal concentrations when each cognate sensor undergoes half of its response (Fig. 178 4a, Supplementary Table 2), are many orders of magnitude lower than total cellular metal expressed 179 as a concentration (Supplementary Table 3), and mostly imply negligible free, fully-hydrated, metals. 180 The apparent total metal concentrations in metal-replete cells are within two orders of magnitude of 181 each other for all metals. In contrast, the buffered available cytosolic metal concentrations to which 182 sensors are attuned vary by fifteen orders of magnitude (Supplementary Table 2 and Fig. 4a): The 183 differences between apparent total metal concentrations and available metal concentrations reflect 184 metal in the buffer, metal that is kinetically trapped and metal that is in non-cytosolic locations.

185 The calculations developed here can be used to better understand graded responses in bacterial metal homeostasis and the relationship between buffered metal concentrations and total 186 187 metal concentrations. A graded response to decreasing Zn(II) has been described for Zur regulated promoters in *B. subtilis* and *E. coli*<sup>30,31</sup>. The gradation has been attributed to negative cooperativity 188 between the Zn(II) sites of *B. subtilis* Zur, differing in affinity by ~ 20-fold<sup>31,32</sup>. Both Zn(II) sites must 189 be occupied for tight binding to the znuA promoter but only the first site is needed for the rpsNB 190 promoter, which encodes an alternative ribosomal protein that does not require Zn(II) and is part of a 191 Zn(II) sparing mechanism when cytosolic Zn(II) pools are depleted<sup>33</sup>. By applying the calculations 192 193 developed here, the sensitivity of B. subtilis Zur on the znuA promoter is remarkably similar to

194 Salmonella Zur on znuA, while regulation of rpsN is approximately an order of magnitude more sensitive to intracellular Zn(II) (Supplementary Fig. 14). The greater sensitivity of Zur on the rpsN 195 promoter is consistent with a role for the product of *rpsN* in 'fail-safe' ribosome synthesis upon 196 Zn(II)-depletion<sup>31</sup>. Similarly, by using the calculations developed here to re-examine regulation by E. 197 198 coli Zur, the magnitudes of the differences in Zur responses on znu and on a gene encoding a ribosomal protein are estimated to be similar in E. coli and in B. subtilis (Supplementary Fig. 14). In 199 200 both bacteria, the Zn(II)-sparing, ribosome-switching mechanisms respond at least an order of 201 magnitude below the buffered Zn(II) concentration that regulates the *znu* promoters, albeit by varying 202  $K_1$  in *B. subtilis* and  $K_4$  in *E. coli* (Supplementary Fig. 14).

203 In Fig. 4b, a Zn(II) buffer has been simulated by assigning an affinity mid-way between 204 proteins with 50% saturation when the responses of Salmonella ZntR and Zur are at 0.5 (Fig. 4a), and 205 the buffer has been assigned a capacity to bind up to a half of the total Zn(II) found in Zn(II)206 supplemented cells (Supplementary Table 3). The curve thus relates change in available buffered 207 metal concentration to total Zn(II), as the buffer transitions from depleted to saturated. The data points 208 reflect the Zn(II) concentrations at the mid-points of the response curves for Salmonella ZntR and Zur 209 (on znuA) plus B. subtilis Zur on the rpsNB promoter. This illustrates that while the magnitude of the 210 differences in buffered Zn(II) concentrations that regulate Salmonella ZntR and Zur, plus B. subtilis Zur on the rpsNB promoter (Fig. 4b), are modest compared to variation between sensors for different 211 metals (Fig. 4a), they become substantial when related to fractional saturation of a cytosolic Zn(II) 212 213 buffer and hence total Zn(II) cell<sup>-1</sup>.

214

# 215 Metal sensing follows the Irving-Williams series.

The term 'available intracellular metal concentrations' has the potential to be misleading because many metals are buffered to less than one hydrated ion per cell (Fig. 4a, Supplementary Table 2). Crucially, the hydrated species is less relevant if metal transfer is associative (Fig. 1a). An alternative is to describe availabilities in terms of standard free energies ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ). By comparing standard free energies, metal partitioning can be explained without reference to metal concentrations. The affinity of an unknown protein (or other molecule:  $K_A$ ), that would have 50% metal occupancy *in vivo* was 222 therefore calculated from available metal concentrations as derived from the tuning of metal sensors, here at 0.5 of their respective responses (Supplementary Note 3, Supplementary Table 2). The  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ 223 associated with metal binding to such a protein is shown, along with values for proteins with 20% and 224 80% occupancy (Fig. 4c). The data presented in Fig. 4c reveal that the metal availabilities to which 225 226 the set of metal sensors are attuned follows an order which is the inverse of the Irving-Williams series (Supplementary Fig. 15)<sup>4</sup>: The tighter the stability constants in the series, the more favorable the free 227 228 energies to which the cognate allosteric mechanisms are tuned and hence the lower the metal 229 availabilities. By also converting the metal affinities of proteins or other biomolecules to  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  values, a comparison of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  values will predict whether or not any given metal can transfer from the buffer 230 231 to the molecule, with metals flowing to the molecule with the more favorable  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ . A comparison of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  values will similarly identify metals that will be released to the cytosol from antimicrobial 232 233 ionophores<sup>34</sup>.

234

### **235** Free energies explain metalation of B<sub>12</sub> chelatase CbiK.

236 A third of metalloenzymes acquire metals from delivery proteins and/or contain metal-cofactors that 237 have metal-delivery proteins<sup>35</sup>. To test whether the values in Fig. 4c can explain how the correct 238 metals partition to a metal-delivery pathway, the metal-affinities, and hence free energies for 239 metalation,  $\Delta G^{\circ}$ , were determined for the CbiK cobalt chelatase from Salmonella. CbiK inserts cobalt in the anaerobic vitamin B<sub>12</sub> biosynthesis pathway<sup>36,37</sup>. CbiK was over-expressed and purified to 240 homogeneity (Fig. 5a). One monomer-equivalent of Co(II) co-migrated with CbiK during gel-241 filtration chromatography (Fig. 5b, Supplementary Fig. 16). Competition between CbiK and the 242 fluorescent probe fura-2 for Co(II) enabled a Co(II) affinity to be calculated (Fig. 5c, Supplementary 243 Table 4). Competition between CbiK and mag-fura-2 for Mn(II), Fe(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) enabled 244 affinities to be determined for Fe(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II), and for Fe(II) this was done in conjunction 245 with an Fe(II)-affinity  $(5.3 \pm 0.65) \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}$  for mag-fura-2 that was separately established (Fig. 5d-246 g, Supplementary Fig. 17, 18 and Supplementary Table 4). CbiK did not show any competition for 247 Mn(II) and an affinity weaker than 20 µM is established from the co-migration of sub-stoichiometric 248

249 amounts of Mn(II) with CbiK in gel filtration chromatography when the buffer contained 20  $\mu$ M 250 Mn(II), and no associated Mn(II) when the buffer was free of Mn(II) (Supplementary Fig. 16c,d). A mean (±s.d.) Cu(I) affinity of 7.7 (±1.3)  $\times$  10<sup>-14</sup> M for CbiK was determined by competition with 251 bicinchoninic acid titrated up to one equivalent of Cu(I), noting that protein precipitation occurred at 252 greater stoichiometries (Fig. 5h). Cu(I) forms substantially the most stable complexes with CbiK in 253 254 comparison to all other metals including Co(II) (Supplementary Table 4). The affinity for Co(II) is 255 comparable to that for Zn(II) and slightly weaker than Ni(II) (Supplementary Table 4). Viewed in 256 isolation, these values suggest that CbiK will be mis-metalated by ions other than Co(II) and 257 preferentially Cu(I).

258 To understand the mechanism by which CbiK acquires the correct metal, rather than Cu(I) 259 Ni(II) or Zn(II), affinities were converted to  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  values and compared to the  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for metalation to which sensors are tuned: For Mn(II) an arrow represents a limiting affinity of 20 µM or less (Fig. 4c). 260 CbiK only approached the  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for Co(II) estimating 15.4% occupancy (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Table 261 262 4). All other metals, including Cu(I), showed no significant occupancy with the exception of Fe(II) with an estimated 1% occupancy (Supplementary Table 4). CbiK is known to partly complement 263 bacterial cells missing the CysG iron chelatase for siroheme synthesis<sup>38</sup>, suggesting that CbiK can, 264 under such circumstances, obtain some Fe(II). The Co(II)-CbiK complex was sufficiently labile that 265 266 no cobalt remained bound to CbiK post purification, and following re-metalation cobalt was lost in a single purification step (Supplementary Fig. 19), unless the purification buffers were supplemented 267 268 with 20  $\mu$ M cobalt (Fig. 5b). Incubation of CbiK with fura-2 plus Co(II) to give  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for available 269 Co(II) matching the intracellular value shown in Supplementary Table 2, nonetheless gave an 270 occupancy of 15.6 %, close to the anticipated value (Supplementary Fig. 19d). It is formally possible that when vitamin B<sub>12</sub> is synthesised anaerobically in Salmonella cells, the buffered concentration of 271 272 Co(II) is elevated with RcnR at greater than 0.5 of its response. When the  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for metalation was 273 approximated solely from the metal affinities of the sensors  $(K_1)$ , Co(II) ceased to be the preferred 274 metal, switching places with Fe(II) and illustrating the importance of combining all of the parameters (Supplementary Table 4, Supplementary Fig. 20). 275

276

### 277 B<sub>12</sub> -metalation requires CbiK when Co(II) is buffered.

The insertion of cobalt into sirohydrochlorin, which occurs in the CbiK-dependent pathway for 278 vitamin  $B_{12}^{36,37}$ , can be monitored from changes in intense spectral features and typically from a loss 279 of absorbance at 376 nm (Supplementary Fig. 21a)<sup>39</sup>. Cobalt sirohydrochorin formed spontaneously in 280 281 the presence of Co(II) and this reaction was accelerated by CbiK (Fig. 5i). When Co(II) was buffered to the cellular  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for metalation using nitrilotriacetic acid (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Table 2), the 282 283 formation of cobalt sirohydrochlorin only occurred in the presence of CbiK (Fig. 5i). The comparison of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  values estimated partial metalation of CbiK with Co(II) under these conditions 284 285 (Supplementary Table 4). The complete conversion of sirohydrochlorin to its cobalt form reflects 286 subsequent catalysis and kinetic trapping of cobalt. Crucially, when Co(II) was buffered to the cellular  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for metalation in the absence of CbiK, spontaneous formation of cobalt sirohydrochorin was 287 288 inhibited revealing the necessity for a chelatase that is correctly poised to acquire Co(II) from the cytosolic buffer (Fig. 5i, Supplementary Fig. 21b). Where the  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  gradient between buffer and 289 protein predicts low occupancy, folding and kinetic trapping post-binding can enhance saturation, but 290 291 loading is predicted to become slower and the risk of mis-metalation may be greater.

292

#### 293 **DISCUSSION**

294 In the course of this work representatives of a set of metal sensors were further characterised in 295 Salmonella to enable their metal sensitivities to be determined (Fig. 1c-4a, Supplementary Fig. 6). 296 From these sensitivities the free energies for metalation to which sensors are attuned were derived (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Table 2). Metalation in vivo becomes predictable from these values as shown 297 in Fig. 4c. Proteins will acquire the most competitive metal for which  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  is favorable relative to the 298 buffer, exemplified here by the cobalt chelatase for vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, CbiK, which in this manner is 299 300 predicted to correctly acquire Co(II) rather than tighter binding metals (Fig. 4c, Fig 5, Supplementary 301 Table 4). By this mechanism cells can simultaneously metalate and use enzymes requiring 302 uncompetitive metals at the same time as enzymes that require competitive metals. 303 The fraction of proteins which are under metalated, as predicted for CbiK when RcnR is at 304 0.5 of its response, is currently unknown. If cells commonly synthesise larger amounts of enzymes

than become metalated, then these proteins will represent a significant fraction of the buffer.

306 Modulation of enzyme activity through metalation status, as observed in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* 307 where Mn(II)-toxicity is mediated by hypermetalation of protein phosphatase PhpP<sup>40</sup>, also becomes 308 possible for enzymes with  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for metalation close to that of the buffer.

The stability order of metal complexes, the Irving-Williams series, is shown for first row essential metals, plus Mg(II), metals commonly required by enzymes (Supplementary Fig. 15)<sup>3,4</sup>. The notion that the availability of metals in cells will be the inverse of this series is not new<sup>3,8</sup>, but here this is finally demonstrated from the  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for metalation to which sensors are attuned (Fig. 4c). The series inverts after copper and the *Salmonella* cytosol buffers Zn(II) comparably to Ni(II).

314 A consequence of proteins having tighter affinities for incorrect metals is the risk of mis-315 metalation. To support the use of metalloenzymes in biotechnology, there is a need to determine the 316  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for metalation in other cell types making it possible to tune the affinities of proteins, or adjust the 317 metal saturation of the cytosol, for optimal metalation in synthetic biology. Mis-metalation is also a feature of chronic diseases including multiple neurological disorders<sup>41-44</sup>, and there is opportunity to 318 319 better understand which proteins are susceptible to mal-incorporation of which metals from equivalent 320  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  values for compartments in eukaryotes. In nutritional immunity, excess or deficiencies of metals such as Zn(II) or copper, manganese or iron, limit the growth of pathogens<sup>45-49</sup>, and there is a history 321 of using metals, chelants and ionophores as antimicrobials<sup>50</sup>. An intriguing concept is that microbes 322 are inherently susceptible to fluctuations in metals due to the need for precise control of relative metal 323 324 availabilities in order to avoid mis-metalation, over-metalation or under-metalation<sup>40,45-47</sup>. Knowledge of the  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for metalation inside cells will allow new antimicrobial compounds to be tailored to 325 326 release or deplete specific metals.

327

Acknowledgements This work was supported by Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research
Council awards BB/J017787/1, BB/R002118/1 and BB/L009226/1. Interactions with Industrial
partners were supported by Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) award
BB/L013711/1 plus a financial contribution from Procter and Gamble (in association with
BB/J017787/1 Industrial Partnership Award). Kotryna Svedaite, Department of Biosciences, Durham

University, provided technical assistance in the measurements of *in vitro* DNA affinities of ZntR and
CueR. Ehmke Pohl and Colin Bain, both of Durham University Department of Chemistry, assisted
with structure homology modelling and consideration of standard free energy changes, respectively. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium strain SL1344 was provided by Jennifer S. Cavet, School
of Biological Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, U.K. Elia Fioravanti, Mathematical
Institute, University of Oxford, assisted with derivations shown in the Supplementary Note 2. All
DNA sequencing was conducted by DBS genomics, Durham University.

340

341 Author Contributions D.O. conducted the *in vivo* experiments, bioinformatics analyses and was 342 involved in all in vitro measurements of sensor affinities. M.A.M. determined in vitro affinities of 343 MntR and Fur. M.A.M., along with D.O., A.W.F. and J.W.S., developed computational methods to 344 determine  $\theta_D$  and  $\theta_{DM}$ . R.J.M. along with D.O. generated the MATLAB code relating fractional sensor 345 responses to buffered [metal]. A.J.P.S and P.T.C determined the *in vitro* affinities of NikR. J.C. and T.G.H. performed the MRM tandem mass spectrometry. A.W.F. along with E.D., A.D.L., P.T.C. and 346 347 M.J.W. performed and co-designed analyses of CbiK. N.J.R. and E.L.-L. conceived the programme. 348 N.J.R., D.O. and A.W.F. drafted the manuscript and, in conjunction with M.A.M., interpreted the 349 significance of the data. N.J.R., with input from P.T.C., had overall responsibility for the design, 350 coordination and management of the project. All authors reviewed the results and edited and approved the final version of the manuscript. 351 352

Competing Financial Interests Statement. J.C. and T.G.H. are employees of Procter and Gamble.
The collaboration was supported by an Industrial Partnership Award from the BBSRC plus a financial
contribution from Procter and Gamble (in association with BBSRC award BB/J017787/1).

356

357 Main Text References

Waldron, K. J., Rutherford, J. C., Ford, D. & Robinson, N. J. Metalloproteins and metal
sensing. *Nature* 460, 823-830 (2009).

- Tottey, S. *et al.* Protein-folding location can regulate manganese-binding versus copper- or
   zinc-binding. *Nature* 455, 1138-1142 (2008).
- 362 3 Fraústo da Silva, J. J. R. & Williams, R. J. P. *The Biological Chemistry of the Elements: The*363 *Inorganic Chemistry of Life*. (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991).
- 364 4 Irving, H. & Williams, R. J. P. Order of stability of metal complexes. *Nature* 162, 746-747
  365 (1948).
- 366 5 Chandrangsu, P., Rensing, C. & Helmann, J. D. Metal homeostasis and resistance in bacteria.
  367 *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 15, 338–350 (2017).
- Reyes-Caballero, H., Campanello, G. C. & Giedroc, D. P. Metalloregulatory proteins: metal
  selectivity and allosteric switching. *Biophys. Chem.* 156, 103-114 (2011).
- Giedroc, D. P. & Arunkumar, A. I. Metal sensor proteins: nature's metalloregulated allosteric
  switches. *Dalton Trans.*, 3107-3120 (2007).
- Foster, A. W. *et al.* A tight tunable range for Ni(II) sensing and buffering in cells. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 13, 409-414 (2017).
- Outten, C. E. & O'Halloran, T. V. Femtomolar sensitivity of metalloregulatory proteins
  controlling zinc homeostasis. *Science* 292, 2488-2492 (2001).
- Carter, K. P., Young, A. M. & Palmer, A. E. Fluorescent sensors for measuring metal ions in
  living systems. *Chem. Rev.* 114, 4564-4601 (2014).
- 378 11 Osman, D. *et al.* Fine control of metal concentrations is necessary for cells to discern zinc
  379 from cobalt. *Nat. Commun.* 8, 1884 (2017).
- Ansari, A. Z., Chael, M. L. & O'Halloran, T. V. Allosteric underwinding of DNA is a critical
  step in positive control of transcription by Hg-MerR. *Nature* 355, 87-89 (1992).
- 382 13 Ikeda, J. S., Janakiraman, A., Kehres, D. G., Maguire, M. E. & Slauch, J. M. Transcriptional
- regulation of *sitABCD* of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium by MntR and Fur. J.
- 384 *Bacteriol.* **187**, 912-922 (2005).
- 385 14 Osman, D. *et al.* Copper homeostasis in *Salmonella* is atypical and copper-CueP is a major
  386 periplasmic metal complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 25259-25268 (2010).

- 387 15 O'Halloran, T. & Walsh, C. Metalloregulatory DNA-binding protein encoded by the *merR*388 gene: isolation and characterization. *Science* 235, 211-214 (1987).
- 389 16 Iwig, J. S., Rowe, J. L. & Chivers, P. T. Nickel homeostasis in *Escherichia coli* the *rcnR*-
- *rcnA* efflux pathway and its linkage to NikR function. *Mol. Microbiol.* **62**, 252-262 (2006).
- 39117Iwig, J. S., Leitch, S., Herbst, R. W., Maroney, M. J. & Chivers, P. T. Ni(II) and Co(II)
- 392 sensing by *Escherichia coli* RcnR. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130**, 7592-7606 (2008).
- 39318Que, Q. & Helmann, J. D. Manganese homeostasis in *Bacillus subtilis* is regulated by MntR, a
- bifunctional regulator related to the diphtheria toxin repressor family of proteins. *Mol.*
- 395 *Microbiol.* **35**, 1454-1468 (2000).
- 39619Althaus, E. W., Outten, C. E., Olson, K. E., Cao, H. & O'Halloran, T. V. The ferric uptake
- 397 regulation (Fur) repressor is a zinc metalloprotein. *Biochemistry* **38**, 6559-6569 (1999).
- Hantke, K. Regulation of ferric iron transport in *Escherichia coli* K12: isolation of a
  constitutive mutant. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 182, 288-292 (1981).
- Patzer, S. I. & Hantke, K. The ZnuABC high-affinity zinc uptake system and its regulator Zur
  in *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 28, 1199-1210 (1998).
- 402 22 Schreiter, E. R. *et al.* Crystal structure of the nickel-responsive transcription factor NikR. *Nat.*403 *Struct. Biol.* 10, 794-799 (2003).
- 404 23 Osman, D. *et al.* Generating a metal-responsive transcriptional regulator to test what confers
  405 metal sensing in cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290, 19806-19822 (2015).
- 406 24 Pi, H. & Helmann, J. D. Sequential induction of Fur-regulated genes in response to iron
  407 limitation in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 114, 12785-12790 (2017).
- 408 25 Record, M. T., Jr., Ha, J. H. & Fisher, M. A. Analysis of equilibrium and kinetic
- 409 measurements to determine thermodynamic origins of stability and specificity and mechanism
- 410 of formation of site-specific complexes between proteins and helical DNA. *Methods Enzymol.*
- **411 208**, 291-343 (1991).
- 412 26 Campanello, G. C. *et al.* Allosteric inhibition of a zinc-sensing transcriptional repressor:
- 413 insights into the arsenic repressor (ArsR) family. J. Mol. Biol. 425, 1143-1157 (2013).

- 414 27 Epstein, W. & Schultz, S. G. Cation Transport in *Escherichia coli*: V. Regulation of cation
  415 content. J. Gen. Physiol. 49, 221-234 (1965).
- Su, J., Gong, H., Lai, J., Main, A. & Lu, S. The potassium transporter Trk and external
  potassium modulate Salmonella enterica protein secretion and virulence. *Infect. Immun.* 77,
  667-675 (2009).
- Stickle, D. F., Vossen, K. M., Riley, D. A. & Fried, M. G. Free DNA concentration in *E. coli*estimated by an analysis of competition for DNA binding proteins. *J. Theor. Biol.* 168, 1-12
  (1994).
- Gilston, B. A. *et al.* Structural and mechanistic basis of zinc regulation across the *E. coli* Zur
  regulon. *PLOS Biol.* 12, e1001987 (2014).
- Shin, J.-H. & Helmann, J. D. Molecular logic of the Zur-regulated zinc deprivation response
  in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 12612 (2016).
- 426 32 Ma, Z., Gabriel, S. E. & Helmann, J. D. Sequential binding and sensing of Zn(II) by *Bacillus*427 *subtilis* Zur. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, 9130-9138 (2011).
- A Same and S
- 430 34 Dalecki, A. G., Crawford, C. L. & Wolschendorf, F. Copper and Antibiotics: Discovery,
- 431 Modes of Action, and Opportunities for Medicinal Applications. *Adv. Microb. Physiol.* 70,
  432 193-260 (2017).
- 433 35 Foster, A. W., Osman, D. & Robinson, N. J. Metal preferences and metallation. *J. Biol.*434 *Chem.* 289, 28095-28103 (2014).
- Warren, M. J., Raux, E., Schubert, H. L. & Escalante-Semerena, J. C. The biosynthesis of
  adenosylcobalamin (vitamin B12). *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 19, 390-412 (2002).
- 437 37 Frank, S. *et al.* Anaerobic synthesis of vitamin B12: characterization of the early steps in the
  438 pathway. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 33, 811-814 (2005).
- 439 38 Raux, E., Thermes, C., Heathcote, P., Rambach, A. & Warren, M. J. A role for *Salmonella*
- 440 *typhimurium cbiK* in cobalamin (vitamin B12) and siroheme biosynthesis. J. Bacteriol. **179**,
- 441 3202-3212 (1997).

442	39	Lobo, S. A. et al. Two distinct roles for two functional cobaltochelatases (CbiK) in
443		Desulfovibrio vulgaris hildenborough. Biochemistry 47, 5851-5857 (2008).
444	40	Martin, J. E., Lisher, J. P., Winkler, M. E. & Giedroc, D. P. Perturbation of manganese
445		metabolism disrupts cell division in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Mol. Microbiol. 104, 334-348
446		(2017).
447	41	Brown, D. R. et al. The cellular prion protein binds copper in vivo. Nature 390, 684-687
448		(1997).
449	42	Bush, A. I. The metallobiology of Alzheimer's disease. Trends Neurosci. 26, 207-214 (2003).
450	43	McCarthy, R. C. & Kosman, D. J. Iron transport across the blood-brain barrier: development,
451		neurovascular regulation and cerebral amyloid angiopathy. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 72, 709-727
452		(2015).
453	44	Xiao, T. et al. Copper regulates rest-activity cycles through the locus coeruleus-
454		norepinephrine system. Nat. Chem. Biol. 14, 655-663 (2018).
455	45	Hood, M. I. & Skaar, E. P. Nutritional immunity: transition metals at the pathogen-host
456		interface. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 10, 525-537 (2012).
457	46	Lisher, J. P. & Giedroc, D. P. Manganese acquisition and homeostasis at the host-pathogen
458		interface. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 3, 91 (2013).
459	47	Wilks, A. & Burkhard, K. A. Heme and virulence: how bacterial pathogens regulate, transport
460		and utilize heme. Nat. Prod. Rep. 24, 511-522 (2007).
461	48	Djoko, K. Y., Ong, Cl. Y., Walker, M. J. & McEwan, A. G. The role of copper and zinc
462		toxicity in innate immune defense against bacterial pathogens. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 18954-
463		18961 (2015).
464	49	Damo, S. M. et al. Molecular basis for manganese sequestration by calprotectin and roles in
465		the innate immune response to invading bacterial pathogens. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110,
466		3841-3846 (2013).
467	50	Lemire, J. A., Harrison, J. J. & Turner, R. J. Antimicrobial activity of metals: mechanisms,
468		molecular targets and applications. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 11, 371-384 (2013).

#### 470 Figure 1 | Metal binding and DNA binding are coupled to enable *Salmonella* to sense different

471 metals. a, Semi-schematic representation of metal sensors in four allosteric conformations (end states,

472 red) which are thermodynamically coupled: apo (i.e. metal free)-protein (P), metal-protein (PM), apo-

- 473 protein-DNA (PD) or metal-protein-DNA ((PM)D)<sup>7</sup>. Buffered metals (BM) may exchange to and
- 474 from proteins via association of the molecules. **b**, The fractions of DNA target sites bound to sensor
- 475 protein ( $\theta_D$ ) or solely to metalated sensor protein ( $\theta_{DM}$ ). **c**, qPCR (log<sub>2</sub> fold-change) of *mntS* (regulated
- 476 by MntR), *iroB* (regulated by Fur), *rcnA* (regulated by RcnR), *nixA* (regulated by NikR), *copA*
- 477 (regulated by CueR), *znuA* (regulated by Zur) and *zntA* (regulated by ZntR) in cells grown in elevated
- 478 non-lethal metal concentrations. Data are the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (s.d.) of biologically
- independent samples (n = 4 for *iroB*, *rcnA*, *copA*, *zntA*; n = 3 for *mntS*, *nixA*, *znuA*;  $\dagger$ , not analysed).
- 480 Symbol shapes represent individual experiments. d, Purified sensor proteins analysed by SDS-PAGE
- (full images in Supplementary Fig. 3b). Using gradient SDS-PAGE n = 1.
- 482

Figure 2 | Metal affinities that complete a set of values for Salmonella metal sensors. a-c, Gel-483 484 filtration (Supplementary Fig. 3c in full) showing co-migration of NikR with Ni(II) (a), Fur with 485 Fe(II) and Zn(II) (b and Supplementary Fig. 4), MntR with Mn(II) (c). n = 1 (a-c). d, Apo-subtracted 486 spectra of Ni(II)-titrated NikR (10.6  $\mu$ M), n = 1 at pH 8.0. e, Feature at 302 nm from d, shows linear 487 increase saturating at ~ 10 µM Ni(II) hence 1:1 Ni(II):NikR stoichiometry. f, Representative NikR  $(13.2 \,\mu\text{M})$  absorbance (n = 4 independent experiments) in competition for Ni(II) with EGTA (784.3 488 489  $\mu$ M). The fit departs from simulations with  $K_{Ni}$  ten-fold tighter or weaker. g, Quenching of Fur (10.3  $\mu$ M) fluorescence emission by Fe(II). n = 3 independent experiments with similar results. **h**, Feature at 490 303 nm from g. i, Representative Fur (10.2  $\mu$ M) fluorescence in competition for Fe(II) with NTA (100 491  $\mu$ M) (n = 4 independent experiments). The fit departs from simulations with K<sub>Fe</sub> ten-fold tighter or 492 weaker for the first pair (second pair  $K_{\text{Fe}}$  fixed) and second pair (first pair  $K_{\text{Fe}}$  fixed) of sites. j, 493 494 Representative mag-fura-2 (1.95  $\mu$ M) fluorescence (n = 4 independent experiments) in competition for Mn(II) with MntR (18.7  $\mu$ M). The fit departs from simulations with  $K_{Mn}$  for MntR ten-fold tighter 495 496 or weaker. **k**, Mn(II) binding to mag-fura-2 from Supplementary Fig. 5 (n = 4 independent

497 experiments), 1:1,  $\lambda_{\text{excitation}}$  380 nm, with simulations ten-fold tighter and ten-fold weaker than the

498 fitted mean (±s.d.)  $K_{Mn}$  of 6.1 (±0.4) × 10<sup>-6</sup> M for mag-fura-2. Fitting models in Supplementary Note 499 1.

500

501 Figure 3 | Metals change the abundance of some sensors to modify regulation. a, Representative 502 chromatograms following MRM mass-spectrometry of ion transitions for analyte (coloured lines) or isotope-labelled internal standards (grey line, right axis for RcnR) for MntR, Fur, RcnR, NikR, CueR, 503 504 Zur and ZntR respectively, detected in *Salmonella* cell lysates following prolonged exposure to 505 elevated concentrations of cognate metals (n = 3 biologically independent samples, other than CueR 506 where n = 5 biologically independent samples, with similar results). Multimeric states are noted in 507 Table 1 footnote. Analyte peptide sequence is shown for each protein. Full chromatograms shown in 508 Supplementary Fig. 9. **b**, Abundance of sensors in control cells in minimal media  $P_0$  (left) and with 509 cognate metal  $P_1$  (right). Values were calculated using calibration curves (Supplementary Fig. 8a) 510 normalised to cell number. Bars and error bars are means and s.d., respectively (shapes represent 511 biologically independent experiments with n = 3, except for CueR where  $n = 6 (P_0)$  and  $n = 5 (P_1)$ . c, Fractional DNA occupancy ( $\theta_D$ ) with Fur as a function of buffered [Fe(II)] using  $K_1, K_3, K_4$ , target 512 513 DNA concentration (Table 1), and either  $P_0$  (light orange line),  $P_1$  (dark orange line) or 10% 514 increments between  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  (grey lines). DNA occupancy (black circles) where [Fur] at any given 515 [cognate metal] ( $P_{\rm T}$ ) is linearly proportional to  $\theta_{\rm D}$  (inset).  $\theta_{\rm D0}$  and  $\theta_{\rm D1}$  (determined using  $P_0$  and  $P_1$ ), 516 are DNA occupancies at low and high [cognate metal], respectively. For co-repressors (e.g. Fur),  $\theta_{D0}$ 517 and  $\theta_{\rm D1}$  are minimum and maximum values (the converse relationship for de-repressors). **d**, A comparison of  $\theta_D$  with RcnR using  $P_T$  (solid blue line) relative to fixed [RcnR] and  $P_0$  (dashed light 518 519 blue), normalised independently for each curve.

520

**Figure 4** | **Sensing is tuned to the Irving-Williams series. a,** Calculated responses of CueR, NikR, Zur, ZntR, RcnR, Fur and MntR, as  $\theta_D$  (or  $\theta_{DM}$  for ZntR and CueR), to buffered concentrations of Cu(I), Ni(II), Zn(II), Zn(II), Co(II), Fe(II) and Mn(II) respectively within *Salmonella* using metal affinities, DNA affinities, cellular protein and DNA target abundances, in Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 8 and Supplementary Table 1. b, Relationship between buffered Zn(II) concentration and total

- 526 Zn(II) ions in a simulated buffer, showing where Salmonella Zur and ZntR, plus B. subtilis Zur on the
- 527 *rpsN* promoter (Supplementary Fig. 14), are calculated to undergo 0.5 of their responses. **c**, Standard
- 528 free energy change ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) for formation of a protein-metal (PM) complex, which in the *Salmonella*
- 529 cytosol, gives 20%, 50% or 80% metalation ( $\theta_P$ ): Zn(II) determined for ZntR (*a*), and Zur (*b*),
- riboswitch used for Mg(II) (Supplementary Table 2), plus  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  for CbiK.
- 531
- 532 Figure 5 | Metalation of CbiK and sirohydrochlorin. a, SDS-PAGE of CbiK (Supplementary Fig.
- 533 16a). n = 1 by gradient SDS-PAGE. **b**, Gel-filtration of CbiK in 20  $\mu$ M Co(II) (Supplementary Fig.
- 16b) (n = 3 independent experiments with similar results). **c**, Fura-2 (12.6  $\mu$ M) fluorescence (n = 3
- 535 independent experiments) competing for Co(II) with CbiK (8.59 μM). **d**, Mag-fura-2 (11.3 μM)
- absorbance (n = 3 independent experiments) out competing CbiK for Mn(II) (7.38  $\mu$ M): Fits with and
- 537 without CbiK overlay. **e**, Mag-fura-2 (6.08  $\mu$ M) absorbance (n = 3 independent experiments)
- 538 competing for Fe(II) with CbiK (19  $\mu$ M). **f**, Mag-fura-2 (11.3  $\mu$ M) absorbance (n = 3 independent
- experiments) competing for Ni(II) with CbiK (7.46  $\mu$ M). g, Mag-fura-2 (11  $\mu$ M) absorbance (n = 3
- 540 independent experiments) competing for Zn(II) with CbiK (6.84  $\mu$ M). In c, e-g, fits depart from  $K_{metal}$
- 541 ten-fold tighter or weaker noting that Ni(II) approaches the tightest limit for the assay. All models in
- 542 Supplementary Note 1. **h**, BCA (22.1  $\mu$ M) absorbance without (n = 3 independent experiments) or
- 543 with (n = 4 independent experiments) competition for Cu(I) with CbiK (10  $\mu$ M). Representative data
- sets c-h. i, Conversion of sirohydrochlorin (4.67-5.64  $\mu$ M) after addition of Co(II) with or without
- 545 CbiK (5  $\mu$ M), plus or minus a Co(II)-buffer (data are means of n = 3 independent experiments  $\pm$ s.d.).
- 546 Full time course shown in Supplementary Fig. 22.
- 547

Table 1 | Metal affinities, DNA affinities, allosteric coupling free energies and DNA targets of

Sensor/ Metal	$K_{\text{Metal}}$ (1/ $K_1$ ) (M) <sup>*</sup>	$K_{\text{DNA}}$ (1/ $K_3$ ) (M) (without metal)	$K_{\text{DNA}}$ (1/ $K_4$ ) (M) (with metal)	ΔG <sub>C</sub> (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )	No. DNA targets <sup>†</sup>
MntR/ Mn(II)	$1.3 (\pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$	$8.6(\pm 1.7) \times 10^{-8}$	$5 imes 10^{-9\$\$}$	-1.7 (±0.1)	4
Fur/ Fe(II)	$5.3 (\pm 0.7) \times 10^{-72}$	$2.4~(\pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$	$5.6(\pm 2.1) \times 10^{-8}$	-3.6 (±0.2)	37
RcnR/ Co(II)	$5.1 (\pm 0.9) \times 10^{-10 \ddagger \ddagger}$	$1.5~(\pm 0.8) \times 10^{-7}$	$1.5 (\pm 0.2) \times 10^{-5 \ddagger \ddagger}$	+2.7 (±0.2) <sup>‡‡</sup>	1
NikR/ Ni(II)	$2.5 (\pm 0.4) \times 10^{-12}$	$1.1 (\pm 0.1) \times 10^{-5}$	$9.5~(\pm 0.8) \times 10^{-9}$	-4.2 (±0.1)	2
CueR/ Cu(I)	$3.3 (\pm 0.7) \times 10^{-19 \ddagger \ddagger}$	$3.2~(\pm 1.2) \times 10^{-88}$	$3.8~(\pm 1.8)  imes 10^{-7\$}$	+1.4 (±0.4)§	3
Zur/ Zn(II)	$6.4 (\pm 0.4) \times 10^{-13 \ddagger \ddagger}$	$2.7 (\pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5 \ddagger \ddagger}$	$4.1 (\pm 1.0) \times 10^{-8^{++}_{++}}$	-3.9 (±0.2) <sup>‡‡</sup>	4
ZntR/Zn(II)	$3.2 (\pm 0.7) \times 10^{-12 \ddagger \ddagger}$	$1.1 (\pm 0.4) \times 10^{-7}$	$7.8 (\pm 1.3) \times 10^{-7}$	+1.2 (±0.2)	1

Salmonella metal sensors

All constants are means  $\pm$  s.d., with 'n' of independent replicates stated in the legends of Fig. 2 and 549 Supplementary Fig. 6 (other than values marked <sup>‡‡</sup>, see below), and are presented here as dissociation 550 551 constants.  $\Delta G_{\rm C}$  is the free energy coupling metal binding to DNA binding. \*Metal-binding data were fit to models describing a single affinity for the complement of allosterically 552 553 effective site(s) of each sensor: This is an apparent average affinity of four sites per MntR dimer, two per Fur or Zur dimer, two per RcnR tetramer, four per NikR tetramer, and one per CueR or ZntR 554 555 dimer. It is noted that two sites appear sufficient for allosteric regulation by MntR on some promoters, 556 and Supplementary Fig. 14 examines an analogous situation for some Zur-regulated promoters. <sup>†</sup>The identified DNA binding sites for each sensor are listed in Supplementary Information. 557 558 <sup>‡</sup>For Fur, to fit the data in Fig. 2i, it was necessary to consider sequential Fe(II) binding events to four 559 sites per Fur dimer, with individual (mean (±s.d.)) affinities  $1/K_1$  of 2.6 (±0.3) × 10<sup>-7</sup> M for the two 560 allosteric sites which was converted to a single value describing the filling of both sites. The individual (mean (±s.d.)) affinities  $1/K_1$  of sites three and four was  $6.4 (\pm 0.6) \times 10^{-8}$  M. 561 <sup>‡‡</sup>Determined previously<sup>11,23</sup>, limiting values confirmed by low-salt titrations, Supplementary Fig. 11 562 563 for Zur. <sup>§</sup>Values are for DNA binding by the first CueR dimer. Mean ( $\pm$ s.d.) DNA affinities  $1/K_3$  and  $1/K_4$  of 564 the second dimer binding were 1.0 ( $\pm$ 0.4) × 10<sup>-6</sup> M and 3.9 ( $\pm$ 1.7) × 10<sup>-8</sup> M for apo- and Cu(I)-CueR, 565 respectively. 566 567 <sup>§§</sup>Confirmed by titration with 2 nM DNA (n = 6 independent experiments).

### 569 Online Methods.

570 **Determination of transcript abundance.** Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium strain SL1344

- 571 (J.S. Cavet, University of Manchester), originally from the Salmonella Genetic Stock Centre, was
- 572 used throughout as wild-type. Media and cultures were prepared in plasticware or acid-washed
- 573 glassware to minimise trace metal contamination.
- 574 For *iroB*, *rcnA*, *copA* and *zntA*, overnight cultures in M9 minimal medium, supplemented with 575 thiamine (10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) and L-histidine (20  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>), were diluted to an OD<sub>600 nm</sub> of 0.025 in fresh
- 576 supplemented M9 media and cultured aerobically at 37 °C, with shaking (200 rpm), for 4-5 hours. For
- 577 znuA, 25  $\mu$ M EDTA was included to chelate basal Zn(II). For *nixA* and *mntS*, 1 × M9 salts, 0.4% w/v
- 578 glucose, 10 mM sodium fumarate and 10 mM sodium formate was chelex-treated (2-3 hours) before
- addition of MgSO<sub>4</sub> (2 mM), CaCl<sub>2</sub> (0.1 mM), thiamine (10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>) and L-histidinol (1 mM). L-
- 580 histidinol was an alternative to L-histidine minimising Ni(II)-(L-histidine)<sub>2</sub> entry via NikA<sup>51</sup>.
- 581 Overnight cultures were diluted to an  $OD_{600 \text{ nm}}$  of 0.0001 in fresh media and cultured anaerobically for 582 14 - 16 h at 37 °C in capped microcentrifuge tubes without headspace.
- Growth media was supplemented with metal salts as appropriate:  $MnCl_2$  (200  $\mu$ M), FeSO<sub>4</sub> (1 583 584  $\mu$ M), CoCl<sub>2</sub> (0.5  $\mu$ M), NiSO<sub>4</sub> (50  $\mu$ M), CuSO<sub>4</sub> (25  $\mu$ M) or ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (50  $\mu$ M). Metal stocks were 585 quantified by ICP-MS. Under aerobic conditions these concentrations cause minimal growth 586 inhibition<sup>11,23</sup>, and inhibit growth (final cell density) by  $\leq 15\%$  at the point of RNA extraction (Supplementary Fig. 2a-c). Under anaerobic conditions, 5 µM CoCl<sub>2</sub> and 1 µM CuSO<sub>4</sub> inhibit growth 587 by  $\leq 15\%$  at the point of RNA extraction (Supplementary Fig. 2b). RNA was extracted and cDNA 588 generated using up to 1 µg RNA per reverse-transcriptase reaction (50 µl)<sup>11</sup>. Controls without reverse 589 transcriptase were generated in parallel. Transcript abundance was assessed by end-point PCR and 590 qPCR using oligonucleotide pairs 1 and 2 (mntS), 3 and 4 (iroB), 5 and 6 (rcnA), 7 and 8 (nixA), 9 and 591 10 (copA), 11 and 12 (zntA), 13 and 14 (znuA), and 15 and 16 (rpoD) each designed to amplify a 100-592 200 bp fragment (Supplementary Table 5). End-point PCR fragments were resolved by agarose gel 593 electrophoresis (1.5% w/v agarose) and imaged using a Gel-Doc XR+ gel documentation system. 594
- qPCR was conducted with 5 ng cDNA and three technical replicates per reaction<sup>11</sup>. The fold change,
- relative to the mean of the control condition for each sensor, was calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method<sup>52</sup>,

597 with *rpoD* as a reference.  $C_{\rm T}$  values were calculated with LinRegPCR after correcting for amplicon 598 efficiency<sup>53</sup>.

599

600 Protein expression and purification. Over-expression and purification of RcnR, CueR, ZntR and Zur has been described<sup>11,23</sup>. The *mntR*, *fur*, *nikR* and *cbiK* coding regions were amplified from 601 Salmonella genomic DNA using primers 17-24 (Supplementary Table 5) and ligated into the NdeI 602 603 (BfaI used to produce NdeI compatible overhang for *cbiK*) and EcoRI sites of pET29a (generating 604 pETmntR, pETfur, pETnikR and pETcbiK, respectively). E. coli BL21(DE3) transformed to kanamycin (50 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) resistance with these plasmids, was cultured (37 °C, 180-200 rpm) in LB. 605 Protein expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG (0.2 mM for pETcbiK), with addition of 50 µM 606 607 ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (2-3 h). 608 Cells overexpressing Fur were suspended in 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, 1 mM Tris(2-

609 carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP), 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4, plus 610 protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma) for lysis. Following lysis and clarification, lysate was applied to 5 611 ml HisTrap FF (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with suspension buffer. Column was washed with 612 suspension buffer (eight column volumes, CV), then suspension buffer containing 10 mM imidazole 613 (2 CV), and 100 mM imidazole (0.9 CV), before elution with suspension buffer containing 300 mM 614 imidazole. Eluate was diluted 1 in 3 with 1 mM TCEP and 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0 before application to a 5 ml Q HP column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 10 mM 615 616 HEPES pH 7.0, washed with equilibration buffer (5 CV) and eluted with equilibration buffer containing 1 M NaCl. Fur concentration was estimated via  $A_{280 nm}$  (determined  $\varepsilon_{280 nm} = 6,672 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 617 618 <sup>1</sup>) before gradual addition of 2 molar equivalents of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> and incubation for 1 h at room temperature (to fill the Zn(II) structural site). EDTA was added to 7.5 mM (to remove excess Zn(II)) and sample 619 incubated overnight at 4 °C. Sample was applied to HiLoad 16/600 Superdex 75 (GE Healthcare) 620 equilibrated in 100 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM TCEP, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0 (chelex-treated), eluted with the 621 same buffer. Fractions containing dimeric Fur (based on elution volume) were applied to 1 ml Q HP 622 623 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in the same buffer, moved into an anaerobic glovebox, washed

624 with 20 mM NaCl, 80 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0 (chelex-treated, N<sub>2</sub>-purged) (10 CV), before elution with 200 mM NaCl, 800 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0 (chelex-treated, N<sub>2</sub>-purged). 625 626 Cells overexpressing MntR were suspended in 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4, plus 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) for lysis. 627 628 Following lysis and clarification, lysate was applied to a 5 ml HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with suspension buffer. Column was washed with suspension buffer (8 CV) before 629 630 elution with suspension buffer containing 100 mM imidazole. Sample was applied to HiLoad 16/600 631 Superdex 75 equilibrated with 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0 and eluted with 632 the same buffer. Pooled MntR containing fractions were loaded onto 1 ml HiTrap Heparin (GE 633 Healthcare) equilibrated with the size exclusion buffer, washed with gel-filtration buffer (10 CV) 634 before elution with 1 M NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0. Eluate was diluted 1 in 3 with 635 10 mM EDTA, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0 before application to 1 ml HiTrap Heparin equilibrated with 636 the size exclusion buffer. Column was washed with 60 mM NaCl, 240 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0 (chelex-treated) (10 CV), before elution with 200 mM NaCl, 800 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 637 638 7.0 (chelex-treated).

639 Cells overexpressing NikR were suspended in 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 100 mM 640 sodium phosphate buffer pH 8.0. Following lysis and clarification, 100 µM NiCl<sub>2</sub> was added to the lysate which was then applied to 1.5 ml Ni(II)-NTA agarose. Column was washed with suspension 641 buffer (15 CV) and suspension buffer containing 35 mM imidazole (10 CV) before elution with 642 suspension buffer containing 250 mM imidazole, 100 mM NaCl. Eluate was diluted 1 in 2 with 2 mM 643 TCEP, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5 before application to a 5 ml Q HP column equilibrated with 100 mM 644 NaCl, 2 mM TCEP, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5, washed with equilibration buffer (5 CV) and eluted with 645 equilibration buffer containing 0.5 M NaCl. EDTA (10 mM) and L-histidine (500 µM) were added (to 646 remove Ni(II)) and sample incubated overnight at 37 °C. Sample was applied to HiLoad 16/600 647 Superdex 75 equilibrated in 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5 (chelex-treated) and 648 eluted with the same buffer. Pooled NikR containing fractions were applied to 1 ml Q HP equilibrated 649 650 in the same buffer and moved into an anaerobic glovebox, washed with 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM

HEPES pH 7.5 (chelex-treated, N<sub>2</sub>-purged) (10 CV), before elution with 100 mM NaCl, 400 mM

652 KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.5 (chelex-treated, N<sub>2</sub>-purged).

Cells overexpressing CbiK were suspended in 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 5 mM imidazole, 653 654 20 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.4 plus 1 mM PMSF for lysis. Following lysis and clarification, lysate 655 was applied to HisTrap HP (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with suspension buffer. Column was washed with suspension buffer (10 CV), before elution with suspension buffer containing 300 mM imidazole. 656 657 EDTA was added to 10 mM and sample incubated at room temperature (2 h). Sample was applied to 658 HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 75 (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM TCEP, 10 mM 659 HEPES pH 7.0 (chelex-treated) and eluted with the same buffer. Peak elution fractions (based on SDS-PAGE analysis) were pooled and applied to 1 ml HiTrap Q HP (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in 660 661 the same buffer and moved into an anaerobic glovebox. Column was washed with 20 mM NaCl, 80 662 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0 (chelex-treated, N<sub>2</sub>-purged) (20 CV) before elution with 40 mM 663 NaCl, 160 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0 (chelex-treated, N<sub>2</sub>-purged). To produce semi-pure CbiK by anion exchange chromatography cells overexpressing CbiK were suspended in 100 mM NaCl, 1 664 665 mM TCEP, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0 plus 1 mM PMSF for lysis. Following lysis and clarification, 666 lysate was applied to 1 ml HiTrap Q HP (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with suspension buffer, column 667 washed with suspension buffer (10 CV), before elution with suspension buffer containing 500 mM 668 NaCl.

669 Protein purity was confirmed by SDS-PAGE. Fur, MntR and CbiK were quantified from A<sub>280</sub> 670 nm and extinction coefficients obtained via quantitative amino acid analysis performed by Alta 671 Bioscience. The extinction coefficient for denatured NikR has previously been determined (E. coli NikR, 99% identity)<sup>54</sup>, and was corrected for folded NikR by comparison of A<sub>280 nm</sub> of folded and 672 denatured NikR. MntR  $\varepsilon_{280 \text{ nm}} = 7.940 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , NikR  $\varepsilon_{280 \text{ nm}} = 4.398 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , CbiK  $\varepsilon_{280 \text{ nm}} = 24,802$ 673 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>. Thiol and metal content were assayed as previously described<sup>11</sup>, and all protein samples 674 were  $\geq$ 90% reduced (with the exception of CbiK, MntR has no thiols) and  $\geq$ 95% metal-free (Fur 675 contained ~1 molar equivalent of Zn(II)). CbiK was typically <90% reduced and none of the 676 cysteines are proximal to the active/metal binding site<sup>55</sup>. All in vitro experiments were carried out 677

under anaerobic conditions using chelex-treated and N<sub>2</sub>-purged buffers, other than MntR (aerobic,

679 chelex-treated buffers).

**Preparation of anaerobic metal stocks.** Concentrations of all metal stocks were determined by ICP-MS.  $(NH_4)_2Fe(SO_4)_2.6H_2O$  was dissolved in N<sub>2</sub>-purged 0.1% v/v HCl under anaerobic conditions and confirmed to be >90% reduced by titration into an excess (~ 10-fold) of ferrozine, 3 ferrozine: 1 Fe(II)  $\varepsilon_{562 nm} = 27,900 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1.56}$ . Dilutions from this stock were prepared daily in N<sub>2</sub>-purged ultrapure H<sub>2</sub>O and confirmed to be >90% Fe(II). CuCl was prepared as described previously and confirmed to be >95% reduced by titration against bathocuproine sulfonate (BCS)<sup>57</sup>. Other metal salts were dissolved in ultrapure H<sub>2</sub>O.

687

688 Determination of metal stoichiometries and affinities. All experiments conducted in 100 mM NaCl, 689 400 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0, with inclusion of 5% v/v glycerol for competition of MntR with 690 mag-fura-2 or at pH 8.0 for NikR gel-filtration chromatography and UV-Vis spectroscopy to 691 determine Ni(II)-binding stoichiometry. Scripts for affinity determinations, for use with Dynafit<sup>58</sup>, are 692 in Supplementary Note 1. Gel-filtration chromatography of NikR, MntR, Fur and CbiK (all 20 µM 693 monomer other than CbiK (10 µM monomer), 0.5 ml, recovery of NikR was routinely <100%) was 694 performed using Sephadex G25 (GE Healthcare), with buffer supplemented with 100 µM MnCl<sub>2</sub> 695 (MntR), 50 µM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Fe(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Fur) and either 20 µM CoCl<sub>2</sub>, MnCl<sub>2</sub> or un-supplemented (CbiK). 696 Where required, proteins were pre-incubated for 30 min with 20  $\mu$ M MnCl<sub>2</sub> (CbiK), 1.2 molar 697 equivalents of NiCl<sub>2</sub> (NikR), 100 µM MnCl<sub>2</sub> (MntR) and either 50 µM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Fe(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> or 1 mM 698 EDTA (Fur). Fractions (0.5 ml) were analysed for metal by ICP-MS and protein by Bradford assay or 699 A280 nm. 700 Increasing concentrations of NiCl2 were added to solutions of NikR (12.8-15.6 µM) and 701 EGTA, equilibrated overnight at room temperature. Absorbance of Ni(II)-NikR was measured using a λ35 UV-visible spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer). Data were fit to a model describing NikR 702 competition for one molar equivalent of Ni(II) (per monomer) using Dynafit<sup>58</sup>. EGTA Ni(II)-affinity = 703  $4.98 \times 10^{-10}$  M at pH 7.0 determined using Schwarzenbach's  $\alpha$  co-efficient. 704

705 $(NH_4)_2Fe(SO_4)_2$  was titrated into Fur solution in the absence (10-18 µM Fur; to determine706Fe(II) stoichiometry), or presence (10-11 µM Fur) of nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA). Fur fluorescence707emission was recorded at equilibrium (Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer (Agilent708Technologies),  $\lambda_{ex} = 276$  nm, 25 °C). Data were fit to a model describing Fur competition for two709molar equivalent of Fe(II) using Dynafit<sup>58</sup>, with positive cooperativity between two pairs of sites per710dimer. NTA Fe(II)-affinity =  $6.77 \times 10^{-7}$  M at pH 7.0 determined using Schwarzenbach's α co-711efficient.

MnCl<sub>2</sub> was titrated into a solution of mag-fura-2 in the absence (to determine mag-fura-2 Mn(II)-affinity) or presence of MntR (7.1-18.7  $\mu$ M). Mag-fura-2 ( $\epsilon_{369 \text{ nm}} = 22,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ 2}^3$ ) fluorescence excitation was recorded at equilibrium (Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer,  $\lambda_{em} = 505 \text{ nm}, 20 \text{ °C}$ ). Data were fit to a model describing 1:1 Mn(II):mag-fura-2 stoichiometry and MntR competition for two molar equivalents of Mn(II) per monomer, using Dynafit<sup>58</sup>; mag-fura-2  $K_{Mn}$ = 6.1 (±0.4) × 10<sup>-6</sup> M.

MnCl<sub>2</sub>, (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Fe(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, NiCl<sub>2</sub> or ZnSO<sub>4</sub> were titrated into a solution of mag-fura-2 in the presence of CbiK and absorbance (325 and 366 nm) recorded at equilibrium ( $\lambda$ 35 UV-visible spectrophotometer). Data were fit to models describing 1:1 metal:mag-fura-2 and 1:1 metal:CbiK stoichiometry, using Dynafit<sup>58</sup>; mag-fura-2  $K_{Ni} = 5 \times 10^{-8}$  M <sup>59</sup>,  $K_{Zn} = 2 \times 10^{-8}$  M <sup>23</sup>, and  $K_{Fe} = 5.3 \times 10^{-7}$ M (determined by direct titration of mag-fura-2 with (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Fe(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). CoCl<sub>2</sub> was titrated into a solution of fura-2 ( $\epsilon_{363}$  nm = 28,000 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1 23</sup>) in the presence of

724 CbiK and fluorescence emission recorded at equilibrium (Cary Eclipse fluorescence

spectrophotometer,  $\lambda_{ex} = 360$  nm, 20 °C). Data were fit to a model describing 1:1 Co(II): fura-2 and

1:1 Co(II):CbiK stoichiometry, using Dynafit<sup>58</sup>; fura-2  $K_{Co} = 8.6 \times 10^{-9}$  M<sup>23</sup>.

727 CuCl was titrated into a solution of bicinchoninic acid (BCA) and absorbance of Cu(I):BCA<sub>2</sub>

728  $(\epsilon_{562 \text{ nm}} = 7,900 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ }^{60})$  recorded at equilibrium ( $\lambda 35 \text{ UV-visible spectrophotometer}$ ). Precipitation

729 at [CuCl] greater than 1:1 Cu(I):CbiK precluded data fitting so CbiK  $K_{Cu}$  was determined from

730 individual equilibrium values using Equation 1:

731 
$$K_D \beta_2 = \frac{\left(\frac{[P]_{total}}{[MP]}\right) - 1}{\{\left(\frac{[L]_{total}}{[ML_2]}\right) - 2\}^2 [ML_2]}$$
(1)

Where  $[P]_{total}$  and  $[L]_{total}$  are the total concentrations of CbiK and BCA, respectively,  $K_D$  is the Cu(I) dissociation constant of CbiK,  $\beta_2$  is the formation constant of Cu(I):BCA<sub>2</sub> (10<sup>17.2</sup> M<sup>-2</sup> <sup>60</sup>), and [MP] and [ML<sub>2</sub>] are the equilibrium concentrations of Cu(I):CbiK and Cu(I):BCA<sub>2</sub> determined  $\varepsilon_{562 \text{ nm}}$  and mass balance.

736

737 Determination of DNA-binding affinities by fluorescence anisotropy. Fluorescently labelled double-stranded DNA probes were generated using oligonucleotides 25 and 26 (nixAPro for NikR and 738 as a non-specific probe for Zur), 27 and 28 (mntSPro for MntR), 29 and 30 (copAPro for CueR), 31 739 and 32 (*zntA* longPro, containing an extended sequence compared to that used previously<sup>11</sup>, for 740 ZntR), 33 and 34 (*furbox*, containing the consensus *E. coli* Fur binding site for Fur), 39 and 40 741 (mntSProswap, a semi-randomised variant of mntSPro for MntR), and 41 and 42 (znuAPro for Zur) 742 (Supplementary Table 5). In each case one oligonucleotide was hexachlorofluorescein labelled. 743 744 Complementary single-stranded oligonucleotides were annealed as described previously<sup>11</sup>. All experiments, other than with Zur and some with NikR (as noted in figure legend), were conducted in 745 746 60 mM NaCl, 240 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0, with inclusion of 200 µM MnCl<sub>2</sub> for Mn(II)-747 MntR, 5-50 µM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Fe(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> for Fe(II)-Fur, 1 mM EDTA for apo-NikR, or 5 mM EDTA for all 748 other apo-proteins. NikR was prepared in 100 mM NaCl, 400 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 8.0 with 0.95 molar equivalents of NiCl<sub>2</sub> for Ni(II)-NikR. Zur was prepared as described previously<sup>11</sup>. All other 749 750 proteins were prepared in 200 mM NaCl, 800 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0, with 1.2 molar 751 equivalents of CuCl for Cu(I)-CueR, 1.2 molar equivalents of ZnSO<sub>4</sub> for Zn(II)-ZntR, 2.2 molar 752 equivalents MnCl<sub>2</sub> for Mn(II) -MntR, 2.2 molar equivalents of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Fe(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> for Fe(II)-Fur, and 5 mM EDTA for apo-MntR and apo-Fur. Proteins were titrated against labelled DNA probes and 753 754 anisotropy measured using a modified Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies), settings described previously<sup>23</sup>. For Fur, NikR, CueR, Zur and ZntR, DNA-binding 755 affinities were determined using Dynafit<sup>58</sup> (Supplementary Note 1). For MntR, data were fit to a 2<sup>nd</sup> 756

degree polynomial regression and limits for DNA affinities determined at the intersection of the regression line and half the  $\Delta r_{obs}$  value associated with binding of a MntR dimer to *mntS*Pro. Coupling free energies ( $\Delta G_C$ ) were derived as previously described<sup>23</sup>.

760

761 **Determination of sensor protein abundance.** Generation of *E. coli* strains BW25113 $\Delta zntR/\Delta zur$ (lacking *zntR* and *zur*), BW25113 $\Delta nikR/\Delta rcnR$  (lacking *nikR* and *rcnR*), and *Salmonella* strain 762 SL1344 $\Delta cueR/\Delta golS$  (lacking *cueR*) have been described previously<sup>11,14</sup>. E. coli strains 763 764 BW25113*A*fur::kan (lacking fur) and BW25113*A*mntR::kan (lacking mntR) were obtained from the Keio collection (strains JW0669 and JW0801, respectively). The kanamycin resistance cassette from 765 766 BW25113*AmntR::kan* was removed using helper plasmid pCP20 carrying FLP recombinase and 767  $\Delta fur::kan$  fragment was moved into strain BW25113 $\Delta mntR$  (kan cassette removed) by P1 768 transduction. The remaining kanamycin resistance cassette was removed and genotype ( $\Delta mntR/\Delta fur$ ) 769 confirmed by PCR using primers 35-38 (Supplementary Table 5). E. coli BW25113 strains were 770 cultured to logarithmic phase in M9 minimal medium supplemented with thiamine (10  $\mu$ g ml<sup>-1</sup>), and 1 771  $\mu$ M ferric citrate (BW25113 $\Delta$ zntR/ $\Delta$ zur and BW25113 $\Delta$ nikR/ $\Delta$ rcnR) or 100  $\mu$ M FeSO<sub>4</sub> 772  $(BW25113\Delta mntR/\Delta fur)$ . Salmonella SL1344 was grown as described for transcript abundance 773 determination of iroB. Purified stocks of MntR, Fur, RcnR, NikR, CueR, Zur, and ZntR were 774 quantified by amino acid analysis (UC Davis). Purification of recombinant RcnR, Zur and ZntR, and their quantitation in Salmonella cells cultured with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (ZntR and Zur), or CoCl<sub>2</sub> (RcnR) was 775 776 performed as described for quantitation in cells cultured without metal supplementation<sup>11</sup>. Quantitation of MntR, Fur, NikR and CueR (in cells with and without cognate metal supplementation) 777 was performed as for Zur and ZntR<sup>11</sup>. Standard curve samples were prepared by dilution of purified 778 protein stocks into cell lysates from BW25113*AmntR/Afur* (MntR and Fur), SL1344*AcueR/AgolS* 779 (CueR). Heavy isotope labelled peptides ([<sup>13</sup>C<sub>6</sub>,<sup>15</sup>N<sub>4</sub>]arginine residues; Thermo Fisher) were used as 780 working internal standards (IS). Samples were prepared and analysed by scheduled multiple reaction 781 monitoring (MRM) mass spectrometry, as previously described<sup>11</sup>. A quadratic  $1/x^2$  weighted 782 regression model was used to perform standard curve calibration (Supplementary Fig. 8a). The 783 784 transitions monitored were: 765.4/746.2 for Zur peptide ETEPQAKPPTIYR (770.4/756.2 for IS),

550.8/601.3 for ZntR peptide LADVTPDTIR (555.8/611.3 for IS), 409.2/590.3 for RcnR peptide
GAVNGLMR (414.2/600.3 for IS), 500.3/730.4 for MntR peptide LGVSQPTVAK (504.3/738.4 for
IS), 426.8/482.3 for CueR peptide GLVTPPLR (431.8/492.3 for IS), 690.8/1039.5 for Fur peptide
VIEFSDDSIEAR (695.8/1049.5 for IS), 937.4/1171.6 for NikR peptide GDMGDVQHFADDVIAQR
(942.4/1181.6 for IS).

790

Mathematical calculations. Fractional occupancy of DNA targets with sensor ( $\theta_D$  or  $\theta_{DM}$ ; Fig. 1b), as a function of metal concentration ([M]), was calculated using metal affinities ( $K_1$ ), DNA affinities ( $K_3$ and  $K_4$ ), cellular abundance of each sensor ( $P_T$ ), and number of DNA target(s) (D) (Table 1 and Supplementary Figs. 8b, Supplementary Table 8).  $P_T$  was calculated using determined sensor concentrations in *Salmonella* cells grown without ( $P_0$ ) and with supplementation of cognate metal ( $P_1$ ) (Supplementary Fig. 8b), by relating fractional change in DNA occupancy to fractional change in protein abundance (Equations 2 and 3):

798 
$$\frac{P_{\rm T} - P_0}{P_1 - P_0} = \frac{\theta_{\rm D} - \theta_{\rm D0}}{\theta_{\rm D1} - \theta_{\rm D0}}$$
(2)

799

800 
$$\frac{P_{\rm T} - P_0}{P_1 - P_0} = \frac{\theta_{\rm DM} - \theta_{\rm DM0}}{\theta_{\rm DM1} - \theta_{\rm DM0}}$$
(3)

Where  $\theta_{D0}$  and  $\theta_{D1}$  are DNA occupancies with sensor ( $\theta_{DM0}$  and  $\theta_{DM1}$  for metalated sensor) at low and high cognate metal concentrations, respectively. A cell volume of 1 fl was used to calculate cellular concentrations of  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$  and  $D_T$  from values in Table 1 and Supplementary Figs. 8b and Supplementary Table 1. Equations expressing  $\theta_D$  and  $\theta_{DM}$  as a function of [M], were derived (Supplementary Note 2), and a template Excel spreadsheet enables calculation of  $\theta_D$  or  $\theta_{DM}$ (Supplementary Dataset). DNA occupancy of each sensor was normalised for inter-comparison using the minimum and maximum DNA occupancy values.

808 Fractional DNA occupancy inferred from  $K_1$  only, to generate Supplementary Fig. 10a, was 809 calculated using Equation 4:

810 
$$\theta_{\rm S} = \frac{[M]K_1}{(1+[M]K_1)} \qquad (4)$$

811 Where  $\theta_{\rm S}$  is the fractional occupancy of sensor with metal.

812 Simulations in Supplementary Figs. 10, 12 and 14 were generated by changing one or more

813 parameter(s) as specified in the figure legend.

814 DNA binding affinities at 500 mM salt were calculated based on apo-Zur, Zn(II)-Zur and apo-

815 NikR data, then used to determine DNA occupancies, as described in Supplementary Note 2.

816 Non-specific DNA affinities for MntR, Fur, RcnR, NikR, ZntR and CueR were estimated

817 based on determined Zur affinities for *nixAPro* as described in Supplementary Note 2. To incorporate

818 competition from non-specific DNA, further equations were derived (Supplementary Note 2) to

819 calculate  $\theta_D$  or  $\theta_{DM}$ . In this case a supplementary dataset (spreadsheet) is not provided due to the

820 complexity of the calculation. DNA occupancy of each sensor was normalised for inter-comparison

using the minimum and maximum DNA occupancy values (Supplementary Fig. 13).

The buffered [M] corresponding to a normalised fractional DNA occupancy ( $\theta_D$  or  $\theta_{DM}$ ) of 0.5 was determined for each sensor and indicates the available [M] in the *Salmonella* cytosol (MATLAB codes in Supplementary Note 3). The binding affinity ( $K_A$ ) of a metalloprotein required for 20, 50 and 80% metal occupancy at these buffered [M] was calculated using Equation 5:

826 
$$K_A = \frac{\theta_{\rm P}}{[M](1 - \theta_{\rm P})} \tag{5}$$

827  $K_A$  was used to calculate the standard free-energy for formation ( $\Delta G^\circ$ ) of the protein-metal complex 828 using Equation 6 to generate Fig. 4c:

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -RT \ln K_A \qquad (6)$ 

830 Where  $R = 8.314 \times 10^{-3}$  KJ mol<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup> and T = 298.15 K.

831 The concentration of Zn(II) ions associated with the buffer (Fig. 4b), was calculated using832 Equation 7:

833 
$$[M_T] = \frac{K_5[M]^2 + [M](K_5[B_T] + 1)}{(1 + K_5[M])}$$
(7)

834 Where  $K_5$  is the buffer Zn(II)-affinity and [B<sub>T</sub>] is the concentration of buffering species 835 (Supplementary Note 2). [Zn(II)] was converted to ions cell<sup>-1</sup> using a cell volume of 1 fl. 836 Metal content of *Salmonella* cells. *Salmonella* SL1344 was grown as described for transcript
abundance determination of *iroB*, and an aliquot used for cell enumeration on LB agar. Cell pellets
(from 100 ml cultures) were washed once with 0.5 M sorbitol, 100 µM EDTA, 10 mM HEPES pH
7.8, and twice in the same buffer without EDTA (all 10 ml). Pellets were suspended in ultrapure 65%
(v/v) HNO<sub>3</sub> (1 ml) to digest before metal analysis by ICP-MS.

842

843 Metalation of sirohydrochlorin. BL21\*(DE3)plysS transformed with pETcoco-2ABCDC was cultured and overexpression induced as described previously (overnight expression at 20  $^{\circ}$ C)<sup>39</sup>. Cell 844 845 pellets suspended in 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0 for lysis. Following lysis 846 and clarification, lysate was applied to 5 ml HisTrap HP (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with suspension 847 buffer. Column was washed with suspension buffer (10 CV), then suspension buffer containing 60 848 mM imidazole (5 CV), before elution with suspension buffer containing 400 mM imidazole. In an 849 anaerobic glovebox the peak (2.5 ml) elution fraction was applied to a Sephadex G25 equilibrated in anaerobic 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0 and eluted directly into solution A using the same 850 851 buffer. Solution A contained 20 mg S-adenosyl-L-methionine, 10 mg aminolevulinic acid and 6.5 mg nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide dissolved in 2 ml anaerobic 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris pH 8.0 and 852 853 adjusted to pH 8.0 with NaOH. Light excluded and left overnight. Reaction product applied to 1 ml 854 HiTrap DEAE FF (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in anaerobic 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0. Column washed with equilibration buffer containing 100, 200, 300 mM NaCl (10 CV each) and 855 eluted with equilibration buffer containing 800 mM NaCl. Sirohydrochlorin quantified via  $\varepsilon_{376 \text{ nm}} =$ 856 240,000 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>. 857

858 Co(II) insertion into sirohydrochlorin was performed in anaerobic 100 mM NaCl, 400 mM 859 KCl, 10 mM HEPES pH 7.0 (absence of metal buffer) or 50 mM HEPES pH 7.0 (presence of metal 860 buffer). Supplementary Equations 34-38 (Supplementary Note 2) were used to define buffered 861 [Co(II)], at a certain [NTA] and [Co(II)], with the NTA Co(II) association constant at pH 7.0 ( $4.5 \times$ 862  $10^7 M^{-1}$ ) determined using Schwarzenbach's  $\alpha$  co-efficient. 2.8 mM NTA will buffer 300  $\mu$ M Co(II)

at  $2.7 \times 10^{-9}$  M, approximating the calculated intracellular buffered [Co(II)] ( $2.5 \times 10^{-9}$  M). For Co(II)

864 insertion in the absence of metal buffer 50  $\mu$ M CoCl<sub>2</sub> was added to a solution of ~5  $\mu$ M

sirohydrochlorin in the absence or presence of 5  $\mu$ M CbiK. For Co(II) insertion in the presence of metal buffer ~5  $\mu$ M sirohydrochlorin with or without 5  $\mu$ M CbiK was added to a solution of 2.8 mM NTA and 300  $\mu$ M Co(II). Decrease in A<sub>376 nm</sub> was monitored ( $\lambda$ 35 UV-visible spectrophotometer). Under each condition reactions with enzyme run to equilibrium were used to define an extinction coefficient for Co(II)-loaded sirohydrochlorin.

870 CbiK metal occupancy in the cell was calculated using Equation 8:

871 
$$\theta = \frac{[M]_{buffered}}{K_D + [M]_{buffered}}$$
(8)

872 Where  $\theta$  is fractional protein occupancy with metal,  $K_D$  is CbiK metal dissociation constant and

873 [M]<sub>buffered</sub> is the calculated intracellular buffered metal concentration.

874

# 875 Statistics and reproducibility

Sample sizes followed convention in the literature for equivalent analyses. To enable calculation of s.d. in experiments designed to derive quantitative values to be used in the simulations these assays were initially performed in triplicate or quadruplicate (where equipment allowed even numbers of samples) with additional replicates performed when the s.d. was initially high. The number of independent experiments or biologically independent samples is shown for each result.

881

### 882 Data availability

All source data are available within the article and its Supplementary Information files, or from the
 corresponding author upon request. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to
 nigel.robinson@durham.ac.uk.

886

# 887 Code availability

888 Equation derivations, template Excel spreadsheet (with instructions) and MATLAB codes (with

instructions) are available in Supplementary Note 2, Supplementary Dataset and Supplementary Note

890 3, respectively.

# 891 Methods-only References

892	51	Chivers, P. T., Benanti, E. L., Heil-Chapdelaine, V., Iwig, J. S. & Rowe, J. L. Identification of
893		Ni-(L-His) <sub>2</sub> as a substrate for NikABCDE-dependent nickel uptake in <i>Escherichia coli</i> .
894		Metallomics 4, 1043-1050 (2012).
895	52	Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
896		quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. <i>Methods</i> <b>25</b> , 402-408 (2001).
897	53	Ramakers, C., Ruijter, J. M., Deprez, R. H. L. & Moorman, A. F. M. Assumption-free
898		analysis of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) data. Neurosci. Lett. 339,
899		62-66 (2003).
900	54	Chivers, P. T. & Sauer, R. T. NikR repressor: high-affinity nickel binding to the C-terminal
901		domain regulates binding to operator DNA. Chem. Biol. 9, 1141-1148 (2002).
902	55	Romao, C. V. et al. Evolution in a family of chelatases facilitated by the introduction of
903		active site asymmetry and protein oligomerization. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 97-102
904		(2011).
905	56	Stookey, L. L. Ferrozine-a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron. Anal. Chem. 42, 779-781
906		(1970).
907	57	Dainty, S. J., Patterson, C. J., Waldron, K. J. & Robinson, N. J. Interaction between
908		cyanobacterial copper chaperone Atx1 and zinc homeostasis. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 15, 77
909		(2010).
910	58	Kuzmic, P. Program DYNAFIT for the analysis of enzyme kinetic data: application to HIV
911		proteinase. Anal. Biochem. 237, 260-273 (1996).
912	59	Reyes-Caballero, H., Lee, C. W. & Giedroc, D. P. Mycobacterium tuberculosis NmtR harbors
913		a nickel sensing site with parallels to Escherichia coli RcnR. Biochemistry 50, 7941-7952
914		(2011).
915	60	Xiao, Z. et al. Unification of the copper(I) binding affinities of the metallo-chaperones Atx1,
916		Atox1, and related proteins: detection probes and affinity standards. J. Biol. Chem. 286,
917		11047-11055 (2011).
918		



Figure 1 | Metal binding and DNA binding are coupled to enable *Salmonella* to sense different
metals.







967 Figure 3 | Metals change the abundance of some sensors to modify regulation.





1005 Figure 5 | Metalation of CbiK and sirohydrochlorin.