Title: Intraocular pressure responses to four different isometric exercises in men and women

Running head: Isometric exercise increases intraocular pressure

Authors: Jesús Vera^a, PhD; Beatriz Redondo^a, MS; George-Alex Koulieris^b, PhD; Alejandro Torrejon^c, MS; Raimundo Jiménez^a, PhD; Amador Garcia-Ramos^{c,d}, PhD.

Affiliations:

^a Department of Optics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Granada, Granada, Spain.

^bDepartment of Computer Science, Durham University, UK. ^c Department of Physical Education and Sport, Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of Granada, Spain.

^c Department of Physical Education and Sport, Faculty of Sport Sciences, University of Granada, Spain.

^d Department of Sports Sciences and Physical Conditioning, Faculty of Education, CIEDE, Catholic University of Most Holy Concepción, Concepción, Chile.

Corresponding author: Raimundo Jiménez, Department of Optics, University of Granada, Campus de la Fuentenueva 2, 18001 Granada, Spain. Tel: +34 958244067; fax: +34 958248533. E-mail: raimundo@ugr.es

Abstract

Significance: The performance of resistance exercise has evidenced to induce abrupt intraocular pressure changes, which has been linked to the onset and progression of glaucoma. We found that four different isometric resistance exercises lead to an instantaneous and progressive intraocular pressure elevation, with these changes being independent on the type exercise.

Purpose: The impact of physical exercise on intraocular pressure has demonstrated to be dependent on exercise type and intesity, as well as individuals' characteristics. In this study, we aimed to explore the influence of the load, exercise type and participant's sex on the intraocular pressure behaviour during a 2-min isometric effort.

Methods: Twenty-eight physically active collegiate students performed 2-minutes of isometric exercise in the military press, biceps curl, leg extension and calf raise exercises against two different loads (high-load and low-load). Intraocular pressure was measured by rebound tonometry before, during (semi-continuos assessment [24 measurements]), and after 10 seconds of recovery in each of the eight (4 exercises x 2 loads) conditions.

Results: We found a statistically significant effect of load (p<.001, $\eta_p^2=0.906$), with greater intraocular pressure values when performing the isometric exercises against heavier loads. There was a positive intraocular pressure rise during the execution of isometric exercise in the high-load condition, returning to baseline levels after 10 seconds of passive recovery. The exercise type and participant's sex did not reveal statistically significant differences (p=.326 and p=.558, respectively).

Conclusions: Our data evidenced an instanteneous and progressive intraocular pressure rise during the execution of isometric exercise leading to muscular failure, regardless of the exercise type and participant's sex. After exercise, intraocular pressure rapidly retuned to baseline levels (within 10 seconds). The inclusion of glaucoma patients in future studies is guarranteed.

Keywords: resistance training; exercise physiology; ocular health; glaucoma management.

1 Intraocular pressure responses to four different isometric exercises in men and women

2 Introduction

The regular practice of physical activity is associated with an increase in life expectancy and a 3 decrease in the development of mental conditions (e.g., depression, dementia or anxiety).^{1,2} Recently, 4 5 eye care specialists have begun to investigate the effects of physical exercise on ocular health, aiming 6 to identify the most pertinent exercise programs for subjects with different ocular conditions.^{3–5} 7 Within the range of ocular conditions that have attracted researchers' attention, glaucoma is 8 undoubtedly the most investigated visual condition due to both its high prevalence worldwide and the 9 severity of the symptoms (irreversible vision loss).^{6,7} The main variable related to the onset and 10 progression of glaucoma is the intraocular pressure, which is defined as the pressure exerted by the aqueous humour against the outer layer of the eye.⁸ Training programs should attempt to reduce 11 baseline intraocular pressure values and avoid intraocular pressure peaks during exercise because 12 these are the two most important factors for the management of glaucoma.9 13

14 Numerous studies have found acute intraocular pressure changes during or immediately after the performance of different physical efforts.^{10–15} For example, resistance training against heavy loads 15 16 acutely increases intraocular pressure values,¹⁶ while running at different intensities seems to decrease 17 intraocular pressure.^{11,12} The impact of resistance training on intraocular pressure has been tested for 18 dynamic and isometric exercises, being the intraocular pressure increments generally higher for the isometric exercises.^{14,17} The results of previous studies suggest that performing resistance training 19 20 against heavy loads, and mainly the execution of isometric exercises, should be discouraged for 21 individuals at high risk for glaucoma onset or progression.

It is known that the changes in intraocular pressure during resistance training depend on several factores such as the magnitude of the load, participants' fitness level and sex, and the type of exercise.^{13,18,19} Vera and colleagues reported a positive association between the intraocular pressure

rise and the load lifted during the dynamic bench press and back-squat exercises.¹⁸ Regarding the 25 26 exercise type, there is evidence suggesting that dynamic resistance training exercises involving larger 27 muscle mass and the upper-body promote greater intraocular pressure rises compared to exercises involving smaller muscle groups and the lower-body, respectively.¹³ Studies comparing the acute 28 29 changes of intraocular pressure between men and women have reported contradictory results. Whereas women exhibited a greater intraocular pressure reduction during a high intensity interval 30 training protocol,¹¹ the changes in intraocular pressure values during the execution of four different 31 32 dynamic resistance training exercises (back squat, military press, biceps curl, and calf raise) were comparable for men and women.¹³ In addition, it is unknown whether the type of exercise and 33 34 participants sex may modulate the intraocular pressure behaviour during different isometric 35 exercises. Therefore, it would be important to assess the intraocular pressure responses to isometric 36 exercises that involve different amounts of muscle size and body parts in men and women.

37 To address the limitations previously mentioned, the intraocular pressure of healthy men and 38 women was semi-continuously measured for 2 minutes during 4 isometric exercises (military press, 39 biceps curl, leg extensions, and calf raises) performed against 2 loading conditions. Therefore, the 40 main objective of the present study was to elucidate whether the intraocular pressure behaviour during a 2-minutes isometric effort is affected by (i) the magnitude of the load, (ii) the type of exercise, and 41 42 (iii) the participant's sex. Based on previous findings, we hypothesized that (i) the use of greater loads 43 would promote a higher intraocular pressure rise,¹⁸ (ii) exercises performed with the upper-body involving large muscle groups would lead to greater intraocular pressure values,¹³ and (iii) the lack 44 45 of studies comparing the differences between men and women on the intraocular pressure responses 46 to isometric exercises did not allow us to formulate any specific hypothesis.

47 Methods

48 Participants

	Total sample (n =28)	Men $(n = 14)$	Women $(n = 14)$	
Anthropometrical characteristics				
Age (years)	22.4 ± 2.1	21.8 ± 2.1	23.0 ± 2.1	
Height (cm)	172.4 ± 6.8	176.9 ± 5.6	167.8 ± 4.6	
Body mass (kg)	67.6 ± 11.1	77.1 ± 5.7	58.2 ± 5.7	
Loads applied				
Military press (kg)	14.9 ± 3.4	17.6 ± 1.8	12.2 ± 2.4	
Biceps curl (kg)	10.0 ± 3.0	11.8 ± 2.4	8.2 ± 2.4	
Leg extensions (kg)	22.6 ± 5.2	26.5 ± 3.3	18.6 ± 3.7	
Calf raises (kg)	48.8 ± 12.1	55.4 ± 13.0	41.7 ± 5.5	

49 Twenty-eight physically active collegiate students took part in this study (Table 1). All participants

50

had at least 2 years of resistance training experience and were familiar with the exercises used in this
study. We excluded participants with any physical limitation that could compromise tested
performance, as well as those with a history of any ocular or cardiovascular disease or surgery.
Participants were not taking any medication that could affect eyes physiology and women were not
assessed during the menstruation phase. The study followed the guidelines of the Declaration of
Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board.
Table 1. Mean ± standard deviation of anthropometrical characteristics and isometric

Table 1. Mean \pm standard deviation of anthropometrical characteristics and isometri

exercise loads of all the participants included in this study.

59 **Table 1 near here**

60 Experimental design

We used a repeated measures design to explore the cummulative effect of four isometric exercises on intraocular pressure. Participants attended to the laboratory on two occasions separated by 48-72 hours, which were scheduled at the same time of the day (\pm 1 hour) in order to avoid the influence of circadian variations on physical performance.²⁰ In the first session, they read and signed the consent form, and filled-in the demographic questionnaire. Participants were also instructed how to perform the different isometric exercises and the maximal load that participants could hold for approximately 2 minutes was determined (see testing procedure below). The second session consisted of eight sets (4 exercises [military press, biceps curl, leg extensions and calf raise] x 2 loads [heavy load: load that
could be hold for 2 minutes; light load: no additional load was applied]). Each set was separated by
10 minutes of passive rest. The order of the exercises and loads was randomised. Intraocular pressure
was measured before exercise, during the 2-minutes of isometric effort (continuos measurement), and
after 10 seconds of recovery (Figure 1). Both experimental sessions were conducted under controlled
environmental conditions (~22°C and ~60% humidity), and participants were not allowed to drink
or eat during the experiment.

75 Isometric exercises

76 The maximum load that participants could hold for approximately 2 minutes in an isometric condition 77 was determined in the first testing session for all exercises (military press, biceps curl, leg extension, and calf raise). After a warm-up consisting of 5 minutes of jogging and joint mobility exercises, an 78 79 incremental loading test was performed to determine the maximum load. The initial load 80 corresponded to the 75% of the load that participants believed that they could hold for 2 minutes. 81 This load was progressively increased in agreement between the participant and an experienced 82 researcher. To minimize fatigue, participants were instructed to stop the exercise when they or the 83 researcher perceived that the applied load could be hold for more than 2 minutes. Three minutes were 84 implemented between successive sets. The average number of sets needed to reach the maximum load 85 was 2.4 ± 1.2 for the military press, 1.8 ± 1.5 for the biceps curl, 2.8 ± 1.6 for the leg extension, and 86 2.5 ± 1.5 for the calf raise. The maximum load was determined at the same positions that were used 87 for the measurement of intraocular pressure during session 2 (see Figure 1): (I) military press: 88 participants seated with the back supported by a bench reclined 5° respect to the vertical direction and 89 the elbows flexed with the hands positioned at the height of the chin; (II) biceps curl: upright position with elbows flexed at 90°; (III) leg extension: participants seated with the back supported by a bench 90 reclined 15° respect to the vertical direction and the knees fully extended; and (IV) calf raise: upright 91

position with hips, knees, and ankles fully extended. All exercises were performed bilaterally, and
participants were asked to maintain a constant breathing pattern during exercise.

94

Figure 1 near here

95 Intraocular pressure assessment

96 An Icare rebound tonometer (Icare, TiolatOy, INC. Helsinki, Finland) was used to assess intraocular 97 pressure at the different time points. The main advantages of this apparatus include that it is portable 98 and hand-held, allows a rapid acquisition of intraocular pressure measures, it is very well tolerated by patients, and does not require the use of topical anaesthesia.²¹ Following the manufacturer's 99 100 instructions, participants were instructed to look at a distant target while performing the isometric 101 exercise and intraocular pressure was continuously measured against the central cornea by an 102 experienced optometrist (JV or BR). Intraocular pressure values were vocalized to a research assistant 103 for data logging, obtaining a minimum of 24 measurements during the isometric effort (range of data 104 points from 24 to 28 measurements).

105 The Icare tonometer cannot acquire intraocular pressure measurements at exact time intervals 106 and due to the manual logging of the values we lacked exact measurement timestamps. For this 107 reason, we devised a process to overcome these technical restrictions and obtain a set of equally 108 distributed values at regular intervals with exact timestamps that we describe in the data processing 109 subsection. A baseline intraocular pressure was measured before each exercise, and we obtained a 110 recovery measurement 10 seconds after the exercise. We always measured the right eye. The same 111 procedure for intraocular pressure assessment was conducted in a recent study.²²

We were able to semi-continuously measure intraocular pressure due to the inherent characteristics of the tonometer and the exercise (static exercise with neutral neck position). This is the main novelty of this study compared to previous investigations, where the effects of different types of strength or endurance exercises were evaluated using a simple pre/post design.^{14,16,18,19,23} 116 During the 2-minutes isometric exercise, intraocular pressure values were acquired in a continuous117 fashion.

118 Data processing

To overcome the timestamping issue and the lack of automatic logging restrictions of the rebound 119 120 tonometer, we obtain a set of equally distributed intraocular pressure values at regular intervals using 121 the following procedure based on multi-rate digital signal processing. Specifically, we use sample 122 rate conversion to adjust the sampling rate of the discrete sampled signal (i.e., the intraocular pressure 123 signal) in order to obtain a new discretised version of the original continuous signal at a different 124 rate.^{24,25} When measuring intraocular pressure using the rebound tonometer, we sampled the 125 continuous intraocular pressure function at slightly irregular intervals. The values measured were the 126 values of the intraocular pressure function at those moments-in-time. But since the function is 127 continuous, when intraocular pressure values rise and fall between two pressures, intraocular pressure 128 will always take all intermediate values between these two pressures. As such we can reconstruct the 129 intraocular pressure function from the sample measurements by treating the obtained samples as 130 geometric points and then creating the necessary new points by polynomially interpolating those 131 values to obtain 24 discrete values for the 2-minute period, i.e., every 5 seconds.

132 Statistical analysis

First, we applied a mixed analysis of variance (ANOVA) to intraocular pressure values considering the exercise type (military press, leg extensions, biceps curl, calf raises), load (low and high), and point of measure (baseline, 1 to 24, and recovery [a total of 26 measurements]) as the withinparticipants factors, and sex (men vs women) as the between-participants factor. Additionally, linear regression analyses, considering the 24 measurements taken during the 2-minutes isometric efforts, were carried out in order to assess the intraocular pressure behaviour during exercise. The magnitude 139 of the differences was reported by the partial eta squared (η_p^2) and, statistical significance was set at 140 an alpha level of .05.

141 **Results**

The first set of analysis evidenced a statistically significant effect of load ($F_{1, 25} = 257.39$, p < .001, 142 $\eta_p^2 = 0.906$), point of measure (F_{25, 625} = 20.57, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.443$), whereas no differences were 143 144 observed for the exercise type ($F_{3, 75} = 1.17$, p = .326) and sex ($F_{1, 25} = 0.30$, p = .588). There were also statistically significant differences for the interactions *exercise type x sex* ($F_{3,75} = 3.76$, p = .014, 145 $\eta_{p}^{2} = 0.126$), exercise type x point of measure (F_{3, 75} = 2.13, p < .001, $\eta_{p}^{2} = 0.08$), load x point of 146 147 measure ($F_{25, 625} = 23.68$, p < .001, $\eta_p^2 = 0.476$), and exercise type x load x point of measure x sex (F_{75} , $_{1875} = 1.34$, p = .030, $\eta_p^2 = 0.050$). The rest of interaction did not reach statistical significance (all p-148 149 values > .05) (Figures 2 and 3).

In the high-load condition, the intraocular pressure behaviour showed a fairly linear and positive increase as a function of time-on-task during isometric effort, with Pearson correlation coefficients of 0.94, 0.80, 0.74, and 0.57 for the military press, biceps curl, leg extension, and calf raises exercises, respectively.

- 154 **Figure 2 near here**
- 155

Figure 3 near here

156 Discussion

157

The current study was designed to assess the influence of the load, exercise type and participants' sex on the intraocular pressure changes induced by isometric exercises. Our data revealed that performing 2-minutes of isometric exercise leading to muscular failure (high-load condition) promoted a progressive intraocular pressure rise, regardless of exercise type and participants' sex. Namely, the military press, biceps curl, leg extensions, and calf raise exercises caused a comparable increment of 163 intraocular pressure levels during the high-load condition (average intraocular pressure rise of ~ 25%, 164 range: 22% to 26%) for both men and women. When exercise ceased, intraocular pressure returned 165 to baseline levels in the subsequent 10 seconds. This result highlights that valuable information could 166 be missed in experimental studies using pre/post designs and, thus, intraocular pressure assessment 167 during exercise should be recommended. The outcomes of this study may have important implications 168 for the management of ocular conditions in which maintaining stable intraocular pressure levels are 169 desirable, especially for subjects at high risk for glaucoma onset or progression.

170 During the execution of dynamic resistance training exercises, the intraocular pressure 171 changes have been shown to be dependent on the type of exercise performed. For example, a recent 172 investigation reported a higher intraocular pressure rise during the bench press compared to the back squat when both exercises are performed against the same relative load.¹⁹ Similarly, Rüfer et al.¹⁴ 173 found a significant intraocular pressure rise after performing 20 repetitions with the butterfly 174 175 machine, whereas the execution of 20 repetitions during the leg curl exercise did not induce a 176 significant change in intraocular pressure. The results of the present study provide additional evidence 177 highlighting that intraocular pressure changes associated with isometric exercise are not exercise-178 dependent, at least for the exercise types included in this study. It should be noted that we chose 179 exercises involving a considerable amount of muscle mass (military press or leg extensions), 180 however, other exercises such as the bench press or back-squat may be more physically demanding 181 and could promote a more abrupt intraocular pressure response. Indeed, recently published data from 182 our laboratory suggest that the execution of 1-minute isometric squat exercise leading to muscular 183 failure promoted an intraocular pressure rise of approximately 8 mmHg at the end of the 1-min effort, 184 whereas the greatest intraocular pressure increment found in the current study was of approximately 5 mmHg.²² Taken together, it seems reasonable to discourage the execution of very challenging and 185 186 prolonged isometric efforts when intraocular pressure fluctuations are undesirable (e.g., glaucoma patients) because they have shown to provoke a meaningful, instantaneous and cumulative intraocular 187

pressure rise. It should be noted that the average IOP rise observed in this study may be comparable with the nocturnal elevation of IOP occurring in healthy subjects (2 to 6 mmHg).^{26,27} Therefore, the possible detrimental effects of the IOP rises caused by resistance training in healthy individuals require further investigation.

192 There are controversial results regarding the sex-related differences in intraocular pressure 193 responses to resistance training. For example, two studies have shown that males and females have 194 similar intraocular pressure responses to different dynamic resistance training exercises,^{13,28} whereas Vera and colleagues²² observed that men had a more accentuated intraocular pressure rise in 195 196 comparison to women, although these differences only reached statistical significance during the last 197 seconds of an isometric squat exercise performed until muscular failure. In this study, our results 198 demonstrated that the intraocular pressure response to isometric exercise does not systematically differ between men and women. However, in the military press exercise, the differences were 199 200 considerable (ES [90% confidence intervals] = 1.62 [0.73 - 2.43], with men exhibiting a more accentuated intraocular pressure increase in comparison to women. Of note, previous studies have 201 202 commonly considered 1-minute of isometric effort,^{22,29} showing a linear increase of intraocular 203 pressure over time. The results of the present study highlight that the intraocular pressure is progressively increased until at least 2 minutes. However, it should be noted that during the execution 204 205 of the high-load condition the intraocular pressure rise in the four exercises after the first minute was 206 22% (i.e., last point of measure of the first minute), whereas the percentage of intraocular pressure 207 increment when considering the last point of measure of the 2-minutes effort was 29%. This analysis 208 shows that the slope of the intraocular pressure rise during isometric exercise is slower when 209 considering longer periods of time. Notably, when leading to muscular failure during an isometric effort, 1-minute of isometric squat exercise promoted an intraocular pressure increment of 59%.²² In 210 211 order to test the influence of exercise duration on intraocular pressure, the same isometric exercise

should be tested when the time under tension is different (i.e., 1 vs 2 minutes), but matching the levelof effort (e.g. both exercises leading to muscular failure).

214 Current limitations and implications for future research

215 The current investigation is not exempt of limitations, and they must be acknowledged. First, our 216 experimental sample consisted of healthy and physically active collegiate students, and the main 217 implications of our findings are targeted at glaucoma patients or those at risk of glaucoma. Therefore, 218 the external validity of the present findings in glaucoma subjects needs to be addressed in future 219 investigations. Second, we tested the intraocular pressure changes during four different isometric 220 exercises, however, the effects of isometric efforts during other exercises, including those performed 221 while adopting head down position (yoga or crossfit) or lying face down (abdominal planks), should 222 be assessed. Third, fitness level has demonstrated to play a mediating role on the intraocular pressure responses to physical exercise,^{19,30} and thus, it is our hope that future studies will assess whether 223 224 intraocular pressure changes induced by isometric exercise are dependent on participants' fitness level. Lastly, the practice of physical exercise has numerous health benefits,³¹ including a 225 226 neuroprotective effect in different eye disorders.⁵ Based on this, it is necessary to determine the most 227 beneficial physical activity in order to preserve the ocular health. In this regard, isometric resistance 228 training may not be the most pertinent type of physical exercise, although it is clear that the health 229 benefits associated with physical activity indicate that adopting a sedentary behaviour needs to be 230 avoided.

231 Conclusions

The execution of 2-minutes isometric exercises leading to muscular failure promotes an instantaneous and progressive intraocular pressure rise in healthy adults, being these changes independent of the tested exercise (military press, leg extensions, biceps curl, calf raises). When physical effort ceased, intraocular pressure rapidly returned to baseline levels (within 10 seconds). Overall, there were no meaningfull differences between men and women in intraocular pressure responses to physical exercise with the only expection being the military press exercise in which men showed a greater intraocular pressure rise in comparison to women. The present outcomes may be of interest for eye care specialists, since the performance of isometric efforts should be discouraged when stable intraocular pressure levels are desirable, especially for individuals at high risk for glaucoma onset or progression. The inclusion of glaucoma patients in future investigations is needed to explore the generalizability of the current findings.

243

244 Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the participants who selflessly collaborated in this research. The authors report no conflicts of interest and have no proprietary interest in any of the materials mentioned in this article. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. This study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved the University of Granada Institutional Review Board (IRB approval: 438/CEIH/2017). All participants included in this study signed an informed consent form.

251

252 **References**

Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM, et al. The Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans.
 JAMA 2018;9762:1–9.

- Hillman CH, Erickson KI, Kramer AF. Be smart, Exercise your Heart: Exercise Effects on
 Brain and Cognition. Nat Rev Neurosci 2008;9:58–65.
- 257 3. Mcguinness MB, Le J, Mitchell P, et al. Physical Activity and Age-related Macular
- 258 Degeneration: A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-analysis. Am J Ophthalmol

- 2017;180:29-38.
- 260 4. Selin JZ, Orsini N, Lindblad BE, Wolk A. Long-Term Physical Activity and Risk of Age-
- 261 Related Cataract: A Population-Based Prospective Study of Male and Female Cohorts.
- 262 Ophthalmology 2015;122:274–80.
- 263 5. Zhu MM, Lai JS, Choy BN, et al. Physical Exercise and Glaucoma: A Review on the Roles
- 264 of Physical Exercise on Intraocular Pressure Control, Ocular Blood Flow Regulation,
- 265 Neuroprotection and Glaucoma-Related Mental Health. Acta Ophthalmol 2018;96:676–91.
- 266 6. Tham YC, Li X, Wong TY, et al. Global Prevalence of Glaucoma and Projections of
- 267 Glaucoma Burden Through 2040: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Ophthalmology
 268 2014;121:2081–90.
- 269 7. Weinreb RN, Aung T, Medeiros FA. The Pathophysiology and Treatment of Glaucoma.
 270 JAMA 2014:311:1901–11.
- Kiel J, Hollingsworth M, Rao M, et al. Ciliary Blood Flow and Aqueous Humor Production.
 Prog Retin Eye Res 2011;30:1–17.
- 273 9. De Moraes CG, Juthani VJ, Liebmann JM, et al. Risk Factors for Visual Field Progression in
 274 Treated Glaucoma. Arch Ophthalmol 2011;129:562–8.
- 275 10. Wylęgała A. The Effects of Physical Exercises on Ocular Physiology. J Glaucoma
 276 2016;25:e843–9.
- 277 11. Vera J, Jiménez R, Redondo B, et al. Acute Intraocular Pressure Responses to High-
- 278 Intensity Interval-Training Protocols in Men and Women. J Sports Sci 2019;37:803–9.
- 12. Najmanova E, Pluhacek F, Botek M. Intraocular Pressure Response to Moderate Exercise
 During 30-Min Recovery. Optom Vis Sci 2016;93:281–5.
- 13. Vera J, Jiménez R, Redondo B, et al. Effect of the Level of Effort During Resistance

282		Training on Intraocular Pressure. Eur J Sport Sci 2019;19:394–401.
283	14.	Rüfer F, Schiller J, Klettner A, et al. Comparison of the Influence of Aerobic and Resistance
284		Exercise of the Upper and Lower Limb on Intraocular Pressure. Acta Ophthalmol
285		2014;92:249–52.
286	15.	Vera J, Jiménez R, García JA, et al. Baseline Intraocular Pressure Is Associated with
287		Subjective Sensitivity to Physical Exertion in Young Males. Res Q Exerc Sport 2018;89:25–
288		37.
289	16.	Vieira G, Oliveira H, de Andrade D, et al. Intraocular Pressure Variation During Weight
290		Lifting. Arch Ophthalmol 2006;124:1251–4.
291	17.	Vera J, Raimundo J, García-Durán B, et al. Acute Intraocular Pressure Changes During
292		Isometric Exercise and Recovery: The Influence of Exercise Type and Intensity, and
293		Participant's Sex. J Sports Sci 2019.
294	18.	Vera J, Garcia-Ramos A, Jiménez R, Cárdenas D. The Acute Effect of Strength Exercises at
295		Different Intensities on Intraocular Pressure. Graefe's Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol
296		2017;255:2211–7.
297	19.	Vera J, Jiménez R, Redondo B, et al. Fitness Level Modulates Intraocular Pressure
298		Responses to Strength Exercises. Curr Eye Res 2018;43:740-6.
299	20.	Drust B, Waterhouse J, Atkinson G, et al. Circadian Rhythms in Sports Performance - An
300		Update. Chronobiol Int 2005;22:21–44.
301	21.	Pakrou N, Gray T, Mills R, et al. Clinical Comparison of the Icare Tonometer and
302		Goldmann Applanation Tonometry. J Glaucoma 2008;17:43–7.
303	22.	Vera J, Jiménez R, Redondo B, et al. Investigating the Immediate and Cumulative Effects of
304		Isometric Squat Exercise for Different Weight Loads on Intraocular Pressure: A Pilot Study.

305 Sports Health 2019;11:247–53.

- 306 23. Vera J, Jiménez R, Redondo B, et al. Intraocular Pressure Responses to Maximal Cycling
 307 Sprints Against Different Resistances: The Influence of Fitness Level. J Glaucoma
 308 2017:26:881–7.
- 309 24. Crochiere RE, Rabiner LR. Multirate Digital Signal Processing. Vol 18. Prentice-Hall
 310 Englewood Cliffs, NJ; 1983.
- 311 25. Oppenheim AV, Buck JR, Schafer RW. Discrete-Time Signal Processing, Volume 2.
 312 Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall; 1989.
- Liu JH, Zhang X, Kripke DF, Weinreb RN. Twenty-Four-Hour Intraocular Pressure Pattern
 Associated with Early Glaucomatous Changes. Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2003;44:1586–
 90.
- 316 27. Agnifili L, Mastropasqua R, Frezzotti P, et al. Circadian Intraocular Pressure Patterns in
 317 Healthy Subjects, Primary Open Angle and Normal Tension Glaucoma Patients with a
- Contact Lens Sensor. Acta Ophthalmol 2015;93:14–21.
- 28. Chromiak J, Abadie B, Braswell R, et al. Resistance Training Exercises Acutely Reduce
 Intraocular Pressure in Physically Active Men and Women. J Strength Cond Res
 2003;17:715–20.
- 322 29. Bakke EF, Hisdal J, Semb SO. Intraocular Pressure Increases in Parallel with Systemic
- Blood Pressure During Isometric Exercise. Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2009;50:760–4.
- 324 30. Vera J, Jiménez R, Redondo B, et al. Effect of a Maximal Treadmill Test on Intraocular
- 325 Pressure and Ocular Perfusion Pressure: The Mediating Role of Fitness Level. Eur J
 326 Ophthalmol 2019:1120672119832840.
- 327 31. Warburton DE, Bredin SS. Reflections on Physical Activity and Health: What Should We

328 Recommend? Can J Cardiol 2016;32:495–504.

329 Figure legends

Figure 1. Schematic illustration of the experimental procedure (from left to right: military press, biceps curl, leg entension, and calf raise). The same protocolol was repeated in eight different occasions (4 exercises x 2 loads), and the order of the exercises and loads was randomized. A 10 minutes break was given between two sets. The recovery measurement of intraocular pressure was taken 10 seconds after the exercise cessation.





Figure 2. Effects of performing 2-minutes of isometric military press (panel A), biceps curl (panel
B), leg extensions (panel C), and calf raises (panel D) against the maximum load that participants
could hold for approximately 2 minutes in an isometric condition (high-load) and without applying
any additional load (low-load) on intraocular pressure. The recovery (REC) value represents the



340 measurement taken 10 seconds after the exercise cessation. Error bars show the 95% confidence

341 intervals.

Figure 3. Standardized differences (Cohen's d effect size) with the corresponding 90% confidence
intervals in the intraocular pressure changes (average value of the 2-min isometric efforts in the low
and high-load conditions) between men and women when performing the four different isometric
exercises.

