Hester Hockin-Boyers, Stacey Pope and Kim Jamie (Durham University)

Digital Pruning: Agency and social media use as a personal political project among female weightlifters in recovery from eating disorders

Abstract

In the past decade, a wealth of research has focused on women and social media. Typically assembled according to the logic of 'risk' and 'exposure', this extensive work tends to operate within a negative paradigm whereby women's engagement with the digital produces harmful outcomes for wellbeing. This paper makes a novel contribution to this literature by tracing the ways in which women who are in recovery from eating disorders and engaged in weightlifting strategically navigate their social media 'worlds' and give meaning to this process. Our data draws on 19 in-depth interviews and our findings examine two key themes. Firstly, we challenge the negative paradigm that frames women's social media use and demonstrate how the digital can support positive wellbeing for women in recovery. Secondly, we introduce the concept of 'digital pruning', a personal political project framed within the language of self-care, which involves unfollowing unhelpful or triggering content.

Keywords: Digital pruning, social media, agency, media-effects, feminism, eating disorders, weightlifting.

Introduction

Within contemporary western cultural consciousness, social media looms as the boogieman for issues relating to women's body image and self-esteem, with reductionist and sensationalist media reporting framing social media use as either 'good' or, more commonly, 'bad' (Gerrard, 2018; Gill, 2012). These prevalent digital skeptic discourses are reinforced by extensive work which evidences

28 a negative relationship between women's holistic wellbeing and social media. Specifically, academic

29 research on the topic argues that women's interaction with social media platforms predicts body

30 dissatisfaction, poor self-esteem, the internalisation of the thin ideal, increased self-objectification

31 and a heightened risk of developing eating disorder symptomology (Holland and Tiggemann, 2016).

32 Despite the negative associations between social media content and health, women are engaging

33 with social media more than ever. Between 2010 and 2017, the share of female respondents who

34 reported creating a profile on any social media site increased from 56 to 81 percent (Statista, 2018).

35 Moreover, research shows that the average UK adult spends approximately 12 hours a week using

36 social media platforms such as Facebook, Twitter and Instagram (ComRes, 2018). While women are

37 warned against the potentially damaging nature of media-effects, the digital is incrementally taking

38 up more space in our everyday lives. This prompts the following conundrum; either women are

39 unconsciously causing themselves harm, engaging with social media to their detriment despite

40 overwhelmingly negative affect. Conversely, women may develop conscious and experience-

41 informed strategies to navigate potentially damaging social media content, a process which so far

42 remains absent from existing empirical research.

43 Our paper makes an original contribution to literature on new media by focusing on a sample of

44 women who, we argue, would be characterised as particularly vulnerable to the negative impacts of

45 using digital spaces: women weightlifters with a history of eating disorders. Existing literature

46 typically focuses on the measured effects of women's 'exposure' to social media and this particular

47 sample would be deemed 'at risk' of potentially damaging messaging, due to the transactional

48 media-effects associated with exposure to pro-eating disorder[i] (pro-ED) content and 'fitspiration'

49 discourses which promote the achievement of (often unrealistic) lean body-types through exercise

50 (Perloff, 2014; Valkenberg and Peter, 2013). In this respect, previous research has focused on the

51 ways in which the thin ideal and pro-ED content on social media negatively impacts women's

52 wellbeing (Ghaznavi, and Taylor, 2015; Mingoia et al., 2017; Rodgers et al., 2016). More recently,

53 research in this field has explored the negative outcomes associated with the shift towards a more

54 muscular ideal for women, marked by the emergence of fitspiration on social media platforms

55 (Robinson et al., 2017; Tiggemann and Zaccardo, 2015). Most women in our sample reported to

56 regularly observe thin ideal and/or pro-ED content online when suffering from an eating disorder,

57 as well as muscular ideal/fitspiration imagery when recovering through weightlifting. Due to their

58 exposure to this damaging content, these women lie at a specific intersection of expertise and lived

59 experience, making their insights on social media particularly significant and novel.

60 Our research details the ways female weightlifters in recovery from eating disorders strategically

61 and consciously navigate online spaces. By exploring how this population of women subjectively

62 reconceptualise and design their social media 'worlds' to enhance positive health and wellbeing, we

63 develop and extend existing work in this field, building towards a significant, more complex

64 framework for scholarship on women's relationship to the digital.

65 We begin by critiquing some of the ontological assumptions underpinning much of the work on the

66 relationship between women's wellbeing and social media. In particular, we interrogate the notion

67 of 'exposure' to the digital, drawing attention to its limitations before considering the need for

68 research which takes into account agency and subjectivity. We then overview the study methods,

69 emphasising the value of approaching the data using Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis (IPA),

70 before moving on to our findings, which examine two key themes. Firstly, we challenge the negative

71 paradigm maintained by extant research on women's engagement with social media, by

72 demonstrating how digital spaces can positively support wellbeing for female weightlifters in

73 recovery from eating disorders. Secondly, we turn to the paper's novel theoretical contribution,

74 'digital pruning'- a personal political project whereby this population critically sift through social

75 media content and make informed decisions about the affectual impact of their online

76 environments. Finally, in the discussion, we critically engage with the neoliberal rhetoric

77 underpinning digital pruning as a subjective practice which places the responsibility for content

78 regulation firmly in the hands of individual users. We explore this in connection with postfeminist

79 literature, which theorises the centrality of 'choice' and self-knowledge to contemporary western

80 feminine subjectivity (Gill, 2008; McRobbie, 2009).

81 'Exposure' and the vulnerable female gaze

82 The relationship between women's wellbeing and the internet has been extensively written about

83 since the arrival of social media platforms in the late 2000s/early 2010, with a particular focus on

84 sites that support the sharing and editing of digitally mediated images (such as Facebook, Youtube,

85 Instagram, Twitter and Snapchat). Significantly, studies which look at women's interaction with

86 social media find an overwhelmingly negative effect (Fardouly et al., 2015; Tiggemann and Slater,

87   2013), with the exception of some notable work on the positive impact of self-compassion

88   messaging and body positivity (Cohen et al., 2019: Slater et al., 2017). According to this cache of

89   research, engagement with social media platforms facilitates self-comparison (Tiggemann and

90   Miller, 2010), body surveillance (Vandenbosch and Eggermon, 2012), and self-objectification

91   (Fardouly et al., 2018). Moreover, the reportedly high prevalence of thinspiration (images that

92   promote the thin ideal) and fitspiration (images that promote the achievement of a lean body-type

93   through exercise) within the social media landscape has directed the focus in much of this body of

94   research. This scholarship reveals that 'exposure' to thinspiration/fitspiration messaging predicts

95   greater body dissatisfaction and negative mood (Prichard et al., 2017; Tiggemann and Zaccardo,

96   2015). Furthermore, and of particular interest to our study, conceptual models have been

97   developed that attempt to link eating disorder symptomology to the viewing of these images

98   (Griffiths et al., 2018).

99   The language of 'exposure', which assumes a lack of protection from harm, proliferates within

100   scholarship on women's engagement with social media (Slater, 2004). Yet there is little critical

101   engagement with the underpinning theoretical and ontological assumptions held by this frequently

102   mobilised terminology. Exposure also forms the basis of key methodological processes, most

103   commonly through experiments which replicate the encounter between social media users and

104   images that contain specific messaging (e.g. fitspiration, thinspiration, attractive celebrity images)

105   (Brown and Tiggemann, 2016; Griffiths et al., 2018; Tiggemann and Zaccardo, 2015). Typically,

106   before and after a sample of women are 'exposed' to the chosen images, they are asked to

107   complete one or more measures relating to body image and wellbeing (for example, body

108   satisfaction and mood). The comparative results of these two time points are then utilised as

109   evidence of the effects of certain social media content on women's wellbeing. While this research

110   offers some insight into women's initial reactions to certain messaging, it fails to take into account

111   the dynamic and everyday use of mobile technologies (Ibrahim, 2015). Moreover, as Holmes

112   contends, "such a persistent emphasis on risk (as well as the insistence on the girl as a consuming

113   subject), directs attention away from questions of media production…as well as the digital practices

114   through which constructions of the self are actively negotiated and produced" (2017: 4). In this

115   regard, we question whether experiments concentrated around two distinct time points, with so

116   few opportunities to account for participant agency and subjectivity, accurately replicate user

117   experiences of social media.

118   Moreover, within writing on women and girls' engagement with the digital and the development of

119   eating disorders, a seductive discourse of contagion has emerged from the moral panic associated

120   with exposure to pro-ED spaces on social media, compounded by the assumed passivity and

121   vulnerability of the female gaze (Bell, 2009; Burke, 2006; Gerrard, 2018; Holmes, 2017). As Burke

122   writes, "the prevalence of the idea that women can 'catch' anorexic behaviours from looking at

123   each other or images of slenderness displaces feminist ideas about imagery oppressing women and

124   instead foregrounds a sensationalised, 'pathological' bodily schema" (2006: 316). This idea of

125   contagion within digital spaces is convincingly challenged by Gerrard (2018), whose study of

126   hashtags and pro-ED spaces reveals that social media platform moderation protects those who

127   might be characterised as 'at risk' but not those who are already embedded within these systems.

128   In addition, Chancellor and colleagues' (2016) work on lexical variation in pro-ED online

129   communities (for example the use of #thyghgapp instead of #thighgap) demonstrates that while

130   pro-ED content still exists on social media, it has to be actively sought out using special terms

131   developed within the subculture. However, there is a dearth of empirical literature relating to how

132   potentially vulnerable female populations experience social media environments.

133   We argue that the language of exposure and the methodology that accompanies it, deprives

134   women of agency and fundamentally falls short of the ways in which both platforms and users

135   operate. In their 2014 agenda setting piece, Prieler and Choi write, "it is necessary to differentiate

136   the effect of social media use through passive exposure to social media content from the effect of

137   the active use of social media, such as commenting, disseminating, seeking information, and

138   posting" (2014: 381). While we agree that there is a need to incorporate user agency into research

139   on women's social media use, we would go further to suggest that the very notion of 'passive

140   exposure' itself is not ontologically possible (Rose, 2016). Whether images are sought out, created,

141   or unintentionally viewed, there is a dynamic process occurring at the site of the user which

142   involves recognition, interpretation and absorption (Van Dijck, 2009). As Rose contends, "as images

143   circulate, pausing and materializing in specific places with specific people, cultural meanings are

144   encountered, interpreted, ignored, lost, liked, resisted and deleted. All this is friction" (2016: 343).

145   It is this process which remains entirely absent from writing on women's wellbeing and media-

146 effects and yet is crucial to understanding how individuals strategically engage with and react to the

147 discourses they observe.

Agency in the digital age

149 Research in the digital age requires a more dynamic, multi-sited approach to media-effects

150 scholarship, which takes into account both the capacity for individuals to subjectively design their

151 own personal online 'worlds' and the structural make-up of social media platforms. In this regard,

152 while the literature on women's wellbeing and 'exposure' to social media detailed above falls short

153 in sufficiently accounting for agency and subjectivity, it is important to also not take for granted the

154 socio-spatial geographies of the digital, which shape online environments in particular ways (Rose,

155 2016).

156 It is surely the case that individuals have more agency in their engagement with new media than

157 they did old media, and as such, a variety of concepts have been developed which characterise this

158 shift towards a more participatory media culture (Jenkins et al., 2016). For example, *convergence*

159 *culture* refers to a paradigm shift whereby 'consumers' of content can no longer be considered an

160 audience in the traditional sense, due to widespread access to the tools for production and

161 distribution (Jenkins and Deuze, 2008). In a similar vein, *produsage* describes "the collaborative,

162 iterative, and user-led production of content by participants in a hybrid user-producer, or produser

163 role" (Bruns, 2006). Moreover, these ideas have been adapted and extended with the advent of

164 social media, to account for the ever-increasing methods by which individual can act with and

165 through the digital (Jenkins et al., 2013). In this respect, social media users can exercise agency by;

166 choosing what or who to 'follow', interacting with content (through 'liking' or commenting), and

167 producing/editing theirs and others' content. Picone and colleagues (2019) have developed the

168 concept of 'small acts of engagement' (SAOE) which conceptually accounts for these kinds of

169 productive practices that constitute everyday user agency. In this respect, there is a wealth of

170 literature which accounts for the various ways the Web 2.0 has given rise to new ways of

171 communicating, creating and living.

172 However, the boundaries to user autonomy are unclear, as the architecture of social media

173 platforms is partially constructed by algorithms which sift and instrumentalise user data in

174 particular ways (Beer, 2009; Hayles, 2012; Rose, 2016). In this respect, feedback loops embedded

175   within a platform's design determine, to some extent, what kind of messaging an individual user

176   will be presented with in future interactions (Gerrard, 2018). However, the underpinning

177   mechanisms that metabolise and leverage data are not generally obvious or visible to the average

178   user, meaning the role these platforms play in shaping agency is not always clearly discernible

179   (Eslami et al., 2015). Boulton and Zook (2013) refer to the naturalisation of these structures as the

180   'duplicity of code', whereby "code works to produce space and, by doing so, defines the boundaries

181   of knowledge production, codifies the meanings of place, and delimits a field of potential practice"

182   (2013: 440). It could therefore be argued that digital platforms co-constitute action by making

183   certain activities possible and even desirable (van Dijck, 2009).

184   Significantly, as social media spaces are created in a 'for-profit' model, platforms are governed by

185   the logic of visibility, engagement and status, rather than social responsibility or public good

186   (Marwick, 2013). As a result, algorithms provide an architectural framework which often reifies

187   problematic social dynamics that are observable in wider society (Petre et al., 2019). In this regard,

188   those with power and status tend to enjoy an elevated exposure and normative beauty ideals (such

189   as thinspiration and fitspiration) proliferate (Carah and Dobson, 2016). This being said, platforms

190   are habitually required to adapt and evolve their regulations and ethics in response to user

191   demand. Consider, for example, Flat Tummy Co., a company who sells 'appetite suppressant

192   lollipops' and 'flat tummy tea', who accrued significant wealth by 'gaming Instagram to sell women

193   the unattainable ideal' (Wong, 2018). Famous influencers who endorsed these products include;

194   Kim Kardashian, who has 154 million followers on Instagram (as of December 2019), and Amber

195   Rose who has 19.2 million followers on Instagram (as of December 2019). This highly visible

196   campaign was met with significant resistance from feminists, body positive activists and health

197   professionals. This, among other contested instances of toxic messaging on platforms, led Facebook

198   and Instagram to tighten their restrictions on posts related to diet products and cosmetic surgery

199   (BBC, 2019). In this respect, the relationship between social media platforms and user agency is

200   mutually constituting, as the successful structural development of platforms relies on the push and

201   pull of user feedback and engagement.

202   When theorising agency within social media use, structural features of platforms such as

203   algorithms, the 'for profit' governance model, and content regulation policies, all impact user

204   experience by somewhat determining the "temporal and spatial horizons for experience" (Boulton

205 and Zook, 2013: 440). However, a wealth of literature has emerged in the field of new media and

206 communication focusing on the methods by which individuals and groups attempt to resist

207 algorithms by organising collectively or mobilising them to their own advantage (Cotter, 2018;

208 O'Meara, 2019; Petre et al., 2019; Velkova and Kaun, 2019). For example, research by Cotter (2018)

209 explores the conscious strategies mobilised by social media influencers to engage with Instagram's

210 algorithm. This study demonstrated that this population of users have an acute awareness of how

211 to 'play the game' on social media, by strategically harnessing the algorithms' power to increase

212 their own visibility. Moreover, Rheingold (2012) has written extensively on digital literacy and how

213 individuals can mindfully engage with the internet to achieve personal and collective

214 empowerment. In this regard, there is evidence that user agency is being enacted both materially

215 and discursively in relation to social media platforms and their internal structures.

216 Theorising agency within the context of social media is multifaceted and both digital structures and

217 user agency should be accounted for. For the purposes of this paper, we have chosen to explore

218 experiential accounts of social media use, from the perspective of female weightlifters in recovery

219 from eating disorders. To do this, we approach the data using Interpretive Phenomenological

220 Analysis, which asks the question, 'what is this kind of experience like?' (Shinebourne and Smith,

221 2009). In this sense, while we believe it important to acknowledge the role of structure in shaping

222 online experiences, and have done so here, this research is primarily interested in participants'

223 understanding of agency as it pertains to the digital. This is an important and highly original line of

224 enquiry given the lack of attention paid to female subjectivity in previous work on women and

225 social media, which has typically tended to operate according to the logic of 'risk' and 'exposure'.

226 Our primary research questions are as follows:

227   1. What impact does social media have on wellbeing and recovery for female weightlifters in
228   recovery from eating disorders?
229   2. How do female weightlifters in recovery from eating disorders strategically navigate social
230   media spaces and give meaning to this process?

Methods

232 The data for this paper comes from a wider project on women's use of weightlifting as a mode of

233 recovery from eating disorders. Female participants, aged 17 and over, living in the UK, who have a

234   history of eating disorders and are weightlifting during their recovery, were sought to take part in

235   the study. In order to ensure rigour in our recruitment strategy, a two-pronged approach to

236   sampling was used, which enabled us to recruit respondents from what could be defined as a 'hard

237   to reach' group (i.e. women weightlifters in recovery from eating disorders). Firstly, the study was

238   advertised in a selected group of gyms in England. Secondly, calls for participants were posted on

239   the lead researcher's Instagram and Twitter accounts and individuals who fit the study criteria were

240   identified and direct messaged through social media. These two methods in combination with

241   snowball sampling and recruitment by word of mouth secured the complete sample (n=19).

242   In-depth semi-structured interviews were then conducted with participants in various locations

243   across the UK (see table below). In terms of the ethnic make-up of the sample, 16 participants

244   identified as white-British, two as British-Indian, and one as Chinese. Their ages range from 17 to 38

245   and they live in various different locations across the UK. The women who took part in this study

246   were all amateur participants in a range of weight training styles. These included; bodybuilding,

247   strength training, powerlifting, strongwoman and CrossFit. Participants did one or a combination of

248   these activities on a weekly basis and had done so for a minimum of 8 months at the time of

249   interview.

250   This project employs a feminist approach to health and self-care which affirms "a positive view of

251   women as experts of their own health experiences" (Weaver et al, 2005, p.190); therefore, women

252   were asked to self-report their recovery status. While a minority of participants considered

253   themselves 'recovered', the majority of women self-identified as 'in recovery', despite often being

254   no longer considered clinically at risk, due to being weight-restored. Participants viewed recovery as

255   a daily practice and there was a great deal of discussion regarding the degree to which a person

256   ever *truly* recovers from an eating disorder. Two participants identified as 'still suffering' with an

257   eating disorder. Just under half of the women who took part had never received any treatment or

258   therapeutic support for their eating disorder. Of the participants who had at one time accessed

259   care, two were inpatients, three were outpatients and the remainder saw a therapist or

260   psychologist. However, many of these women reported struggling to engage with formal treatment,

261   citing weightlifting instead as the key driver for their recovery.

262   Mobilising the language of digital fitness culture, interviews were presented in recruitment

263   materials as informal discussions about participants' fitness and recovery 'journeys'. Interviews

264   were conducted by the lead researcher in a variety of locations (based on participants'

265   preferences), which were mostly cafes and university meeting rooms. The interviews were semi-

266   structured, lasting between 1-2 hours (averaging at 1.5 hours), and the interview guide was split

267   into three experiential categories; 1) weightlifting and strength, 2) eating disorder recovery, and 3)

Pseudonym	Interview location	Age	Type of weightlifting	In recovery from:

268   social media usage. Five to ten questions were drafted for each category to prompt discussion;

269   however, interviews rarely followed a neat, linear format. Given that participants were extremely

270   well-versed and understanding of the internal mechanisms through which weightlifting and social

271   media impacted their recovery, the three categories were largely discussed at once, with

272   participants drawing connections between weightlifting, recovery, and social media in a narrative

273   style that is mirrored within social media spaces (Rettberg, 2018).

Alice	Plymouth	18	Strength training	Anorexia/EDNOS
Ava	Loughborough	30	Powerlifting/strength training	Anorexia/bulimia
Charis	Wolverhampton	20	Powerlifting/bodybuilding	Anorexia
Ella	Newcastle	24	Powerlifting	Bulimia
Erica	Leeds	31	CrossFit/strength training	Anorexia
Eve	Durham	20	Strength training	Anorexia
Georgie	Durham	20	Strength training	Anorexia
Harriet	Durham	19	Strength training	Bulimia
Helena	Glasgow	36	Strength training	Binge eating disorder
Jess	London	22	Bodybuilding	Anorexia
Laura	Nottingham	23	Powerlifting	Anorexia/binge eating disorder
Lily	Newcastle	22	Powerlifting/CrossFit	Anorexia
Lizzie	Newcastle	32	Strength training	Anorexia/bulimia
Maddy	Durham	21	Powerlifting	EDNOS
Nisha	London	36	Powerlifting/strength training	Anorexia/bulimia/binge eating disorder
Polly	London	17	Strength training	Anorexia
Ruby	London	24	Bodybuilding/strength training	Binge eating disorder
Sarah	Cardiff	18	Strength training	Anorexia
Sonia	Newcastle	26	Strongwoman	Anorexia

274

275 Due to the stigmatised and potentially vulnerable nature of the research participants in this study,

276 ethical considerations were embedded in the research design. Ethical approval was gained from the

277 host institution and participants were provided with written informed consent before any data was

278 collected. It was anticipated that discussing exercise and food during the interviews may be

279 triggering or interfere with recovery. This was not found to be the case as, when asked to reflect on

280 how the interview made them feel (immediately after the interview and in follow-up

281 conversations), participants reported the process to be positive, as it allowed them space to reflect

282 on their experiences in a non-therapeutic context. However, in situations where participants

283 disclosed that they were struggling to recover, they were signposted towards various

284 resources such as eating disorder helplines and information on how to access support.

285 The lead researcher conducted all interviews for this study due to having an 'insider' status through

286 her participation in amateur weightlifting and engagement with health and fitness social media

287 content. In order to facilitate an informal, conversational atmosphere, some personal experiences

288 with diet, exercise and social media were shared by the lead researcher when interacting with

289 participants. These attempts at connection served to readdress the power imbalance inherent

290 within the interviewer/interviewee dynamic, as well as allow for a rapport to be developed

291 (Cotterill and Letherby, 1993). In particular, the lead researcher's relevant experience was valuable

292 when the technical details of weightlifting (e.g. certain exercises or styles of training like

293 'hypertrophy') and social media (e.g. 'stories' on Instagram) were discussed (Kerr and Sturm, 2019).

294 A central aim of the study was to determine the role that social media plays in the use of

295 weightlifting as a mode of recovery. As a result, data was collected regarding participants' social

296 media use and its impact (if any) on health and wellbeing. Participants in this study have unique and

297 important insights to offer into current debates in this area. For one, this group of women have a

298 history of eating disorders and over half of the participants in the study reported to engage with

299 pro-ED content prior to starting their recovery. According to the extant research, this situates them

300 within the category of potentially most 'at risk' and vulnerable to negative social media messaging

301 (Perloff, 2014). Secondly, as they are all weightlifters and gym-goers, the women in this study

302 observe and engage with so-called 'online fitness communities' on social media. This makes them

303 well-placed to comment on fitspiration discourses which, research indicates, proliferate within this

304 online space (Griffiths et al., 2018). Finally, this group of women represent a range of voices within

305 the social media landscape. The majority are casual and active users, engaging with digital spaces to

306 document their weightlifting and recovery progress. Four participants have cultivated followings of

307 over 2,000 on Instagram and one participant could be considered to be 'influencer' status[ii], due to

308 having over 201k followers of her Instagram account. It is noteworthy that two of the women in the

309 study did not use social media, for one participant this was due to a lack of interest and for another

310 her avoidance of social media was described as a conscious means of maintaining positive mental

311 health.

312 In terms of social media platforms, 17 of the sample used Instagram, citing it as their most

313 frequently used social media application. Some declared the use of the earlier established social

314 media platform Facebook to be 'dead' and 'somewhere your parents are at' (Erica). This is reflected

315 by research that demonstrates photo and video sharing is progressively the highest form of social

316 currency online, with image-based apps such as Instagram achieving increased popularity among

317 women and young people (Ibrahim, 2015; Rainie et al., 2012). As a result, a great deal of the

318 following discussion centres around Instagram, however occasional reference is also made to

319 Twitter, YouTube and Facebook.

320 We approached the data using Interpretive Phenomenological Analysis (IPA). This analytic approach

321 is well suited to health-related research with small sample groups, as it seeks to interpret meaning

322 from the depth and richness of personal narratives within a given phenomenon (Shinebourne and

323 Smith, 2009). Moreover, at its core, IPA is interested in experience, subjectivity and relatedness,

324 which are central to the research questions for this project (Larkin and Thompson, 2012). With the

325 exception of work by Hill and colleagues (2015), IPA is seldom adopted in the field of new media

326 and communications. However, given the lack of agency theorised within previous research on

327 women's wellbeing and social media, we believe this approach facilitates access to highly novel and

328 important information on how groups of women (in this case, female weightlifters in recovery from

329 eating disorders) perceive their own self-efficacy as it pertains to the digital.

330 All interviews were transcribed verbatim and pseudonyms have been assigned to protect

331 anonymity. The data was inductively coded using Scrivener, a piece of software which facilitates the

332 compilation and sorting of multi-media data. Emergent themes were identified and organised into

333 clusters according to their conceptual relatedness. The final codes were then cross-checked

334 between the authors working on the project in order to ensure rigour and synergy of analysis.

335 Examples of salient codes that emerged from analysis on social media use included;

336 'following/unfollowing', 'media literacy' and 'online bodies'. Finally, while a handful of participants

337 have been quoted in the findings due to their explanation being illustrative of a particular practice

338 or sensibility, it must be noted that the themes they speak to resounded across the sample.

339 In practice, IPA attempts to get as close as possible to the viewpoint of the participants, while

340 acknowledging that researchers, who hold their own subjective and experience-informed

341 perceptions, will inevitably bring to bear their own conceptions of the data (Hill et al., 2015). In

342 efforts to make this visible by clearly demarcating the participant voice from the researchers', the

343 following sections are organised into; 1) findings, which centralise the participants' experience and

344 point of view, and 2) discussion and conclusion, in which the authors extend the analysis by

345 pointing to the wider implications of the findings.

Findings

Social media, wellbeing and recovery from eating disorders

Not all women in this study reported wholly positive relationships to social media, however there was a coherent sense in which digital platforms are too readily mobilised to explain away social ills such as negative body image, poor mental health and the development of eating disorders. In particular, participants were highly alert to discourses in which social media is perceived to be detrimental to self-esteem and body image. While they did not deny that engagement with certain harmful content online can reinforce and reproduce negative affect, they expressed frustration at the idea that social media might be the 'true cause' of poor wellbeing. For example, Lizzie contends:

> *I think in the past it was just magazines and celebrities, whereas I think with Instagram it's like real people, like someone from Sunderland doing things, it's so much more real. And it's more realistic as well, so when people say things negative about women's body image and the internet and social media and how bad it is, I completely disagree, because I think it gives us more access to real people and to people who are having the same thoughts as I might be having and it's like a community and I think it's a lot better to have it than not have it because if it wasn't there, I'd just be seeing the same things that I saw as a teenager that made me think like this to begin with. Just skinny people in fashion magazines that I'm tearing out photos of and sticking them on the wall as 'thinspiration' and I don't do that anymore, I don't buy those magazines anymore, I use Instagram, and I look at the page of someone from Leeds, who's not skinny but is well and happy and exercises (Lizzie)*

In this passage Lizzie delineates between social media, which facilitates agency and interaction, and 'old' more traditional forms of media in which consumption of images is a more passive experience. In this regard, while magazines are externally curated, social media allows users to choose what kind of content and imagery they engage with. Moreover, unlike traditional or 'old' forms of media, no clear separation emerged between body and technology, or subject and object, when discussing how the women in this study engage with social media. The digital appeared to be deeply embedded in the everyday lives of the participants, so much so that their encounters with the

375   online 'worlds' they had meticulously curated were intimately related to the practice of recovery

376   from eating disorders. For example, Alice describes how posting on Instagram sustained her

377   recovery:

378   *So I went through in early recovery this phase of not wanting to get dressed or*

379   *leave the house or do anything because I just felt really ashamed that my body*

380   *was changing and I was eating and doing this thing that for so long I felt like I*

381   *shouldn't be doing. So this is one of the first days that I sort of got out of bed and*

382   *even though I felt really really bad about myself, I still got dressed, I still… and I*

383   *felt like, Instagram really helped with that because I tried to make myself post*

384   *once a day and I was like, well you can't post in your dressing gown, so I would*

385   *make myself get dressed and make myself do something productive. Even if I just*

386   *did that and then went back to bed for the rest of the day, it was just about*

387   *forcing myself to accept that life was still happening and that it couldn't*

388   *completely ground to a halt just because I needed to do this recovery thing. So,*

389   *like, that kind of having to have some self-discipline, even when it's really hard, is*

390   *difficult but I did it. I did get there- most of the time (Alice)*

391   In this passage, Alice describes posting on Instagram during her recovery as a method of keeping

392   herself tethered to the world. This act of presenting her body and everyday life through images

393   online aids her recovery by offering her opportunities to feel connected to the outside world from a

394   distance that feels safe and manageable. In her work on the co-constitution of the material and the

395   digital, Van Doorn writes, "the virtual can be understood as an immanent and immaterial form of

396   agency or potential: effectively but not formally or materially existing within the interstices of

397   everyday life" (2011: 533). The posting of images online offers the opportunity to act and be

398   present at a time when women are slowly coming to understand their bodies in new ways, as they

399   transition through recovery. In this respect, liminality is a useful theoretical tool for understanding

400   agency and transformation mediated by the digital, as Žižek (2012), among others, have

401   demonstrated (Turner, 1969; Horvath et al., 2018).

402

403   Participants made sense of this liminal phase through the process of documentation,

404   communication and reflection. In this sense, the act of posting on social media was found to

405   precede and co-constitute concrete action. As Nisha shared:

406   *shows picture of breakfast from Instagram*

407   *I broadly know when I've been in an okay place, because I'm okay to post about*

408   *food, and otherwise I just don't at all, ever (Nisha)*

409   Here, Nisha reveals that posting about food is intimately connected to the act of eating and

410   recovering. When she does not eat, she does not post. In this way, images on social media are more

411   than static placeholders for semiotic meaning and they do more than simply produce effects. For

412   most women in the sample, engaging with social media was integral to practicing everyday

413   activities, such as getting dressed or eating, which are integral to maintaining positive wellbeing.

414   The idea of *choice* was also central to participants' understandings of their social media use and the

415   women in this study viewed themselves as ultimate architects of their online 'worlds'. Underpinning

416   this logic was the central belief that 'it's all about who you follow' (Polly). Alice echoes this

417   sentiment, explaining:

418   *It's not a good or a bad thing, it's a tool and like anything else, it depends on the*

419   *way that you use it and the way that you engage with it. So you can either*

420   *engage with it and put out negativity and pro-anorexia[iii] and all of this stuff that*

421   *has real influence but in a negative way or you can use it for good and to connect*

422   *with people and to learn and all of these things and I think it can be really helpful*

423   *in that way and it's not just the negative space (Alice).*

424   In this regard, social media was generally was perceived to be a neutral tool which would 'reflect

425   back' values, interests, opinions and state of mind. This being said, participants did report to

426   occasionally encounter harmful and objectifying messaging (such as thinspiration and fitspiration

427   content) due to somewhat externally determined factors, such as the existence of algorithms and

428   personalised advertising. However, this too was perceived to be within the realm of personal

429   control and something that could be navigated through strategic use of the platform. As Sonia, who

430   has two Instagram accounts (one personal and one for her job as a personal trainer), explained:

431   *Sonia: I have to go through and unfollow people sometimes. People that are just*
432   *unhelpful as well like when they start promoting laxative teas and stuff like that…*
433   *nah.*

434   *Interviewer: So, what does your feed look like now? What kinds of accounts do*
435   *you follow?*

436   *S: Mainly other trainers, my friends, loads and loads of dog accounts, a few*
437   *strong women, because I work behind a coffee machine, loads of coffee. So just*
438   *all stuff that I like, without, like, influencers… I feel like my personal training*
439   *account is totally different though. It comes up with 'suggested for you' all the*
440   *time and there will be like someone with their butt sticking out and I'm like, ugh.*

441   *Interviewer: Ah I see…*

442   *S: But it's just knowing who not to follow. (Sonia)*

443 This brings us to the second research question. If we are to agree that women have agency in their

444 encounters with social media, how then do they strategically navigate the semiotics of the digital?

445 And how do they give meaning to this process? We now turn to examine the practice of 'digital

446 pruning'.

447 ## Digital pruning: the strategic design of social media 'worlds'
448 The women in this study were highly media literate and regularly offered well-formulated

449 deconstructions of problematic social media content. Moreover, they were keen to explain how

450 they put their ideas about harmful messaging into concrete actions.

451   *I normally unfollow as an act of defiance, if I think someone is selling something*
452   *like skinny teas or like, skinny coffee or like, you know, those slightly awful like... I*
453   *instantly unfollow when someone does that. Purely because I think it's like a*
454   *political action. But um yeah so I'm quite good at unfollowing. Or if I think*
455   *someone is putting out a message that is damaging to other people… yeah*
456   *sometimes I'll unfollow as a little vote (Maddy)*

457 The women interviewed were highly attuned to both what they consume online and what they

458 produce. In this respect, participants talked about taking personal responsibility for the content

459 they follow and the messages they absorb, a cultivated form of consciousness that came about as a

460 result of repeated self-reflection and personal growth. As Sarah and Eve suggest:

461   *Social media is a good place because at the end of the day… it sucks that the 21st*

461   *Social media is a good place because at the end of the day… it sucks that the 21st*

462   *century relies on it but that's just how it's gone and that's just how it's happened,*

463   *but if you're in a position where you're understanding and knowledgeable about*

464   *what triggers you, what's good for you and what's harmful and you're making*

465   *social media a safe place for you, that's fine (Sarah)*

466

467   *Obviously you have to be quite careful with who you follow. Because when I was*

468   *ill, I was like on pro-ana sites and all that kind of thing. So there's a lot of negative*

469   *stuff on the internet and you can follow a lot on Instagram where you're like,*

470   *"well I'm never going to look like that" … so I'm quite careful. Somebody*

471   *mentioned like, Instagram is your personal magazine, and you curate your own*

472   *magazine. And I try and do that with it. So I try and make sure that it's, like, a*

473   *healthy place for me to be, instead of somewhere where there's like loads of*

474   *people calorie counting and being like, "this is what's in my food" or "this is my 4*

475   *hour workout" I try not to follow that but yeah... it is a balance (Eve)*

476   We have developed the concept of 'digital pruning', a new theoretical contribution and heuristic

477   tool to describe the process and practice of sifting through and unfollowing content that triggers

478   undesirable affect and negative state of mind. Digital pruning is framed by participants as an act of

479   self-care, requiring sustained reflection and evolved self-knowledge. This skill is acquired through a

480   long-term investment of effort, as it would often take participants a period of time to come around

481   to the decision that certain accounts were harmful to their wellbeing, as feelings of admiration

482   could occasionally mask feelings of inadequacy or insecurity. As Lily describes:

483   *Not everything you see is like how it is so I just disassociate myself with certain*

484   *things because I know that I can't… like recently my boyfriend has suggested that*

485   *I go through and mute and unfollow just people I don't know, people that trigger*

486   *me, people that I'm like… I am a lot better but sometimes you're looking through*

487   *people and you're like "god look at her she looks incredible, wow" just in*

488   *admiration but if I'm constantly seeing these things it's going to stick in my head*

489   *that I don't look like her. So I just mute people and unfollow them. So I did and*

490   *now I don't see those people come up anymore and it's quite nice (Lily)*

491   The participants in this study, many of whom had engaged with pro-ED content when they were ill,

492   viewed social media as a personal and political project of the self. While all participants who used

493   social media expressed pride in having developed healthy, connected and socially conscious digital

494   spaces, it became clear during interviews that this process had been one of trial and error. Like a

495   vaccine, women seemingly had to experience at least a small dose of negative affect, in order to

496   make the decision to protect against it.

497   Significantly, digital pruning was also framed by participants as a skill. As Maddy noted, 'I'm quite

498   good at unfollowing'. This attitude was reflected in a conversation with Jess, who explained:

499   *I think in terms of harms, like I said before, a lot of people will misinterpret their*

500   *place or misinterpret what they should actually be doing [um]… that there's a hell*

501   *of a lot of non-personal trainers that decide to sell fitness programmes and that*

502   *can be very frustrating [um]… but I think any harm of social media isn't*

503   *intentional. I think nobody apart from potentially skinny teas and companies like*

504   *that, they are there to take advantage of hypes, they are there to take advantage*

505   *of trends, but that's kind of a business and to some extent that's what all*

506   *businesses do… I think in terms of individual people there are absolutely brilliant*

507   *people and as long as you can remind yourself exactly why you are there and*

508   *what you are there for and what your aims are, that you are not this person, you*

509   *shouldn't aim to be this person, like, either their lifestyle or how they look. There*

510   *aren't necessarily any negatives. It's only really a negative if you are very easily*

511   *influenced (Jess)*

512   In this passage, Jess acknowledges the existence of harmful trends on social media that may

513   promote diet culture (skinny teas) and unrealistic beauty ideals, however she emphasises that it is

514   the individual's responsibility to not be influenced by this kind of content. Within this logic lies the

515   implicit assumption that everyone is equally capable of engaging with the critical and highly

516   conscious practice of digital pruning, to weed out unhelpful or potentially damaging messaging.

517   And for those who are not able to successfully 'manage' their affective relationship to social media,

518   abstinence is viewed to be the best option. Ella is one of two participants in the study who chooses

519   not to use image-based social media platforms. Here, she explains some of her reasons:

520   *I chose to get off Instagram and it has been really the best thing that I have done*

521   *and stuck to in the past couple of years. Not seeing those bodies lifting- that*

522   *typical body that I want, that kind of thick bum, thick legs, lifting…. "she lifts" kind*

523   *of mentality. I just had to get away from it (Ella)*

524   In this excerpt, Ella describes how certain images and certain bodies on social media created an

525   environment which she could no longer be a part of. In this regard, Ella's relational understanding

526   of her own body alongside the bodies of others worked together to produce an affectual experience

527   that contributed to a negative sense of self (Coleman, 2008). However, instead of engaging in the

528   time consuming and affectively laborious practice of digital pruning, she decided to sever her

529   connection to the social media platform itself. Such an approach relies on the individual recognising

530   that messages promoted through social media are potentially having a negative effect on their

531   wellbeing, as well as resisting the social pressure to participate in social networks.

Discussion

533   Our findings explore how female weightlifters in recovery from eating disorders use social media as

534   a liminal form of agency, to sustain recovery and positively support wellbeing. Furthermore, from

535   this data emerged the practice of digital pruning, which describes the individual process of sifting

536   through and unfollowing content that prompts negative affect. Digital pruning, we argue, opens up

537   an interesting discussion for thinking about who is expected to take responsibility for harmful or

538   triggering messaging on social media. In what follows, we explore the wider implications of digital

539   pruning as a subjective practice.

540   For the women in this study, choice and the ability to design their online 'worlds' was central to

541   sustaining recovery, as they reported to often encounter unhelpful or damaging content in their use

542   of these platforms. However, it could be argued that this emphasis on individual culpability and the

543   strategic negotiation of risk through 'digital pruning' is problematic in numerous ways. For one, it

544   places the task of content regulation firmly in the hands of the user, which reflects a

545   characteristically neoliberal sensibility. While 'neoliberalism' has multiple definitions and meanings

546   in a variety of fields and substantive contexts, here we define it as 'the conduct of conduct: a form

547   of activity aiming to shape, guide or affect the conduct of some person or persons' (Gordon, 1991:

548   2), emphasising that individuals should take up responsibility for maximising their own potential,

549   rather than focusing on collective gain or structural change. Thus, instead of defining this term

550   according to specific set of political and economic rationalities, here we refer to a neoliberalism as

551   kind of *subjectivity,* which has come to permeate the logic of everyday life (Gill, 2008; Gill and

552   Orgad, 2018).

553   Due to the intersections with neoliberalism in the wider socio-political climate, contemporary

554   hegemonic western feminisms are defined by choice, individual culpability and personal

555   empowerment (Gill, 2008; McRobbie, 2009). This cultural shift is characterised by the theory of

556   'postfeminism', defined by McRobbie as "an active process by which feminist gains of the 1970s and

557   80s come to be undermined… while simultaneously appearing to be engaging in a well-informed

558   and even well-intended response to feminism" (2004: 255). Crucially, postfeminist rhetoric

559   champions individual agency above all else, with little nuanced acknowledgment of the socio-

560   cultural factors, influences and motivations that shape action (Budgeon, 2015; Gill, 2008). In this

561   regard, women are viewed to be autonomous agents, making choices free from the constraints of

562   inequality or structural power imbalances (Gill, 2007). In this regard, while the women in this study

563   regularly encountered problematic and potentially damaging messaging on social media, there was

564   a coherent sense among participants that it is the responsibility of the individual to avoid this

565   content (by 'unfollowing', 'muting' or 'blocking' certain accounts) and maintaining their own

566   wellbeing.

567   This is perhaps unsurprising when many interventions in this area take a similarly individualistic

568   approach (Gill, 2012). In this regard, body image researchers have done a great deal of work around

569   developing media-literacy interventions to combat disparities in critical engagement with online

570   content (Jeong et al., 2012). These interventions generally target 'at risk' groups (such as young

571   women) and aim to protect them from harmful media-effects by equipping them with the

572   knowledge and skills to mindfully assess, analyse and create content online. However, this

573   neoliberal logic of personal responsibility and the notion that we need to make young women more

574   resilient to media-effects is a supposition that surely absolves platforms, advertisers, and those with

575   the greatest influence from culpability (Gill, 2012). When speaking with participants, there was a

576 concerning sense in which this emphasis on choice and personal autonomy prevented any form

577 collective action against the systemic structural inequality that underpins toxic messaging. In this

578 regard, digital pruning and the underpinning 'choice' narrative espoused by participants, serves to

579 depoliticise inherently sexist or anti-feminist messaging, as well as reprivatise issues that have only

580 recently become public and collective (Gill, 2007; McNay, 1992). In this respect, as a novel

581 theoretical tool, digital pruning captures both the everyday practices and the socio-culturally

582 informed *subjectivity* that gives shape to contemporary relationships to new media

583

584 It is noteworthy that, for participants, digital pruning was framed as a skill. This assumes that

585 women will be able to acquire the ability to successfully navigate harmful content through a

586 concerted application of effort, discipline and practice. It is unclear whether developing self-

587 awareness and knowledge of one's own interior workings through therapy is a significant factor in

588 ensuring individuals are able to successfully engage in digital pruning. With regards to the women in

589 this study, just over half of the sample had (at one time) accessed support for their eating disorder

590 through inpatient/outpatient treatment or therapy. While there was no discernible difference in

591 'skill' between participants who had and had not received treatment, more research is needed to

592 understand the relationship between mental health support and relationships to social media.

593

594 In this respect, while we argue that women have agency in their engagement with social media and

595 regularly make conscious and experience-informed decisions about the content they view, there is

596 no guarantee that individual evaluations will always have positive outcomes for wellbeing. As Gill

597 aptly summarises, "the project of critique, dissection, comparison and deconstruction seems to rely

598 upon a model of the subject as unified and rational… it relies upon the idea of subjectivity as

599 coherent, rather than split or contradictory, with the assumption that affect follows knowledge in

600 rather a neat and obedient manner" (2012: 740). In this regard, women do not necessarily all have

601 equal access to the internal resources required to successfully engage in digital pruning as a

602 practice, and while the women in this study effectively manage their engagement with social media

603 and maintain positive wellbeing, this may not be true of other populations. Further research within

604 the realm of digital health and literacy is needed to understand where these inequalities lie, as well

605 as how digital pruning practices are successfully managed and maintained.

Conclusion

In this paper, we demonstrate how online worlds can be mindfully and intentionally constructed to support positive health and wellbeing for female weightlifters in recovery from eating disorders. This research reaffirms the degree to which the digital is embedded in health-seeking practices, sustaining the everyday practice of recovery by providing access to a liminal and immanent form of agency.

Our notion of digital pruning serves as a novel heuristic tool to explore an individuals' process of sifting through digital content and designing their online space. This paper therefore lays a strong foundation for future studies in the fields of sociology and new media and has the potential to meaningfully inform how future research at the intersection of women's wellbeing and social media approaches user agency. Moreover, extending beyond women's wellbeing and the digital, while the specific behaviours of our sample may be not immediately generalisable to other populations, digital pruning offers a new theoretical contribution and a useful conceptual lens for approaching other groups' strategic use of social media to personalise online environments.

In our discussion of digital pruning, we point to the socio-political contexts in which this practice has emerged as a set of skills. In particular, we are critical of the neoliberal rhetoric underpinning discourses that place the responsibility for content regulation firmly in the hands of individual users. Such an approach serves to absolve social media platforms and advertisers from accountability and reproduces neoliberal sensibilities which favour the development of internal resources over collective action. Furthermore, inequalities that exist with regards to the emotional and educational resources available to individual actors surely inhibits many from engaging in the time consuming and emotionally demanding practice of 'digital pruning'. Nevertheless, an awareness that some populations of women are successfully negotiating and maneuvering in this online space - in some cases to aid in their recovery from eating disorders - is in and of itself an important and significant finding and points to fertile ground for further research.

While this article makes a novel contribution to literature on the everyday navigation of digital media, more research is needed which theoretically and methodologically integrates both user agency and the dynamic architecture of social media platforms. We argue that designing qualitative methods that capture the transportable, scanning, in-the-moment nature of everyday technology

635 use, will provide access to new data on how social media use intersects with understandings of

636 health and subjectivity (Hayles, 2012). In this regard, rather than assessing technology as inherently

637 'good' or 'bad' for specific populations and groups, more is gained by exploring how technology use

638 changes and adapts depending on user needs, value-systems, circumstances and experience.

References

647 BBC (19th September 2019). Instagram clamps down on diet and cosmetic surgery posts.
648 Available at: https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-49746065 (Accessed on 30th December
649 2019).

650 Beer D (2009) Power through the algorithm? Participatory web cultures and the technological
651 unconscious. *New Media & Society, 11*(6), 985–1002.

652 Bell M (2009) "@ the doctor's office": Pro-anorexia and the medical gaze. *Surveillance &*
653 *Society, 6(*2), 151–162.

654 Boulton A and Zook M (2013) Landscape, locative media, and the duplicity of code. In: Johnson
655   NC, Schein RH and Winders J (eds) *The Wiley-Blackwell Companion to Cultural Geography*.
656   Chichester: Wiley, pp.437–51.

657 Brown Z and Tiggemann M (2016) Attractive celebrity and peer images on Instagram: Effect on
658   women's mood and body image. *Body Image, 19*, 37–43.

659 Bruns A (2006) Towards produsage: futures for user-led content production. In: Sudweeks, F,
660   Hrachovec, H, Ess, C (eds) *Proceedings Cultural Attitudes Towards Communication and*
661   *Technology.* Tartu: School of Information Technology, Murdoch University, pp. 275–284.

662   Budgeon S (2015) Individualized femininity and feminist politics of choice. *European Journal of*
663   *Women's Studies, 22*(3), 303–318.

664   Burke E (2006) Feminine Visions: Anorexia and Contagion in Pop Discourse. *Feminist Media*
665   *Studies, 6*(3), 315–330.

666   Carah N and Dobson A (2016) Algorithmic Hotness: Young Womens "Promotion" and
667   "Reconnaissance" Work via Social Media Body Images. *Social Media + Society, 2*.

668   Chancellor S, Pater J, Clear T, Gilbert E, and Choudhury MD (2016) #thyghgapp: Instagram
669   content moderation and lexical variation in pro-eating disorder communities. *Proceedings*
670   *of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social*
671   *Computing*, 1201–1213

672   Cohen R, Fardouly J, Newton-John T, and Slater A (2019) #BoPo on Instagram: An experimental
673   investigation of the effects of viewing body positive content on young women's mood and
674   body image. *New Media & Society, 21*(7), 1546-1564.

675   Coleman R (2008) The Becoming of Bodies. *Feminist Media Studies, 8*(2), 163–179.

676   ComRes (2018) The online wild west. Available at: https://www.comresglobal.com/the-online-
677   wild-west/ (accessed 21 June 2019).

678   Cotter K (2018) Playing the visibility game: How digital influencers and algorithms negotiate
679   influence on Instagram. *New Media & Society, 21*(4), 893-913.

680   Cotterill P and Letherby G (1993) Weaving Stories: Personal Auto/Biographies in Feminist
681   Research. *Sociology*, 27(1), 67–79.

682   Eslami M, Rickman A, and Vaccaro K (2015) "I always assumed that i wasn't really that close to
683   [her]": reasoning about invisible algorithms in news feeds. In: *CHI '15 proceedings of the 33rd*
684   *annual ACM conference on human factors in computing systems*, Seoul, Republic of Korea, 18–
685   23 April, pp. 153–162. New York: ACM.

686   Fardouly J, Diedrichs PC, Vartanian, LR, and Halliwell E (2015) Social comparisons on social
687   media: The impact of Facebook on young women's body image concerns and mood. *Body*
688   *Image*, 13, 38–45.

689   Fardouly J, Willburger BK, and Vartanian LR (2018) Instagram use and young women's body
690   image concerns and self-objectification: Testing mediational pathways. *New Media &*
691   *Society, 20*(4), 1380–1395.

692   Gerrard Y (2018) Beyond the hashtag: Circumventing content moderation on social media. *New*
693   *Media & Society, 20*(12), 4492–4511.

694   Gehl RW (2014) *Reverse Engineering Social Media: Software, Culture, and Political Economy in*
695   *New Media Capitalism.* Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.

696   Ghaznavi, J and Taylor LD (2015) Bones, body parts, and sex appeal: An analysis of
697   #thinspiration images on popular social media. *Body Image, 14*, 54–61.

698   Gill R (2007) Postfeminist media culture: Elements of a sensibility. *European Journal of Cultural*
699   *Studies, 10*(2), 147–166.

700   Gill R (2012) Media, Empowerment and the 'Sexualization of Culture' Debates. *Sex Roles,*
701   *66*(11), 736–745.

702   Gill R and Orgad S (2018) The Amazing Bounce-Backable Woman: Resilience and the
703   Psychological Turn in Neoliberalism. *Sociological Research Online, 23*(2), 477–495.

704   Gordon C (1991) Governmental rationality: An introduction. In: Burchell G, Gordon C, and Miller
705   P (eds) *The Foucault Effect. Studies in Governmentality*. London: Harvester Wheatsheaf, pp.
706   1–52.

707   Griffiths S, Castle D, Cunningham M, Murray SB, Bastian B, and Barlow FK (2018) How does
708   exposure to thinspiration and fitspiration relate to symptom severity among individuals
709   with eating disorders? Evaluation of a proposed model. *Body Image*, 27, 187–195.

710   Hayles N (2012) *How We Think: Digital Media and Contemporary Technogenesis*. Chicago:
711   University of Chicago Press.

712   Holland G and Tiggemann M (2016) A systematic review of the impact of the use of social
713   networking sites on body image and disordered eating outcomes. *Body Image*, 17, 100–
714   110.

715   Holmes S (2017) 'My anorexia story': Girls constructing narratives of identity on YouTube.
716   *Cultural Studies, 31*(1), 1–23.

717   Horvath A, Thomassen B, and Wydra H (2018) *Breaking Boundaries: Varieties of Liminality*. New
718   York: Berghahn Books.

719   Ibrahim Y (2015) Instagramming life: banal imaging and the poetics of the everyday. *Journal of
720   Media Practice, 16*(1), 42–54.

721   Jenkins H and Deuze M (2008) Editorial: Convergence Culture. *Convergence, 14*(1), 5–12.

722   Jenkins H, Ito M, boyd d (2016) *Participatory Culture in a Networked Era.* New York: Polity.

723   Jenkins H, Ford S, and Green J (2013) *Spreadable Media: Creating Value and Meaning in a
724   Networked Culture.* New York: New York University Press.

725   Jeong SH, Cho H, and Hwang Y (2012) Media Literacy Interventions: A Meta-Analytic Review.
726   *Journal of Communication, 62*(3), 454–472.

727   Larkin M and Thompson A (2012) Interpretative phenomenological analysis. In A Thompson & D
728   Harper (eds), *Qualitative research methods in mental health and psychotherapy: a guide for
729   students and practitioners*. John Wiley & Sons, Oxford, pp. 99-116.

730   Marwick AE (2013) *Status update: Celebrity, publicity, and branding in the social media
731   age.* New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.

732   McNay L (1992) *Foucault and Feminism: Power, Gender, and the Self*. Northeastern University
733   Press.

734   McRobbie A (2004) Post-feminism and popular culture. *Feminist Media Studies*, 4(3), 255–264.

735   McRobbie A (2009) *The Aftermath of Feminism: Gender, Culture and Social Change*. London:
736   SAGE.

737   Mingoia J, Hutchinson AD, Wilson C, and Gleaves DH (2017) The Relationship between Social
738   Networking Site Use and the Internalization of a Thin Ideal in Females: A Meta-Analytic
739   Review. *Frontiers in Psychology, 8*, 1351.

740   O'Meara V (2019) Weapons of the Chic: Instagram Influencer Engagement Pods as Practices of
741   Resistance to Instagram Platform Labor. *Social Media + Society, 5*(4), 1-11.

742   Perloff RM (2014) Social Media Effects on Young Women's Body Image Concerns: Theoretical
743   Perspectives and an Agenda for Research. *Sex Roles, 71*(11), 363–377.

744   Picone I, Kleut J, Pavlíčková T, Romic B, Møller Hartley J, and De Ridder S (2019) Small acts of
745   engagement: Reconnecting productive audience practices with everyday agency. *New*
746   *Media & Society, 21*(9), 2010–2028.

747   Petre C, Duffy BE, and Hund E (2019) "Gaming the System": Platform Paternalism and the
748   Politics of Algorithmic Visibility. *Social Media + Society, 5*(4), 1-12.

749   Prichard I, McLachlan AC, Lavis T, and Tiggemann M (2017) The Impact of Different Forms of
750   #fitspiration Imagery on Body Image, Mood, and Self-Objectification among Young Women.
751   *Sex Roles, 78*(11–12), 789–798.

752   Prieler M and Choi J (2014) Broadening the Scope of Social Media Effect Research on Body
753   Image Concerns. *Sex Roles, 71*(11), 378–388.

754   Rainie L, Brenner J, and Purcell K (2012) Photos and Videos as Social Currency Online. Pew
755   Internet Research Project. Available at: http://www.pewinternet.org/2012/09/13/photos-
756   and-videos-as-social-currency-online/ (accessed 21 June 2019).

757   Rettberg JW (2018) Apps as Companions: How Quantified Self Apps Become Our Audience and
758   Our Companions. In Ajana, Btihaj (ed.) *Self-Tracking: Empirical and Philosophical*
759   *Investigations*. Basingstoke: Palgrave, pp.27-42.

760   Rheingold H (2012) *Net Smart: How to Thrive Online.* Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

761   Robinson L, Prichard I, Nikolaidis A, Drummond C, Drummond M, and Tiggemann M (2017)
762   Idealised media images: The effect of fitspiration imagery on body satisfaction and exercise
763   behaviour. *Body Image, 22*, 65–71.

764   Rodgers RF, Lowy AS, Halperin DM, and Franko DL (2016) A Meta-Analysis Examining the
765   Influence of Pro-Eating Disorder Websites on Body Image and Eating Pathology. *Eur. Eat.*
766   *Disorders Rev., 24*: 3– 8.

767   Rose G (2016) Rethinking the geographies of cultural 'objects' through digital technologies:
768   Interface, network and friction. *Progress in Human Geography, 40*(3), 334–351.

769   Shinebourne P and Smith JA (2009) Alcohol and the self: An interpretative phenomenological
770   analysis of the experience of addiction and its impact on the sense of self and identity.
771   *Addiction Research & Theory, 17*(2), 152–167.

772   Slater MD (2004) Operationalizing and Analyzing Exposure: The Foundation of Media Effects
773   Research. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly, 81*(1), 168–183.

774   Slater A, Varsani N, and Diedrichs PC (2017) #fitspo or #loveyourself? The impact of fitspiration
775   and self-compassion Instagram images on women's body image, self-compassion, and
776   mood. *Body Image*, 22(Supplement C), 87–96.

777   Statista (2018) Share of respondents who had set up their own social network profile in the
778   United Kingdom (UK) from 2010 to 2017. Available at:
779   https://www.statista.com/statistics/271892/social-network-profile-creation-in-the-uk-by-
780   gender/ (accessed 21 June 2019).

781   Tiggemann M and Miller J (2010) The Internet and Adolescent Girls' Weight Satisfaction and
782   Drive for Thinness. *Sex Roles, 63*(1), 79–90.

783   Tiggemann M and Slater A (2013) NetGirls: The Internet, Facebook, and body image concern in
784   adolescent girls. *International Journal of Eating Disorders, 46*(6), 630–633.

785   Tiggemann M and Zaccardo M (2015) "Exercise to be fit, not skinny": The effect of fitspiration
786   imagery on women's body image. *Body Image*, 15, 61–67.

787   Turner V (1969) *The ritual process: Structure and anti-structure*. Routledge: Oxford.

788   Valkenburg PM and Peter J (2013) Comm Research—Views from Europe| Five Challenges for
789   the Future of Media-Effects Research. *International Journal of Communication*, 63, 221–
790   243.

791   van Dijck J (2009) Users like you? Theorizing agency in user-generated content. *Media, Culture*
792   *& Society, 31(*1), 41–58.

793   van Doorn N (2011) Digital spaces, material traces: How matter comes to matter in online
794   performances of gender, sexuality and embodiment. *Media, Culture & Society, 33*(4), 531–
795   547.

796   Vandenbosch L and Eggermont S (2012) Understanding Sexual Objectification: A
797   Comprehensive Approach Toward Media Exposure and Girls' Internalization of Beauty
798   Ideals, Self-Objectification, and Body Surveillance. *Journal of Communication, 62*(5), 869–
799   887.

800   Velkova J and Kaun A (2019) Algorithmic resistance: Media practices and the politics of repair.

801   *Information, Communication & Society*, *0*(0), 1–18.

802   Weaver K, Wuest J, and Ciliska D (2005) Understanding women's journey of recovering from

803   Anorexia Nervosa. *Qualitative Health Research, 15*(2), 188-206.

804   Žižek S (2012) *Organs Without Bodies: On Deleuze and Consequences*. Routledge: Oxford.

805

---

[i] Pro-eating disorder (pro-ED) is a type of content that advocates for eating disorders as a legitimate identity and lifestyle choice. Subgroups of this form of content include pro-ana (pro-anorexia) and pro-mia (pro-bulimia).

[ii] An 'influencer' on social media is someone who has a large audience or following and is able to persuade others by virtue of their social capital and reach.