

Using Deep Learning to Analyze the Psychological Effects of COVID-19

1 **Monira Abdulrahman Almeqren¹, Latifah Almuqren*¹, Fatimah Alhayan¹, Alexandra I.**
2 **Cristea², Diane Pennington³**

3 ¹Princess Nourah Bint AbdulRahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

4 ²Computer Science department, University of Durham, Durham, United Kingdom

5 ³Computer Science department, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, United Kingdom

6

7 *** Correspondence:**

8 Corresponding Author

9 Laalmuqren@pnu.edu.sa

10 **Keywords: Sentiment Analysis, Anxiety, Arabic, COVID-19, Deep learning.**

11 **Abstract**

12 **Problem:** Sentiment Analysis (SA) automates the classification of the sentiment of people's attitudes,
13 feelings or reviews employing natural language processing (NLP) and computational approaches.
14 Deep learning has recently demonstrated remarkable success in the field of SA in many languages
15 including Arabic. Arabic sentiment analysis, however, still has to be improved, due to the complexity
16 of the Arabic language's structure, the variety of dialects, and the lack of lexicons. Moreover, in
17 Arabic, anxiety as a psychological sentiment hasn't been the target of much research.

18 **Aim:** This paper aims to provide solutions to one of the challenges of Arabic Sentiment Analysis
19 (ASA) using a deep learning model focused on predicting the anxiety level during COVID-19 in
20 Saudi Arabia.

21 **Methods:** A psychological scale to determine the level of anxiety was built and validated. It was then
22 used to create the Arabic Psychological Lexicon (AraPh) containing 138 different dialectical Arabic
23 words that express anxiety, which was used to annotate our corpus (Aranxiety). Aranxiety comprises
24 955 Arabic tweets representing the level of user anxiety during COVID-19. Bi-GRU model with
25 word embedding was then applied to analyze the sentiment of the tweets and to determine the anxiety
26 level.

27 **Results:** For SA, the applied model achieved 88% on accuracy, 89% on precision, 88% on recall, and
28 87% for F1. A majority of 77% of tweets presented no anxiety, whereas 17% represented mild
29 anxiety and a mere 6% represented high anxiety.

30 **Conclusion:** The proposed model can be used by the Saudi Ministry of Health and members of the
31 research community to formulate solutions to increase psychological resiliency among the Saudi
32 population.

33 **1 Introduction**

34 The World Health Organization reported a novel Corona Virus Disease in 2019, called COVID- and
35 designated it a Public Health Emergency of International Concern that would pose a significant threat
36 to humanity. In March 2020, the WHO announced that the disease could be characterized as a
37 pandemic, which placed a great deal of pressure on populations around the world (World Health
38 Organization, 2020). This pandemic posed the greatest threat to human survival since World War II
39 (Brahmi et al., 2020). In the early stages of the pandemic, when there was no vaccine, drug therapies
40 or other aggressive treatments, the only protection from this deadly virus was social distancing and
41 nationwide lockdowns. Almost all countries adopted a lockdown policy in order to minimize the spread
42 of the virus (Barkur and Vibha, 2020). A psychological fear existed, because people did not understand
43 the virus and it appeared to kill at random. Thus, people turned to social media to express their opinions
44 based on their current states of mind and to communicate their feelings to friends and foes (Brahmi et
45 al., 2020).

46 The coronavirus pandemic is expected to have a vast impact on the psychological effects of mental
47 health. Mental disorders such as anxiety greatly contribute to the economic, social, and physical burden
48 of people worldwide (Schwartz et al., 2014). Social media provides a means of self-expression and it
49 facilitates measurement of the psychological status of those sharing their feelings (Kumar et al., 2020).
50 Hence, social media data can be analyzed to provide solutions for psychological and mental health
51 issues.

52 Sentiment Analysis (SA) is a technique to automate the classification of people's sentiment attitudes,
53 feelings or reviews employing natural language processing (NLP) and computational approaches.
54 Recently, deep learning has achieved astounding achievements in the field of SA in several languages,
55 including Arabic. However, because of the complexity of the structure of the Arabic language, the
56 variety of dialects, and the scarcity of lexicons, Arabic sentiment analysis still has to be improved.
57 Moreover, there has been very little investigation regarding the application of deep learning to Arabic
58 sentiment analysis (ASA) related to anxiety.

59 This work attempts to determine the anxiety level of the Saudi population during the COVID-19
60 pandemic by applying ASA to Twitter data and providing solutions to one of the challenges of SA. To
61 achieve this, the Arabic Psychological Lexicon (AraPh), comprising various dialectical Arabic words
62 that express anxiety, was established. The lexicon was then used to annotate an Arabic dialectical
63 corpus extracted from Twitter. In addition, the work evaluated the effectiveness of the application of
64 the deep learning model. Bidirectional gated recurrent units model was applied to this work's corpus
65 because it achieved good accuracy when used to analyze SA of the Arabic dataset (Almuqren et
66 al,2019) .

67 The main contributions of this paper are:

- 68 1. Define and investigating a psychological scale to determine the level of anxiety.
- 69 2. Identifying, based on the above scale and Twitter mining, the psychological effects of COVID-
70 19.
- 71 3. Building an Arabic psychological Lexicon.
- 72 4. Analyzing the psychological situation of the Saudi community in the face of COVID-19.

73 The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses related research. In Section 3, the
74 design of the psychological scale is explained, followed by a review of the model construction. In
75 section 4, the results and discussion are presented, and Section 6 concludes the paper.

76 2 Related research

77 2.1 The Psychological Influence of COVID-19

78 The emergence of COVID-19 has greatly disrupted people's lives and livelihoods. It has led to
79 restrictions on public transportation, a fear of viral transmission, school closures and work disruption.
80 This has had devastating mental health effects, such as stress and anxiety (Fardin, 2020). Research
81 conducted by Ozamiz et al. (2020) showed a positive correlation between the pandemic and high stress
82 and anxiety levels, which were measured in a sample of 976 adults, using the Depression, Anxiety, and
83 Stress Scale. The study also detected higher levels of stress and anxiety symptoms after the stay-at-
84 home order was issued.

85 Salari et al. (2020) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of studies that focused on stress
86 and anxiety prevalence among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic in the Science
87 Direct, Embase, Scopus, PubMed, Web of Science (ISI) and Google Scholar databases. The prevalence
88 of stress was reported in five studies with a total sample size of 9,074, and the prevalence of anxiety
89 was reported in 17 studies with a sample size of 63,439. The study concludes that COVID-19 not only
90 causes physical health concerns, but also results in a number of psychological disorders.

91

92 2.2 Psychological changes under the action of social media

93 Liu and Liu's (2020) study indicated that exposure to social media during the COVID-19 pandemic led
94 to higher levels of anxiety and stress. The study was conducted with a sample of 1,118 Chinese subjects
95 from 30 Chinese provinces. The findings showed that all four types of mass media (official,
96 commercial, social, and foreign media) caused indirect trauma to audiences, hence the higher levels of
97 anxiety and stress.

98 Zakout et al.'s (2020) study showed that COVID-19 has had serious consequences in many aspects of
99 life, including negative psychological effects. The study aimed to assess the effect of the intensive
100 media coverage of the pandemic on mental health. Higher prevalence rates of depression, stress, and
101 anxiety were reported in non-Saudi participants compared to Saudi ones. Over one half (55.8%) of the
102 participants reported feeling that the extensive coverage of COVID- 19 in mass and social media had
103 led to higher levels of mental distress.

104 In a cross-sectional study, Chinese citizens aged ≥ 18 were invited to participate in online surveys from
105 13 January to 2 February 2019 for a rapid assessment. There were 4,872 participants from 31 provinces
106 and autonomous regions in the study. Levels of anxiety were assessed by the Chinese version of the
107 General Anxiety Disorder Assessment (GAD7). Multivariable logistic regressions were used to
108 identify associations between social media exposure and mental health problems. More than 80% of
109 the participants reported frequent exposure to social media and higher levels of anxiety (GAO et al.,
110 2020).

111 **2.3 Anxiety Prediction**

112 Anxiety can be defined as “a complex emotional state that represents a mixture of feelings like constant
113 fear, terror, dread, restlessness, and apprehension as a result of expecting an eminent negative future
114 event or feeling threatened by a significant yet mysterious event that one cannot identify objectively.”
115 The term refers to “any idea, situation, or event that gives rein to anger, nervousness or frustration”
116 (Aldlemi, 2015).

117 Data from various online platforms have been collected in previous studies to predict mental illnesses
118 such as anxiety disorders, using a variety of approaches. The approaches used for the prediction of
119 anxiety include using self-assessment questionnaires, self-declaration of diagnosis, membership of
120 specialized online forums and manually annotated posts .

121 In the early literature, the main technique employed was to compare a participant’s self-reported social
122 anxiety level with the result from raters based on objective criteria (e.g., number of interests, number of
123 status updates) to determine the participant’s level of social anxiety (Fernandez et al., 2012). To
124 facilitate automatic anxiety prediction, self-assessment questionnaires are also used, along with
125 participants’ online behaviors, as in Zaman et al. (2020). The study aimed to identify individuals with
126 anxiety and to estimate their levels of anxiety, personal online activity histories from YouTube and
127 Google Search were gathered, as well as the participants’ clinically validated ground-truth anxiety
128 assessment scores. A clinically validated questionnaire, GAD-7 (Spitzer et al., 2006), was used in the
129 assessment.

130 Depression and anxiety traits were detected by looking at the images that Twitter users post and set as
131 their profile pictures, as well as by surveys reporting on depression and anxiety, in a study by Guntuku
132 et al. (2019). Image posting and profile picture preferences were analyzed by Guntuku et al. (2019) by
133 employing image tag clusters, colors and aesthetic and facial features. This allowed the researchers to
134 identify the way and extent to which these images revealed users’ mental health conditions.

135 The public posts of users who self-disclose about their anxiety disorders, as well as their online social
136 network behavior and interaction characteristics, were examined in a study by Dutta and De Choudhury
137 (2020). A supervised learning-based classifier identified those at risk of or experiencing anxiety, as
138 self-reported on the Twitter platform, by studying users’ online social networks, interactions and social
139 behaviors.

140 Spaces for discussion, asking for advice or receiving emotional support for topics related to mental
141 health issues, like mental health communities’ forums, have provided data for certain studies. One
142 example is ‘Detecting anxiety on Reddit’ (Shen and Rudzicz, 2017), which provided rich bodies of
143 text from users in the context of self-assembled communities. Lexicon-based features (Linguistic
144 Inquiry and Word Count (LIWC)) with n-gram probability were used in anxiety-related subreddit posts
145 related to binary levels of anxiety.

146 To predict anxiety, other studies have used the annotation approach. For example, in Lee et al. (2019),
147 a classifier was developed to detect whether tweets contained anxious content or not, based on an
148 annotated dataset. In order to reflect comprehensive unpleasant feelings that may be related to social
149 events, issues and atmospheres, this annotation was based on 18 emotions: nervousness, perplexity,
150 worry, excitement, restlessness, frustration, apprehension, discomfort, fear, turmoil, yearning,
151 depression, gloom, hostility, desperation, dismay, petulance and malaise (Lee et al., 2019). The degree
152 of tweet anxiety was also estimated based on spatio-temporal features.

153 It is useful to observe the endeavors of studies identifying the level of anxiety during emergencies. The
154 psychological reactions (anxiety) of Twitter users to the 2018 Hawaii false ballistic missile alert were
155 explored by Jones and Silver (2020) by analyzing Twitter data before and after the event. A list of 114
156 anxiety words (e.g., afraid, scared, worried) available in the LIWC program were compared to the words
157 in each tweet. The study found a distinct increase in anxiety among Hawaiian residents that remained
158 long after the missile threat had been dismissed.

159 The psychological fear and anxiety among Twitter users caused by COVID-19 was demonstrated by
160 the prevalence of negative emotions in tweets in a study by Singh et al. (2020). Tweets were collected
161 for three days using the keywords CORONAVIRUS and COVID-19, and then analyzed with e-motion
162 analysis. E-motion analysis examines eight emotions, which are classified into two groups; one group
163 is related to negative sentiments (anger, disgust, fear and sadness) and the other to positive sentiments
164 (anticipation, joy, surprise and trust).

165 It must be noted that the guidelines followed by annotators and content analysis for identifying
166 symptoms of anxiety are derived from the researchers' perspectives. Word emotions related to anxiety
167 are defined by the authors (e.g., Lee et al., 2019; Singh et al., 2020). Moreover, the magnitude of
168 anxiety is assumed to be distributed continuously rather than in a dichotomous fashion, and studies of
169 anxiety prediction focus on discrete binary classes. They focus on the presence or absence of anxiety,
170 rather than on the degree.

171 As far as the current authors are aware, the creation of a corpus (ground truth) for the analysis of
172 anxiety, based on psychological assessment, and focusing on Arabic posts, has not yet been attempted.
173 A variety of Arabic corpora have been created for natural language processing (NLP), for example by
174 Almuqren and Cristea (2021), but none have targeted anxiety issues. This study has collected data to
175 support the prediction of anxiety levels.

176 **3 Methodology**

177 **3.1 Psychological Scale Design**

178 One of the authors built a psychological scale for measuring the psychological effects of COVID-19,
179 based on a literature review and her experience in the field. After that, it was reviewed by 10 experts
180 in the same field to verify its effectiveness. The scale includes 20 statements that express the anxiety
181 level of the participants. A 3-point Likert scale was used to record the level of anxiety during the
182 COVID-19 pandemic. On the scale, 1 (Never) indicates no anxiety, 2 (Sometimes) indicates mild
183 anxiety, and 3 (A lot/always) indicates moderate to strong anxiety.

184 **3.1.1 Evaluating the Psychological Scale**

185 In order to evaluate the psychological scale, 20 tweets that mentioned the desired hashtags (explained
186 below in Section 3.2) were randomly collected. Then, the deep learning model (Section 3.5) was
187 applied to define the anxiety level for each tweet. In addition, a questionnaire for the psychological
188 scale we developed (Section 3.1) was distributed to the authors of the analyzed tweets. The actual
189 anxiety level derived from the questionnaire and the predicted level obtained by mining the tweets were
190 compared using the deep learning model, see Table 1. The results proved the effectiveness of our
191 psychological scale for use in this study in building our lexicon.

192 3.1.2 Ethical Approval

193 Every project influences human interests through legal, ethical, social and professional impacts. Project
194 ethics, as defined in Cohen et al. (2011), are ethical rules that should be followed during a project for
195 several reasons; some of them also affect a project’s validity and reliability. These rules include that,
196 prior to the data collection stage, the researcher must obtain authorization from the target sample, the
197 privacy and security of the participants’ information must be ensured, and questionnaire information
198 must be saved and stored in a secure place. To avoid any legal and ethical issues, this process was
199 followed, and the ethical form was submitted to the Institutional Review Board at Princess Nourah bint
200 Abdulrahman University.

201 3.2 The *Aranxiety* Dataset

202 We named our dataset the *Aranxiety Dataset*. To build it, we used Python to fetch Arabic tweets
203 originated from Saudi Arabia based on certain search terms. To collect the relevant tweets, we extracted
204 the relevant top hashtags that mentioned COVID-19 and quarantine in Arabic. As result, top keywords
205 such as: #المنزلي_الحجر, #المنزلي_الحجر_اليوم, #المنزلي_الحجر_اليوم (in English are: #home_quarantine,
206 #home_quarantine_activites, home quarantine(were used as keywords in a search query to fetch tweets
207 from Twitter. We gathered tweets continuously from April to June 2020, mainly because this period
208 marked the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia. Our corpus *Aranxiety* comprises
209 955 Arabic tweets representing the user anxiety level during COVID-19.

210 To clean the *Aranxiety* corpus, non-Arabic tweets were eliminated, and re-tweets were discarded. All
211 features that were unnecessary and would decrease the classifier’s accuracy, e.g., links, user mentions,
212 punctuation marks and stop words, were filtered out. Pre-processing was applied to the dataset
213 (tokenization and normalization). Normalization involved, for example, removing *kashida* (expanding
214 letters) and uniting the same letters with different shapes. The cleaning and pre-processing were done
215 using Toolkit (NLTK) library in Python. Examples from before and after pre-processing are shown in
216 Table 3.

217 3.3 AraPh Anxiety Lexicon

218 The Arabic Psychological Lexicon (AraPh) was built by one of the authors based on the 14 anxiety
219 trait axes. Every axis is expressed by different words in the various Arabic dialects, with 17-18 different
220 words for each axis. Modern Standard Arabic (MSA) words were not considered, as MSA is the dialect
221 used for example by academics, linguists and the media, and it is not often used in Twitter chat. The
222 lexicon contains 138 different dialectical Arabic words that express anxiety, see Table 4. The lexicon
223 has been assessed by 10 experts in the field, see Appendix 1. Finally, the authors (L and F) used the
224 AraPh lexicon as a guide to annotate the corpus.

225 3.3.1 Annotation of *Aranxiety* Corpora

226 A sentiment label was added to the dataset. We used the trinary labels (*A*, *B*, *C*) to annotate the dataset,
227 where *A* denotes no anxiety, *B* represents mild anxiety and *C* indicates moderate to strong anxiety.
228 Each label expresses the anxiety level in each tweet, following previous recommendations (Al-twarish,
229 2016). Although a given tweet might have other emotions associated with it, we discard these for the
230 time being. Liu (2012) mentioned two techniques for building a lexicon: automatic and manual
231 techniques. Automatic techniques include two approaches: the dictionary-based approach and the
232 corpus-based approach (Liu, 2012). The manual approach is time and labor consuming but more
233 accurate than the automatic approach (Al-Twairish, 2016). Therefore, the annotation process was
234 carried out manually for the *Aranxiety* corpus by three annotators (computer graduates) who had

235 experience of the annotation process. Every annotator needed to assign one label per tweet for the whole
236 corpus. Before we began the annotation process, the annotators were provided with annotation
237 guidelines in Arabic as the annotators were native Arabic speakers. Three annotators, instead of the
238 usual two, are used to identify the annotation scheme's reliability. To increase the quality of the
239 resulting corpus by alleviating conflicts that could arise from discrepancies between only two
240 annotators. Hence, if two annotators disagreed concerning one tweet classification, we voted between
241 all three annotators. Some of the annotation guidelines are shown in Appendix 2. We stored the
242 annotations in an Excel file, see Appendix 3.

243 3.4 Evaluation Metrics

244 To evaluate the performance of the models, we used four metrics suitable for classification (Chicco et
245 al., 2020): the micro averages of precision, recall, F1 and accuracy. The micro average totals the
246 contribution of all classes to the average metric calculation (Sokolova et al., 2009). It aggregates the
247 precision and recall of the classes.

248 3.5 Deep Learning Model Construction

249 **The most popular deep learning-based model, GRU, was used in this study. GRU is variant of**
250 **Recurrent Neural Network (RNN). We used a bidirectional GRU bi-GRU rather than other deep**
251 **learning models, because prior research has shown bi-GRU to provide high accuracy for Arabic**
252 **sentiment analysis (Almuqren et al., 2019).** Keras (Chollet, 2018) was used for the deep learning
253 models. In addition, TensorFlow (Abadi et al., 2016), an open-source library, was used in a Graphics
254 Processing Unit (GPU) environment. Two embeddings were utilized to obtain the features: character-
255 level and Word2Vec. In Word2Vec, the features were obtained using word representations to expose
256 the connections between the words in the tweets. Character-level was used to show how the sentiment
257 affects the different characters in the tweets.

258 The model started with word embedding, to represent each word in a tweet as a 300-dimensional word
259 vector. It was then fed into the GRU layer with this embedding, using a 128-dimensional hidden state.
260 To avoid the model overfitting through training dropout (Xiong et al., 2019), the output was fed into
261 another GRU layer with a 128-dimensional hidden state that returned a single hidden state (Figure 1).
262 Different experiments were done on 20, 40, 50, 70 and 100 epochs, where the number of epochs is the
263 number of complete passes through the training dataset. The best performance was accomplished at 50
264 epochs. Therefore, all the reported experiments were conducted with 50 epochs. The sigmoid layer was
265 used for the classification. We applied a dense layer with two units for the two possible classes,
266 followed by the sigmoid activation. In addition, we used backpropagation in a default implementation
267 bundle with the TensorFlow library. For optimization of the weight, Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2014)
268 was used, because it has been shown to be efficient in computation.

269 4 Results and Discussion

270 4.1 Model Results

271 The *Aranxiety* corpus was split into 20% for testing and 80% for training; additionally, 10-fold cross-
272 validation was performed on both to obtain the best error estimate (James et al., 2013). 10-fold cross-
273 validation is used to validate the performance of a classifier. The fundamental concept of cross-
274 validation is to split the original dataset into two parts: the training set and the testing set. Train the

275 classifier using the training set and test the model using the testing set to review the classifier's
276 performance. To counter oversampling due to the dataset being biased towards negative tweets, we
277 used the popular Synthetic Minority Over-Sampling Technique (SMOTE).

278 Table 5 shows that the results of our model had 88.0% accuracy and the F1 is 87%. Adding the bi-
279 directional model attention mechanism enhanced the model's performance.

280 After analyzing the tweets, we found that the majority showed no indication of anxiety due to COVID-
281 19, which was surprising for us. The word "nervous" was mentioned more than any other in the tweets,
282 and this may be due to people's nature and a wish to pretend to be positive on social media. In addition,
283 it may be due to the conservative nature of Saudi community.

284 4.2 Discussion

285 During the COVID-19 pandemic, with the quintern, people used social media to release their
286 sentiments. Therefore, social media generates significant data; we can use it to provide mental health
287 and psychological solutions. Our study aimed to mine Saudi Arabian tweets using a deep learning
288 model to measure the anxiety level during COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia.

289 First, we built and arbitrated a psychological scale to determine the level of anxiety. To be sure that
290 the scale is valid for use, we did a pilot study with 20 persons chosen randomly from Twitter. We
291 mined their tweet using our proposed model to measure their anxiety. Besides, the scale was sent for
292 them to measure their anxiety levels. We found that the results from scale and Twitter mining are
293 similar, meaning that mining tweets are a valid tool to measure and predict people's anxiety level, and
294 the scale is valid.

295 It has built the first Arabic Psychological Lexicon (AraPh) containing 138 different dialectical Arabic
296 words that express anxiety. Ten psychological experts assessed it. Then, we used the lexicon to
297 annotate our corpus. Our corpus Aranxiety comprises 955 Arabic tweets representing the user anxiety
298 level during COVID-19.

299 The proposed multi-way (Three-way) model was applied to the Arabic corpus; the multi-way SA model
300 is complex for machine learning to predict (Al Shboul et al., 2015). We applied bi-GRU with word
301 embedding that approved the high performance with Arabic dialect corpus before (Almuqren et al.,
302 2019) on our dataset. The bi-GRU model achieves 88% accuracy. The F1 score for the *A* label, which
303 refers to no anxiety, is much higher than for the *B* label, which refers to mild *anxiety* and *the C* label,
304 which refers to moderate/ strong anxiety labels. This may be due to unbalancing in the dataset. As we
305 explained before to avoid the overfitting, we applied many techniques.

306 As far as we know, creating a corpus (ground truth) for analyzing anxiety based on psychological
307 assessment, and focusing on Arabic posts, has not been attempted before. Various Arabic corpora have
308 been created for NLP, yet none target anxiety issues. This study collected data to support the prediction
309 of anxiety levels during the COVID-19 pandemic. The surprising result is that the majority had no
310 anxiety – a possible explanation for this may be that this was due to the control exercised by the Saudi
311 Health Ministry during the pandemic.

312 4.3 Comparison and Implication

313 **By using our model on SemEval's Arabic data set from their 2017 Task 4, Subtask A, which**
314 **classifies tweets based on a three-point scale, our proposed model achieved an accuracy of 80.7%.**

315 This is a significant improvement compared to the NileTMRG team's accuracy of 58.1%, who were
 316 ranked first among the top ten teams in Subtask A. This shows promising progress in Automatic
 317 Sentiment Analysis (ASA) on tweets.

318 **5 Limitation**

319 One of the limitations of this work is that the dataset used for the classification has unbalanced classes.
 320 The majority class with no anxiety represents 77%, mild anxiety represents 17%, and only a small
 321 percentage (6%) represents high anxiety. One potential reason for this is that Saudi society is
 322 conservative, and people tend not to express their anxiety on social media such as Twitter. The biggest
 323 challenge in this research was collecting data that fit the specified criteria.

324 **6 Conclusion**

325 This research was conducted to assess the psychological effects of a global pandemic based on Arabic
 326 tweets. Our research mined Saudi tweets using a deep learning model to analyze the psychological
 327 effects of COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia. This study resulted in the construction of an anxiety corpus of
 328 Saudi tweets related to COVID-19, consisting of 955 tweets. Bi-GRU (88% accuracy) was applied and
 329 interestingly and contrary to our initial expectations, the results showed that the majority of tweets
 330 contained no indications of anxiety, although there are a great number of mentions of "nervous" in the
 331 Arabic tweet corpus. Future work could use a larger dataset with more balanced classes. Future
 332 research is also needed to test GRU models with different implementations and to test more features
 333 to potentially further raise the accuracy. Future work could use a larger dataset using various types of
 334 search keywords to improve the diversity of tweets and with more balanced classes.

335

336 **Table 1.** Comparison of the actual and predicted anxiety level

Label	Predicted	Actual
A (None)	47.62%	38.10%
B (Mild)	33.33%	28.57%
C (Moderate/Strong)	19.52%	23.81%

337 **Table 2.** Anxiety level and the total number of unique tweets in *Aranxiety*

Anxiety Level	# of Unique Tweets
A (None)	740
B (Mild)	160
C (Moderate/Strong)	55
Total	955

338 **Table 3.** Subset of the *Aranxiety* corpus before and after pre-processing

Tweet before pre-processing	Tweet After pre-processing	Anxiety level	Tweet in English
تسجيل اول حالة في العلا الوضع أصبح مرعب جداً والمرعب أكثر ان منطقتي صغيرة #خايك_بالبيت #وزارة_الصحة #كارونا_السعودية #حظر_التجول_في_السعود ية #الحجر_المنزلي #كوفيد 19	تسجيل اول حاله في العلا الوضع أصبح مرعب جدا والمرعب اكثر ان منطقتي صغيره	C	The first case was recorded in Al-Ula. The situation has become very terrifying, and it is even more terrifying that my area is small.

340 **Table 4.** AraPh lexicon statistics

Anxiety trait axes	Number of words
Stress	11
Instability	9
Nervousness	18
Unhappiness	10
Failure	8
Uncomfortable	7
Accumulation of problems or business	11
Disturbing thoughts	8
Lack of self-confidence	10
Difficulty making decisions	10
Feelings of inadequacy	8
Dissatisfaction	9
Rapid impact	10

341 **Table 5.** Model Results

Label	Precision	Recall	F1	Average
A	87.0	99.0	92.0	
B	94.0	57.0	71.0	
C	88.0	78.0	82.0	
Accuracy				88.0
Macro avg	90.0	78.0	82.0	

Weighted avg	89.0	88.0	87.0	
---------------------	------	------	------	--

342

343 **Figure 1.** Deep learning architecture

344 **7 References**

345 Abdulla, N. A., Ahmed, N. A., Shehab, M. A., Al-Ayyoub, M., Al-Kabi, M. N., & Al-rifai, S.
346 (2014). Towards improving the lexicon-based approach for Arabic sentiment analysis.
347 *International Journal of Information Technology and Web Engineering*, 9(3), 55-71.

348 Al-Twairish, N. S. (2016). Sentiment analysis of Twitter: A study on the Saudi community
349 (Doctoral dissertation, King Saud University).

350 Almuqren, L., & Cristea, A. (2021). AraCust: a Saudi Telecom tweets corpus for sentiment
351 analysis. *PeerJ Computer Science*, 7, e510.

352 Almuqren, L. A., M. Moh'd Qasem, and A.I. Cristea, A. I. (2019). Using deep learning networks
353 to predict telecom company customer satisfaction based on Arabic tweets, in ISD 2019: Tolerance,
354 France.

355 Al Shboul, B., Al-Ayyoub, M., & Jararweh, Y. (2015). Multi-way sentiment classification of
356 Arabic reviews. In 2015 6th International Conference on Information and Communication Systems
357 (ICICS). IEEE.

358 Al-Twairish, N. (2016). *Sentiment analysis of Twitter: a study on the Saudi community* (Doctoral
359 dissertation, King Saud University).

360 Barkur, G., & Vibha, G. B. K. (2020). Sentiment analysis of nationwide lockdown due to COVID
361 19 outbreak: Evidence from India. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 51, 102089.

362 Brahmi, N., Singh, P., Sohal, M., & Sawhney, R. S. (2020). Psychological trauma among the
363 healthcare professionals dealing with COVID-19. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 54, 102241.

364 Chicco, D., & Jurman, G. (2020). The advantages of the Matthews correlation coefficient (MCC)
365 over F1 score and accuracy in binary classification evaluation. *BMC genomics*, 21(1), 6.

366 Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2011). *Research methods in education*. Routledge.

367 Dutta, S., & De Choudhury, M. (2020). Characterizing anxiety disorders with online social and
368 interactional networks. In International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction (pp. 249-264).
369 Springer, Cham.

370 El-Beltagy, S.R., M.E. Kalamawy, & A.B. Soliman (2017). Niletmrg at semeval-2017 task 4: Arabic
371 sentiment analysis. arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.08458.

372 Fernandez, K. C., Levinson, C. A., & Rodebaugh, T. L. (2012). Profiling: Predicting social anxiety
373 from Facebook profiles. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 3(6), 706-713.

- 374 Guntuku, S. C., Preotiuc-Pietro, D., Eichstaedt, J. C., & Ungar, L. H. (July). What Twitter profile and
375 posted images reveal about depression and anxiety. In Proceedings of the international AAAI
376 conference on web and social media (Vol. 13, pp. 236-246).
- 377 Jones, N. M., & Silver, R. C. (2020). This is not a drill: Anxiety on Twitter following the 2018
378 Hawaii false missile alert. *American Psychologist*, 75(5), 683.
- 379 Kumar, A., Sharma, A., & Arora, A. (2019). Anxious depression prediction in real-time social data.
380 In International conference on advances in engineering science management & technology
381 (ICAESMT)-2019, Uttaranchal University, Dehradun, India.
- 382 Lee, J., Sohn, D., & Choi, Y. S. (2019). A tool for spatio-temporal analysis of social anxiety with
383 Twitter data. In Proceedings of the 34th ACM/SIGAPP Symposium on Applied Computing (pp.
384 2120-2123).
- 385 Lee, J., Sohn, D., & Choi, Y. S. (2019, April). A tool for spatio-temporal analysis of social anxiety
386 with Twitter data. In Proceedings of the 34th ACM/SIGAPP Symposium on Applied Computing (pp.
387 2120-2123).
- 388 Liu, B. (2012). *Sentiment analysis and opinion mining. Synthesis lectures on human language*
389 *technologies*. Morgan & Claypool Publishers.
- 390 Rosenthal, S., N. Farra, & P. Nakov (2017). SemEval-2017 task 4: Sentiment analysis in Twitter. in
391 Proceedings of the 11th international workshop on semantic evaluation (SemEval-2017).
- 392 Schwartz, H. A., Eichstaedt, J., Kern, M., Park, G., Sap, M., Stillwell, D., Kosinski, M. & Ungar, L.
393 (2014, June). Towards assessing changes in degree of depression through Facebook. In Proceedings
394 of the Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology: from Linguistic Signal to
395 Clinical Reality (pp. 118-125).
- 396 Shen, J. H., & Rudzicz, F. (2017, August). Detecting anxiety through reddit. In Proceedings of the
397 Fourth Workshop on Computational Linguistics and Clinical Psychology—From Linguistic Signal to
398 Clinical Reality (pp. 58-65).
- 399 Singh, P., Singh, S., Sohal, M., Dwivedi, Y. K., Kahlon, K. S., & Sawhney, R. S. (2020).
400 Psychological fear and anxiety caused by COVID-19: Insights from Twitter analytics. *Asian Journal*
401 *of Psychiatry*, 54, 102280.
- 402 Sokolova, M., & G. Lapalme (2009). A systematic analysis of performance measures for
403 classification tasks. *Information processing & management* 45(4), 427- 437.
- 404 Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B., & Löwe, B. (2006). A brief measure for assessing
405 generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Archives of internal medicine*, 166(10), 1092-1097.
- 406 Zaman, A., Zhang, B., Silenzio, V., Hoque, E., & Kautz, H. (2020). Individual-level anxiety
407 detection and prediction from longitudinal YouTube and Google search engagement logs. arXiv
408 preprint arXiv:2007.00613.
- 409

410 **8 Funding**

411 Ministry of Education in Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through the project number
412 (PNU-DRI-Targeted-20-010)).

413 **9 Acknowledgments**

414 The authors extend their appreciation to the Deputyship for Research & Innovation, Ministry of
415 Education in Saudi Arabia for funding this research work through the project number (PNU-DRI-
416 Targeted-20-010)).

417